

# Burning numbers of $t$ -unicyclic graphs

Ruiting Zhang, Yingying Yu and Huiqing Liu\*

## Abstract

Given a graph  $G$ , the burning number of  $G$  is the smallest integer  $k$  for which there are vertices  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  such that  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  is a burning sequence of  $G$ . It has been shown that the graph burning problem is NP-complete, even for trees with maximum degree three, or linear forests. A  $t$ -unicyclic graph is a unicycle graph with exactly one vertex of degree greater than 2. In this paper, we first present the bounds for the burning number of  $t$ -unicyclic graphs, and then use the burning numbers of linear forests with at most three components to determine the burning number of all  $t$ -unicyclic graphs for  $t \leq 2$ .

**Keywords:** Burning number; linear forest; unicyclic graph

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C57; 05C69

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a simple graph, and we simply write  $|V(G)| = |G|$  and  $|E(G)| = ||G||$ . For any nonnegative integer  $k$  and a vertex  $u$ , the  $k$ -th closed neighborhood of  $u$ , denoted by  $N_k[u]$ , is the set of vertices whose distance from  $u$  is at most  $k$ ; we denote  $N_1[u]$  simply by  $N[u]$ , and  $d(u) = |N(u)|$  is the degree of  $u$ . Let  $\Delta(G) = \max\{d(u) : u \in V(G)\}$ . A vertex of degree  $k$  is called a  $k$ -vertex. For two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$ , the distance between  $u$  and  $v$ , denoted by  $d_G(u, v)$ , and simply write  $d(u, v)$ , is the number of edges in a shortest path joining  $u$  and  $v$ . The eccentricity of a vertex  $v$  is the greatest distance from  $v$  to any other vertices.

A tree is a connected acyclic graph. A caterpillar is a tree where deleting all vertices of degree 1 leaves a path. Let  $SP_s(x)$  ( $s \geq 3$ ) be a generalized star which is a tree with exactly one  $s$ -vertex  $x$  of degree more than 2, and a path can be seen as an  $SP_2(x)$ .

---

\*Hubei Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, PR China. Partially supported by NSFC under grant number 11971158, email: hql\_2008@163.com.

A *rooted tree*  $T(x)$  is a tree with one vertex  $x$  designated as the *root*. The *height* of a rooted tree  $T(x)$  is the eccentricity of  $x$ . A *rooted tree partition* of  $G$  is a collection of rooted trees that are subgraphs of  $G$ , with the property that the vertex sets of the trees partition  $V(G)$ .

A unicyclic graph  $G$  is a connected graph with  $||G|| = |G|$ . A *t-unicyclic graph*  $U_t(x)$  ( $t \geq 1$ ) is a unicyclic graph in which there exists exactly one  $(t + 2)$ -vertex  $x$  of degree more than 2. A cycle can be seen as a 0-unicyclic graph. We denote a *path/cycle/star* of order  $n$  by  $P_n/C_n/S_n$ .

Let  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k$  be  $k$ -vertex disjoint graphs. The union of graphs  $G_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ), denoted by  $G_1 + \dots + G_k$ , is the graph with vertex set  $\cup_{i=1}^k V(G_i)$  and edge set  $\cup_{i=1}^k E(G_i)$ . For any positive integer  $k$ , let  $[k]$  denote the set  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1\}$ .

Bonato-Janssen-Roshanbin [6] defined *graph burning* involving a graph process as follows. Initially, at time round  $t = 0$  all vertices are unburned. During each time round  $t \geq 1$ , one new unburned vertex is chosen to burn (if such a vertex exists): burned vertex remains burned until the end of the process and its unburned neighbors becomes burned. The process ends when all vertices are burned. A graph is called *k-burnable* if it can be burned by at most  $k$  rounds. The *burning number* of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $b(G)$ , is the smallest integer  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $k$ -burnable. The vertices that are chosen to be burned are referred to as a *burning sequence*, and a shortest such sequence is called *optimal*. Note that any optimal burning sequences have length  $b(G)$ . Figure 1 illustrates an optimal burning sequence the path  $C_4$  (resp.,  $C_5$ ) with vertices  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  (resp.,  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ ).




Figure 1. Burning  $C_4$  and  $C_5$  (the open circles represent burned vertices)

Burning can be viewed as a simplified model for the spread of social influence in a social network such as Facebook or Twitter [15], which is motivated by two other well-known models: the competitive diffusion game [1] and Firefighting [10]. It turned out that graph burning is related to several other graph theory problems such as graph bootstrap percolation [2], and graph domination [12]. Recently, the graph burning problem attracted the attention of many researchers and some results are achieved, see [11, 14, 16, 18], [20]-[22], [24]). For more on graph burning and graph searching, see [5] and [9]. The graph burning problem is NP-complete, even for trees with maximum

degree three, or linear forests (i.e., a disjoint union of paths), see [3, 4, 23]. So it is interesting to compute the burning number of some special classes of graphs.

Roshanbin [23] gave the following criterion for a graph to have burning number 2.

**Theorem 1.1** [23] *A graph  $G$  of order  $n$  satisfies  $b(G) = 2$  if and only if  $|G| \geq 2$  and  $n - 2 \leq \Delta(G) \leq n - 1$ .*

Bonato *et al.* in [6] studied the burning number of paths and cycles.

**Theorem 1.2** [6] *For a path  $P_n$  or a cycle  $C_n$ , we have that  $b(P_n) = b(C_n) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ . Moreover, if a graph  $G$  has a Hamiltonian path, then  $b(G) \leq \lceil \sqrt{|G|} \rceil$ .*

A graph  $G$  of order  $n$  is *well-burnable* if  $b(G) \leq \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ . Bonato *et al.* [6] conjectured that all connected graphs are well-burnable, and they showed that  $P_n$  and  $C_n$  are well-burnable. As showed in [17] and independently in [13], the caterpillar is well-burnable. Bonato and Lidbetter [8] proved that the generalized star is also well-burnable. Moreover, Tan and Teh [25] showed that the bound  $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$  is tight for generalized stars.

**Theorem 1.3** [7, 25] *Let  $G$  be a generalized star of order  $n$ , then  $b(G) \leq \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ .*

Recently, Liu *et. al* [19] determined the burning numbers of linear forests with at most three components.

**Theorem 1.4** [19] *Let  $G = P_{a_1} + P_{a_2}$  with  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq 1$ , and let  $J(t) = \{(t^2 - 2, 2) : t \geq 2 \text{ is an integer}\}$ , then*

$$b(G) = \begin{cases} \lceil \sqrt{a_1 + a_2} \rceil + 1, & \text{if } (a_1, a_2) \in J(t); \\ \lceil \sqrt{a_1 + a_2} \rceil, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $J^1 = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3) : (a_2, a_3) \in D_1, \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i = t^2 - 3 \text{ for some } t\}$ ,

$J^2 = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3) : (a_2, a_3) \in D_1 \cup D_2, \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i = t^2 - 2 \text{ for some } t\}$ ,

$J^3 = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3) : (a_2, a_3) \in \cup_{i=1}^3 D_i \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i = t^2 - 1 \text{ for some } t\} \cup \{(11, 11, 2)\}$ ,

$J^4 = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3) : a_3 = 2 \text{ or } (a_2, a_3) \in \cup_{i=1}^4 D_i, \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i = t^2 \text{ for some } t\}$ .

$J^5 = \{(13, 11, 1), (11, 11, 3), (22, 13, 1), (19, 13, 4), (17, 13, 6), (15, 13, 8),$   
 $(13, 13, 10), (17, 15, 4), (15, 15, 6), (30, 15, 4), (28, 15, 6), (26, 15, 8),$   
 $(19, 15, 15), (28, 17, 4), (26, 17, 6), (17, 17, 15), (26, 19, 4), (43, 17, 4),$   
 $(41, 17, 6), (30, 17, 17), (41, 19, 4), (30, 30, 4), (58, 19, 4)\}$ ,

where  $D_1 = \{(2, 2)\}$ ,  $D_2 = \{(3, 2)\}$ ,  $D_3 = \{(1, 1), (3, 3), (4, 2), (5, 5)\}$  and  $D_4 = \{(2, 1), (4, 1), (4, 3), (4, 4), (6, 1), (6, 4), (6, 5), (6, 6), (7, 7), (8, 4), (8, 6), (10, 4)\}$ .

**Theorem 1.5** [19] Let  $G = P_{a_1} + P_{a_2} + P_{a_3}$  with  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \geq 1$ . Then

$$b(G) = \begin{cases} \lceil \sqrt{a_1 + a_2 + a_3} \rceil + 1, & \text{if } (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in J^1 \cup J^2 \cup J^3 \cup J^4 \cup J^5; \\ \lceil \sqrt{a_1 + a_2 + a_3} \rceil, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $U_g^{a_1, \dots, a_t}$  be a *unicyclic graph* of order  $n$  obtained from a cycle  $C_g = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_g v_1$  by attaching  $t$  paths of lengths  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t$  at the vertex  $v_g$ , where  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_t \geq 1$  (see Figure 2). Then  $P_{a_i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ) are called the *arms*. Denote  $\mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(t)} = \{U_g^{a_1, \dots, a_t} : n = g + \sum_{i=1}^t a_i\}$  for  $1 \leq t \leq n - g$ .




Figure 2.  $U_g^{a_1, \dots, a_t}$

In this paper, we show that the burning number of all unicyclic graphs in  $\mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(t)}$  of order  $n = q^2 + r$  with  $1 \leq t \leq 2$  is either  $q$  or  $q + 1$ , where  $1 \leq r \leq 2q + 1$ . Furthermore, we find all the sufficient conditions for all unicyclic graphs in  $\mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(t)}$  ( $1 \leq t \leq 2$ ) to have burning number  $q$  or  $q + 1$ , respectively.

## 2 Preliminaries

We first cite the following results about graph burning.

**Theorem 2.1** [6, 7, 23] In a graph  $G$ , the sequence  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  forms a burning sequence if and only if, for each pair  $i$  and  $j$ , with  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ ,  $d(x_i, x_j) \geq j - i$ , and the following set equation holds:  $N_{k-1}[x_1] \cup N_{k-2}[x_2] \cup \dots \cup N_1[x_{k-1}] \cup N_0[x_k] = V(G)$ .

**Theorem 2.2** [7] Burning a graph  $G$  in  $k$  steps is equivalent to finding a rooted tree partition  $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k\}$ , with heights at most  $(k - 1), (k - 2), \dots, 0$ , respectively, such that for every  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ , the distance between the roots of  $T_i$  and  $T_j$  is at least  $|i - j|$ .

**Lemma 2.3** [7] For a graph  $G$ , we have  $b(G) = \min\{b(T) : T \text{ is a spanning tree of } G\}$ .

A subgraph  $H$  of a graph  $G$  is called an *isometric subgraph* if for every pair of vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in  $H$ , we have that  $d_H(u, v) = d_G(u, v)$ .

**Lemma 2.4** [7, 23] For any isometric subtree  $H$  of a graph  $G$ , we have  $b(H) \leq b(G)$ .

The following assertions will be used in the proof of our main results.

**Proposition 2.5** Let  $w \in V(SP_s(x))$  ( $s \geq 3$ ) with  $d(x, w) = t$ . If the height of  $SP_s(x)$  with  $w$  as the root is at most  $i$ , then  $|SP_s(x)| \leq 2i + 1 + (s - 2)(i - t)$ . Moreover,

(i) if  $|SP_s(x)| = si + 1$ , then  $x = w$  and  $SP_s(x) - x = sP_i$ ;

(ii) if  $|SP_s(x)| = si$ , then  $x = w$  and  $SP_s(x) - x = (s - 1)P_i + P_{i-1}$ , or  $d(x, w) = 1$ ,  $s = 3$  and  $SP_s(x) - \{x, w\} = P_i + 2P_{i-1}$ ;

(iii) if  $|SP_s(x)| = si - 1$ , then either  $x = w$  and  $SP_s(x) - x \in \{(s - 1)P_i + P_{i-2}, (s - 2)P_i + 2P_{i-1}\}$ , or  $d(x, w) = 2$ ,  $s = 3$  and  $SP_s(x) - \{x, y, w\} = P_i + 2P_{i-2}$  where  $xyw$  is a path of length 2, or  $d(x, w) = 1$ ,  $s = 4$  and  $SP_s(x) - \{x, w\} = P_i + 3P_{i-1}$ , or  $d(x, w) = 1$ ,  $s = 3$  and  $SP_s(x) - \{x, w\} = P_i + P_{i-1} + P_{i-2}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x_j$  be a vertex of degree 1 of  $SP_s(x)$ , where  $1 \leq j \leq s$ . Note that  $d(w, z) \leq i$  for any  $z \in V(SP_s(x))$ . Hence

$$|SP_s(x)| \leq i + t + 1 + (s - 1)(i - t) = 2i + 1 + (s - 2)(i - t). \quad (*)$$

(i) If  $|SP_s(x)| = si + 1$ , then by (\*),  $t = 0$ , and then  $d(w, x_j) = i$  for  $1 \leq j \leq s$ , that is,  $x = w$  and  $SP_s(x) - x = sP_i$ .

(ii) If  $|SP_s(x)| = si$ , then by (\*),  $(s - 2)t \leq 1$  which implies  $t \leq 1$  as  $s \geq 3$ , moreover, if  $t = 1$ , then  $s = 3$ , that is  $d(x, w) = 1$ , and then  $SP_s(x) - \{x, w\} = P_i + 2P_{i-1}$ . If  $t = 0$ , that is  $x = w$ , then there exists some  $j_0$  with  $1 \leq j_0 \leq s$  such that  $d(w, x_{j_0}) = i - 1$  and  $d(w, x_j) = i$  for  $1 \leq j \leq s$  and  $j \neq j_0$ , thus  $SP_s(x) - x = (s - 1)P_i + P_{i-1}$ .

(iii) If  $|SP_s(x)| = si - 1$ , then by (\*),  $(s - 2)t \leq 2$  which implies  $t \leq 2$  as  $s \geq 3$ . If  $t = 2$ , then  $s = 3$ , and then  $d(x, w) = 2$  and  $SP_s(x) - \{x, y, w\} = P_i + 2P_{i-2}$ , where  $xyw$  is a path of length 2. If  $t = 1$ , then  $3 \leq s \leq 4$ , that is  $d(x, w) = 1$ , and then  $SP_s(x) - \{x, w\} = P_i + 3P_{i-1}$  for  $s = 4$ , and  $SP_s(x) - \{x, w\} = P_i + P_{i-1} + P_{i-2}$  for  $s = 3$ . If  $t = 0$ , then  $x = w$  and  $SP_s(x) - x \in \{(s - 1)P_i + P_{i-2}, (s - 2)P_i + 2P_{i-1}\}$ . ■

For any  $G \in \mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(t)}$  with  $\Delta(G) = d(v_g) = t + 2$  and  $d(v) \leq 2$  for any  $v \neq v_g$ , we always suppose that  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  is an optimal burning sequence for  $G$ . By Theorem 2.2, there exists a rooted tree partition  $\{T_1(x_1), T_2(x_2), \dots, T_k(x_k)\}$  of  $G$  with heights at most  $(k - 1), (k - 2), \dots, 0$ , respectively. Then  $T_i(x_i) = P_{l_i}$  with  $l_i \leq 2(k - i) + 1$ , or  $T_i(x_i) = SP_s(v_g)$  with  $3 \leq s \leq t + 2$ . Assume  $v_g \in V(T_{k-i_0}(x_{k-i_0}))$  for some  $i_0 \in [k]$ . Then by Proposition 2.5,  $|T_{k-i_0}(x_{k-i_0})| \leq 2i_0 + 1 + (s - 2)(i_0 - p_{i_0})$  with  $s \leq t + 2$  and

$d(v_g, x_{k-i_0}) = p_{i_0} \geq 0$ , and then  $|T_{k-i}(x_{k-i})| \leq 2i + 1$  for  $i \in [k] \setminus \{i_0\}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \sum_{i=1}^k |T_i(x_i)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (2i + 1) - (2i_0 + 1) + 2i_0 + 1 + (s - 2)(i_0 - p_{i_0}) \\ &= k^2 + (s - 2)(i_0 - p_{i_0}) \leq k^2 + t(k - 1), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where the last inequality follows from  $p_{i_0} \geq 0$ ,  $s \leq t + 2$  and  $i_0 \leq k - 1$ .

Note that  $C_g$  is the unique cycle of  $G$ , and hence  $H := G - e$  is a generalized star for any  $e \in E(C_g)$ . By Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 1.3,  $b(G) \leq b(H) \leq \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ . So, by (1), we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.6** *For any  $G \in \mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(t)}$ , we have*

$$\left\lceil \sqrt{n + \frac{t^2 + 4t}{4}} - \frac{t}{2} \right\rceil \leq b(G) \leq \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil. \quad (2)$$

If  $t \leq 2$ , then by (1),  $b(G) \geq \lceil \sqrt{n+3} \rceil - 1 \geq \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil - 1$ . Therefore, we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.7** *Let  $G \in \mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(t)}$  with  $n = q^2 + r$  ( $1 \leq r \leq 2q + 1$ ). If  $1 \leq t \leq 2$ , then  $q \leq b(G) \leq q + 1$ .*

### 3 Burning number of unicyclic graphs in $\mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(1)}$

In this section,  $\mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(1)} = \{U_g^{n-g}\}$ . Let  $V(U_g^{n-g}) = \{v_1, \dots, v_g, w_1, \dots, w_{n-g}\}$  with  $w_0 = v_g$  and  $d(v_g, w_i) = i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n - g$ . By Theorem 1.1, if  $4 \leq n \leq 5$ ,  $b(G) = 2$  for any  $G \in \mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(1)}$ . So we can assume  $n \geq 6$ . Then  $b(G) \geq 3$  for  $G \in \mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(1)}$ .

#### 3.1 Unicyclic graphs in $\mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(1)}$ with burning number $q$

In this subsection, we will give some sufficient conditions for the unicyclic graphs in  $\mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(1)}$  to have burning number  $q \geq 3$ . Recall that  $d(v_g) = 3$  and  $n = q^2 + r$  with  $1 \leq r \leq 2q + 1$ . Denote  $\mathcal{A} = \{(2q + 1, q^2 - q - 2), (q^2 - 2, q + 1)\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *Suppose that  $1 \leq r \leq q - 1$ . If  $2r + 1 \leq g \leq q^2$  and  $(g, n - g) \notin \mathcal{A}$ , then  $b(U_g^{n-g}) \leq q$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $G_w := U_g^{n-g} - N_{q-1}[w]$  for  $w \in V(U_g^{n-g})$ . If  $b(G_w) \leq q-1$ , then  $b(U_g^{n-g}) \leq q$  by Theorem 2.1. Hence we can assume  $b(G_w) \geq q$  for any  $w \in V(U_g^{n-g})$ .

Let  $g' := \lfloor \frac{q-1}{2} \rfloor$ . Then  $r \leq g'$  as  $g \geq 2r+1$ . If  $g \leq 2q-1$ , then we can assume  $n-g' \geq 2q$ . Otherwise,  $V(U_g^{n-g}) \subseteq N_{q-1}[w_{q-g+g'}]$ , then  $b(U_g^{n-g}) \leq q$  by Theorem 2.1. So  $G_{w_{q-g+g'}} = P_{n-g'-2q+1}$  with  $n-g'-2q+1 = q^2+r-g'-2q+1 \leq (q-1)^2$  as  $r \leq g'$ , and thus, by Theorem 1.2,  $b(G_{w_{q-g+g'}}) \leq q-1$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $g \geq 2q$ .

If  $n-g \leq q-1$ , then  $G_{v_g} = P_{g-2q+1}$  and  $|G_{v_g}| \leq (q-1)^2$  as  $g \leq q^2$ , and then by Theorem 1.2,  $b(G_{v_g}) \leq q-1$ , a contradiction. So  $n-g \geq q$ , and then  $G_{v_g} = P_{g-2q+1} + P_{n-g-q+1}$  with  $|G_{v_g}| = q^2+r-3q+2 \leq (q-1)^2$  as  $r \leq q-1$ . Note that  $(g, n-g) \notin \mathcal{A}$ , and hence  $2 \notin \{n-g-q+1, g-2q+1\}$ . By Theorem 1.4,  $b(G_{v_g}) = q-1$ , a contradiction. ■

By Corollary 2.7 and Lemma 3.1, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.2** *Suppose that  $U_g^{n-g}$  is a unicyclic graph of order  $n = q^2 + r$  with  $1 \leq r \leq q-1$ . If  $2r+1 \leq g \leq q^2$  and  $(g, n-g) \notin \mathcal{A}$ , then  $b(U_g^{n-g}) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil - 1 = q$ .*

### 3.2 Unicyclic graphs in $\mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(1)}$ with burning number $q+1$

In this subsection, we will characterize the graphs in  $\mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(1)}$  with burning number  $q+1$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(1)} = \{U_g^{n-g}\}$  and  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  is an optimal burning sequence for  $U_g^{n-g}$ . By Corollary 2.7, we only need to give some sufficient conditions for  $U_g^{n-g}$  to satisfy  $k \geq q+1$ .

**Lemma 3.3** *If  $r \geq q$ , then  $k \geq q+1$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $r \geq q$ , we have

$$n + \frac{5}{4} = q^2 + r + \frac{5}{4} \geq q^2 + q + \frac{5}{4} = (q + \frac{1}{2})^2 + 1.$$

Then  $\sqrt{n + \frac{5}{4}} > q + \frac{1}{2}$ , i.e.,  $\lceil \sqrt{n + \frac{5}{4}} - \frac{1}{2} \rceil \geq q+1$ , and so, by (2),  $k \geq q+1$ . ■

**Lemma 3.4** *If  $g \leq 2r$ , then  $k \geq q+1$ .*

**Proof.** Note that  $H := P_{n-\lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor}$  is an isometric subtree of  $U_g^{n-g}$ . Since  $g \leq 2r$ , we have  $\lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor \leq r-1$ . Then  $|H| = q^2 + r - \lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor \geq q^2 + 1$ , and hence, by Theorem 1.2,  $b(H) \geq q+1$ . By Lemma 2.4, we have  $k \geq b(H) \geq q+1$ . ■

**Lemma 3.5** *If  $g \geq q^2 + 1$ , then  $k \geq q+1$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $g \geq q^2 + 1$ ,  $n - g = q^2 + r - g \leq r - 1$ . Recall that  $v_g \in V(T_{k-i_0}(x_{k-i_0}))$ . If  $x_{k-i_0} \in V(C_g)$ , then  $|T_{k-i_0}(x_{k-i_0})| \leq 2i_0 + 1 + (n - g)$ ; and if  $x_{k-i_0} \notin V(C_g)$ , then  $|T_{k-i_0}(x_{k-i_0})| \leq 2(i_0 - p_{i_0}) + 1 + (n - g) \leq 2i_0 + 1 + (n - g)$ . Hence, by (1),  $n = q^2 + r \leq k^2 + n - g \leq k^2 + r - 1$ , that is  $k^2 \geq q^2 + 1$ . Thus  $k \geq q + 1$ . ■

**Lemma 3.6** *If  $(g, n - g) \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $k \geq q + 1$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $(g, n - g) \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $g \in \{2q + 1, q^2 - 2\}$  and  $n = q^2 + q - 1$ . If  $n \leq k^2 + k - 2$ , then  $k \geq q + 1$  clearly. So we can assume  $n \geq k^2 + k - 1$ . Then by (1),  $n = q^2 + q - 1 = k^2 + k - 1$ , which implies  $k = q$ ,  $i_0 = k - 1 = q - 1$ ,  $d(x_{k-i_0}, v_g) = 0$  (that is  $x_1 = v_g$ ),  $|T_{k-i_0}(x_{k-i_0})| = 3(q - 1) + 1$  and  $|T_{k-i}(x_{k-i})| = 2i + 1$  for all  $i \neq q - 1$ . Hence, by Proposition 2.5,  $T_1(x_1) - v_g = 3P_{q-1}$ , and thus,  $U_g^{n-g} - N_{q-1}[v_g] = P_{g-2q+1} + P_{n-g-q+1}$ . Note that  $g \in \{2q + 1, q^2 - 2\}$ , and hence  $2 \in \{g - 2q + 1, n - g - q + 1\}$ , which is a contradiction with  $|T_{k-i}(x_{k-i})| = 2i + 1$  for all  $i \neq q - 1$ . Hence  $k \geq q + 1$ . ■

By Corollary 2.7 and Lemmas 3.3-3.6, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.7** *Suppose that  $U_g^{n-g}$  is a unicyclic graph of order  $n = q^2 + r$ . If  $q \leq r \leq 2q + 1$ , or  $g \geq q^2 + 1$ , or  $g \leq 2r$ , or  $(g, n - g) \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $b(U_g^{n-g}) = q + 1$ .*

**Note 1.** By Theorems 3.2 and 3.7,  $b(U_g^{n-g})$  are listed in Table 1.

$r$	$g$	$b(U_g^{n-g})$
$1 \leq r \leq q - 1$	$g \geq q^2 + 1$ or $g \leq 2r$ or $(g, n - g) \in \mathcal{A}$	$q + 1$
	$2r + 1 \leq g \leq q^2$ and $(g, n - g) \notin \mathcal{A}$	$q$
$q \leq r \leq 2q + 1$		$q + 1$

Table 1: Burning number of  $U_g^{n-g}$

## 4 Burning number of unicyclic graphs in $\mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(2)}$

Recall that  $\mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(2)} = \{U_g^{a_1, a_2} : a_1 + a_2 = n - g\}$  with  $a_1 \geq a_2$ , and  $\Delta(G) = d(v_g) = 4$  for  $G \in \mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(2)}$ . By Theorem 1.1,  $b(G) = 2$  if  $G \in \{\mathcal{U}_{5,g}^{(2)}, \mathcal{U}_{6,g}^{(2)}\}$ . Hence we may assume  $n \geq 7$  and  $b(G) \geq 3$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(2)}$ . Let  $V(U_g^{a_1, a_2}) = \{v_1, \dots, v_g, u_1, \dots, u_{a_1}, w_1, \dots, w_{a_2}\}$  with  $d(v_g, u_i) = i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq a_1$  and  $d(v_g, w_j) = j$  for  $0 \leq j \leq a_2$ , where  $u_0 = w_0 = v_g$ . Let  $n = q^2 + r$  with  $1 \leq r \leq 2q + 1$ . Then  $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil = q + 1$ .



## 4.1 Unicyclic graphs in $\mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(2)}$ with burning number $q$

In this subsection, we will give some sufficient conditions for the unicyclic graphs in  $\mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(2)}$  to have burning number  $q$ . By Theorem 2.1, we only need to show that  $b(U_g^{a_1, a_2}) \leq q$ , or find a burning sequence  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_q)$  so that  $V(U_g^{a_1, a_2}) \subseteq N_{q-1}[x_1] \cup \dots \cup N_1[x_{q-1}] \cup N_0[x_q]$ . Denote  $\mathcal{B} := \{(2q-2, q^2-q-2, q+1), (2q-1, q^2-q-2, q+1)\}$ .

Let  $v \in V(U_g^{a_1, a_2})$ , and let  $H(v) := U_g^{a_1, a_2} - N_{q-1}[v]$ . If  $b(H(v)) \leq q-1$ , then  $b(U_g^{a_1, a_2}) \leq q$  by Theorem 2.1. Hence we can assume  $b(H(v)) \geq q$  for any  $v \in V(U_g^{a_1, a_2})$ .

**Lemma 4.1** *Suppose that  $1 \leq r \leq 2q-2$ . If  $r+1 \leq g \leq 2q-1$ ,  $a_1 \leq q^2 - \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor - 1$  and  $(g, a_1, a_2) \notin \mathcal{B}$ , then  $b(U_g^{a_1, a_2}) \leq q$ .*

**Proof.** If  $a_2 \leq \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$ , then  $H(u_{q-1-\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor}) = P_{a_1-2(q-1)+\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor}$  and  $|H(u_{q-1-\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor})| \leq q^2 - \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor - 1 - 2q + 2 + \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor = (q-1)^2$ , and then by Theorem 1.2,  $b(H(u_{q-1-\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor})) \leq q-1$ , a contradiction.

So  $a_2 \geq \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor + 1$ . Then  $H(u_{q-1-\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor}) = P_{a'_1} + P_{a'_2}$  with  $a'_1 = a_1 - 2(q-1) + \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$ ,  $a'_2 = a_2 - \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$  and  $a'_1 + a'_2 = q^2 + r - g - (2q-2) \leq (q-1)^2$  as  $r+1 \leq g$ . By our assumption and Theorem 1.4, we have  $\min\{a'_1, a'_2\} = 2$ ,  $g = r+1$  and  $a'_1 + a'_2 = (q-1)^2$ .

If  $a'_1 = 2$ , then  $a'_2 = q^2 - 2q - 1$ , i.e.,  $a_1 = 2q - \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$  and  $a_2 = q^2 - 2q - 1 + \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$ . Since  $a_1 \geq a_2$ , we have  $q^2 - 4q - 1 + 2\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor \leq 0$ , which implies  $q = 3$  and  $g \leq 5$ . Since  $(g, a_1, a_2) \notin \mathcal{B}$ , i.e.,  $(g, a_1, a_2) \notin \{(4, 4, 4), (5, 4, 4)\}$  for  $q = 3$ . Hence  $g = 3$ , and then  $n = 11$ ,  $a_1 = 5$  and  $a_2 = 3$ . Then  $H(w_1) = P_4$ , and then by Theorem 1.2,  $b(H(w_1)) = 2 = q-1$ , a contradiction.

If  $a'_2 = 2$ , then  $a_1 = q^2 - 3 - \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$  and  $a_2 = \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor + 2$ . If  $g \leq 2q-3$ , then  $g-1 \leq 2(2q-4-\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor)$ , and then  $H(w_{\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor - q + 3}) = P_{a''_1}$  with  $a''_1 = a_1 - (2q-4-\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor) = (q-1)^2$ . By Theorem 1.2,  $b(H(w_{\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor - q + 3})) = q-1$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $2q-2 \leq g \leq 2q-1$ , furthermore,  $a_1 = q^2 - q - 2$  and  $a_2 = q+1$ , a contradiction with  $(g, a_1, a_2) \notin \mathcal{B}$ . ■

Let  $B_1 := \{(q^2-2, q, q), (q^2-6, q+2, q+2), (q^2-10, q+4, q+4), (q^2-3, q+1, q), (q^2-5, q+3, q), (q^2-7, q+3, q+2), (q^2-8, q+3, q+3), (q^2-7, q+5, q), (q^2-10, q+5, q+3), (q^2-11, q+5, q+4), (q^2-12, q+5, q+5), (q^2-14, q+6, q+6), (q^2-12, q+7, q+3), (q^2-14, q+7, q+5), (q^2-14, q+9, q+3)\}$ .

$B_2 := \{(2q, q^2-q-2, q), (2q+2, q^2-q-6, q+2), (2q+4, q^2-q-10, q+4), (2q+1, q^2-q-3, q), (2q+3, q^2-q-5, q), (2q+3, q^2-q-7, q+2), (2q+3, q^2-q-8, q+3), (2q+5, q^2-q-7, q), (2q+5, q^2-q-10, q+3), (2q+5, q^2-q-11, q+4), (2q+5, q^2-q-12, q+5), (2q+6, q^2-q-14, q+6), (2q+7, q^2-q-12, q+3), (2q+7, q^2-q-14, q+5), (2q+9, q^2-q-14, q+3)\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
B_3 &:= \{(2q, q^2 - q - 3, q + 1), (2q, q^2 - q - 5, q + 3), (2q + 2, q^2 - q - 7, q + 3), \\
&\quad (2q, q^2 - q - 7, q + 5), (2q + 3, q^2 - q - 10, q + 5), (2q + 4, q^2 - q - 11, q + 5), (2q \\
&\quad + 3, q^2 - q - 12, q + 7), (2q + 5, q^2 - q - 14, q + 7), (2q + 3, q^2 - q - 14, q + 9)\}. \\
B_4 &:= \{(24, 16, 6), (22, 16, 8), (35, 19, 7), (32, 19, 10), (30, 19, 12), (28, 19, 14), \\
&\quad (26, 19, 16), (30, 21, 10), (28, 21, 12), (45, 22, 11), (43, 22, 13), (41, 22, 15), \\
&\quad (34, 22, 22), (43, 24, 11), (41, 24, 13), (32, 24, 22), (41, 26, 11), (60, 25, 12), \\
&\quad (58, 25, 14), (47, 25, 25), (58, 27, 12), (47, 38, 12), (77, 28, 13)\}. \\
B_5 &:= \{(22, 18, 6), (22, 16, 8), (26, 28, 7), (26, 25, 10), (26, 23, 12), (26, 21, 14), \\
&\quad (26, 19, 16), (28, 23, 10), (28, 21, 12), (30, 37, 11), (30, 35, 13), (30, 33, 15), \\
&\quad (30, 26, 22), (32, 35, 11), (32, 33, 13), (32, 24, 22), (34, 33, 11), (34, 51, 12), \\
&\quad (34, 49, 14), (34, 38, 25), (36, 49, 12), (47, 38, 12), (38, 67, 13)\}. \\
B_6 &:= \{(12, 18, 16), (14, 16, 16), (14, 28, 19), (17, 25, 19), (19, 23, 19), (21, 21, 19), \\
&\quad (23, 19, 19), (17, 23, 21), (19, 21, 21), (19, 37, 22), (21, 35, 22), (23, 33, 22), \\
&\quad (30, 26, 22), (19, 35, 24), (21, 33, 24), (30, 24, 24), (19, 33, 26), (21, 51, 25), \\
&\quad (23, 49, 25), (34, 38, 25), (21, 49, 27), (21, 38, 38), (23, 67, 28)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote  $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{(2q + 1, q^2 - q - 2, r - q + 1), (q^2 - 2, q + 1, r - q + 1)\}$ ;

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_2 &= \{(q^2 - 7, q + 2, q + 1), (2q + 1, q^2 - q - 6, q + 1), (2q + 1, q^2 - q - 7, q + 2)\}; \\
\mathcal{C}_3 &= \{(q^2 - 3, q, q), (q^2 - 5, q + 1, q + 1), (q^2 - 6, q + 2, q + 1), (q^2 - 7, q + 2, q + 2), \\
&\quad (q^2 - 7, q + 3, q + 1), (q^2 - 11, q + 4, q + 4), (2q + 4, q^2 - q - 11, q + 4), \\
&\quad (2q + 1, q^2 - q - 5, q + 1), (2q + 1, q^2 - q - 6, q + 2), (2q + 1, q^2 - q - 7, q + 3), \\
&\quad (2q + 2, q^2 - q - 6, q + 1), (2q + 2, q^2 - q - 7, q + 2), (2q + 3, q^2 - q - 7, q + 1), \\
&\quad (2q, q^2 - q - 3, q), (22, 16, 7), (13, 16, 16)\}; \\
\mathcal{C}_4 &= \{(g, a_1, a_2) : n = q^2 + 2q - 2, g - q \geq a_1 \geq a_2 = q + 1\} \cup B_1 \cup B_4; \\
\mathcal{C}_5 &= \{(g, a_1, a_2) : n = q^2 + 2q - 2, a_1 \geq g - q \geq a_2 = q + 1\} \cup B_2 \cup B_5; \\
\mathcal{C}_6 &= \{(g, a_1, a_2) : n = q^2 + 2q - 2, a_1 \geq a_2 \geq g - q = q + 1\} \cup B_3 \cup B_6.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $g \geq 2q + 2$ ,  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq q$ , we let  $\{m_1, m_2, m_3\} = \{g - 2q + 1, a_1 - q + 1, a_2 - q + 1\}$  with  $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq m_3 \geq 1$ , then  $m_3 \neq a_1 - q + 1$  as  $a_1 \geq a_2$ .

**Lemma 4.2** *Suppose that  $1 \leq r \leq 2q - 2$ . If  $2q \leq g \leq q^2$ ,  $a_2 \geq r - q + 1$  and  $(g, a_1, a_2) \notin \cup_{i=1}^6 \mathcal{C}_i$ , then  $b(U_g^{a_1, a_2}) \leq q$ .*

**Proof.** If  $a_2 \leq q - 1$ , then  $a_1 \geq q$  (otherwise,  $H(v_g) = P_{g-2q+1}$  with  $|H(v_g)| \leq (q - 1)^2$  as  $2q \leq g \leq q^2$ , then by Theorem 1.2,  $b(H(v_g)) \leq q - 1$ , a contradiction). So  $H(v_g) = P_{g-2q+1} + P_{a_1-q+1}$  with  $|H(v_g)| = q^2 + r - 3(q - 1) - 1 - a_2 \leq (q - 1)^2$  as  $a_2 \geq r - q + 1$ . By our assumption and Theorem 1.4,  $a_2 = r - q + 1$  and  $2 \in \{g - 2q + 1, a_1 - q + 1\}$ , which implies  $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{C}_1$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $a_2 \geq q$ . Then  $H(v_g) = P_{m_1} + P_{m_2} + P_{m_3}$  with  $|H(v_g)| = q^2 + r - 4q + 3$ . Note that  $|H(w_1)| = |H(v_g)| + 2$ .

If  $r \leq 2q - 6$ , then  $|H(v_g)| \leq (q-1)^2 - 4$ , and then  $b(H(v_g)) = q-1$  by Theorem 1.5, a contradiction.

If  $r = 2q - 5$ , then  $|H(v_g)| = (q-1)^2 - 3$ , and  $(m_2, m_3) = (2, 2)$  by our assumption and Theorem 1.5. Then  $m_1 \geq 2$  and  $a_2 - q + 1 = 2$ , and then  $H(w_1) \in \{P_{m_1+2} + P_3 + P_1, P_4 + P_{m_1+1} + P_1\}$  with  $|H(w_1)| = (q-1)^2 - 1$ , and thus, by Theorem 1.5,  $b(H(w_1)) = q-1$ , a contradiction.

If  $r = 2q - 4$ , then  $|H(v_g)| = (q-1)^2 - 2$ , and  $(m_2, m_3) \in \{(2, 2), (3, 2)\}$  by our assumption and Theorem 1.5. If  $m_3 = g - 2q + 1 = 2$ , then  $m_2 = a_2 - q + 1 \in \{2, 3\}$  as  $a_1 \geq a_2$ , which implies  $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{C}_2$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $m_3 = a_2 - q + 1$ . If  $m_2 = a_1 - q + 1$ , then  $m_2 = 2$  (otherwise,  $a_1 - q + 1 = 3$ , and then  $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{C}_2$ , a contradiction), and then  $m_1 = (q-1)^2 - 6 \geq 3$ . Note that  $H(w_1) = P_{m_1+2} + P_3 + P_1$  with  $|H(w_1)| = (q-1)^2$ , and hence, by Theorem 1.5,  $b(G) = q-1$ , a contradiction. So  $m_2 = g - 2q + 1$ , furthermore,  $g - 2q + 1 = 3$  (otherwise,  $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{C}_2$ , a contradiction), and thus  $H(w_1) = P_{m_1+1} + P_5 + P_1$  with  $m_1 = (q-1)^2 - 7 \geq 9$  and  $|H(w_1)| = (q-1)^2$ . By Theorem 1.5,  $b(H(w_1)) = q-1$ , a contradiction.

If  $r = 2q - 3$ , then  $|H(v_g)| = (q-1)^2 - 1$ , by our assumption and Theorem 1.5,  $(m_1, m_2, m_3) \in J^3$ , which implies  $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{C}_3$ , a contradiction.

If  $r = 2q - 2$ , then  $|H(v_g)| = (q-1)^2$ , and then by our assumption and Theorem 1.5, we have  $(m_1, m_2, m_3) \in J^4 \cup J^5$ , which implies  $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{C}_4 \cup \mathcal{C}_5 \cup \mathcal{C}_6$ , a contradiction. ■

By Corollary 2.7 and Lemmas 4.1-4.2, we have

**Theorem 4.3** *Suppose that  $U_g^{a_1, a_2}$  ( $a_1 \geq a_2$ ) is a unicyclic graph of order  $n = q^2 + r$  ( $1 \leq r \leq 2q + 1$ ). If  $1 \leq r \leq 2q - 2$ ,  $r + 1 \leq g \leq 2q - 1$ ,  $a_1 \leq q^2 - \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor - 1$ ,  $(g, a_1, a_2) \notin \mathcal{B}$  or  $2q \leq g \leq q^2$ ,  $a_2 \geq r - q + 1$  and  $(g, a_1, a_2) \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^6 \mathcal{C}_i$ , then  $b(U_g^{a_1, a_2}) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil - 1 = q$ .*

## 4.2 Unicyclic graphs in $\mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(2)}$ with burning number $q + 1$

In this subsection, we will characterize the graphs in  $\mathcal{U}_{n,g}^{(2)}$  with burning number  $q + 1$ . By Corollary 2.7, we only need to give some sufficient conditions for a unicyclic graph to satisfy  $k \geq q + 1$ .

Recall that  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  is an optimal burning sequence for  $U_g^{a_1, a_2}$ , where  $n = g + a_1 + a_2$ . Suppose that  $v_g \in V(T_{k-i_0}(x_{k-i_0}))$  for some  $i_0 \in [k]$ . Then  $T_{k-i}(x_{k-i})$  is a path with  $|T_{k-i}(x_{k-i})| \leq 2i + 1$  for any  $i \neq i_0$ .

**Lemma 4.4** *If  $r \geq 2q - 1$ , then  $k \geq q + 1$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $r \geq 2q - 1$ , we have  $n + 3 = q^2 + r + 3 \geq q^2 + 2q + 2 = (q + 1)^2 + 1$ . Then  $\lceil \sqrt{n + 3} \rceil - 1 \geq q + 1$ , and then by (2),  $k \geq q + 1$ . ■

By Lemma 4.4, we can assume  $r \leq 2q - 2$  in the following.

**Lemma 4.5** *If  $3 \leq g \leq r$  or  $a_1 \geq q^2 - \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$ , then  $k \geq q + 1$ .*

**Proof.** If  $3 \leq g \leq r$ , then  $a_1 + a_2 + 1 = q^2 + r - g + 1 \geq q^2 + 1$ ; and if  $a_1 \geq q^2 - \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$ , then  $a_1 + \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor + 1 \geq q^2 + 1$ . Note that  $P_{a_1+a_2+1}$  and  $P_{a_1+\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor+1}$  are isometric subtrees of  $U_g^{a_1, a_2}$ , and hence, by Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 1.2,  $k \geq b(P_{a_1+a_2+1}) = \lceil \sqrt{a_1 + a_2 + 1} \rceil \geq q + 1$  and  $k \geq b(P_{a_1+\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor+1}) = \lceil \sqrt{a_1 + \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor + 1} \rceil \geq q + 1$ . ■

**Lemma 4.6** *If  $g \geq q^2 + 1$ , then  $k \geq q + 1$ .*

**Proof.** Note that  $|T_{k-i_0}(x_{k-i_0})| \leq 2i_0 + 1 + a_1 + a_2$ . Hence  $n = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (2i+1) + a_1 + a_2 = k^2 + n - g \leq k^2 + n - q^2 - 1$ , that is  $k^2 \geq q^2 + 1$ . Thus  $k \geq q + 1$ . ■

**Lemma 4.7** *If  $2q \leq g \leq q^2$  and  $a_2 \leq r - q$ , then  $k \geq q + 1$ . Moreover, if  $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{C}_1$ , then  $k \geq q + 1$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $2q \leq g \leq q^2$  and  $a_2 \leq r - q + 1$ , we have  $a_1 = q^2 + r - g - a_2 \geq q - 1$ . Then  $|T_{k-i_0}(x_{k-i_0})| \leq 2i_0 + 1 + (i_0 - p_{i_0}) + a_2$ , where  $p_{i_0} = d(v_g, x_{k-i_0})$ . Hence,

$$n = q^2 + r = \sum_{i=1}^k |V(T_i(x_i))| \leq k^2 + i_0 - p_{i_0} + a_2 \leq k^2 + k - 1 + a_2. \quad (4)$$

If  $a_2 \leq r - q$ , then by (4),  $k^2 + k \geq q^2 + q + 1$ , i.e.,  $k \geq q + 1$ .

If  $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{C}_1$ , then  $a_2 = r - q + 1 \leq q - 1$  as  $r \leq 2q - 2$ , and then by (4),  $n = q^2 + r \leq k^2 + k + r - q$ . If  $n = q^2 + r \leq k^2 + k - 1 + r - q$ , then  $k \geq q + 1$ . So we assume  $n \geq k^2 + k + r - q$ . Then  $n = q^2 + r = k^2 + k + r - q$ , which implies  $k = q$  and all inequalities in (4) should be equal, that is,  $i_0 = k - 1$ ,  $p_{i_0} = 0$  and  $|T_{k-i}(x_{k-i})| = 2i + 1$  for any  $i \neq i_0$ . So  $x_1 = v_g$  and  $H(v_g) \cong P_2 + P_{q^2-2q-1}$ , a contradiction. ■

**Lemma 4.8** *If  $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $k \geq q + 1$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have  $2q - 3 \leq r = g - 1 \leq 2q - 2$  and  $a_1 \geq a_2 = q + 1$ . Then  $|T_{k-i_0}(x_{k-i_0})| \leq 2i_0 + g$ , and hence,  $n = q^2 + r = \sum_{i=1}^k |T_i(x_i)| \leq k^2 + g - 1 = k^2 + r$ . Then  $n = q^2 + r = k^2 + r$  (otherwise  $n = q^2 + r \leq k^2 + r - 1$ , then  $k \geq q + 1$ ), which implies  $k = q$ ,  $|T_{k-i_0}(x_{k-i_0})| = 2i_0 + g$  and  $|T_{k-i}(x_{k-i})| = 2i + 1$  for any  $i \neq i_0$ , then  $i_0 = q - 1$  and  $|T_1(x_1)| = 2i_0 + g \in \{4(q - 1), 4(q - 1) + 1\}$ . By Proposition 2.5 we can find that  $x_1 = v_g$ , and  $T_1(x_1) = U_g^{q-1, q-1}$ , then  $H(v_g) = P_{q^2-2q-1} + P_2$ , a contradiction with  $|T_{k-i}(x_{k-i})| = 2i + 1$  for any  $i \neq i_0$ . ■

**Lemma 4.9** *If  $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \cup_{i=2}^6 \mathcal{C}_i$ , then  $k \geq q + 1$ .*

**Proof.** If  $n = q^2 + r \leq k^2 + r - 1$ , then  $k \geq q + 1$ . So we can assume  $n = q^2 + r \geq k^2 + r$ , Then  $k = q$  as  $k \geq q$ . Let  $G^* := U_g^{a_1, a_2} - V(T_1(x_1))$ , then by Theorem 2.1,  $b(G^*) \leq q - 1$ .

By Proposition 2.5,  $|T_{k-i_0}(x_{k-i_0})| \leq 2i_0 + 1 + (s - 2)(i_0 - p_{i_0})$  with  $s \leq 4$  and  $p_{i_0} = d(v_g, x_{k-i_0})$ . Hence, by (1),

$$n = q^2 + r \leq k^2 + 2(i_0 - p_{i_0}) \leq k^2 + 2k - 2 = q^2 + 2q - 2. \quad (5)$$

If  $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \cup_{i=4}^6 \mathcal{C}_i$ , i.e.,  $n = q^2 + 2q - 2$ , then all inequalities in (5) should be equal, that is  $p_{i_0} = 0$ ,  $i_0 = q - 1$ ,  $|T_1(x_1)| = 4(q - 1) + 1$ , and then  $x_1 = v_g$ ,  $T_1(x_1) - v_g = 4P_{q-1}$  by Proposition 2.5, which implies  $G^* = P_{m_1} + P_{m_2} + P_{m_3}$  and  $|G^*| = (q - 1)^2$ , where  $\{m_1, m_2, m_3\} = \{g - 2q + 1, a_1 - q + 1, a_2 - q + 1\}$  and  $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq m_3 \geq 1$ . On the other hand, since  $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \cup_{i=4}^6 \mathcal{C}_i$ , we can check that  $(m_1, m_2, m_3) \in J^4 \cup J^5$ , and thus, by Theorem 1.5,  $b(G^*) = q$ , a contradiction.

If  $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{C}_3$ , then  $n = q^2 + 2q - 3$ , and then by (5),  $p_{i_0} = 0$  (that is  $x_1 = v_g$ ) and  $i_0 = q - 1$ , furthermore,  $|T_1(x_1)| = 4(q - 1)$  and  $T_1(x_1) - v_g = 3P_{q-1} + P_{q-2}$  by Proposition 2.5, or  $|T_1(x_1)| = 4(q - 1) + 1$  and  $T_1(x_1) - v_g = 4P_{q-1}$ , which implies  $G^* = P_m + P_{m'} + P_M$  for some  $M \geq m' \geq m \geq 1$ . In the former case,  $G^* \in \{P_{g-2q+2} + P_{a_1-q+1} + P_{a_2-q+1}, P_{g-2q+1} + P_{a_1-q+2} + P_{a_2-q+1}, P_{g-2q+1} + P_{a_1-q+1} + P_{a_2-q+2}\}$ ,  $|G^*| = (q - 1)^2$  and we can check that  $(M, m', m) \in J^4 \cup J^5$ . In the later case,  $G^* = P_{g-2q+1} + P_{a_1-q+1} + P_{a_2-q+1}$ ,  $|G^*| = (q - 1)^2 - 1$  and  $(M, m', m) \in J^3$ . Then in either case,  $b(G^*) = q$  by Theorem 1.5, a contradiction.

If  $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{C}_2$ , then  $n = q^2 + 2q - 4$ , and then by (5),  $4q - 5 \leq |T_1(x_1)| \leq 4q - 3$ . If  $|T_1(x_1)| = 4q - 3$ , then  $x_1 = v_g$ ,  $T_1(x_1) - v_g = 4P_{q-1}$  by Proposition 2.5, which implies  $G^* \in \{P_{q^2-2q-6} + P_3 + P_2, P_{q^2-2q-5} + P_2 + P_2\}$  and  $|G^*| = (q - 1)^2 - 2$ , and then  $b(G^*) = q$  by Theorem 1.5, a contradiction. If  $|T_1(x_1)| = 4(q - 1)$ , then  $x_1 = v_g$ ,  $T_1(x_1) - v_g = 3P_{q-1} + P_{q-2}$  by Proposition 2.5, which implies  $G^* \in \{P_{q^2-2q-5} + P_3 + P_2, P_{q^2-2q-6} + P_4 + P_2, P_{q^2-2q-6} + P_3 + P_3, P_{q^2-2q-4} + P_2 + P_2\}$  and  $|G^*| = (q - 1)^2 - 1$ , and then  $b(G^*) = q$  by Theorem 1.5, a contradiction. So  $|T_1(x_1)| = 4q - 5$ . Then  $|G^*| = (q - 1)^2$  and  $p_{i_0} = d(v_g, x_1) \leq 1$ . If  $x_1 = v_g$ , then  $T_1(x_1) - v_g \in \{3P_{q-1} + P_{q-3}, 2P_{q-1} + 2P_{q-2}\}$  by Proposition 2.5, which implies  $G^* \in \{P_{q^2-2q-6} + P_4 + P_3, P_{q^2-2q-5} + P_4 + P_2, P_{q^2-2q-6} + P_5 + P_2, P_{q^2-2q-3} + P_2 + P_2, P_{q^2-2q-4} + P_3 + P_2, P_{q^2-2q-6} + P_5 + P_2, P_{q^2-2q-5} + P_3 + P_3\}$ , and then  $b(G^*) = q$  by Theorem 1.5, a contradiction. So  $d(x_1, v_g) = 1$ , then  $x_1 \in \{w_1, u_1, v_1\}$  and  $T_1(x_1) - \{v_g, x_1\} = 3P_{q-2} + P_{q-1}$ . If  $x_1 = w_1$ , then  $G^* \in \{P_{q^2-2q-4} + P_4 + P_1, P_{q^2-2q-5} + P_4 + P_2\}$ ; if  $x_1 = u_1$ , then  $G^* \in \{P_{q^2-2q-4} + P_3 + P_2, P_{q^2-2q-6} + P_4 + P_3, P_{q^2-2q-7} + P_4 + P_4\}$ ; and if  $x_1 = v_1$ , then  $G^* \in \{P_{q^2-2q-4} + P_3 + P_2, P_{q^2-2q-6} + P_4 + P_3, P_{q^2-2q-5} + P_4 + P_2\}$ , and thus  $b(G^*) = q$  by Theorem 1.5, a contradiction. ■

By Corollary 2.7 and Lemmas 4.4-4.9, we have

**Theorem 4.10** *Suppose that  $U_g^{a_1, a_2}$  ( $a_1 \geq a_2$ ) is a unicyclic graph of order  $n = q^2 + r$  with  $1 \leq r \leq 2q + 1$ . If  $r \geq 2q - 1$  or  $3 \leq g \leq r$  or  $g \geq q^2 + 1$  or  $a_1 \geq q^2 - \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$ , or  $2q \leq g \leq q^2$  and  $a_2 \leq r - q$ , or  $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \cup_{i=1}^6 \mathcal{C}_i \cup \mathcal{B}$ , then  $b(U_g^{a_1, a_2}) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil = q + 1$ .*

**Note 2.** By Theorems 4.3 and 4.10,  $b(U_g^{a_1, a_2})$  are listed in Table 2, where  $g + a_1 + a_2 = q^2 + r$  and  $1 \leq r \leq 2q + 1$ .

$r$	$g$	$a_1, a_2$	$b(U_g^{a_1, a_2})$
$1 \leq r \leq 2q - 2$	$3 \leq g \leq r$		$q + 1$
	$r + 1 \leq g \leq 2q - 1$	$a_1 \geq q^2 - \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$ or $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{B}$	$q + 1$
		$a_1 \leq q^2 - \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor - 1$ and $(g, a_1, a_2) \notin \mathcal{B}$	$q$
	$2q \leq g \leq q^2$	$a_2 \leq r - q$ or $(g, a_1, a_2) \in \cup_{i=1}^6 \mathcal{C}_i$	$q + 1$
		$a_2 \geq r - q + 1$ and $(g, a_1, a_2) \notin \cup_{i=1}^6 \mathcal{C}_i$	$q$
	$g \geq q^2 + 1$		$q + 1$
$2q - 1 \leq r \leq 2q + 1$			$q + 1$

Table 2: Burning number of  $U_g^{a_1, a_2}$

## References

- [1] N. Alon, M. Feldman, A.D. Procaccia, M. Tennenholtz, A note on competitive diffusion through social networks, *Information Processing Letters*, 110(2010) 221-225.
- [2] J. Balogh, B. Bollobás and R. Morris, Graph bootstrap percolation, *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 41(2012) 413-440.
- [3] S. Bessy, A. Bonato, J. Janssen, D. Rautenbach and E. Roshanbin, Burning a graph is hard, *Disc. Appl. Math.*, 232(2017) 73-87.
- [4] S. Bessy, A. Bonato, J. Janssen, D. Rautenbach and E. Roshanbin, Bounds on the burning number, *Disc. Appl. Math.*, 235(2018) 16-22.

- [5] A. Bonato, A survey of graph burning, arXiv:2009.10642v1.
- [6] A. Bonato, J. Janssen and E. Roshanbin, Burning a graph as a model of social contagion, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 8882(2014) 13-22.
- [7] A. Bonato, J. Janssen and E. Roshanbin, How to burn a graph, *Internet Mathematics*, 1-2(2016) 85-100.
- [8] A. Bonato and T. Lidbetter, Bounds on the burning numbers of spiders and path-forests, *Theoretical Computer Science*, 794(2019) 12-19.
- [9] A. Bonato and P. Prałat, *Graph Searching Games and Probabilistic Methods*, CRC Press, 2017.
- [10] S. Finbow and G. MacGillivray, The Firefighter problem: a survey of results, directions and questions, *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 43(2009) 57-77.
- [11] S.L. Fitzpatrick and L. Wilm, Burning circulant graphs, arXiv:1706.03106.
- [12] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [13] M. Hiller, E. Triesch, A.M.C.A. Koster, On the burning number of  $p$ -caterpillars, Preprint 2020.
- [14] R. Janssen, The burning number of directed graphs: bounds and computational complexity, *Theory and Applications of Graphs*, 7(1) (2020), doi: 10.20429/tag.2020.070108.
- [15] A.D.I. Kramer, J.E. Guillory and J.T. Hancock, Experimental evidence of massive-scale emotional contagion through social networks, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111(2014) 8788-8790.
- [16] M.R. Land and L.Y. Lu, An upper bound on the burning number of graphs, In: Bonato, A., Graham, F., Prałat P. (eds) *Algorithms and Models for the Web Graph. WAW 2016*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 10088. Springer, Cham (2016).
- [17] H.Q. Liu, X.J Hu and X.L. Hu, Burning number of caterpillars, *Disc. Appl. Math.*, 284(2020) 332-340.
- [18] H.Q. Liu, R.T. Zhang and X.L. Hu, Burning number of theta graphs, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 361(2019) 246-257.

- [19] H.Q. Liu, X.J. Hu and X.L. Hu, Burning numbers of path forests and spiders. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-020-00969-w>.
- [20] D. Mitsche, P.Prałat and E. Roshanbin, Burning graphs: a probabilistic perspective, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 33(2017) 449-471.
- [21] D. Mitsche, P.Prałat and E. Roshanbin, Burning number of graph products, *Theoretical Computer Science*, 746(2018) 124-135.
- [22] D. Mondal, N. Parthiban, V. Kavitha and I. Rajasingh, APX-hardness and approximation for the  $k$ -burning number problem, arXiv:2006.14733.
- [23] E. Roshanbin, Burning a graph as a model of social contagion, PhD Thesis, Dalhousie University, (2016).
- [24] K.A. Sim, T.S. Tan and K.B. Wong, On the burning number of generalized Petersen graphs, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, 41(2018) 1657-1670.
- [25] T.S. Tan and W.C. Teh, Graph burning: Tight bounds on the burning numbers of path forests and spiders, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 385(2020) 125447.