

On Taylor series of zeros with general base function

Mario DeFranco

March 16, 2021

Abstract

We prove a formula for the Taylor series coefficients of a zero of the sum of a complex-exponent polynomial and a base function which is a general holomorphic function with a simple zero. Such a Taylor series is more general than a Puiseux series. We prove an integrality result about these coefficients which implies and generalizes the integrality result of Sturmfels (“Solving algebraic equations in terms of \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric series”. *Discrete Math.* 210 (2000) pp. 171-181). We also prove a transformation rule for a special case of these Taylor series.

1 Introduction

Determining the zeros of a polynomial is a fundamental objective throughout pure and applied mathematics. By the work of Abel [1], Ruffini [9], and Galois [4], there is no general solution by radicals for polynomials of degree five or more. Nevertheless, formal series expansions may provide a unified way to consider zeros of polynomials of any degree.

Many authors have studied such formal series. Birkeland [2] obtained formulas for Taylor series coefficients using Lagrange inversion. Mayr [8] also studied these series using a system of differential equations. Sturmfels [10] obtained the same formulas using GKZ-systems (Gelfand-Kapranov-Zelevinsky) [5], [6]. Herrera [7] used reversion of Taylor series.

In this paper, using Taylor series directly, we prove a formula (Theorem 3.2) for the Taylor series coefficients of a zero of a function that may be a polynomial or, more generally, a sum of a complex-exponent polynomial and a holomorphic function (the base function). We have defined a complex-exponent polynomial and the term “base function” in [3] (also defined below in Definition 1.1), and our proofs use similar techniques to those of [3], including the use of Theorem 2.6 here. We next explain more about the set-up and relation to previous work.

First we recall complex-exponent polynomials. For two complex numbers $z \neq 0$ and γ , we define complex exponentiation z^γ in the conventional way by taking z to be an element of the Riemann surface L for the logarithm. This surface L is parametrized by

$$L = \{(r, \theta, n) : r \in \mathbb{R}^+, \theta \in (-\pi, \pi], n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Then for $z \in L$ corresponding to (r, θ, n) , define z^γ by

$$z^\gamma = e^{\gamma \ln(r) + i\gamma\theta + 2\pi i n \gamma} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Definition 1.1. For an integer $d \geq 1$, let \vec{a} and $\vec{\gamma}$ be two d -tuples of complex numbers

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{a} &= (a_1, \dots, a_d) \\ \vec{\gamma} &= (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d).\end{aligned}$$

Define a complex-exponent polynomial $p(z; \vec{a}, \vec{\gamma})$ to be a function of the form

$$\begin{aligned}p: L &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ z &\mapsto \sum_{k=1}^d a_k z^{\gamma_k}\end{aligned}$$

which we abbreviate as $p(z)$.

Let $g(z): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function. We assume that $g(z)$ has a simple zero $\alpha \neq 0$, and we write its expansion about α as

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k (z - \alpha)^k \quad (1)$$

for some $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $c_1 \neq 0$. We call $g(z)$ the “base function”. We fix an element of L that corresponds to α and also denote it by α . Then $g(z)$ also determines a function from a neighborhood V of α in L to \mathbb{C} , via the mapping

$$z \mapsto z^1 \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for } z \in V.$$

Now fix an integer $d \geq 1$ and $\vec{\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}^d$. For an $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{C}^d$, define the function

$$\begin{aligned}f(z; \vec{a}, \vec{\gamma}, g): L &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ z &\mapsto g(z) + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i z^{\gamma_i}\end{aligned}$$

Assume, for some neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ of the origin $\vec{0}$, that there exists a smooth function

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\vec{a}; \vec{\gamma}, g, \alpha): U &\rightarrow L \\ \vec{a} &\mapsto \phi(\vec{a}; \vec{\gamma}, g, \alpha)\end{aligned}$$

that satisfies for all $\vec{a} \in U$

$$f((\phi(\vec{a}; \vec{\gamma}, g, \alpha); \vec{a}, \vec{\gamma}, g)) = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\phi(0; \vec{\gamma}, g, \alpha) = \alpha. \quad (3)$$

Equations (2) and (3) are sufficient to determine the Taylor series coefficients of $\phi(\vec{a}; \vec{\gamma}, g, \alpha)$ about $\vec{0}$ in the variables \vec{a} .

Let \vec{n} denote a d -tuple of non-negative integers

$$\vec{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$$

and denote

$$\Sigma \vec{n} = \sum_{i=1}^d n_i.$$

Let $\partial_{\vec{n}}$ denote the partial derivative operator

$$\partial_{\vec{n}} = \prod_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} \right)^{n_i},$$

and for any function

$$\psi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \tag{4}$$

denote

$$\partial(\psi, \vec{n}) = \partial_{\vec{n}} \psi(\vec{a})|_{\vec{0}}.$$

The Taylor series coefficient formula of Theorem 1.3, [3] (stated as Theorem 2.5 here) is obtained using a base function $g(z)$ of the form

$$g(z) = 1 + bz^\beta \tag{5}$$

for non-zero $b, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. As shown in [3], Birkeland [2] and Sturmfels [10] essentially find the Taylor series coefficients using the base function (5) with positive integer β and with γ_i distinct integers not equal to β , though they do not use that terminology or the methods of Taylor series. Their formulas may be expressed in terms of falling factorials. We generalize these formulas in [3] by allowing γ_i and β to be arbitrary complex numbers ($\beta \neq 0$) and show that the factorization is preserved using falling factorials (see Theorem 2.5 here).

In this paper, we consider the base function (1) and prove in Theorem 3.2 that $\partial(\phi, \vec{n})$ is a polynomial in $c_1^{-1}, c_i, 2 \leq i \leq \Sigma \vec{n}$, and that the coefficients of this polynomial factorize as well, using similar falling factorials.

Now, the base function (5) is a special case of (1) obtained via

$$c_k = b \binom{\beta}{k} \alpha^{\beta-k}.$$

Using this fact combined with Theorem 3.4 gives another proof of Theorem 4.1 in Sturmfels [10]. His proof of Theorem 4.1 uses Hensel's Lemma, and our proof of Theorem 3.4 counts terms in a derivative.

We also note with base function (5), Theorem 3.2 here and Theorem 1.3 of [3] give two different formulas for the quantity $\partial(\phi, \vec{n})$. We include in section 5 the objective of finding a direct proof of this identity.

2 Definitions and cited theorems

2.1 Definitions

Most of the following definitions occur in [3].

First we present notation for multisets and multiset partitions. For an integer $M \geq 0$, we let $[1, M]$ denote

$$[1, M] = \{i \in \mathbb{Z}: 1 \leq i \leq M\}.$$

Definition 2.1. For a positive integer N , define an ordered multiset I of $[1, d]$ to be an N -tuple of integers

$$I = (I(1), \dots, I(N))$$

with $1 \leq I(i) \leq d$. We say that the order $|I|$ is N . We define the multiplicity $\#(n, I)$ of n in I as the number of indices i such that $I(i) = n$. For a multiset X we also denote the multiplicity of n in X as $\#(n, X)$.

Let $\text{Multiset}(d)$ denote the set of these ordered multisets.

For a positive integer k , define a set partition s of $[1, N]$ with k parts to be a k -tuple

$$s = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$$

where s_i are pairwise disjoint non-empty subsets of $[1, N]$,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^k s_i = [1, N],$$

and

$$\min(s_i) < \min(s_j) \text{ for } i < j.$$

We also write a set s_i as an m -tuple

$$s_i = (s_i(1), \dots, s_i(m))$$

where $m = |s_i|$ and

$$s_i(j) < s_i(l) \text{ for } j < l.$$

Let $S(N, k)$ denote the set of such s . If H is any finite set of integers, we similarly denote $S(H, k)$ to be the set of all set partitions of H into k non-empty parts.

For a multiset I and a set partition $s \in S(|I|, k)$, define a multiset partition J of I with k parts to be a k -tuple

$$J = (J_1, \dots, J_k)$$

where $J \in \text{Multiset}(d)$ is given by

$$J_i = (I(s_i(1)), \dots, I(s_i(m)))$$

where $m = |s_i|$. Thus the multiset partitions of I with k parts are in bijection with the set partitions in $S(|I|, k)$. Let $\text{Parts}(I, k)$ denote the set of such multiset partitions J .

Each part J_i of a $J \in \text{Parts}(I, k)$ is thus a multiset. For $J, J' \in \text{Parts}(I, k)$, we say that J and J' are equivalent if there exists a permutation σ of $[1, k]$ such that

$$\#(l, J_i) = \#(l, J'_{\sigma(i)})$$

for each $1 \leq l \leq d$.

We let $I(\hat{h})$ denote the ordered multiset obtained from I by removing the element at the h -th index:

$$I(\hat{h}) = (I(1), \dots, I(h-1), I(h+1), \dots, I(N)).$$

We use the notation

$$\sum_{m \in I} \gamma_m = \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_{I(i)}$$

where $N = |I|$.

For any function $\psi(\vec{a})$ of the form (4) and $I \in \text{Multiset}(d)$, denote

$$\partial(\psi, I) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{|I|} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{I(i)}} \right) \psi(\vec{a}) \Big|_{\vec{0}}$$

We also use the falling factorial applied to indeterminates, where “indeterminate” refers to an arbitrary element of some polynomial ring over \mathbb{Z} .

Definition 2.2. For an integer $k \geq 0$ and an indeterminate x , define the falling factorial

$$(x)_k = \prod_{i=1}^k (x - i + 1).$$

Let μ denote an infinite sequence $(\mu_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of non-negative integers μ_i such that $\mu_i = 0$ for sufficiently large i . For integer $r \geq 1$, let $C(r)$ denote the set of all such μ such that

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} \mu_i = r.$$

Thus $C(r)$ is the set of compositions of r with non-negative parts.

2.2 Cited theorems

These results are used here in Theorems 3.2, 3.3, and 4.1.

Lemma 2.3. For indeterminates a and b and an integer $n \geq 0$,

$$(a+b)_n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} (a)_i (b)_{n-i}$$

and equivalently

$$\binom{a+b}{n} = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{a}{i} \binom{b}{n-i}.$$

Proof. This is Lemma 6.1 of [3]. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose $F(x)$ is a polynomial of degree m . Then*

$$F(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \frac{(x-1)_{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-1-r} \binom{k-1}{r} F(r+1).$$

Proof. This is Lemma 2.4 of [3]. \square

Theorem 2.5. *With the above notation and base function (5), for $\Sigma \vec{n} \geq 1$,*

$$\partial_{\vec{n}} \phi(\vec{a})|_0 = -\frac{\alpha^{1+\sum_{i=1}^d n_i(\gamma_i-1)}}{g'(\alpha)^{\Sigma \vec{n}}} \prod_{i=1}^{\Sigma \vec{n}-1} (-1+i\beta - \sum_{i=1}^d n_i \gamma_i)$$

where

$$g'(\alpha) = b\beta\alpha^{\beta-1}.$$

Proof. This is Theorem 1.3 of [3]. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let R be a commutative ring and let $\delta: R \rightarrow R$ be a derivation. For an integer $M \geq 0$ and elements $f_A, f_B, f_i \in R, 1 \leq i \leq M$,*

$$\sum_{w \subset [1, M]} \delta^{|w^c|-1}(f_A^{(1)}) \prod_{i \in w^c} f_i \delta^{|w|}(f_B \prod_{i \in w} f_i) = \delta^M(f_A f_B \prod_{i=1}^M f_i) \quad (6)$$

where w^c denotes the complement of w in $[1, M]$; $f_A^{(1)}$ denotes δf_A ; and in the case w^c is empty, $\delta^{-1} f_A^{(1)}$ denotes f_A .

Proof. This is Theorem 4.3 of [3]. \square

Theorem 2.7. *For integers $1 \leq k \leq N$, an indeterminate ν , and N indeterminates $x_i, 1 \leq i \leq N$,*

$$\frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-1-r} \binom{k-1}{r} ((r+1)\nu - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N x_i)_{N-1} \quad (7)$$

$$= \nu^{k-1} \sum_{s \in S(N, k)} \prod_{i=1}^k (\nu - 1 + \sum_{m \in s_i} x_m)_{|s_i|-1}. \quad (8)$$

Proof. This is Theorem 4.1 of [3]. \square

3 Taylor coefficient theorem

Definition 3.1. Let α be the zero of base function (1). For an $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and integers $r \geq 0$ and $a \geq 1$, define

$$F(x, r, a) = \frac{-\alpha^x}{(a-1)!} \sum_{\mu \in C(r)} (-1)^{\mu_1} \binom{x}{r - \sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu_i - (a-1)} \left(r + \sum_{i \geq 2} \mu_i \right)! \\ \times \frac{\alpha^{-(r - \sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu_i - (a-1))}}{c_1^{r+1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \mu_i}} \prod_{i \geq 2} \frac{c_i^{\mu_i}}{\mu_i!}$$

and also

$$F(x, -1, a) = 0.$$

Theorem 3.2. With the above notation and base function (1), and for an $I \in \text{Multiset}(d)$ with $|I| \geq 1$,

$$\partial(\phi, I) = F\left(\sum_{m \in I} \gamma_m, |I| - 1, 1\right). \quad (9)$$

Proof. We use induction on $|I|$. When $I = (i)$, we differentiate equation (2) with respect to a_i and evaluate at $\vec{a} = 0$ to obtain

$$0 = \alpha^{\gamma^i} + c_1 \partial(\phi, I).$$

Solving for $\partial(\phi, I)$ proves the base case of $|I| = 1$.

Given an I with $|I| \geq 1$, suppose equation (9) is true for all I' with $|I'| < |I|$. Given any function $\psi(\vec{a})$ of the form (4), it follows from the definitions that

$$\partial(f \circ \psi, I) = \sum_{h=1}^{|I|} \sum_{k=1}^{|I|-1} (\gamma_{I(h)})_k \psi(\vec{0})^{\gamma_{I(h)}-k} \sum_{J \in \text{Parts}(I(\hat{h}), k)} \prod_{i=1}^k \partial(\psi, J_i) \quad (10)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{|I|} g^{(k)}(\psi(\vec{0})) \sum_{J \in \text{Parts}(I, k)} \prod_{i=1}^k \partial(\psi, J_i). \quad (11)$$

Setting ψ to be ϕ , we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{h=1}^{|I|} \sum_{k=1}^{|I|-1} (\gamma_{I(h)})_k \alpha^{\gamma_{I(h)}-k} \sum_{J \in \text{Parts}(I(\hat{h}), k)} \prod_{i=1}^k \partial(\phi, J_i) \quad (12)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=2}^{|I|} k! c_k \sum_{J \in \text{Parts}(I, k)} \prod_{i=1}^k \partial(\phi, J_i) \quad (13)$$

$$+ c_1 \partial(\phi, I). \quad (14)$$

Using the induction hypothesis we may express the sum in the right side of the equation at line 12 as

$$\sum_{h=1}^{|I|} \sum_{k=1}^{|I|-1} (\gamma_{I(h)})_k \alpha^{\gamma_{I(h)}-k} \sum_{J \in \text{Parts}(I(\hat{h}), k)} \prod_{i=1}^k F\left(\sum_{m \in J_i} x_m, |J_i| - 1, 1\right).$$

To the sum over J we apply Theorem 3.3 and obtain

$$\sum_{h=1}^{|I|} \sum_{k=1}^{|I|-1} (\gamma_{I(h)})_k \alpha^{\gamma_{I(h)}-k} F\left(\sum_{m \in I(\hat{h})} x_m, |I| - 2, k\right).$$

Substituting in the definition of $F(x, r, a)$ and then using

$$(\gamma_{I(h)})_k = (\gamma_{I(h)})(\gamma_{I(h)} - 1)_{k-1}$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{h=1}^{|I|} -\alpha^{\sum_{i \in I} \gamma_i} \gamma_{I(h)} \sum_{k=1}^{|I|-1} \frac{(\gamma_{I(h)} - 1)_{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \sum_{\mu \in C(|I|-2)} (-1)^{\mu_1} \left(|I| - 2 - \sum_{i \in I(\hat{h})} \gamma_i \right. \\ & \left. \times (|I| - 2 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \mu_i)! \frac{\alpha^{-(|I|-1-\sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu_i)}}{c_1^{|I|-1+\sum_{i \geq 2} \mu_i}} \prod_{i \geq 2} \frac{c_i^{\mu_i}}{\mu_i!} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging the sum over k and μ and applying Lemma 2.3 gives

$$\sum_{h=1}^{|I|} -\alpha^{\sum_{i \in I} \gamma_i} \gamma_{I(h)} \sum_{\mu \in C(|I|-2)} (-1)^{\mu_1} \frac{(-1 + \sum_{i \in I} \gamma_i)^{|I|-2-\sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu_i}}{(|I| - 2 - \sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu_i)!}$$

and summing over h gives

$$-\alpha^{\sum_{i \in I} \gamma_i} \sum_{\mu \in C(|I|-2)} (-1)^{\mu_1} \frac{(\sum_{i \in I} \gamma_i)^{|I|-1-\sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu_i}}{(|I| - 2 - \sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu_i)!}. \quad (15)$$

Now to each term at line (13), we again apply the induction hypothesis and Theorem 3.3, and at line (14) we assume the result

$$\partial(\phi, I) = F\left(\sum_{m \in I} x_m, |I| - 1, 1\right).$$

Now combining the result (15) it is sufficient to prove

$$0 = - \sum_{\mu \in C(|I|-2)} \frac{(x)^{|I|-1-\sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu_i}}{(|I| - 2 - \sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu_i)!} (-1)^{\mu_1} \frac{(|I| - 2 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \mu_i)!}{c_1^{|I|-1+\sum_{i \geq 2} \mu_i}} \prod_{i \geq 2} \frac{c_i^{\mu_i}}{\mu_i!} \quad (16)$$

$$+ \sum_{i \geq 2} i! c_i F\left(\sum_{m \in I} x_m, |I| - 1, i\right) \quad (17)$$

$$+ c_1 F\left(\sum_{m \in I} x_m, |I| - 1, 1\right). \quad (18)$$

Take the coefficient of $\prod_{i \geq 2} c_i^{\nu_i}$ from each line. Consider the expression

$$\frac{(x)^{|I|-1-\sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\nu_i}}{(|I| - 1 - \sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\nu_i)!} (-1)^{|I|-1+\sum_{i \geq 2} \nu_i} \frac{(|I| - 2 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \nu_i)!}{c_1^{|I|-1+\sum_{i \geq 2} \nu_i} \prod_{i \geq 2} \nu_i!}. \quad (19)$$

The coefficient from line (16) is equal to the expression (19) times

$$|I| - 1 - \sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\nu_i. \quad (20)$$

The coefficient from the i -th term at line (17) is equal to the expression (19) times

$$\frac{i!}{(i-1)!} \nu_i. \quad (21)$$

The coefficient from line (18) is equal to the expression (19) times

$$-(|I| - 1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \nu_i). \quad (22)$$

Adding the three expressions yields 0:

$$|I| - 1 - \sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\nu_i + \sum_{i \geq 2} i\nu_i - (|I| - 1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \nu_i) = 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.3. For an integers $1 \leq a \leq M$ and indeterminates $x_i, 1 \leq i \leq M$,

$$\sum_{s \in S(M,a)} \prod_{i=1}^a F\left(\sum_{m \in s_i} x_m, |s_i| - 1, 1\right) = F\left(\sum_{i=1}^M x_i, M - 1, a\right). \quad (23)$$

Proof. We use induction on M . Equation (23) is true when $M = 1$. Assume it is true for all values less than or equal to some $M \geq 1$. We note the equation is true when $a = 1$ for any M . For $1 \leq a \leq M$, we have by the induction hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s \in S(M+1, a+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{a+1} F\left(\sum_{m \in s_i} x_m, |s_i| - 1, 1\right) \\ &= \sum_{w \subset [1, M+1], M+1 \in w} F\left(\sum_{m \in w^c} x_m, |w^c| - 1, a\right) F\left(\sum_{m \in w} x_m, |w| - 1, 1\right) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where w is the set of the set partition s that contains $M + 1$. For elements $\nu \in C(M)$ and $\mu \in C(j)$ for some j , we say $\mu \leq_1 \nu$ if

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i &\leq \nu_i \text{ for } i \geq 2 \\ \mu_1 &\leq \nu_1 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mu \leq_1 \nu$, denote $\mu' \in C(M - 1 - j)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu'_i &= \nu_i - \mu_i \text{ for } i \geq 2 \\ \mu'_1 &= \nu_1 - 1 - \mu_1. \end{aligned}$$

Fix an element $\nu \in C(M)$. Then the coefficient of

$$\prod_{i \geq 2} c_i^{\nu_i} \quad (25)$$

in the right side of equation (24) is

$$\frac{-\alpha^{\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} x_i}}{(a-1)!} \sum_{w \subset [1, M+1], M+1 \in w} \sum_{\mu \leq_1 \nu, \mu \in C(|w|-1)} \quad (26)$$

$$\left((-1)^{\mu'_1} \binom{\sum_{m \in w^c} x_m}{|w^c| - 1 - \sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu'_i - (a-1)} (|w^c| - 1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \mu'_i)! \frac{\alpha^{-(|w^c|-1 - \sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu'_i - (a-1))}}{c_1^{|w^c| + \sum_{i \geq 2} \mu'_i} \prod_{i \geq 2} \mu'_i!} \right) \quad (27)$$

$$\times \left((-1)^{\mu_1} \binom{\sum_{m \in w} x_m}{|w| - 1 - \sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu_i} (|w| - 1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \mu_i)! \frac{\alpha^{-(|w|-1 - \sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu_i)}}{c_1^{|w| + \sum_{i \geq 2} \mu_i} \prod_{i \geq 2} \mu_i!} \right). \quad (28)$$

For an integer $b \geq 0$, the coefficient of $(x_{M+1})_b$ at line (28) is

$$\frac{(-1)^{\nu_1+1} \alpha^{\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} x_i - (M - \sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\nu_i - a)}}{c_1^{M+1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \nu_i}} \quad (29)$$

$$\times \frac{1}{(a-1)!b!} \sum_{u \subset [1, M]} \sum_{\mu \leq_1 \nu, \mu \in C(|u|)} \binom{\sum_{m \in u^c} x_m}{|u^c| - 1 - (a-1) - \sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu'_i} \frac{(|u^c| - 1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \mu'_i)!}{\prod_{i \geq 2} \mu'_i!} \quad (30)$$

$$\times \binom{\sum_{m \in u} x_m}{|u| - b - \sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu_i} \frac{(|u| + \sum_{i \geq 2} \mu_i)!}{\prod_{i \geq 2} \mu_i!} \quad (31)$$

where we have set $u = w \setminus \{M+1\}$. The coefficient of the product of $(x_{M+1})_b$ and the monomial (25) in $F(\sum_{i=1}^{M+1}, M, a+1)$ is equal to the product of the expression at line (29) and

$$\frac{(M + \sum_{i \geq 2} \nu_i)!}{a!b! \prod_{i \geq 2} \nu_i!} \binom{\sum_{i=1}^M x_i}{M - a - b - \sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\nu_i}. \quad (32)$$

Therefore it is sufficient to prove that sum at lines (30) and (31) is equal to expression (32). We prove this next by comparing the coefficients of the variables x_i .

Fix integers l and $n_i \geq 0$ such that $l \leq M$. Consider the monomial

$$\prod_{i=1}^l x_i^{n_i}. \quad (33)$$

The coefficient of monomial (33) in the sum at lines (30) and (31) is

$$\frac{1}{(a-1)!b!} \sum_{v \subset [1, l]} \sum_{j=0}^M \sum_{\mu \leq \nu, \mu \in C(j)} \quad (34)$$

$$\frac{\left[\frac{M-j-1-(a-1)-\sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu'_i}{\sum_{i \in v^c} n_i} \right]}{(M-j-1-(a-1)-\sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu'_i)!} \left(\frac{(\sum_{i \in v^c} n_i)!}{\prod_{i \in v^c} n_i!} \right) \frac{(M-j-1+\sum_{i \geq 2} \mu'_i)!}{\prod_{i \geq 2} \mu'_i!} \quad (35)$$

$$\times \frac{\left[\frac{j-b-\sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu_i}{\sum_{i \in v} n_i} \right]}{(j-b-\sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu_i)!} \left(\frac{(\sum_{i \in v} n_i)!}{\prod_{i \in v} n_i!} \right) \frac{(j+\sum_{i \geq 2} \mu_i)!}{\prod_{i \geq 2} \mu_i!} \quad (36)$$

$$\times \binom{M-l}{j-|v|} \quad (37)$$

where we have set $j = |u|$ and used the fact that there are $\binom{M-l}{j-|v|}$ ways to choose a set $u \in [1, M]$ such that $v \subset u$ and $v^c \subset u^c$.

Now each term in the above sum is independent of ν_1, μ_1 , and μ'_1 . We thus re-arrange the summation at line (34) to be

$$\sum_{v \subset [1, l]} \sum_{\tilde{\mu} \leq \tilde{\nu}} \sum_{j=0}^M \quad (38)$$

where $\tilde{\nu}$ is the fixed sequence $(\nu_i)_{i \geq 2}$; $\tilde{\mu}$ is any sequence of non-negative integers $(\tilde{\mu}_i)_{i \geq 2}$ with

$$\tilde{\mu}_i \leq \nu_i \text{ for } i \geq 2$$

and

$$\tilde{\mu}'_i = \nu_i - \tilde{\mu}_i \text{ for } i \geq 2.$$

The coefficient of term (33) in expression (32) is

$$\frac{(M + \sum_{i \geq 2} \nu_i)!}{a!b! \prod_{i \geq 2} \nu_i!} \frac{\left[\frac{M-a-b-\sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\nu_i}{\sum_{i=1}^l n_i} \right]}{(M-a-b-\sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\nu_i)!} \left(\frac{(\sum_{i=1}^l n_i)!}{\prod_{i=1}^l n_i!} \right). \quad (39)$$

We equate expression (39) with the sum beginning at line (34) (using the or-

dering (38)) and simplify to obtain

$$\sum_{v \subset [1, l]} \sum_{\tilde{\mu} \leq \tilde{\nu}} \sum_{j=0}^M \quad (40)$$

$$a \frac{(M-j-1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \tilde{\mu}'_i)!}{(M-j-1 - (|v^c| - 1))!} \frac{[M-j-1-(a-1)-\sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\tilde{\mu}'_i]}{\sum_{i \in v^c} n_i} (\sum_{i \in v^c} n_i)! \quad (41)$$

$$\times \frac{(j + \sum_{i \geq 2} \tilde{\mu}_i)!}{(j - |v|)!} \frac{[j-b-\sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\mu_i]}{\sum_{i \in v} n_i} (\sum_{i \in v} n_i)! \quad (42)$$

$$\times \prod_{i \geq 2} \frac{(\tilde{\mu}_i + \tilde{\mu}'_i)!}{(\tilde{\mu}_i)! (\tilde{\mu}'_i)!} \quad (43)$$

$$= \frac{(M + \sum_{i \geq 2} \nu_i)!}{(M-l)!} \frac{[M-a-b-\sum_{i \geq 2} (i-1)\nu_i]}{\sum_{i=1}^l n_i} (\sum_{i=1}^l n_i)!. \quad (44)$$

We claim the above equation is true for any integer $M \geq l$. Thus multiply both sides above the above equation by t^{M-l} and sum over $M \geq l$. The claim is thus equivalent to the equality of power series

$$\sum_{v \subset [1, l]} \sum_{\tilde{\mu} \leq \tilde{\nu}} \quad (45)$$

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{\sum_{i \geq 2} \tilde{\mu}'_i + |v^c| - 1} (at^{a-1} (-\ln(1-t))^{\sum_{i \in v^c} n_i} \prod_{i \geq 2} (t^i)^{\tilde{\mu}'_i}) \quad (46)$$

$$\times \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{\sum_{i \geq 2} \tilde{\mu}_i + |v|} (t^b (-\ln(1-t))^{\sum_{i \in v} n_i} \prod_{i \geq 2} (t^i)^{\tilde{\mu}_i}) \quad (47)$$

$$\times \prod_{i \geq 2} \frac{(\tilde{\mu}_i + \tilde{\mu}'_i)!}{(\tilde{\mu}_i)! (\tilde{\mu}'_i)!} \quad (48)$$

$$= \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{\sum_{i \geq 2} \nu_i + l} (t^a t^b (-\ln(1-t))^{\sum_{i=1}^l n_i} \prod_{i \geq 2} (t^i)^{\nu_i}). \quad (49)$$

This equality follows from Theorem 2.6 using the ring R of power series in t ; δ differentiation with respect to t ; and

$$\begin{aligned} f_A &= t^a \\ f_B &= t^b \\ f_i &= (-\ln(1-t))^{n_i} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq l, \end{aligned}$$

such that for each integer $h \geq 2$ there are exactly ν_h indices i with $l+1 \leq i \leq l + \sum_{\geq 2} \nu_h$ and

$$f_i = t^h.$$

Here we have used the fact that the number (48) counts the number of subsets of $[1, l + \sum_{\geq 2} \nu_h]$ that contain v , do not contain v^c , and contain exactly $\tilde{\mu}_h$ numbers

i such that $f_i = t^h$, for each $h \geq 2$. This proves the claim and completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Then*

$$\frac{\partial(\phi, \vec{n})}{\prod_{i=1}^d n_i!} \in \alpha^{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\gamma}} \mathbb{Z}[\alpha^{-1}, c_1^{-1}, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{\Sigma \vec{n}}]. \quad (50)$$

Proof. We use induction on $\Sigma \vec{n}$. From Theorem 3.2, statement (50) is true when $\Sigma \vec{n} = 1$. Assume it is true for all \vec{n} with $\Sigma \vec{n} \leq m$ for some $m \geq 1$. Given a \vec{n} with $\Sigma \vec{n} = m + 1$, fix an r such that $n_r \geq 1$. Consider

$$\partial_{\vec{n}}(a_r \phi^{\gamma_r}). \quad (51)$$

After setting \vec{a} to $\vec{0}$, expression (51) is equal to

$$n_r \sum_{k=1}^{\Sigma \vec{n} - 1} (\gamma_r)_k \alpha^{\gamma_r - k} \sum_{J \in \text{Parts}(I, k)} \prod_{i=1}^k \partial(\phi, J_i) \quad (52)$$

where I is the ordered multiset satisfying $I(h) \leq I(h + 1)$, and with r occurring with multiplicity $n_r - 1$ and i occurring with multiplicity n_i for all other i . Now fix a k and J . Suppose that there are v distinct sets out of the k sets of J . Call these sets $\tilde{J}_1, \dots, \tilde{J}_v$ with \tilde{J}_i appearing b_i times in J . The number of $J' \in \text{Parts}(I, k)$ equivalent to J is then

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^v b_i!} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{\#(i, J)!}{\prod_{l=1}^k \#(i, J_l)!}.$$

Collect these terms for J' equivalent to J and divide by $\prod_{i=1}^d n_i!$. The total coefficient is then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{n_r (\gamma_r)_k \alpha^{\gamma_r - k}}{n_r \prod_{i=1}^d \#(i, J)!} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^v b_i!} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{\#(i, J)!}{\prod_{l=1}^k \#(i, J_l)!} \prod_{i=1}^k \partial(\phi, J_i) \\ &= \binom{\gamma_r}{k} \text{multinomial}((b_i)_{i=1}^v) \prod_{l=1}^k \frac{\partial(\phi, J_l)}{\prod_{i=1}^d \#(i, J_l)!} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\sum_{i=1}^v b_i = k.$$

By the induction hypothesis

$$\frac{\partial(\phi, J_l)}{\prod_{i=1}^d \#(i, J_l)!} \in \alpha^{\sum_{m \in J_l} \gamma_m} \mathbb{Z}[\alpha^{-1}, c_1^{-1}, c_2, \dots, c_{|J_l|}],$$

and $\binom{\gamma_r}{k}$ is an integer by the assumption that γ_r is an integer.

Next, consider a fixed k -th term at line (13) where I is the ordered multiset satisfying $I(h) \leq I(h + 1)$, and with $n_i = \#(i, I)$. Collecting terms for all equivalent J and applying similar reasoning above yields an element in the set at line (50), with $k!$ taking the role of $(\gamma_r)_k$. This completes the proof. \square

4 A transformation rule

Now we present definitions for Theorem 4.1.

Using base function (5), we rename its zero α by α_1 , and we denote the corresponding zero $\phi(\vec{a}; \vec{\gamma}, g, \alpha_1)$ of $f(z)$ by

$$\phi(\vec{a}; \vec{\gamma}, b, \beta_1).$$

For a non-zero $\beta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote α_2 by

$$\alpha_2 = \alpha_1^{\frac{1}{\beta_2}}. \quad (53)$$

Let $M(d)$ denote the set of d -tuples \vec{n}

$$\vec{n} = (n_i)_{i=1}^d$$

of non-negative integers n_i such that $\Sigma \vec{n} \geq 1$.

For $\vec{n} \in M(d)$, let $V(k, \vec{n})$ denote the set of $k \times d$ arrays \vec{v}

$$\vec{v} = (v_{i,j}) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq k$$

where

$$\sum_{j=1}^k v_{i,j} = n_i$$

and $v_j \in M(d)$ where

$$v_j = (v_{i,j})_{i=1}^d.$$

Let $\text{perm}(\vec{v})$ denote the number of permutations of the multiset $(v_j)_{j=1}^k$. Let $w(\vec{n}, \vec{\gamma}, \beta)$ denote

$$w(\vec{n}, \vec{\gamma}, \beta) = (\beta_1^{-1} - 1 + \beta_1^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^d n_i \gamma_i)_{\Sigma \vec{n} - 1}.$$

Theorem 4.1. *With the above notation, as Taylor series about $\vec{a} = \vec{0}$*

$$\phi(\vec{a}; \vec{\gamma}, b, \beta_1)^{\frac{1}{\beta_2}} = \phi(\vec{a}; \beta_2 \vec{\gamma}, b, \beta_2 \beta_1).$$

Proof. We have by Theorem 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\vec{a}; \vec{\gamma}, b, \beta_1) &= \alpha_1 \left(1 + \sum_{\vec{n} \in M(d)} (-1)^{\Sigma \vec{n}} \frac{\alpha_1^{\sum_{i=1}^d n_i (\gamma_i - 1)}}{g'(\alpha)^{\Sigma \vec{n}}} \beta_1^{\Sigma \vec{n} - 1} (\beta_1^{-1} - 1 + \beta_1^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^d n_i \gamma_i)_{\Sigma \vec{n} - 1} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{a_i^{n_i}}{n_i!} \right) \\ &= \alpha_1 \left(1 + \beta_1^{-1} \sum_{\vec{n} \in M(d)} \alpha_1^{\sum_{i=1}^d n_i \gamma_i} w(\vec{n}, \vec{\gamma}, \beta) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{a_i^{n_i}}{n_i!} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\vec{a}; \vec{\gamma}, b, \beta_1)^{\frac{1}{\beta_2}} &= \alpha_1^{\frac{1}{\beta_2}} \left(1 + \beta_1^{-1} \sum_{\vec{n} \in M(d)} \alpha_1^{\sum_{i=1}^d n_i \gamma_i} w(\vec{n}, \vec{\gamma}, \beta) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{a_i^{n_i}}{n_i!} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_2}} \\ &= \alpha_2 \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_1^{-k} \binom{\beta_2^{-1}}{k} \left(\sum_{\vec{n} \in M(d)} \alpha_1^{\sum_{i=1}^d n_i \gamma_i} w(\vec{n}, \vec{\gamma}, \beta) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{a_i^{n_i}}{n_i!} \right)^k \right)\end{aligned}$$

by the binomial theorem, using the fact that \vec{a} is sufficiently close to $\vec{0}$.

For an $\vec{m} \in M(d)$, the coefficient of $\prod_{i=1}^d \frac{a_i^{m_i}}{m_i!}$ in the above sum is

$$\alpha_2 \frac{\alpha_1^{\sum_{i=1}^d m_i \gamma_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^d m_i!} \sum_{k=1}^{\Sigma \vec{m}} \beta_1^{-k} \binom{\beta_2^{-1}}{k} \sum_{v \in V(k, \vec{m})} (\text{perm}(v) \prod_{i=1}^d \text{mult}((v_{i,j})_{j=1}^k)) \prod_{j=1}^k w(\vec{v}_j, \vec{\gamma}, \beta) \quad (54)$$

Let $I \in \text{Multiset}(d)$ be the ordered multiset in which $\#(i, I) = m_i$ and ordered so that $I(j) \leq I(j+1)$. For $J \in \text{Parts}(I, k)$, let $w(J, \vec{\gamma}, \beta)$ denote

$$w(J, \vec{\gamma}, \beta) = \prod_{h=1}^k (\beta^{-1} - 1 + \beta^{-1} \sum_{i \in J_h} \gamma_i)_{|J_h|-1}.$$

Then we may write expression (54) as

$$\alpha_2 \frac{\alpha_1^{\sum_{i=1}^d m_i \gamma_i}}{\beta_1 \beta_2 \prod_{i=1}^d m_i!} \sum_{k=1}^{\Sigma \vec{m}} (\beta_2^{-1} - 1)_{k-1} (\beta^{-1})^{k-1} \sum_{J \in \text{Parts}(I, k)} w(J, \vec{\gamma}, \beta). \quad (55)$$

By Theorem 2.7, we have

$$(\beta^{-1})^{k-1} \sum_{J \in \text{Parts}(I, k)} w(J, \vec{\gamma}, \beta) = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-1-r} \binom{k-1}{r} ((r+1)\beta_1^{-1} - 1 + \sum_{i \in I} \gamma_i)_{|I|-1}$$

Let c_k denote the right side of the above equation. By Lemma 2.4, we have expression (55) is equal to

$$\alpha_2 \frac{\alpha_1^{\sum_{i=1}^d m_i \gamma_i}}{\beta_1 \beta_2 \prod_{i=1}^d m_i!} ((\beta_1 \beta_2)^{-1} - 1 + \beta_1^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^d m_i \gamma_i)_{\Sigma \vec{m}-1}.$$

Using equation (53), we see that this is the coefficient of $\prod_{i=1}^d \frac{a_i^{m_i}}{m_i!}$ in the series for $\phi(\vec{a}; \beta_2 \vec{\gamma}, b, \beta_2 \beta_1)$. This completes the proof. \square

5 Further work

- Find a combinatorial meaning of the integer coefficients of the c_i terms using trees.
- Find a combinatorial meaning of the factored coefficients in [3] when

$$g(z) = 1 + \frac{a_{i+d}}{a_i} z^d.$$

- Generalize NRS using these combinatorial meanings.
- Directly prove the factorization of coefficients in [3] from the formulas here.
- Generalize Theorem 4.1 for complex-exponent polynomials $g(z)$ with multiple terms.
- Use the method of Lagrange or a modification to construct iterated radical formulas for polynomial zeros and relate them to Taylor series.

References

- [1] N.H. Abel (1881) [1824], "Mémoire sur les équations algébriques, ou l'on démontre l'impossibilité de la résolution de l'équation générale du cinquième degré" (PDF), in Sylow, Ludwig; Lie, Sophus (eds.), *Oeuvres Complètes de Niels Henrik Abel* (in French), I (2nd ed.), Grøndahl & Søn, pp. 28-33
- [2] R. Birkeland "Über die Auflöshung algebraischer Gleichungen durch hypergeometrische Funktionen," *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 26 (1927) pp. 565-578.
- [3] M. DeFranco "On Taylor series of zeros of complex-exponent polynomials" (2021) <https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.01833>
- [4] E. Galois, *Écrits et mémoires mathématiques d'Évariste Galois*, Bourgne and Azra, Eds., Paris: Gauthier-Villars (1962)
- [5] I.M. Gel'fand, A.V. Zelevinsky, and M.M. Kapranov, "Hypergeometric Functions and toral manifolds", *Functional Analysis and Applications* 23 (1989) pp. 94-106.
- [6] I.M. Gel'fand, A.V. Zelevinsky, and M.M. Kapranov, "Generalized Euler integrals and \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric functions", *Advances in Mathematics* 84 (1990) pp. 255-271.
- [7] John Herrera, "The Algebra of Taylor Series and the Roots of a General Polynomial". Brookhaven National Laboratory (2002) <https://www.bnl.gov/isd/documents/24604.pdf>
- [8] K. Mayr, "Über die Löshung algebraischer Gleichungssysteme durch hypergeometrische Funktionen," *Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik* 45 (1937) pp. 280-318.
- [9] P. Ruffini (1799), *Teoria generale delle equazioni*, in cui si dimostra impossibile la soluzione algebraica delle equazioni generali di grado superiore al quarto (in Italian), Stamperia di S. Tommaso d'Aquino
- [10] B. Sturmfels, "Solving algebraic equations in terms of \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric series". *Discrete Math.* 210 (2000) pp. 171-181.