

ALEXANDER POLYNOMIAL OF RIBBON KNOTS

SHENG BAI

ABSTRACT. Conway-normalized Alexander polynomial of ribbon knots depend only on their ribbon diagrams. Here ribbon diagram means a ribbon spanning the ribbon knot marked with the information of singularities. We further give an algorithm to calculate Alexander polynomials of ribbon knots from their ribbon diagrams.

1. INTRODUCTION

For any slice knot, the Alexander polynomial has the form $f(t)f(t^{-1})$ for some integer-coefficients polynomial $f(t)$, the determinant is the square of an integer, and the signature is 0 (c.f. [5]). For ribbon knots, a subclass of slices knots and conjectured to be all of them, these classical results can be proved simpler using observation in 3 dimensional space[4]. They can be further strengthened if focusing on ribbon knots, which is not particularly difficult. In fact, general algorithm can be established for computing Alexander polynomial of a ribbon knot from its ribbon. However, ribbon knots attract much less attention than slice knots[6], and such algorithm, which can often be used with advantage, has appeared nowhere else as far as we know. To begin with, we present a formal definition of ribbon knot.

Definition 1.0.1. Let $r : D^2 \rightarrow S^3$ be an immersion. If each component of the singularities of $r(D^2)$ is an arc and one component of its preimage in D^2 is interior, then $r(\partial D^2)$ is a *ribbon knot*, and $r(D^2)$ is a *ribbon*.

We shall naturally define a diagram on D^2 to record the singularity information of the ribbon. Let $r(D^2)$ be a ribbon defined in Definition 1.0.1. Label the components of singularities of the ribbon by $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_g$. For each $i = 1, \dots, g$, let β_i be the interior component of $r^{-1}(\alpha_i)$ in D^2 and γ_i be the other component of $r^{-1}(\alpha_i)$. If one side of γ_i is at the positive normal direction of an embedded neighborhood of β_i , mark it by a small triangle, and denote the marked γ_i by $\hat{\gamma}_i$. The disk with these arcs, $(D^2, \cup_{i=1}^g \beta_i, \cup_{i=1}^g \hat{\gamma}_i)$, is the *ribbon diagram* of the ribbon. See Fig. 1 for an example.

For a special class of ribbon knots, symmetric union of knots(c.f. [1]), Alexander polynomial was investigated in [3]. Recently, for another special class, simple-ribbon knots, Alexander polynomial was investigated in [2]. We are concerned in this paper with general ribbon knots. Our main result is

Theorem 1.0.2. *Alexander polynomial of ribbon knots are determined by their ribbon diagrams.*

Date: 2021 Mar. 11.

Key words and phrases. ribbon knots, ribbon, Alexander polynomial, symmetric union, slice knots, directed tree,




FIGURE 1. A ribbon and its ribbon diagram.

We emphasize that in general there are infinitely many ribbons and thus diverse ribbon knots with the same ribbon diagram. This theorem will be proved in the next section. Applied to symmetric union, this theorem gives Theorem 2 in [3]. In Section 3, we will give an algorithm that computes Conway-normalized Alexander polynomial of a ribbon knot from its ribbon diagram.

Acknowledgement: I am grateful to Jianhua Tu for his direction in graph theory and his help in writing Subsection 3.1 and 3.2. I also thank Vassily O. Manturov for his guidance in many seminars.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.0.2

2.1. From ribbon to Seifert surface. Let K be a ribbon knot with ribbon $r(D^2)$. We first desingularize the ribbon to get a Seifert surface for K . Let α_i be a singularity component, where $i = 1, \dots, g$.

- (1) Cut along α_i in the orientation preserving way and deform the surface locally, which produces a hole and two ribbon-ends.
- (2) Slide the edge of an ribbon-end along the boundary of the hole towards an arc near the other ribbon-end.

The resulted surface is a Seifert surface for K of genus g , denoted F_g . See Fig. 2 for demonstration.




FIGURE 2.

It is desirable to view F_g from the ribbon diagram. On the ribbon diagram, for each $i = 1, \dots, g$,

- (1) Cut along β_i to produce a hole, say $\mathring{N}(\beta_i)$.
- (2) Choose an arc in $\partial N(\beta_i)$ and an arc in ∂D^2 containing exactly one endpoint of γ_i , and glue the two arcs in the orientation preserving way.

It is easy to see that the surface obtained is F_g , as shown in Fig. 3(2).




FIGURE 3. A ribbon, a representation of F_g on ribbon diagram and a homological basis on F_g .

To calculate Seifert matrix of F_g , we first choose a standard basis of $H_1(F_g)$ based on the representation of F_g on ribbon diagram. For each $i = 1, \dots, g$,

- (1) Let e_i be a simple closed curve encircling $\partial N(\beta_i)$, oriented counterclockwise as seen from the positive side of D^2 .
- (2) Let u_i be an interior point of γ_i , and denote the subarc of γ_i from the glued endpoint of γ_i to u_i by γ_{i0} .
- (3) On the ribbon diagram, let \dot{f}_i be a path from u_i to its corresponding point in β_i . On F_g , let f_i be the path composed by γ_{i0} and \dot{f}_i .

Choose f_i 's mutually disjoint and view them as loops in F_g , as demonstrated in Fig. 3(3). Then $[e_1], \dots, [e_g], [f_1], \dots, [f_g]$ form a standard basis of $H_1(F_g)$ with intersection form

$$(2.1.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} O & I_{g \times g} \\ -I_{g \times g} & O \end{pmatrix}.$$

2.2. Seifert matrix and Alexander polynomial. Recall the definition of Seifert matrix (c.f. [4, 5]). Choose the basis $[e_1], \dots, [e_g], [f_1], \dots, [f_g]$ of $H_1(F_g)$ as above. Notice that $lk(e_i, e_j^+) = 0$ for any $i, j = 1, \dots, g$. The Seifert matrix of F_g has the form

$$(2.2.1) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} O & P \\ Q & L \end{pmatrix}.$$

where

$$P = (lk(e_i, f_j^+))_{g \times g}, \quad Q = (lk(f_i, e_j^+))_{g \times g}, \quad L = (lk(f_i, f_j^+))_{g \times g},$$

Our main task is to calculate P and Q .




FIGURE 4. Homological basis locally represented on the ribbon and on F_g .

Near each singularity α_i , we depict f_i and an arbitrarily other f_j on F_g in Fig. 4. We have the following observations.

For any $i \neq j$,

$$(2.2.2) \quad lk(e_i, f_j^+) = lk(e_i^+, f_j) = lk(e_i, f_j),$$

where f_j is viewed as a loop on the ribbon. Consider a point in $f_j \cap \gamma_i$. If f_j passes through γ_i at this point in the same direction as the mark of γ_i , take the sign at this point as $+1$; if the direction is opposite to the mark of γ_i , take the sign as -1 . Then $lk(e_i, f_j)$ equals the sum of signs at the intersection points of $f_j \cap \gamma_i$. Notice that each γ_i separates D^2 . As a result, we obtain

$$(2.2.3) \quad lk(e_i, f_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \beta_j \text{ belongs to the marked side of } \hat{\gamma}_i, \text{ but } \gamma_j \text{ is not,} \\ -1, & \text{if } \gamma_j \text{ belongs to the marked side of } \hat{\gamma}_i, \text{ but } \beta_j \text{ is not,} \\ 0, & \text{if } \beta_j \text{ and } \gamma_j \text{ belong to the same side of } \hat{\gamma}_i. \end{cases}$$

For $i = 1, \dots, g$, a similar heuristic argument, the details of which we omit, suggests that

$$lk(e_i, f_i^+) = 0, \quad lk(f_i, e_i^+) = 1$$

if β_i belongs to the marked side of $\hat{\gamma}_i$;

$$lk(e_i, f_i^+) = -1, \quad lk(f_i, e_i^+) = 0$$

if β_i belongs to the unmarked side of $\hat{\gamma}_i$.

To summarize what we have proved, we introduce *ribbon matrix* $R = (R_{ij})_{g \times g}$ for the ribbon diagram by

$$(2.2.4) \quad R_{ii} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \beta_i \text{ belongs to the marked side of } \hat{\gamma}_i \\ -\frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \beta_i \text{ belongs to the unmarked side of } \hat{\gamma}_i \end{cases} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, g,$$

$$R_{ij} = lk(e_i, f_j), \quad \forall i \neq j.$$

Then we have

$$(2.2.5) \quad P = R - \frac{1}{2}I; \quad Q = R^T + \frac{1}{2}I.$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.0.2. Recall that given a Seifert matrix A , the Conway-normalized Alexander polynomial[5] is defined as

$$(2.2.6) \quad \Delta(t) = |t^{\frac{1}{2}}A - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}A^T|.$$

Substituting (2.2.1) into (2.2.6) gives

$$(2.2.7) \quad \Delta(t) = |tP - Q^T| |t^{-1}P^T - Q|.$$

Finally we introduce *half Alexander polynomial* $R(t)$, determined by the ribbon matrix R , as

$$(2.2.8) \quad R(t) = |tP - Q^T| = |(t-1)P - I| = |(t-1)R - \frac{1}{2}(t+1)I|.$$

Then

$$(2.2.9) \quad \Delta(t) = R(t)R(t^{-1}).$$

3. RIBBON GRAPH AND ALGORITHM

3.1. Ribbon graph. Let $(D^2, \cup_{i=1}^g \beta_i, \cup_{i=1}^g \hat{\gamma}_i)$ be a ribbon diagram. Since each $\hat{\gamma}_i$ separates D^2 , we can construct a directed tree to represent the ribbon diagram.

- *dual tree* T : each vertex v_i is a component of $D^2 - \cup_{i=1}^g \hat{\gamma}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, g+1$, and each directed edge e_i corresponds to $\hat{\gamma}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, g$ so that the head of e_i contains the mark triangle of $\hat{\gamma}_i$ and the tail of e_i is the other component whose boundary contains $\hat{\gamma}_i$.
- *singularity map* S : a map from the vertex set of T to the edge set of T such that $S(e_i) = v_j$ if and only if β_i is in v_j .

Definition 3.1.1. The pair (T, S) , where T and S are defined as above, is the *ribbon graph* for the ribbon diagram.

Remark 3.1.2. There may be different ribbon diagrams with the same ribbon graph.

We can easily read off the ribbon matrix R from the ribbon graph. For each $i = 1, \dots, g$, subdivide e_i . Denote the new vertex by v_{e_i} and the obtained directed tree by T_{e_i} . Let P_i be the unique path in T_{e_i} from v_{e_i} to $S(e_i)$. It follows from (2.2.3) and (2.2.4) that

$$(3.1.1) \quad R_{ii} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if the first edge of } P_i \text{ is a forward arc;} \\ -\frac{1}{2}, & \text{if the first edge of } P_i \text{ is a reverse arc.} \end{cases}$$

$$R_{ji} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } e_j \text{ is a forward arc of } P_i; \\ -1, & \text{if } e_j \text{ is a reverse arc of } P_i; \\ 0, & \text{if } e_j \notin P_i. \end{cases} \quad \forall j \neq i.$$




FIGURE 5. A ribbon diagram and its ribbon graph.

Example 3.1.3. For the ribbon in Fig. 1, the ribbon graph (T, S) is as shown in Fig. 5. By the formula (3.1.1), the ribbon matrix should be

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the half Alexander polynomial is

$$R(t) = |(t-1)R - \frac{1}{2}(t+1)I| = t(2 - 3t + 3t^2 - t^3),$$

and we get the Conway-normalized Alexander polynomial

$$\Delta(t) = R(t)R(t^{-1}) = -2t^{-3} + 9t^{-2} - 18t^{-1} + 23 - 18t + 9t^2 - 2t^3.$$

3.2. Reductions. We introduce four transformations of ribbon graph. By tracking the change of ribbon matrix, it is elementary to verify that the Conway-normalized Alexander polynomial keeps unchanged under these transformations. Let (T, S) be a ribbon graph defined before.

R0-reduction: If v_i is a pendant vertex of T and $v_i \notin \text{Im}(S)$, delete v_i from T to get a new directed tree, as shown in Fig. 6. Let the new singularity map be the original S restricted to the edges of the new directed tree.




FIGURE 6. R0-reduction.




FIGURE 7. Ribbon 0-move.

R1-reduction: If $S(e_i)$ is an end of e_i , contract e_i to get a new directed tree, as shown in Fig. 8. Let the new singularity map be S restricted to the edges of the new directed tree and if an edge was mapped to an end of $S(e_i)$, we now map it to the new vertex.




FIGURE 8. R1-reduction.




FIGURE 9. Ribbon 1-move.

R2-reduction: Suppose e_i and e_j have the common head or the common tail, and $S(e_i) = S(e_j)$. Identify the other ends of e_i and e_j to get a new directed tree. If an edge was mapped to one of these two ends, we now map it to the new vertex. Fig. 10 shows the change of T when e_i and e_j have the common head.




FIGURE 10. R2-reduction.




FIGURE 11. Finger move.

R3-transformation: Suppose v_q is of degree 2 with $v_q \notin \text{Im}(S)$, v_q is the head of e_j , the other edge incident to v_q is e_k , and $S(e_i) = S(e_j)$ where the head of e_i is $S(e_k)$. Interchange e_j and e_k to get a new directed tree. Revise the singularity map by mapping e_k to the tail of e_i . Such transformation of (S, T) and its reverse are both called R3-transformation. See Fig. 12.

Fixing a ribbon knot, we may also define several transformations of the ribbon. They are *ribbon 0-move* as illustrated in Fig. 7, *ribbon 1-move* as illustrated in Fig.




FIGURE 12. R3-reduction.




FIGURE 13. Ribbon 3-move.

9, *finger move* as illustrated in Fig. 11 and *ribbon 3-move* as illustrated in Fig.13. It is straightforward to show that these moves induce R0-, R1-, R2-reductions and R3-transformation on the ribbon graph respectively.

Remark 3.2.1. (1) R0-reduction of the ribbon graph corresponds exactly to ribbon 0-move of the ribbon. But R1-, R2-reduction and R3-transformation are not necessarily obtained from ribbon 1-move, finger move, and ribbon 3-move respectively.

(2) The transformation as shown in Fig.14 can be easily viewed as a combination of a ribbon 0-move and a finger move.




FIGURE 14. Ribbon 2-move if one likes.

3.3. Algorithm. In conclusion, we now give the algorithm to calculate the Conway-normalized Alexander polynomial of the ribbon knot from the ribbon diagram. Given a ribbon, let $(D^2, \cup_{i=1}^g \beta_i, \cup_{i=1}^g \hat{\gamma}_i)$ be its ribbon diagram.

Algorithm:

- (1) Obtain the ribbon graph (T, S) from the ribbon diagram;
- (2) Perform R0-, R1-, and R2-reductions on (T, S) ;
- (3) Use formula (3.1.1) to get the ribbon matrix R ;
- (4) Use formula (2.2.8) to get half Alexander polynomial $R(t)$ and then use formula (2.2.9) to get $\Delta(t)$.

Remark 3.3.1. R3-transformation does not reduce (T, S) directly, but sometimes produces new (T, S) where R0-, R1-, or R2-reductions can be performed.

In view of the ribbon moves defined in Subsection 3.2, we conclude the paper with a conjecture on the relationship of different ribbons spanning the same ribbon knot.

Conjecture 3.3.2. Any two ribbons spanning the same ribbon knot are related up to isotopy by ribbon 0-moves, ribbon 1-moves, finger moves or ribbon 3-moves.

As after an R0-, R1-, or R2-reduction or an R3-transformation of ribbon graph, the half Alexander polynomial $R(t)$ changes only by a multiplication with $\pm t^{\pm 1}$, so if this conjecture holds, the half Alexander polynomial would be an invariant of ribbon knots up to multiplication by $\pm t^{\pm n}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M Eisermann, C Lamm, *Equivalence of symmetric union diagrams*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 16, 879 (2007) 879898
- [2] K. Kishimoto, T. Shibuya, T. Tsukamoto, T. Ishikawa *Alexander polynomials of simple-ribbon knots.*, arXiv:1905.04915v1
- [3] S. Kinoshita and H. Terasaka. *On unions of knots.*, Osaka Math. J., 9:131–153, 1957.
- [4] L. H. Kauffman *On knots*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton 1987.
- [5] W. B. Raymond Lickorish *An introduction to knot theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 175, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. MR 1472978
- [6] C. Livingston. *A survey of classical knot concordance.*, In Handbook of knot theory, pages 319–347. Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2005.

Email address: `barries@163.com`