

**DIFFERENCE SETS DISJOINT FROM A SUBGROUP II:
GROUPS OF ORDER $4p^2$**

STEPHEN P. HUMPHRIES, NATHAN L. NICHOLSON

ABSTRACT. We study finite groups G having a normal subgroup H and $D \subset G \setminus H, D \cap D^{-1} = \emptyset$, such that the multiset $\{xy^{-1} : x, y \in D\}$ has every non-identity element occur the same number of times (such a D is called a *DRAD difference set*). We show that there are no such groups of order $4p^2$, where p is an odd prime.

Keywords: Difference set, subgroup, DRAD.
[2010]Primary 05B10. Secondary: 20C05.

§1 INTRODUCTION

For a group G we will identify a finite subset $X \subseteq G$ with the element $\sum_{x \in X} x \in \mathbb{Q}G$ of the group algebra. We also let $X^{-1} = \{x^{-1} : x \in X\}$. Write C_n for the cyclic group of order n .

A (v, k, λ) *difference set* is a subset $D \subset G, |D| = k$, such that every element $1 \neq g \in G$ occurs λ times in the multiset $\{xy^{-1} : x, y \in D\}$. Here $|G| = v$.

Then a (v, k, λ) difference set is a (v, k, λ) *DRAD difference set (with subgroup H and difference set D)* if it also satisfies the conditions: there is a subgroup $1 \neq H \triangleleft G$ such that

- (1) $D \cap D^{-1} = \emptyset$;
- (2) $G \setminus (D \cup D^{-1}) = H$.

A group G will be called a *DRAD difference set group* if there is a DRAD difference set over G . See [5, 8, 9] for more on DRADs. DRAD difference sets are examples of Hadamard (or Menon) difference sets [4]. Let

$$h = |H|, \quad u = |G : H|.$$

We proved the following result in [6]:

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a (v, k, λ) DRAD difference set group with subgroup H and difference set D . Then*

- (i) $u = h \geq 4$ is even, $v = |G| = h^2$, and

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{4}h(h-2), \quad k = \frac{1}{2}h(h-1);$$

- (ii) each non-trivial coset $Hg \neq H$ meets D in $h/2$ points;
 (iii) H contains the subgroup generated by all the involutions in G ;
 (iv) any abelian (v, k, λ) DRAD difference set group is a 2-group.

All known DRAD difference set groups are 2-groups. There is one such group of order 16 and at least 33 of order 64. In [6] a bi-infinite family of DRAD groups is constructed. In this paper we show

Theorem 1.2. *There are no (v, k, λ) DRAD difference set groups of order $4p^2$, for an odd prime p .*

Theorem 1.2 is related to Question 9 of [4], where Davis and Jedwab ask if there are any Hadamard difference sets of order $4p^2$, where $p > 5$ is an odd prime. Note that when $h = 2p$, then by Theorem 1.1 we have $(v, k, \lambda) = (4p^2, 2p^2 - p, p^2 - p)$, the parameters for a Hadamard difference set.

For the the proof of Theorem 1.2 we make use of a result of Iiams [7], who showed that any group of order $4p^2$ (where $p > 3$ is a prime) that has $C_p \times C_2^2$ as a factor group, does not have a $(4p^2, 2p^2 - p, p^2 - p)$ difference set. See Theorem 2.1 below for a full statement of the result of Iiams. This result was extended by Wan [17] to show that any group of order $4p^4$ that has $C_{p^2} \times C_2^2$ as a factor group does not have a $(4p^4, 2p^4 - p^2, p^4 - p^2)$ difference set. Lastly, the authors of [1] show that if $q = p^n, p > 3$ a prime, where G has $C_q \times C_2^2$ as a factor group, then G does not admit a $(4q^2, 2q^2 - q, q^2 - q)$ difference set. We note that Smith [15] was the first to find non-abelian examples with these parameters, and that McFarland [14] shows that no nontrivial difference set exists in abelian groups of order $4p^2$ where $p > 3$ is a prime. We also note that Davis and Polhill construct an infinite family for abelian groups [5].

Acknowledgement We thank a referee for pointing out an error in an earlier version of this paper. All calculations made in writing this paper were accomplished using Magma [2].

§2 THE RESULT OF IAMS AND THE CASES G_4, G_{13}, G_{16}

The result of Iiams [7] that we use is

Theorem 2.1. *Let $p \geq 5$ be prime, and let G be a group of order $4p^2$ that contains a Menon-type difference set. Then either*

- (i) $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $G \cong G_{11}$;
- (ii) G is isomorphic to one of $G_4, G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}, G_{16}$.

Here the groups $G_{11}, G_4, G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}, G_{16}$ are defined below. We now consider these six cases. (In general we have replaced z by z^{-1} in the presentations that Iiams gives, thus allowing conjugation to be written $y^z = z^{-1}yz$.)

Case G_4 : Let p be an odd prime and let $f \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p^2}$. Then G_4 has the following presentation:

$$G_4 = \langle x, z | x^{p^2}, z^4, x^z = x^f \rangle.$$

Note that $x^{z^2} = x^{-1}$, which gives $(z^2)^x = x^{-2}z^2$, and so $(z^2)^{x^k} = x^{-2k}z^2$.

Thus each $x^{-2k}z^2$ has order 2, and since x has order p^2 (with p odd) we see that all these elements of order 2 generate the subgroup $\langle x, z^2 \rangle$ of order $|G_4|/2 = h^2/2$. By Theorem 1.1 we have $|H| = h$ and H has to contain this subgroup, so we have $h^2/2 \leq |H| = h$, a contradiction to Theorem 1.1 (i).

Case G_{13} : Here G_{13} has presentation:

$$G_{13} = \langle x, y, z | x^p, y^p, (x, y), z^4, x^z = y^{-1}, y^z = x \rangle.$$

Again we have $x^{z^2} = x^{-1}, y^{z^2} = y^{-1}$, which gives $(z^2 x^a y^b)^2 = 1$ for $0 \leq a, b < p$. Thus, as in the G_4 case, the subgroup $\langle z^2 x^a y^b : 0 \leq a, b < p \rangle \leq G_{13}$ generated by the involutions, has index 2. This rules out this case.

Case G_{16} : Let $f \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Then

$$G_{16} = \langle x, y, z | x^p, y^p, (x, y), z^4, x^z = x^f, y^z = y^f \rangle.$$

Again we have $xz^2 = x^{-1}, yz^2 = y^{-1}$, and so, as in the G_{13} case, the subgroup of G_{16} generated by the involutions has index 2, and we obtain a contradiction.

§3 THE GROUPS G_{11} AND G_{14}

For these cases we will need the following Lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that G has a non-principal linear character χ .*

If $\chi(H) = 0$ and χ takes values in a field K where $i = \sqrt{-1} \notin K$, then G is not a DRAD group with subgroup H .

Proof Since χ is linear and non-principal we have $\chi(G) = 0$. By hypothesis we have $\chi(H) = 0$, so that $G = H + D + D^{-1}$ gives $\chi(D^{-1}) = -\chi(D)$. Then

$$DD^{-1} = \lambda G + (k - \lambda) = \lambda G + \frac{1}{4}h^2$$

and the linearity of χ gives $\chi(D)^2 = -\frac{1}{4}h^2$, which gives $\chi(D) = \pm i\frac{h}{2} \in K$, a contradiction. \square

Case G_{11} : Let p be a prime where $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then

$$G = G_{11} = \langle x, y, z | x^p, y^p, (x, y), z^4, x^z = x, y^z = y^{-1} \rangle \cong \langle x \rangle \times \langle y, z \rangle.$$

One checks that there are two possibilities for H : $Z = Z(G) = \langle x, z^2 \rangle$ and $Y = \langle y, z^2 \rangle$. Note that $Z, Y \triangleleft G$.

Let $\zeta_p = \exp 2\pi i/p$.

First consider $H = Z = \langle x, z^2 \rangle$ and let χ be the linear character

$$(3.1) \quad \chi(x) = \zeta_p, \quad \chi(y) = 1, \quad \chi(z) = -1.$$

Then $\chi(H) = 0$ (since $x \in H$ and $\chi(x) \neq 1$) and $\chi(g) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ for all $g \in G$, from which Lemma 3.1 shows that this case cannot happen, since $i \notin \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$.

So now consider $H = Y = \langle y, z^2 \rangle \triangleleft G$.

Elements of G will have *normal form* $g_{j,k,w} = x^j y^k z^w$, $0 \leq j, k < p, 0 \leq w < 4$. Here elements of H have the form $g_{0,k,w} = y^k z^w$, $0 \leq k < p, w \in \{0, 2\}$. Now we let

$$D = \sum_{j,k,w} \varepsilon_{j,k,w} g_{j,k,w},$$

where $\varepsilon_{j,k,w} \in \{0, 1\}$, and $\sum_{j,k,w}$ means we sum over $0 \leq j, k < p, w \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

For some fixed $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we consider the linear character

$$(3.2) \quad \chi_m : x \mapsto \zeta_p^m, \quad y \mapsto 1, \quad z \mapsto i = \sqrt{-1}.$$

Then we have $\chi_m(H) = 0$, since $z^2 \in H$ and $\chi_m(z^2) = -1$. We also have $\chi_m(G) = 0$. Thus $D + D^{-1} = G - H$ gives $\chi_m(D^{-1}) = -\chi_m(D)$ and so $DD^{-1} = \lambda G + (k - \lambda)$ gives

$$-\chi_m(D)^2 = k - \lambda = \frac{1}{4}h^2 = p^2.$$

So we have $\chi_m(D) = \delta_m pi$, for some $\delta_m \in \{\pm 1\}$. Thus

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_m pi &= \chi_m(D) = \chi_m \left(\sum_{j,k,w} \varepsilon_{j,k,w} x^j y^k z^w \right) \\ &= \sum_{j,k,w} \varepsilon_{j,k,w} \chi_m(x^j y^k z^w) = \sum_{j,k,w} \varepsilon_{j,k,w} \zeta_p^{jm} i^w. \end{aligned}$$

We write (3.3) as follows, splitting off the $j = 0$ part:

$$(3.4) \quad \delta_m pi = \sum_{k,w} \varepsilon_{0,k,w} i^w + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \sum_{k,w} \varepsilon_{j,k,w} \zeta_p^{jm} i^w.$$

Summing over $0 \leq m < p$ we obtain

$$pi \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \delta_m = p \sum_{k,w} \varepsilon_{0,k,w} i^w + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \sum_{k,w} \varepsilon_{j,k,w} i^w \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{jm} = p \sum_{k,w} \varepsilon_{0,k,w} i^w.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k,w} \varepsilon_{0,k,w} i^w = i \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \delta_m.$$

Substituting this value of $\sum_{k,w} \varepsilon_{0,k,w} i^w$ in (3.4) we get $\delta_m pi = i \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \delta_m + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \sum_{k,w} \varepsilon_{j,k,w} \zeta_p^{jm} i^w$, or

$$(3.5) \quad 0 = \left(-\delta_m p + \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \delta_m \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \sum_{k,w} \varepsilon_{j,k,w} \zeta_p^{jm} i^{w-1}.$$

Now (since p is an odd prime) we have $\mathbb{Q}(i) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) = \mathbb{Q}$, and looking at the part of (3.5) with $w = 0, 2$, gives

$$(3.6) \quad 0 = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \sum_k (\varepsilon_{j,k,0} - \varepsilon_{j,k,2}) \zeta_p^{jm}.$$

Now we use the fact that for $a_u \in \mathbb{Q}$, $0 \leq u < p$, if $\sum_{u=0}^{p-1} a_u \zeta_p^u = 0$, then $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_{p-1}$. Thus (3.6) gives

$$0 = \sum_k (\varepsilon_{j,k,0} - \varepsilon_{j,k,2}), \quad \text{for all } j > 0.$$

Now from Theorem 1.1 we have $|D \cap x^j H| = h/2 = p$ for all $0 < j < p$. Thus for $j \neq 0$ we have

$$\sum_k \varepsilon_{j,k,0} + \varepsilon_{j,k,2} = p.$$

But from the above we have: $\sum_k (\varepsilon_{j,k,0} - \varepsilon_{j,k,2}) = 0$, and adding we get

$$2 \sum_k \varepsilon_{j,k,0} = p,$$

a contradiction since p is odd. □

Case G_{14} : Let $f \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $f^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Then

$$G = G_{14} = \langle x, y, z | x^p, y^p, (x, y), z^4, x^z = x, y^z = y^f \rangle.$$

Thus $G = \langle x \rangle \times \langle y, z \rangle$. Now there are p elements of order 2, namely $(z^2)^{y^i}$ and they generate the normal subgroup $\langle y, z^2 \rangle$ of order $2p$, which must then be H . We also have the linear character χ_m as in (3.1). Then, using this character, the same argument as in the G_{11} case gives a contradiction. Thus G_{14} is not a DRAD group. \square

§4 THE GROUP G_{15}

Let $f \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $f^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Since p is prime, this implies that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then

$$G = G_{15} = \langle x, y, z | x^p, y^p, (x, y), z^4, x^z = x^{-1}, y^z = y^f \rangle.$$

Here $G = \langle x \rangle \rtimes \langle y, z \rangle$. Now there are p involutions $(z^2)^{y^i}, 0 \leq i < p$, and they generate $\langle y, z^2 \rangle \cong D_{2p}$, so we must have $H = \langle y, z^2 \rangle \cong D_{2p}, H \triangleleft G$.

This group does not succumb to any of the character-theoretic arguments that have worked in previous cases.

The proof will be by contradiction, so that we will assume that we have such a difference set $D \subset G$, where we write

$$D = \sum_{g \in G} \varepsilon_g g \in \mathbb{Z}[G],$$

with $\varepsilon_g \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\varepsilon_g = 0$ if $g \in H$. Then we have

$$(4.1) \quad G = H + D + D^{-1}, \quad D \cap D^{-1} = D \cap H = \emptyset,$$

and

$$D^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} \varepsilon_g g^{-1}.$$

The set-up for the proof of this case will then be as follows: we assume that

$$D = \sum_{g \in G} \varepsilon_g g,$$

where we can now think of the ε_g s as polynomial indeterminates generating the polynomial ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon_g]_{g \in G}$. Then D and D^{-1} will belong to the group ring $R[G]$.

From (4.1) we see that the ε_g satisfy

$$(4.2) \quad \varepsilon_g^2 = \varepsilon_g, \quad \varepsilon_g + \varepsilon_{g^{-1}} = 1, \text{ for } g \notin H, \quad \varepsilon_g = 0 \text{ for } g \in H.$$

Let \mathcal{I} denote the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon_g]_{g \in G}$ generated by the relations in (4.2) and $2\mathbb{Z}$.

We now consider the relations that we obtain from the difference set equation.

Let

$$(4.3) \quad E = DD^{-1} - (\lambda(G-1) + k) \in \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon_g]_{g \in G}$$

and for $k \in G$ let E_k denote the coefficient of k in E . Then for $k \in G, k \neq 1$, we have $E_k \in \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon_g]_{g \in G}$.

Since

$$E = DD^{-1} - (\lambda(G-1) + k) = \sum_{g \in G} \varepsilon_g g \sum_{h \in G} \varepsilon_h h^{-1} - (\lambda(G-1) + k),$$

we see that for $k \in G, k \neq 1$, we have (from (4.3)):

$$(4.4) \quad E_k = \sum_{h \in G} \varepsilon_{kh} \varepsilon_h - \lambda.$$

Using the relations in G we see that a normal form for elements of G is $g = x^i y^j z^k$, where $0 \leq i, j < p, 0 \leq k < 4$.

We will need the following group-theoretic facts about $G = \langle x, y, z \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} (x^i y^j)^{-1} &= x^{-i} y^{-j}, & (x^i y^j z^2)^{-1} &= x^i y^{-j} z^2, \\ (x^i y^j z)^{-1} &= x^{-i} y^{-fj} z^3, & (x^i y^j z^3)^{-1} &= x^{-i} y^{fj} z \end{aligned}$$

From now on most of the equations that we write down will be considered to be in the quotient ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon_g]_{g \in G} / \mathcal{I}$. We will sometimes indicate this by writing $\text{mod } \mathcal{I}$ at the end of the equation.

Thus, for example, we know from (4.4) that, since $\lambda = p^2 - p$ is even, we have

$$E_k = \sum_{h \in G} \varepsilon_{kh} \varepsilon_h \text{ mod } \mathcal{I}$$

for $k \in G, k \neq 1$.

Define subgroups

$$Y = \langle y \rangle, \quad N = \langle x, y, z^2 \rangle, \quad A = \langle x, y \rangle,$$

so that $Y, N, A \triangleleft G$.

Let $p_2 = (p - 1)/2$.

Now for $k \in G$ summing the E_g over the elements g of the coset $Yk = kY$ we define:

$$(4.5) \quad Z_k = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} E_{y^i k} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{h \in G} \varepsilon_{y^i k h} \varepsilon_h \in \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon_g].$$

For $g \in G$ we define

$$\mathcal{Y}(g) = \{y^i g : 0 \leq i < p\} = \{gy^i : 0 \leq i < p\}.$$

We also define

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(g) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{Y}(g)} \varepsilon_u = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i g}.$$

Let \mathcal{E} be the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon_g]_{g \in G}$ generated by \mathcal{I} and all the $E_k, k \in G, k \neq 1$. We note that if there is a DRAD difference set for G , then the quotient ring R/\mathcal{E} would be non-trivial. Thus the following result will conclude the G_{15} case:

Theorem 4.1. *As an equation in $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon_g]/\mathcal{I}$ we have*

$$Z_x + Z_{xz^2} + Z_{x^{(p-1)/2}z^2} = 1 \text{ mod } \mathcal{I}.$$

Proof Define $Z_1, \dots, Z_6 \in R$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{h \in G \setminus N} \varepsilon_{y^i x h} \varepsilon_h; \\ Z_2 &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{h \in G \setminus N} \varepsilon_{y^i x z^2 h} \varepsilon_h; \\ Z_3 &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{h \in G \setminus N} \varepsilon_{y^i x p_2 z^2 h} \varepsilon_h; \\ Z_4 &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{h \in N \setminus H} \varepsilon_{y^i x h} \varepsilon_h; \\ Z_5 &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{h \in N \setminus H} \varepsilon_{y^i x z^2 h} \varepsilon_h; \\ Z_6 &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{h \in N \setminus H} \varepsilon_{y^i x p_2 z^2 h} \varepsilon_h. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have:

$$Z_x = Z_1 + Z_4, \quad Z_{xz^2} = Z_2 + Z_5, \quad Z_{xp_2 z^2} = Z_3 + Z_6,$$

so that

$$Z_x + Z_{xz^2} + Z_{xp_2 z^2} = \sum_{i=1}^6 Z_i.$$

Thus we will now find Z_1, \dots, Z_6 , and Theorem 4.1 will follow by showing that $\sum_{i=1}^6 Z_i = 1$. Our first goal is simply stated:

Lemma 4.2. $Z_1 = 1, \quad Z_2 = 0, \quad Z_3 = 0.$

Proof We partition $G \setminus N$ into $P \cup P^{-1}$ where

$$P = Az = \{x^a y^b z : 0 \leq a, b < p\};$$

One can check that $\{P, P^{-1}\}$ is a partition of $G \setminus N$.

We consider the pairs $(y^i k h, h) \in (G \setminus N)^2$. We wish to pair up a pair $(y^i k h, h)$ (see the definition of Z_k in (4.5) above to motivate this) with another pair of the form $(y^j k h_1, h_1)$, where $(y^j k h_1, h_1) = ((y^i k h)^{-1}, h^{-1})$. This second pair is called the *dual* of $(y^i k h, h)$. We now explain how to do this pairing for the situation where $h \in G \setminus N$ and $k \in \{x, xz^2, xp_2 z^2\}$, so that k has the form $k = x^c z^{2d}$

Suppose that $h \in P \subset G \setminus N$, so that $h = x^a y^b z$. Then for $k = x^c z^{2d}$ we want the pair dual to $(y^i k h, h)$ to be $((y^i k h)^{-1}, h^{-1})$; but we want it to have the correct form i.e. to also look like $(y^j k h_1, h_1)$ for some j and some $h_1 \in G \setminus N$. Solving $((y^i k h)^{-1}, h^{-1}) = (y^j k h_1, h_1)$ we get $h_1 = h^{-1}$ and $(y^i k h)^{-1} = y^j k h_1$, and so we need to find j so that $h^{-1} k^{-1} y^{-i} \cdot h_1^{-1} k^{-1} = y^j$ (if possible). Well from these

equations we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.6) \quad h^{-1}k^{-1}y^{-i} \cdot h_1^{-1}k^{-1} &= h^{-1}k^{-1}y^{-i}hk^{-1} \\
&= z^{-1}x^{-a}y^{-b} \cdot x^{-c}z^{2d} \cdot y^{-i} \cdot x^a y^b z \cdot x^{-c}z^{2d} \\
&= z^{-1}y^{-b} \cdot x^{-c}z^{2d} \cdot y^{-i} \cdot y^b z \cdot x^{-c}z^{2d} \\
&= z^{-1}y^{-b} \cdot z^{2d} \cdot y^{-i} \cdot y^b z \cdot z^{2d} \\
&= y^{-fb} \cdot z^{2d} \cdot y^{-fi} \cdot y^{fb} \cdot z^{2d} \in Y
\end{aligned}$$

Thus for $h \in P$ and $k = x^c z^{2d}$, with the value of j determined by (4.6), we pair $(y^i kh, h)$ with $((y^i kh)^{-1}, h^{-1}) = (y^j kh^{-1}, h^{-1})$.

For $h \in P \subset G \setminus N, k \in \{x, xz^2, x^{p_2}z^2\}$, then, as described above, we pair $(y^i kh, h)$ with $((y^i kh)^{-1}, h^{-1})$. The point here is that the part of the sum for Z_k coming from the pairs $(y^i kh, h)$ (for $h \in P$ and summing over $0 \leq i < p$) is

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i kh} \varepsilon_h,$$

while the sum coming from the dual pairs $((y^i kh)^{-1}, h^{-1})$ (where $h^{-1} \in P^{-1}$) is

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{(y^i kh)^{-1}} \varepsilon_{h^{-1}} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (1 - \varepsilon_{y^i kh})(1 - \varepsilon_h).$$

Adding (4.7) and (4.8) we get (considering these elements modulo the ideal \mathcal{I})

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (1 + \varepsilon_{y^i kh} + \varepsilon_h), \text{ which is equal to } 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (\varepsilon_{y^i kh} + \varepsilon_h).$$

Now we add (4.9) over all $h = x^a y^b z \in P$ to get (since p is odd)

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{a,b=0}^{p-1} \left(1 + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (\varepsilon_{y^i k x^a y^b z} + \varepsilon_{x^a y^b z}) \right) = 1 + \sum_{a,b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (\varepsilon_{y^i k x^a y^b z} + \varepsilon_{x^a y^b z}) \bmod \mathcal{I}.$$

Now if $k = x$, then the sum (4.10) is Z_1 ; but since, with $k = x$, we have

$$\sum_{a,b=0}^{p-1} (\varepsilon_{y^i k x^a y^b z} + \varepsilon_{x^a y^b z}) = \sum_{a,b=0}^{p-1} (\varepsilon_{y^i x x^a y^b z} + \varepsilon_{x^a y^b z}) = 0 \bmod \mathcal{I},$$

we see that $Z_1 = 1$.

On the other hand, if $k = x^c z^2, c \in \{1, p_2\}$, then for (4.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&1 + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{a,b=0}^{p-1} (\varepsilon_{y^i x^c z^2 x^a y^b z} + \varepsilon_{x^a y^b z}) \\
&= 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{a,b=0}^{p-1} (\varepsilon_{x^a y^b z^3} + \varepsilon_{x^a y^b z}) = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{a,b=0}^{p-1} 1 = 0 \bmod \mathcal{I}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $Z_2 = Z_3 = 0$. This concludes the proof of Lemma 4.2. \square

Having found Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 we now show how to determine Z_4, Z_5, Z_6 .

For $g \in G$ recall that $\Sigma_Y(g) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i g} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{gy^i}$.

Lemma 4.3. (i) For $g \in G \setminus H$ we have $\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(g) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(g^{-1}) = 1 \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$.
 (ii) For $h \in H$ we have $\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(h) = 0 \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$.
 (iii) For $g \in G$ we have $\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(g) \cdot \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(g^{-1}) = 0 \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$.

Proof (i) For $g \in G \setminus H$ we have, using the fact that p is odd:

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(g) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(g^{-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i g} + \varepsilon_{y^i g^{-1}} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i g} + \varepsilon_{(y^i g)^{-1}} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} 1 = 1 \pmod{\mathcal{I}}.$$

(ii) is clear since for $g \in H$ we have $y^i g \in H$, so that $\varepsilon_{y^i g} = 0$.

(iii) For $g \in H$ this follows from (ii), while for $g \in G \setminus H$ we have, using (i):

$$(4.11) \quad \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(g) \cdot \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(g^{-1}) = \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(g) \cdot (1 + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(g)).$$

Letting $E = \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(g)$ we have $E^2 = E \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$ and so (4.11) is $E(1+E) = 0 \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$. \square

We are now in a position to prove:

Proposition 4.4. (i) $Z_4 = \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p^2}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(xz^2) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p^2}z^2) \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$.
 (ii) $Z_5 = Z_{xz^2} = 1 + \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} (\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1}z^2)) \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$;
 (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} Z_6 = Z_{x^{p^2}z^2} &= 1 + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p^2}z^2) + \sum_{a=1}^{p^2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+p^2}z^2) \\ &+ \sum_{a=1}^{p^2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1+p^2}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a z^2) \pmod{\mathcal{I}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof For the proof of Proposition 4.4 (i), we have $Z_4 = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{h \in N \setminus H} \varepsilon_{y^i x h} \varepsilon_h$ and $N \setminus H$ is a union of Y -cosets, where

$$(N \setminus H)/Y = \{x, x^2, \dots, x^{p-1}\} \cup \{xz^2, x^2z^2, \dots, x^{p-1}z^2\}$$

is a set of coset representatives for $N \setminus H$. Thus we can write

$$\begin{aligned} Z_4 &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{h \in N \setminus H} \varepsilon_{y^i x h} \varepsilon_h = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{h \in (N \setminus H)/Y} \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i h y^b} \varepsilon_{h y^b} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i x x^a y^b} \varepsilon_{x^a y^b} + \varepsilon_{y^i x x^a y^b z^2} \varepsilon_{x^a y^b z^2} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i x x^a} \varepsilon_{x^a y^b} + \varepsilon_{y^i x x^a z^2} \varepsilon_{x^a y^b z^2} \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i x x^a} \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{x^a y^b} \right) + \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i x x^a z^2} \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{x^a y^b z^2} \right) \\ (4.12) \quad &= \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1}) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1}z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a z^2). \end{aligned}$$

Let the two sums in (4.12) be denoted by Z_{41}, Z_{42} . Then using Lemma 4.3 and the fact that p_2 is even, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{41} &= \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1})\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1})\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \sum_{a=p_2+1}^{p-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1})\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) \\
&= \left(\sum_{a=1}^{p_2-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1})\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) \right) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p_2+1})\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p_2}) + \sum_{a=p_2+1}^{p-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1})\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) \\
&= \left(\sum_{a=1}^{p_2-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1})\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) \right) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p_2+1})\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p_2}) + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1+p_2})\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+p_2}) \\
&= \left(\sum_{a=1}^{p_2-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1})\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) \right) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p_2+1})\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p_2}) + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a})\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a-1}) \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{p_2-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1})\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + 0 + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2-1} (1 + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a))(1 + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1})) \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{p_2-1} (1 + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1})) \\
&= 1 + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

For Z_{42} we similarly obtain

$$Z_{42} = 1 + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a z^2) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1} z^2).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_4 &= Z_{41} + Z_{42} \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{p_2-1} (\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1})) + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2-1} (\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a z^2) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1} z^2)) \\
&= \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p_2}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x z^2) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p_2} z^2),
\end{aligned}$$

as required for Proposition 4.4 (i).

For Z_5 we again sum over cosets of Y , so that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_5 &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{h \in N \setminus H} \varepsilon_{y^i x z^2 h} \varepsilon_h \\
&= \sum_{i,b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i x z^2 x^a y^b} \varepsilon_{x^a y^b} + \sum_{i,b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i x z^2 x^a y^b z^2} \varepsilon_{x^a y^b z^2} \\
&= \sum_{i,b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i x z^2 x^a} \varepsilon_{x^a y^b} + \sum_{i,b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i x z^2 x^a z^2} \varepsilon_{x^a y^b z^2} \\
(4.13) \quad &= \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1}) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a z^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Now when $a = p - 1$ the corresponding terms of each sum of (4.13) are zero, while all other terms are non-zero. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_5 &= \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} (1 + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a-1})) (1 + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a} z^2)) \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) \\
&\quad + \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} (1 + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a-1}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a} z^2) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a-1})) \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) \\
&\quad + 1 + \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} (\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a-1}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a} z^2) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a-1}))
\end{aligned}$$

Now one checks that $\sum_{a=1}^{p-2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) = \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a-1})$, so that we have

$$Z_5 = 1 + \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} (\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a-1}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a} z^2)) = 1 + \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} (\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1} z^2)).$$

This gives Proposition 4.4 (ii).

For Z_6 we again sum over cosets of Y , so that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_6 &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{h \in N \setminus H} \varepsilon_{y^i x^{p_2} z^2 h} \varepsilon_h \\
&= \sum_{i,b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i x^{p_2} z^2 x^a y^b} \varepsilon_{x^a y^b} + \sum_{i,b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i x^{p_2} z^2 x^a y^b z^2} \varepsilon_{x^a y^b z^2} \\
&= \sum_{i,b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i x^{p_2} z^2 x^a} \varepsilon_{x^a y^b} + \sum_{i,b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{y^i x^{p_2} z^2 x^a z^2} \varepsilon_{x^a y^b z^2} \\
(4.14) \quad &= \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+p_2} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+p_2}) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a z^2)
\end{aligned}$$

Now in the sums of (4.14) the only term of (4.14) having the form $\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(*)\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(*)$ that is zero, occurs when $a = p_2 + 1$, there being two such occurrences in (4.14). So (4.14) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{a=1, a \neq p_2+1}^{p-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+p_2} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + (1 + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a-p_2}))(1 + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a} z^2)) \\
&= \sum_{a=1, a \neq p_2+1}^{p-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+p_2} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \\
&\quad (1 + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a-p_2}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a} z^2) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a-p_2})) \\
&= 1 + \sum_{a=1, a \neq p_2+1}^{p-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+p_2} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \\
(4.15) \quad &\sum_{a=1, a \neq p_2+1}^{p-1} (\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a-p_2}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a} z^2) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a-p_2})).
\end{aligned}$$

We split (4.15) into three parts, so that $Z_6 = 1 + Z_{61} + Z_{62}$. Taking $a = 1, \dots, p_2$ in (4.15) will determine Z_{61} :

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{61} &= \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+p_2} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \\
(4.16) \quad &\sum_{a=1}^{p_2} (\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a-p_2}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a} z^2) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a-p_2})),
\end{aligned}$$

where we note that, since for any function f we have $\sum_{a=1}^{p_2} f(a) = \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} f(1+p_2-a)$, the degree 2 part of (4.18) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+p_2} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a-p_2}) \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+p_2} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{(p-(p_2+1-a))} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p_2+1-(p_2+1-a)}) \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+p_2} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+p_2} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

With this we now have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{61} &= \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a-p_2}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a} z^2) \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-(1+p_2-a)-p_2}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-(1+p_2-a)} z^2) \\
(4.17) \quad &= \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+p_2} z^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $a = p_2 + 2, \dots, p-1$ in (4.15) gives Z_{62} :

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{62} &= \sum_{a=2+p_2}^{p-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+p_2} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \\
(4.18) \quad & \sum_{a=2+p_2}^{p-1} (\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a-p_2}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a} z^2) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a-p_2})).
\end{aligned}$$

Again we look at the degree 2 part of (4.18):

$$(4.19) \quad \sum_{a=2+p_2}^{p-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a-p_2} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a} z^2) \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p-a-p_2}),$$

but it is easy to see that the terms in each of the sums of (4.19) are the same only listed in reverse order in the second sum, so that (4.19) is zero. Thus the degree 2

part of (4.18) is zero, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{62} &= \sum_{a=2+p_2}^{p-1} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a-p_2}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a}z^2) \\
&= \sum_{a=2}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a-2p_2}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{-a-p_2}z^2) \\
&= \sum_{a=2}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{1-a}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{1+p_2-a}z^2) \\
&= \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p_2}z^2) + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{1-a}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{1+p_2-a}z^2) \\
(4.20) \quad &= \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p_2}z^2) + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1+p_2}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a z^2).
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.17) and (4.20) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_6 &= 1 + Z_{61} + Z_{62} = 1 + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p_2}z^2) + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+p_2}z^2) \\
&\quad + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1+p_2}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a z^2),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Proposition 4.4. \square

Corollary 4.5. $Z_4 + Z_5 + Z_6 = 0$.

Proof From Proposition 4.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_4 + Z_5 + Z_6 &= \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p_2}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(xz^2) \\
&\quad + 1 + \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} (\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1}z^2)) \\
&\quad + 1 + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p_2}z^2) + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} (\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+p_2}z^2)) + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} (\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1+p_2}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a z^2)) \\
&= \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p_2}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(xz^2) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p_2}z^2) + \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} (\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1}z^2)) \\
(4.21) \quad &\quad + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} (\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+p_2}z^2)) + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} (\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1+p_2}) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a z^2)).
\end{aligned}$$

Now one checks that

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x) + \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{p_2}) + \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a) + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1+p_2}) = 0,$$

so that (4.21) now gives

$$Z_4 + Z_5 + Z_6 = \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(xz^2) + \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1}z^2) + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^a z^2) + \sum_{a=1}^{p_2} \Sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}(x^{a+1+p_2} z^2),$$

which one can similarly see is equal to zero.

Thus we have $Z_4 + Z_5 + Z_6 = 0$, concluding the proof of Corollary 4.5. \square

So from Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 4.5 we have

$$Z_x + Z_{xz^2} + Z_{x^{p_2}z^2} = \sum_{i=1}^6 Z_i = (Z_1 + Z_2 + Z_3) + (Z_4 + Z_5 + Z_6) = 1 + 0 = 1,$$

concluding the proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

Theorem 1.2 follows as we have now considered (eliminated) each of the six groups not covered by the paper of Iiams [7]. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] AbuGhneim, Omar A.; Smith, Ken W. *Tightening Turyn's bound for Hadamard difference sets*. J. Algebraic Combin. 27 (2008), no. 2, 187–203.
- [2] W. Bosma and J. Cannon, MAGMA (University of Sydney, Sydney, 1994).
- [3] H. Cohen, *A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory*, GTM, vol. 138, Springer, 1996.
- [4] J. Davis and J. Jedwab, *A survey of Hadamard difference sets*, HPL-94-14, HP Laboratories, Bristol 1994.
- [5] Davis, James A.; Polhill, John *Difference set constructions of DRADs and association schemes*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 117 (2010), no. 5, 598–605.
- [6] Courtney Hoagland, Stephen P. Humphries, Nathan Nicholson, Seth Poulsen *Difference Sets Disjoint from a Subgroup*, Graphs and Combinatorics (2019) 35, 579–597 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-019-02017-2> ORIGINAL
- [7] Iiams, J., *On difference sets in groups of order $4p^2$* , Journal of Comb. Theory A (1996) pp 256–276.
- [8] Ito, Noboru; Raposa, Blessilda P. *Nearly triply regular DRADs of RH type*. Graphs Combin. 8 (1992), no. 2, 143–153.
- [9] Ito, Noboru *Automorphism groups of DRADs*. Group theory (Singapore, 1987), 151–170, de Gruyter, Berlin, (1989).
- [10] J. Jedwab, *Perfect Arrays, Barker Arrays, and Difference Sets*, Ph.D. thesis, University of London, London, England (1991).
- [11] Kesava Menon, P. *On difference sets whose parameters satisfy a certain relation*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13, (1962) 739–745.
- [12] Kibler, Robert E. *A summary of noncyclic difference sets, $k < 20$* . J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A 25 (1978), no. 1, 62–67.
- [13] R. Kraemer, *A result on Hadamard difference sets*, J. Combin. Theory (A), Vol. 63 (1993) pp. 1–10.
- [14] McFarland, Robert L., *Difference sets in abelian groups of order $4p^2$* Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen No. 192 (1989), i–iv, 1–70.
- [15] Smith, Ken W. *Non-abelian Hadamard difference sets*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 70 (1995), no. 1, 144–156.
- [16] R. J. Turyn, *Character sums and difference sets*. Pacific J. Math., Vol. 15 (1965) pp. 319–346.
- [17] Wan, Z., *Difference sets in groups of order $4p^4$* . Beijing Dexue Xuebao Ziran Kexue Ban 36(3), 331–341 (2000).
- [18] Webster, Jordan D. *Reversible difference sets with rational idempotents*. Arab. J. Math. (Springer) 2 (2013), no. 1, 103–114.