DISCRIMINANT OF TAUTOLOGICAL BUNDLES ON SYMMETRIC PRODUCTS OF CURVES

ANDREAS KRUG

ABSTRACT. We compute a formula for the discriminant of tautological bundles on symmetric powers of a complex smooth projective curve. It follows that the Bogomolov inequality does not give a new restriction to stability of these tautological bundles. It only rules out tautological bundles which are already known to have the structure sheaf as a destabilising subbundle.

1. INTRODUCTION

There is a natural way to produce a vector bundle on the symmetric product of a curve out of a vector bundle on the curve – use the Fourier–Mukai transform along the universal effective divisor.

More precisely, let C be a smooth curve over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let $n \geq 2$. Then the symmetric product $C^{(n)} = C^n / \mathfrak{S}_n$ can be identified with the moduli space of effective degree n divisors on C, with the universal family $\Xi \subset C \times C^{(n)}$ given by the image of the embedding

$$C \times C^{(n-1)} \hookrightarrow C \times C^{(n)}$$
, $(x, x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1}) \mapsto (x, x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1} + x)$

Let $p: \Xi \to C$ and $q: \Xi \to C^{(n)}$ be the projections. For a vector bundle E on C, the associated tautological bundle on $C^{(n)}$ is $E^{[n]} := q_*p^*E$. Since q is flat and finite of degree n, this is indeed a vector bundle with $\mathsf{rank}(E^{[n]}) = n \operatorname{rank}(E)$ and fibres $E^{[n]}(x_1 + \cdots + x_n) = \mathsf{H}^0(E_{|x_1 + \cdots + x_n})$.

One much-studied question regarding tautological bundles is whether they are stabile. Here, by *stability* we mean slope stability with respect to the polarisation $H = x + C^{(n-1)} \subset C^{(n)}$. Strengthening earlier results of [AO94, BS92, Mis19, EMLN11, BN13, DP16, BD18], it was proven in [Kru18] that if E is (semi-)stable with slope $\mu(E) \notin [-1, n-1]$ (or, for semi-stability, $\mu(E) \notin (-1, n-1)$), then also $E^{[n]}$ is (semi-)stable.

For $\mu(E) \in [-1, n - 1]$, the situation seems more complicated. It was observed in [Kru18, Sect. 3] that the above condition for stability is already numerically optimal: For every curve C and every $d = 0, \ldots, n-2$ there is a line bundle of degree d on C such that $L^{[n]}$ is unstable. There are also line bundles of degree -1 and n-1 such that $L^{[n]}$ is strictly semi-stable. On the other hand, there are also examples of line bundles with $d \in (-1, n - 1)$ such that $L^{[n]}$ is stable. Namely, by [BN13], $L^{[2]}$ is stable for every non-trivial line bundle L of degree zero. We would like to find a complete answer to the following question:

Let E be a (semi-)stable bundle of slope $\mu(E) \in [-1, n-1]$. Under which circumstances is $E^{[n]}$ a (semi-)stable bundle on $C^{(n)}$?

An obvious first approach to get a meaningful restriction on semi-stability of $E^{[n]}$ is to use the Bogomolov inequality

$$\int_{C^{(n)}} \Delta(E^{[n]}) H^{n-2} \ge 0$$

where $\Delta(E^{[n]}) = -2 \operatorname{rank}(E^{[n]}) \operatorname{ch}_2(E^{[n]}) + \operatorname{c}_1(E^{[n]})^2$ is the discriminant; see [Bog78], [Miy87], [HL10, Thm. 7.3.1]. In this paper, we compute the relevant intersection number.

Theorem 1.1. Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus g, and let E be a vector bundle on C of rank r and degree d. Then, for every $n \ge 2$,

$$\int_{C^{(n)}} \Delta(E^{[n]}) H^{n-2} = d^2 - (n-2)dr + (n-1)(g-1)r^2.$$

Dividing the equation of Theorem 1.1 by r^2 , we see that

$$\int \Delta(E^{[n]}) H^{n-2} \ge 0 \quad \iff \quad \mu^2 - (n-2)\mu + (n-1)(g-1) \ge 0.$$

Hence, the Bogomolov inequality gives

Corollary 1.2. The tautological bundle $E^{[n]}$ is unstable for every vector bundle E on C with

$$\mu(E) \in \left(\frac{n-2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(n-2)^2 - 4(n-1)(g-1)} , \frac{n-2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(n-2)^2 - 4(n-1)(g-1)}\right)$$

For $g \ge 1$, the interval of Corollary 1.2 lies inside the interval (g-1, n-g), and it approaches for large n asymptotically the whole interval (g-1, n-g).

However, the unstability criterion of Corollary 1.2 is weaker than one already given in [Kru18, Sect. 3]. There, it was observed that $\mathcal{O}_{C^{(n)}}$ is a destabilising subbundle of $E^{[n]}$ for every E with $\mu(E) < n-1$ and $\mathsf{H}^0(E) \neq 0$. By the Riemann-Roch theorem, this implies that $E^{[n]}$ is always unstable when $\mu(E) \in (g-1, n-1)$.

Let now n = 2, such that $C^{(2)}$ is a surface. Then for $d \notin [-1, r]$, the bundle $E^{[2]}$ is stable by [Kru18], and we write $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{C^{(2)}}(\mathsf{ch}(E^{[2]}), \mathsf{det}(E^{[2]}))$ for the moduli space of stable sheaves on $C^{(2)}$ with the same Chern character and determinant. Theorem 1.1 tells us that $\int \Delta(E^{[n]})$ grows quadratically with d. Hence, we can apply the results of [O'G96] to obtain

Corollary 1.3. For $|d| \gg 0$, the moduli space \mathcal{M} is irreducible and generically reduced of the expected dimension.

Since $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{C^{(2)}}) = 1 - g + {g \choose 2} = \frac{1}{2}(g^2 - 3g + 2)$, the expected dimension of \mathcal{M} is

$$\Delta(E^{[2]}) - (\operatorname{rank}(E^{[2]})^2 - 1)\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = d^2 + r^2(g-1) - \frac{1}{2}(4r^2 - 1)(g^2 - 3g + 2).$$

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

2.1. General Conventions. All our computations are carried out in the Chow rings modulo numerical equivalence. In particular, we will often omit the integral symbol \int when writing intersection numbers.

Throughout C will denote a smooth projective curve over a field of characteristic zero, and x will denote a point of the curve C. It will not matter which point, since we are doing our computations modulo numerical equivalence. In particular, the notion of slope stability with respect to our polarisation $H_n = x + C^{(n-1)}$ of $C^{(n)}$ does not depend on the choice of $x \in C$.

2.2. Some intersection numbers on C^n . Let $\pi_n : C^n \to C^{(n)}$ be the \mathfrak{S}_n -quotient morphism. We have $\widetilde{H}_n := \pi_n^* H_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathsf{pr}_i^*([x])$ where $\mathsf{pr}_i : C^n \to C$ is the projection to the *i*-th factor. For $1 \le i < j \le n$, we consider the *pairwise diagonal*

$$\Delta_{ij} = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C^n \mid x_i = x_j \right\}.$$

The big diagonal is the sum of all pairwise diagonals

$$\delta_n = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \Delta_{ij}$$

Another divisor on C^n that we will need is $\delta'_n = \delta_n - \overline{\mathsf{pr}}_1^* \delta_n = \sum_{j=2}^n \Delta_{1j}$ where

$$\overline{\mathsf{pr}}_1 \colon C^n \to C^{n-1}$$
 , $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

We will often omit the index n in the notation and write π , H, \tilde{H} , δ , δ' instead of π_n , H_n , \tilde{H}_n , δ_n , δ'_n . For $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we define

$$\eta_I := \prod_{i \in I} \mathsf{pr}_i^*([x]) = \left[\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i = x \ \forall i \in I \} \right].$$

Since $\operatorname{pr}_{i}^{*}([x])^{2} = 0$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we get

(1)
$$\widetilde{H}^k = k! \sum_{|I|=k} \eta_I \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Lemma 2.1. We have the following intersection numbers on C^n :

(2)
$$\widetilde{H}^n = n!$$
, $\delta \widetilde{H}^{n-1} = n!(n-1)$, $\delta^2 \widetilde{H}^{n-2} = -n!(g-1) + n!(n-2)n$,
(3) $\mathsf{pr}_1^*([x])\delta' \widetilde{H}^{n-2} = (n-1)!$, $\delta'^2 \widetilde{H}^{n-2} = -(n-1)!2(g-1) + (n-1)!(n-2)3$.

Proof. The first equation is just a special case of (1). For the second equation, note that, for

$$|I| = n - 1$$
, we have

$$\Delta_{ij}\eta_I = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |I \cap \{i, j\}| = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |I \cap \{i, j\}| = 2. \end{cases}$$

Hence, by (1), we get $\Delta_{ij}\widetilde{H}^{n-1} = (n-1)!2$. Since there are $\binom{n}{2}$ pairwise diagonals, we get $\delta \widetilde{H}^{n-1} = \binom{n}{2}(n-1)!2 = n!(n-1).$

We have $\operatorname{pr}_1^*([x])\Delta_{1j} = \eta_{\{1,j\}}$. Hence, the only summand of \widetilde{H}^{n-2} which has a non-zero intersection pairing with $\operatorname{pr}_1^*([x])\Delta_{1j}$ is $\eta_{\{2,\dots,n\}\setminus\{j\}}$. Hence $\operatorname{pr}_1^*([x])\Delta_{1j}\widetilde{H}^{n-2} = (n-2)!$, again by (1). The formula $\operatorname{pr}_1^*([x])\delta'\widetilde{H}^{n-2} = (n-2)!(n-1) = (n-1)!$ follows as δ' consists of (n-1) pairwise diagonals. For the computation of $\delta'^2\widetilde{H}^{n-2}$, we first note that

(4)
$$\delta'^2 = \sum_{j=2}^n \Delta_{1j}^2 + 2 \sum_{2 \le i < j \le n} \Delta_{1i} \Delta_{1j}.$$

The self-intersection of a pairwise diagonal is $\Delta_{1j}^2 = -2(g-1)\eta_{\{1,j\}}$. Hence,

(5)
$$\Delta_{1j}^2 \widetilde{H}^{n-2} = -(n-2)!2(g-1)$$

Furthermore, $\Delta_{1i}\Delta_{1j} = \Delta_{1ij} = \{(x_1, ..., x_n) \mid x_1 = x_i = x_j\}$. For |I| = n - 2, we have

$$\Delta_{1ij}\eta_I = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |I \cap \{1, i, j\}| = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |I \cap \{1, i, j\}| \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

A. KRUG

Since there are three $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with |I| = n - 2 and $|I \cap \{1, i, j\}| = 1$, equation (1) yields

(6)
$$\Delta_{1ij}\widetilde{H}^{n-2} = (n-2)!3$$

We obtain the formula for $\delta'^2 \widetilde{H}^{n-2}$ by combining (4), (5), and (6).

The computation of $\delta^2 \widetilde{H}^{n-2}$ is very similar using that

$$\delta^2 = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \Delta_{ij}^2 + 6 \sum_{1 \le i < j < k \le n} \Delta_{ijk} + \sum_{\{i,j\} \cap \{k,\ell\} = \emptyset} \Delta_{ij} \Delta_{k,\ell}$$

instead of (4). The only additional ingredient is that, for $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, \ell\} = \emptyset$ and |I| = n - 2, we have

$$\Delta_{ij}\Delta_{k\ell}\eta_I = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |I \cap \{i, j\}| = 1 = |I \cap \{k, \ell\}|, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \square$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $\alpha \in CH^2(C^{n-2})$. Then

$$\int_{C^n} \widetilde{H}_n^{n-2} \overline{\mathrm{pr}}_1^* \alpha = (n-2) \int_{C^{n-1}} \widetilde{H}_{n-1}^{n-3} \alpha$$

Proof. By projection formula,

$$\int_{C^n} \widetilde{H}_n^{n-2} \overline{\mathrm{pr}}_1^* \alpha = \int_{C^{n-1}} \overline{\mathrm{pr}}_{1*} (\widetilde{H}_n^{n-2} \overline{\mathrm{pr}}_1^* \alpha) = \int_{C^{n-1}} (\overline{\mathrm{pr}}_{1*} \widetilde{H}_n^{n-2}) \alpha \,.$$

We have $\overline{\mathsf{pr}}_{1*}\widetilde{H}_n^{n-2} = (n-2)\widetilde{H}_{n-1}^{n-3}$ as follows form (1) together with

$$\overline{\mathsf{pr}}_{1*}\eta_I = \begin{cases} \eta_{I\setminus\{1\}} & \text{if } 1 \in I, \\ 0 & \text{if } 1 \notin I. \end{cases} \square$$

2.3. Computation of the discriminant by induction. The Chern characters of tautological bundles are computed in [Mat65, Sect. 3] in the case that E = L is a line bundle. So one way to proceed would be to generalise the formula [Mat65, Prop. 6] to higher rank bundles, and then use it to compute $\Delta(E^{[n]})H^{n-2}$. Instead, we chose a more direct route to the computation of $\Delta(E^{[n]})H^{n-2}$, using the short exact sequence

(7)
$$0 \to \operatorname{pr}_1^* E(-\delta'_n) \to \pi_n^* E^{[n]} \to \overline{\operatorname{pr}}_1^* \pi_{n-1}^* E^{[n-1]} \to 0.$$

of [Kru18, Prop. 1.4] to proceed by induction on n.

We consider a vector bundle E of rank r and degree d on the curve C of genus g.

Lemma 2.3. For all $n \ge 2$, we have

$$c_1(E^{[n]})^2 H^{n-2} = d^2 - 2dr(n-1) - r^2(g-1) + r^2n(n-2)$$

Proof. Using the short exact sequence (7) one deduces by induction the formula

$$\mathsf{c}_1(\pi^* E^{[n]}) = d\widetilde{H} - r\delta;$$

see [Kru18, Sect. 1.7]. Hence, $c_1(\pi^* E^{[n]})^2 = d^2 \widetilde{H}^2 - 2 dr \delta \widetilde{H} + r^2 \delta^2$. By (2), we get

$$c_1(\pi^* E^{[n]})^2 \widetilde{H}^{n-2} = n! (d^2 - 2dr(n-1) - r^2(g-1) + r^2n(n-2)).$$

Since $\pi \colon C^n \to C^{(n)}$ is of degree n!, we have $\mathsf{c}_1(E^{[n]})^2 H^{n-2} = \frac{\mathsf{c}_1(\pi^* E^{[n]})^2 \widetilde{H}^{n-2}}{n!}$.

Lemma 2.4. For every $n \ge 2$, we have

$$\mathsf{ch}_2(E^{[n]})H^{n-2} = -\frac{1}{2} (d + (g-1)r - (n-2)r).$$

Proof. We have $\operatorname{ch}(\operatorname{pr}_1^* E) = r + d \operatorname{pr}_1^*([x])$ and $\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{O}(-\delta')) = 1 - \delta' + \frac{1}{2}\delta'^2 \pm \dots$ Hence, $\operatorname{ch}_2(\operatorname{pr}_1^* E(-\delta')) = -d \operatorname{pr}_1^*([x])\delta' + \frac{1}{2}r\delta'^2$ which by (3) gives

(8)
$$\operatorname{ch}_{2}(\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*}E(-\delta'))\widetilde{H}^{n-2} = -(n-1)!d - (n-1)!(g-1)r + (n-1)!(n-2)\frac{3}{2}r$$

We now can prove the assertion, or rather the equivalent formula

(9)
$$\mathsf{ch}_{2}(\pi_{n}^{*}E^{[n]})\widetilde{H}^{n-2} = -\frac{1}{2}n! \left(d + (g-1)r - (n-2)r\right),$$

by induction using the short exact sequence (7). For n = 2, the sequence gives $ch_2(\pi_2^* E^{[2]}) = ch_2(pr_1^* E(-\delta'))$, and we check that the right-hand sides of (8) and (9) are equal for n = 2. For $n \ge 3$, the sequence (7) together with Lemma 2.2 give

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{ch}_2(\pi_n^* E^{[n]}) \widetilde{H}_n^{n-2} &= \mathsf{ch}_2(\mathsf{pr}_1^* \, E(-\delta')) \widetilde{H}_n^{n-2} + \overline{\mathsf{pr}}_1^* \, \mathsf{ch}_2(\pi_{n-1}^* E^{[n-1]}) \widetilde{H}_n^{n-2} \\ &= \mathsf{ch}_2(\mathsf{pr}_1^* \, E(-\delta')) \widetilde{H}_n^{n-2} + (n-2) \, \mathsf{ch}_2(\pi_{n-1}^* E^{[n-1]}) \widetilde{H}_{n-1}^{n-3} \,. \end{split}$$

Now, the induction step is done by plugging (8) and the n-1 case of (9) into the right-hand side.

Recall that the discriminant is defined as

$$\Delta(E^{[n]}) = -2\operatorname{rank}(E^{[n]})\operatorname{ch}_2(E^{[n]}) + \operatorname{c}_1(E^{[n]})^2 = -2nr\operatorname{ch}_2(E^{[n]}) + \operatorname{c}_1(E^{[n]})^2 + \operatorname{ch}_2(E^{[n]}) + \operatorname{ch}_2(E^{[$$

Hence Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 together compute $\Delta(E^{[n]})H^{n-2}$ and prove Theorem 1.1.

References

- [AO94] Vincenzo Ancona and Giorgio Ottaviani. Stability of special instanton bundles on \mathbf{P}^{2n+1} . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 341(2):677–693, 1994.
- [BD18] Suratno Basu and Krishanu Dan. Stability of secant bundles on the second symmetric power of curves. Arch. Math. (Basel), 110(3):245–249, 2018.
- [BN13] Indranil Biswas and D. S. Nagaraj. Stability of secant bundles on second symmetric power of a curve. In *Commutative algebra and algebraic geometry (CAAG-2010)*, volume 17 of *Ramanujan Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser.*, pages 13–18. Ramanujan Math. Soc., Mysore, 2013.
- [Bog78] Fedor A. Bogomolov. Holomorphic tensors and vector bundles on projective manifolds. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 42(6):1227–1287, 1439, 1978.
- [BS92] Guntram Bohnhorst and Heinz Spindler. The stability of certain vector bundles on \mathbf{P}^n . In Complex algebraic varieties (Bayreuth, 1990), volume 1507 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 39–50. Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [DP16] Krishanu Dan and Sarbeswar Pal. Semistability of certain bundles on second symmetric power of a curve. J. Geom. Phys., 103:37–42, 2016.
- [EMLN11] A. El Mazouni, F. Laytimi, and D. S. Nagaraj. Secant bundles on second symmetric power of a curve. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc., 26(2):181–194, 2011.
- [HL10] Daniel Huybrechts and Manfred Lehn. *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2010.
- [Kru18] Andreas Krug. Stability of tautological bundles on symmetric products of curves. arXiv:1809.06450, 2018.
- [Mat65] Arthur Mattuck. Secant bundles on symmetric products. Amer. J. Math., 87:779–797, 1965.
- [Mis19] Ernesto C. Mistretta. On stability of tautological bundles and their total transforms. *Milan J. Math.*, 87(2):273–282, 2019.

A. KRUG

- [Miy87] Yoichi Miyaoka. The Chern classes and Kodaira dimension of a minimal variety. In Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, volume 10 of Adv. Stud. Pure Math., pages 449–476. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [O'G96] Kieran G. O'Grady. Moduli of vector bundles on projective surfaces: some basic results. *Invent.* Math., 123(1):141–207, 1996.

6