A measure differential inclusion involving time-dependent maximal monotone operators

Dalila Azzam-Laouir *

March 15, 2021

Abstract

We establish in this paper, an existence and uniqueness result of right continuous with bounded variation solution for a perturbed differential inclusion governed by time-dependent maximal monotone operators.

Keywords: Bounded variation, differential measure, Lipschitz mapping, maximal monotone operator, pseudo-distance, right continuous.

AMS Subject Classifications: 2010: 34H05, 34K35, 60H10 28A25, 28C20

1 Introduction

Let I = [0, T] (T > 0). We consider in this paper, in a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , the following perturbed evolution differential inclusion

$$-Du(t) \in A(t)u(t) + f(t, u(t)) \quad a.e., \quad u(0) = u_0, \tag{1.1}$$

where for each $t \in I$, A(t) is a maximal monotone operator of \mathcal{H} , and where the set-valued map $t \mapsto A(t)$ is right continuous with bounded variation (BVRC), in the sense that there exists a function $\rho: I \to [0, \infty[$, which is right continuous on [0, T[and nondecreasing with $\rho(0) = 0$ and $\rho(T) < \infty$ such that

$$dis(A(t), A(s)) \le d\rho(|s, t|) = \rho(t) - \rho(s) \quad 0 \le s \le t \le T,$$

here $dis(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the pseudo-distance between maximal monotone operators introduced by Vladimirov [29]; see relation (2.9), and $f: I \times \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is measurable in t and satisfies a Lipschitz condition w.r.t the second variable. For the study of problem (1.1) without perturbation, we refer to Theorem 1 in [16].

^{*}LAOTI, FSEI, Université Mohamed Seddik Benyahia de Jijel, Algérie. E-mail: laouir.dalila@gmail.com, dalilalaouir@univ-jijel.dz

Our result extends to the BVRC time-depend maximal monotone operators, Theorem 3.1 in [6], dealing with BVC (continuous with bounded variation) time-dependent maximal monotone operators, and Theorem 4.1 in [1] dealing with BVRC sweeping processes.

It is worth to mention that in the recent work by Azzam et al [7], the authors gave a theorem on the existence and uniqueness of a BVRC solution to problem (1.1), by assuming that the domain of the operator A(t) is ball-compact. In the present paper, we will establish the same result without any compactness assumption. Actually, we will prove the Cauchy criteria to conclude the convergence of our approximate sequence to the desired solution, by following ideas in the proofs of Theorem 3.1 in [6] and Theorem 4.1 in [1].

Let λ be the Lebesgue measure on I and $d\rho$ the Stieljes measure associated with ρ . We set $\nu := \lambda + d\rho$ and $\frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}$ the density of λ w.r.t ν . By a solution of (1.1), we mean that there exists a BVRC mapping $u: I \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ satisfying

$$(P_f) \begin{cases} u(0) = u_0 \in D(A(0)); \\ u(t) \in D(A(t)) \quad \forall t \in I; \\ -\frac{du}{d\nu}(t) \in A(t)u(t) + f(t, u(t))\frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) \quad d\nu - a.e. \ t \in I, \end{cases}$$

where $\frac{du}{d\nu}$ denotes the density of u w.r.t ν .

There is an intensive study on differential inclusions governed by time-dependent and time and state dependent maximal monotone operators, where the variation of the operator is absolutely continuous or continuous with bounded variation; see for instance [3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 15, 16, 17, 25, 26, 28, 29]. However, there are few works concerning (P_f) when the variation of the operator A(t) is right continuous with bounded variation (BVRC). Actually, to the best of our knowledge, there is an existence result to (P_0) (f = 0) in [16] and for the perturbed problem we refer to the recent reference [7].

Differential inclusions governed by time-dependent maximal monotone operators constitute generalization of the so called "sweeping process", that is differential inclusions governed by the normal cone to closed and convex moving sets, since this normal cone is a maximal monotone operator. This process was introduced and widely studied by J.J. Moreau; we cite for instance [19, 20]. We can also refer to the book of Monteiro-Marques [18]. Following the results of Moreau, several extensions in diverse directions, in particular perturbed sweeping processes, have been studied in the literature, where the moving sets in the normal cone have absolutely continuous variation or continuous and bounded in variation (BVC). The BVRC case was also deeply discussed in many interesting papers; we cite for instance [1, 2, 10, 13, 14, 21, 24, 27].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give notations and recall the preliminary results that we need in the sequel. In section 3, we prove the existence and uniqueness of a right continuous with bounded variation solution to the evolution problem (P_f) when $t \mapsto A(t)$ is BVRC and the perturbation $f: I \times \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is measurable on I and satisfies a Lipschitz condition w.r.t the second variable.

2 Notations and Preliminaries

From now on, I := [0,T] (T > 0) is an interval of \mathbb{R} and $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a separable Hilbert space. Its norm will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|$, and its unit closed ball (resp. closed ball of center 0 and radius r > 0) will be denoted by $\overline{B}_{\mathcal{H}}$ (resp. $r\overline{B}_{\mathcal{H}}$). We will denote by $\mathcal{B}(I)$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$) the Borel tribe on I (resp. on \mathcal{H}). The identity mapping of \mathcal{H} will be denoted by $Id_{\mathcal{H}}$. For a subset K of \mathcal{H} , $\overline{co}(K)$ will be the closed convex hull of K, which is characterized by:

$$\overline{co}(K) = \{ y \in \mathcal{H} : \langle y, x \rangle \le \sup_{z \in K} \langle z, x \rangle \ \forall x \in K \}.$$
 (2.1)

If μ is a positive measure on I, we will denote by $L^p(I, \mathcal{H}; \mu)$ $p \in [1, +\infty[$ (resp. $p = +\infty)$), the Banach space of classes of p- μ -integrable (resp. μ -essentially bounded) functions equipped with its classical norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ (resp. $\|\cdot\|_\infty$).

We introduce in the following, definitions and some properties of functions with bounded variation and general vector measures. We refer to [18, 21, 22, 23] for more details.

Let $u: I \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$. The variation of u in I is the nonnegative extended real number

$$var(u;I) := \sup \sum_{k=1}^{n} ||u(t_k) - u(t_{k-1})||,$$
(2.2)

where the supremum is taken in $[0, +\infty]$ w.r.t all the finite sequences $t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n$ of points of I (n is arbitrary). The function u is said with bounded variation (BV) if and only if $var(u; I) < +\infty$, and we write $u \in BV(I, \mathcal{H})$. In this case we have

$$\lim_{s \uparrow t} ||u(t) - u(s)|| = \lim_{s \uparrow t} var(u; [s, t]).$$

Now, let μ be a positive Radon measure on I and $\hat{\mu}$ be an \mathcal{H} -valued measure on I admitting a density $\frac{d\hat{\mu}}{d\mu} \in L^1_{loc}(I;\mathcal{H};\mu)$. Then for $d\mu$ -almost every $t \in I$, we have

$$\frac{d\hat{\mu}}{d\mu}(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{d\hat{\mu}([t, t + \varepsilon])}{d\mu([t, t + \varepsilon])} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{d\hat{\mu}([t - \varepsilon, t])}{d\mu([t - \varepsilon, t])}.$$
 (2.3)

The measure $\hat{\mu}$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t μ if and only if $\hat{\mu} = \frac{d\hat{\mu}}{d\mu}\mu$, i.e., $\frac{d\hat{\mu}}{d\mu}$ is a density of $\hat{\mu}$ w.r.t μ . In this case a mapping $u: I \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is $\hat{\mu}$ -integrable if and only if the mapping $t \mapsto u(t) \frac{d\hat{\mu}}{d\mu}(t)$ is μ -integrable, and we have

$$\int_{I} u(t)d\hat{\mu}(t) = \int_{I} u(t)\frac{d\hat{\mu}}{d\mu}(t)d\mu(t). \tag{2.4}$$

If $u: I \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is BVRC and du is its differential measure, then we have

$$u(t) = u(s) + \int_{[s,t]} du \quad \forall s, t \in I \ (s \le t).$$
 (2.5)

Conversely, if there exists $v \in L^1(I, \mathcal{H}; \mu)$ such that $u(t) = u(0) + \int_{]0,t]} v \, d\mu$ for all $t \in I$, then u is BVRC and $du = v \, d\mu$, that is v is a density of the vector measure du w.r.t the measure μ . So that by (2.3), we get

$$v(t) = \frac{du}{d\mu}(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{du([t, t + \varepsilon])}{d\mu([t, t + \varepsilon])} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{du([t - \varepsilon, t])}{d\mu([t - \varepsilon, t])}.$$
 (2.6)

If $\mu(t) > 0$, this last relation shows that

$$v(t) = \frac{du}{d\mu}(t) = \frac{du(\lbrace t \rbrace)}{d\mu(\lbrace t \rbrace)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\lambda}{d\mu}(t) = 0.$$
 (2.7)

The following lemmas will be needed in our proof.

Lemma 2.1 ([22]) Let μ be a positive Radon measure on I and $u: I \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ a BVRC mapping such that its differential measure du has a density $\frac{du}{d\mu}$ w.r.t μ . Then the real function $\varphi: t \mapsto \varphi(t) = ||u(t)||^2$ is BVRC and its differential measure $d\varphi$ satisfies

$$d\varphi \le 2\langle u, \frac{du}{d\mu} \rangle d\mu. \tag{2.8}$$

Lemma 2.2 ([9], Lemma 2.1) Let μ be a positive Radon measure on I. Let $g \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}; \mu)$ be a nonnegative function and $\beta \geq 0$ be such that, $\forall t \in I$, $0 \leq \mu(\{t\})g(t) \leq \beta < 1$. Let $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(I, \mathbb{R}; \mu)$ be a nonnegative function satisfying

$$\varphi(t) \le \alpha + \int_{]0,t]} g(s)\varphi(s)\mu(ds) \quad \forall t \in I,$$

where α is a nonnegative constant. Then

$$\varphi(t) \le \alpha \exp\left(\frac{1}{1-\beta} \int_{[0,t]} g(s)\mu(ds)\right) \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Lemma 2.3 Let (α_i) , (β_i) , (γ_i) and (a_i) be sequences of nonnegative real numbers such that $a_{i+1} \leq \alpha_i + \beta_i (a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_{i-1}) + (1 + \gamma_i) a_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$a_j \le \left(a_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \alpha_k\right) \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \left(k\beta_k + \gamma_k\right)\right) \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

We finish this section by the definition and some properties of maximal monotone operators. We refer the reader to [8, 12, 30] for these concepts.

Let $A: \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$ be a set-valued map. We denote by D(A), R(A) and Gr(A) its domain, range and graph. We say that the operator A is monotone, if $\langle y_1 - y_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq 0$ for all $(x_i, y_i) \in Gr(A)$ (i = 1, 2), and we say that A is a maximal monotone operator of \mathcal{H} , if it is monotone and its graph could not be contained strictly in the graph of any other monotone operator.

If A is a maximal monotone operator of \mathcal{H} , then for every $x \in D(A)$, A(x) is nonempty closed and convex. We denote the projection of the origin on the set A(x) by $A^0(x)$.

For $\eta > 0$, we denote by $J_{\eta}^{A} = (Id_{\mathcal{H}} + \eta A)^{-1}$ the resolvent of A. It is well-known that this operator is single-valued and defined on all of \mathcal{H} , furthermore $J_{\eta}^{A}(x) \in D(A)$, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Let $A: D(A) \subset \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$ and $B: D(B) \subset \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$ be two maximal monotone operators. Then, dis(A, B) is the Vladimirov's pseudo-distance between A and B, defined as follows

$$dis(A,B) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\langle y - y', x' - x \rangle}{1 + \|y\| + \|y'\|} : (x,y) \in Gr(A), (x',y') \in Gr(B) \right\}.$$
 (2.9)

The following lemmas are also needed. We refer to [16] for their proofs.

Lemma 2.4 Let A be a maximal monotone operator of \mathcal{H} . If $x \in \overline{D(A)}$ and $y \in \mathcal{H}$ are such that

$$\langle A^0(z) - y, z - x \rangle \ge 0 \ \forall z \in D(A),$$

then $x \in D(A)$ and $y \in A(x)$.

Lemma 2.5 Let A_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ and A be maximal monotone operators of \mathcal{H} such that $dis(A_n, A) \to 0$. Suppose also that $x_n \in D(A_n)$ with $x_n \to x$ and $y_n \in A_n(x_n)$ with $y_n \to y$ weakly for some $x, y \in E$. Then $x \in D(A)$ and $y \in A(x)$.

Lemma 2.6 Let A and B be maximal monotone operators of \mathcal{H} . Then 1) for $\eta > 0$ and $x \in D(A)$

$$||x - J_{\eta}^{B}(x)|| \le \eta ||A^{0}(x)|| + dis(A, B) + \sqrt{\eta (1 + ||A^{0}(x)||) dis(A, B)}.$$

2) For $\eta > 0$ and $x, x' \in E$

$$||J_{\eta}^{A}(x) - J_{\eta}^{A}(x')|| \le ||x - x'||.$$

Lemma 2.7 Let A_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ and A be maximal monotone operators of \mathcal{H} such that $dis(A_n, A) \to 0$ and $||A_n^0(x)|| \le c(1 + ||x||)$ for some c > 0, all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in D(A_n)$. Then for every $z \in D(A)$ there exists a sequence (ζ_n) such that

$$\zeta_n \in D(A_n), \quad \zeta_n \to z \quad and \quad A_n^0(\zeta_n) \to A^0(z).$$

We will establish our main result under the following hypotheses.

 (H_1) There exists a function $\rho: I \longrightarrow [0, +\infty[$ which is right continuous on [0, T[and nondecreasing with $\rho(0) = 0$ and $\rho(T) < +\infty$ such that

$$dis(A(t), A(s)) \le d\rho(|s, t|) = \rho(t) - \rho(s)$$
 for $0 \le s \le t \le T$.

 (H_2) There exists a nonnegative real constant c such that

$$||A^{0}(t,x)|| \le c(1+||x||)$$
 for $t \in I$, $x \in D(A(t))$.

 (H_3) There exists a nonnegative real constant m such that

$$||f(t,x)|| \le m(1+||x||) \quad \forall (t,x) \in I \times \mathcal{H}.$$

 (H_4) There exists $\alpha \in L^1(I,\mathbb{R};\lambda)$ such that

$$||f(t,x) - f(t,y)|| \le \alpha(t)||x - y|| \quad \forall (t,x,y) \in I \times \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}.$$

3 Main result

Now we are able to state our main theorem.

Theorem 3.1 Let for every $t \in I$, $A(t) : D(A(t)) \subset \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a maximal monotone operator satisfying (H_1) and (H_2) . Let $f : I \times \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be such that for any fixed $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $f(\cdot,x)$ is $(\mathcal{B}(I),\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ -measurable and (H_3) , (H_4) are satisfied.

Then for any $u_0 \in D(A(0))$, there exists a unique BVRC solution $u: I \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ to the problem

$$(P_f) \begin{cases} -\frac{du}{d\nu}(t) \in A(t)u(t) + f(t, u(t)) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) & \nu - a.e. \ t \in I; \\ u(t) \in D(A(t)) & \forall t \in I; \\ u(0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Following Castaing et al [13], we can choose a sequence $(\varepsilon_n) \subset]0,1]$ such that $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ and a partition $0 = t_0^n < t_1^n < \dots < t_{q_n}^n = T$ of I, for which we have

$$\nu([t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n]) = |t_{i+1}^n - t_i^n| + d\rho([t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n]) \le \varepsilon_n \quad \text{for } i = 0, ..., q_n - 1.$$
(3.1)

For each $i \in \{0, ..., q_n - 1\}$, put $I_i^n =]t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n]$,

$$\delta_{i+1}^n = d\rho([t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n]), \quad \eta_{i+1}^n = t_{i+1}^n - t_i^n, \quad \beta_{i+1}^n = \nu([t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n]). \tag{3.2}$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $u_0^n = u_0 \in D(A(0))$, and let us define by induction, the sequence $(u_i^n)_{0 \le i \le q_n - 1}$ such that

$$u_{i+1}^n = J_{i+1}^n \left(u_i^n - \int_{t_i^n}^{t_{i+1}^n} f(s, u_i^n) d\lambda(s) \right)$$
(3.3)

where $J_{i+1}^n := J_{\beta_{i+1}^n}^{A(t_{i+1}^n)} = \left(Id_{\mathcal{H}} + \beta_{i+1}^n A(t_{i+1}^n)\right)^{-1}$.

Clearly, by the properties of the resolvent, we have $u_{i+1}^n \in D(A(t_{i+1}^n))$ and

$$-\frac{1}{\beta_{i+1}^n} \left(u_{i+1}^n - u_i^n + \int_{t^n}^{t_{i+1}^n} f(s, u_i^n) d\lambda(s) \right) \in A(t_{i+1}^n) u_{i+1}^n. \tag{3.4}$$

For $t \in [t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n[, i = 0, ..., q_n - 1, \text{ set}]$

$$u_n(t) = u_i^n + \frac{\nu(]t_i^n, t]}{\nu(]t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n]} \left(u_{i+1}^n - u_i^n + \int_{t_i^n}^{t_{i+1}^n} f(s, u_i^n) d\lambda(s) \right) - \int_{t_i^n}^t f(s, u_i^n) d\lambda(s),$$
 (3.5)

and $u_n(T) = u_{q_n}^n$. So that $u_n(t_i^n) = u_i^n$ and u_n is a BVRC mapping on I.

Step 1. We prove in this step that the sequence (u_n) is bounded in norm and in variation. Using Lemma 2.6, we have from (3.3), (H_1) , (H_2) and (H_3) , for $i = 0, 1, \dots, q_n - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{i+1}^{n} - u_{i}^{n}\| & \leq \|J_{i+1}^{n} \left(u_{i}^{n} - \int_{t_{i}^{n}}^{t_{i+1}^{n}} f(s, u_{i}^{n}) d\lambda(s)\right) - J_{i+1}^{n}(u_{i}^{n})\| + \|J_{i+1}^{n}(u_{i}^{n}) - u_{i}^{n}\| \\ & \leq \int_{t_{i}^{n}}^{t_{i+1}^{n}} \|f(s, u_{i}^{n})\| d\lambda(s) + \beta_{i+1}^{n} \|A^{0}(t_{i}^{n}, u_{i}^{n})\| + dis\left(A(t_{i+1}^{n}), A(t_{i}^{n})\right) \\ & + \sqrt{\beta_{i+1}^{n} \left(1 + \|A^{0}(t_{i}^{n}, u_{i}^{n})\|\right) dis\left(A(t_{i+1}^{n}), A(t_{i}^{n})\right)} \\ & \leq m(1 + \|u_{i}^{n}\|)\beta_{i+1}^{n} + \left(1 + c(1 + \|u_{i}^{n}\|)\right)\beta_{i+1}^{n} + \sqrt{\left(1 + c(1 + \|u_{i}^{n}\|)\right)(\beta_{i+1}^{n})^{2}} \\ & \leq m(1 + \|u_{i}^{n}\|)\beta_{i+1}^{n} + \left(1 + c(1 + \|u_{i}^{n}\|)\right)\beta_{i+1}^{n} + \left(1 + c(1 + \|u_{i}^{n}\|)\right)\beta_{i+1}^{n}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$||u_{i+1}^n - u_i^n|| \le ((2c+m)||u_i^n|| + 2(1+c) + m)\beta_{i+1}^n,$$
(3.6)

which entails

$$||u_{i+1}^n|| \le (1 + (2c+m)\beta_{i+1}^n)||u_i^n|| + (2(1+c)+m)\beta_{i+1}^n.$$

Applying Lemma 2.3, we get

$$||u_i^n|| \le \left(||u_0|| + \left(2(1+c) + m\right) \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta_{j+1}^n\right) \exp\left((2c+m) \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta_{j+1}^n\right)$$

$$\le \left(||u_0|| + \left(2(1+c) + m\right)\nu(]0, T]\right) \exp\left((2c+m)\nu(]0, T]\right) =: m_1,$$

using this last estimate in (3.6), we obtain

$$||u_{i+1}^n - u_i^n|| \le ((2c+m)m_1 + 2(1+c) + m)\beta_{i+1}^n =: m_2\beta_{i+1}^n.$$

Consequently,

$$||u_i^n|| \le M \ \forall 0 \le i \le q_n \ \text{and} \ ||u_{i+1}^n - u_i^n|| \le M\nu(]t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n]) \ \forall 0 \le i < q_n,$$
 (3.7)

where $M = \max(m_1, m_2)$. Now, for $t \in [t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n[$, we have from (3.1), (3.5) and (3.7),

$$\|u_{n}(t) - u_{i}^{n}\| = \left\| \frac{\nu(]t_{i}^{n}, t]}{\nu(]t_{i}^{n}, t_{i+1}^{n}]} \left(u_{i+1}^{n} - u_{i}^{n} + \int_{t_{i}^{n}}^{t_{i+1}^{n}} f(s, u_{i}^{n}) d\lambda(s) \right) - \int_{t_{i}^{n}}^{t} f(s, u_{i}^{n}) d\lambda(s) \right\|$$

$$\leq \|u_{i+1}^{n} - u_{i}^{n}\| + 2m(1 + \|u_{i}^{n}\|) \delta_{i+1}^{n} \leq M \varepsilon_{n} + 2m(1 + M) \varepsilon_{n} =: M_{1} \varepsilon_{n}. (3.8)$$

This last relation gives us, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$||u_n(t)|| < M + M_1 \varepsilon_n < M + M_1 =: M_2 \quad \forall t \in I,$$

that is

$$\sup_{n} \|u_n\| = \sup_{n} \left(\sup_{t \in I} \|u_n(t)\| \right) \le M_2. \tag{3.9}$$

Step 2. Convergence of the sequences (u_n) and $(\frac{du_n}{d\nu})$. Define the functions ϕ_n , $\theta_n: I \longrightarrow I$ by

 $\phi_n(t) = t_i^n$, $\theta_n(t) = t_{i+1}^n$ for $t \in]t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n]$, $i = 0, 1, ..., q_n - 1$, and $\phi_n(0) = \theta_n(0) = 0$, and set for all $t \in I$,

$$B_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{q_n-1} \frac{1}{\nu([t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n])} \Big(u_{i+1}^n - u_i^n + \int_{t_i^n}^{t_{i+1}^n} f(s, u_i^n) d\lambda(s) \Big) \chi_{[t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n]}(t),$$

where $\chi_J(.)$ is the characteristic function of the set $J \subset I$. Whence, by (3.5), it is clear that

$$u_n(t) = u_0 + \int_{[0,t]} B_n(s) d\nu(s) - \int_{[0,t]} f(s, u_n(\phi_n(s))) d\lambda(s).$$

Since $\frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}$ is a density of λ w.r.t ν , then by (2.4), we get for every $t \in I$,

$$u_n(t) = u_0 + \int_{[0,t]} \left(B_n(s) - f(s, u_n(\phi_n(s))) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(s) \right) d\nu(s),$$

so that, $\frac{du_n}{d\nu}$ is a density of the vector measure du_n w.r.t ν and for ν -almost every $t \in I$,

$$\frac{du_n}{d\nu}(t) = B_n(t) - f(t, u_n(\phi_n(t))) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t),$$

that is, by the definition of B_n , for ν -almost every $t \in I$,

$$\frac{du_n}{d\nu}(t) + f(t, u_n(\phi_n(t))) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{q_n-1} \frac{1}{\nu(]t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n]} \left(u_{i+1}^n - u_i^n + \int_{t_i^n}^{t_{i+1}^n} f(s, u_i^n) d\lambda(s) \right) \chi_{]t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n]}(t).$$
(3.10)

From (H_3) , (3.7) and (3.10), it results that

$$\left\| \frac{du_n}{d\nu}(t) + f(t, u_n(\phi_n(t))) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) \right\| \le M + m(1+M) =: M_3 \quad \nu - a.e. \ t \in I.$$
 (3.11)

On the other hand, we know that $\nu = d\rho + \lambda$, whence $\frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) \leq 1$. So that, by (H_3) and (3.7), it follows that

$$\left\| f(t, u_n(\phi_n(t))) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) \right\| \le m(1+M) =: M_4 \quad \nu - a.e. \ t \in I,$$
 (3.12)

consequently, there is a Borel subset $J \subset I$ with $\nu(J) = 0$, such that

$$\left\| \frac{du_n}{d\nu}(t) \right\| \le M_3 + M_4 =: M_5 \quad \forall t \in I \setminus J. \tag{3.13}$$

Now, observe that by (3.4) and (3.10), for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a Borel subset $J_n \subset I$ with $\nu(J_n) = 0$ such that

$$\frac{du_n}{d\nu}(t) + f(t, u_n(\phi_n(t))) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) \in -A(\theta_n(t)) u_n(\theta_n(t)) \quad \forall t \in I \setminus J_n, \tag{3.14}$$

moreover,

$$u_n(\theta_n(t)) \in D(A(\theta_n(t))) \quad \forall t \in I.$$
 (3.15)

We will show in the following, that (u_n) is a Cauchy sequence. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set for all $t \in I$, $f_n(t) := f(t, u_n(\phi_n(t))) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t)$. From (H_1) and relations (3.14), (3.11), we have for ν -a.e. $t \in I$,

$$\left\langle u_{n}(\theta_{n}(t)) - u_{m}(\theta_{m}(t)), \frac{du_{n}}{d\nu}(t) + f_{n}(t) - \frac{du_{m}}{d\nu}(t) - f_{m}(t) \right\rangle \\
\leq \left(1 + \left\| \frac{du_{n}}{d\nu}(t) + f_{n}(t) \right\| + \left\| \frac{du_{m}}{d\nu}(t) + f_{m}(t) \right\| \right) dis(A(\theta_{n}(t)), A(\theta_{m}(t))) \\
\leq (1 + 2M_{3})|\rho(\theta_{n}(t)) - \rho(\theta_{m}(t))| \leq (1 + 2M_{3}) \left(|\rho(\theta_{n}(t)) - t| + |\rho(\theta_{m}(t)) - t| \right) \\
\leq (1 + 2M_{3}) \left(d\rho(|t, \theta_{n}(t)|) + d\rho(|t, \theta_{m}(t)|) \right).$$

On the other hand, from (3.11) and (3.13),

$$\left\langle u_{n}(t) - u_{n}(\theta_{n}(t)) - u_{m}(t) + u_{m}(\theta_{m}(t)), \frac{du_{n}}{d\nu}(t) + f_{n}(t) - \frac{du_{m}}{d\nu}(t) - f_{m}(t) \right\rangle$$

$$\leq 2M_{3} \left(\|u_{n}(t) - u_{n}(\theta_{n}(t))\| + \|u_{m}(t) - u_{m}(\theta_{m}(t))\| \right)$$

$$\leq 2M_{3}M_{5} \left(\nu(]t, \theta_{n}(t)] + \nu(]t, \theta_{m}(t)] \right).$$

Combining these last relations, we get for ν -a.e. $t \in I$,

$$\left\langle u_{n}(t) - u_{m}(t), \frac{du_{n}}{d\nu}(t) - \frac{du_{m}}{d\nu}(t) \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle u_{n}(t) - u_{n}(\theta_{n}(t)) - u_{m}(t) + u_{m}(\theta_{m}(t)), \frac{du_{n}}{d\nu}(t) + f_{n}(t) - \frac{du_{m}}{d\nu}(t) - f_{m}(t) \right\rangle$$

$$+ \left\langle u_{n}(\theta_{n}(t)) - u_{m}(\theta_{m}(t)), \frac{du_{n}}{d\nu}(t) + f_{n}(t) - \frac{du_{m}}{d\nu}(t) - f_{m}(t) \right\rangle$$

$$+ \left\langle u_{n}(t) - u_{m}(t), -f_{n}(t) + f_{m}(t) \right\rangle$$

$$\leq 2M_{3}M_{5}(\nu(]t, \theta_{n}(t)]) + \nu(]t, \theta_{m}(t)])) + (1 + 2M_{3})(d\rho(]t, \theta_{n}(t)]) + d\rho(]t, \theta_{m}(t)]))$$

$$+ \left\langle u_{n}(t) - u_{m}(t), -f_{n}(t) + f_{m}(t) \right\rangle$$

$$\leq c_{1}(\sigma_{n}(t) + \sigma_{m}(t)) + \left\langle u_{n}(t) - u_{m}(t), -f_{n}(t) + f_{m}(t) \right\rangle, \tag{3.16}$$

where, $c_1 := 2M_3M_5 + (1 + 2M_3)$ and $\sigma_n(t) = \nu(]t, \theta_n(t)])$. Let us examine the last term in the preceding estimate. For all $t \in I$, we have by (H_4)

$$\left\langle u_{n}(t) - u_{m}(t), -f_{n}(t) + f_{m}(t) \right\rangle
= \left\langle u_{n}(t) - u_{m}(t), -f(t, u_{n}(\phi_{n}(t))) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) + f(t, u_{m}(\phi_{m}(t))) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) \right\rangle
\leq \|u_{n}(t) - u_{m}(t)\| \left(\alpha(t) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) \|u_{n}(\phi_{n}(t)) - u_{m}(\phi_{m}(t))\| \right)
\leq \alpha(t) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) \|u_{n}(t) - u_{m}(t)\| \left(\|u_{n}(\phi_{n}(t)) - u_{n}(t)\| + \|u_{n}(t) - u_{m}(t)\| + \|u_{m}(t) - u_{m}(\phi_{m}(t))\| \right)
\leq \alpha(t) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) \|u_{n}(t) - u_{m}(t)\|^{2} + \gamma_{n,m}(t),$$

where,

$$\alpha(t) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) \|u_n(t) - u_m(t)\| (\|u_n(\phi_n(t)) - u_n(t)\| + \|u_m(t) - u_m(\phi_m(t))\|)$$

$$\leq M_5 \alpha(t) \|u_n(t) - u_m(t)\| (\nu(]\phi_n(t), t]) + \nu(]\phi_m(t), t])) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) =: \gamma_{n,m}(t).$$

Replacing in (3.16), its results that for ν -a.e. $t \in I$,

$$\left\langle u_n(t) - u_m(t), \frac{du_n}{d\nu}(t) - \frac{du_m}{d\nu}(t) \right\rangle \leq \alpha(t) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) \|u_n(t) - u_m(t)\|^2 + \Delta_{n,m}(t), \quad (3.17)$$

where $\Delta_{n,m}(t) := c_1(\sigma_n(t) + \sigma_m(t)) + \gamma_{n,m}(t)$. Since ρ is right continuous, it is clear that for all $t \in I$, $\sigma_n(t) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. On the other hand, we have for all $t \in I$, $\nu(]\phi_n(t),t])\frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) \to \nu(\{t\})\frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) = 0$ thanks to (2.7). This shows that $\gamma_{n,m}(t) \to 0$ as $n, m \to \infty$ since from (3.9), $||u_n(t)|| \le M_2$. We conclude that

$$\Delta_{n,m}(t) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \to \infty,$$
 (3.18)

and by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$\int_{[0,T]} \Delta_{n,m}(t) \, d\nu(t) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n, m \to \infty.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, we get from (3.17), taking account that $||u_n(0) - u_m(0)|| = 0$,

$$||u_n(t) - u_m(t)||^2 \le 2 \int_{[0,t]} \left(\alpha(s) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(s) ||u_n(s) - u_m(s)||^2\right) d\nu(s) + 2 \int_{[0,T]} \Delta_{n,m}(s) d\nu(s).$$

Since (see (2.7)) $\alpha(s) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(s)\nu(\{s\}) = 0 < 1$, we get by Lemma 2.2,

$$||u_n(t) - u_m(t)||^2 \leq \left(2 \int_{]0,T]} \Delta_{n,m}(s) \, d\nu(s)\right) \exp\left(2 \int_{]0,t]} \left(\alpha(s) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(s)\right) d\nu(s)\right)$$
$$= \left(2 \int_{]0,T]} \Delta_{n,m}(s) \, d\nu(s)\right) \exp\left(2 \int_{]0,t]} \alpha(s) d\lambda(s)\right),$$

so that

$$\sup_{t \in I} \|u_n(t) - u_m(t)\|^2 \le \left(2 \int_{]0,T]} \Delta_{n,m}(s) \, d\nu(s)\right) \exp\left(2 \int_{]0,T]} \alpha(s) d\lambda(s)\right).$$

We conclude, by (3.18), that (u_n) is a Cauchy sequence w.r.t the norm of uniform convergence on the space of \mathcal{H} -valued bounded mappings defined on I. This implies that (u_n) converges uniformly on I to some mapping u.

Now, observe that the sequence $(\frac{du_n}{d\nu})$ is bounded in $L^2(I,\mathcal{H};\nu)$ due to relation (3.13), so that it converges weakly in $L^2(I,\mathcal{H};\nu)$ to some mapping $w \in L^2(I,\mathcal{H};\nu)$. In particular, for all $t \in I$

$$\int_{]0,t]} \frac{du_n}{d\nu}(s) d\nu(s) \longrightarrow \int_{]0,t]} w(s) d\nu(s) \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{H}.$$

Since $\frac{du_n}{d\nu}$ is a density of the vector measure du_n w.r.t the measure ν , we have for all $t \in I$,

$$u_n(t) = u_0 + \int_{[0,t]} \frac{du_n}{d\nu}(s) \, d\nu(s)$$

and since $(u_n(t))$ converges strongly in \mathcal{H} and then weakly to u(t), we deduce by what precedes that

$$u(t) = u_0 + \int_{]0,t]} w(s) \, d\nu(s) \quad \forall t \in I.$$

This shows that u is right continuous with bounded variation and for ν -a.e. $t \in I$, $\frac{du}{d\nu} = w$. Consequently, $(\frac{du_n}{d\nu})$ converges weakly in $L^2(I, \mathcal{H}; \nu)$ to $\frac{du}{d\nu}$.

Step 3. Existence of solution.

First, observe that from (3.15), $u_n(\theta_n(t)) \in D(A(\theta_n(t)))$ for all $t \in I$. Also, we have that for all $t \in I$,

$$dis(A(\theta_n(t)), A(t)) \le |\rho(\theta_n(t)) - \rho(t)| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

and from (3.13),

$$||u_n(\theta_n(t)) - u_n(t)|| \le M_5 \nu(|t, \theta_n(t)|) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

so that,

$$||u_n(\theta_n(t)) - u(t)|| \le ||u_n(\theta_n(t)) - u_n(t)|| + ||u_n(t) - u(t)|| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (3.19)

On the other hand, by (3.9) and (H_2) , we have that the sequence $(A^0(\theta_n(t), u_n(\theta_n(t))))$ is bounded in \mathcal{H} , and hence it is weakly relatively compact. Using all these facts, we conclude by Lemma 2.5, that $u(t) \in D(A(t))$ for all $t \in I$.

Next, since the sequence $(\frac{du_n}{d\nu})$ converges weakly in $L^2(I, \mathcal{H}; \nu)$ to $\frac{du}{d\nu}$, by Mazur's theorem, there is a sequence (ξ_n) such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\xi_n \in co\{\frac{du_k}{d\nu}; k \geq n\}$, and (ξ_n) converges strongly in $L^2(I, \mathcal{H}; \nu)$ to $\frac{du}{d\nu}$. So that, there is a subsequence (ξ_{n_j}) , which converges ν -almost every where to $\frac{du}{d\nu}$. This means the existence of a Borel subset $J' \subset I$, with $\nu(J') = 0$ and for $t \in I \setminus J'$,

$$\xi_{n_j}(t) \longrightarrow \frac{du}{d\nu}(t) \in \bigcap_n \overline{co} \{ \frac{du_k}{d\nu}; \ k \ge n \}.$$

This implies, by (2.1), that for any fixed $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\left\langle \frac{du}{d\nu}(t), \eta \right\rangle \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left\langle \frac{du_n}{d\nu}(t), \eta \right\rangle.$$
 (3.20)

To prove that u is a solution to our considered problem, we will use Lemma 2.4. Since $u(t) \in D(A(t))$ for all $t \in I$, we have to show that for ν -almost every fixed $t \in I$ and for any $z \in D(A(t))$

$$\langle A^0(t,z) + \frac{du}{dv}(t) + f(t,u(t)) \frac{d\lambda}{dv}(t), z - u(t) \rangle \ge 0.$$

Indeed, let $t \in I$. By (H_2) , using Lemma 2.7, we can ensure the existence of a sequence $(\zeta_n)_n$, such that

$$\zeta_n \in D(A(\theta_n(t))), \quad \zeta_n \longrightarrow z \text{ and } A^0(\theta_n(t), \zeta_n) \longrightarrow A^0(t, z).$$
 (3.21)

Since $A(\theta_n(t))$ is monotone, using (3.14), we have for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in I \setminus J_n$,

$$\left\langle u_n(\theta_n(t)) - \zeta_n, \frac{du_n}{d\nu}(t) + f(t, u_n(\phi_n(t))) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) + A^0(\theta_n(t), \zeta_n) \right\rangle \le 0. \tag{3.22}$$

On the other hand, we have from (H_4) and (3.13)

$$||f(t, u_n(\phi_n(t))\frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) - f(t, u(t))\frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t)|| \le \alpha(t)||u_n(\phi_n(t)) - u(t)||\frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t)|$$

$$\le \alpha(t)(||u_n(\phi_n(t)) - u_n(t)|| + ||u_n(t) - u(t)||)\frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t)$$

$$\le \alpha(t)(M_5\nu(]\phi_n(t), t]) + ||u_n(t) - u(t)||)\frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t),$$

whence by the uniform convergence of (u_n) to u and relation (2.7), it results that for all $t \in I$,

$$f(t, u_n(\phi_n(t)) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) \longrightarrow f(t, u(t)) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (3.23)

Now, let $t \in I \setminus (J \cup J' \cup (\bigcup_{n} J_n))$. From (3.20), we have

$$\left\langle \frac{du}{d\nu}(t), u(t) - z \right\rangle \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left\langle \frac{du_n}{d\nu}(t), u(t) - z \right\rangle,$$

and since from (3.13) and (3.22), we have for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left\langle \frac{du_n}{d\nu}(t), u(t) - z \right\rangle \leq \left\langle \frac{du_n}{d\nu}(t), u(t) - u_n(\theta_n(t)) \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{du_n}{d\nu}(t), u_n(\theta_n(t)) - \zeta_n \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{du_n}{d\nu}(t), \zeta_n - z \right\rangle$$

$$\leq M_5 \left(\|u(t) - u_n(\theta_n(t))\| + \|\zeta_n - z\| \right) + \left\langle \frac{du_n}{d\nu}(t), u_n(\theta_n(t)) - \zeta_n \right\rangle$$

$$\leq M_5 \left(\|u(t) - u_n(\theta_n(t))\| + \|\zeta_n - z\| \right) + \left\langle f(t, u_n(\phi_n(t))) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) + A^0(\theta_n(t), \zeta_n), \zeta_n - u_n(\theta_n(t)) \right\rangle$$

we conclude, using (3.19), (3.21) and (3.23), that

$$\left\langle \frac{du}{d\nu}(t), u(t) - z \right\rangle \le \left\langle f(t, u(t)) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) + A^0(t, z), z - u(t) \right\rangle,$$
 (3.24)

which, with the fact that $u(t) \in D(A(t))$ and $u(0) = u_0$, means that u is a BVRC solution to our problem.

Step 4. Uniqueness of the solution.

Let u, v be two BVRC solutions of (P_f) . By the monotonicity of the operator A(t), we get for ν -a.e. $t \in I$,

$$\left\langle -\frac{du}{dv}(t) - f(t, u(t)) \frac{d\lambda}{dv}(t) + \frac{dv}{dv}(t) + f(t, v(t)) \frac{d\lambda}{dv}(t), u(t) - v(t) \right\rangle \ge 0,$$

that is

$$\left\langle \frac{du}{d\nu}(t) - \frac{dv}{d\nu}(t), u(t) - v(t) \right\rangle \le \left\langle f(t, v(t)) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) - f(t, u(t)) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t), u(t) - v(t) \right\rangle,$$

and by (H_4) , we obtain

$$\left\langle \frac{du}{d\nu}(t) - \frac{dv}{d\nu}(t), u(t) - v(t) \right\rangle \le \alpha(t) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(t) \|u(t) - v(t)\|^2.$$

Then, an application of Lemma 2.1 taking account that $u(0) = v(0) = u_0$, gives us for all $t \in]0,T]$,

$$||u(t) - v(t)||^2 \le 2 \int_{]0,t]} \alpha(s) \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}(s) ||u(s) - v(s)||^2 d\nu(s),$$

from Lemma 2.2, it results that $||u(t) - v(t)||^2 = 0$ for all $t \in I$, which means the uniqueness of the solution.

References

- [1] S. Adly, T. Haddad and L. Thibault, Convex sweeping process in the framework of measure differential inclusions and evolution variational inequalities. Math. Program, 148 (1-2, Ser. B) (2014) 5-47.
- [2] S. Adly, F. Nacry and L. Thibault, Discontinuous sweeping process with prox-regular sets. ESAIM: Control, Optimizatios and Calculus of Variation. 23 (4) (2017) 1293-1329.
- [3] D. Azzam-Laouir, W. Belhoula, C. Castaing and M.D.P. Monteiro Marques, Perturbed evolution problems with absolutely continuous variation in time and applications. J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2019) 21: 40.
- [4] D. Azzam-Laouir, W. Belhoula, C. Castaing and M.D.P. Monteiro Marques, Multi-valued perturbation to evolution problems involving time dependent maximal monotone operators. Evolution Equations & Control Theory, 9(1) (2020) 219-254.
- [5] D. Azzam-Laouir, I. Boutana-Harid, Mixed semicontinuous perturbation to an evolution problem with time-dependent maximal monotone operator. J. Nonlinear and Convex Analysis. Vol 20, Number 1 (2018) 39-52.
- [6] D. Azzam-Laouir, C. Castaing and M.D.P. Monteiro Marques, Perturbed evolution proplems with continuous bounded variation in time and applications. Set-Valued Var. Anal. Volume 26, No. 3 (2018) 693-728.
- [7] D. Azzam-Laouir, C. Castaing and M.D.P. Monteiro Marques, BV right continuous solutions of differential inclusions involving time dependent maximal monotone operators. ArXiv: 2103.01113v1 (2021) 1-43.

- [8] V. Barbu, Nonlinear Semigroups and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces. Noordhoff Int. Publ. Leyden, (1976).
- [9] H. Benabdellah and C. Castaing, BV Solutions of multivalued differential equations on closed moving sets in Banach spaces. Banach center publications, Vol 32, Institute of Mathematics, Polish academy of Sciences, Warszawa (1995).
- [10] H. Benabdellah, C. Castaing, A. Salvadori and A. Syam, Nonconvex sweeping process. Journal of Applied Analysis, Vol 2, No2 (1996) 17-40.
- [11] M. Benguessoum, D. Azzam-Laouir and C. Castaing, On a time and state dependent maximal monotone operator coupled with a sweeping process with perturbations. Set-Valued Var. Anal, 29 (2021) 191-219.
- [12] H. Brezis, Opérateurs maximaux monotones, North Holland Publ. Compagny, Amsterdam-London, (1973).
- [13] C. Castaing, M.D.P. Monteiro Marques, Evolution problems associated with nonconvex closed moving sets with bounded variation. Portugal. Math. 53 (1996) 73-87.
- [14] J.F. Edmond and L. Thibault, BV solutions of non convex sweeping process differential inclusion with perturbation. J. Differential Equations. 22 (2006) 135-179.
- [15] N. Kenmochi, Solvability of nonlinear evolution equations with time-dependent constraints and applications. Bull. Fac. Educ. Chiba Univ. 30 (1981).
- [16] M. Kunze and M.D.P. Monteiro Marques, BV solutions to evolution problems with time-dependent domains. Set-Valued Anal. 5 (1997) 57-72.
- [17] B.K. Le, Well-posedness and nonsmooth Lyapunov pairs for state-dependent maximal monotone differential inclusions. Optimization, 69 (6) (2020) 1187-1217.
- [18] M.D.P. Monteiro Marques, Differential inclusions nonsmooth mechanical problems, shocks and dry friction. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, Birkhauser, Vol 9 (1993).
- [19] J.J. Moreau, Rafle par un convexe variable I, Sem. Anal. Convexe Montpellier (1971) Exposé 15.
- [20] J.J. Moreau, Evolution problem associated with a moving convex set in a Hilbert space. J. Differiential. Equations. Vol 26 (1977) 347-374.
- [21] J.J. Moreau, Bounded variation in time, in Topics in Non-smooth Mechanics (J.J. Moreau, P.D. Panagiotopoulos, G. Strang, Eds.), Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin (1988).
- [22] J.J. Moreau, Sur les mesures differentielles des fonctions vectorielles à variation bornée. Sem. Anal. Convexe. Montpellier (1975) Exposé 17.

- [23] J.J. Moreau and M. Valadier, A chain rule involving vector functions of bounded variations. J. Functional Analysis, Vol 74, 2 (1987) 333-345.
- [24] F. Nacry, J. Noel and L. Thibault, On first and second order state-dependent proxregular sweeping process. Preprint (2020).
- [25] N.H. Pavel, Nonlinear evolution operators and semigroups, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1260. Springer, Nex York (1987).
- [26] F. Selamnia, D. Azzam-Laouir, M.D.P. Monteiro Marques, Evolution problems involving state-dependent maximal monotone operators. Appl. Anal. (2020) https://doi.org/10.1080/00036811.2020.1738401
- [27] L. Thibault, Moreau sweeping process with bounded retraction. J. Convex Anal. 23, 4 (2016) 1051-1098.
- [28] A.A. Tolstonogov, BV continuous solutions of an evolution inclusion with maximal monotone operator and non-convex valued perturbation. Existence theorem. Set-Valued Var. Anal. 29 (2021) 29-60.
- [29] A.A. Vladimirov, Nonstationnary dissipative evolution equation in Hilbert space. Non-linear Anal. 17 (1991) 499-518
- [30] I.I. Vrabie, Compactness methods for nonlinear evolution equations. Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied mathematics, Longman Scientific and Technical, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York, Vol 32 (1987).