

# CONFORMAL TORI WITH ALMOST NON-NEGATIVE SCALAR CURVATURE

JIANCHUN CHU AND MAN-CHUN LEE

ABSTRACT. In this work, we consider sequence of metrics with almost non-negative scalar curvature on torus. We show that if the sequence is uniformly conformal to another sequence of metrics with better controlled geometry, then it converges to a flat metric in the volume preserving intrinsic flat sense,  $L^p$  sense and the measured Gromov-Hausdorff sense.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the study of Riemannian geometry, the notion of curvature plays an significant role. As the average of sectional curvature, the scalar curvature is one of the simplest curvature invariants on a Riemannian manifold. In general, the scalar curvature can be regarded as a kind of weak measure of the local geometry. It is tempting to ask which manifolds can admit metric of positive scalar curvature. When the underlying manifold is torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$ , the Geroch Conjecture predicted that metrics with non-negative scalar curvature must be flat. The problem was solved by Schoen-Yau [24, 25] for  $n \leq 7$  using minimal surface method and Gromov-Lawson [15] for general  $n$  using Atiyah-Singer index theorem for a twisted spinor bundle on a spin manifold.

In [14], Gromov conjectured a stability for the torus rigidity. Namely, a sequence of Riemannian manifolds with almost non-negative scalar curvature, which are diffeomorphic to tori, combined with appropriate compactness conditions should converge to a flat torus in some weak sense. In [29], Sormani had formulated the conjecture more concretely using the notion of intrinsic flat distance which is a distance between integral current spaces and was introduced by Sormani-Wenger [30]. This is believed to be the suitable notion for taking limits of manifolds with lower scalar curvature bounds, see also the recent work of the second named author, Naber and Neumayer in [21] which suggested that the geodesic distance should be replaced by the  $L^p$  version of distance function  $d_p$  at least when  $n > 3$ . For general dimension, it is unclear what conditions should serve as the non-collapsing assumption. While in  $n = 3$ , Sormani [29] gave a precise prediction of the non-collapsing conditions called the MinA condition in order to avoid bubbling occurring.

---

*Date:* May 5, 2021.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C44 .

*Key words and phrases.* scalar curvature, torus stability.

The first result in this direction is given by Gromov in [14] where if one assumes that a sequence of tori with almost non-negative scalar curvature converges in the  $C^0$  sense to a  $C^2$  metric, then one can show that the  $C^2$  limit is a flat Riemannian metric. In [6], Bamler gave an alternative proof using the Ricci flow to perform regularization. It was recently generalized by Burkhardt-Guim [8] to the case when the limiting metrics  $g_\infty$  are only  $C^0$  metric and it was shown that  $g_\infty$  is isometric to the flat torus as a metric space. Further progresses toward the conjecture formulated by Sormani [29] has been made in various cases. In [2], Allen, Hernandez-Vazquez, Parise, Payne, and Wang studied the warped product case. In [9], Cabrera Pacheco, Ketterer, and Perales studied the case of graphical tori. In [1], Allen studied the case when the sequence is conformal to the flat tori. In [21], the second named author with Naber and Neumayer considered the case when the entropy is small and proved the stability in the sense of  $d_p$  convergence.

Motivated by the work of Allen in [1], we study the case when the metrics are uniformly conformal to some metrics with better controlled geometry. We show that under the natural non-collapsing assumption, if in addition the conformal factor is bounded in  $L^{p_0}$  for some sufficiently large  $p_0$ , then the sequence will converge to a flat metric in the volume preserving intrinsic flat sense.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(M_i, g_i)$  be a sequence of  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds ( $n > 2$ ). Suppose  $M_i$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{T}^n$  and  $g_i = u_i^{\frac{4}{n-2}} h_i$  for some metric  $h_i$  such that*

- (i)  $|\text{Ric}(h_i)|_{h_i} + (\text{inj}(M_i, h_i))^{-1} + \text{diam}(M_i, h_i) \leq \Lambda;$
- (ii)  $\text{diam}(M_i, g_i) + \|u_i\|_{L^{p_0}(M_i, h_i)} \leq \Lambda;$
- (iii)  $\text{Vol}(M_i, g_i) \geq \Lambda^{-1};$
- (iv)  $R(g_i) \geq -\delta_i$

for some  $\Lambda > 0$ ,  $p_0 > \frac{n}{2} + \frac{2n(n+4)}{(n-2)(n+2)}$  and  $\delta_i \rightarrow 0$ . Then after passing to a subsequence,  $(M_i, g_i)$  converges to a flat torus in the volume preserving intrinsic flat sense.

*Remark 1.1.* By the Hölder inequality, condition (ii) and (iii) will imply a lower bound of  $\text{Vol}(M_i, h_i)$ . In this case, the lower bound of injectivity radius of  $h_i$  will follow from the work of Cheeger-Gromov-Taylor [11] if we strengthen the curvature bound of  $h_i$  from  $\text{Ric}(h_i)$  to  $\text{Rm}(h_i)$ .

In Theorem 1.1,  $h_i$  serves as a sequence of well-behaved reference metrics within the conformal classes. In certain sense, we show that if the metric within the conformal has almost non-negative scalar curvature, then it is almost the solution to the Yamabe problem. The volume condition (iii) is necessary in order to prevent collapsing. Condition (ii) is stronger than volume non-expanding which plays the role to rule out bubbling. If we strengthen

condition (ii) from  $L^{p_0}$  to  $L^\infty$ , better convergence can be obtained. Note that in this case, the upper bound of  $\text{diam}(M_i, g_i)$  follows from condition (i).

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(M_i, g_i)$  be a sequence of  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds ( $n > 2$ ). Suppose  $M_i$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{T}^n$  and  $g_i = u_i^{\frac{4}{n-2}} h_i$  for some metric  $h_i$  such that*

- (i)  $|\text{Ric}(h_i)|_{h_i} + (\text{inj}(M_i, h_i))^{-1} + \text{diam}(M_i, h_i) \leq \Lambda;$
- (ii)  $\sup_{M_i} u_i \leq \Lambda;$
- (iii)  $\text{Vol}(M_i, g_i) \geq \Lambda^{-1};$
- (iv)  $R(g_i) \geq -\delta_i$

for some  $\Lambda > 0$  and  $\delta_i \rightarrow 0$ . Then after passing to a subsequence,  $(M_i, g_i)$  converges to a flat torus in  $L^p$  for all  $p > 0$  modulo diffeomorphism. Moreover,  $(M_i, g_i)$  converges to a flat torus in the measured Gromov-Hausdorff sense.

*Remark 1.2.* It will be clear from the proof that the torus structure doesn't play any important role. Indeed, the same stability will be true if  $\mathbb{T}^n$  is replaced by any closed manifolds  $M^n$  with non-positive Yamabe invariant. We refer readers to Section 3 for the detailed statement.

The main technique is motivated by that of [6, 8, 27] which used the Ricci flow to regularize the metrics using the estimates from [20, 28]. In our case, we do not a-priori assume any convergence of  $g_i$  or  $C^0$  closeness of metrics and therefore Ricci flow's method do not apply directly. Instead, we make use of the Yamabe flow which is a geometric heat flow evolving inside the conformal class to (partially) regularize the metric  $g_i$ . Unlike the Ricci flow, its regularization ability is relatively limited and highly depends on the uniform geometry of  $h_i$ . In our case, although the corresponding Yamabe flow  $g_i(t)$  is not uniformly regular in  $C^\infty$ , we are able to show that it converges to a fixed flat metric away from  $t = 0$  weakly modulo diffeomorphism. This reduces the problem to establishing uniform weak convergence of  $g_i(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . This will be done in Section 3.

*Acknowledgement:* We would like to thank Brian Allen and Shengwen Wang for useful communication. We would also like to thank Davi Maximo for pointing out a discrepancy in the earlier version of the paper. M.-C. Lee was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1709894 and EPSRC grant number P/T019824/1.

## 2. A-PRIORI ESTIMATES ALONG THE YAMABE FLOW

In the following, we will consider a Riemannian manifold  $(M, h)$  which satisfies

$$(\mathbf{A}) : \begin{cases} |\text{Ric}(h)|_h \leq \Lambda; \\ \text{diam}(M, h) \leq \Lambda; \\ \text{inj}(M, h) \geq \Lambda^{-1} \end{cases}$$

for some  $\Lambda > 0$ . For later use, here we recall the Sobolev inequality and the Poincaré inequality: for  $f \in W^{1,2}(M)$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad \left( \int_M |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C_S \int_M |\nabla^h f|_h^2 d\mu_h + C_S \int_M f^2 d\mu_h,$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \int_M |f - \underline{f}|^2 d\mu_h \leq C_P \int_M |\nabla^h f|_h^2 d\mu_h, \quad \underline{f} = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(M, h)} \int_M f d\mu_h.$$

Note that the lower bound of injective radius implies the lower bound of volume (see [7]), and then constants  $C_S$  (see [18]) and  $C_P$  (see [22]) depend only on  $n$  and  $\Lambda$ .

Let  $g_0 = u_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} h$  be a metric inside the conformal class of  $h$ . Suppose that

$$(B) : \quad \begin{cases} \|u_0\|_{L^{p_0}(M, h)} \leq \Lambda; \\ \text{Vol}(M, g_0) \geq \Lambda^{-1}; \\ R(g_0) \geq -\delta \geq -1 \end{cases}$$

for some  $p_0, \Lambda, \delta > 0$ . In this section, we will use the Yamabe flow to regularize  $g_0$  slightly. This is the family of metric  $g(t)$  which solves

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = -R(g)g, \\ g(0) = g_0. \end{cases}$$

Equivalently, if we write  $g(t) = u(t)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} h$ , then the function  $u(t)$  solves

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u^N}{\partial t} = \frac{n+2}{4} \left( \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \Delta_h u - R_h u \right), \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

where  $N = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  and  $R_h$  denotes the scalar curvature of  $h$ . We will establish a-priori estimates of  $g(t)$  or equivalently  $u(t)$  along the Yamabe flow.

**2.1. Lower bound of the Yamabe flow.** We first show that if  $p_0$  is sufficiently large, then assumption (B) implies the positive lower bound of  $u_0$ . We begin with the following lemma, which is a global version of [17, (4.8)].

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $p_0 > \frac{2n}{n-2}$ , then there is a constant  $\varepsilon_0(n, \Lambda, p_0) > 0$  such that*

$$(2.5) \quad \left( \int_M u_0^{\varepsilon_0} d\mu_h \right) \left( \int_M u_0^{-\varepsilon_0} d\mu_h \right) \leq 4e^2.$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of the local version [17, (4.8)]. For the sake of completeness, we include the proof here. Since  $g_0 = u_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} h$ , then the scalar curvature of  $g_0$  is

$$(2.6) \quad R_{g_0} = u_0^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left( R_h u_0 - \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \Delta_h u_0 \right),$$

Define

$$(2.7) \quad w = \log u_0 - \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(M, h)} \int_M (\log u_0) d\mu_h.$$

Direct calculation shows

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{g_0} &= u_0^{-\frac{4}{n-2}} \left( R_h - \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \frac{\Delta_h u_0}{u_0} \right) \\ &= u_0^{-\frac{4}{n-2}} \left( R_h - \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} (\Delta_h w + |\nabla^h w|_h^2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling  $R_{g_0} \geq -1$ ,

$$(2.9) \quad |\nabla^h w|_h^2 = -\Delta_h w + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \left( R_h - R_{g_0} u_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \right) \leq -\Delta_h w + C u_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} + C$$

for some  $C(n, \Lambda)$ . Since  $p_0 > \frac{2n}{n-2} > \frac{4}{n-2}$ , we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad \int_M |\nabla^h w|_h^2 d\mu_h \leq \int_M (C u_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} + C) d\mu_h \leq C \|u_0\|_{p_0}^{p_0} + C \leq C(n, \Lambda_0, p_0).$$

Combining this with the Sobolev inequality and the Poincaré inequality,

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \left( \int_M |w|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} &\leq C_S \int_M |\nabla^h w|_h^2 d\mu_h + C_S \int_M |w|^2 d\mu_h \\ &\leq C_S(1 + C_P) \int_M |\nabla^h w|_h^2 d\mu_h \leq C(n, \Lambda, p_0). \end{aligned}$$

Define two constants  $\beta$  and  $\beta^*$  by

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{4\beta}{n-2} = p_0, \quad \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^*} = 1.$$

Then  $p_0 > \frac{2n}{n-2}$  implies  $\beta > \frac{n}{2}$  and  $\beta^* < \frac{n}{n-2}$ . Using (2.9), (2.10) and the Hölder inequality, for  $p > 1$ , we compute

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_M |w|^p |\nabla^h w|_h^2 d\mu_h \\ &\leq p \int_M |w|^{p-1} |\nabla^h w|_h^2 d\mu_h + C \int_M |w|^p u_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} d\mu_h + C \int_M |w|^p d\mu_h \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_M |w|^p |\nabla^h w|_h^2 d\mu_h + (Cp)^p \int_M |\nabla^h w|_h^2 d\mu_h \\ &\quad + C \left( \int_M u_0^{p_0} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \left( \int_M |w|^{p\beta^*} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^*}} + C \left( \int_M |w|^{p\beta^*} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^*}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_M |w|^p |\nabla^h w|_h^2 d\mu_h + (Cp)^p + C \left( \int_M |w|^{p\beta^*} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^*}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(2.14) \quad \int_M |\nabla(|w|^{\frac{p+2}{2}})|_h^2 d\mu_h \leq C(p+2)^2 \left( \int_M |w|^{p\beta^*} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^*}} + (C(p+2))^{p+2},$$

Combining this with the Sobolev inequality and the Hölder inequality,

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left( \int_M |w|^{\frac{n(p+2)}{n-2}} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \\ & \leq C(p+2)^2 \left( \int_M |w|^{p\beta^*} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^*}} + C \int_M |w|^{p+2} d\mu_h + (C(p+2))^{p+2} \\ & \leq C(p+2)^2 \left( \int_M |w|^{(p+2)\beta^*} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^*}} + (C(p+2))^{p+2}. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$(2.16) \quad \|w\|_{L^{\frac{n(p+2)}{n-2}}} \leq (C(p+2))^{\frac{2}{p+2}} \|w\|_{L^{(p+2)\beta^*}} + C(p+2), \quad \text{for } p > 1.$$

Replacing  $(p+2)\beta^*$  by  $p$ , and writing  $\gamma = \frac{n}{\beta^*(n-2)}$ , we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad \|w\|_{L^{\gamma p}} \leq (Cp)^{\frac{C}{p}} \|w\|_{L^p} + Cp, \quad \text{for } p > 3\beta^*.$$

Recalling  $\beta^* < \frac{n}{n-2}$ , we have  $\gamma > 1$ . Using (2.17) repeatedly,

$$(2.18) \quad \|w\|_{L^{6\beta^*}} \leq C \|w\|_{L^{4\beta^*}} + C.$$

By (2.11), we have  $\|w\|_{L^{2\beta^*}} \leq C$ . Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$(2.19) \quad \|w\|_{L^{4\beta^*}} = \left( \int_M |w|^{\beta^*} |w|^{3\beta^*} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{1}{4\beta^*}} \leq \|w\|_{L^{2\beta^*}}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|w\|_{L^{6\beta^*}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \leq C \|w\|_{L^{6\beta^*}}^{\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Then (2.18) and (2.19) show  $\|w\|_{L^{6\beta^*}} \leq C$ . Combining this with (2.17), we obtain

$$(2.20) \quad \|w\|_{L^{\gamma^k}} \leq (C\gamma^{k-1})^{\frac{C}{\gamma^{k-1}}} \|w\|_{L^{\gamma^{k-1}}} + C\gamma^{k-1}, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and so

$$(2.21) \quad \|w\|_{L^{\gamma^k}} \leq C(n, \Lambda, p_0) \gamma^k, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This shows

$$(2.22) \quad \|w\|_{L^p} \leq C_0(n, \Lambda, p_0) p, \quad \text{for } p \geq 1.$$

Choosing  $\varepsilon_0(n, \Lambda, p_0)$  such that  $C_0\varepsilon_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$(2.23) \quad \int_M e^{\varepsilon_0|w|} d\mu_h = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_M \frac{\varepsilon_0^k |w|^k}{k!} d\mu_h \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(C_0\varepsilon_0)^k k^k}{k!} \leq e \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (C_0\varepsilon_0)^k \leq 2e.$$

Recalling the definition of  $w$  (2.7), we obtain

$$(2.24) \quad \left( \int_M u_0^{\varepsilon_0} d\mu_h \right) \left( \int_M u_0^{-\varepsilon_0} d\mu_h \right) = \left( \int_M e^{\varepsilon_0 w} d\mu_h \right) \left( \int_M e^{-\varepsilon_0 w} d\mu_h \right) \leq 4e^2.$$

□

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $p_0 > \frac{2n}{n-2}$ , then there is a constant  $c(n, \Lambda, p_0) > 0$  such that*

$$(2.25) \quad \inf_M u_0(x) \geq c(n, \Lambda, p_0).$$

*Proof.* By (2.6), we have

$$(2.26) \quad \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \Delta_h u_0 = R_h u_0 - R_{g_0} u_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}.$$

Using  $R_{g_0} \geq -1$ , the function  $v = u_0^{-1}$  satisfies

$$(2.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_h v &= -v^2 \Delta_h u_0 + 2v^3 |\nabla^h u|_h^2 \\ &\geq -\frac{(n-2)v}{4(n-1)} \left( R_h - R_{g_0} u_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \right) \\ &\geq -C(n) \Lambda v - C(n) u_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Define two constants  $\beta$  and  $\beta^*$  by

$$(2.28) \quad \frac{4\beta}{n-2} = p_0, \quad \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^*} = 1.$$

Then  $p_0 > \frac{2n}{n-2}$  implies  $\beta > \frac{n}{2}$  and  $\beta^* < \frac{n}{n-2}$ . For  $p > 1$ , multiplying  $-v^{p-1}$  on both sides of (2.27) and integrating on  $(M, h)$ , we have

$$(2.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} \int_M |\nabla^h v^{\frac{p}{2}}|_h^2 d\mu_h &= - \int_M (v^{p-1} \Delta_h v) d\mu_h \\ &\leq C \int_M v^p d\mu_h + C \int_M (u_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} v^p) d\mu_h \\ &\leq C \int_M v^p d\mu_h + C \left( \int_M u_0^{p_0} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \left( \int_M v^{p\beta^*} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^*}} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C(n, \Lambda)$ . Combining this with  $\|u_0\|_{L^{p_0}} \leq \Lambda$ , the Sobolev inequality and the Hölder inequality, for  $p > 1$ ,

$$(2.30) \quad \frac{p-1}{p^2} \left( \int_M v^{\frac{pn}{n-2}} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C(n, \Lambda, p_0) \left( \int_M v^{p\beta^*} d\mu_h \right)^{1/\beta^*}.$$

Recalling  $\beta^* < \frac{n}{n-2}$ , we may apply the iteration method to conclude that

$$(2.31) \quad \|v\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(n, \Lambda, p_0) \|v\|_{L^{\varepsilon_0}},$$

where  $\varepsilon_0$  is the constant in Lemma 2.1.

It remains to control  $L^{\varepsilon_0}$  bound of  $v = u_0^{-1}$ . Thanks to Lemma 2.1, it suffices to establish the positive lower bound of  $\|u_0\|_{L^{\varepsilon_0}}$ . We may assume  $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{2n\sigma}{n-2}$  for some  $\sigma < 1$ . Otherwise, the required estimate follows from  $\text{Vol}(M, g_0) \geq \Lambda^{-1}$  and the Hölder inequality. For any  $\gamma \in (0, \sigma)$ ,

$$(2.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \Lambda^{-1} &\leq \text{Vol}(M, g_0) = \int_M u_0^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\mu_h = \int_M u_0^{\frac{\varepsilon_0\gamma}{\sigma} + \frac{2n(1-\gamma)}{n-2}} d\mu_h \\ &\leq \left( \int_M u_0^{\varepsilon_0} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}} \left( \int_M u_0^{\frac{2n\sigma(1-\gamma)}{(n-2)(\sigma-\gamma)}} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{\sigma-\gamma}{\sigma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p_0 > \frac{2n}{n-2}$ , we may choose  $\gamma < \sigma$  such that

$$(2.33) \quad \frac{2n\sigma(1-\gamma)}{(n-2)(\sigma-\gamma)} = p_0.$$

Using  $\|u_0\|_{L^{p_0}} \leq \Lambda$ , we obtain

$$(2.34) \quad \int_M u_0^{\varepsilon_0} d\mu_h \geq c(n, \Lambda, p_0) > 0,$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

Using Lemma 2.2, we establish the lower bound of the Yamabe flow:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $g(t) = u(t)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} h$  be a solution of the Yamabe flow (2.3). Suppose that  $g(t)$  exists on  $M \times [0, T]$ . If  $p_0 > \frac{2n}{n-2}$ , then there are constants  $\hat{T}$  and  $c$  depending only on  $(n, \Lambda, p_0)$  such that*

$$\inf_{M \times [0, \hat{T} \wedge T]} u \geq c > 0.$$

*Proof.* Applying the minimum principle to (2.4) and using Lemma 2.2, for  $(x, t) \in M \times [0, T]$ ,

$$(2.35) \quad u(x, t) \geq \left( -C(n)\Lambda t + \inf_M u_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \geq (-C(n)\Lambda t + c_0(n, \Lambda, p_0))^{\frac{n-2}{4}}.$$

Choosing  $\hat{T} = \frac{c_0}{2C(n)\Lambda}$ , we complete the proof.  $\square$

**2.2. Upper bound of the Yamabe flow.** Next, we will show that if  $p_0$  is sufficiently large, then along the Yamabe flow,  $u(t)$  will be bounded from above instantaneously.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $g(t) = u(t)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} h$  be a solution of the Yamabe flow (2.3). Suppose that  $g(t)$  exists on  $M \times [0, T]$  for some  $T \leq 1$ . If  $\frac{n}{2} + \frac{2n(n+4)}{(n-2)(n+2)} < p_0 < \infty$ , then for any  $\left( \frac{2p_0}{n} - \frac{4(n+4)}{(n-2)(n+2)} \right)^{-1} < \alpha < 1$ , there is a constant  $C(\alpha, n, \Lambda, p_0)$  such that*

$$\sup_M u(\cdot, t) \leq Ct^{-\alpha}, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$



If  $p_0 = \infty$ , then there is a constant  $C(n, \Lambda)$  such that

$$\sup_{M \times [0, T]} u \leq C.$$

*Proof.* When  $\frac{n}{2} + \frac{2n(n+4)}{(n-2)(n+2)} < p_0 < \infty$ , we split the proof into two steps:

**Step 1.**  $\int_0^T \int_M u^{\frac{(n+2)p_0}{n} - N + 1} d\mu_h dt \leq C(n, \Lambda, p_0)$ .

It is clear that  $p_0 > N = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ . Multiplying both sides of (2.4) by  $u^{p_0 - N}$  and integrating by parts,

$$(2.36) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{N}{p_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \int_M u^{p_0} d\mu_h \right) + \frac{4N(n-1)(p_0-N)}{(p_0-N+1)^2} \int_M |\nabla^h u^{\frac{p_0-N+1}{2}}|_h^2 d\mu_h \\ &= -\frac{n+2}{4} \int_M R_h u^{p_0-N+1} d\mu_h \leq C(n) \Lambda \int_M u^{p_0-N+1} d\mu_h. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this on  $[0, T]$  and using  $\|u_0\|_{L^{p_0}} \leq \Lambda$ , we obtain

$$(2.37) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \int_M u(t)^{p_0} d\mu_h \right) + \int_0^T \int_M |\nabla^h u^{\frac{p_0-N+1}{2}}|_h^2 d\mu_h dt \\ & \leq C(n) \Lambda \int_0^T \int_M u^{p_0-N+1} d\mu_h dt + C(n, \Lambda, p_0). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the Sobolev inequality,

$$(2.38) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \int_M u(t)^{p_0} d\mu_h \right) + \int_0^T \left( \int_M u^{\frac{n(p_0-N+1)}{n-2}} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} dt \\ & \leq C(n) \Lambda \int_0^T \int_M u^{p_0-N+1} d\mu_h dt + C(n, \Lambda, p_0). \end{aligned}$$

Using  $N > 1$  and the Young inequality,

$$(2.39) \quad C(n) \Lambda \int_0^T \int_M u^{p_0-N+1} d\mu_h dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \int_M u(t)^{p_0} d\mu_h \right) + C(n, \Lambda, p_0).$$

Then

$$(2.40) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \int_M u(t)^{p_0} d\mu_h \right) + \int_0^T \left( \int_M u^{\frac{n(p_0-N+1)}{n-2}} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} dt \leq C(n, \Lambda, p_0).$$

By the Hölder inequality,

$$(2.41) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_M u^{\frac{(n+2)p_0}{n} - N + 1} d\mu_h dt = \int_0^T \int_M u^{(p_0-N+1) + \frac{2p_0}{n}} d\mu_h dt \\ & \leq \left( \int_0^T \left( \int_M u^{\frac{n(p_0-N+1)}{n-2}} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} dt \right) \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \int_M u^{p_0}(t) d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq C(n, \Lambda, p_0). \end{aligned}$$

**Step 2.**  $\sup_M u(\cdot, t) \leq C(\alpha, n, \Lambda, p_0)t^{-\alpha}$ .

Define  $v = t^\alpha u$ . For  $p > \max(\alpha^{-1}, 2)$ , multiplying both sides of (2.4) by  $t^\alpha v^{p-1}$ ,

$$(2.42) \quad t^\alpha v^{p-1} \frac{\partial u^N}{\partial t} - N(n-1)v^{p-1} \Delta_h v = -\frac{n+2}{4} R_h v^p.$$

We compute the first term of the left hand side:

$$(2.43) \quad \begin{aligned} t^\alpha v^{p-1} \frac{\partial u^N}{\partial t} &= t^{\alpha p} u^{p-1} \frac{\partial u^N}{\partial t} = \frac{N t^{\alpha p}}{N+p-1} \frac{\partial u^{N+p-1}}{\partial t} \\ &= \frac{N}{N+p-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (t^{\alpha p} u^{N+p-1}) - \frac{\alpha N p}{N+p-1} t^{\alpha p-1} u^{N+p-1} \\ &= \frac{N}{N+p-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (v^p u^{N-1}) - \frac{\alpha N p}{N+p-1} v^{p-\frac{1}{\alpha}} u^{N+\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(2.44) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{N}{N+p-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (v^p u^{N-1}) - N(n-1)v^{p-1} \Delta_h v \\ &= -\frac{n+2}{4} R_h v^p + \frac{\alpha N p}{N+p-1} v^{p-\frac{1}{\alpha}} u^{N+\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \\ &\leq C(n) \Lambda v^p + C(\alpha, n) v^{p-\frac{1}{\alpha}} u^{N+\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides on  $(M, h)$ ,

$$(2.45) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{N}{N+p-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \int_M v^p u^{N-1} d\mu_h \right) + \frac{4N(n-1)(p-1)}{p^2} \int_M |\nabla^h v^{\frac{p}{2}}|_h^2 d\mu_h \\ &\leq C(\alpha, n, \Lambda) \int_M \left( v^p + v^{p-\frac{1}{\alpha}} u^{N+\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \right) d\mu_h. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that  $v(0) = 0$ , the above inequality shows

$$(2.46) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \int_M v^p(t) u^{N-1}(t) d\mu_h \right) + \int_0^T \int_M |\nabla^h v^{\frac{p}{2}}|_h^2 d\mu_h dt \\ &\leq C(\alpha, n, \Lambda) p \int_0^T \int_M \left( v^p + v^{p-\frac{1}{\alpha}} u^{N+\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \right) d\mu_h dt. \end{aligned}$$

By the Sobolev inequality,

$$(2.47) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \int_M v^p(t) u^{N-1}(t) d\mu_h \right) + \int_0^T \left( \int_M v^{\frac{np}{n-2}} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} dt \\ &\leq C(\alpha, n, \Lambda) p \int_0^T \int_M \left( v^p + v^{p-\frac{1}{\alpha}} u^{N+\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \right) d\mu_h dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T \leq 1$ , then  $v = t^\alpha u \leq u$ , and so

$$(2.48) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \int_M v^{p+N-1}(t) d\mu_h \right) + \int_0^T \left( \int_M v^{\frac{np}{n-2}} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} dt \\ & \leq C(\alpha, n, \Lambda) p \int_0^T \int_M \left( v^p + v^{p-\frac{1}{\alpha}} u^{N+\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \right) d\mu_h dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using  $N > 1$ , we obtain

$$(2.49) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \int_M v^p(t) d\mu_h \right) + \int_0^T \left( \int_M v^{\frac{np}{n-2}} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} dt \\ & \leq Cp \int_0^T \int_M \left( v^p + v^{p-\frac{1}{\alpha}} u^{N+\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \right) d\mu_h dt + C \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C(\alpha, n, \Lambda)$ . Combining this with the Hölder inequality,

$$(2.50) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_M v^{\frac{(n+2)p}{n}} d\mu_h dt = \int_0^T \int_M v^{p+\frac{2p}{n}} d\mu_h dt \\ & \leq \left( \int_0^T \left( \int_M v^{\frac{np}{n-2}} d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} dt \right) \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \int_M v^p(t) d\mu_h \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \\ & \leq \left( Cp \int_0^T \int_M \left( v^p + v^{p-\frac{1}{\alpha}} u^{N+\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \right) d\mu_h dt + C \right)^{\frac{n+2}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(2.51) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left( \int_0^T \int_M v^{\frac{(n+2)p}{n}} d\mu_h dt \right)^{\frac{n}{n+2}} \leq Cp \int_0^T \int_M (v^p + 1)(u^{N+\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} + 1) d\mu_h dt + C \\ & \leq Cp \left( \left( \int_0^T \int_M v^{\beta p} d\mu_h dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} + 1 \right) \left( \left( \int_0^T \int_M u^{\frac{(n+2)p_0}{n} - N + 1} d\mu_g dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^*}} + 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C(\alpha, n, \Lambda)$ , where

$$(2.52) \quad \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^*} = 1, \quad \beta^* = \frac{\frac{(n+2)p_0}{n} - N + 1}{N + \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1}.$$

Combining (2.51) with Step 1,

$$(2.53) \quad \left( \int_0^T \int_M v^{\frac{(n+2)p}{n}} d\mu_h dt \right)^{\frac{n}{n+2}} \leq Cp \left( \int_0^T \int_M v^{\beta p} d\mu_h dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} + Cp$$

for some  $C(\alpha, n, \Lambda, p_0)$ . Recalling  $\alpha > \left( \frac{2p_0}{n} - \frac{4(n+4)}{(n-2)(n+2)} \right)^{-1}$  and  $N = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ , we obtain

$$(2.54) \quad \beta^* = \frac{\frac{(n+2)p_0}{n} - N + 1}{N + \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} = \frac{\frac{(n+2)p_0}{n} - \frac{4}{n-2}}{\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{4}{n-2}} > \frac{n+2}{2},$$

which implies  $\beta < \frac{n+2}{n}$ . Applying the iteration method and Step 1, we obtain

$$(2.55) \quad \sup_{M \times [0, T]} (t^\alpha u) = \sup_{M \times [0, T]} v \leq C(\alpha, n, \Lambda, p_0) \left( \int_0^T \int_M v^{p_0} d\mu_h dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}}.$$

Combining this with (2.40),  $v \leq u$  and  $T \leq 1$ ,

$$(2.56) \quad \sup_{M \times [0, T]} (t^\alpha u) \leq C(\alpha, n, \Lambda, p_0) \left( \int_0^T \int_M u^{p_0} d\mu_h dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \leq C(\alpha, n, \Lambda, p_0).$$

When  $p_0 = \infty$ , applying the maximum principle to (2.4) and using  $T \leq 1$ , for any  $(x, t) \in M \times [0, T]$ ,

$$(2.57) \quad u(x, t) \leq \left( C(n)\Lambda t + \sup_M u_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \leq C(n, \Lambda).$$

□

### 2.3. More estimates along the Yamabe flow.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $g(t) = u(t)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} h$  be a solution of the Yamabe flow (2.3). If  $p_0 > \frac{n}{2} + \frac{2n(n+4)}{(n-2)(n+2)}$ , then there is a constant  $T_0(n, \Lambda, p_0)$  such that  $g(t)$  exists on  $[0, T_0]$ . Moreover, for all  $[a, T_0] \subset (0, T_0]$ , there is a constant  $\lambda(a, n, \Lambda, p_0) > 1$  such that*

$$(2.58) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda^{-1} h \leq g(t) \leq \lambda h; \\ |\nabla^h g(t)|_h \leq \lambda; \\ -\delta \leq R_{g(t)} \leq \lambda \end{cases}$$

on  $M \times [a, T_0]$ .

*Proof.* In the following, all norms are with respect to metric  $h$ . Denote the maximal existence time of the Yamabe flow (2.3) by  $T_{\max}$ . First, we establish the lower bound of  $T_{\max}$ . By [5, Lemma 2.2], for any  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ , the  $C^{1, \beta}$  harmonic radius of  $h$  is bounded from below by  $r(\beta, n, \Lambda)$ . Within harmonic radius, the Laplacian operator of  $h$  can be expressed as  $\Delta_h = h^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j$ , and then (2.4) can be written as

$$(2.59) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - (n-1)u^{-\frac{4}{n-2}} h^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j u = -\frac{n-2}{4} R_h u^{\frac{n-6}{n-2}}.$$

Write  $T_0 = \min(\hat{T}, 1)$ , where  $\hat{T}$  is the constant in Proposition 2.1. We will show  $T_{\max} > T_0$ . If  $T_{\max} \leq T_0$ , then by Proposition 2.1 and 2.2, for any  $a \in (0, T_{\max})$ ,

$$(2.60) \quad C^{-1}(a, n, \Lambda, p_0) \leq \inf_{M \times [\frac{a}{3}, T_{\max})} u \leq \sup_{M \times [\frac{a}{3}, T_{\max})} u \leq C(a, n, \Lambda, p_0).$$

This shows the equation (2.59) is uniformly parabolic on  $[\frac{a}{3}, T_{\max})$  with  $L^\infty$  inhomogeneous term. Since  $h$  is uniformly equivalent to the Euclidean metric

$g_{\text{euc}}$  in the harmonic coordinate system, we obtain uniform  $C^{\delta, \frac{\delta}{2}}$  estimate on a slightly smaller ball by [19] for some  $\delta(a, n, \Lambda, p_0)$ . The covering argument shows

$$(2.61) \quad \|u\|_{C^{\delta, \frac{\delta}{2}}(M \times [\frac{a}{2}, T_{\max}])} \leq C(a, n, \Lambda, p_0).$$

Combining this with the parabolic Schauder estimates [13], we obtain the higher order estimates of  $u$  on  $[a, T_{\max})$ , which contradicts with the definition of  $T_{\max}$ . Then we obtain  $T_{\max} > T_0$ .

Next, we establish the required estimates. The estimate  $\lambda^{-1}h \leq g(t) \leq \lambda h$  follows from (2.60). Within  $C^{1, \beta}$  harmonic radius,  $h$  and  $g_{\text{euc}}$  are uniformly comparable in  $C^{1, \beta}$ . Using (2.61),  $|R_h| \leq n\Lambda$ , the parabolic  $L^p$  estimate [13] and Sobolev embedding theorem, for  $p > 1$ ,

$$(2.62) \quad \|u\|_{C^1} \leq C(a, n, \Lambda, \delta, p_0), \quad \|u\|_{W^{2, p}} \leq C(p, a, n, \Lambda, \delta, p_0),$$

on  $[\frac{a}{2}, T_0]$ . This shows  $|\nabla^h g(t)|_h \leq \lambda$ .

The scalar curvature  $R_{g(t)}$  satisfies (see [12, Lemma 2.2]),

$$(2.63) \quad \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - (n-1)\Delta_{g(t)} \right) R_{g(t)} = R_{g(t)}^2.$$

The lower bound  $R_{g(t)} \geq -\delta$  follows from the minimum principle. For the upper bound of  $R_{g(t)}$  on  $[a, T_0]$ , (2.62) shows for  $p > 1$  and  $t \in [\frac{a}{2}, T_0]$ ,

$$(2.64) \quad \|R_{g(t)}\|_{L^p} \leq C(p, a, n, \Lambda, \delta, p_0).$$

Combining this with (2.61), the equation (2.63) has  $C^\delta$  parabolic coefficient and  $L^{\frac{p}{2}}$  inhomogeneous term for any  $p > 1$  in the local coordinate system. Then  $R_{g(t)} \leq \lambda$  on  $M \times [a, T_0]$  follows from the parabolic  $L^p$  estimate [13] and Sobolev embedding theorem.  $\square$

Lastly, we will establish the weak convergence of  $g(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $g(t) = u(t)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} h$  be a solution of the Yamabe flow (2.3) on  $[0, T_0]$ , where  $T_0$  is the constant in Proposition 2.3. If  $\frac{n}{2} + \frac{2n(n+4)}{(n-2)(n+2)} < p_0 < \infty$ , then for any  $\left( \frac{2p_0}{n} - \frac{4(n+4)}{(n-2)(n+2)} \right)^{-1} < \alpha < 1$ , there is a constant  $C(\alpha, n, \Lambda, p_0)$  such that*

$$(2.65) \quad |\text{Vol}(M, g(t)) - \text{Vol}(M, g_0)| \leq Ct^{1-\alpha}.$$

*If  $p_0 = \infty$ , then for any  $p > 0$ , there is a constant  $C(p, n, \Lambda)$  such that*

$$(2.66) \quad \int_M |g(t) - g(0)|_h^p d\mu_h \leq C(t + t^p).$$

*Proof.* When  $\frac{n}{2} + \frac{2n(n+4)}{(n-2)(n+2)} < p_0 < \infty$ , along the Yamabe flow (2.3), using  $R_{g(t)} \geq -\delta \geq -1$  (see (2.58)), we obtain

$$(2.67) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \text{Vol}(M, g(t)) = -\frac{n}{2} \int_M R_{g(t)} d\mu_{g(t)} \leq \frac{n}{2} \text{Vol}(M, g(t)).$$

It then follows that

$$(2.68) \quad \text{Vol}(M, g(t)) \leq e^{\frac{nt}{2}} \text{Vol}(M, g_0) \leq \text{Vol}(M, g_0) + C(n)t\text{Vol}(M, g_0).$$

By volume comparison theorem, we have  $\text{Vol}(M, h) \leq C(n, \Lambda)$ . Combining this with  $\|u_0\|_{L^{p_0}} \leq \Lambda$  and  $p_0 > \frac{2n}{n-2}$ , we obtain

$$(2.69) \quad \text{Vol}(M, g_0) = \int_M u_0^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\mu_h \leq C(n, \Lambda, p_0)$$

and so

$$(2.70) \quad \text{Vol}(M, g(t)) \leq \text{Vol}(M, g_0) + C(n, \Lambda, p_0)t.$$

It suffices to estimate the lower bound. By Proposition 2.1, 2.2 and  $R_{g(t)} \geq -\delta \geq -1$  (see (2.58)),

$$(2.71) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \text{Vol}(M, g(t)) &= -\frac{n}{2} \int_M u^{N+1} R_{g(t)} d\mu_h \\ &\geq -\frac{C}{t^\alpha} \int_M u^N (R_{g(t)} + 1) d\mu_h \\ &= -\frac{C}{t^\alpha} \int_M u^N R_{g(t)} d\mu_h - \frac{C}{t^\alpha} \int_M u^{-1} d\mu_{g(t)} \\ &\geq -\frac{C}{t^\alpha} \int_M (R_h u - C(n) \Delta_h u) d\mu_h - \frac{C}{t^\alpha} \text{Vol}(M, g(t)) \\ &\geq -\frac{C}{t^\alpha} (\text{Vol}(M, g(t)))^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} - \frac{C}{t^\alpha} \text{Vol}(M, g(t)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C(\alpha, n, \Lambda, p_0) > 0$ . Using (2.69) and (2.70), we obtain  $\text{Vol}(M, g(t)) \leq C(n, \Lambda, p_0)$ , and then

$$(2.72) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \text{Vol}(M, g(t)) \geq -\frac{C(\alpha, n, \Lambda, p_0)}{t^\alpha}.$$

This implies

$$(2.73) \quad \text{Vol}(M, g(t)) \geq \text{Vol}(M, g_0) - C(\alpha, n, \Lambda, p_0)t^{1-\alpha}$$

Combining (2.70) and (2.73), we obtain

$$(2.74) \quad |\text{Vol}(M, g(t)) - \text{Vol}(M, g_0)| \leq (\alpha, n, \Lambda, p_0)t^{1-\alpha}.$$

When  $p_0 = \infty$ , for  $t \in [0, T_0]$ , Proposition 2.1 and 2.2 show

$$(2.75) \quad C(n, \Lambda)^{-1} \leq u(t) \leq C(n, \Lambda), \quad C(n, \Lambda)^{-1}h \leq g(t) \leq C(n, \Lambda)h.$$

Using  $R_{g(t)} \geq -\delta \geq -1$  (see (2.58)), we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M |R_{g(t)}| d\mu_h &\leq \int_M (R_{g(t)} + 1) d\mu_h + C \\
&\leq C \int_M u^N (R_{g(t)} + 1) d\mu_h + C \\
(2.76) \quad &\leq C \int_M (R_h u - C(n) \Delta_h u) d\mu_h + C \\
&\leq C(n, \Lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M |g(t) - g(0)|_h d\mu_h &= \int_M \left| \int_0^t \frac{\partial g}{\partial s} ds \right|_h d\mu_h \\
(2.77) \quad &\leq C \int_0^t \int_M |R_{g(s)}| d\mu_h ds \\
&\leq C(n, \Lambda)t.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $p \in (0, 1)$ , the Holder inequality shows

$$(2.78) \quad \int_M |g(t) - g(0)|_h^p d\mu_h \leq C \left( \int_M |g(t) - g(0)|_h d\mu_h \right)^p = C(p, n, \Lambda)t^p.$$

For  $p > 1$ , using (2.75),

$$(2.79) \quad \int_M |g(t) - g(0)|_h^p d\mu_h \leq C \int_M |g(t) - g(0)|_h d\mu_h \leq C(p, n, \Lambda)t.$$

□

### 3. STABILITY ON TORUS

In this section, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2. Indeed, we will consider a slightly more general case where  $M$  is a closed manifold with non-positive Yamabe invariant. Recall that

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma(M) = \sup \{ \mathcal{Y}(M, [g]) : [g] \text{ is a conformal class of metrics on } M \},$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{Y}(M, [g_0]) = \inf \left\{ \int_M R(g) d\mu_g : g \in [g_0], \text{Vol}(M, g) = 1 \right\}.$$

It is well known that if a smooth metric on a compact manifold attains the Yamabe invariant and if the invariant is nonpositive, then the metric is Einstein [23]. In particular, if  $g$  is a smooth metric with  $R_g \geq 0$  on  $M$ , then  $\text{Ric}(g) \equiv 0$ .

*Remark 3.1.* For  $n \geq 3$ , it is in general difficult to compute the Yamabe invariant. One can usually show that  $\sigma(M) = 0$  by proving the non-existence of metrics with positive scalar curvature. For example, Schoen-Yau [24, 25]

and Gromov-Lawson [15] showed that torus cannot admit metric with positive scalar curvature and hence  $\sigma(\mathbb{T}^n) = 0$ .

In the following, we will consider a sequence of  $g_{i,0} = u_i^{\frac{4}{n-2}} h_i$  on  $M^n$  with  $\sigma(M) \leq 0$  where  $h_i$  and  $g_{i,0}$  satisfy assumptions **(A)** and **(B)** with  $\delta = i^{-1} \rightarrow 0$  and  $p_0$  sufficiently large. Our goal is to show that  $g_{i,0}$  will converge to a Ricci-flat metric on  $M$  in a weak sense. Let  $g_i(t)$  be the Yamabe flow with initial metric  $g_{i,0}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $M^n$  be a closed manifold with  $\sigma(M) \leq 0$ . Suppose  $g_{i,0}$  is a sequence of metrics on  $M$  such that*

- (a)  $g_{i,0} = u_i^{\frac{4}{n-2}} h_i$  for some metric  $h_i$  on  $M_i$  satisfying assumption **(A)**;
- (b)  $g_{i,0}$  satisfies assumption **(B)** for  $\delta = i^{-1} \rightarrow 0$  and  $p_0 > \frac{n}{2} + \frac{2n(n+4)}{(n-2)(n+2)}$ .

*Then the Yamabe flow  $g_i(t)$  with initial metric  $g_{i,0}$  exists on  $M \times [0, T_0]$ , where  $T_0(n, \Lambda, p_0)$  is the constant in Proposition 2.3. Moreover, there is a sequence of diffeomorphisms  $\Phi_i$  of  $M$  and a Ricci-flat metric  $g_\infty$  on  $M$  such that after passing to subsequence,  $\Phi_i^* g_i(t)$  converges to  $g_\infty$  on  $M$  in  $C_{loc}^0(M \times (0, T_0])$ .*

*Proof.* In the proof, for notational convenience all convergent sequence means convergent subsequence. By [5], we can find a sequence of diffeomorphism  $\Phi_i$  such that  $\Phi_i^* h_i$  converges to some  $C^{1,\beta}$  metric  $h_\infty$  on  $M$  in  $C^{1,\gamma}$  for all  $\gamma < \beta < 1$  after passing to subsequence. For notational convenience, we will pull-back all  $g_{i,0}$  by  $\Phi_i$  and omit  $\Phi_i^*$ .

Applying Proposition 2.3 to each  $g_i(t)$ , we obtain a sequence of Yamabe flows  $g_i(t)$  on  $M^n \times [0, T_0]$  which is uniform bounded in  $C^1$  on any  $[a, T_0] \subset (0, T_0]$ . Our goal is to show that  $g_i(t)$  converges to a Ricci-flat metric on  $M$  weakly. In case  $h_i$  is uniformly regular in  $C^\infty$ , it is not difficult to see that  $g_i(t)$  converges to a limiting solution of the Yamabe flow  $g_\infty(t)$  for  $t > 0$  after passing to subsequence. Since  $h_i$  is only mildly regular, it is not clear to us whether  $g_\infty(t)$  exists smoothly although the flow is expected to be static. Instead, we will regularize it further using the Ricci flow.

**Claim 3.1.** *There is a Ricci-flat metric  $g_\infty$  on  $M$  such that  $g_i(T_0) \rightarrow g_\infty$  in  $C^0(M)$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  after passing to subsequence and pulling back by a sequence of diffeomorphism.*

*Proof of Claim 3.1.* Since  $g_i(T_0)$  is uniformly bounded in  $C^1$  with respect to  $h_i$ ,  $g_i(T_0)$  is uniformly equivalent to  $h_i$  and  $h_i \rightarrow h_\infty$  in  $C^{1,\gamma}$ . By passing to subsequence, we may assume  $g_i(T_0) \rightarrow g_\infty(T_0)$  in  $C^\gamma$  for all  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ . In particular, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $i > N$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad (1 - \varepsilon)\bar{h} \leq g_i(T_0) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\bar{h}, \quad |\nabla^{\bar{h}} g_i(T_0)|_{\bar{h}} \leq C(\bar{h}, n, \Lambda, p_0),$$

where  $\bar{h} = g_N(T_0)$ . Note that the constant  $C$  depends on  $\bar{h}$ , but is independent of  $i$ . In the following, all constants may possibly depend on  $\bar{h}$ , but are independent of  $i$ .



Let  $\tilde{g}_i(s)$  be Ricci flow starting from  $g_i(T_0)$ . By [27, Lemma 4.3] with  $\delta = 0$  (see also [28]), there is a constant  $S_0(\bar{h}, n, \Lambda, p_0) > 0$  such that  $\tilde{g}_i(s)$  exists on  $M^n \times [0, S_0]$  and satisfies

$$(3.4) \quad |\text{Rm}(\tilde{g}_i(s))| \leq C(\bar{h}, n, \Lambda, p_0)s^{-1/2}$$

provided that  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small depending only on  $n$ . In particular,  $\tilde{g}_i(s)$  is uniformly equivalent to  $\tilde{g}_i(0)$  and hence  $h_i$  for all  $s \in [0, S_0]$  by integration on time. Here we have used the estimate of the Ricci-DeTurck flow with reference metric  $\bar{h}$  from [27, Lemma 4.3] and the fact that the Ricci-DeTurck flow is diffeomorphic to the Ricci flow with the same initial data.

Since  $\text{inj}(h_i)$  is bounded from below, we have a uniform lower bound on  $\text{inj}(\tilde{g}_i(s))$  thanks to the uniform equivalence of metrics. Together with Shi's estimates [26] (see also [28, Theorem 4.3]) and Hamilton's compactness [16], we can pass  $\tilde{g}_i(s) \rightarrow \tilde{g}_\infty(s)$  in  $C^\infty$  Cheeger-Gromov sense on  $M \times (0, S_0]$  after passing to subsequence. More precisely, there is a sequence of diffeomorphism  $\Psi_i$  of  $M$  such that  $\Psi_i^* \tilde{g}_i(s)$  converges to  $g_\infty(s)$  in  $C_{loc}^\infty(M \times (0, S])$ . As usual, we will pull-back each metrics by  $\Psi_i$  and therefore will omit  $\Psi_i^*$ . We note that in this way,  $\{\Psi_i^* h_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  are not necessarily compact in  $C^{1,\gamma}$  topology anymore due to the additional pull-back of diffeomorphism but it will remain uniformly equivalent to the Ricci flow  $\tilde{g}_i(s)$  thanks to the curvature estimates in (3.4).

It is well-known that Ricci flow preserved the lower bound of the scalar curvature, we have

$$(3.5) \quad R_{\tilde{g}_i(s)} \geq R_{\tilde{g}_i(0)} = R_{g_i(T_0)} \geq -i^{-1},$$

where we used (2.58) in the last inequality. Letting  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain  $R_{\tilde{g}_\infty(s)} \geq 0$  for  $s \in (0, S_0]$ . By the assumption of  $\sigma(M) \leq 0$  and uniqueness of the Ricci flow,  $\tilde{g}_\infty(s) \equiv g_\infty$  on  $M$  for  $s \in (0, S_0]$  for some Ricci-flat metric  $g_\infty$ . Combining (3.4) and the equation of Ricci-flow

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{g}_{kl}}{\partial s} = -2\text{Ric}(\tilde{g})_{kl}$$

we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad |\tilde{g}_i(s) - g_i(T_0)|_{\tilde{g}_i(S_0)} = |\tilde{g}_i(s) - \tilde{g}_i(0)|_{\tilde{g}_i(S_0)} \leq C(\bar{h}, n, \Lambda, p_0)s^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Combining this with  $\tilde{g}_i(s) \rightarrow g_\infty$  in  $C_{loc}^\infty$  on  $(0, S_0]$ , we conclude  $g_i(T_0) = \tilde{g}_i(0) \rightarrow g_\infty$  in  $C^0(M)$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

Next, we claim that  $g_i(t)$  converges to the same Ricci-flat metric  $g_\infty$ .

**Claim 3.2.** *For all  $t \in (0, T_0]$ ,  $g_i(t) \rightarrow g_\infty$  in  $C^0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $g_\infty$  is the Ricci-flat metric obtain from Claim 3.1.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.3, for  $a \in (0, T_0]$ ,  $g_i(t)$  is uniformly equivalent to  $g_i(T_0)$  on  $[a, T_0]$  and  $-i^{-1} \leq R_{g_i(t)} \leq \lambda$ . Using (2.63), we compute

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \int_M R_{g_i(t)} d\mu_{g_i(t)} \right) &= \left( 1 - \frac{n}{2} \right) \int_M R_{g_i(t)}^2 d\mu_{g_i(t)} \\ &\geq -C(a, n, \Lambda, p_0) \left( \int_M R_{g_i(t)} d\mu_{g_i(t)} + i^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all  $t \in [a, T_0]$ ,

$$(3.9) \quad \int_M R_{g_i(t)} d\mu_{g_i(t)} \leq C(a, n, \Lambda, p_0) \left( \int_M R_{g_i(T_0)} d\mu_{g_i(T_0)} + i^{-1} \right).$$

We now estimate the integral of scalar curvature on the right hand side. We will make use of the smooth convergence of the Ricci-flow. The scalar curvature  $R_{\tilde{g}_i(s)}$  satisfies (see e.g., [31, (2.5.5)])

$$(3.10) \quad \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - \Delta_{\tilde{g}_i(s)} \right) R_{\tilde{g}_i(s)} = 2 |\text{Ric}(\tilde{g}_i(s))|_{\tilde{g}_i(s)}^2.$$

By (3.4) and (3.5),

$$(3.11) \quad -i^{-1} \leq R_{\tilde{g}_i(s)} \leq C(\bar{h}, n, \Lambda, p_0) s^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then along the Ricci flow, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( \int_M R_{\tilde{g}_i(s)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}_i(s)} \right) &= \int_M \left( 2 |\text{Ric}(\tilde{g}_i(s))|_{\tilde{g}_i(s)}^2 - |R_{\tilde{g}_i(s)}|^2 \right) d\mu_{\tilde{g}_i(s)} \\ &\geq -C(a, \bar{h}, n, \Lambda, p_0) s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_M R_{\tilde{g}_i(s)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}_i(s)} + i^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M R_{g_i(T_0)} d\mu_{g_i(T_0)} &= \int_M R_{\tilde{g}_i(0)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}_i(0)} \\ &\leq C(a, \bar{h}, n, \Lambda, p_0) \left( \int_M R_{\tilde{g}_i(S_0)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}_i(S_0)} + i^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.9), for all  $t \in [a, T_0]$ ,

$$(3.14) \quad \int_M R_{g_i(t)} d\mu_{g_i(t)} \leq C(a, \bar{h}, n, \Lambda, p_0) \left( \int_M R_{\tilde{g}_i(S_0)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}_i(S_0)} + i^{-1} \right).$$

Combining this with  $R_{g(t)} \geq -i^{-1}$  (see (2.58)), we have

$$(3.15) \quad \int_M |R_{g_i(t)}| d\mu_{g_i(t)} \leq C(a, \bar{h}, n, \Lambda, p_0) \left( \int_M R_{\tilde{g}_i(S_0)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}_i(S_0)} + i^{-1} \right).$$

By the similar computation of (2.77) and the fact that  $g_i(t)$  is uniformly equivalent to  $h_i$  and hence  $\tilde{g}_i(S_0)$ , we have

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M |g_i(t) - g_i(T_0)|_{g_i(T_0)} d\mu_{g_i(T_0)} &\leq C \int_a^{T_0} \int_M |R_{g_i(s)}| d\mu_{g_i(T_0)} ds \\ &\leq C(a, \bar{h}, n, \Lambda, p_0) \left( \int_M R_{\tilde{g}_i(S_0)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}_i(S_0)} + i^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Claim 3.1, the smooth convergence of  $\tilde{g}_i(S_0)$  to  $g_\infty$  and the fact that  $\text{Ric}(g_\infty) = 0$ , we see that  $g_i(t) \rightarrow g_\infty$  in  $L^1(M, g_\infty)$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $t \in [a, T_0]$ . By the uniform  $C^1$  estimates from Proposition 2.3, we can improve the convergence to  $C^0$ . This completes the proof since  $a \in (0, T_0]$  is arbitrary.  $\square$

$\square$

We now prove the stability Theorem for manifolds with  $\sigma(M) \leq 0$ . This will imply Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 when  $M = \mathbb{T}^n$  by Remark 3.1 as Ricci-flat metric on torus is flat from the splitting Theorem of Cheeger-Gromov [10].

**Corollary 3.1.** *Under the assumption in Theorem 3.1, if  $\text{diam}(M, g_{i,0})$  are uniformly bounded from above, then after passing to subsequence,  $g_{i,0}$  converges to a Ricci-flat metric  $g_\infty$  in the volume preserving intrinsic flat sense.*

*Proof.* By (2.58), the Yamabe flow  $g_i(t)$  in Theorem 3.1 satisfies

$$(3.17) \quad \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial t} = -R_{g_i} g_i \leq i^{-1} g_i,$$

which implies

$$(3.18) \quad g_i(t) \leq e^{-i^{-1}t} g_i(0) = e^{-i^{-1}t} g_{i,0}.$$

In particular,

$$(3.19) \quad g_i(T_0) \leq e^{-i^{-1}T_0} g_{i,0}.$$

By Theorem 3.1,  $F_i^* g_i(T_0)$  converges to a Ricci-flat metric  $g_\infty$  in  $C^0(M)$  where  $F_i = \Phi_i \circ \Psi_i$ . By relabelling the index, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$(3.20) \quad \left(1 - \frac{1}{i}\right) g_\infty \leq F_i^* g_{i,0}.$$

The intrinsic flat convergence follows from (3.20), volume convergence in Proposition 2.4 and [3, Theorem 2.1].  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2** (Theorem 1.2). *Under the assumption in Theorem 3.1, if  $u_i$  are uniformly bounded from above, then there is a sequence of diffeomorphisms  $F_i$  of  $M$  such that after passing to subsequence,  $F_i^* g_{i,0}$  converges to a Ricci-flat metric  $g_\infty$  in  $L^p(M, g_\infty)$  for all  $p > 0$ . Moreover,  $g_{i,0}$  converges to  $g_\infty$  in the measured Gromov-Hausdorff sense.*

*Proof.* The  $L^p$  convergence follows from Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 3.1. As shown in proof of Theorem 3.1,  $F_i^* h_i \leq C(n, \Lambda) \cdot F_i^* g_i(T_0)$  and  $F_i^* g_i(T_0)$  converges to  $g_\infty$  in  $C^0(M)$ . Combining this with (3.20), we have

$$(3.21) \quad \left(1 - \frac{1}{i}\right) g_\infty \leq F_i^* g_{i,0} \leq C(n, \Lambda) g_\infty.$$

for  $i$  sufficiently large. The measured Gromov-Hausdorff convergence follows from (3.21), the  $L^p$  convergence and [4, Theorem 1.2].  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Allen, B., *Almost non-negative scalar curvature on Riemannian manifolds conformal to tori*, preprint, arXiv:2010.06008
- [2] Allen, B.; Hernandez-Vazquez, L.; Parise, D.; Payne, A.; Wang, S., *Warped tori with almost non- negative scalar curvature*, *Geom. Dedicata* 200 (2019), 153–171.
- [3] Allen, B.; Perales, R.; Sormani, C., *Volume above distance below*, preprint, arXiv:2003.01172
- [4] Allen, B.; Sormani, C., *Relating notions of convergence in geometric analysis*, *Nonlinear Anal.* 200 (2020), 111993, 33 pp.
- [5] Anderson, M.T., *Convergence and rigidity of manifolds under Ricci curvature bounds*, *Invent. Math.* 102 (1990), no. 2, 429–445.
- [6] Bamler, R., *A ricci flow proof of a result by gromov on lower bounds for scalar curvature*, *Math. Res. Lett.* 23 (2016), no. 2, 325–337.
- [7] Berger, M.; Kazdan, J.L., *A Sturm-Liouville inequality with applications to an isoperimetric inequality for volume in terms of injectivity radius, and to wiedersehen manifolds*, *General inequalities, 2* (Proc. Second Internat. Conf., Oberwolfach, 1978), pp. 367–377, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston, Mass., 1980.
- [8] Burkhardt-Guim, P., *Pointwise lower scalar curvature bounds for  $C^0$  metrics via regularizing Ricci flow*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 29 (2019), no. 6, 1703–1772.
- [9] Cabrera Pacheco, A.-J.; Ketterer, C.; Perales, R., *Stability of graphical tori with almost nonnegative scalar curvature*, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 59 (2020), no. 4, Paper No. 134, 27 pp.
- [10] Cheeger, J.; Gromov, M., *The splitting theorem for manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature*, *J. Differential Geometry* 6 (1971/72), 119–128.
- [11] Cheeger, J.; Gromov, M.; Taylor, M., *Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the Laplace operator, and the geometry of complete Riemannian manifolds*, *J. Differential Geometry* 17 (1982), no. 1, 15–53.
- [12] Chow, B., *The Yamabe flow on locally conformally flat manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 45 (1992), no. 8, 1003–1014.
- [13] Friedman, A., *Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1964.
- [14] Gromov, M., *Dirac and Plateau billiards in domains with corners*, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* 12 (2014), no. 8, 1109–1156.
- [15] Gromov, M.; Lawson, H.B., Jr., *Spin and scalar curvature in the presence of a fundamental group. I*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 111 (1980), no. 2, 209–230.
- [16] Hamilton, R.S. *A compactness property for solutions of the Ricci flow*, *Amer. J. Math.* 117 (1995), no. 3, 545–572.
- [17] Han, Q.; Lin, F.H., *Elliptic partial differential equations*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.

- [18] Ilias, S., *Constantes explicites pour les inégalités de Sobolev sur les variétés riemanniennes compactes*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 33 (1983), no. 2, 151–165.
- [19] Krylov, N.V.; Safonov, M.V., *A property of the solutions of parabolic equations with measurable coefficients*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 44 (1980), no. 1, 161–175, 239.
- [20] Koch, H.; Lamm, T., *Geometric flows with rough initial data*, Asian J. Math. 16 (2012), no. 2, 209–235
- [21] Lee, M.-C.; Naber, A.; Neumayer, R.,  *$d_p$  convergence and  $\varepsilon$ -regularity theorems for entropy and scalar curvature lower bounds*, preprint, arXiv:2010.15663
- [22] Li, P.; Yau, S.-T., *Estimates of eigenvalues of a compact Riemannian manifold*, Geometry of the Laplace operator (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, 1979), pp. 205–239, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXVI, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980.
- [23] Schoen, R., *Variational theory for the total scalar curvature functional for Riemannian metrics and related topics*, pp. 120–154 in Topics in calculus of variations (Montecatini Terme, 1987), edited by M. Giaquinta, Lecture Notes in Math. 1365, Springer, 1989.
- [24] Schoen, R.; Yau, S.-T., *Existence of incompressible minimal surfaces and the topology of three-dimensional manifolds with nonnegative scalar curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) 110 (1979), no. 1, 127–142.
- [25] Schoen, R.; Yau, S.-T., *On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, Manuscripta Math. 28 (1979), no. 1-3, 159–183.
- [26] Shi, W.-X., *Deforming the metric on complete Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. 30 (1989), no. 1, 223–301.
- [27] Shi, Y.; Tam, L.-F., *Scalar curvature and singular metrics*, Pacific J. Math. 293 (2018), no. 2, 427–470.
- [28] Simon, M., *Deformation of  $C^0$  Riemannian metrics in the direction of their Ricci curvature*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 10 (2002), no. 5, 1033–1074.
- [29] Sormani, C., *Scalar curvature and intrinsic flat convergence*, Measure theory in non-smooth spaces, 288–338, Partial Differ. Equ. Meas. Theory, De Gruyter Open, Warsaw, 2017.
- [30] Sormani, C.; Wenger, S., *The intrinsic flat distance between Riemannian manifolds and other integral current spaces*, J. Differential Geom. 87 (2011), no. 1, 117–199.
- [31] Topping, P., *Lectures on the Ricci flow*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 325. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

(Jianchun Chu) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, 2033 SHERIDAN ROAD, EVANSTON, IL 60208

*Email address:* [jianchun@math.northwestern.edu](mailto:jianchun@math.northwestern.edu)

(Man-Chun Lee) MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, ZEEMAN BUILDING, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, COVENTRY CV4 7AL; DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, 2033 SHERIDAN ROAD, EVANSTON, IL 60208

*Email address:* [Man.C.Lee@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:Man.C.Lee@warwick.ac.uk), [mcleee@math.northwestern.edu](mailto:mcleee@math.northwestern.edu)