WHEN IS A REDUCTIVE GROUP SCHEME LINEAR?

PHILIPPE GILLE

ABSTRACT. We show that a reductive group scheme over a base scheme S admits a faithful linear representation if and only if its radical torus is isotrivial; that is, it splits after a finite étale cover.

to the 75-th anniversary of Gopal Prasad

Keywords: Reductive group schemes, representations, tori, resolution property.

MSC 2000: 14L15, 20G35

1. Introduction

Let S be a scheme. Let \mathfrak{G} be an S-group scheme. It is natural to ask whether \mathfrak{G} is linear; that is, there exists a group monomorphism $\mathfrak{G} \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{E})$ where \mathcal{E} is a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module of finite rank. In particular, \mathfrak{G} admits a faithful representation on \mathcal{E} . This holds for affine algebraic groups over a field [4, II, §2.3.3].

In the case S is locally noetherian and G is of multiplicative type of constant type and of finite type, Grothendieck has shown that G is linear if and if G is isotrivial, i.e. G is split by a finite étale extension of S [11, XI.4.6]. In particular there exist non linear tori of rank 2 over the local ring (at a node) of a nodal algebraic curve (*ibid*, X.1.6). Firstly we extend that criterion over an arbitrary base by using Azumaya and separable \mathcal{O}_S -algebras (Theorem 3.3).

Secondly we deal with the case \mathfrak{G} reductive; that is, \mathfrak{G} is smooth affine with reductive (connected) geometric fibers. In this case a faithful representation is necessarily a closed immersion [11, XVI.1.5]. Positive results on the linearity question are due to M. Raynaud [11, VI_B] and R. Thomason [13, 3.1] which is essentially the implication $(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$ in the theorem below.

We can restrict our attention to the case when \mathfrak{G} is of constant type (recall that the type is a locally constant function on S); this implies that there exists a Chevalley \mathbb{Z} -group scheme G such that \mathfrak{G} is locally isomorphic to G_S for the étale topology [11, XXII.2.3, 2.5]. A short version of our main result is the following.

Date: April 23, 2021.

The author is supported by the project ANR Geolie, ANR-15-CE 40-0012, (The French National Research Agency).

Theorem 1.1. The following are equivalent:

- (i) The radical torus $rad(\mathfrak{G})$ is isotrivial;
- (ii) & is linear.

Furthermore if S is affine, the above are equivalent to

(ii') there exists a closed immersion $i: \mathfrak{G} \to \operatorname{GL}_n$ with $n \geq 1$ which is a homomorphism.

We recall that $rad(\mathfrak{G})$ is the maximal central subtorus of \mathfrak{G} [11, XXIV.4.3.6] and that (i) means that $rad(\mathfrak{G})$ splits after passing to a finite étale cover $S' \to S$. In the noetherian setting, a variant of the implication $(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$ has been shown by Margaux who furthermore provided an $Aut(\mathfrak{G})$ -equivariant representation [9]. Note that condition (i) depends only on the quasi-split form of \mathfrak{G} and also that it is always satisfied if \mathfrak{G} is semisimple or if $rad(\mathfrak{G})$ is of rank one. Furthermore, if S is a semilocal scheme, Demazure's characterization of isotrivial group schemes [11, XXIV.3.5] permits us to deduce that \mathfrak{G} is isotrivial if and only if \mathfrak{G} is linear, see section 5.

Finally for $S = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ with R noetherian, we complete Thomason's approach by showing that linearity for \mathfrak{G} is equivalent to the resolution property (Th. 6.2).

Acknowledgements. I thank Vladimir Chernousov, Laurent Moret-Bailly, Erhard Neher, Arturo Pianzola, and Anastasia Stavrova for their valuable suggestions. I thank the referee for a simplification of the proof of Proposition 3.2.

2. Definitions and basic facts

- 2.1. **Notation.** We use mainly the terminology and notation of Grothendieck-Dieudonné [5, §9.4 and 9.6] which agrees with that of Demazure-Grothendieck used in [11, Exp. I.4].
- (a) Let S be a scheme and let \mathcal{E} be a quasi-coherent sheaf over S. For each morphism $f: T \to S$, we denote by $\mathcal{E}_T = f^*(\mathcal{E})$ the inverse image of \mathcal{E} by the morphism f. We denote by $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{E})$ the affine S-scheme defined by $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{E}) = \operatorname{Spec}(\operatorname{Sym}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E}))$; it represents the S-functor $Y \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{E}_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ [5, 9.4.9].
- (b) We assume now that \mathcal{E} is locally free of finite rank and denote by \mathcal{E}^{\vee} its dual. In this case the affine S-scheme $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{E})$ is of finite presentation (ibid, 9.4.11); also the S-functor $Y \mapsto H^0(Y, \mathcal{E}_Y) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{E}_Y)$ is representable by the affine S-scheme $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{E}^{\vee})$ which is also denoted by $\mathbf{W}(\mathcal{E})$ [11, I.4.6].

The above applies to the locally free quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{E}$ over S so that we can consider the affine S-scheme $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}))$ which is an S-functor in associative and unital algebras [5, 9.6.2]. Now we consider the S-functor $Y \mapsto \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{E}_Y)$. It is representable by an open S-subscheme of $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}))$ which is denoted by $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{E})$ (loc. cit., 9.6.4).

(c) If \mathcal{B} is a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -algebra (unital, associative) of finite rank, we recall that the functor of invertible elements of \mathcal{B} is representable by an affine S-group scheme which is denoted by $GL_1(\mathcal{B})$ [3, 2.4.2.1].

For separable and Azumaya algebras, we refer to [8]. Note that in [3, §2.5.1], separable algebras are supposed furthermore to be locally free of finite rank.

- If \mathcal{B} is a separable \mathcal{O}_S -algebra which is a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -algebra of finite rank, then $GL_1(\mathcal{B})$ it is a reductive S-group scheme [3, 3.1.0.50].
- (d) We use the theory and terminology of tori and multiplicative group schemes of [11]; see also Oesterlé's survey [10].
- 2.2. Finite étale covers. The next lemma is a consequence of the equivalence of categories describing finite étale \mathcal{O}_S -algebra of rank N [3, §2.5.2]; it admits a simple direct proof.
- **Lemma 2.1.** Let N be a positive integer and let C be a finite étale \mathcal{O}_S -algebra of rank N. Then there exists a finite étale cover T of S of degree N! such that $C \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_T \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{O}_T)^N$.
- Proof. We proceed by induction on N, the case N=1 being obvious. We put $S'=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_C)$, this is a finite étale cover of S of degree N. Since the diagonal map $S'\to S'\times_S S'$ is closed and open [6, 4.17.4.2] there exists a decomposition $\mathcal{C}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S}\mathcal{O}'_S=\mathcal{O}_{S'}\times\mathcal{C}'$ where \mathcal{C}' is a finite étale $\mathcal{O}_{S'}$ -algebra of rank N-1. Applying the induction process to \mathcal{C}' provides a finite étale cover T of S' of degree (N-1)! such that $\mathcal{C}'\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S'}}\mathcal{O}_T\cong (\mathcal{O}_T)^{N-1}$. Thus $\mathcal{C}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S}\mathcal{O}_T=\mathcal{O}_T\times (\mathcal{O}_T)^{N-1}$ and T is a finite étale cover of S of degree $N!=N\times (N-1)!$.
- 2.3. Isotriviality. [11, XXIV.4] Let \mathcal{H} be a fppf S-sheaf in groups and let \mathcal{X} be a \mathcal{H} -torsor. We say that \mathcal{X} is *isotrivial* if there exists a finite étale cover S' of S which trivializes \mathcal{X} ; that is, satisfying $\mathcal{X}(S') \neq \emptyset$.

The notion of locally isotrivial (with respect to the Zariski topology) is then clear and there is also the following variant of *semilocally isotrivial*.

We say that \mathcal{X} is *semilocally isotrivial* if for each subset $\{s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$ of points of S contained in an affine open subset of S, there exists an open subscheme U of S containing s_1, \ldots, s_n such that $\mathcal{X} \times_S U$ is isotrivial over U.

A reductive S-group scheme \mathfrak{G} is *isotrivial* if it is split by a finite étale cover S' of S. An isotrivial reductive S-group scheme \mathfrak{G} is necessarily of constant type. If \mathfrak{G} is of constant type with underlying Chevalley group scheme G, \mathfrak{G} is isotrivial if and only if the $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ -torsor $\operatorname{Isom}(G_S, \mathfrak{G})$ is isotrivial.

2.4. Rank one tori. The simplest case is that of $G = \mathbb{G}_{m,S}$, the split S-torus of rank 1. The S-functor $S' \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{S'-gp}(\mathbb{G}_{m,S'},\mathbb{G}_{m,S'})$ is representable by the constant S-group scheme \mathbb{Z}_S [11, VIII.1.5]. It follows that the S-functor $S' \mapsto \operatorname{Isom}_{S'-gp}(\mathbb{G}_{m,S'},\mathbb{G}_{m,S'})$ is representable by the constant S-group scheme $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_S = \operatorname{Aut}_{S-gp}(\mathbb{Z}_S)$. On the other hand, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_S$ is the automorphism group of the split

étale cover $S \sqcup S \to S$ of degree 2. By definition an S-torus of rank one is a form of \mathbb{G}_m for the fpqc topology; in the other hand, a degree 2 étale cover is a form of $S \sqcup S$ for the finite étale topology (see for example Lemma 2.1) and a fortiori for the fpqc topology.

According to the faithfully flat descent technique (e.g. [11, XXIV.1.17]), there is then an equivalence of categories between the groupoid of rank one tori over S (resp. the groupoid of degree 2 étale covers of S) and the groupoid of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_S$ -torsors.

More precisely one associates to an S-torus T of rank 1 (resp. to an étale cover E of degree 2) the $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_S$ -torsor $\mathrm{Isom}_{gr}(\mathbb{G}_m,T)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Isom}(S \sqcup S,E)$). The inverse map is given by twisting the split object by a given $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_S$ -torsor.

Let T be an S-torus of rank one and let $S' \to T$ be its associated étale cover of degree 2. Then T is splits after base change to S' and so is isotrivial. Furthermore we claim that T is isomorphic to the quotient $Q = R_{S'/S}(\mathbb{G}_{m,S'})/\mathbb{G}_m$ where $R_{S'/S}(\mathbb{G}_{m,S'})$ stands for the Weil restriction. We consider the $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_S$ -equivariant exact sequences

$$1 \to \mathbb{G}_{m,S} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbb{G}_{m,S} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,S} \xrightarrow{\Pi} \mathbb{G}_{m,S} \to 1$$

where $\Pi(x,y) = x y^{-1}$ and the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -action on $\mathbb{G}_{m,S} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,S}$ is by $(x,y) \mapsto (y,x)$. The $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -action on the last factor is then by $x \mapsto x^{-1}$. Twisting this sequence by the $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_S$ -torsor Isom $(S \sqcup S, S')$ yields the desired exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{G}_m \to R_{S'/S}(\mathbb{G}_{m,S'}) \to Q \to 1$$

where the identification of the second term is left to the reader (it is similar to that of the proof of [11, XXIX.3.13]).

2.5. Linear representations. Let \mathfrak{G} be an S-group scheme. We say that \mathfrak{G} is linear if there exists a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module \mathcal{E} is of finite rank and a group homomorphism $\mathfrak{G} \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{E})$ which is a monomorphism.

The notion of locally linear S-group scheme is then clear and there is also the following variant of semilocally linear.

We say that \mathfrak{G} is *semilocally linear* if for each subset $\{s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$ of points of S contained in an affine open subset of S, there exists an open subscheme U of S containing s_1, \ldots, s_n such that $\mathfrak{G} \times_S U$ is linear over U.

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $S = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ and let \mathcal{E} be a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module of finite rank. Then $\operatorname{GL}(\mathcal{E})$ embeds as a closed S-subgroup scheme in GL_n for some $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Since the rank of \mathcal{E} is a locally constant function [5, Ch. 0, 5.4.1], we can assume that \mathcal{E} is locally free of constant of rank r. Then $E = H^0(R, \mathcal{E})$ is a locally free R-module of rank r, so is finitely generated projective [12, Tag 00NX]. It follows that there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ and a decomposition $R^n = E \oplus E'$. The homomorphism $GL(\mathcal{E}) \to GL_n$ is a closed immersion.

Lemma 2.3. Let \mathfrak{G} be an S-group scheme and let S' be a finite locally free cover of S. Then \mathfrak{G} is linear if and only if $\mathfrak{G} \times_S S'$ is linear.

Proof. We denote by $p: S' \to S$ the structure map. If \mathfrak{G} is linear, then $\mathfrak{G} \times_S S'$ is linear. Conversely we assume that there exists a monomorphism $i: \mathfrak{G} \times_S S' \to \operatorname{GL}(\mathcal{E}')$ where \mathcal{E}' is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{S'}$ -module of finite rank. We put $\mathcal{E} = p_*(\mathcal{E}')$, this is a locally free \mathcal{O}_{S} -module of finite rank. We consider the sequence of S-functors in S-groups

$$\mathfrak{G} \to R_{S'/S}(\mathfrak{G} \times_S S') \xrightarrow{R_{S'/S}(i)} R_{S'/S}(\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{E}')) \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{E})$$

where $R_{S'/S}$ stands for the Weil restriction and the first map is the diagonal map which is a monomorphism. Since the Weil restriction for S'/S transforms monomorphisms into monomorphisms, the map $R_{S'/S}(i)$ is also a monomorphism and so is the last map since $R_{S'/S}(GL(\mathcal{E}'))(T) \subset GL(\mathcal{E})(T)$ corresponds to automorphisms of $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_T$ which are $\mathcal{O}_{S'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_T$ -linear. Since all maps are monomorphisms, we conclude that \mathfrak{G} is linear.

3. Tori and group of multiplicative type

3.1. Maximal tori of linear groups. Let \mathcal{A} be an Azumaya \mathcal{O}_S -algebra. We consider the reductive S-group scheme $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathcal{A})$. Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a separable \mathcal{O}_S -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} which is a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module of finite rank and which is locally a direct summand of \mathcal{A} as \mathcal{O}_S -module. We get a monomorphism of reductive S-group schemes $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathcal{A})$. In particular, if \mathcal{B} is commutative, \mathcal{B} is a finite étale \mathcal{O}_S -algebra and $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathcal{B})$ is a torus. We come now to Grothendieck's definition of maximal étale subalgebras.

Definition 3.1. [7, Def. 5.6]. We say that a finite étale \mathcal{O}_S -subalgebra $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is maximal if \mathcal{C} is locally a direct summand of \mathcal{A} as \mathcal{O}_S -module and if the rank of $\mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \kappa(s)$ is the degree of $\mathcal{A}_s \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \kappa(s)$ for each $s \in S$.

If $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is maximal finite étale \mathcal{O}_S —subalgebra of \mathcal{A} , then the torus $GL_1(\mathcal{C})$ is a maximal torus of $GL_1(\mathcal{A})$ since it is the case on geometric fibers. According to [7, §7.5], all maximal S—tori of $GL_1(\mathcal{A})$ occur in that manner; this is part (3) of the following enlarged statement.

Proposition 3.2. Let \mathfrak{S} be a subgroup scheme of multiplicative type of $GL_1(\mathcal{A})$ and put $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{S}}$, the centralizer subalgebra of \mathfrak{S} .

- (1) \mathcal{B} is a separable \mathcal{O}_S -algebra which is locally a direct summand of \mathcal{A} as \mathcal{O}_S -module.
- (2) Let C be the center of B; this is a finite étale \mathcal{O}_S -algebra of positive rank which is locally a direct summand of B (and A) as \mathcal{O}_S -module. We have the closed immersions

$$\mathfrak{S} \subset \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathcal{A}).$$

(3) If \mathfrak{S} is a maximal torus of $GL_1(\mathcal{A})$, then $\mathfrak{S} = GL_1(\mathcal{C})$ and \mathcal{C} is a maximal finite étale \mathcal{O}_S -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} .

(4) If \mathfrak{S} is of constant type, then \mathfrak{S} is isotrivial.

Proof. According to [11, IX.2.5], the map $\mathfrak{S} \to \operatorname{GL}_1(\mathcal{A})$ is a closed immersion so that \mathfrak{S} is of finite type over S. As a preliminary observation we notice that (1), (2), (3) are local for the étale topology. We can assume that $S = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$, $\mathcal{A} = \operatorname{M}_n(R)$ and that $\mathfrak{S} = D(M)$ for M an abelian group. Note that M is finitely generated since \mathfrak{S} is of finite type (*ibid*, VII.2.1.b).

- (1) We consider the M-grading $R^n = \bigoplus_{m \in M} R_m^n$. The R-modules $(R_m^n)_{m \in M}$ are finitely generated projective so locally free of finite rank. There is a finite subset $M' \subset M$ such that $R^n = \bigoplus_{m \in M'} R_m^n$. Then $\mathcal{B} = \prod_{m \in M'} \operatorname{End}_R(R_m^n)$ and each $\operatorname{End}_R(R_m^n)$ is a separable R-algebra which is locally free of finite rank [8, III, example 2.8]. Since a product of separable algebras is a separable algebra (*ibid*, III, proposition 1.7), it follows that \mathcal{B} is a separable R-algebra. Furthermore \mathcal{B} is locally a direct summand of $M_n(R)$ as R-module.
- (2) Let \mathcal{C} be the center of \mathcal{B} . We have R-monomorphisms of groups $\mathfrak{S} \subset \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathcal{A})$.
- (3) We assume that \mathfrak{S} is a maximal torus of $GL_1(\mathcal{A})$ and want to establish that $\mathfrak{S} = GL_1(\mathcal{C})$. So $GL_1(\mathcal{C})$ is an R-torus containing \mathfrak{T} and since maximality holds also in the naive sense [11, XII.1.4], we conclude that $\mathfrak{T} = GL_1(\mathcal{C})$.
- (4) We come back to the initial setting (i.e. without localizing). We want to show that \mathfrak{S} is isotrivial. According to [5, ch.0, 5.4.1], for each integer $l \geq 0$, $S_l = \{s \in S \mid \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{C}_{\kappa(s)}) = l\}$ is an open subset of S so that we have a decomposition in clopen subschemes $S = \coprod_{l \geq 0} S_l$. Without loss of generality we can assume

that \mathcal{C} is locally free of rank l. Lemma 2.1 provides a finite étale cover S' of S such that $\mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_{S'} \cong (\mathcal{O}_{S'})^l$. Hence $\operatorname{GL}_1(\mathcal{C}) \times_S S \cong (\mathbb{G}_m)^l \times_S S'$. It follows that $\mathfrak{S} \times_S S'$ is a subgroup S'-scheme of $(\mathbb{G}_m)^l \times_S S'$ of multiplicative type. According to [11, IX.2.11.(i)] there exists a partition in clopen subsets $S' = \sqcup_{i \in I} S'_i$ such that each $\mathfrak{S} \times_S S'_i$ is diagonalizable. Since $\mathfrak{S} \times_S S'$ is of constant type we conclude that $\mathfrak{S} \times_S S'$ is diagonalizable.

3.2. Characterization of isotrivial groups of multiplicative type.

Theorem 3.3. Let \mathfrak{S} be an S-group scheme of multiplicative type of finite type and of constant type. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) \mathcal{S} is isotrivial;
- (ii) \mathfrak{S} is linear;
- (iii) \mathfrak{S} is an S-subgroup scheme of an S-group scheme $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathcal{A})$ where \mathcal{A} is an Azumaya \mathcal{O}_S -algebra.
- *Proof.* $(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$. This follows from Lemma 2.3.

 $(ii) \Longrightarrow (iii)$. By definition we have a monomorphism $\mathfrak{S} \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{E})$ where \mathcal{E} is a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module of finite rank. Since $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{E})$ is an Azumaya \mathcal{O}_S -algebra, we get (iii).

$$(iii) \Longrightarrow (i)$$
. This follows of Proposition 3.2.(4).

Examples 3.4. (a) Grothendieck constructed a scheme S and an S-torus \mathfrak{T} which is locally trivial of rank 2 but which is not isotrivial [11, §X.1.6] (e.g. S consists of two copies of the projective line over a field pinched at 0 and ∞). Theorem 3.3 shows that such an S-torus is not linear.

(b) Also there exists a local ring R and an R-torus \mathfrak{T} of rank 2 which is not isotrivial [11, §1.6]; the ring R can be taken as the local ring of an algebraic curve at a double point. Theorem 3.3 shows that such an R-torus is not linear.

4. Reductive case

For stating the complete version of our main result, we need more notation. As in the introduction, \mathfrak{G} is a reductive S-group scheme of constant type and G is the underlying Chevalley \mathbb{Z} -group scheme. We denote by $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ the automorphism group scheme of G and we have an exact sequence of \mathbb{Z} -group schemes [11, th. XXIV.1.3]

$$1 \to G_{ad} \to \operatorname{Aut}(G) \to \operatorname{Out}(G) \to 1.$$

We remind the reader of the representability of the fppf sheaf $\underline{\text{Isom}}(G_S, \mathfrak{G})$ by a $\text{Aut}(G)_S$ -torsor $\text{Isom}(G_S, \mathfrak{G})$ defined in [11, XXIV.1.8]. The contracted product

$$\operatorname{Isomext}(G_S, \mathfrak{G}) := \operatorname{Isom}(G_S, \mathfrak{G}) \wedge^{\operatorname{Aut}(G)_S} \operatorname{Out}(G)_S$$

is an $\operatorname{Out}(G)_S$ -torsor (*ibid*, 1.10) which encodes the isomorphism class of the quasisplit form of \mathfrak{G} .

Theorem 4.1. The following are equivalent:

- (i) The torus rad(\mathfrak{G}) is isotrivial;
- (ii) the $Out(G)_S$ -torsor $Isomext(G_S, \mathfrak{G})$ is isotrivial;
- (iii) & is linear;
- (iv) $rad(\mathfrak{G})$ is linear.

Furthermore if S is affine, we can take a faithful linear representation in some GL_n for (iii) and (iv).

Proof. $(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$. We assume that rad (\mathfrak{G}) is isotrivial and want to show that the $\operatorname{Out}(G)_S$ -torsor $\mathcal{F} = \operatorname{Isomext}(G_S, \mathfrak{G})$ is isotrivial. In other words, we want to show that there exists a finite étale cover S' of S such that $\mathfrak{G} \times_S S'$ is an inner form of G.

Without loss of generality we can assume that $rad(\mathfrak{G})$ is a split torus. We quote now [11, XXIV.2.16] for the Chevalley group G over \mathbb{Z} which introduces the \mathbb{Z} -group scheme

$$H = \ker(\operatorname{Aut}(G) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{rad}(G));$$

furthermore there is an equivalence of categories between the category of H-torsors over S and the category of pairs (\mathfrak{M}, ϕ) where \mathfrak{M} is an S-form of G and $\phi : \operatorname{rad}(G)_S \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{M})$.

Since $\operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{G})$ is split, we choose an isomorphism $\phi : \operatorname{rad}(G)_S \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{G})$ and consider an H-torsor \mathfrak{P} mapping to an object isomorphic to (\mathfrak{G}, ϕ) . Furthermore the quoted reference provides an exact sequence of \mathbb{Z} -group schemes

$$1 \to G_{ad} \to H \xrightarrow{p} F \to 1$$

where F is finite étale over \mathbb{Z} , so is constant. We denote by $S' = \mathfrak{M} \wedge^{H_S} F_S$ the contracted product of \mathfrak{M} and F_S with respect to H_S ; this is an F-torsor over S, hence is a finite étale cover of S. It follows that $\mathfrak{P} \times_S S'$ admits a reduction to a $G_{ad,S'}$ -torsor \mathfrak{Q}' . Since the map $G_{ad} \to H \to \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ is the canonical map, we conclude that $\mathfrak{G}_{S'} \cong {}^{\mathfrak{Q}}G$ is an inner form of G.

 $(ii) \Longrightarrow (iii)$. Our assumption is that there exists a finite étale cover S'/S which splits the $\operatorname{Out}(G)$ -torsor Isomext (G_S, \mathfrak{G}) . Lemma 2.3 permits us to replace S by S', so we can assume that the $\operatorname{Out}(G)$ -torsor Isomext (G_S, \mathfrak{G}) is trivial; that is, \mathfrak{G} is an inner form of G. There exists a G_{ad} -torsor \mathfrak{Q} over S such that $\mathfrak{G} \cong {}^{\mathfrak{Q}}G$. Since $G \rtimes G_{ad}$ is defined over \mathbb{Z} , it admits a faithful representation $\rho: G \rtimes G_{ad} \to \operatorname{GL}_n$ over \mathbb{Z} [2, §1.4.5]. The map ρ is then G_{ad} -equivariant and can be twisted by the G_{ad} -torsor \mathfrak{Q} . We obtain a faithful representation ${}^{\mathfrak{Q}}G \rtimes {}^{\mathfrak{Q}}G_{ad} \to {}^{\mathfrak{Q}}GL_n = \operatorname{GL}(\mathcal{E})$ where \mathcal{E} is the locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module of rank n which is the twist of $(\mathcal{O}_S)^n$ by the GL_n -torsor $\mathfrak{Q} \wedge {}^{G_{ad}}\operatorname{GL}_n$. Thus ${}^{\mathfrak{Q}}G$ is linear.

 $(iii) \Longrightarrow (iv)$. Obvious.

 $(iv) \Longrightarrow (i)$. Since rad(\mathfrak{G}) is a form of rad(G), it is of constant rank and Theorem 3.3 shows that rad(G) is isotrivial.

Finally the refinement for S affine follows from Lemma 2.2.

Corollary 4.2. Under the assumptions of \mathfrak{G} , let \mathfrak{G}^{qs} be the quasi-split form of \mathfrak{G} . Then \mathfrak{G} is linear if and only if \mathfrak{G}^{qs} is linear.

The next corollary slightly generalizes a result by Thomason [13, cor. 3.2].

Corollary 4.3. Assume that either

- (i) S is locally noetherian and geometrically unibranch (e.g. normal);
- (ii) $rad(\mathfrak{G})$ is of $rank \leq 1$ (in particular if G is semisimple).

Then & is linear.

Proof. In case (i), the torus $rad(\mathfrak{G})$ is isotrivial [11, X.5.16]. In case (ii), we have rad(G) = 1 or \mathbb{G}_m (since G is split), so that $rad(\mathfrak{G})$ is split by a quadratic étale cover of S (§2.4), hence is isotrivial. Hence Theorem 1.1 implies that \mathfrak{G} is linear.

The next corollary extends Demazure's characterization of locally isotrivial reductive group schemes [11, XXIV.4.1.5].

Corollary 4.4. The following are equivalent:

- (i) \mathfrak{G} is locally (resp. semilocally) isotrivial;
- (ii) The torus rad(\mathfrak{G}) is locally (resp. semilocally) isotrivial;
- (iii) the $Out(G)_S$ -torsor Isomext (G_S, \mathfrak{G}) is locally (resp. semilocally) isotrivial;
- (iv) \mathfrak{G} is locally (resp. semilocally) linear;
- (v) $rad(\mathfrak{G})$ is locally (resp. semilocally) linear.

Proof. In view of Theorem 4.1, it remains to establish the equivalence $(i) \iff (ii)$. Since this is precisely the quoted result [11, XXIV.3.5], the proof is complete.

Corollary 4.5. Let \mathfrak{H} be a reductive S-subgroup scheme of \mathfrak{G} . If \mathfrak{G} is locally (resp. semilocally) isotrivial, then \mathfrak{H} is locally (resp. semilocally) isotrivial.

Proof. Corollary 4.4 shows that \mathfrak{G} is locally (resp. semilocally) linear and so is \mathfrak{H} . Therefore \mathfrak{H} is locally (resp. semilocally) isotrivial.

5. The semilocal case

We assume that $S = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ where R is a semilocal ring and continue to assume that the reductive S-group scheme \mathfrak{G} is of constant type. We remind the reader that \mathfrak{G} admits a maximal torus (Grothendieck, [11, XIV.3.20 and footnote]).

Corollary 5.1. Let \mathfrak{T} be a maximal torus of \mathfrak{G} . The following are equivalent:

- (i) & is isotrivial;
- (ii) The torus rad(\mathfrak{G}) is isotrivial;
- (iii) the $\operatorname{Out}(G)_S$ -torsor $\operatorname{Isomext}(G_S,\mathfrak{G})$ is isotrivial;
- (iv) \mathfrak{G} is linear;
- (v) $rad(\mathfrak{G})$ is linear;
- (vi) \mathfrak{T} is linear;
- (vii) T is isotrivial.

Proof. From Corollary 4.4, we have the equivalences $(i) \iff (ii) \iff (iii) \iff (iv) \iff (v)$. On the other hand, the equivalence $(vi) \iff (vii)$ holds according to Theorem 3.3. Now we observe that the implications $(iv) \implies (vi)$ and $(vi) \implies (v)$ are obvious so the proof is complete.

6. Equivariant resolution property

Definition 6.1. Let \mathfrak{G} be a flat group scheme over S acting on a locally noetherian S-scheme \mathfrak{X} . One says that $(\mathfrak{G}, S, \mathfrak{X})$ has the resolution property (RE) for short) if for every coherent \mathfrak{G} -module \mathcal{F} on \mathfrak{X} , there is a locally free coherent \mathfrak{G} -module (i.e. a \mathfrak{G} -vector bundle \mathcal{E}) and a \mathfrak{G} -equivariant epimorphism $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$.

We strengthen Thomason's results.

- **Theorem 6.2.** Let \mathfrak{G} be a reductive S-group scheme. We assume that S is separated noetherian and that (1, S, S) satisfies the resolution property, e.g. S is affine or regular or admits an ample family of line bundles. Then the following are equivalent:
 - (i) \mathcal{G} is linear;
 - (ii) $rad(\mathfrak{G})$ is isotrivial;
 - (iii) & satisfies (RE).
- *Proof.* (i) \iff (ii). This is a special case of Theorem 4.1.
- $(ii) \Longrightarrow (iii)$. This is Thomason's result [13, Theorem 2.18].
- $(iii) \Longrightarrow (i)$. This is Thomason's result [13, Theorem 3.1], see also [11, VI_B.13.5].

Remark 6.3. Example 3.4.(b) is an example of a local noetherian ring R and of a rank two non-isotrivial torus \mathfrak{T} . Theorem 6.2 shows that \mathfrak{T} does not satisfy (RE). This answers a question of Thomason [13, §2.3].

References

- [1] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **126** (2nd ed.), Berlin, New York: Springer-Verlag.
- [2] F. Bruhat, J. Tits, Groupes réductifs sur un corps local: II. Schémas en groupes. Existence d'une donnée radicielle valuée, Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS 60 (1984), 5-184.
- [3] B. Calmès, J. Fasel, *Groupes classiques*, Autour des schémas en groupes, vol II, Panoramas et Synthèses **46** (2015), 1-133.
- [4] M. Demazure et P. Gabriel, Groupes algébriques, Masson (1970).
- [5] A. Grothendieck, J.-A. Dieudonné, *Eléments de géométrie algébrique*. *I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 166; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [6] A. Grothendieck (avec la collaboration de J. Dieudonné), Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV, Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S. no 20, 24, 28 and 32 (1964 1967).
- [7] A. Grothendieck, Le groupe de Brauer. I. Algèbres d'Azumaya et interprétations diverses, Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas, 46-66, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 3, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [8] M.-A. Knus, M. Ojanguren, *Théorie de la Descente et Algèbres d'Azumaya*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **389** (1974), Springer
- [9] B. Margaux, Formal torsors under reductive group schemes, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina 60 (2019), 217-224.
- [10] J. Oesterlé, *Schémas en groupes de type multiplicatif*, Autour des schémas en groupes. Vol. I, 63-91, Panor. Synthèses, 42/43, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2014.
- [11] Séminaire de Géométrie algébrique de l'I.H.É.S., 1963-1964, Schémas en groupes, dirigé par M. Demazure et A. Grothendieck, Lecture Notes in Math. 151-153. Springer (1970).
- [12] Stacks project, https://stacks.math.columbia.edu
- [13] R. W. Thomason, Equivariant resolution, linearization, and Hilbert's fourteenth problem over arbitrary base schemes, Adv. in Math. 65 (1987), 16-34.
- P. GILLE, INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD LYON 1 43 BOULE-VARD DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918, 69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX - FRANCE Email address: gille@math.univ-lyon1.fr