PENDANTS TO THE EULER BETA FUNCTION

MARTIN HIMMEL

ABSTRACT. Motivated by the integral representation of the Euler Beta function, we introduce its Cauchy siblings and investigate some of their properties. Two of these newly introduced functions happen to coincide with some classical means, such as the arithmetic or the logarithmic Cauchy one. Although the bivariable generalizations of Beta functions are obtained by elemantary integration, it seems difficult to obtain closed formulas for more than two variables. The questions whether these Cauchy Beta functions belong to their respective class of Cauchy quotients is addressed and answered positively in the case of the Euler Beta function, but postponed to a future paper for all the other cases.

1. INTRODUCTION

First children are born, later they perform miracles. unknown Author

A couple of years ago the relationsip between the Beta function and the Euler gamma function

$$
\mathcal{B}(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}
$$

gave rise to introduce a class called beta-type functions, which are functions of the form

$$
B_f(x,y) = \frac{f(x)f(y)}{f(x+y)},
$$

where f is a positive function defined on a suitable real interval. In this connection it was natural to ask for means in the class of beta-type functions, which were determined in [\[2\]](#page-6-0) and [\[3\]](#page-7-0). The form of beta-type functions suggested very strongly to introduce three more classes of functions, each of them related to one of the four Cauchy functional equations (cf. [\[6\]](#page-7-1)).

It turned out that in three of these classes, namely in beta-type functions (also called exponential Cauchy quotients), in logarithmic and in multiplicative Cauchy quotients there exists a unique mean of k variables where k is an arbitrary positive integer (cf. [\[4\]](#page-7-2)), but in the class of additive Cauchy quotients there is no mean for any number of variables greater or equal than two. The classes of means which appeared naturally in this area of research even led to unexpected applications in stochastic analysis (cf. [\[1\]](#page-6-1)).

⁰*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 33B15, 26B25, 39B22.

Keywords and phrases: Euler Beta function, Eulerian integrals, Functional equation, Mean, Arithmetic mean, Logarithmic mean.

2 MARTIN HIMMEL

In this paper we take a closer look to the integral representation of the Beta function. In a nutshell, we observe that the integrand of the Beta function consists basically of two smooth exponential functions where the bases satisfy a certain duality relation. To avoid any misunderstanding, we go into medias res.

The Euler Beta function $\mathcal{B}: (0, +\infty)^2 \to (0, +\infty)$ is defined by

(1.1)
$$
\mathcal{B}(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt, \qquad x, y \in (0, +\infty).
$$

Intuitively, the ingredients of the Beta function are two smooth families of exponential functions of base t and $1 - t$, respectively, which are 'averaged together' in the sense that they are multiplied and then integrated over the unit interval. More precisely, for fixed $t \in [0,1]$, the exponential function $\mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto t^{x-1} \in (0, +\infty)$ is multiplied by its dual exponential function $\mathbb{R} \ni y \mapsto (1-t)^{y-1} \in (0, +\infty)$ (dual in the sense that their respective bases add to one) and integrated over the interval $[0, 1]$.

In a similar manner we introduce in this paper about three more Beta functions, each of them related to one of the other Cauchy functional equations.

2. Multiplicative Beta function

Since the ingredients of the Beta function, i.e. the functions under the integral in [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0), are smooth exponential functions, it seems natural to consider the functions $(1, +\infty) \ni x \mapsto (x - 1)^t \in (0, +\infty)$ and $(1, +\infty) \ni y \mapsto (y - 1)^{1-t} \in (0, +\infty)$, two families of smooth multiplicative functions dual to each other in the sense that the sum of their exponents is one, as the ingredients of the multiplicative Beta function $\mathcal{M} : (1, +\infty)^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

(2.1)
$$
\mathcal{M}(x,y) = \int_0^1 (x-1)^t (y-1)^{1-t} dt, \qquad x, y \in (1, +\infty).
$$

Unlike to the case of the Euler Beta function, which we should call exponential Beta function from a more systematic point of view, the multiplicative Beta function $\mathcal M$ can be expressed by elementary functions, since, for all $x, y \in (1, +\infty), x \neq y$, we have

(2.2)
$$
\mathcal{M}(x,y) = (y-1) \int_0^1 \left(\frac{x-1}{y-1}\right)^t dt
$$

$$
= (y-1) \left[\frac{\left(\frac{x-1}{y-1}\right)^t}{\log\left(\frac{x-1}{y-1}\right)} \right]_0^1
$$

$$
= \frac{x-y}{\log\left(\frac{x-1}{y-1}\right)},
$$

and $\mathcal{M}(x, x) = x - 1$ for $x > 1$ implying that $\mathcal M$ is the logarithmic mean where the arguments are both reduced by 1.

3. Additive Beta function

The building blocks of the additive Beta function are the two families $\mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto$ $t(x-1) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R} \ni y \mapsto (1-t)(y-1) \in \mathbb{R}$. As before, we could argue that these t-families of continuous additive functions should be multiplied and then integrated to obtain the additive Beta function $\mathcal{A}_1 : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{A}_1(x,y) := \int_0^1 t(x-1) \cdot (1-t)(y-1) dt,
$$

which, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, simplifies to

$$
\mathcal{A}_1(x,y) = (x-1)(y-1) \int_0^1 t(1-t) dt
$$

= $(x-1)(y-1) \left[\frac{1}{2} t^2 - \frac{1}{3} t^3 \right]_0^1$
= $\frac{1}{6} (x-1)(y-1).$

But one could object that in the construction of the preceding Beta functions the motivation to multiply the building blocks, i.e. the two families of exponential functions (multiplicative functions) in the case of the Euler Beta function (in the case of multiplicative Beta function, respectively) stems from the fact that in the exponential Cauchy equation

$$
f(x + y) = f(x) \cdot f(y), \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R},
$$

(in the case of the multiplicative Cauchy equation $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in$ $(0, +\infty)$, respectively) multiplication of the function f occurs on the right hand side.

If this is the right explanation why the respective ingredients of exponential and multiplicative Beta functions are multiplied under the integral sign, we should rather add the building blocks in the case of the additive Beta function, since for an additive function we have

$$
g(x + y) = g(x) + g(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},
$$

and addition occurs on the right hand side. Thus, we may rather consider A_2 : $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

(3.1)
$$
\mathcal{A}_2(x,y) = \int_0^1 \left[t(x-1) + (1-t)(y-1) \right] dt,
$$

as the natural additive counterpart of the Euler Beta function. Surprisingly, the function A_2 coincides with the arithmetic mean, since, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathcal{A}_2(x,y) &= \left[\frac{t^2}{2}(x-1) + \left(t - \frac{t^2}{2} \right)(y-1) \right]_0^1 \\
&= \frac{1^2}{2}(x-1) + \left(1 - \frac{1^2}{2} \right)(y-1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(x-1) + \frac{1}{2}(y-1) \\
&= A(x-1, y-1),\n\end{aligned}
$$

where $A : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by $A(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{2}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is the arithmetic mean.

4 MARTIN HIMMEL

4. Logarithmic Beta function

Similarly as before, we consider the t-families $x \mapsto t \log x$ and $y \mapsto (1-t) \log y$ as the building blocks of the logarithmic Beta function $\mathcal{L}_1 : (1, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

(4.1)
$$
\mathcal{L}_1(x,y) := \int_0^1 t \log(x-1) \cdot (1-t) \log(y-1) dt.
$$

But since a logarithmic function satisfies the functional equation

$$
l(xy) = l(x) + l(y), \qquad x, y \in (0, +\infty),
$$

and addition is the operation between $l(x)$ and $l(y)$ on the right hand side, arguing similarly when introducing the second additive pendant of the Beta function A_2 , we should rather consider $\mathcal{L}_2 : (1, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

(4.2)
$$
\mathcal{L}_2(x,y) := \int_0^1 \left[t \log (x-1) + (1-t) \log (y-1) \right] dt.
$$

as the logarithmic counterpart of the Euler Beta function.

It is not completely clear why in all these Beta functions the arguments are reduced by one, i.e. why $x - 1$ and $y - 1$, respectively, appear in the definiens of the Beta functions rather than x and y itself. A historic explanation could be that $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$ for a natural number n. Here as well we do not see any obvious reason why the function $\Pi : (-1, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$ with $\Pi(x) := \Gamma(x + 1)$ for $x > -1$, hence $\Pi(n) = n!$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, due to Gauß was not preferred as the natural extension of the sequence $(n!)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ by the majority of mathematicians^{[1](#page-3-0)}.

The two logarithmic Beta functions can be expressed by elementary functions since, for all $x, y \in (1, +\infty)$,

$$
\mathcal{L}_1(x, y) = \log(x - 1) \log(y - 1) \int_0^1 t(1 - t) dt
$$

= $\log(x - 1) \log(y - 1) \int_0^1 (t - t^2) dt$
= $\log(x - 1) \log(y - 1) \left[\frac{t^2}{2} - \frac{t^3}{3} \right]_0^1$
= $\frac{1}{6} \log(x - 1) \log(y - 1).$

Unsurprisingly when noticing the case of the function A_2 , we get

$$
\mathcal{L}_2(x, y) = \left[\frac{t^2}{2}\log(x - 1) + \left(t - \frac{t^2}{2}\right)\log(y - 1)\right]_0^1
$$

= $\frac{1^2}{2}\log(x - 1) + \left(1 - \frac{1^2}{2}\right)\log(y - 1)$
= $\frac{1}{2}\log(x - 1) + \frac{1}{2}\log(y - 1)$
= $A(\log(x - 1), \log(y - 1)).$

¹The domain of the function Π is not symmetric with respect to zero, which one may consider as not so aesthetic. Moreover, nowadays the symbol Π is usually avoided to denote functions since it is mostly used as a symbol for products.

5. Beta functions and their respective class of Cauchy quotients

It is natural to ask whether these newly introduced Beta functions belong to the respective class of Cauchy quotients.

5.1. The Euler Beta function - a beta-type function. It is known that in the case of the Euler Beta function the answer is positive due to the relation between the Euler Beta and the Gamma function

(5.1)
$$
\mathcal{B}(x,y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}, \qquad x, y \in (0, +\infty),
$$

which means that Euler Beta function β is of the form

$$
\frac{f(x)f(y)}{f(x+y)}
$$

for $f = \Gamma$ and thus belongs to the class of beta-type functions (cf. [\[2\]](#page-6-0)).

5.2. The multiplicative Beta function - a multiplicative Cauchy quotient? The question whether the multiplicative Beta function $\mathcal M$ belongs to the class of multiplicative Cauchy quotients can be reformulated as: is there a function $f:(1,+\infty) \to (0,+\infty)$ such that, for all $x, y \in (1,+\infty)$,

$$
\mathcal{M}(x, y) = \frac{f(x)f(y)}{f(xy)}, \qquad x \neq y,
$$

and

$$
x - 1 = \frac{(f(x))^2}{f(x^2)}
$$

holds true?

By (2.2) , the multiplicative Beta function M coincides with the logarithmic mean (cf. [\[7\]](#page-7-3), [\[8\]](#page-7-4)), which is a Cauchy mean (cf. [\[5\]](#page-7-5)). Hence, for all $x, y \in (1, +\infty)$,

$$
\frac{x-y}{\log\left(\frac{x-1}{y-1}\right)} = \frac{f(x)f(y)}{f(xy)}, \qquad x \neq y,
$$

and

$$
x - 1 = \frac{(f(x))^2}{f(x^2)}.
$$

5.3. The additive Beta functions - additive Cauchy quotients? The additive Beta function A_1 (respectively A_2) is an additive Cauchy quotient if there is an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ which is closed under addition and a function $f : I \to (0, +\infty)$ such that, for all $x, y \in I$,

$$
\frac{1}{6}(x-1)(y-1) = \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{f(x+y)},
$$

(respectively, in case of A_2 ,

$$
\frac{(x-1)+(y-1)}{2} = \frac{f(x)+f(y)}{f(x+y)},
$$

) holds true.

5.4. The logarithmic Beta function - a logarithmic Cauchy quotient? The logarithmic Beta function \mathcal{L}_1 (respectively \mathcal{L}_2) is a logarithmic Cauchy quotient if there is an interval $I \subset (1, +\infty)$ which is closed under multiplication and a function $f: I \to (0, +\infty)$ such that, for all $x, y \in I$,

$$
\frac{1}{6}\log(x-1)\log(y-1) = \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{f(xy)},
$$

(respectively

$$
\frac{\log (x-1) + \log (y-1)}{2} = \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{f(xy)},
$$

) holds true.

6. CAUCHY BETA FUNCTIONS: THE CASE $k > 2$

In this section we introduce the Cauchy Beta functions of three or more variables. Just as in the case of M , the multiplicative Beta function of two variables, power functions having sum of exponents equal to one were multiplied with each other (since on the right hand side of the respective Cauchy equation $f(xy) = f(x)$. $f(y)$ the numbers $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ are multiplied) and then integrated over the unit interval, the multiplicative Beta function of three variables M_3 : $(1, +\infty)^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
(6.1)
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_3(x, y, z) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (x - 1)^s (y - 1)^t (z - 1)^{1 - (s + t)} ds dt, \qquad x, y, z \in (1, +\infty).
$$

It clearly simplifies since, for all $x, y, z \in (1, +\infty)$, to

(6.2)
$$
\mathcal{M}_3(x, y, z) = \frac{(x - z)(y - z)}{(z - 1)\log\left(\frac{x - 1}{z - 1}\right)\log\left(\frac{y - 1}{z - 1}\right)}.
$$

Inductively, we obtain also the *k*-variable multiplicative Beta function \mathcal{M}_k : $(1, +\infty)^k \to \mathbb{R}$, which for all $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in (1, +\infty)$ is defined by (6.3)

$$
\mathcal{M}_k(x_1,\ldots,x_k) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 (x_1-1)^{t_1}(x_2-1)^{t_2}\cdots (x_k-1)^{1-(t_1+\cdots+t_{k-1})} dx_1 dx_2 \ldots dx_{k-1}.
$$

It can be written as

(6.4)
$$
\mathcal{M}_k(x_1,\ldots,x_k) = \frac{(x_1-x_k)(x_2-x_k)\cdots(x_{k-1}-x_k)}{(x_k-1)^{k-1}\log\left(\frac{x_1-1}{x_k-1}\right)\cdots\log\left(\frac{x_{k-1}-1}{x_k-1}\right)}
$$

Analogously, the first kind three variable additive Beta function $A_{1,3} : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

(6.5)
$$
\mathcal{A}_{1,3}(x,y,z) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 t(x-1)s(y-1)(1-(s+t))(z-1) dt ds,
$$

simplifying to

(6.6)
$$
A_{1,3}(x,y,z) = -\frac{1}{12}(x-1)(y-1)(z-1).
$$

The first kind four variable additive Beta function $A_{1,4} : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$ simplifies to

(6.7)
$$
\mathcal{A}_{1,4}(x,y,z,w) = -\frac{1}{8}(x-1)(y-1)(z-1)(w-1).
$$

The first kind five variable additive Beta function $A_{1,5} : \mathbb{R}^5 \to \mathbb{R}$ simplifies to

(6.8)
$$
\mathcal{A}_{1,5}(x,y,z,w,a) = -\frac{5}{48}(x-1)(y-1)(z-1)(w-1)(a-1).
$$

7. Closing Remarks

The questions whether the newly introduced pendants of Beta functions also belong to their respective class of beta-type functions, namely whether $A_{1,k} \in A_{f,k}$ (or, more importantly, $A_{2,k} \in A_{f,k}$)), $\mathcal{M}_k \in P_{f,k}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{1,k} \in L_{f,k}$ (or $\mathcal{L}_{2,k} \in L_{f,k}$) will be answered in a future paper.

The Euler Beta function is the continuous analog of (the reciprocal of) a binomial coefficient and consequently it appeas quite often in combinatorics. By [\(5.1\)](#page-4-0), most properties of the Gamma function, as, for instance, the duplication formula, Stirling's formula or its relation to the sine function, can be formulated in terms of the Beta function. Moreover, there are numerous applications of the Euler Beta function in applied sciences as String theory, Particle physics or Astrophysics. As a result this note opens a can of new research questions, namely which properties of the Euler Beta function are shared by its here newly introduced siblings. Also some problems of minor importance are left today without an answer (see sections 5.2 to 5.4 and 6).

From the perspective of functional equations also some probing issues strongly intrude themself, since one can introduce similar Beta functions not only for the Cauchy equations, but also related to some other functional equations. For example, let us consider the functional equation related to the sine-addition law

$$
f(x + y) = f(x)g(y) + f(y)g(x).
$$

Obviously, $f = t \sin$, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $g = \cos$ are continuous solutions on R. The reader with an at least minor understanding of this paper will agree that it is more than natural to call $S : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
S(x, y) = \int_0^1 (t \sin x \cos y + (1 - t) \sin y \cos x), \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

(simplifying, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, to

$$
S(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}\sin(x + y),
$$

) the Beta function of the sine-addition functional equation (related to this given smooth solution pair).

We may summarize that the Euler Beta function indeed gives rise to introducing some kind of auxiliary Beta function in case of a functional euqation with solution involving some degree of freedom.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Barczy, P. Burai, *Limit theorems for Bajraktarevic and Cauchy quotient means of independent identically distributed random variables*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1909.02968.pdf>. $\overline{}$
- [2] M. Himmel, J. Matkowski, *Homogeneous beta-type functions,* J. Class. Anal., Volume 10, Number 1 (2017), 59–66.

8 MARTIN HIMMEL

- [3] M. Himmel, J. Matkowski, *Beta-type means*, J. Difference Equat. Appl., 2018, Vol. 24, no. 5, 753–772.
- [4] M. Himmel, J. Matkowski, *The logarithmic Cauchy quotient mean*, J. Difference Equat. Appl., 2020, Vol. 26, no. 5, 609–624.
- [5] J. Matkowski, *Generalizations of Lagrange and Cauchy Mean-Value Ttheorems*, Demonstr. Math, Vol. *63*, No 4, 2010.
- [6] M. Kuczma, *An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities*, Uniwersytet Ślkaski, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warszawa - Kraków, 1985.
- [7] K. B. Stolarsky, *Generalizations of the logarithmic mean*, Math. Mag. 48 (1975), 87–92.
- [8] K. B. Stolarsky, The power and generalized logarithmic mean, Amer. Math. Monthly 87 (1980), 545–548.

Current address: Germany *Email address*: martin.himmel@gmail.com

