

QUASI-ISOLATED BLOCKS AND THE ALPERIN-MCKAY CONJECTURE

LUCAS RUHSTORFER

ABSTRACT. The Alperin–McKay conjecture is a longstanding open conjecture in the representation theory of finite groups. Späth showed that the Alperin–McKay conjecture holds if the so-called inductive Alperin–McKay (iAM) condition holds for all finite simple groups. In a previous paper, the author has proved that it is enough to verify the inductive condition for quasi-isolated blocks of groups of Lie type. In this paper we show that the verification of the iAM-condition can be further reduced in many cases to isolated blocks. As a consequence of this we obtain a proof of the Alperin–McKay conjecture for 2-blocks of finite groups with abelian defect.

INTRODUCTION

Alperin–McKay conjecture. In the representation theory of finite groups some of the most important conjectures predict a very strong relationship between the representations of a finite group G and certain representations of its ℓ -local subgroups, where ℓ is a prime dividing the order of G . One of these conjectures is the Alperin–McKay conjecture. For an ℓ -block b of G we denote by $\text{Irr}_0(G, b)$ the set of height zero characters of b . Then the Alperin–McKay predicts the following:

Conjecture (Alperin–McKay). *Let b be an ℓ -block of G with defect group D and B its Brauer correspondent in $N_G(D)$. Then*

$$|\text{Irr}_0(G, b)| = |\text{Irr}_0(N_G(D), B)|.$$

Späth [39, Theorem C] showed that the Alperin–McKay conjecture holds if the so-called inductive Alperin–McKay condition holds for all finite groups. In a previous article, the author has reduced the verification of the inductive Alperin–McKay condition to so-called quasi-isolated blocks of groups of Lie type [36]. The overall aim of this article is to further reduce the verification of this condition to isolated blocks of groups of Lie type. Using this, we are then able to verify the inductive Alperin–McKay condition for many important classes of blocks.

Equivariant Bonnafé–Dat–Rouquier equivalence. One of our main ingredients towards such a reduction is the recent result by Bonnafé–Dat–Rouquier [4]. They have constructed a Morita equivalence which can be seen as a modular analogue of Lusztig’s Jordan decomposition for characters. Let \mathbf{G} be a simple, simply connected algebraic group with Frobenius endomorphism $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ defining an \mathbb{F}_q -structure where q is a power of a prime p . Fix a prime ℓ different from p and let (\mathcal{O}, K, k) be an ℓ -modular system as in 1.1 below. In the following Λ denotes either the discrete valuation ring \mathcal{O} or its residue field k . Let (\mathbf{G}^*, F^*)

Date: March 12, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20C33.

Key words and phrases. Alperin–McKay conjecture, groups of Lie type.

be a group in duality with (\mathbf{G}, F) and $s \in (\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}$ a semisimple element of ℓ' -order. Let $e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F} \in Z(\Lambda \mathbf{G}^F)$ be the central idempotent associated to s as in [11, Theorem 9.12]. We assume that \mathbf{L}^* is the minimal Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G}^* containing $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)$. Let N be the common stabilizer in \mathbf{G}^F of the idempotent $e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ and \mathbf{L} and suppose that N/\mathbf{L}^F is cyclic. According to the main result of [4] there exists a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda N e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ and $\Lambda \mathbf{G}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$. Using the methods developed in [35] we extend their result to incorporate automorphisms of \mathbf{G}^F .

Theorem A (see Theorem 4.2). *Let \mathbf{G} be a simple, simply connected algebraic group of type B_n, C_n or E_7 such that either $n > 2$ or q is odd. Let $\iota : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ be a regular embedding. Then there exists a Frobenius morphism $F_0 : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ stabilizing \mathbf{L} such that the image of $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \rtimes \langle F_0 \rangle$ in the outer automorphism group of \mathbf{G}^F is $\text{Out}(\mathbf{G}^F)_{e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}}$. There exists a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda N e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ and $\Lambda \mathbf{G} e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ which lifts to a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda N_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \langle F_0 \rangle}(\mathbf{L}, e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}) e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ and $\Lambda \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \langle F_0 \rangle e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$.*

Quasi-isolated blocks of type A. In the next part of our paper we consider the case of groups of type A. According to the main result of [36] it is prove the inductive Alperin–McKay condition it suffices to consider strictly quasi-isolated block, i.e. blocks of $\Lambda \mathbf{G}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ such that $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s) C_{(\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}}(s)$ is not contained in a proper Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G}^* . Therefore we will from now on assume that \mathbf{G} is of type A and s is a strictly quasi-isolated element. In this case the automorphism group of \mathbf{G}^F is more complicated and the quotient group N/\mathbf{L}^F can become arbitrary large with the rank of \mathbf{G} increasing. Thus, a direct approach along the lines of Theorem A does not seem possible.

Using the explicit description of quasi-isolated elements in groups of type A by Bonnafé [2] we instead first construct a specific F -stable Levi subgroup \mathbf{L}' of \mathbf{G} containing \mathbf{L} . This Levi subgroup has the additional property that N'/\mathbf{L}' is cyclic of prime order. Again, we denote by N' be the common stabilizer of \mathbf{L}' and $e_s^{\mathbf{L}'^F}$ in \mathbf{G}^F . The main result of [4] is still applicable in this slightly more general situation and we obtain a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda N' e_s^{\mathbf{L}'^F}$ and $\Lambda \mathbf{G}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$. This enables us to construct a certain abelian subgroup \mathcal{A} of $\text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F)$ (see Definition 7.6) such that $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \rtimes \mathcal{A}$ generates the stabilizer of $e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ in $\text{Out}(\mathbf{G}^F)$. This enables us the following result which can be seen as a version of Theorem A for groups of type A.

Theorem B (see Corollary 7.8). *Assume that \mathbf{G} is of type A and let $s \in (\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}$ be a strictly quasi-isolated element of ℓ' -order. If $\ell \nmid |\mathcal{A}|$ then there exists a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda N' e_s^{\mathbf{L}'^F}$ and $\Lambda \mathbf{G}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ which lifts to a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda N_{\mathbf{G}^F \mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{L}', e_s^{\mathbf{L}'^F}) e_s^{\mathbf{L}'^F}$ and $\Lambda \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \mathcal{A} e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$.*

Reduction to isolated blocks. Using the methods developed in the proof of the main theorem of [36] as a blueprint we use the result of Theorem B to obtain a reduction of the verification of the iAM-condition to unipotent blocks of type A. By the work of [12] and [8] which together show that the inductive Alperin–McKay condition holds for unipotent blocks of type A we obtain the following:

Theorem C (see Corollary 12.4). *The inductive Alperin–McKay condition holds for all ℓ -blocks of quasi simple groups of type A, whenever $\ell \geq 5$.*

The statement of Theorem C has been obtained in special cases by [8]. As a biproduct of the reduction methods developed for the proof of Theorem C and our equivariant Jordan decomposition from Theorem A we obtain the following:

Theorem D (see Theorem 12.5). *Let X be one of the symbols B or C and let $\ell \geq 5$. Assume that all isolated ℓ -blocks of quasi-simple group of type X are AM-good relative to the Cabanes group (see 11.1) of their defect group. Then all ℓ -blocks of quasi-simple groups of type X are AM-good.*

2-Blocks with abelian defect group. Observe that Theorem C and Theorem D exclude the primes $\ell = 2, 3$. The first reason for this is that the structure of defect groups of ℓ -blocks of groups of Lie type for $\ell < 5$ is vastly more complicated. The second reason is that the automorphism group \mathcal{A} can have a non-cyclic Sylow 2-subgroup. This makes it difficult to control the extension of characters and the block theory at the same time. By an explicit analysis of the 2-blocks of groups of type A we are able to overcome this difficulty for blocks with abelian defect group and obtain again in this case a reduction to unipotent blocks. According to the classification result of [16] almost all quasi-isolated 2-blocks of groups with abelian defect group arise as blocks of groups of type A . Using this we are able to prove the inductive Alperin–McKay condition for all quasi-isolated 2-blocks with abelian defect group. In fact we are able to prove this condition for the slightly larger class of 2-blocks of \mathbf{G}^F whose defect group D is almost abelian in \mathbf{G}^F , see Definition 13.1. The advantage of working with this larger class of blocks is that we obtain a reduction theorem to quasi-simple groups of the Alperin–McKay conjecture for blocks with almost abelian defect group. Following the proof of [39] we are able to show the following:

Proposition E (see Proposition 13.3). *Let X be a finite group and ℓ a prime. Assume that for every non-abelian simple subquotient S of X with $\ell \mid |S|$ the following holds: Every ℓ -block of the universal covering group H of S with almost abelian defect group satisfies the i AM-condition. Then the Alperin–McKay conjecture holds for any ℓ -block of X with almost abelian defect.*

As a consequence of Proposition E and the verification of the inductive Alperin–McKay condition for the necessary ℓ -blocks we obtain the following result.

Theorem F (see Theorem 14.10). *The Alperin–McKay conjecture holds for all 2-blocks with almost abelian defect group.*

By [21, Proposition 5.6] and [19, Theorem 1.1] we obtain the following immediate consequence of Theorem F.

Theorem G. *The Alperin weight conjecture holds for all 2-blocks with abelian defect group.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences for support and hospitality during the programme "Groups, representations and applications: new perspectives" when work on this paper was undertaken. This work was supported by: EPSRC grant number EP/R014604/1. The author would like to thank Julian Brough for insightful discussions and suggestions.

1. GENERAL PROPERTIES OF THE BONNAFÉ–DAT–ROUQUIER EQUIVALENCE

In the following we follow the notation of [35] and [36]. For the convenience of the reader we recall the most important notions.

1.1. Representation theory. Let ℓ be a prime and K be a finite field extension of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ . We assume in the following that K is large enough for the finite groups under consideration. Let \mathcal{O} be the ring of integers of K over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ and $k = \mathcal{O}/J(\mathcal{O})$ its residue field. We will use Λ (respectively A) to interchangeably denote \mathcal{O} or k (respectively K or \mathcal{O}).

1.2. Groups of Lie type. Let \mathbf{G} be a connected reductive group with Frobenius endomorphism $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ for some prime $p \neq \ell$. Given such a group \mathbf{G} it is often convenient to consider it as a closed subgroup of a group whose center is connected. Therefore, we fix a regular embedding $\iota : \mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ of \mathbf{G} as in [11, Section 15.1] and we identify \mathbf{G} with its image in $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$. For any closed subgroup \mathbf{M} of \mathbf{G} we define $\tilde{\mathbf{M}} := \mathbf{M}\mathbf{Z}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}})$. Moreover, if \mathbf{H} is any closed F -stable subgroup of $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ then we denote by H its subset of F -stable points \mathbf{H}^F .

1.3. Godement resolutions and ℓ -adic cohomology. Let \mathbf{X} be a variety defined over an algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p endowed with an action of a finite group G . By work of Rickard and Rouquier there exists an object $G\Gamma_c(\mathbf{X}, \Lambda)$ in $\text{Ho}^b(\Lambda G\text{-perm})$, the bounded homotopy category of ℓ -permutation ΛG -modules. Its i th cohomology groups are denoted $H_c^i(\mathbf{X}, \Lambda)$ and we abbreviate $H_c^{\dim(\mathbf{X})}(\mathbf{X}, \Lambda)$ by $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{X}, \Lambda)$.

1.4. Deligne–Lusztig induction. Suppose that \mathbf{P} is a parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G} with Levi decomposition $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{U}$. We consider the Deligne–Lusztig variety $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}}$. Its cohomology groups $H_c^i(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda)$ induce an additive map $R_{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}} : \mathbb{Z}\text{Irr}(\mathbf{L}^F) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$ the so-called Lusztig induction. In the case under consideration the map $R_{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}$ will not depend on the choice of the parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P} and thus we will write $R_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathbf{G}}$ for $R_{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}$ in the following.

1.5. The Bonnafé–Dat–Rouquier equivalence. Let \mathbf{G}^* be the Langlands dual of \mathbf{G} with Frobenius endomorphism $F^* : \mathbf{G}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^*$ dual to F . We fix a semisimple element $s \in (\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}$ of ℓ' -order and as in [11, Theorem 9.12] let $e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F} \in \mathbb{Z}(\Lambda \mathbf{G}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F})$ be the central idempotent associated to it. Assume that \mathbf{L}^* is an F^* -stable Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G}^* containing $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)$. Additionally, suppose that $\mathbf{L}^* \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F^*} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F^*} \mathbf{L}^*$ and define $\mathbf{N}^* := \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F^*} \mathbf{L}^*$. Let \mathbf{L} be a Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G} in duality with \mathbf{L}^* and denote by \mathbf{N} the subgroup of $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{L})$ which corresponds to the subgroup \mathbf{N}^* of $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}^*}(\mathbf{L}^*)$ under the isomorphism $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{L})/\mathbf{L} \cong \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}^*}(\mathbf{L}^*)/\mathbf{L}^*$ given by duality. Throughout this article we assume that $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{L} \cong \mathbf{N}^F/\mathbf{L}^F$ is cyclic.

Suppose that \mathbf{P} is a parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G} with Levi decomposition $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{U}$ and consider the bimodule $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda) e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$. Since $\mathbf{N}^F/\mathbf{L}^F$ is assumed to be cyclic (and of ℓ' -order by [2, Corollary 2.9]) and $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda) e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ is \mathbf{N}^F -stable by [4, Theorem 7.2] there exists a $\Lambda(\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F)$ -module M' extending the $\Lambda(\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)$ -module $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda) e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$, see [33, Lemma 10.2.13].

For the following theorem recall that for any complex $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Comp}^b(A)$ there exists (see for instance [4, 2.A.]) a complex \mathcal{C}^{red} with $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}^{\text{red}}$ in $\text{Ho}^b(A)$ such that \mathcal{C}^{red} has no non-zero direct summand which is homotopy equivalent to 0.

Theorem 1.1 (Bonnafé–Dat–Rouquier). *There exists a complex \mathcal{C}' of $\mathcal{O}\mathbf{G}^F$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathbf{N}^F$ -bimodules extending $G\Gamma_c(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda)^{\text{red}} e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ such that $H^d(\mathcal{C}') \cong M'$ where $d := \dim(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}})$. The complex \mathcal{C}' induces a splendid Rickard equivalence between $\mathcal{O}\mathbf{G}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ and $\mathcal{O}\mathbf{N}^F e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ and the bimodule M' induces a Morita equivalence between $\mathcal{O}\mathbf{G}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ and $\mathcal{O}\mathbf{N}^F e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$.*

Proof. In the proof of [4, Theorem 7.5] use the fact that M' extends $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda)e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ instead of [4, Proposition 7.3]. The rest of the proof of the theorem is as in [4, Section 7]. \square

Note that the Morita equivalence in Theorem 1.1 might depend on the particular choice of the extension M' . In particular, this is also the case for the character bijection $R : \text{Irr}(\mathbf{N}^F, e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F, e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F})$ induced by the Morita bimodule M' .

1.6. Some local properties of the Bonnafé–Dat–Rouquier Morita equivalence. Let \mathcal{C}' be a complex of $\Lambda(\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}})$ -modules inducing the splendid Rickard equivalence between $\Lambda\mathbf{G}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ and $\Lambda\mathbf{N}^F e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ as in Theorem 1.1 above. Then \mathcal{C}' induces a bijection $c \mapsto b$ between the blocks of $\Lambda\mathbf{N}^F e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ and $\Lambda\mathbf{G}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ where b is defined as the unique block such that $b\mathcal{C}'c$ is not homotopy equivalent to 0. We fix a block c of $\Lambda\mathbf{N}^F e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ and we let (Q, c_Q) be a c -Brauer pair. There exists a unique b -Brauer pair (Q, b_Q) such that the complex $\text{Br}_{\Delta Q}(\mathcal{C}')c_Q$ induces a Rickard equivalence between $k\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^F}(Q)b_Q$ and $k\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{N}^F}(Q)c_Q$, see [4, Theorem 7.7].

Lemma 1.2. *Suppose that Q is an ℓ -subgroup of \mathbf{L}^F . Then the $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^F}(Q) \times \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{L}^F}(Q)^{\text{opp}}$ -bimodule $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{U}}(Q)}^{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}, \Lambda)\text{br}_Q(e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F})$ is multiplicity-free.*

Proof. According to the proof of [32, Theorem 5.2] there exists a unique complex \mathcal{C}'_Q of $\Lambda(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^F}(Q) \times \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{N}^F}(Q)^{\text{opp}})$ -modules lifting the complex $\text{Br}_{\Delta Q}(\mathcal{C}')$ from k to Λ . Note that

$$\text{Res}_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^F}(Q) \times \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{L}^F}(Q)^{\text{opp}}}^{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^F}(Q) \times \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{N}^F}(Q)^{\text{opp}}}(\text{Br}_{\Delta Q}(\mathcal{C}')) \cong G\Gamma_c(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{U}}(Q)}^{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}, \Lambda)\text{br}_Q(e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F})$$

in $\text{Ho}^b(k(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^F}(Q) \times \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{L}^F}(Q)^{\text{opp}}))$. Let $M'_Q := H^{d_Q}(\text{Br}_{\Delta Q}(\mathcal{C}'_Q))$, where $d_Q := \dim(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{U}}(Q)}^{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)})$ is the unique degree in which $\text{Br}_{\Delta Q}(\mathcal{C}')$ has non-zero cohomology. Then $M'_Q c_Q$ induces a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^F}(Q)b_Q$ and $\Lambda\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{N}^F}(Q)c_Q$. In particular, $M'_Q c_Q$ is multiplicity free. Since this is true for all Brauer pairs of all blocks c of $\Lambda\mathbf{N}^F e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ it follows that M'_Q is multiplicity free as well. The module M'_Q is an extension of $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{U}}(Q)}^{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}, \Lambda)\text{br}_Q(c_Q)$ and $\mathbf{N}^F/\mathbf{L}^F$ is cyclic of ℓ' -order the result follows from Clifford theory. \square

1.7. Extending the action of complexes. We need to slightly strengthen [4, Theorem 7.6] by including the diagonal action of \tilde{N} .

Lemma 1.3. *Assume that $\ell \nmid |H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))|$. Then there exists a complex \mathcal{C}' of $\Lambda(\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}}\Delta\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F)$ -modules such that $H^d(\mathcal{C}') \cong M'$ and \mathcal{C}' induces a splendid Rickard equivalence between $\Lambda\mathbf{G}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ and $\Lambda\mathbf{N}^F e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$.*

Proof. Let us first assume that $\Lambda = k$. We consider the complex of ℓ -permutation $k(\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}}\Delta(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F))$ -modules $\mathcal{C} := G\Gamma_c(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}, k)^{\text{red}}e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$. Consider an ℓ -subgroup \tilde{R} of $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}}\Delta(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)$. Let $Z := Z(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F)_{\ell}$ and denote $R := \tilde{R} \cap (\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}})$. By assumption $\ell \nmid |H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))| = |\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F/\mathbf{G}^F Z(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F)|$ and therefore $\tilde{R} \subset R\Delta(Z)$. The subgroup $\Delta(Z)$ centralizes the variety $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}}$. Hence, \mathcal{C} can be regarded as complex of $(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \times (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)^{\text{opp}})/\Delta(Z)$ -modules. Since $\tilde{R} \subset R\Delta(Z)$ we deduce that $\text{Br}_R(\mathcal{C}) \cong \text{Br}_{\tilde{R}}(\mathcal{C})$. Using [4, Corollary 3.8] we deduce that $\text{Br}_R(\mathcal{C})$ is acyclic unless R is conjugate to a subgroup of $\Delta\mathbf{L}^F$. Thus, \tilde{R} is conjugate to a subgroup of $\Delta\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F$. By [4, Lemma A.2] we deduce that the indecomposable summands of \mathcal{C} are all contained in $\Delta\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F$.

Consider now the complex $\text{End}_{k\mathbf{G}^F}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$ of $k(\mathbf{L}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}}\Delta(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F))$ -bimodules. The same argument as above show that $\text{Br}_{\tilde{R}}(\text{End}_{k\mathbf{G}^F}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})) \cong \text{Br}_R(\text{End}_{k\mathbf{G}^F}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}))$. The cohomology of

the latter complex is concentrated in degree 0 only by the proof of Step 1 of [4, Theorem 7.5]. Hence, by [4, Theorem A.3] we have $\text{End}_{k\mathbf{G}^F}^\bullet(\mathcal{C}) \cong \text{End}_{D^b(k\mathbf{G}^F)}(\mathcal{C})$ in $\text{Ho}^b(k(\mathbf{L}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F))$.

The rest of the proof is almost identical to the proof of [4, Theorem 7.6]. For completeness we provide most of the details here. Denote $\mathcal{C}' = \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}^{\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}(\mathcal{C})$. Let \mathcal{P} be a projective resolution of $k\mathbf{N}^F$, i.e., a complex of projective $k(\mathbf{N}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)$ -modules such that its terms $\mathcal{P}^i = 0$ for $i > 0$, together with a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow k\mathbf{N}^F$ of $k(\mathbf{N}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)$ -modules.

Let \mathcal{X} be a complex of $k(\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)$ -modules. Observe that we can consider the complex $\text{End}_{k\mathbf{G}^F}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})$ as complex of $k(\mathbf{N}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)$ -modules. We have a natural isomorphism $\text{End}_{k(\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}) \cong \text{Hom}_{k(\mathbf{N}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)}^\bullet(k\mathbf{N}^F, \text{End}_{k\mathbf{G}^F}^\bullet(\mathcal{X}))$. The terms of \mathcal{C}' are projective $k\mathbf{G}^F$ -modules. Therefore as in the proof of [4, Theorem 7.6] we can consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}_{\text{Ho}^b(k(\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F))}(\mathcal{C}') & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_{D^b(k(\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F))}(\mathcal{C}') \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \text{Hom}_{\text{Ho}^b(k(\mathbf{N}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F))}(k\mathbf{N}^F, \text{End}_{k\mathbf{G}^F}^\bullet(\mathcal{C}')) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{Ho}^b(k(\mathbf{N}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F))}(\mathcal{P}, \text{End}_{k\mathbf{G}^F}^\bullet(\mathcal{C}')) \end{array}$$

Using the isomorphisms of complexes in $\text{Ho}^b(k(\mathbf{N}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F))$

$$\text{End}_{k\mathbf{G}^F}^\bullet(\mathcal{C}') \cong \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{L}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}^{\mathbf{N}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}(\text{End}_{k\mathbf{G}^F}^\bullet(\mathcal{C}'))$$

and

$$\text{End}_{D^b(k\mathbf{G}^F)}(\mathcal{C}') \cong \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{L}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}^{\mathbf{N}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}(\text{End}_{D^b(k\mathbf{G}^F)}(\mathcal{C}')),$$

we deduce that

$$\text{End}_{k\mathbf{G}^F}^\bullet(\mathcal{C}') \cong \text{End}_{D^b(k\mathbf{G}^F)}(\mathcal{C}') \text{ in } \text{Ho}^b(k(\mathbf{N}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)).$$

Now, the canonical map

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Ho}^b(k(\mathbf{N}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}}))}(k\mathbf{N}^F, \text{End}_{D^b(k\mathbf{G}^F)}(\mathcal{C}')) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Ho}^b(k(\mathbf{N}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}}))}(\mathcal{P}, \text{End}_{D^b(k\mathbf{G}^F)}(\mathcal{C}'))$$

is an isomorphism, since $\text{End}_{D^b(k\mathbf{G}^F)}(\mathcal{C}')$ is a complex concentrated in degree 0. It follows that the top horizontal map in the commutative diagram above is an isomorphism, hence we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}_{\text{Ho}^b(k(\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)))}(\mathcal{C}') &\xrightarrow{\cong} \text{End}_{D^b(k(\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)))}(\mathcal{C}') \xrightarrow{\cong} \\ &\text{End}_{k(\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)}(\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)}^{\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)} H_c^d(\mathbf{Y}_U, k) e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}). \end{aligned}$$

Using the proof of Step 3 of [4, Theorem 7.6] we deduce that there exists a summand $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ of \mathcal{C}' which is quasi-isomorphic to M' . As in Step 4 and 5 of the proof of [4, Theorem 7.6] we see that $\text{Res}_{\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}^{\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) \cong \mathcal{C}$ in $\text{Ho}^b(k(\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F))$. Furthermore, Step 5 of the proof of [4, Theorem 7.6] shows that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ induces a splendid Rickard equivalence between $k\mathbf{G}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ and $k\mathbf{N}^F e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$.

Finally, let us consider the case $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}$. Using [32, Lemma 5.1] together with the arguments in the first paragraph of the proof of [32, Theorem 5.2] we observe that there exists a unique

complex of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)$ -modules lifting $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Moreover by the proof of [32, Theorem 5.2] this complex induces a splendid Rickard equivalence between $\mathcal{O}\mathbf{G}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ and $\mathcal{O}\mathbf{N}^F e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$. \square

1.8. The Bonnafé–Dat–Rouquier equivalence and character correspondences. Let $M := H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda) e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ considered as $X := \mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F$ -module and let M' be a $Y := \mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F$ -module extending M . Define $\tilde{M} = \text{Ind}_{\tilde{X}}^{\tilde{X}}(M)$, where $\tilde{X} := \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \times (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)^{\text{opp}}$. Observe that $\tilde{M} \cong H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\tilde{\mathbf{U}}}^{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}, \Lambda) e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$.

Recall from the proof of [4, Theorem 7.5] that there exists a central idempotent $e \in \mathbf{Z}(\Lambda \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)$ such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}^F / \mathbf{L}^F} n e = e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$. Moreover, the induction functor yields a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F e$ and $\Lambda \tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$. From this it follows that the right action of $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F$ on \tilde{M} extends to $\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F$ and the extended bimodule $\tilde{M}' := \tilde{M} e \otimes_{\Lambda \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F} \Lambda \tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F$ induces a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda \tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ and $\Lambda \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$. The proof of [4, Theorem 7.5] shows that $\text{Ind}_{\tilde{Y}}^{\tilde{Y}}(\tilde{M}') \cong \tilde{M}'$. Writing

$$\tilde{R} : \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F, e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F, e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F})$$

for the character bijection induced by the Morita bimodule \tilde{M}' we therefore obtain:

Lemma 1.4. *For every $\psi \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F, e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F})$ there exists a unique character $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F, e)$ such that $\text{Ind}_{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}^{\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F}(\lambda) = \psi$ and we have $R_{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}^{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F}(\lambda) = \tilde{R} \text{Ind}_{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}^{\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F}(\lambda)$.*

We now prove a local version of this result. Let \tilde{b} be a block of $\Lambda \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ covering b and let \tilde{c} be the unique block of $\Lambda \tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ corresponding to it under the Morita equivalence given by \tilde{M}' . We let $\tilde{f} := \tilde{c} e$ be the unique block of $\Lambda \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F e$ below \tilde{c} . We assume that Q is a characteristic subgroup of D and consider the $X_Q := \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}^F}(Q) \times \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{L}^F}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}(Q)$ -module $M_Q := H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{U}}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}, \Lambda) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F})$. There exists a $Y_Q := \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}^F}(Q) \times (\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{N}^F}(Q))^{\text{opp}} \Delta \mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F}(Q)$ -module M'_Q extending M_Q .

Denote $\tilde{M}_Q = \text{Ind}_{\tilde{X}_Q}^{\tilde{X}_Q} M_Q$, where $\tilde{X}_Q := \mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F}(Q) \times \mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}(Q)^{\text{opp}}$. Observe that $\tilde{M}_Q \cong H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\tilde{\mathbf{U}}}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}(Q)}, \Lambda) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F})$. Let $\tilde{C}_Q := \text{br}_Q(\tilde{c})$ and $\tilde{B}_Q := \text{br}_Q(\tilde{b})$ be the Harris–Knörr correspondents (in the sense of [35, Corollary 1.18]) of \tilde{c} and \tilde{b} in $\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F}(Q)$ and $\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F}(Q)$ respectively. Since \tilde{f} corresponds to the block \tilde{b} under the Morita equivalence given by \tilde{M} it follows that $\tilde{M}_Q \tilde{F}_Q$ induces a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda \mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}(Q) \tilde{F}_Q$ and $\Lambda \mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F}(Q) \tilde{B}_Q$. Recall that the stabilizer of the idempotent e in $\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F$ is $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F$. Consequently, the stabilizer of $\text{br}_Q(e)$ in $\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F}(Q)$ is $\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}(Q)$. Therefore, the bimodule $\tilde{M}'_Q := \tilde{M}_Q \text{br}_Q(e) \otimes_{\Lambda \mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}(Q)} \Lambda \mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F}(Q)$ is an extension of \tilde{M}_Q and $\tilde{M}'_Q \tilde{C}_Q \cong \tilde{M}_Q \tilde{F}_Q \otimes_{\Lambda \mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}(Q)} \Lambda \mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F}(Q)$ induces a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda \mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F}(Q) \tilde{C}_Q$ and $\Lambda \mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F}(Q) \tilde{B}_Q$. We write

$$\tilde{R}_Q : \text{Irr}(\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F}(Q), \tilde{C}_Q) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F}(Q), \tilde{B}_Q)$$

for the associated character bijection.

Lemma 1.5. *For every $\psi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F}(Q), \tilde{C}_Q)$ there exists a unique character $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}(Q), \tilde{F}_Q)$ such that $\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F}(Q)}(\lambda) = \psi$ and we have $R_{\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F}(Q)}(\lambda) = \tilde{R}_Q \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F}(Q)}(\lambda)$.*

Proof. As in the global case we need to show that $\text{Ind}_{Y_Q}^{\tilde{Y}_Q}(M'_Q) \cong \tilde{M}'_Q$. This follows as in the proof of [4, Theorem 7.5]: Observe that it suffices to show that $\text{Res}_{\tilde{X}_Q}^{\tilde{Y}_Q}(\text{Ind}_{Y_Q}^{\tilde{Y}_Q}(M'_Q)) \text{br}_Q(e) \cong$

$\text{Res}_{\tilde{X}_Q}^{\tilde{Y}_Q}(\tilde{M}'_Q) \text{br}_Q(e)$. By Mackey's formula the left hand side is isomorphic to \tilde{M}_Q . Additionally, we have $\text{Res}_{\tilde{X}_Q}^{\tilde{Y}_Q}(\tilde{M}'_Q) \text{br}_Q(e) \cong \tilde{M}_Q \text{br}_Q(e)$. \square

2. DESCENT OF SCALARS

2.1. Restriction of scalars for Deligne–Lusztig varieties. We assume until Section 3 that $F_0 : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ is a Frobenius endomorphism stabilizing \mathbf{L} which satisfies $F_0^r = F$ for some integer r and $\gamma : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ is an automorphism commuting with F_0 . In what follows \mathcal{A} will denote the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F)$ generated by F_0 and γ .

Let us recall the setup from [35, Section 5]. We consider the reductive group $\underline{\mathbf{G}} = \mathbf{G}^r$ with Frobenius endomorphism $F_0 \times \cdots \times F_0 : \underline{\mathbf{G}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{G}}$ which we also denote by F_0 . More generally, whenever $\sigma : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ is a bijective morphism of \mathbf{G} then we also denote by σ the induced map $\sigma \times \cdots \times \sigma : \underline{\mathbf{G}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{G}}$ on $\underline{\mathbf{G}}$. We consider the automorphism

$$\tau : \underline{\mathbf{G}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{G}}$$

given by $\tau(g_1, \dots, g_r) = (g_2, \dots, g_r, g_1)$. Consider the projection onto the first component

$$\text{pr} : \underline{\mathbf{G}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}, (g_1, \dots, g_r) \mapsto g_1.$$

The restriction of pr to $\underline{\mathbf{G}}^{F_0\tau}$ induces an isomorphism $\text{pr} : \underline{\mathbf{G}}^{F_0\tau} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^F$ of finite groups. For any subset \mathbf{H} of \mathbf{G} we set

$$\underline{\mathbf{H}} := \mathbf{H} \times F_0^{r-1}(\mathbf{H}) \times \cdots \times F_0(\mathbf{H}).$$

Note that if \mathbf{H} is F -stable then $\underline{\mathbf{H}}$ is τF_0 -stable and the projection map $\text{pr} : \underline{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ induces an isomorphism $\underline{\mathbf{H}}^{\tau F_0} \cong \mathbf{H}^F$. Conversely, one easily sees that any τF_0 -stable subset of $\underline{\mathbf{G}}$ is of the form $\underline{\mathbf{H}}$ for some F -stable subset \mathbf{H} of \mathbf{G} .

We consider the r -fold product $\underline{\mathbf{G}}^* := (\mathbf{G}^*)^r$ of the dual group \mathbf{G}^* endowed with the Frobenius endomorphism $F_0^* := F_0^* \times \cdots \times F_0^* : \underline{\mathbf{G}}^* \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{G}}^*$. Moreover, let

$$\tau^* : \underline{\mathbf{G}}^* \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{G}}^*, (g_1, \dots, g_r) \mapsto (g_r, g_1, \dots, g_{r-1}).$$

We denote by $\text{pr} : \underline{\mathbf{G}}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^*$ the projection onto the first coordinate.

2.2. Restriction of scalars and Jordan decomposition of characters. We let \mathbf{P} be a parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G} with Levi decomposition $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{L} \ltimes \mathbf{U}$. Then $\underline{\mathbf{P}}$ is a parabolic subgroup of $\underline{\mathbf{G}}$ with Levi decomposition $\underline{\mathbf{P}} = \underline{\mathbf{L}} \ltimes \underline{\mathbf{U}}$ such that $\tau F_0(\underline{\mathbf{L}}) = \underline{\mathbf{L}}$. We can therefore consider the Deligne–Lusztig variety $\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}, F_0\tau}$ which is a $\underline{\mathbf{G}}^{F_0\tau} \times (\underline{\mathbf{L}}^{F_0\tau})^{\text{opp}}$ -variety. Under the isomorphism $\underline{\mathbf{G}}^{\tau F_0} \cong \mathbf{G}^F$ given by pr we can consider it as a $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}}$ -variety. Endowed with this structure the projection map induces an isomorphism

$$\text{pr} : \mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}, F_0\tau} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}}$$

which is $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}}$ -equivariant, see [35, Proposition 5.3].

We consider the unipotent radical $\underline{\mathbf{U}}' := \mathbf{U}^r$ of the parabolic subgroup $\underline{\mathbf{P}}' = \mathbf{P}^r$ of $\underline{\mathbf{G}}$. Note that we have a Levi decomposition $\underline{\mathbf{P}}' = \underline{\mathbf{L}} \ltimes \underline{\mathbf{U}}'$ in $\underline{\mathbf{G}}$ and the parabolic subgroup $\underline{\mathbf{P}}'$ is τ -stable. In the following we will use the language of parabolic subgroups and Levi subgroups of disconnected reductive groups as in [35, Section 2.1].

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that $e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ is \mathcal{A} -stable. If \mathbf{P} is γ -stable then the module $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda) e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ extends to a $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F \mathcal{A})$ -module. If in addition $\mathbf{N}^F / \mathbf{L}^F$ is centralized by \mathcal{A} then $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda) e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ extends to $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F \mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. The pair $(\underline{\mathbf{L}}, \underline{\mathbf{P}}')$ is $\langle \tau, \gamma \rangle$ -stable. Therefore, we can consider $\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}'^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}}$ as a $\underline{\mathbf{G}}^{\tau F_0} \times (\underline{\mathbf{L}}^{\tau F_0})^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\tilde{\underline{\mathbf{L}}}^{\tau F_0} \langle \tau, \gamma \rangle)$ -variety. We have an isomorphism

$$H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}, \tau F_0}, \Lambda) e_{\underline{s}}^{\mathbf{L}^{\tau F_0}} \cong H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}'^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}, \tau F_0}, \Lambda) e_{\underline{s}}^{\mathbf{L}^{\tau F_0}}$$

of $\Lambda((\underline{\mathbf{G}}^{\tau F_0} \times (\underline{\mathbf{L}}^{\tau F_0})^{\text{opp}}) \Delta(\tilde{\underline{\mathbf{L}}}^F))$ -modules. The projection $\text{pr} : \underline{\mathbf{G}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ onto the first coordinate defines an isomorphism

$$H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}, \tau F_0}, \Lambda) e_{\underline{s}}^{\mathbf{L}^{\tau F_0}} \cong H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}^{\mathbf{G}, F}, \Lambda) e_s^{\mathbf{L}}$$

of $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F$ -modules. By transport of structure, we can endow $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}^{\mathbf{G}, F}, \Lambda) e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ with a $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F \langle F_0, \gamma \rangle$ -structure.

Assume now that \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{L} is centralized by \mathcal{A} . Let $n \in \mathbf{N}^F$ be a generator of the quotient group $\mathbf{N}^F/\mathbf{L}^F$. Then we have $\sigma(n)n^{-1}, F_0(n)n^{-1} \in \mathbf{L}^F$. Thus, conjugation by \underline{n} defines an automorphism of $\underline{\mathbf{G}} \langle \tau, \gamma \rangle$. Thus, by [35, Lemma 3.1] the $\Lambda((\underline{\mathbf{G}}^{\tau F_0} \times (\underline{\mathbf{L}}^{\tau F_0})^{\text{opp}}) \Delta(\tilde{\underline{\mathbf{L}}}^F \langle \tau, \gamma \rangle))$ -module $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}'^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}, \tau F_0}, \Lambda) e_{\underline{s}}^{\mathbf{L}^{\tau F_0}}$ is \underline{n} -stable. By transport of structure we deduce that $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}^{\mathbf{G}, F}, \Lambda) e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ is \mathbf{N}^F -stable as $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F \mathcal{A})$ -module. Thus, it extends to a $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F \langle F_0, \gamma \rangle$ -module by [33, Lemma 10.2.13]. \square

In the following we abbreviate $\mathcal{N} := \mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{L}, e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F})$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that we are in the situation of Lemma 2.1. Let Q be an ℓ -subgroup of \mathbf{L}^F . If $\mathbf{N}^F/\mathbf{L}^F$ is centralized by \mathcal{A} then $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{U}}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}, \Lambda) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F})$ extends to an $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}^F}(Q) \times \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{L}^F}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)$ -module.*

Proof. Recall that the projection map $\text{pr} : \underline{\mathbf{G}}^{\tau F_0} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^F$ is an isomorphism of finite groups. If H is a subgroup of \mathbf{G}^F we let $\underline{H} := \text{pr}^{-1}(H)$ and if $x \in \Lambda H$ then we let $\underline{x} := \text{pr}^{-1}(x) \in \Lambda \underline{H}$.

The quotient group $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)/\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F \mathcal{A}}(Q)$ is cyclic as it embeds into $\mathcal{N}/\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F \mathcal{A} \cong \mathbf{N}^F/\mathbf{L}^F$. Let $x \in \mathbf{N}^F$ be a generator of said quotient. Since $\mathbf{N}^F/\mathbf{L}^F$ is centralized by F_0 , we have $x F_0(x)^{-1} \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{L}^F}(Q)$. Let $\underline{x} := (x, F_0^{-1}(x), \dots, F_0(x)) \in \underline{\mathbf{G}}^{\tau F_0}$ such that $\text{pr}(\underline{x}) = x$. Consider the bijective morphism $\underline{\phi} : \tilde{\underline{\mathbf{G}}} \langle \tau \rangle \rightarrow \tilde{\underline{\mathbf{G}}} \langle \tau \rangle$ given by conjugation with \underline{x} . Note that $\underline{\phi}$ stabilizes $\tilde{\underline{\mathbf{G}}}$ and commutes with the Frobenius endomorphism τF_0 of $\tilde{\underline{\mathbf{G}}} \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. Moreover, $\underline{\phi}$ also stabilizes the Levi subgroup $\tilde{\underline{\mathbf{L}}} \langle \tau \rangle$ of $\tilde{\underline{\mathbf{G}}} \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. We denote $e := \text{br}_Q(e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F})$. By [35, Lemma 2.23] we obtain an isomorphism

$$\underline{\phi}(H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}'(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\underline{\mathbf{G}}}(Q), \tau F_0}, \Lambda) \underline{e}) \cong H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\underline{\phi}(\underline{\mathbf{U}})}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\underline{\mathbf{G}}}(Q), \tau F_0}, \Lambda) \underline{e})$$

of $\Lambda((\mathbf{N}_{\underline{\mathbf{G}}^{\tau F_0}}(Q) \times \mathbf{N}_{\underline{\mathbf{L}}^{\tau F_0}}(Q)^{\text{opp}}) \Delta(\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\underline{\mathbf{L}}^F \langle \tau \rangle}(Q)})$ -modules. We have two Levi decompositions

$$\tilde{\underline{\mathbf{P}}} \langle \tau \rangle = \tilde{\underline{\mathbf{L}}} \langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \underline{\mathbf{U}} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\phi}(\tilde{\underline{\mathbf{P}}} \langle \tau \rangle) = \tilde{\underline{\mathbf{L}}} \langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \underline{\phi}(\underline{\mathbf{U}})$$

with the same Levi subgroup $\tilde{\underline{\mathbf{L}}} \langle \tau \rangle$ of $\tilde{\underline{\mathbf{G}}} \langle \tau \rangle$. Therefore, [35, Theorem 5.2] yields

$$H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\underline{\phi}(\underline{\mathbf{U}})}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\underline{\mathbf{G}}}(Q), \tau F_0}, \Lambda) \underline{e} \cong H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}'(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\underline{\mathbf{G}}}(Q), \tau F_0}, \Lambda) \underline{e}.$$

It follows from this that $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}'(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\underline{\mathbf{G}}}(Q), \tau F_0}, \Lambda) \underline{e}$ is $(\underline{\phi}, \underline{\phi}^{-1})$ -invariant. Hence, the bimodule $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{U}}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}, \Lambda) e$ is by transport of structure x -invariant as $\Lambda((\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}^F}(Q) \times \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{L}^F}(Q)^{\text{opp}}) \Delta(\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F \langle F_0 \rangle}(Q)))$ -module. The claim now follows from [33, Lemma 10.2.13]. \square

3. CONSTRUCTION FOR TWISTED GROUPS

We generalize the construction of the previous section. This is essentially necessary for working with automorphisms of twisted groups. We suppose now that F_0 is a Frobenius endomorphism with $F_0^r \rho = F$ for some integer r and $\rho : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ a graph automorphism of order l which commutes with F_0 . We denote by \mathcal{A} the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F)$ generated by F_0 .

The construction in this section will be done in two separate steps. We first consider the connected reductive group

$$\mathbf{G}_\rho := \{(g, \rho(g), \dots, \rho^{l-1}(g)) \mid g \in \mathbf{G}\}.$$

We note that the projection onto the first coordinate defines an isomorphism $\text{pr}_1 : \mathbf{G}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$. Denote by $\tau : \mathbf{G}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_\rho$ the automorphism given by

$$\tau(g, \rho(g), \dots, \rho^{l-1}(g)) := (\rho(g), \rho^2(g), \dots, \rho^{l-1}(g), g).$$

With this notation the projection onto the first coordinate defines a $(\tau F_0^r, F)$ -equivariant isomorphism $\mathbf{G}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$.

Define now the group

$$\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho := \mathbf{G}_\rho \times \cdots \times \mathbf{G}_\rho$$

as the r -fold product of the group \mathbf{G}_ρ . We define the permutation σ_0 on the set $\{1, \dots, rl\}$ as follows:

$$\sigma_0(i) = \begin{cases} i - l & \text{if } i > l, \\ rl - l + i & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq l. \end{cases}$$

Consider the automorphism $\tau_0 : \underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho$ which for an element $(g_1, \dots, g_{lr}) \in \underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho$ is given by $\tau_0((g_1, \dots, g_{lr})) := (g_{\sigma_0(1)}, \dots, g_{\sigma_0(rl)})$. Observe that

$$\sigma_0^r(i) = \begin{cases} i - 1 & \text{if } l \nmid (i - 1), \\ i + l - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that $(\tau_0)^r = \tau$ (here τ is understood as the permutation $\tau \times \cdots \times \tau$ on $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho$). In particular, the morphism $\tau_0 F_0$ satisfies $(\tau_0 F_0)^r = \tau F_0^r$. Moreover, $\tau_0 F_0$ cyclically permutes the r copies \mathbf{G}_ρ of the group $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho$. We deduce that the projection map $\text{pr}_2 : \underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_\rho$ onto the first factor \mathbf{G}_ρ induces an isomorphism $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^{\tau_0 F_0} \cong \mathbf{G}_\rho^{\tau_0 F_0^r}$.

Notation 3.1. Assume that \mathbf{H} is a closed subgroup of \mathbf{G} . Then we define $\mathbf{H}_\rho := \text{pr}_1^{-1}(\mathbf{H})$. We then define

$$\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\rho := \mathbf{H}_\rho \times (\tau F_0)^{r-1}(\mathbf{H}_\rho) \times \cdots \times (\tau F_0)(\mathbf{H}_\rho)$$

considered as a subgroup of $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho$. Furthermore, we denote $\underline{\mathbf{H}}'_\rho := (\mathbf{H}_\rho)^r$.

Remark 3.2. If the subgroup \mathbf{H} is ρF_0 -stable, then \mathbf{H}_ρ is τF_0 -stable. Thus, $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\rho = \underline{\mathbf{H}}'_\rho$ in this case. We remark that the automorphism F_0 of the finite group \mathbf{G}^F corresponds to the automorphism τ_0^{-1} of $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^{\tau_0 F_0}$.

Let \mathbf{P} be a parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G} with Levi decomposition $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{L} \ltimes \mathbf{U}$. Then we observe that $\underline{\mathbf{P}}_\rho$ is a parabolic subgroup of $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho$ with Levi decomposition

$$\underline{\mathbf{P}}_\rho = \underline{\mathbf{L}}_\rho \ltimes \underline{\mathbf{U}}_\rho.$$

Assume now that \mathbf{L} is F -stable. It follows that \mathbf{L}_ρ is τF_0^r -stable and consequently the Levi subgroup $\underline{\mathbf{L}}_\rho$ is $\tau_0 F_0$ -stable. We can therefore consider the Deligne–Lusztig variety $\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}_\rho}^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho, \tau_0 F_0}$ which is a $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^{F_0 \tau_0} \times (\underline{\mathbf{L}}_\rho^{F_0 \tau_0})^{\text{opp}}$ -variety. Under the isomorphism $\mathbf{G}^F \cong \underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^{F_0 \tau_0}$ induced by the projection map

$$\text{pr} := \text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_2 : \underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$$

we will in the following regard it as a $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}}$ -variety.

Proposition 3.3. *With the assumptions as above, the projection $\text{pr} : \underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ onto the first coordinate defines an isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}_\rho}^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho, \tau_0 F_0} \cong \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}, F}$$

of varieties which is $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}}$ -equivariant.

Proof. The projection onto the first coordinate defines a $(\tau F_0^r, F)$ -equivariant isomorphism $\mathbf{G}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$. Thus, we obtain a $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}}$ -equivariant isomorphism $\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}_\rho}^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho, \tau F_0^r} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}, F}$.

The morphism $\tau_0 F_0$ satisfies $(\tau_0 F_0)^r = \tau F_0^r$ and cyclically permutes the r copies of \mathbf{G}_ρ of the group $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho$. Therefore, by [35, Theorem 5.2] projection onto the first coordinate yields a $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}}$ -equivariant isomorphism $\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}_\rho}^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho, \tau_0 F_0} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}_\rho}^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho, \tau F_0^r}$. The composition of these two isomorphisms yields the required isomorphism. \square

We will now explain how we can explicitly construct the dual group of $(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho, \tau_0 F_0)$. For this consider the connected reductive group $\mathbf{G}_\rho^* := \{(g, \rho^*(g), \dots, (\rho^*)^{l-1}(g))\}$. Define now the group $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^* := \mathbf{G}_\rho^* \times \dots \times \mathbf{G}_\rho^*$ as the r -fold product of the group \mathbf{G}_ρ^* . On this group we consider the automorphism $\tau_0^* : \underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^* \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^*$ which for an element $(g_1, \dots, g_{lr}) \in \underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^*$ is given by

$$\tau_0^*((g_1, \dots, g_{lr})) := (g_{(\sigma_0)^{-1}(1)}, \dots, g_{(\sigma_0)^{-1}(lr)}).$$

We then define $\tau^* := (\tau_0^*)^r$. Again we denote by $\text{pr}_1 : \underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^* \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^*$ and $\text{pr}_2 : \underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^* \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_\rho^*$ the projections onto the first coordinate and $\text{pr} = \text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_2$.

Lemma 3.4. *The group $(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^*, \tau_0^* F_0^*)$ is dual to $(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho, \tau_0 F_0)$ and for every semisimple element $\underline{s} \in (\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^*)^{\tau_0^* F_0^*}$ the Lusztig series $\mathcal{E}(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^{\tau_0 F_0}, \underline{s})$ corresponds to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, \text{pr}(\underline{s}))$ under the isomorphism $\text{pr} : \underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^{\tau_0 F_0} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^F$ of finite groups.*

Proof. Recall that we have a $(\tau F_0^r, F)$ -equivariant isomorphism $\mathbf{G}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$. From this we deduce that $(\mathbf{G}_\rho^*, \tau^*(F_0^*)^r)$ is dual to $(\mathbf{G}_\rho, \tau F_0^r)$. The Lusztig series $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}_\rho^{\tau F_0^r}, \text{pr}_2(\underline{s}))$ then corresponds to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, \text{pr}(\underline{s}))$ via the isomorphism $\text{pr}_1 : \mathbf{G}_\rho^{\tau F_0^r} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^F$. By applying [41, Corollary 8.8] we then observe that $(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^*, \tau_0^* F_0^*)$ is dual to $(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho, \tau_0 F_0)$ and moreover that the Lusztig series $\mathcal{E}(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^{\tau_0 F_0}, \underline{s})$ corresponds to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}_\rho^{\tau F_0^r}, \text{pr}_2(\underline{s}))$ via $\text{pr}_2 : \underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^{\tau_0 F_0} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_\rho^{\tau F_0^r}$. The claim follows from this. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that \mathbf{L} and $e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ are F_0 -stable. Then the module $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda) e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ extends to a $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \langle F_0 \rangle$ -module. If in addition $\mathbf{N}^F / \mathbf{L}^F$ is centralized by F_0 then $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda) e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ extends to $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F \langle F_0 \rangle)$.*

Proof. Since \mathbf{L} is F_0 -stable we have $\underline{\mathbf{L}}_\rho = \underline{\mathbf{L}}_\rho'$ and therefore $\underline{\mathbf{P}}_\rho'$ is a parabolic subgroup with Levi decomposition

$$\underline{\mathbf{P}}_\rho' = \underline{\mathbf{L}}_\rho \ltimes \underline{\mathbf{U}}_\rho'.$$

We observe that $C_{\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho}^\circ(\underline{s}) \subseteq \underline{\mathbf{L}}_\rho^*$. Therefore, [4, Theorem 7.2] shows that we have an isomorphism

$$H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}_\rho}^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho, \tau F_0})e_{\underline{s}}^{\mathbf{L}^{\tau F_0}} \cong H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}'_\rho}^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho, \tau F_0})e_{\underline{s}}^{\mathbf{L}^{\tau F_0}}$$

of $\Lambda[(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^{\tau F_0} \times (\underline{\mathbf{L}}_\rho^{\tau F_0})^{\text{opp}})\Delta(\tilde{\underline{\mathbf{L}}}_\rho^{\tau F_0})]$ -modules. According to Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 the projection $\text{pr} : \underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ onto the first coordinate induces an isomorphism

$$H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}_\rho}^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho, \tau F_0}, \Lambda)e_{\underline{s}}^{\mathbf{L}^{\tau F_0}} \cong H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}, F}, \Lambda)e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$$

of $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}}\Delta(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F)$ -modules.

Note that since \mathbf{U} is ρ -stable the automorphism τ_0 stabilizes the unipotent radical $\underline{\mathbf{U}}_\rho$. Therefore, we can consider $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}'_\rho}^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho, \tau F_0})e_{\underline{s}}^{\mathbf{L}^{\tau F_0}}$ as $\Lambda((\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^{\tau_0 F_0} \times (\underline{\mathbf{L}}_\rho^{\tau_0 F_0})^{\text{opp}})\Delta(\tilde{\underline{\mathbf{L}}}_\rho^{\tau_0 F_0} \langle \tau_0 \rangle))$ -module. By transport of structure, we can endow $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}, F}, \Lambda)e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ with a $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}}\Delta\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F \langle F_0 \rangle$ -structure.

Assume now that \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{L} is centralized by F_0 . Let $n \in \mathbf{N}^F$ be a generator of the quotient group $\mathbf{N}^F/\mathbf{L}^F$. Since $F_0(n)n^{-1} \in \mathbf{L}^F$ we conclude that conjugation by \underline{n} defines an automorphism of $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho \langle \tau_0 \rangle$ which stabilizes the Levi subgroup $\underline{\mathbf{L}}_\rho \langle \tau \rangle$. Thus, conjugation by \underline{n} yields an isomorphism

$$(H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}'_\rho}^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho, \tau F_0})e_{\underline{s}}^{\mathbf{L}^{\tau F_0}})_{\underline{n}} \cong H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}'_\rho}^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho, \tau F_0})e_{\underline{s}}^{\mathbf{L}^{\tau F_0}}$$

of $\Lambda[(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho^{\tau F_0} \times (\underline{\mathbf{L}}_\rho^{\tau F_0})^{\text{opp}})\Delta(\tilde{\underline{\mathbf{L}}}_\rho^{\tau F_0} \langle \tau, \gamma \rangle)]$ -modules. We conclude that $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\underline{\mathbf{U}}'_\rho}^{\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\rho, \tau F_0})e_{\underline{s}}^{\mathbf{L}^{\tau F_0}}$ is \underline{n} -stable. By transport of structure and [33, Lemma 10.2.13] we deduce that $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{G}, F}, \Lambda)e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ extends to a $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}}\Delta(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F \langle F_0 \rangle)$ -module. \square

Lemma 3.6. *In the situation of Lemma 3.5, let Q be an ℓ -subgroup of \mathbf{L}^F . If $\mathbf{N}^F/\mathbf{L}^F$ is centralized by \mathcal{A} then $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{U}}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}, \Lambda)\text{br}_Q(e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F})$ extends to a $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}^F}(Q) \times \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{L}^F}(Q)^{\text{opp}}\Delta\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)$ -module, where $\mathcal{N} := \mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \langle F_0 \rangle}(\mathbf{L}, e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F})$.*

Proof. The proof is the same as in Lemma 2.2 with the necessary modifications made in Lemma 3.5. \square

4. AN EQUIVARIANT BONNAFÉ–DAT–ROUQUIER EQUIVALENCE

In this section we give a partial answer to the question whether the Morita equivalence constructed by Bonnafé–Dat–Rouquier is automorphism-equivariant. We often use the following well known fact.

Lemma 4.1. *Let \mathbf{G} be a connected reductive group and $\phi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ a Frobenius endomorphism. The norm map $N_{\phi^r/\phi} : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$, $x \mapsto \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \phi^i(x)$, is surjective and maps \mathbf{G}^{ϕ^r} to \mathbf{G}^ϕ .*

Proof. By Lang's theorem we can write $y \in \mathbf{G}$ as $y = a^{-1}\phi^r(a)$. Then for $x := a^{-1}\phi(a)$ we have $N_{\phi^r/\phi}(x) = y$. The second statement is a straightforward computation. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let \mathbf{G} be a simple, simply connected algebraic group of type B_n , C_n or E_7 such that either $n > 2$ or q is odd. Then there exists a Frobenius morphism $F_0 : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ stabilizing \mathbf{L} such that the image of $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \rtimes \langle F_0 \rangle$ in the outer automorphism group of \mathbf{G}^F is $\text{Out}(\mathbf{G}^F)_{e_{\mathbf{G}^F}}$. There exists a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda\mathbf{N}^F e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ and $\Lambda\mathbf{G}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ which lifts to a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \langle F_0 \rangle}(\mathbf{L}, e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F})e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ and $\Lambda\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \langle F_0 \rangle e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$.*

Proof. As in the proof of [35, Corollary 4.2] we see that there exists a field endomorphism $\phi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ such that $\phi^r = F$ for some integer r and such that ϕ together with $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F$ generates $\text{Out}(\mathbf{G}^F)_{e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}}$. The Levi subgroup $\phi(\mathbf{L})$ is \mathbf{G}^F -conjugate to \mathbf{L} . Thus, there exists some $y \in \mathbf{G}^F$ such that \mathbf{L} is $y\phi$ -stable. Since $e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ is ϕ -stable there exists some $x \in N_{\mathbf{G}^F}(\mathbf{L})$ such that $e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ is $xy\phi$ -stable. Denoting $z := xy$ we have $(z\phi)^r = N_{F/\phi}(z)F$ and therefore $N_{F/\phi}(z) \in N_{\mathbf{G}^F}(\mathbf{L}, e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F})$.

Suppose first that $z_0 := N_{F/\phi}(z) \in \mathbf{L}^F$. The Levi subgroup \mathbf{L} is $z\phi$ -stable and we have $z_0 \in \mathbf{L}^{z\phi}$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.1 there exists $l \in \mathbf{L}^{z_0 F}$ such that $z_0^{-1} = N_{z_0 F/z\phi}(l)$. We define $F_0 := lz_0\phi$. Then we have

$$F_0^r = (lz_0\phi)^r = (l(z_0\phi))^r = N_{z_0 F/z\phi}(l)z_0 F = F.$$

Since $F_0 = lz_0\phi$ commutes with F we have $F(lz_0)(lz_0)^{-1} = F(l)l^{-1} \in Z(\mathbf{G})$. Thus, ϕ and F_0 do not necessarily have the same image in $\text{Out}(\mathbf{G}^F)$ but differ by the diagonal automorphism induced by $\text{ad}(l)$. Since $e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ is $z_0\phi$ -stable and stable under diagonal automorphisms we observe that $e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ is F_0 -stable. From this we conclude that F_0 together with $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F$ generates the stabilizer of $e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ in $\text{Out}(\mathbf{G}^F)$. Note that $(\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{L})^{F_0} = \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{L}$ since by the remarks following [34, Lemma 3] the group \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{L} is isomorphic to a subgroup of $Z(\mathbf{G})$ which has at most order 2. We can now apply Lemma 2.1 and conclude that there exists a $\Lambda[\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\langle F_0 \rangle)]$ -module M extending $H_c^{\dim(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}), \Lambda} e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ which induces a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda \mathbf{N}^F e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ and $\Lambda \mathbf{G}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$. In particular, the bimodule $\tilde{M} := \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{N}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F \langle F_0 \rangle}^{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \langle F_0 \rangle \times (\tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F \langle F_0 \rangle)^{\text{opp}}} (M)$ induces a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda \tilde{\mathbf{N}}^F \langle F_0 \rangle e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ and $\Lambda \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \langle F_0 \rangle e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$.

Assume now that $N_{F/\phi}(z) \notin \mathbf{L}^F$. Since \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{L} is isomorphic to a subgroup of $Z(\mathbf{G})$ we conclude that $N_{F/\phi}(z)$ generates the quotient group \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{L} . Thus, in this case the bijective morphism $F_0 := \phi$ has the property that the element $zF_0 \in G \langle F_0 \rangle$ generates the quotient group $N_{G \langle F_0 \rangle}(\mathbf{L}, e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F})/\mathbf{L}^F$ which is in particular cyclic. We conclude that in this case the $\Lambda(\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^F))$ -module $H_c^{\dim(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}}), \Lambda} e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ extends to a $\mathbf{G}^F \times (\mathbf{L}^F)^{\text{opp}} \Delta(N_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \langle F_0 \rangle}(\mathbf{L}, e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}))$ -module. The claim is now a consequence of [27, Theorem 3.4] (see also [35, Theorem 1.7]). \square

5. REDUCTION TO ISOLATED BLOCKS: TYPE A

5.1. Strictly quasi-isolated blocks. The following notion will become important when dealing with actual blocks of groups of Lie type.

Definition 5.1. Let \mathbf{G} be a connected reductive group. We say that a semisimple element $s \in (\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}$ is *strictly quasi-isolated* in (\mathbf{G}^*, F^*) if $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F^*} C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^{\circ}(s)$ is not contained in a proper Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G}^* .

We denote by $A(s)$ the component group $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)/C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^{\circ}(s)$. The proof of the following lemma is similar to the proof of [2, Corollary 2.9].

Lemma 5.2. *Assume that \mathbf{G} is simple, simply connected of type A. If $s \in (\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}$ is a semisimple element which is strictly quasi-isolated, then we have $A(s)^{F^*} = A(s)$.*

Proof. Let $\iota^* : \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^*$ be the map dual to the map $\iota : \mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ and let $\tilde{s} \in (\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^*)^{F^*}$ such that $\iota^*(\tilde{s}) = s$. Consider the injective morphism $\omega_s : A(s) \rightarrow Z(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^*)$ as in [2, Corollary 2.8]. Let e denote the exponent of the subgroup $A(s)^{F^*}$ of $A(s)$. Let $g \in C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^{\circ}(s) C_{(\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}}(s)$. Then

$1 = \omega_s(g)^e = \omega_{s^e}(g)$ and therefore $g \in \text{Ker}(\omega_s^e) = \iota^*(C_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^*}(\tilde{s}^e))$. Consequently, $\iota^*(C_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^*}(\tilde{s}^e)) = C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s^e)$ is a Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G}^* containing $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)C_{(\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}}(s)$. This is a proper Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G}^* unless $s^e = 1$. By the classification of quasi-isolated elements of $\mathbf{G}^* = \text{PGL}_{n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ in [2, Proposition 5.2], we have $\text{o}(s) = |A(s)|$ which implies that $e = |A(s)|$. Since e is the exponent of $A(s)^{F^*}$ we must necessarily have $A(s) = A(s)^{F^*}$. \square

5.2. Duality for groups of type A. From now on until Section 12 we assume that $\mathbf{G} = \text{SL}_{n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is of type A_n . For an integer $q = p^f$ we let $F_q : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ be the Frobenius endomorphism which raises every matrix entry to its q th power. For $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ we define $F' = F_q(\gamma')^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}}$. Here $\gamma' : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$, $g \mapsto g^{-\text{tr}}$ is the graph automorphism given by transpose inversion. We denote by \mathbf{T}_0 the torus of diagonal matrices and by \mathbf{B}_0 the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices. We denote by $W := \text{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T}_0)/\mathbf{T}_0$ the Weyl group of \mathbf{G} and identify W with the symmetric group S_{n+1} .

Note that with our choices of F' the torus \mathbf{T}_0 is not maximally split in the twisted case. This is because the graph automorphism γ' doesn't stabilize the Borel subgroup \mathbf{B}_0 . Therefore, we define $\gamma := \text{ad}(n_0)\gamma'$, where $n_0 \in \text{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T}_0)$ is the matrix with entry $(-1)^{l+1}$ at position $(l, n+1-l)$ with $1 \leq l \leq n$ and 0 elsewhere. Observe that its image $w_0 \in W$ is the longest element of W . For $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ and fixed q we consider the Frobenius endomorphism $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ given by $F := F_q\gamma^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}}$.

To efficiently compute with dual groups we will use some simplifications specific to the situation in type A. Since \mathbf{G}^* is adjoint of type A there exists a surjective morphism $\pi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^*$. Suppose that $f : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ is a bijective morphism such that $f(\mathbf{T}_0) = \mathbf{T}_0$. Then we denote by $f^* : \mathbf{G}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^*$ the unique morphism satisfying $\pi \circ f = f^* \circ \pi$.

If f is a Frobenius endomorphism then the pair (\mathbf{G}^*, f^*) is dual to (\mathbf{G}, f) . For a Levi subgroup \mathbf{L} of \mathbf{G} we define $\mathbf{L}^* := \pi(\mathbf{L})$. We observe that $W^* := \text{N}_{\mathbf{G}^*}(\mathbf{T}_0^*)/\mathbf{T}_0^*$ is the Weyl group of \mathbf{G}^* and we obtain a natural isomorphism $\pi : W \rightarrow W^*$.

If \mathbf{L} is $\text{ad}(g)f$ -stable, for some $g \in \mathbf{G}^F$, then one can check the pair $(\mathbf{L}, \text{ad}(g)f)$ is again in duality to $(\mathbf{L}^*, \text{ad}(\pi(g))f^*)$.

Remark 5.3. We consider \mathbf{G} with the Frobenius F' as defined above and we let $s \in (\mathbf{G}^*)^{F'^*}$ be a strictly quasi-isolated element. The aim of this remark is to compute the F' -type of the Levi subgroup $\mathbf{L}^* := C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)$. For an integer m dividing $n+1$ we fix a primitive m th root of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and define

$$t_m := t := (1, \zeta, \dots, \zeta^{m-1}) \otimes I_e,$$

where $me = n+1$. According to [2, Proposition 5.2] there exists $g \in \mathbf{G}^*$ and m dividing $n+1$ such that $t_m = {}^g s \in \mathbf{T}_0^*$. Since s is $(F')^*$ -stable we have $F'^*(t) = F'^*(g)s = F'^*(g)g^{-1}t$. Hence, t is $w^*F'^*$ -stable, where w^* is the image of $g^{-1}F'^*(g)$ in $W_{\mathbf{G}^*}(\mathbf{T}_0^*)$. Since s is assumed to be strictly quasi-isolated Lemma 5.2 yields $A(s)^{F'^*} = A(s)$. This implies that $A(t)^{w^*F'^*} = A(t)$. By [2, Proposition 5.2] the component group $A(t)$ has order m . On the other hand $A(s)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $Z(\mathbf{G}^F)$ which has order $(n, q-\varepsilon)$. Therefore m divides $(n, q-\varepsilon)$. From this we deduce that the element t is $(F')^*$ -stable. From this it follows that $w^* \in W(t) := \{w \in W^* \mid w t = t\}$. Let $v_m \in W^*$ be the permutation defined by

$$v_m(i) \equiv i + e \pmod{n+1}$$

such that $A(t)$ is generated by v_m and has order m . Denote by $W^\circ(t)$ the Weyl group of $\mathbf{L}_m^* := C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(t)$ relative to the maximal torus \mathbf{T}_0^* such that we have $W(t) = W^\circ(t) \rtimes A(t)$,

see [2, Proposition 1.3(c)]. To determine the type of \mathbf{L}^* we can change w by an element of $W^\circ(t)$ and we therefore conclude that \mathbf{L} is of F' -type $wW^\circ(t)$, where $w \in \langle v_m \rangle$.

If we consider \mathbf{G} with the twisted Frobenius endomorphism F instead of F' it follows that \mathbf{L} is of F -type $wW^\circ(t)$ with $w \in w_0 \langle v_m \rangle$.

Corollary 5.4. *Let s be a strictly quasi-isolated element. Then the Levi subgroup $\mathbf{L}^* = C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)$ is d -split for some integer d dividing $2|A(s)|$.*

Proof. We keep the notations of Remark 5.3. Assume first that $\varepsilon = 1$. Let $w \in \langle v_m \rangle$ as in Remark 5.3 such that \mathbf{L}^* is of type $W^\circ(t)w$. Then w has order d with d dividing $m = |A(s)|$ and we can decompose $w = w_1 \cdots w_r$ into disjoint cycles each w_i of order d with $d \mid m$ and $n + 1 = rd$. Note that \mathbf{L}^* is d -split if and only if $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^*$ is d -split, see [11, Proposition 13.2]. Furthermore, $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{F'} \cong \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_m^{wF'} \cong \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$. Hence $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}$ and therefore also \mathbf{L} is d -split, see [11, Example 13.4]. By Ennola-duality we obtain that if $\varepsilon = -1$ then \mathbf{L} is d' -split where

$$d' = \begin{cases} 2d & \text{if } d \text{ is odd,} \\ d/2 & \text{if } d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ d & \text{if } d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

This also shows the result in the twisted case. \square

Remark 5.5. Corollary 5.4 offers potentially an approach to an equivariant Bonnafé–Dat–Rouquier type bijection by using the results of Brough–Späth, in particular [8, Theorem 4.3].

6. EQUIVARIANT BONNAFÉ–DAT–ROUQUIER EQUIVALENCE

Until Section 12, s denotes an arbitrary, but fixed semisimple strictly quasi-isolated ℓ' -element in $(\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}$. As before, we denote by \mathbf{L} the Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G} dual to $\mathbf{L}^* = C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)$ defined as its preimage under the projection map $\pi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^*$.

Assume that we are given a different Levi subgroup \mathbf{L}' of \mathbf{G} containing \mathbf{L} such that $C_{(\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}}(s)(\mathbf{L}')^* = (\mathbf{L}')^* C_{(\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}}(s)$. Denote by N' the common stabilizer of \mathbf{L}' and $e_s^{L'}$ in $\mathbf{G}^{F'}$. By the results of Bonnafé–Dat–Rouquier, see Theorem 1.1, there exists a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda N' e_s^{L'}$ and $\Lambda G e_s^G$. We first make the following observation:

Lemma 6.1. *With the notation as above, N'/L' is naturally isomorphic to a subquotient of N/L .*

Proof. We have $\mathbf{N}^*/\mathbf{L}^* = C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)/C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)$ and on the other hand, $\mathbf{N}'^*/(\mathbf{L}'^*) = (\mathbf{L}')^* C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)/(\mathbf{L}')^* \cong C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)/C_{\mathbf{L}'^*}^\circ(s)$. Since $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s) \subset C_{\mathbf{L}'^*}^\circ(s)$ we obtain a surjection $\mathbf{N}^*/(\mathbf{L}^*) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}'^*/(\mathbf{L}'^*)$. The statement follows by taking F^* -fixed points and using the duality between (\mathbf{G}, F) and (\mathbf{G}^*, F^*) . \square

Recall from Remark 5.3 that s is \mathbf{G}^* -conjugate to the element t_m , where m is some positive integer dividing $n + 1$. Hence, the conjugacy classes of (strictly) quasi-isolated elements are parametrized in a uniform way. In this section we use the uniformity of this description to our advantage.

Lemma 6.2. *There exists a proper F -stable Levi subgroup \mathbf{L}' of \mathbf{G} containing \mathbf{L} such that N'/L' is cyclic of prime order.*

Proof. Recall that for a given m with $m \mid (n+1)$ we denote $t_m := (1, \zeta, \dots, \zeta^{m-1}) \otimes I_e$, where $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ is a fixed primitive m th-root of unity with $me = n+1$. Consider the Levi subgroup $\mathbf{L}_m^* := \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(t_m)$ of \mathbf{G}^* and let $\mathbf{L}_m := \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{L}_m^*)$ be the standard Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G} in duality with \mathbf{L}_m^* .

Assume that s is \mathbf{G}^* -conjugate to t_m , i.e. there exists $g \in \mathbf{G}^*$ such that $t = {}^g s$. We let m' be a prime divisor of m . We note that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}(t_m)$ is contained in $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}(t_{m'})$.

Denote by $W^\circ(t_m)$ the Weyl group of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(t_m)$ relative to the maximal torus \mathbf{T}_0^* . By [2, Proposition 5.2] we obtain that $W^\circ(t_m) \cong (S_e)^m$ where v_m transitively permutes the m copies of S_e . Since $W^\circ(t_{m'}) \cong (S_{e'})^{m'}$ and $m' \mid m$ it follows that v_m normalizes $W^\circ(t_{m'})$. We define $\mathbf{L}^{*'} := {}^g \mathbf{L}_{m'}^*$. Recall from Remark 5.3 that \mathbf{L}^* is of F'^* -type $wW^\circ(t_m)$ with $w \in \langle v_m \rangle$. Since w normalizes $W^\circ(t_{m'})$ and F'^* acts trivially on W^* we have ${}^{wF'} W^\circ(t_{m'}) = W^\circ(t_{m'})$ and so $\mathbf{L}^{*'}$ is F'^* -stable of type $wW^\circ(t_{m'})$. It follows that $\mathbf{L}^{*'}$ is F'^* -stable. Furthermore, $A(t_{m'})^{wF'^*} \cong A(t_{m'}) \cong C_{m'}$ and so we observe that N'/L' is cyclic of m' -order. \square

The upcoming sections will provide the necessary knowledge on strictly quasi-isolated elements in type A .

6.1. Some computations in the Weyl group. Recall that $\gamma : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ denotes the graph automorphism stabilizing $(\mathbf{T}_0, \mathbf{B}_0)$. In this section we collect some properties of the Levi subgroup \mathbf{L}_m which was defined in the proof of Lemma 6.2.

Lemma 6.3. *The Levi subgroup \mathbf{L}_m is a γ -stable Levi subgroup and contained in a γ -stable parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P}_m of \mathbf{G} . In particular, \mathbf{L}_m^γ is a Levi subgroup in the connected reductive group \mathbf{G}^γ with parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P}_m^γ .*

Proof. Observe that γ is a quasi-central morphism in the sense of [15, Definition-Theorem 1.15]. According to [15, Remark 1.30] the group \mathbf{G}^γ is connected. The Levi subgroup \mathbf{L}_m is a standard Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G} relative to the γ -stable pair $(\mathbf{T}_0, \mathbf{B}_0)$ whose associated set of simple roots is γ -stable. Thus, \mathbf{L}_m is a γ -stable Levi subgroup contained in a γ -stable parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P}_m of \mathbf{G} . From [15, Proposition 1.11] it therefore follows that \mathbf{L}_m^γ is a Levi subgroup in the connected reductive group \mathbf{G}^γ with parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P}_m^γ . \square

The subgroup V constructed in the proof of the following lemma is sometimes also referred to as the extended Weyl group.

Lemma 6.4. *There exists a subgroup $V \subseteq \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T}_0)$ and a surjective group homomorphism $\rho : V \rightarrow W$ such that the following holds. Every γ -stable element $w \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T}_0)/\mathbf{T}_0$ has a $\langle F_p, \gamma \rangle$ -stable preimage in $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T}_0)$.*

Proof. Define $V := \langle n_{(i,i+1)}, i = 1, \dots, n \rangle$, where $n_{(i,i+1)}$ is the matrix obtained by taking the permutation matrix corresponding to $(i, i+1)$ and multiplying its i th row with -1 . We have a group epimorphism $V \rightarrow W$, $n_{(i,i+1)} \mapsto (i, i+1)$ whose kernel is isomorphic to $(C_2)^{n+1}$. By construction, we have $\gamma(n_{(i,i+1)}) = n_{\gamma((i,i+1))}$ and every $n_{(i,i+1)}$ is F_p -stable. \square

Lemma 6.5. *Every $\langle F, \gamma \rangle$ -stable element of $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{L}_m)/\mathbf{L}_m$ has a $\langle F, \gamma \rangle$ -stable preimage in $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{L}_m)$.*

Proof. Let $W_{\mathbf{L}_m}$ be the Weyl group of \mathbf{L}_m with respect to the maximal torus \mathbf{T}_0 . By duality, $W_{\mathbf{L}_m}$ is (anti-)isomorphic to the Weyl group $W^\circ(t_m)$ of \mathbf{L}_m^* . Since $W^\circ(t_m) \cong (S_e)^m$ by [2, Proposition 5.2] a computation in $W \cong S_{n+1}$ shows that $\mathbf{N}_W(W_{\mathbf{L}_m}) \cong S_e \wr S_m$. Using [26, Corollary 12.11] we thus obtain $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{L}_m)/\mathbf{L}_m \cong \mathbf{N}_W(W_{\mathbf{L}_m})/W_{\mathbf{L}_m} \cong S_m$. We first show that

every γ -stable element σ of $N_W(W_{\mathbf{L}_m})/W_{\mathbf{L}_m}$ has a γ -stable preimage in W . For this we write $\sigma \in S_m$ as a product $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_r$ of disjoint cycles. Every such cycle σ_i corresponds to a permutation of the m components of $W_{\mathbf{L}_m} \cong (S_e)^m$. If $\gamma(\sigma_i) = \sigma_j$ for $i \neq j$ then we let $w_i \in W$ be a preimage of σ_i such that the support of w_i is on the integers which correspond to the blocks which support σ_i . Furthermore, we define $w_j := \gamma(w_i)$. If $\gamma(\sigma_i) = \sigma_i$ then σ_i is necessarily a transposition of the form $\sigma_i = (a, m - a + 1)$, i.e. σ_i swaps the a th block (corresponding to the integers $\{(a - 1)e + 1, \dots, ae\}$) and the $(m - a + 1)$ th block (corresponding to the integers $\{(m - a)e + 1, \dots, (m - a + 1)e\}$). Then we define w_i to be the following product of e transpositions:

$$w_i := \prod_{l=1}^e ((a - 1)e + l, (m - a + 1)e - l).$$

By construction it is clear that w_i is a γ -stable preimage of σ_i . By construction the permutations w_i are only supported on the integers which correspond to the blocks which are supported by σ_i . Therefore, for $i \neq j$ the permutations w_i and w_j have disjoint support, in particular they commute. From this it follows that $w_\sigma := w_1 \cdots w_r \in W$ is a preimage of σ which is γ -stable. Then Lemma 6.4 shows the existence of an $\langle F, \gamma \rangle$ -stable preimage in $N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T}_0)$. \square

Denote by $\mathbf{N}_m/\mathbf{L}_m$ the subgroup of $N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{L}_m)/\mathbf{L}_m$ generated by v_m .

Lemma 6.6. *Let $n \in N_{\mathbf{G}^F}(\mathbf{L}_m)$ such that $\gamma(n)n^{-1} \in \mathbf{N}_m$. Then there exists some $y \in \mathbf{N}_m$ such that yn is $\langle F, \gamma \rangle$ -stable.*

Proof. We abbreviate $v := v_m$. According to Lemma 6.5 it is enough to show that if $w \in N_W(W_{\mathbf{L}_m})$ with $\gamma(w)w^{-1} \in \langle v \rangle W_{\mathbf{L}_m}$ then there exists some $y \in \langle v \rangle$ such that $\gamma(yw)(yw)^{-1} \in W_{\mathbf{L}_m}$.

Denote by $\bar{\cdot} : N_W(W_{L_m}) \rightarrow N_W(W_{L_m})/W_{L_m}$ the projection map. In what follows, we identify $N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{L}_m)/\mathbf{L}_m$ with S_m . Note that the element \bar{v} corresponds to an m -cycle of S_m . Assume first that \bar{v} has odd order. Then the map $\langle \bar{v} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \bar{v} \rangle, \bar{v} \mapsto \gamma(\bar{v})\bar{v}^{-1} = \bar{v}^{-2}$ is surjective. Thus, there exists $\bar{x} \in \langle \bar{v} \rangle$ such that $\gamma(\bar{w})\bar{w}^{-1} = \gamma(\bar{x}^{-1})\bar{x}$. In other words, $\bar{x}\bar{w}$ is γ -stable.

Assume now that \bar{v} has even order. We denote by sgn the sign map on the symmetric group $N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{L}_m)/\mathbf{L}_m \cong S_m$ and by A_m its kernel. Since \bar{v} has even order we have $\text{sgn}(\bar{v}) = -1$. On the other hand, $\text{sgn}(\gamma(\bar{w})\bar{w}^{-1}) = 1$ and thus $\gamma(\bar{w})\bar{w}^{-1} \in \langle \bar{v}^2 \rangle = \langle \bar{v} \rangle \cap A_m$. However, $\gamma(\bar{v})\bar{v}^{-1} = \bar{v}^2$. Thus, there exists $\bar{x} \in \langle \bar{v} \rangle$ such that $\gamma(\bar{w})\bar{w}^{-1} = \gamma(\bar{x}^{-1})\bar{x}$. In other words, $\bar{x}\bar{w}$ is γ -stable. \square

6.2. Stabilizers of blocks. Recall from the remarks before Remark 5.3 that we defined $f^* : \mathbf{G}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^*$ as the unique morphism satisfying $f^* \circ \pi = \pi \circ f$, where $\pi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^*$ is the natural surjective map. The following observation will be used throughout this section:

Lemma 6.7. *The surjective map $\pi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^*$ induces a natural isomorphism between the quotient groups $N_{G(f)}(\mathbf{L}', e_s^{L'})/L'$ and $C_{(\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*} \langle f^* \rangle}(s)((\mathbf{L}')^*)^{F^*}/((\mathbf{L}')^*)^{F^*}$ given by sending the class of gf to $\pi(g)f^*$.*

Proof. By definition of f and f^* it follows that for every element $g \in \mathbf{G}^F$ the morphisms $\text{ad}(g)f$ and $\text{ad}(\pi(g))f^*$ are in duality. Now assume that $g \in \mathbf{G}^F$ is such that gf stabilizes the pair $(\mathbf{L}', e_s^{L'})$. It follows that $\pi(g)f^*$ stabilizes $(\mathbf{L}')^*$. Since $(\text{ad}(\pi(g))f^*)|_{(\mathbf{L}')^*}$ is in duality with $(\text{ad}(g)f)|_{\mathbf{L}'}$ we deduce that $\pi(g)f^*$ stabilizes the $((\mathbf{L}')^*)^{F^*}$ -conjugacy class of s . Since π

maps $(\mathbf{L}')^F$ to $((\mathbf{L}')^*)^{F^*}$ it follows that the map in the statement of the lemma is well-defined and injective. On the other hand, assume that xf^* stabilizes the $((\mathbf{L}')^*)^{F^*}$ -conjugacy class of s . Let $g' \in \mathbf{G}$ such that $\pi(g') = x$. Hence, $F(g')(g')^{-1} \in Z(\mathbf{G}) \subseteq \mathbf{L}'$ and by applying Lang's theorem to $F : \mathbf{L}' \rightarrow \mathbf{L}'$ we deduce that there exists some $l' \in (\mathbf{L}')^*$ such that $g := g'l'$ is F -stable. We have $\pi(g) = x\pi(l')$ with $\pi(l') \in ((\mathbf{L}')^*)^{F^*}$ and so indeed gf is a preimage of xf^* . \square

The most striking property of strictly quasi-isolated blocks (concerning the action of group automorphisms) is the following:

Lemma 6.8. *Assume that $e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ is γ -stable. Then there exists some $m \in \mathbf{G}^F$ and a parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P} with Levi complement \mathbf{L} such that $y\gamma$ stabilizes (\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{P}) and the idempotent $e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$.*

Proof. Let $\gamma^* : \mathbf{G}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^*$ be the dual of the graph automorphism γ . There exists some $g \in \mathbf{G}^*$ such that $g_s = t$. Consider the element

$$\tilde{t} = \tilde{t}_m := (1, \zeta, \dots, \zeta^{m-1}) \otimes I_e \in \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^* = \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p),$$

a preimage of t under the natural map $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. This element satisfies $\iota^*(\tilde{t}) = t$ and we observe that $\gamma^*(\tilde{t}) = tz$, where $z := \zeta I_{n+1} \in Z(\tilde{\mathbf{G}})$. So, t is γ^* -stable and thus $\gamma^*(s) = \gamma^*(g^{-1})g_s$.

Since $\gamma^*t = t$ we conclude that $g^{-1}\gamma^*(g)\gamma^*s = g^{-1}\gamma^*g_s = s$. Consider the element $x := g^{-1}\gamma^*(g)$. By assumption there exists some $y \in (\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}$ such that s is $y\gamma^*$ -stable. Therefore $xy^{-1} \in C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)$. Since $A(s)^{F^*} = A(s)$ by Lemma 5.2 we deduce that

$$F^*(xy^{-1})(xy^{-1})^{-1} = F^*(x)x^{-1} \in C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s) = \mathbf{L}^*.$$

Thus, by Lang's theorem there exists some $l \in C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)$ such that lx is F^* -stable. We have $(g^{-1}\gamma^*g)^2 = 1$ which implies that $(lx\gamma^*)^2 \in C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)$. Recall that $\mathbf{L}^* = {}^g\mathbf{L}_m^*$ and that $W^\circ(t_m)$ denotes the Weyl group of $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(t_m)$ relative to the maximal torus \mathbf{T}_0^* . Let \mathbf{P}_m^* be the standard parabolic subgroup associated with the parabolic subsystem $W^\circ(t_m)$ of W^* with Levi complement \mathbf{L}_m^* . We define $\mathbf{P}^* := {}^g\mathbf{P}_m^*$ and we observe that the pair $(\mathbf{L}_m^*, \mathbf{P}_m^*)$ is γ^* -stable. Consequently, $x\gamma^*$ stabilizes the pair $(\mathbf{L}^*, \mathbf{P}^*)$ and since $l \in \mathbf{L}^*$ we observe that $lx\gamma^*$ also stabilizes this pair. The statement follows now by using duality, see Lemma 6.7. \square

We observe that the conclusion of Lemma 6.8 remains valid for the Levi subgroup \mathbf{L}' constructed in Lemma 6.2.

Corollary 6.9. *With the assumption of Lemma 6.8, there exists a parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P}' whose Levi complement is the Levi subgroup \mathbf{L}' from Lemma 6.2 such that $y\gamma$ stabilizes $(\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{P}')$ and the idempotent $e_s^{\mathbf{L}'^F}$.*

Proof. By construction, we have $((\mathbf{L}')^*, (\mathbf{P}')^*) = {}^g(\mathbf{L}_{m'}^*, \mathbf{P}_{m'}^*)$ where g is as in the proof of Lemma 6.8. The graph automorphism γ stabilizes $((\mathbf{L}_{m'}^*)^*, (\mathbf{P}_{m'}^*)^*)$ and we have $\mathbf{L}^* \subseteq (\mathbf{L}')^*$. It follows that the element $lg^{-1}\gamma^*(g)\gamma^*$, where $l \in (\mathbf{L}^*)^{F^*}$ is as in the proof of Lemma 6.8, stabilizes $((\mathbf{L}')^*, (\mathbf{P}')^*)$ and the $((\mathbf{L}')^*)^{F^*}$ -conjugacy class of s . This yields the claim. \square

6.3. Untwisted groups of type A. In the following section we assume that (\mathbf{G}, F) is untwisted of type A. Consider the subgroup \mathcal{B} of $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F)$ generated by the field automorphism F_p and the graph automorphism γ . Denote by $\mathcal{B}_{e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}}$ the stabilizer of $e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ in \mathcal{B} . We have $\mathcal{B}_{e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}} = \langle \gamma_0, \phi \rangle$, where $\phi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ is a Frobenius endomorphism (a power of F_p or $F_p\gamma$) which

satisfies $\phi^r = F$ for some r and $\gamma_0 \in \{\text{id}_{\mathbf{G}}, \gamma\}$ is a (possibly trivial) graph automorphism. As in the proof of Lemma 6.8 we use some explicit properties of the element s to say something about their structure.

Lemma 6.10. *The quotient group $N_{G\langle\phi\rangle}(\mathbf{L}', e_s^{L'})/L'$ is cyclic unless \mathbf{L}' is 1-split.*

Proof. We have $t = {}^g s$ for some $g \in \mathbf{G}^*$. By the construction in Lemma 6.2 the quotient group $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)(\mathbf{L}')^*/(\mathbf{L}')^*$ is cyclic of prime order. In particular, $n' := g^{-1}F^*(g) \in C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)$ and so n' either generates $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)(\mathbf{L}')^*/(\mathbf{L}')^*$ or $n' \in (\mathbf{L}')^*$.

Recall that ϕ is a power of F_p or $F_p\gamma$ and γ fixes t , see proof of Lemma 6.8. Consequently, ϕ acts as a permutation on the different eigenvalues of t . Therefore, there exists some $z \in W^*$ of order dividing r such that ${}^z\phi t = t$. We let $z_0 \in N_{\mathbf{G}^*}(\mathbf{T}_0^*)$ be the permutation matrix corresponding to z .

We deduce that s is $x\phi^*$ -stable, where $x := g^{-1}z_0\phi^*(g)$. By assumption there exists some $y \in (\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}$ such that s is $y\phi^*$ -stable. Therefore $xy^{-1} \in C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)$. Since $A(s)^{F^*} = A(s)$ by Lemma 5.2 we deduce that $F^*(xy^{-1})(xy^{-1})^{-1} = F^*(x)x^{-1} \in C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^{\circ}(s)$. Thus, by Lang's theorem there exists some $l \in C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^{\circ}(s)$ such that lx is F^* -stable. We have

$$(x\phi^*)^r = (g^{-1}z_0\phi^*g)^r = g^{-1}(z_0\phi^*)^r g = g^{-1}F^*(g)F^* = n'F^*.$$

If n' generates $(\mathbf{N}')^*/(\mathbf{L}')^* = C_{(\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}}(s)(\mathbf{L}')^*/(\mathbf{L}')^*$ then we can conclude by duality that $N_{G\langle\phi\rangle}(L', e_s^{L'})/L'$ is cyclic. On the other hand, if $g^{-1}F^*(g) \in (\mathbf{L}')^*$ then there exists $l' \in (\mathbf{L}')^*$ such that gl' is F^* -stable. We conclude that $(\mathbf{L}')^* = {}^{gl'}(\mathbf{L}_{m'})^*$ is $(\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}$ -conjugate to $(\mathbf{L}_{m'})^*$ and therefore maximally split. \square

Lemma 6.11. *If \mathbf{L}' is not 1-split, then the quotient group $N_{GB}(\mathbf{L}', e_s^{L'})/L'$ is abelian.*

Proof. We keep the notation of Lemma 6.10. As in Lemma 6.10 there exists some $z_0 \in N_{\mathbf{G}^*}(\mathbf{T}_0)$ of order dividing r such that ${}^{z_0}\phi^* t = t$. The element $\gamma_0^*(z_0)z_0^{-1}$ stabilizes t and so $\gamma_0^*(z_0)z_0^{-1} \in \mathbf{N}_{m'}^*$. We want to show that z_0 can be chosen to be γ_0^* -stable. By Lemma 6.6 we first see that there exists some $y \in \mathbf{N}_{m'}^*$ such that yz_0 is $\langle F^*, \gamma^* \rangle$ -stable. Observe that we still have ${}^{yz_0}\phi^* t = t$ and $(yz_0)^r \in \mathbf{N}_{m'}^*$. Note that $v_{m'}$ corresponds to an m' -cycle under the isomorphism $N_{\mathbf{G}^*}(\mathbf{L}_{m'}^*)/\mathbf{L}_{m'}^* \cong S_{m'}$. Since m' is a prime number it follows that if some power of $\sigma \in S_{m'}$ is an m' -cycle then σ itself must be an m' -cycle. Since $(yz_0)^r \in \mathbf{N}_{m'}^*$ this argument shows that we must either have $yz_0 \in \mathbf{N}_{m'}^*$ or $(yz_0)^r \in \mathbf{L}_{m'}^*$. In this first case we must already have $z_0 \in \mathbf{N}_{m'}^*$ and so t is ϕ^* -stable. In this case we can simply replace z_0 by 1. In the second case we can replace z_0 by yz_0 . Thus, we can assume that z_0 is γ^* -stable.

We denote $\phi'^* := z_0\phi^*$. Consider $x_{\phi'} := g^{-1}\phi'^*(g)$ and $x_{\gamma_0} := g^{-1}\gamma_0^*(g)$. Since $\phi'^* = z_0\phi^*$ and γ^* commute it follows that

$$[x_{\phi'}\phi'^*, x_{\gamma}\gamma_0^*] = [g\phi'^*g^{-1}, g\gamma_0^*g^{-1}] = 1.$$

The statement of the lemma follows now from Lemma 6.7. \square

Lemma 6.12. *Assume that \mathbf{L}' is 1-split. By possibly replacing s by a $(\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}$ -conjugate the following hold:*

- The Levi subgroups $(\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{P}')$ and $e_s^{L'}$ are γ_0 -stable.*
- There exists a Frobenius endomorphism F_0 of \mathbf{G} such that $F_0^r = F$ which commutes with γ_0 such that F_0 stabilizes \mathbf{L}' and $e_s^{L'}$. Moreover, $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F\langle F_0, \gamma_0 \rangle$ is the stabilizer of $e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ in $\text{Out}(\mathbf{G}^F)$.*

Proof. As in Lemma 6.10 let $g \in \mathbf{G}^*$ such that $g s = t$. Our assumption implies that $g^{-1}F^*(g) \in (\mathbf{L}')^*$. In particular there exists some $l' \in (\mathbf{L}')^*$ such that s and $l't$ are $(\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}$ -conjugate. Therefore, we can replace s by $l't$. By doing so we can assume that there exists some $g \in (\mathbf{L}_{m'})^*$ such that $g s = t$. Thus, we may assume that $\mathbf{L}' = \mathbf{L}_{m'}$.

Corollary 6.9 implies the existence of $m \in G$ such that $m\gamma_0$ stabilizes $(\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{P}')$ and $e_s^{L'}$. Since $(\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{P}')$ is γ_0 -stable it follows that $m \in N_G(\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{P}')$. Since parabolic subgroups are self-normalizing (see e.g. [26, Exercise 20.3]) we obtain $N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{P}') = \mathbf{L}' N_{\mathbf{U}'}(\mathbf{L}')$. By the proof of [14, Corollary 1.18] we obtain $N_{\mathbf{U}'}(\mathbf{L}') = 1$ and so $m \in N_G(\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{P}') = L'$. This yields the first claim.

There exists some $z \in N_G(\mathbf{L}')$ such that $z\phi$ stabilizes $e_s^{L'}$. Thus, $\gamma_0(z)z^{-1} \in N'$. By Lemma 6.6 there exists $y \in \mathbf{N}'$ such that $yz \in N'$ is γ -stable. Hence, we may assume that z is γ -stable. As in the proof of Theorem 4.2 we see that $z_0 := N_{F/\phi}(z) \in N_{\mathbf{G}\phi}(\mathbf{L}', e_s^{L'})$. By the proof of Lemma 6.10 we can assume that $z_0 \in (L')^{\gamma_0}$. By Lemma 6.3 $(\mathbf{L}')^{\gamma_0}$ is connected reductive. Consequently, by Lemma 4.1 there exists $l \in ((\mathbf{L}')^{\gamma_0})^{z_0 F}$ such that $z_0^{-1} = N_{z_0 F/z\phi}(l)$. From this we deduce that $F_0 := \text{ad}(lz_0)\phi$ commutes with γ_0 and satisfies $F_0^r = F$. \square

The previous lemma as well as Theorem 4.2 suggest that we should distinguish the cases whether \mathbf{L}' is 1-split or not.

6.4. Twisted groups. We will now assume that (\mathbf{G}, F) is twisted of type A_n . We consider again the subgroup \mathcal{B} of $\text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F)$ generated by the field automorphism F_p and the graph automorphism γ . Since $F = F_q\gamma$ we observe that \mathcal{B} is generated by F_p . In particular, we have $\mathcal{B}_{e_s^G} = \langle \phi \rangle$, where $\phi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ is a Frobenius endomorphism (a power of F_p) which satisfies $\phi^r = F\gamma_0$ and γ_0 is a possibly trivial graph automorphism.

We mention that the proof of the following lemma is very similar to Lemma 6.10 and Lemma 6.11 however the presence of a non-trivial graph automorphism causes some additional difficulties.

Lemma 6.13. *The quotient group $N_{G\langle\phi\rangle}(\mathbf{L}', e_s^{L'})/L'$ is abelian unless \mathbf{L}' is 1-split.*

Proof. We have $t = g s$ for some $g \in \mathbf{G}^*$. In particular, $n' := g^{-1}F^*(g) \in C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)$ and so n' either generates $C_{(\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}}(s)(\mathbf{L}')^*/(\mathbf{L}')^*$ or $n' \in (\mathbf{L}')^*$.

Recall that ϕ is a power of F_p or $F_p\gamma$ and γ^* stabilizes t , see proof of Lemma 6.8. Consequently, ϕ^* acts as a permutation on the different eigenvalues of t . Therefore, there exists some $z \in W^*$ of order dividing r such that $z\phi^* t = t$. We deduce that s is $x_\phi\phi$ -stable, where $x_\phi := g^{-1}z\phi(g)$. By assumption there exists some $y \in (\mathbf{G}^*)^F$ such that s is $y\phi$ -stable. Therefore, $x_\phi y^{-1} \in C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)$. Since $A(s)^{F^*} = A(s)$ by Lemma 5.2 we deduce that $F^*(x_\phi y^{-1})(x_\phi y^{-1})^{-1} = F^*(x_\phi)x_\phi^{-1} \in C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)$. Thus, there exists some $l_\phi \in C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)$ such that $x'_\phi := l_\phi x_\phi$ is F^* -stable.

Note that $g^{-1}\gamma_0^*(g)\gamma_0^*$ stabilizes s by the proof of Lemma 6.8. By the same reasoning as above for $x_{\gamma_0} := g^{-1}\gamma_0^*(g)$ there exists some l_{γ_0} such that $x'_{\gamma_0} := l_{\gamma_0}x_{\gamma_0}$ is F^* -stable. Furthermore, we have

$$(x_\phi\phi^*)^r x_{\gamma_0}\gamma_0^* = g^{-1}F_q^*(g)F_q^*g^{-1}\gamma_0^*(g)\gamma_0^* = g^{-1}F_q^*(\gamma_0^*(g))F_q^*\gamma_0^* = g^{-1}F^*(g)F^*.$$

We conclude that if $g^{-1}F^*(g) \notin (\mathbf{L}')^*$ then the quotient group is generated by $x_\phi\phi^*$ and $x_{\gamma_0}\gamma_0^*$. As in Lemma 6.11 one now shows that the quotient group is abelian. On the other hand, if $g^{-1}F^*(g) \in (\mathbf{L}')^*$ then there exists $l' \in (\mathbf{L}')^*$ such that gl' is F^* -stable. We conclude that $(\mathbf{L}')^* = gl'(\mathbf{L}'_m)^*$ is 1-split. \square

Lemma 6.14. *Assume that \mathbf{L}' is 1-split. By possibly replacing s by a $(\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}$ -conjugate the following hold:*

- a) *The graph automorphism γ_0 stabilizes $(\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{P}')$ and the idempotent $e_s^{L'}$.*
- b) *There exists a Frobenius endomorphism F_0 such that $F_0^r = F\gamma_0$ which commutes with γ_0 and stabilizes \mathbf{L}' and $e_s^{L'}$. Moreover, $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \langle F_0 \rangle$ is the stabilizer of $e_s^{G^F}$ in $\text{Out}(\mathbf{G}^F)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.13 we can assume that $\mathbf{L}' = \mathbf{L}_{m'}$. Corollary 6.9 implies the existence of $m \in G$ such that $m\gamma$ stabilizes $(\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{P}')$ and $e_s^{L'}$. Since $(\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{P}')$ is γ -stable it follows that $m \in N_G(\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{P}') = L$. This yields the first claim.

There exists some $z \in N_G(\mathbf{L}')$ such that $z\phi$ stabilizes $e_s^{L'}$. Thus, $\gamma(z)z^{-1} \in N'$. By Lemma 6.6 there exists $y \in \mathbf{N}'$ such that $yz \in N'$ is γ -stable. Hence we may assume that z is γ -stable. Since $e_s^{L'}$ is γ -stable we deduce that $z_0 := N_{F_q/\phi}(z) \in N_{\mathbf{G}^\phi}(\mathbf{L}', e_s^{L'})$. The proof of Lemma 6.13 shows that we must have $z_0 \in L^\gamma$. By Lemma 6.3 $(\mathbf{L}')^\gamma$ is connected reductive. Consequently, by Lemma 4.1 there exists $l \in ((\mathbf{L}')^\gamma)^{z_0 F}$ such that $z_0^{-1} = N_{z_0 F/z\phi}(l)$. From this we deduce that $F_0 := \text{ad}(lz_0)\phi$ commutes with γ and satisfies $F_0^r = F_q$. \square

7. GLOBAL EQUIVALENCES

7.1. Extending modules. Let X be a normal subgroup of a finite group Y . If M is a ΛX -module then in practice it is often quite hard to decide whether M extends to a ΛY -module if $\ell \mid |Y : X|$ unless M is simple or projective. We will therefore in the following often consider the following weaker notion:

Definition 7.1. Let X be a normal subgroup of a finite group Y such that the quotient group Y/X is solvable. If M is a ΛX -module then we say that it *almost extends to Y* if M extends to a ΛH -module M' , where H/X is a Hall ℓ' -subgroup of Y/X , such that the extension M' is Y -stable.

We will check almost extendibility with the following remark.

Remark 7.2. In the situation of the previous definition assume that M is Y -stable and $E := \text{End}_{\Lambda N}(M)/J(\text{End}_{\Lambda N}(M))$ is abelian. If the Hall ℓ' -subgroup H/X is normal in Y/X and M extends to H then by [42, Corollary 2.6] the module M automatically almost extends to Y .

We will later use the following result about almost extendibility.

Lemma 7.3. *Let X be a normal subgroup of a finite group Y such that Y/X is abelian. Assume that M is a ΛX -module such that $E := \text{End}_{\Lambda X}(M)/J(\text{End}_{\Lambda X}(M))$ is abelian and M almost extends to Y . If M' is an extension of M to a subgroup X' of Y such that $\ell \nmid |X' : X|$ then M' almost extends to Y as well.*

Proof. Let H/X be the Hall ℓ' -subgroup of Y/X . By assumption, H/X' is the Hall ℓ' -subgroup of Y/X' . By Remark 7.2 it is therefore enough to show that M' extends to H .

Assume that U is maximal among the subgroups of H containing X' with the property that M' extends to U . Denote by M'' an extension of M' to U . If M'' is stable under some element $x \in H \setminus U$ then by [33, Lemma 10.2.13] the module M'' extends to $\langle U, x \rangle$. Therefore, the stabilizer of M'' in H is U .

Denote by $\bar{\cdot} : Y \rightarrow Y/X$ the projection map. By the remark following [42, Theorem 2.5] the set of H -invariant extension of M to U is in bijection with $H^1(\bar{U}, E^\times)^{\bar{H}/\bar{U}}$. Since

Y/X is abelian, the action of $\overline{H}/\overline{U}$ on this cohomology group is trivial. On the other hand, by [42, Proposition 2.4] the set of extensions of M to U is in bijection with $H^1(\overline{U}, E^\times)$. From this it follows that every extension of M to U is H -stable. In particular, M' is H -stable and we conclude that $U = H$. \square

7.2. Some auxiliary results. Our aim in this section is to show that the cohomology module $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda)e_s^{L'}$ almost extends to a $\Lambda(G \times (L')^{\text{opp}} \Delta \mathbf{N}_{G\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{L}', e_s^{L'}))$ -module, where \mathcal{A} (defined below in Definition 7.6) is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F)$ whose image in the outer automorphism group is $\text{Out}(\mathbf{G}^F)_{e_s^{L'}}$. Thanks to Lemma 6.8 many situations can be handled by the following lemma.

Lemma 7.4. *Assume that there exist $m_\phi, m_\gamma \in \mathbf{G}^F$ such that $\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{G}\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{L}', e_s^{L'}) = \tilde{L}' \langle m_\phi \phi, m_\gamma \gamma \rangle$. If $m_\gamma \gamma$ stabilizes \mathbf{P}' and $\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{G}\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{L}', e_s^{L'})/\tilde{L}'$ is abelian then $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda)e_s^{L'}$ almost extends to $G \times (L')^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{G}\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{L}', e_s^{L'}))$.*

Proof. Recall that for disconnected reductive groups we define parabolic subgroups and Levi subgroups as in [35, Section 2.1]. Consider the disconnected reductive group $\hat{\mathbf{G}} := \tilde{\mathbf{G}} \rtimes \langle \gamma \rangle$. Since ϕ and F commute with γ there exist unique extensions of ϕ and F to $\hat{\mathbf{G}}$ with $\phi(\gamma) = \gamma$ and $F(\gamma) = \gamma$ respectively. We observe that $\hat{\mathbf{P}}' = \mathbf{N}_{\hat{\mathbf{G}}}(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}')$ is a parabolic subgroup of $\hat{\mathbf{G}}$ with Levi subgroup $\hat{\mathbf{L}}' = \mathbf{N}_{\hat{\mathbf{G}}}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}', \tilde{\mathbf{P}}) = \mathbf{N}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}'}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}')$. We abbreviate $(\hat{\mathbf{L}}')^F := \hat{L}'$. The Deligne–Lusztig variety $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}}$ has a natural $G \times (L')^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\hat{L}')$ -action. Observe that $\hat{\mathbf{L}}'$ is $m_\phi \phi$ -stable since $[m_\gamma \gamma, m_\phi \phi] \in \mathbf{L}'$. Consider the morphism $\phi' := \text{ad}(m_\phi)\phi$ of $\hat{\mathbf{G}}$. It follows that $\phi' : \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_{\phi'(\mathbf{U}')}^{\mathbf{G}}$ is a bijective morphism of $G \times (L')^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\hat{L}')$ -varieties. Since $m_\gamma \gamma$ stabilizes \mathbf{P}' by assumption it follows that $m_\gamma \gamma \in \hat{L}'$ and thus the quotient group $\hat{\mathbf{L}}'/\mathbf{L}'$ is generated by $m_\gamma \gamma$. We therefore obtain that $e_s^{L'}$ is \hat{L}' -stable. We have $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda)e_s^{L'} \cong H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\phi'(\mathbf{U}')}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda)e_s^{L'}$ as $G \times (L')^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\hat{L}')$ -modules. From this we conclude that the cohomology module $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda)e_s^{L'}$ is $\Delta \langle m_\phi \phi \rangle$ -stable. Hence it almost extends to $G \times (L')^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\hat{L}' \langle m_\phi \phi \rangle)$. \square

The following corollary is the local version of Lemma 7.4.

Corollary 7.5. *Let Q be an ℓ -subgroup of L' . Under the assumptions of Lemma 7.4 the module $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{U}'}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}, \Lambda) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{L'})$ almost extends to a $\Lambda(\mathbf{N}_G(Q) \times (\mathbf{N}_{L'}(Q))^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{G}\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{L}', Q, e_s^{L'})))$ -module.*

Proof. If the quotient group $\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{G}\mathcal{B}}(L', e_s^{L'})/\tilde{L}'$ is cyclic then the statement is trivial. We can therefore assume that $\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{G}\mathcal{B}}(L', e_s^{L'})/\tilde{L}'$ is non-cyclic. From this we deduce that there exist $l_\phi, l_\gamma \in \tilde{L}$ such that $\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{G}\mathcal{B}}(L', Q, e_s^{L'}) = \mathbf{N}_{\tilde{L}}(Q) \langle l_\phi(m_\phi \phi)^i, l_\gamma m_\gamma \gamma \rangle$ for some i . The Deligne–Lusztig variety $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{U}'}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}$ has a natural $\mathbf{N}_G(Q) \times (\mathbf{N}_{L'}(Q))^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{L}'F}(Q))$ -action. Consider the morphism ϕ' induced by the action of $l_\phi(m_\phi \phi)^i$ on $\hat{\mathbf{G}}$. It follows that $\phi' : \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\phi'(\mathbf{U}')}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{U}'}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}$ is a bijective morphism of $\mathbf{N}_G(Q) \times (\mathbf{N}_{L'}(Q))^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{L}'F}(Q))$ -varieties. Since $m_\gamma \gamma \in \hat{\mathbf{L}}'$ we deduce that the quotient group $\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{L}'F}(Q)/\mathbf{N}_{L'}(Q)$ is generated by $l_\gamma m_\gamma \gamma$. We have $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{U}'}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}, \Lambda) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{L'}) \cong H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\phi'(\mathbf{U}')}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}, \Lambda) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{L'})$ as $\mathbf{N}_G(Q) \times (\mathbf{N}_{L'}(Q))^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{L}'F}(Q))$ -modules. From this we conclude that the cohomology module $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{U}'}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}, \Lambda)$ is $\Delta \langle \phi' \rangle$ -stable as $\mathbf{N}_G(Q) \times (\mathbf{N}_{L'}(Q))^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{L}'F}(Q))$ -module. It therefore almost extends to a $\Lambda(\mathbf{N}_G(Q) \times (\mathbf{N}_{L'}(Q))^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{G}\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{L}', Q, e_s^{L'})))$ -module. \square

7.3. Global equivalences. In the following we prove an analog of Theorem 4.2 for strictly quasi-isolated blocks in groups of type A . To formulate this theorem we need the following definition.

Definition 7.6. If \mathbf{L}' is not 1-split, then we define $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{B}_{e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}} \subseteq \text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F)$. Otherwise, we define $\mathcal{A} := \langle \gamma_0, F_0 \rangle \subseteq \text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F)$, where F_0 is as defined in the proof of Lemma 6.12 and Lemma 6.14 respectively. In both cases we abbreviate $\mathcal{N} := \text{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{L}', e_s^{L'})$.

Firstly, observe that \mathcal{A} is always abelian. If \mathbf{L}' is not 1-split then \mathcal{A} is abelian as a subgroup of the abelian group \mathcal{B} and if \mathbf{L}' is 1-split this follows from Lemma 6.12 and Lemma 6.14 respectively. Notice that the Hall ℓ' -subgroup of \mathcal{N}/\tilde{L}' is always normal. This follows from the fact that N'/L' is of ℓ' -order (see [2, Corollary 2.9]) and $\text{N}_{G\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{L}', e_s^{L'})/\tilde{N}' \cong \mathcal{A}$ is abelian. We denote by $\mathcal{N}_{\ell'}/\tilde{L}'$ the unique Hall ℓ' -subgroup of \mathcal{N}/\tilde{L}' .

Theorem 7.7. *The $\Lambda(G \times (L')^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\tilde{L}'))$ -module $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda)e_s^{L'}$ almost extends to a $\Lambda(G \times (L')^{\text{opp}} \Delta \text{N}_{G\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{L}', e_s^{L'}))$ -module.*

Proof. Assume first that \mathbf{L}' is not 1-split. Thanks to the proof of Lemma 6.11 and Lemma 6.13 we know that the quotient group \mathcal{N}/\tilde{L}' is generated by $m_{\gamma_0}\gamma_0, m_{\phi}\phi$ which satisfy $[m_{\gamma_0}\gamma_0, m_{\phi}\phi] \in L'$. We can therefore use Lemma 7.4 to conclude that $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda)e_s^{L'}$ almost extends.

Assume therefore now that \mathbf{L}' is 1-split. We let $X := G \times (L')^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{L}'$ and $M := H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda)e_s^{L'}$. According to [4, Theorem 7.5] $\text{Ind}_X^{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F \times (\tilde{L}^F)^{\text{opp}}}(M')$ is multiplicity free, so M is multiplicity free as well. Furthermore, the ΛX -module M is $\Delta(\text{N}_{G\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{L}', e_s^{L'}))$ -stable. Therefore, [42, Proposition 1.13] and Remark 7.2 are applicable and we conclude that it is enough to show that for every prime $b, b \neq \ell$, the module M extends to $X\Delta(P_b)$ where P_b/\tilde{L}' is a Sylow b -group of \mathcal{N}/\tilde{L}' . If such a Sylow b -subgroup is cyclic then the claim follows from [33, Lemma 10.2.13] and hence we can concentrate on all b such that the Sylow b -subgroup is non-cyclic. Therefore, we can assume that $b \in \{2, m'\}$.

Let us consider the case where $b = m'$ and $m' \neq 2$. In this case, Lemma 6.12 shows that $P_{m'} = N'\langle F'_0 \rangle$, where $F'_0 = F_0^i$ for some $i \mid r$. Since $N'/L' \cong C_{m'}$ and F'_0 has m' -power order we obtain that F'_0 centralizes N'/L' . By Lemma 2.1, we conclude that M' extends to $X\Delta(P_{m'})$.

It remains to consider the case $b = 2$. Assume first that $m' \neq 2$. Then we have $P_2 = L'\langle F_0^i, \gamma_0 \rangle$. We can therefore use Lemma 7.4 to conclude that M' extends to $X\Delta(P_2)$. Finally, assume that $m' = 2$. This implies that the quotient group N'/L' is \mathcal{A} -stable. We obtain $P_2 = N'\langle \gamma_0, F'_0 \rangle$, where $F'_0 = F_0^i$ for some i dividing r . In particular there exists k such that $(F'_0)^k = F$ or $(F'_0)^k = \gamma F$. In the first case we can use Lemma 2.1 to conclude that M extends to a $X\Delta(P_2)$ -module. In the latter case we observe that $P_2 = N'\langle F'_0 \rangle$ and we can apply Lemma 3.5 to conclude that M extends to a $X\Delta(P_2)$ -module. \square

We let \hat{M} be an extension of $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda)e_s^{L'}$ to $\Lambda(G \times (L')^{\text{opp}} \Delta \mathcal{N}_{\ell'})$ which is $\Delta \mathcal{N}$ -stable. Furthermore, we denote by M' the restriction of \hat{M} to $G \times (N')^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{N}'$.

Corollary 7.8. *Suppose the assumptions and notation as above. Then the bimodule M' induces a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda N' e_s^{L'}$ and $\Lambda G e_s^G$ which lifts to a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda \mathcal{N}_{\ell'} e_s^{L'}$ and $\Lambda \tilde{G}^F \mathcal{A}_{\ell'} e_s^G$ given by $\text{Ind}_{G \times (L')^{\text{opp}} \Delta \mathcal{N}_{\ell'}}^{\tilde{G}^F \mathcal{A}_{\ell'} \times (N_{\ell'})^{\text{opp}}}(\hat{M})$.*

Proof. The first statement is a consequence of (the proof of) [4, Theorem 7.5]. The second statement then follows from [27, Theorem 3.4]. \square

8. LOCAL EQUIVALENCES

The following simple group-theoretic lemma will be used in the proof of Lemma 8.2

Lemma 8.1. *Let X be a subgroup of a finite group Y and P a Sylow b -subgroup of Y . If $[Y, Y] \subseteq X$ then $P \cap X$ is a Sylow b -subgroup of X .*

Proof. The hypothesis implies that $[P, X] \subseteq X$. From this we deduce that $PX = XP$ and so PX is a subgroup of Y containing P . It follows that $|P|_b = |PX|_b = \frac{|P|_b |X|_b}{|P \cap X|_b}$ and so $|P \cap X|_b = |X|_b$. \square

Lemma 8.2. *Let Q be an ℓ -subgroup of L' . Suppose that either $N_{\tilde{N}'}(Q)\tilde{L}' = \tilde{N}'$ or \mathcal{N}/\tilde{L}' is abelian. The bimodule $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{U'}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}, \Lambda) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{L'})$ almost extends to an $N_G(Q) \times N_{N'}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta N_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)$ -module.*

Proof. Let $X_Q := N_G(Q) \times N_{L'}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta N_{\tilde{L}'}(Q)$ and $M_Q := H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{U'}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}, \Lambda) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{L'})$. By Lemma 1.2, the module M_Q is multiplicity free. We conclude by Lemma 7.2 and [42, Proposition 1.13] that it is enough to show that for every prime b the module M_Q almost extends to $X_Q \Delta(P_b)$ where $P_b/N_{L'}(Q)$ is a Sylow b -group of $N_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)/N_{L'}(Q)$. Observe that $N_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)/N_{\tilde{L}'}(Q)$ embeds as a subgroup of \mathcal{N}/\tilde{L}' . Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 7.7 we can thus assume that $b \in \{2, m'\}$. Since $[\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}] \subseteq \tilde{N}'$ it follows from Lemma 8.1 and our assumption on Q that $(P_b \cap N_{\mathcal{N}}(Q))/N_{\tilde{L}'}(Q)$ is a Sylow b -subgroup of $N_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)/N_{\tilde{L}'}(Q)$. In the proof of Theorem 7.7 we have shown that $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda) e_s^{L'}$ almost extends to a $\Lambda[G \times (L')^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{L}' P_b]$ -module. The corresponding local result in Lemma 7.5, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.6 show that $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{U'}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(Q)}, \Lambda) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{L'})$ almost extends to an $X_Q \Delta N_{P'_b}(Q)$ -module. \square

Suppose now that $\ell \nmid |H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))|$. As in Lemma 1.3 let \mathcal{C}' be a complex of $\Lambda(G \times (N')^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{N})$ -modules such that $H^d(\mathcal{C}') \cong M'$ and \mathcal{C}' induces a splendid Rickard equivalence between $\Lambda G e_s^G$ and $\Lambda N' e_s^{L'}$. According to the proof of [32, Theorem 5.2] there exists a unique complex \mathcal{C}'_Q of ℓ -permutation $\Lambda(C_G(Q) \times C_{N'}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta N_{\tilde{N}'}(Q))$ -modules which lifts the complex $\text{Br}_{\Delta Q}(\mathcal{C}')$ of ℓ -permutation modules from k to Λ . The following lemma strengthens Lemma 8.2. For simplicity we denote

$$M'_Q := \text{Ind}_{C_G(Q) \times C_{N'}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta N_{\tilde{N}'}(Q)}^{N_G(Q) \times N_{N'}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta N_{\tilde{N}'}(Q)} H^{d_Q}(\mathcal{C}'_Q) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{L'}).$$

Lemma 8.3. *Suppose that $\ell \nmid |H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))|$. Then the bimodule M'_Q almost extends to $N_G(Q) \times N_{N'}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta N_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)$.*

Proof. We first assume that \mathbf{L}' is 1-split. Then $M \cong \Lambda[\mathbf{G}^F/\mathbf{U}^F] e_s^{L'}$ is an ℓ -permutation module and $\mathcal{C} \cong M$. It follows that $\mathcal{C}' \cong M'$ and thus $H^0(\text{Br}_{\Delta Q}(\mathcal{C}')) \cong \text{Br}_{\Delta Q}(M')$. The bimodule M' extends to a $G \times (L')^{\text{opp}} \Delta \mathcal{N}_{\ell'}$ -module \hat{M} , which is \mathcal{N} -stable. Thus, $\text{Br}_{\Delta Q}(\hat{M})$ is a $k(C_G(Q) \times (C_{L'}(Q))^{\text{opp}} \Delta N_{\mathcal{N}_{\ell'}}(Q))$ -module extending $\text{Br}_{\Delta Q}(M')$. It follows that $\text{Br}_{\Delta Q}(\hat{M})$ is an ℓ -permutation module as well. Thus, there exists a unique ℓ -permutation module \hat{M}_Q which lifts $\text{Br}_{\Delta Q}(\hat{M})$ to Λ , see [1, Corollary 3.11.4]. Since this lift is unique and $\text{Br}_{\Delta Q}(\hat{M})$ is $N_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)$ -stable it follows that \hat{M}_Q is $N_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)$ -stable as well. In other words, $H^0(\mathcal{C}'_Q) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{L'})$ almost extends to $C_G(Q) \times C_{N'}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta N_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)$. The claim follows by applying the induction functor.

Now let us assume that \mathbf{L}' is not 1-split. By Lemma 8.2, the bimodule $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{U'}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_G(Q)}, \Lambda) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{L'})$ almost extends to $\mathbf{N}_G(Q) \times \mathbf{N}_{L'}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)$. On the other hand, the bimodule M'_Q is an extension of $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{C}_{U'}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_G(Q)}, \Lambda) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{L'})$. The quotient group \mathcal{N}/\tilde{L}' is abelian by Lemma 6.11 and Lemma 6.13. Therefore, Lemma 7.3 shows that $H^{d_Q}(\mathcal{C}'_Q) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{L'})$ almost extends as well to a $\Lambda(\mathbf{N}_G(Q) \times \mathbf{N}_{L'}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{N}}(Q))$ -module. \square

According to Lemma 8.3 there exists an extension \hat{M}_Q of M'_Q to $\Lambda(\mathbf{N}_G(Q) \times \mathbf{N}_N(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{N}_{L'}}(Q))$. With this notation the following is quite immediate.

Corollary 8.4. *Suppose the assumptions and notation as above. Then the bimodule $M'_Q C_Q$ induces a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda \mathbf{N}_{N'}(Q) C_Q$ and $\Lambda \mathbf{N}_G(Q) B_Q$ which lifts to the Morita equivalence \hat{M}_Q between $\Lambda \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{N}_{L'}}(Q, C_Q) C_Q$ and $\Lambda \mathbf{N}_{G_{A_{L'}}}(Q, B_Q) B_Q$.*

Proof. Note that $M'_Q \cong \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{C}_G(Q) \times \mathbf{C}_{N'}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \mathbf{N}_{N'}(Q)}^{\mathbf{N}_G(Q) \times \mathbf{N}_{N'}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \mathbf{N}_{N'}(Q)}(H^{d_Q}(\mathcal{C}'_Q)) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{L'})$. From this we conclude that the bimodule $M'_Q C_Q$ induces a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda \mathbf{N}_{N'}(Q) C_Q$ and $\Lambda \mathbf{N}_G(Q) B_Q$. The second claim now follows from this and [27, Theorem 3.4]. \square

9. THE FIRST REDUCTION

Let b be a block of $\Lambda G e_s^G$, where $s \in (\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}$ is the fixed strictly-quasi isolated element from before. By [35, Theorem 1.3] there exists a defect group D contained in N and since $\ell \nmid |N/L|$ we have $D \subset L$. In what follows, we let Q be a fixed characteristic subgroup of D . For a given character χ we use $\text{bl}(\chi)$ to denote the ℓ -block χ belongs to. For the language of character triples and the definition of the order relation \geq_b on character triples we refer the reader to [36, Section 1.1].

Theorem 9.1. *Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G, b)$ and $\chi' \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{N}_G(Q), B_Q)$ such that the following holds:*

- (i) *We have $(\tilde{G}\mathcal{B})_\chi = \tilde{G}_\chi \mathcal{B}_\chi$ and χ extends to $(G\mathcal{B})_\chi$.*
- (ii) *We have $(\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{G}}(Q) \mathbf{N}_{G\mathcal{B}}(Q))_{\chi'} = \mathbf{N}_{\tilde{G}}(Q)_{\chi'} \mathbf{N}_{G\mathcal{B}}(Q)_{\chi'}$ and χ' extends to $\mathbf{N}_{G\mathcal{B}}(Q)_{\chi'}$.*
- (iii) *$(\tilde{G}\mathcal{B})_\chi = G(\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{G}}(Q) \mathbf{N}_{G\mathcal{B}}(Q))_{\chi'}$.*
- (iv) *There exists $\tilde{\chi} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{G} \mid \chi)$ and $\tilde{\chi}' \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{G}}(Q) \mid \chi')$ such that the following holds:*
 - *For all $m \in \mathbf{N}_{G\mathcal{B}}(Q)_{\chi'}$, there exists $\nu \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{G}/G)$ with $\tilde{\chi}^m = \nu \tilde{\chi}$ and $\tilde{\chi}'^m = \text{Res}_{\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{G}}(Q)}^{\tilde{G}}(\nu) \tilde{\chi}'$.*
 - *The characters $\tilde{\chi}$ and $\tilde{\chi}'$ cover the same underlying central character of $\mathbf{Z}(\tilde{G})$.*
- (v) *The Clifford correspondents $\tilde{\chi}_0 \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{G}_\chi \mid \chi)$ and $\tilde{\chi}'_0 \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{G}}(Q)_{\chi'} \mid \chi')$ of $\tilde{\chi}$ and $\tilde{\chi}'$ respectively satisfy $\text{bl}(\tilde{\chi}_0) = \text{bl}(\tilde{\chi}'_0)^{\tilde{G}_\chi}$.*

Let $\mathbf{Z} := \text{Ker}(\chi) \cap \mathbf{Z}(G)$. Then

$$((\tilde{G}\mathcal{B})_\chi / \mathbf{Z}, G/\mathbf{Z}, \bar{\chi}) \geq_b ((\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{G}}(Q) \mathbf{N}_{G\mathcal{B}}(Q))_{\chi'} / \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{N}_G(Q) / \mathbf{Z}, \bar{\chi}'),$$

where $\bar{\chi}$ and $\bar{\chi}'$ are the characters which inflate to χ , respectively χ' .

Proof. This is a consequence of [36, Theorem 2.1] and [36, Lemma 2.2]. \square

Note that all conditions in Theorem 9.1 except condition (v) only depend on the character theory of G and \tilde{G} (together with its associated groups).

Let M' be the bimodule constructed in Corollary 7.8 which induces a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda G e_s^G$ and $\Lambda N' e_s^{L'}$. We let c be the block of $\Lambda N' e_s^{L'}$ corresponding to b under this

equivalence. Recall the group \mathcal{A} from Definition 7.6 and that $\mathcal{N} = N_{\tilde{G}\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{L}', e_s^{L'})$. We denote by \mathcal{N}_b the stabilizer of the block b in \mathcal{N} .

With this notation we are now ready to state the following theorem which can be seen as an analog of [36, Theorem 2.12].

Theorem 9.2. *Assume that $\ell \nmid 2|H^1(F, \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G}))|$ and suppose that the following hold.*

- (i) *There exists an $\text{Irr}(\tilde{N}'/N') \rtimes N_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)$ -equivariant bijection $\tilde{\varphi} : \text{Irr}(\tilde{N}' \mid \text{Irr}_0(c)) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(N_{\tilde{N}'}(Q) \mid \text{Irr}_0(C_Q))$ such that it maps characters covering the character $\nu \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{Z}(\tilde{G}))$ to a character covering ν .*
- (ii) *There exists an $N_{\mathcal{N}}(Q, C_Q)$ -equivariant bijection $\varphi : \text{Irr}_0(N', c) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(N_{N'}(Q), C_Q)$ which satisfies the following two conditions:*
 - *If $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(N', c)$ extends to a subgroup H of \mathcal{N}_b then $\varphi(\chi)$ extends to $N_H(Q)$.*
 - *$\tilde{\varphi}(\text{Irr}(\tilde{N}' \mid \chi)) = \text{Irr}(N_{\tilde{N}'}(Q) \mid \varphi(\chi))$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(c)$.*
- (iii) *For every $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0(c)$ and $\tilde{\theta} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{N}' \mid \theta)$ the following holds: If $\theta_0 \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{N}'_\theta \mid \theta)$ is the Clifford correspondent of $\tilde{\theta} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{N}')$ then $\text{bl}(\theta_0) = \text{bl}(\theta'_0)^{\tilde{N}'_\theta}$, where $\theta'_0 \in \text{Irr}(N_{\tilde{N}'}(Q)_{\varphi(\theta)} \mid \varphi(\theta))$ is the Clifford correspondent of $\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{\theta})$.*

Then the block b is AM-good.

Proof. According to Corollary 7.8 the bimodule M' induces an \mathcal{N} -equivariant bijection

$$R : \text{Irr}_0(N', e_s^{L'}) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(G, e_s^G).$$

By Corollary 8.4 the bimodule M'_Q induces an $N_{\mathcal{N}}(Q, C_Q)$ -equivariant bijection

$$R_Q : \text{Irr}_0(N_{N'}(Q), C_Q) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(N_G(Q), B_Q).$$

We define $\Psi := R_Q \circ \varphi \circ R^{-1} : \text{Irr}_0(G, b) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(N_G(Q), B_Q)$, which is by construction $N_{\tilde{G}\mathcal{A}}(Q, B_Q)$ -equivariant.

As in the proof of [36, Theorem 2.12] we can assume that the character χ satisfies condition (i) of Theorem 9.1. We denote $\chi' := \Psi(\chi)$ and show that the characters χ and χ' satisfy the conditions of Theorem 9.1.

Since the bijection $\Psi : \text{Irr}_0(G, b) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(N_G(Q), B_Q)$ is $N_{\tilde{G}\mathcal{A}}(Q, B_Q)$ -equivariant we deduce that condition (iii) in Theorem 9.1 is satisfied and we have

$$(N_{\tilde{G}\mathcal{B}}(Q))_{\chi'} = N_{\tilde{G}}(Q)_{\chi'} N_{G\mathcal{B}}(Q)_{\chi'}.$$

The following lemma finishes the verification of condition (ii) in Theorem 9.1

Lemma 9.3. *The character χ' extends to its inertia group in $N_{G\mathcal{B}}(Q)$.*

Proof. We have $N_{G\mathcal{B}}(Q)_{\chi'} / N_G(Q) \cong \mathcal{B}_\chi$. Since the Sylow r -subgroups of \mathcal{B}_χ for $r \neq 2$ are cyclic it suffices to show that χ' extends to $N_{G\mathcal{B}_2}(Q)$, where \mathcal{B}_2 is the Sylow 2-subgroup of \mathcal{B}_χ .

We may assume that $\mathcal{B}_2 = \langle \phi^i, \gamma \rangle$. There exists some $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{L}'$ such that $F_0^i = \text{ad}(\tilde{g})\phi^i$. Recall that the character χ extends to $G\mathcal{B}_2$. It follows that χ has a ϕ^i -stable extension to $G\langle \gamma \rangle$. Consequently, this extension is $\tilde{g}^{-1}F_0^i$ -stable. From this it follows that χ extends to $G\langle \tilde{g}^{-1}F_0^i \rangle$. Thus, by Corollary 7.8 the character $R^{-1}(\chi)$ extends to $N'\langle \tilde{g}^{-1}F_0^i, \gamma \rangle$. By assumption (ii), the character $\varphi(R^{-1}(\chi))$ therefore extends to its inertia group in $N_{G\langle \tilde{g}^{-1}F_0^i, \gamma \rangle}(Q)$. Hence, by Corollary 8.4 the character χ' has an extension to $N_{G\langle \gamma \rangle}(Q)_{\chi'}$ which is $N_{G\langle \phi, \gamma \rangle}(Q)_{\chi'}$ -stable. It follows that χ' extends to $N_{G\mathcal{B}_2}(Q) = \langle \phi^i, \gamma \rangle$. \square

Fix a character $\tilde{\chi} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{G} \mid \chi)$. We define $\tilde{\chi}' := \tilde{R}_Q \circ \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{R}^{-1}(\tilde{\chi})$, where \tilde{R} and \tilde{R}_Q are defined as in 1.8. From [36, Lemma 2.9] and assumption (i) it follows that the first part of condition (iv) in Theorem 9.1 is satisfied. Moreover, [35, Lemma 2.10] and assumption (i) imply that the second part of condition (iv) in Theorem 9.1 is satisfied.

We now verify condition (v) in Theorem 9.1. Let $\tilde{\chi}_0 \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{G}_\chi \mid \chi)$ be the Clifford correspondent of $\tilde{\chi}$. Moreover, let $\tilde{\chi}'_0 \in \text{Irr}(\text{N}_{\tilde{G}}(Q)_{\chi'} \mid \chi')$ be the Clifford correspondent of $\tilde{\chi}'$. Recall that there exists a complex \mathcal{C}' of $\Lambda(G \times (N')^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{N})$ -modules such that $H^d(\mathcal{C}') \cong M'$ and \mathcal{C}' induces a splendid Rickard equivalence between $\Lambda G e_s^G$ and $\Lambda N' e_s^{L'}$, see Lemma 1.3.

Lemma 9.4. *The characters $\tilde{\chi}_0 \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{G}_\chi \mid \chi)$ and $\tilde{\chi}'_0 \in \text{Irr}(\text{N}_{\tilde{G}}(Q)_{\chi'} \mid \chi')$ satisfy $\text{bl}(\tilde{\chi}'_0)^{\tilde{G}_\chi} = \text{bl}(\tilde{\chi}_0)$.*

Proof. Define $J := \tilde{G}_\chi$ and let J_0 be the subgroup of \tilde{N}'/N' corresponding to J under the natural isomorphism $\tilde{N}'/N' \cong \tilde{G}/G$.

Consider $\mathcal{C} := G\Gamma_c(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda) e_s^{L'}$ as complex of $\Lambda(G \times (L')^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\tilde{L}'))$ -modules and define $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} := \text{Ind}_{G \times (L')^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\tilde{L}')}^{\tilde{G} \times (\tilde{N}')^{\text{opp}}}(\mathcal{C})$. We have $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \cong G\Gamma_c(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}, \Lambda) e_s^{L'}$ by [5, Proposition 1.1].

As in 1.8 we let $e \in Z(\Lambda \tilde{L}')$ be the central idempotent such that $\sum_{n \in N'/L'} n e = e_s^{L'}$. It follows similar to arguments given in the proof of [4, Theorem 7.5] that the complex $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}' := \tilde{\mathcal{C}} e \otimes_{\Lambda \tilde{L}'} \Lambda \tilde{N}'$ induces a splendid Rickard equivalence between $\Lambda \tilde{N}' e_s^{L'}$ and $\Lambda \tilde{G} e_s^G$. Moreover, we have $\text{Ind}_{G \times (L')^{\text{opp}} \Delta(\tilde{L}')}^{\tilde{G} \times (\tilde{N}')^{\text{opp}}}(\mathcal{C}') \cong \tilde{\mathcal{C}}'$. The cohomology of \mathcal{C}' is concentrated in degree $d := \dim(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}})$ and $H^d(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}'_0) \cong \text{Ind}_{(G \times (L')^{\text{opp}}) \Delta J_0}^{J \times J_0^{\text{opp}}} H^d(\mathcal{C}')$. By [27, Theorem 3.4] the bimodule $H^d(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}'_0)$ induces a Morita equivalence between $\mathcal{O}J_0 c$ and $\mathcal{O}J b$. We denote by

$$R_0 : \text{Irr}(J_0, c) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(J, b)$$

the associated bijection between irreducible characters. Using [35, Lemma 1.9] we see that the complex $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}'_0 := \text{Ind}_{(G \times N^{\text{opp}}) \Delta(J_0)}^{J \times J_0^{\text{opp}}}(\mathcal{C}') c$ induces a splendid Rickard equivalence between $\mathcal{O}J b$ and $\mathcal{O}J_0 c$. The bimodule

$$(M'_Q)_0 := \text{Ind}_{\text{N}_G(Q) \times (\text{N}_L(Q))^{\text{opp}} \Delta \text{N}_{J_0}(Q)}^{\text{N}_J(Q) \times (\text{N}_{J_0}(Q))^{\text{opp}}} (\text{Res}_{\text{N}_G(Q) \times (\text{N}_{L'}(Q))^{\text{opp}} \Delta \text{N}_{J_0}(Q)}^{\text{N}_G(Q) \times (\text{N}_L(Q))^{\text{opp}} \Delta \text{N}_{\tilde{N}'}(Q)} (M'_Q))$$

induces a Morita equivalence between $\mathcal{O}N_{J_0}(Q)C_Q$ and $\mathcal{O}N_J(Q)B_Q$. We denote the associated character bijection by

$$(R_0)_Q : \text{Irr}(\text{N}_{J_0}(Q), C_Q) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\text{N}_J(Q), B_Q).$$

By construction, $\tilde{\chi}' \in \text{Irr}(\text{N}_{\tilde{G}}(Q) \mid \chi')$. Let $\theta := R^{-1}(\chi)$ and $\tilde{\theta} := \tilde{R}^{-1}(\tilde{\chi})$. We obtain that $(R_0)_Q^{-1}(\tilde{\chi}'_0) \in \text{Irr}(\text{N}_{J_0}(Q) \mid \varphi(\theta))$ is the Clifford correspondent of $\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{\theta})$. Consequently, we have

$$\text{bl}((R_0)_Q^{-1}(\tilde{\chi}'_0))^{J_0} = \text{bl}(R_0^{-1}(\tilde{\chi}_0))$$

by assumption (iii). By the definition of M'_Q after Lemma 8.3 we have

$$M'_Q \otimes_{\Lambda} k \cong \text{Ind}_{\text{C}_G(Q) \times \text{C}_N(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \text{N}_{N'}(Q)}^{\text{N}_G(Q) \times \text{N}_{N'}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \text{N}_{\tilde{N}'}(Q)} H^{d_Q}(\text{Br}_{\Delta Q}(\mathcal{C}')) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{L'})$$

and therefore,

$$(M'_Q)_0 \otimes_{\Lambda} k \cong \text{Ind}_{\text{C}_G(Q) \times \text{C}_{N'}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \text{N}_{J_0}(Q)}^{\text{N}_G(Q) \times \text{N}_{N'}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta \text{N}_{J_0}(Q)} H^{d_Q}(\text{Br}_{\Delta Q}(\mathcal{C}'_0)) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{L'}).$$

Therefore, by [35, Remark 1.21] the equality $\text{bl}((R_0)_Q^{-1}(\tilde{\chi}'_0))^{J_0} = \text{bl}(R_0^{-1}(\tilde{\chi}_0))$ implies that $\text{bl}(\tilde{\chi}'_0)^J = \text{bl}(\tilde{\chi}_0)$. \square

Theorem 9.1 applies and we obtain

$$((\tilde{G}\mathcal{B})_\chi/Z, G/Z, \bar{\chi}) \geq_b (N_{\tilde{G}\mathcal{B}}(Q)_{\chi'}/Z, N_G(Q), \bar{\chi}'),$$

where $Z := Z(G) \cap \text{Ker}(\chi)$. Using [35, Theorem 1.10] we deduce that the bijection $\Psi : \text{Irr}_0(G, b) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(N_G(Q), B_Q)$ is a strong iAM-bijection in the sense of [36, Definition 1.9], which shows that b is AM-good, see [36, Definition 1.11]. \square

Remark 9.5. The statement of the previous theorem remains true for the groups occurring in Theorem 4.2 if we take \mathbf{L}^* to be the minimal Levi subgroup containing $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)$ and replace \mathcal{A} by the group $\langle F_0 \rangle$. To see this, just replace Corollary 7.8 and Corollary 8.4 by Theorem 4.2 (and its local version which can be proved as in Corollary 8.4).

Remark 9.6. In the proof of Theorem 9.2 we have shown that there exist a strong iAM-bijection $\Psi : \text{Irr}_0(G, b) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(N_G(Q), B_Q)$ for the characteristic subgroup Q of a defect group D of the block b . In Section 12 it will be important to keep track of the subgroup Q . If such a strong iAM-bijection exists then we will say that the block b is AM-good relative to the subgroup Q .

10. RESULTS ON ℓ -BLOCKS WHEN $\ell \mid (q - \varepsilon)$

10.1. Action of diagonal automorphisms on 2-blocks. Let Y be a finite group and X be a normal subgroup of Y . For an ℓ -block e of X we denote by $Y[e]$ the *Dade ramification group of e in Y* , see for instance [29].

Lemma 10.1. *Let \mathbf{G} be a simple, simply connected group of type A and let b be a (non-necessarily quasi-isolated) ℓ -block of G . If $\ell \mid (q - \varepsilon)$ then block induction yields a bijective map $\text{Bl}(\tilde{G}[b] \mid b) \rightarrow \text{Bl}(\tilde{G} \mid b)$.*

Proof. Suppose that b is a block of $\Lambda G e_s^G$ for a semisimple element $s \in (\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}$ of ℓ' -order. Consider a regular embedding $\iota : \mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$. Let $\tilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{E}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{s})$ be the unique semisimple character in its Lusztig series, see [3, Theorem 15.10]. We fix a constituent $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G \mid \tilde{\chi})$ which lies in the block b . We have $\text{Irr}(\tilde{G} \mid \chi) = \{\hat{z} \otimes \tilde{\chi} \mid z \in Z(\tilde{G})\}$ and such a character $\hat{z} \otimes \tilde{\chi}$ lies in the Lusztig series $\mathcal{E}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{s}z)$. Note that $\mathcal{E}_\ell(\tilde{G}, \tilde{s}) = \text{Irr}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{b})$, where \tilde{b} is the block of $\tilde{\chi}$. Hence, $\hat{z} \otimes \tilde{\chi}$ lies in \tilde{b} if and only if the ℓ' -part $(\tilde{s}z)_{\ell'}$ of $\tilde{s}z$ is $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^*$ -conjugate to \tilde{s} . This is equivalent to $\tilde{s}z_{\ell'}$ being $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^*$ -conjugate to \tilde{s} . In this case we obtain that $\tilde{\chi} = \hat{z}_{\ell'} \otimes \tilde{\chi}$ since $\tilde{\chi}$ is the unique semisimple character in $\mathcal{E}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{s})$. Thus, $\tilde{b} \otimes \hat{z} = \tilde{b}$ if and only if $\chi = \hat{z}_{\ell'} \otimes \chi$. From this we deduce by Clifford theory that $|\text{Bl}(\tilde{G} \mid b)| = |\tilde{G}_\chi : G|_{\ell'}$.

On the other hand, by [29, Proposition 3.9] we deduce that $|\text{Bl}(\tilde{G}[b] \mid b)| = |\tilde{G}[b] : G|_{\ell'}$. According to [29, Theorem 3.5] and the Fong–Reynolds reduction block induction yields a surjective map $\text{Bl}(\tilde{G}[b] \mid b) \rightarrow \text{Bl}(\tilde{G} \mid b)$. This shows that $|\tilde{G}[b] : G|_{\ell'} \geq |\tilde{G}_\chi : G|_{\ell'}$. On the other hand, by [29, Theorem 4.1] we deduce that $|\tilde{G}[b] : G|_{\ell'} \leq |\tilde{G}_\chi : G|_{\ell'}$. This shows the statement. \square

As in Section 9 we let b be an ℓ -block of $\Lambda G e_s^G$, where $s \in (\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}$ is a strictly quasi-isolated element of ℓ' -order. Recall the Levi subgroup \mathbf{L}' of \mathbf{G} defined in Lemma 6.2.

Lemma 10.2. *There exists a block c_1 of $\Lambda L' e_s^{L'}$, unique up to N' -conjugation, such that $bH_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda)c_1 \neq 0$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 there exists a bimodule M_0 inducing a Morita equivalence between ΛGe_s^G and $\Lambda N'e_s^{L'}$. We let c be the block of $\Lambda N'e_s^{L'}$ corresponding to b under the Morita equivalence induced by M_0 . The $\Lambda(G \times N'^{\text{opp}})$ -bimodule $bM_0c \cong bM_0$ is thus indecomposable. We have $\text{Res}_{G \times (L')^{\text{opp}}}^{G \times N'^{\text{opp}}}(M_0) \cong bH_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda)$. Observe that bM_0 is a right $\Lambda N'e_s^{L'}$ -module. Thus, if c_1 is a block of L' such that $bH_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda)c_1 \neq 0$ then c_1 has to lie below c . This determines c_1 up to N' -conjugation. \square

Definition 10.3. If $\ell \nmid (q - \varepsilon)$ denote $T := N'$ and $\hat{c} := c$. Otherwise, we let T be the largest subgroup of N' containing L' such that there exists a unique block \hat{c} covering c_1 . Additionally, we set $\tilde{T} := T\tilde{L}'$.

Let us now assume that $\ell \mid (q - \varepsilon)$. The block b of ΛGe_s^G is Morita equivalent to a block of $\Lambda Ne_1^{L'}$. This block of N is covered by the principal block ΛLe_1^L of L . Thus, any Sylow ℓ -subgroup Q of L is a defect group of b . A Frattini argument shows that $N_{\tilde{N}'}(Q)\tilde{L}' = \tilde{N}'$. Thus, the subgroup Q of L satisfies the group-theoretic assumptions of Lemma 8.2. We denote by \hat{C}_Q the Brauer correspondent of \hat{c} in $N_T(Q)$.

Theorem 10.4. *Assume that $\ell \mid (q - \varepsilon)$ and suppose that the following holds.*

- (i) *There exists an $\text{Irr}(\tilde{T}/T) \rtimes N_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)$ -equivariant bijection $\tilde{\varphi} : \text{Irr}(\tilde{T} \mid \text{Irr}_0(\hat{c})) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(N_{\tilde{T}}(Q) \mid \text{Irr}_0(\hat{C}_Q))$ such that it maps characters covering the character $\nu \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{Z}(\tilde{G}))$ to a character covering ν .*
- (ii) *There exists an $N_{\mathcal{N}}(Q, \hat{C}_Q)$ -equivariant bijection $\varphi : \text{Irr}_0(T, \hat{c}) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(N_T(Q), \hat{C}_Q)$ which satisfies the following two conditions:*
 - *If $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(T, \hat{c})$ extends to a subgroup H of \mathcal{N}_b then $\varphi(\chi)$ extends to $N_H(Q)$.*
 - *$\tilde{\varphi}(\text{Irr}(\tilde{T} \mid \chi)) = \text{Irr}(N_{\tilde{T}}(Q) \mid \varphi(\chi))$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(\hat{c})$.*
- (iii) *For every $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0(\hat{c})$ and $\tilde{\theta} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{T} \mid \theta)$ the following holds: If $\theta_0 \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{T}'_{\theta} \mid \theta)$ is the Clifford correspondent of $\tilde{\theta} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{T})$ then $\text{bl}(\theta_0) = \text{bl}(\theta'_0)^{\tilde{T}_{\theta}}$, where $\theta'_0 \in \text{Irr}(N_{\tilde{T}}(Q)_{\varphi(\theta)} \mid \varphi(\theta))$ is the Clifford correspondent of $\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{\theta})$.*

Then the block b is AM-good.

Proof. According to the proof of Theorem 7.7 there exists a $K[G \times (L')^{\text{opp}} \Delta \mathcal{N}]$ -module extending $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}}, K)e_s^{L'}$. Denote by M' the restriction of \hat{M} to $G \times (N')^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{N}'$.

We claim that the bimodule $b \text{Res}_{G \times T^{\text{opp}}}^{G \times (N')^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{N}'}(M')\hat{c}$ induces an \mathcal{N}_b -equivariant bijection $R : \text{Irr}(T, \hat{c}) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(G, b)$. For $T = N'$ we observe that \hat{c} is the unique block of N' covering the block c_1 of L' . By Lemma 10.2 we deduce that $bM' = bM'\hat{c}$. Since N'/L' is cyclic, Lemma 1.2 implies that $bM'\hat{c}$ is multiplicity free as $K(G \times (N')^{\text{opp}})$ -module. Now Lemma 10.2 together with Theorem 1.1 imply that $|\text{Irr}(G, b)| = |\text{Irr}(N', \hat{c})|$ and thus the bimodule $bM'\hat{c}$ necessarily induces a bijection between these two sets.

Assume therefore now that $T = L'$. Then c_1 is necessarily N' -stable and there are exactly $|N'/L'|$ different blocks covering c_1 . Consequently, by [29, Proposition 3.9] and [29, Theorem 4.1] in this case the block $\Lambda N'e_s^{L'}$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda L'e_s^{L'}$ via restriction. We have $b \text{Res}_{G \times (L')^{\text{opp}}}^{G \times (N')^{\text{opp}} \Delta \tilde{N}'}(M')\hat{c} \cong H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}}, K)\hat{c}$. Hence, Theorem 1.1 show that $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{U}'}^{\mathbf{G}}, \Lambda)\hat{c}$ induces a Morita equivalence between $\Lambda L'e_s^{L'}$ and ΛGb . The claim thus also follows in this case.

As explained before the statement of this theorem, the subgroup Q satisfies the group theoretic requirement in Lemma 8.2. The proof of said lemma therefore shows that the

bimodule $H_c^{\dim}(\mathbf{Y}_{N_{U'}(Q)}^{N_G(Q)}, K) \text{br}_Q(e_s^{L'})$ extends to an $N_G(Q) \times N_{N'}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta N_{N'}(Q)$ -module. Let \hat{M}_Q be such an extension and denote by M'_Q its restriction to $N_G(Q) \times N_{N'}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta N_{N'}(Q)$.

Arguing as in the global case we obtain that the bimodule $B_Q \text{Res}_{N_G(Q) \times N_T(Q)^{\text{opp}}}^{N_G(Q) \times N_{N'}(Q)^{\text{opp}} \Delta N_{N'}(Q)}(M'_Q) \hat{C}_Q$ induces a bijection $R_Q : \text{Irr}_0(N_T(Q), \hat{C}_Q) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(N_G(Q), B_Q)$. As in Theorem 9.2 we define $\Psi := R_Q \circ \varphi \circ R^{-1} : \text{Irr}_0(G, b) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(N_G(Q), B_Q)$, which is by construction $N_{\tilde{G}, \mathcal{A}}(Q, B_Q)$ -equivariant. If $T = L'$ then the arguments in the proof of [36, Theorem 3.12] show the result. We can therefore from now on assume that $T = N'$.

We fix a character $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(G, b)$ and denote $\chi' := \Psi(\chi)$. As in Theorem 9.2 we want to show that the characters χ and χ' satisfy the conditions in Theorem 9.1. Moreover, as in the proof of Theorem 9.2 we fix a character $\tilde{\chi} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{G} \mid \chi)$ and define $\tilde{\chi}' := \tilde{R}_Q \circ \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{R}^{-1}(\tilde{\chi})$. One easily sees that conditions (i)-(iv) of the theorem can now be verified exactly in the same way as in Theorem 9.2. However, to show condition (v) we crucially used Lemma 8.3. Nevertheless according to Lemma 1.5 we see that $\text{bl}(\tilde{\chi}')^{\tilde{G}} = \text{bl}(\tilde{\chi})$. According to Lemma 10.1 the Clifford correspondents $\tilde{\chi}_0 \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{G}_\chi \mid \chi)$ and $\tilde{\chi}'_0 \in \text{Irr}(N_{\tilde{G}}(Q)_{\chi'} \mid \chi')$ of $\tilde{\chi}$ and $\tilde{\chi}'$ respectively therefore satisfy $\text{bl}(\tilde{\chi}_0) = \text{bl}(\tilde{\chi}'_0)^{\tilde{G}_\chi}$. In other words also condition (v) is satisfied. \square

11. RESULTS ON DEFECT GROUPS OF BLOCKS OF GROUPS OF LIE TYPE

11.1. Centralizers of defect groups. Following the terminology in [20, Section 3.4] we say that an ℓ -group D is *Cabanes* if it has a unique maximal abelian normal subgroup Q . Moreover, in this case we say that Q is the *Cabanes subgroup* of D . We recall [10, Lemma 4.16]:

Theorem 11.1. *Let \mathbf{H} be a connected reductive group defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ such that $\ell \geq 5$ and $\ell \geq 7$ if \mathbf{H} has a component of type E_8 . Then the defect group of any ℓ -block of \mathbf{H}^F is Cabanes.*

We keep the notation from the previous section. In particular, \mathbf{G} is simple, simply connected of type A and b denotes a strictly quasi-isolated block of ΛGe_s^G and we let \mathbf{L}' be the proper Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G} constructed before. Moreover, c denotes the block of $\Lambda N' e_s^{L'}$ which corresponds to b under the Morita equivalence given by the bimodule M' defined after the proof of Theorem 7.7.

We fix a defect group D of the block c . Moreover if $\ell \geq 5$ we define Q to be the Cabanes subgroup of D and if $\ell < 5$ we let $Q := D$. Let \tilde{b} be a block of \tilde{G} covering b with defect group \tilde{D} satisfying $\tilde{D} \cap G = D$. If $\ell \geq 5$ let \tilde{Q} be the Cabanes subgroup of \tilde{D} otherwise we define $\tilde{Q} := \tilde{D}$.

Lemma 11.2. *Suppose that $\ell \neq 2$ and that D is abelian if $\ell = 2$. With the notation as above we have $C_G(Q) = C_G(\tilde{Q})$ and $N_G(Q) = N_G(\tilde{Q})$.*

Proof. We may assume that $\ell \mid (n+1, q-\varepsilon) = |\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F : Z(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F) \mathbf{G}^F|$ since otherwise we have $\tilde{Q} = QZ(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F)_\ell$ and the statement follows.

If s has order $n+1$ then we have $\ell \nmid (n+1)$. As explained at the beginning of the proof this implies the statement in this case. Hence, by [16, Lemma 5.2] (see also Lemma 14.1 below) the statement of the lemma holds for $\ell = 2$. We can therefore assume that $\ell \geq 5$.

Suppose first that $s = 1$. We consider again the Frobenius endomorphism F' introduced in 5.2 instead of F . Let \mathbf{S} be the diagonal torus in \mathbf{G} . The Cabanes subgroup of a Sylow

ℓ -subgroup of \mathbf{G}^F is given by $\mathbf{S}_\ell^{F'}$. Since $\ell \neq 2$ we have $C_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_\ell^{F'}) = \mathbf{S}$, see [11, Proposition 22.6]. From this the claim of the lemma follows easily in this case.

Let \mathbf{L} be a Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G} dual to the Levi subgroup $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)$ of \mathbf{G}^* . Note that $\Lambda \mathbf{N}^F e_1^{\mathbf{L}^{F'}}$ is Morita equivalent to $\Lambda \mathbf{G}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^{F'}}$, see [4, Example 7.10]. Any block of $\Lambda \mathbf{N}^{F'} e_1^{\mathbf{L}^{F'}}$ has the same defect group as a block of $\mathbf{L}^{F'}$ which is covered by it. It is well known, see [9, Theorem 13], that since $\ell \mid (q - \varepsilon)$ the algebra $\Lambda \mathbf{L}^{F'} e_1^{\mathbf{L}^{F'}}$ consists of one block only, the principal block of $\mathbf{L}^{F'}$.

Therefore, Q is the Cabanes subgroup of a Sylow ℓ -subgroup of $\mathbf{L}^{F'}$. By Corollary 5.4 we have $\tilde{\mathbf{L}} \cong (\mathrm{GL}_e)^d \times \cdots \times (\mathrm{GL}_e)^d$ with the Frobenius endomorphism F' transitively permuting the d copies of each $(\mathrm{GL}_e)^d$ such that $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{F'} \cong \mathrm{GL}_e((\varepsilon q)^d) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_e((\varepsilon q)^d)$ with $e > 1$. Denote $Q_0 := Q \cap [\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{L}]^{F'}$. We conclude $[\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{L}]^{F'} \cong \mathrm{SL}_e((\varepsilon q)^d) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SL}_e((\varepsilon q)^d)$. Here, d divides $|A(s)|$ which is of order prime to ℓ , see Corollary 5.4. Let \mathbf{S} be the diagonal torus in $\mathrm{SL}_e(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. The Cabanes subgroup of the Sylow ℓ -subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_e((\varepsilon q)^d)$ is given by $\mathbf{S}_\ell^{F'^d}$. Since $\ell \nmid d$ and $\ell \mid q - \varepsilon$ it follows that $((\varepsilon q)^d - 1)_\ell = (\varepsilon q - 1)_\ell$. We deduce that $|\mathbf{S}_\ell^{F'}| = |\mathbf{S}_\ell^{F'^d}|$. Denote by $\Delta_d : \mathrm{GL}_e \rightarrow (\mathrm{GL}_e)^d$ the d -fold diagonal embedding. By the proof of [10, Lemma 4.16] we can therefore assume that

$$Q_0 = \Delta_d(\mathbf{S}_\ell^{F'}) \times \cdots \times \Delta_d(\mathbf{S}_\ell^{F'}).$$

Hence $C_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}(Q_0) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{ed} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{ed}$. Since $\ell \neq 2$ we have $C_{\mathrm{GL}_e}(\mathbf{S}_\ell^{F'}) = \mathbf{S}$, see [11, Proposition 22.6]. Therefore, $C_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}(Q_0) \cong (\mathrm{GL}_d)^e \times \cdots \times (\mathrm{GL}_d)^e$. A similar calculation shows that this coincides with $C_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}(\tilde{Q})$. This implies that $C_{\mathbf{G}}(\tilde{Q}) = C_{\mathbf{G}}(Q) = C_{\mathbf{G}}(Q_0)$.

Observe that $\mathbf{M} := C_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}(Q)$ is a Levi subgroup of $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$. It follows that $N_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}(Q)$ and $N_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}(\tilde{Q})$ are both contained in $N_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}(\mathbf{M})$. On the other hand, $N_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}(\mathbf{M})/\mathbf{M} \cong S_{(n+1/d)}$ given by permuting the components of $\mathbf{M} = (\mathrm{GL}_d)^e \times \cdots \times (\mathrm{GL}_d)^e$. By the description of Q_0 it is clear that these automorphisms stabilize Q_0 . This shows that $N_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}(Q) = N_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}(\tilde{Q}) = N_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}(\mathbf{M})$. \square

Remark 11.3. Suppose that D is the Sylow 2-subgroup of \mathbf{G} . Then the conclusion of the previous lemma holds unless \mathbf{G} is of type A_1 and $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$, see [22, Theorem 1].

We denote $L_0 := [\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{L}']^F$ and we fix a block c_0 of L_0 below c such that $D_0 := D \cap L_0$ is a defect group of c_0 . Additionally, we set $Q_0 := Q \cap L_0$.

The induction step in the proof of Theorem 12.2 below requires the following property of Cabanes subgroups.

Lemma 11.4. *Suppose that $\ell \neq 2$ and that $D/Z(G)_\ell$ is abelian if $\ell = 2$. With the notation as introduced above we have $C_{[\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{L}']^F}(Q_0) = C_{[\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{L}']^F}(Q)$ and $N_{[\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{L}']^F}(Q_0) = N_{[\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{L}']^F}(Q)$.*

Proof. Let us first consider the special case, where $\ell = 2$ and D is non-abelian but $D/Z(G)_\ell$ is abelian. According to the proof of [16, Lemma 5.2] and [16, Proposition 3.4(a)] we observe that $D \subset [\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{L}]^F$. Since $\mathbf{L} \subset \mathbf{L}'$ by construction we have $D \subset [\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{L}']^F$ and so $D_0 = D$. Hence, the statement holds trivially in this case. We can therefore assume that the assumptions of Lemma 11.2 are satisfied.

We only prove the first part of the statement since the same arguments apply when we replace the centralizer subgroups everywhere by their corresponding normalizer subgroups. Consider the composition $[\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{L}'] \hookrightarrow \mathbf{L}' \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{L}}'$. We let \tilde{c} be a block of \tilde{N}' covering c . By [30, Theorem 9.26] exists a defect group \tilde{D} of \tilde{c} such that $\tilde{D} \cap N' = \tilde{D} \cap L' = D$. It follows that the Cabanes subgroup \tilde{Q} of \tilde{D} satisfies $\tilde{Q} \cap L' = Q$. We have $C_{[\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{L}']^F}(\tilde{Q}) \subseteq C_{[\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{L}']^F}(Q) \subseteq C_{[\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{L}']^F}(Q_0)$ and so it's enough to show that $C_{[\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{L}']^F}(\tilde{Q}) = C_{[\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{L}']^F}(Q_0)$.

As in the proof of [36, Proposition 3.7] it follows that $[\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{L}'] = \mathbf{H}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{H}_r$, where the \mathbf{H}_i are simple algebraic groups of simply connected type. The action of the Frobenius endomorphism F induces a permutation π on the set of simple components of $[\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{L}']$. We let $\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_t$ be the decomposition of this permutation into disjoint cycles. For $i = 1, \dots, t$ choose $x_i \in \Pi_i$ in the support Π_i of the permutation π_i and let $n_i = |\Pi_i|$ be the length of the cycle π_i . We then have

$$L_0 := [\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{L}']^F \cong \mathbf{H}_{x_1}^{F^{n_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{H}_{x_t}^{F^{n_t}}.$$

Similarly, we can decompose $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}'$ as $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}' = \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_1 \times \cdots \times \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_r$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_i \cap [\mathbf{L}', \mathbf{L}'] = \mathbf{H}_i$. Therefore, $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}'^F \cong \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{x_1}^{F^{n_1}} \times \cdots \times \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{x_t}^{F^{n_t}}$.

Denote $\tilde{H}_i := \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{x_i}^{F^{n_i}}$ and $H_i := \mathbf{H}_{x_i}^{F^{n_i}}$. The block c_0 is strictly quasi-isolated and decomposes as a direct product $c_0 = c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_t$ of blocks which are strictly quasi-isolated in H_i , see the proof of [36, Proposition 3.7]. It follows that $Q_0 = Q_1 \times \cdots \times Q_t$. Similarly, let \tilde{c} be a block of \tilde{L}' below \tilde{c} which covers c_0 . We have a decomposition $\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{c}_t$, where \tilde{c}_i is a block of \tilde{H}_i covering c_i and we obtain a decomposition $\tilde{Q} = \tilde{Q}_1 \times \cdots \times \tilde{Q}_t$ with $\tilde{Q}_i \cap H_i = Q_i$. It is therefore enough to show that $C_{H_i}(Q_i) = C_{H_i}(\tilde{Q}_i)$. This follows now from Lemma 11.2. \square

Corollary 11.5. *Keep the assumptions of Lemma 11.2. If $D_0 \leq Z(L_0)$ then we have $D \leq Z(L')$.*

Proof. By Lemma 11.4 our assumption implies that $Q \leq C_{L'}(L_0)$. The proof of [3, Lemma 6.1] shows that $C_{L'}(L_0) = Z(\mathbf{L}')$ and so $Q \leq Z(L')$. This shows the claim for $\ell = 2$. For $\ell \geq 5$ observe that Q is the maximal normal abelian subgroup of D and it follows from this that $Q = D$. \square

12. REDUCTION TO ISOLATED BLOCKS

We first consider certain blocks of simple groups with non-exceptional Schur multiplier.

Lemma 12.1. *Let $\ell \geq 5$ and S be a simple group of Lie type A , B or C defined over a field of characteristic $\neq \ell$ with exceptional Schur multiplier. Then the Sylow ℓ -subgroups of the universal covering group of S are cyclic. In particular, the inductive Alperin–McKay condition holds for all ℓ -blocks of the universal covering group of S .*

Proof. An examination of the groups S with exceptional Schur multiplier shows that $|S|_\ell \in \{1, \ell\}$ and $\ell \nmid |M(S)|$, where $M(S)$ is the Schur multiplier of S . Therefore the Sylow ℓ -subgroups of the universal covering group of S are cyclic. Therefore, the inductive Alperin–McKay condition holds for all ℓ -blocks of S by the work of Koshitani–Späth, see [23]. \square

We can now prove our main theorem. The proof is essentially the same as the one of [36, Theorem 3.12] using all the new ingredients proved up to here. Recall the notation introduced in Remark 9.6.

Theorem 12.2. *Let $\ell \geq 5$ and assume that all isolated ℓ -blocks of quasi-simple groups of type A defined over a field of characteristic $\neq \ell$ are AM-good relative to the Cabanes subgroup of their defect group. Then all ℓ -blocks of quasi-simple groups of type A are AM-good.*

Proof. Note that for $\ell \geq 5$ all blocks of simple groups of type A with exceptional Schur multiplier are AM-good (with respect to the prime ℓ) by Lemma 12.1. By [36, Theorem 3.12] it suffices to show that the strictly quasi-isolated blocks of \mathbf{G}^F , where \mathbf{G} is of type A , are AM-good relative to the Cabanes subgroup of their defect group. We show this statement by induction on the rank of \mathbf{G} .

Assume that b is a strictly quasi-isolated block of \mathbf{G}^F which is not isolated. Recall that we have a decomposition $L_0 := H_1 \times \cdots \times H_t$ where the finite groups H_i are either quasi-simple or solvable. In the former case our induction hypothesis implies that the blocks c_i are AM-good with respect to the Cabanes subgroup of their defect group. By the proof of [36, Proposition 3.7] we therefore obtain an iAM-bijection $\varphi_0 : \text{Irr}_0(L_0, c_0) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(N_{L_0}(Q_0), (C_0)_{Q_0})$. Here, Q_0 is the Cabanes subgroup of the defect group D_0 of c_0 and $(C_0)_{Q_0} = \text{br}_{Q_0}(c_0)$. We again use the following notation as introduced in [36, Notation 3.9]:

Notation 12.3.

- Assume that D_0 is central in L_0 . Then we let D be a defect group of c satisfying $D \cap L_0 = D_0$. We define $L_1 := L_0 D$ and we let e be the unique block of L_1 covering c_0 . In addition, we set $Q := D$.
- If D_0 is not central in L_0 then we set $L_1 := L_0$, $e := c_0$ and we let $Q := Q_0$.

Arguing as in the proof of [36, Lemma 3.10] we obtain a bijection

$$\varphi_0 : \text{Irr}_0(L_1, e) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(N_{L_1}(Q), E_Q)$$

which satisfies

$$(\mathcal{N}_\chi, L_1, \chi) \geq_b (N_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)_{\varphi_0(\chi)}, N_{L_1}(Q), \varphi_0(\chi)).$$

for every character $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(L_1, e)$. We show that $N_{L_1}(Q) = N_{L_1}(\hat{Q})$, where \hat{Q} is the Cabanes subgroup of the defect group D . Assume first that we are in the case that D_0 is central in L_0 . It follows by Corollary 11.5 that D is abelian and thus $\hat{Q} = D$ is the Cabanes subgroup of D . If D_0 is not central in L_0 then Lemma 11.4 shows that $N_{L_1}(\hat{Q}) = N_{L_1}(Q)$.

Recall the subgroup T from Definition 10.3. We apply [36, Proposition 1.12] to obtain an $N_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{Q}, \hat{C}_{\hat{Q}})$ -equivariant bijection $\varphi : \text{Irr}_0(T, \hat{c}) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(N_T(\hat{Q}), \hat{C}_{\hat{Q}})$ such that

$$(\mathcal{N}_\chi, T, \chi) \geq_b (N_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{Q})_{\varphi(\chi)}, N_T(\hat{Q}), \varphi(\chi))$$

holds for every character $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(T, \hat{c})$.

The proof of [36, Lemma 3.11] now shows the existence of a bijection $\tilde{\varphi} : \text{Irr}(\tilde{T} \mid \text{Irr}_0(\hat{c})) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(N_T(\hat{Q}) \mid \text{Irr}_0(\hat{C}_{\hat{Q}}))$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}$ together with the bijection $\varphi : \text{Irr}_0(T, \hat{c}) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(N_T(\hat{Q}), \hat{C}_{\hat{Q}})$ satisfies assumptions (i)–(iii) of Theorem 9.2 and Theorem 10.4 respectively.

We can therefore apply Theorem 9.2 and obtain that the block b is AM-good with respect to the Cabanes subgroup \hat{Q} . \square

Corollary 12.4. *The inductive Alperin–McKay condition holds for all ℓ -blocks of quasi-simple groups of type A , whenever $\ell \geq 5$ is a non defining prime.*

Proof. By Theorem 12.2 it is enough to show that the isolated (that means unipotent) ℓ -blocks of type A are AM-good relative to the Cabanes subgroup of their defect group. Let d denote the order of q modulo ℓ .

Suppose first that $\ell \nmid (q - \varepsilon)$. Consider a unipotent block b of \mathbf{G}^F . We fix a block \tilde{b} of $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F$ covering b . We observe that \tilde{b} has the same defect group as b . There exists a d -cuspidal pair (\mathbf{K}, ζ) of $(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}, F)$ associated to \tilde{b} . By the proof of [11, Theorem 22.9] it follows that $Q := Z(\mathbf{K})_\ell^F$ is the Cabanes subgroup of a defect group D of b and we have $\mathbf{K} = C_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}(Q)$. Since Q is characteristic in \mathbf{K} it follows that $N_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}(\mathbf{K}) = N_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}(Q)$. Note that unipotent blocks satisfy the requirements of [8, Corollary 6.1]. Therefore, [8, Corollary 6.1] shows that the iAM-condition holds for the unipotent block b relative to $N_G(\mathbf{K}) = N_G(Q)$.

Assume now that $\ell \mid (q - \varepsilon)$. It is well known, see [11, Example 22.10] and [11, Remark 22.11] that in this case $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F$ and therefore also \mathbf{G}^F has only one unipotent ℓ -block. This is the principal block of \mathbf{G}^F and therefore has maximal defect group. In particular, this block is AM-good relative to $N_G(\mathbf{S})$, where \mathbf{S} is a Sylow Φ_d -torus of \mathbf{G} , by the main theorem of [12]. It is thus sufficient to show that $N_{\mathbf{G}}(Q) = N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S})$. Since $\ell \mid (q - \varepsilon)$ we know that \mathbf{S} is a maximally split torus of \mathbf{G} . Again by the proof of [11, Theorem 22.9] it follows that $Q = \mathbf{S}_{\ell}^F$ is the Cabanes subgroup of a defect group of b and $C_{\mathbf{G}}(Q) = \mathbf{S} = C_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S})$. This implies $N_{\mathbf{G}}(Q) = N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S})$, which proves the claim. \square

Theorem 12.5. *Let X be one of the symbols B or C and $\ell \geq 5$. Assume that all isolated ℓ -blocks of quasi-simple group of type X and are AM-good relative to the Cabanes subgroup of the defect group; Then all ℓ -blocks of quasi-simple groups of type X are AM-good.*

Proof. Assume first that $n = 2$ and q is even. Then $Z(\mathbf{G})$ is trivial and so the isolated ℓ -blocks of \mathbf{G}^F are precisely the quasi-isolated ℓ -blocks. The statement is then a consequence of the main theorem of [36]. Also we observe that for $\ell \geq 5$ all blocks of simple groups of type X with non-exceptional Schur multiplier are AM-good by Lemma 12.1. We can therefore assume that we are in none of these exceptional cases.

Fix an ℓ -block b of $\Lambda \mathbf{G}^F e_s^{\mathbf{G}^F}$ (not necessarily quasi-isolated), where \mathbf{G} is of type X and $s \in (\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}$ is semisimple of ℓ' -order.

In contrast to the proof of Theorem 12.2 we don't need to argue by induction. We let \mathbf{L}^* be the minimal Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G}^* containing $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^{\circ}(s)$ and let \mathbf{L} be the Levi subgroup dual to \mathbf{L}^* . We let $\mathcal{A} := \langle F_0 \rangle$ as in Theorem 4.2. Let c be the block of $\Lambda \mathbf{N}^F e_s^{\mathbf{L}^F}$ corresponding to b under the Bonnafé–Dat–Rouquier equivalence from Theorem 4.2 and let c_0 be a block of $L_0 := [\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{L}]^F$ below c . We conclude that the block c_0 is then an isolated block of L_0 . As in the proof of Theorem 12.2 we obtain a decomposition $L_0 = H_1 \times \cdots \times H_t$ into groups which are either quasi-simple of type A or X or solvable and a corresponding decomposition $c_0 = c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_t$ of c_0 into block c_i which are isolated in H_i . Our assumption together with the proof of Corollary 12.4 implies that these blocks are AM-good relative to the Cabanes subgroup of their defect group. Following the proof of Theorem 12.2 and using Remark 9.5 instead of Theorem 9.2 we deduce that the block b is AM-good relative to the ℓ -subgroup Q , where Q is defined as in Notation 12.3. \square

13. A VARIANT OF SPÄTH'S REDUCTION THEOREM

In her paper [39] Späth shows that the Alperin–McKay conjecture holds for every finite group if and only if the inductive Alperin–McKay condition holds for every simple group. Our aim here is to modify her proof in order to get a similar statement involving preferably only blocks with abelian defect. Unfortunately in her proof, it is necessary to consider central extensions of groups and a block might have abelian defect group but a block of a central extension dominating it might not. Therefore, we need to consider blocks whose defect group lies in a slightly larger class of groups.

For the following definition recall that if G is a finite group its upper central series is defined recursively as $Z_0(G) := 1$ and $Z_i(G)$ is the unique subgroup of G containing $Z_{i-1}(G)$ such that $Z_i(G)/Z_{i-1}(G) = Z(G/Z_{i-1}(G))$.

Definition 13.1. We say that a subgroup D of a finite group G is *almost abelian in G* if there exists an i such that $DZ_i(G)/Z_i(G)$ is abelian.

Observe that the property of D being almost abelian can depend on the ambient group G . Moreover, if one considers the hypercenter $Z_\infty(G)$ of G (i.e. the union of all $Z_i(G)$ for $i \geq 0$) then D is almost abelian in G if and only if $DZ_\infty(G)/Z_\infty(G)$ is abelian.

Lemma 13.2. *Suppose that D is almost abelian in G .*

- (a) *Let H be a subgroup of G and $E \leq H \cap D$. Then E is almost abelian in H .*
- (b) *For $j = 1, 2$ the groups D_j are almost abelian in G_j if and only if $D_1 \times D_2$ is almost abelian in $G_1 \times G_2$.*
- (c) *A subgroup E of G is almost abelian in G if and only if $EZ(G)/Z(G)$ is almost abelian in $G/Z(G)$.*

Proof. Let us first prove part (a). By assumption, there is an i such that $DZ_i(G)/Z_i(G)$ is abelian. By induction one easily shows that $Z_i(G) \cap H \triangleleft Z_i(H)$. From this we deduce that $\frac{(D \cap H)Z_i(H)}{Z_i(H)}$ is abelian. Therefore, $D \cap H$ is almost abelian in H . Consequently, $E \leq D \cap H$ is almost abelian as well.

For part (b) we observe that $Z_i(G_1 \times G_2) = Z_i(G_1) \times Z_i(G_2)$. Thus,

$$\frac{(D_1 \times D_2)Z_i(G_1 \times G_2)}{Z_i(G_1 \times G_2)} \cong \frac{D_1 Z_i(G_1)}{Z_i(G_1)} \times \frac{D_2 Z_i(G_2)}{Z_i(G_2)}$$

and the claim follows from this.

For part (c) one first shows by induction that $Z_{i-1}(G/Z(G)) = Z_i(G)/Z(G)$ for all i . Hence, $DZ(G)/Z(G)$ is almost abelian in $G/Z(G)$ if and only if there exists an i such that

$$\frac{Z_i(G/Z(G))DZ(G)/Z(G)}{Z_i(G/Z(G))} \cong \frac{Z_{i+1}(G)D}{Z_{i+1}(G)}$$

is abelian. From this it follows that $DZ(G)/Z(G)$ is almost abelian in $G/Z(G)$ if and only if D is almost abelian in G . \square

The aim of this section is to prove the following variant of [39, Theorem C]. We closely follow the proof of [12, Proposition 2.5].

Proposition 13.3. *Let X be a finite group and ℓ a prime. Assume that for every non-abelian simple subquotient S of X with $\ell \mid |S|$ the following holds: Every ℓ -block of the universal covering group H of S with almost abelian defect group satisfies the iAM-condition. Then the Alperin–McKay conjecture holds for any ℓ -block of X with almost abelian defect.*

For a finite group X let $F^*(X)$ be its generalized Fitting subgroup.

Proposition 13.4. *Let X be a finite group and b an ℓ -block of X with almost abelian defect. Suppose that the Alperin–McKay conjecture is true for any ℓ -block with almost abelian defect group of any group H with $|H : Z(H)| < |X : Z(X)|$ and such that H is isomorphic to a subquotient of $X/Z(X)$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) *The Alperin–McKay conjecture holds for b .*
- (ii) *For any non-central normal subgroup K of X we have $X = KN_X(D)F^*(X)$.*

Proof. Let $K \triangleleft X$ be a non-central normal subgroup of X . Replacing K by $KZ(X)$ if necessary we can assume that $Z(X) \leq K$. By assumption the Alperin–McKay conjecture is true for every block with almost abelian defect of any central extension of X/K . An analysis of the proof of [28, Theorem 6] then shows that the proof of said theorem can be adapted and we obtain $|\text{Irr}_0(B)| = |\text{Irr}_0(b)|$ where $B \in \text{Bl}(KN_X(D))$ is the unique block with $B^X = b$. If

$K N_X(D)$ is a proper subgroup of X then our assumption implies that the Alperin–McKay conjecture holds for the block B of $K N_X(D)$. Therefore, $|\text{Irr}_0(B)| = |\text{Irr}_0(B_D)|$, where B_D is the Brauer correspondent of b . This would then imply that the Alperin–McKay conjecture holds for b . Since the generalized Fitting subgroup $F^*(X)$ is such a non-central normal subgroup of X this argument shows in particular that $X = F^*(X) N_X(D)$. \square

Proof of Proposition 13.3: The proof of the statement is by induction on $|X : Z(X)|$. We let b be an ℓ -block of X with almost abelian defect group D . We let B_D be its Brauer correspondent in $N_X(D)$.

According to Proposition 13.4 we may assume that X and b satisfy the statement in Proposition 13.4(ii). Consequently, any normal ℓ -subgroup of X is central. As in [39, Section 6 and 7] we distinguish two cases.

Assume that there exists a normal non-central subgroup $K \triangleleft X$ with $K \leq F^*(X)$. If $K \cap D \leq Z(X)$ then $|\text{Irr}_0(b)| = |\text{Irr}_0(B_D)|$, according to [39, Proposition 6.6].

Assume otherwise that the Fitting subgroup is central in X , and $E(X)$, the group of components of X , is non-central. Inside $E(X)$ we can take a normal subgroup $K \triangleleft X$ such that $K = [K, K]$ and $K/Z(K) \cong S^r$ for a non-abelian simple group S and an integer $r \geq 1$. The proof of [28, Proposition 9(ii)] shows that the block b covers a unique block b_0 of K . Note that $D_0 := D \cap K$ is a defect group of b_0 which is almost abelian by Lemma 13.2(a). Let $\tilde{G} = G^r$ be the universal covering group of $K/Z(K) \cong S^r$, where G is the universal covering group of S . Let $\pi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow S^r$ be the associated quotient map. Let \tilde{b}_0 be a block of \tilde{G} dominating b_0 . Again Lemma 13.2 ensures that \tilde{b}_0 has an almost abelian defect group \tilde{D} . Following the proof of [39, Theorem 7.9] we obtain a bijection $\tilde{\Omega} : \text{Irr}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{b}_0) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{B}_0)$. Here, \tilde{M} is a suitably defined subgroup of \tilde{G} containing $N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{D})$ and $\tilde{B}_0^{\tilde{G}} = \tilde{b}_0$. From this the proof of [39, Theorem 7.9] then yields a bijection $\hat{\Omega} : \text{Irr}(K, b_0) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(M N_K(D_0), B_0)$ having the properties of the bijection in the statement of [39, Theorem 7.9]. Here, $M := \pi(\tilde{M})$ and B_0 is the unique block of $M N_K(D_0)$ with $B_0^K = b_0$. Using the counting argument in the proof of [39, Theorem C] we are then able to deduce that $|\text{Irr}_0(X, b)| = |\text{Irr}_0(M N_X(D_0), B)|$.

Since $|M N_X(D_0)/Z(X)| < |X/Z(X)|$ we can apply the induction hypothesis and we conclude that $|\text{Irr}_0(M N_X(D_0), B)| = |\text{Irr}_0(N_X(D), B_D)|$, where B_D is the Brauer correspondent of b . \square

14. ON THE ALPERIN–MCKAY CONJECTURE FOR 2-BLOCKS WITH ABELIAN DEFECT GROUP

14.1. Classification of 2-blocks with abelian defect group. We start by recalling the following classification of quasi-isolated 2-blocks of finite groups of Lie type, see [16, Lemma 5.2]:

Lemma 14.1. *Assume that p is odd and that \mathbf{G} is simple and simply-connected. Let b be a quasi-isolated 2-block of G with semi-simple label $s \in \mathbf{G}^*$.*

- (a) *Suppose that b has abelian defect groups. Then one of the following holds.*
 - (i) *\mathbf{G} is of type A_n , n is even, and $C_{\mathbf{G}_0^*}(s)$ is a torus.*
 - (ii) *\mathbf{G} is of type G_2 , F_4 , E_6 or E_8 , $s = 1$ and b is of defect 0.*
- (b) *Suppose that b has non-abelian defect groups, but for some central 2-subgroup Z of \mathbf{G}^F , the image \bar{b} in G/Z has abelian defect group. Then Z is cyclic of order 2 and one of the following holds.*
 - (i) *\mathbf{G} is of type A_n , $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and the defect groups of \bar{b} are $C_2 \times C_2$.*

(ii) \mathbf{G} is of type E_7 and the defect groups of \bar{b} are $C_2 \times C_2$.

In the following remark we collect some additional information from the proof of [16, Lemma 5.2].

Remark 14.2.

- (a) If we assume additionally that the blocks in part (b)(i) are isolated (i.e. unipotent) then the proof of [16, Lemma 5.2] shows that $\mathbf{G}^F \cong A_1(q)$ and $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$. Moreover, their defect groups are isomorphic to the quaternion group Q_8 .
- (b) The blocks in (b)(ii) occur only if $4 \parallel (q - 1)$. These blocks are unipotent and their defect groups are isomorphic to the dihedral group D_8 . They correspond to lines 3 and 7 of the table on page 354 of [17].

Our aim is now to show the iAM-condition for all isolated blocks of positive defect occurring in the classification of Lemma 14.1.

14.2. On a certain 2-block of $E_7(q)$. In this subsection we consider the block b of $E_7(q)$ occurring in part (b)(ii) of the classification of Lemma 14.1. The author is very grateful to Gunter Malle for pointing out the proof of the following proposition to him.

Proposition 14.3. *Let b be one of the blocks of $G = E_7(q)$ occurring in Lemma 14.1(b)(ii). Then we have $|\text{Irr}_0(b)| = 4$ and $|\text{Irr}(b)| = 5$. Furthermore, the height zero characters of b have $Z(G)$ in their kernel and are as follows:*

- (i) *Two unipotent characters χ_1, χ_2 .*
- (ii) *Two non-unipotent characters χ_3, χ_4 which are conjugate under the diagonal automorphism of G .*

Proof. Let q be a prime power such that $4 \parallel (q - 1)$. Set $G = E_7(q)_{sc}$ and $S = G/Z(G)$, the simple group of type $E_7(q)$. We consider the unipotent 2-block b of G parametrized by the 1-cuspidal pair $(E_6, E_6[\theta])$ (and its Galois conjugate block $(E_6, E_6[\theta^2])$ for which all arguments apply similarly).

Firstly, we note that according to the description in [17, 3.2] the defect group of b is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_8 . By [37, Theorem 8.1] we can therefore deduce that $|\text{Irr}_0(b)| = 4$ and $|\text{Irr}(b)| = 5$. Moreover, the unique block \bar{b} of S dominated by b has defect group $C_2 \times C_2$. Hence, by [37, Theorem 8.1] we know that $4 = |\text{Irr}(\bar{b})| = |\text{Irr}_0(\bar{b})|$ and thus the unique character in $\text{Irr}(b)$ with positive height is non-trivial on $Z(G)$ and it is unique with this property among the irreducible characters of b . We will now describe the character of $\text{Irr}(b)$ in more detail.

According to [17, Theorem B], the ordinary characters in b are described as follows: let $t \in G^* = E_7(q)_{ad}$ be a (semisimple) 2-element such that $C_{G^*}^\circ(t)$ has a Levi subgroup of type E_6 . Then b contains those elements of $\mathcal{E}(G, t)$ which under Jordan decomposition correspond to characters in the 1-Harish-Chandra series of $C_{G^*}^\circ(t)$ above $(E_6, E_6[\theta])$, and moreover, these are the only characters in b .

In our particular case, the only centralizer in G^* that can possibly contain a Levi subgroup of type E_6 is, apart from G^* itself, also of type E_6 . Now the centralizer of $E_6(q)$ in $E_7(q)$ is $K := E_6(q)(q - 1)$, and so its center has order $(q - 1)$. Given that $4 \parallel (q - 1)$, there are hence only four 2-elements t in $Z(K)$, the identity, an involution and two elements of order 4. Clearly the centralizer of the identity is all of G^* . Now $N(K) = K.2$ (by a calculation in the Weyl group), so for the involution $s \in Z(K)$ we have $C_{G^*}(s) = K.2$ (that is, the centralizer of

s in \mathbf{G}^* is disconnected). Thus there are two characters, say χ_3 and χ_4 , of b in that geometric Lusztig series $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(G, s)$ fused by the diagonal automorphism of G . On the other hand, $N(K)$ acts non-trivially on $Z(K)$ (again by a calculation in the Weyl group) so the two elements t of order 4 in $Z(K)$ are G^* -conjugate and moreover $C_{G^*}(t) = K$. So here $\mathcal{E}(G, t)$ contains one character χ_5 in b , which is left invariant under the diagonal automorphism.

From these calculations it necessarily follows from $|\text{Irr}(b)| = 5$ that $\mathcal{E}(G, 1)$ contains two characters χ_1 and χ_2 of b (in fact these are the irreducible characters lying in the Harish-Chandra series of $E_6(\theta)$). These characters have $Z(G)$ in their kernel. The characters χ_3 and χ_4 are \tilde{G} -conjugate and thus have the same underlying character of the center. From this we deduce that χ_5 has to be the unique character of b which is nontrivial on $Z(G)$. From this our arguments above show that $\text{Irr}_0(G, b) = \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4\}$.

An entirely similar argument applies when $4 \mid (q+1)$; here all groups $E_6(q)$ have to be replaced by ${}^2E_6(q)$ and all $(q-1)$ by $(q+1)$. \square

To prove the iAM-condition for the block b we also need to compute the action of group automorphisms on the height zero characters of its Brauer correspondent. The information given in [17] does not seem sufficient for this. Instead we will try to obtain all the necessary local information from the invariants of the block b .

The following proposition is a consequence of [37, Proposition 10.26].

Proposition 14.4. *Let H be a group isomorphic $C_2 \times C_2$ or D_8 and for $n \geq 1$ consider a group extension*

$$1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow D \rightarrow C_{2^n} \rightarrow 1$$

Then the invariants for every block of a finite group with defect group D are known.

Proof. We must show that the exceptions listed in [37, Proposition 10.26] do not occur if H is as in the statement of our proposition. The first assumption on the coupling ω in [37, Proposition 10.26] can be easily verified by examining the automorphism group structure of $C_2 \times C_2$ and D_8 respectively. We are therefore left to show that D is not isomorphic to $C_{2^m} \wr C_2$ for all $m \geq 3$. For $H \cong C_2 \times C_2$ this follows from the proof of [37, Proposition 10.26].

Assume therefore that $H \cong D_8$ and $H \triangleleft (C_{2^m} \times C_{2^m}) \rtimes C_2$. There exists an element $a \in H$ which is not contained in the base group $C_{2^m} \times C_{2^m}$. This element must act on the base group by interchanging both components. Let $g \in C_{2^m}$ be a generator. Then it follows that $a^{(g,1)} = (g, g^{-1})a$ and so $(g, g^{-1}) \in D_8$. This implies that $m \leq 2$. \square

Lemma 14.5. *Let b be a block of a finite group G with defect group D . Suppose that B is a block of a subgroup M of G containing $N_G(D)$ with $B^G = b$. Let $A \subseteq \text{Aut}(G)$ be a finite cyclic subgroup stabilizing M . Assume that the Alperin–McKay conjecture holds for every block of $G \rtimes A$ and $M \rtimes A$ covering b and B respectively.*

- (a) *If A is a simple cyclic group or an ℓ -group then the number of A -invariant characters in $\text{Irr}_0(b)$ is equal to the number of A -invariant characters of $\text{Irr}_0(B)$.*
- (b) *We have $\text{Irr}_0(b) = \text{Irr}_0(b)^A$ if and only if $\text{Irr}_0(B) = \text{Irr}_0(B)^A$.*

Proof. This follows from the proof of [39, Lemma 8.1]. \square

Proposition 14.6. *Let b be one of the blocks of $G = E_7(q)$ occurring in Lemma 14.1(b)(ii). Then b is AM-good relative to its defect group.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{G}_{ad} be the adjoint quotient of \mathbf{G} . There exist a Frobenius endomorphism F on \mathbf{G}_{ad} which commutes with the quotient map $\pi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\text{ad}}$. Then π induces an injective map

$\pi : S \rightarrow G_{\text{ad}}$, where $G_{\text{ad}} := \mathbf{G}_{\text{ad}}^F$ and G_{ad} induces all diagonal automorphisms on S . More precisely, we have $\tilde{G}/Z(\tilde{G}) \cong G_{\text{ad}}$.

Let D be a defect group of b and B be its Brauer correspondent in $N_G(D)$. We first construct an $\text{Aut}(G)_{b,D}$ -equivariant bijection $\text{Irr}_0(G, b) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(N_G(D), B)$. Let $\delta : G \rightarrow G$ be a diagonal automorphism induced by the action of an element of G_{ad} and let $F_0 : G \rightarrow G$ be a generator of the group of field automorphisms of G which together generate $\text{Out}(G)$. We first observe that the characters χ_1, χ_2 are $\langle \delta, F_0 \rangle$ -stable by [24, Theorem 2.5]. Moreover, Proposition 14.3 together with [36, Theorem 2.11] implies that $\langle \delta, F_0 \rangle_{\chi_i} = \langle F_0 \rangle$ for $i = 3, 4$. In particular, the block b is $\langle \delta, F_0 \rangle$ -stable and thus we can assume (by possibly replacing these automorphisms by a G -conjugate) that D is $\langle \delta, F_0 \rangle$ -stable.

We denote by c either the block b or B . Moreover, we let \bar{c} be the unique block of $S := G/Z(G)$ respectively $N_G(D)/Z(G)$ which is dominated by c . As in the proof of Proposition 14.3 we find that $|\text{Irr}_0(c)| = 4$ and $|\text{Irr}(\bar{c})| = |\text{Irr}_0(\bar{c})| = 4$. Therefore, the quotient map induces a bijection $\text{Irr}_0(c) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\bar{c})$. Note that the defect group $\bar{D} := D/Z(G)$ of the block c has a defect group isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2$. According to Proposition 14.4 we can therefore apply Lemma 14.5 to the automorphisms δ and F_0 . Using Proposition 14.3 we find that every character of $\text{Irr}_0(B)$ is F_0 -stable. Moreover there exist two characters $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \text{Irr}_0(B)$ which are δ -stable and the other two characters $\psi_3, \psi_4 \in \text{Irr}_0(B)$ are δ -conjugate. We therefore obtain that the bijection $\text{Irr}_0(b) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(B), \chi_i \mapsto \psi_i$, is $\langle \delta, F_0 \rangle$ -equivariant.

We now show that the characters of $\text{Irr}_0(\bar{b})$ and $\text{Irr}_0(\bar{B})$ extend to their inertia groups in $G_{\text{ad}}\langle F_0 \rangle$ and $N_{G_{\text{ad}}\langle F_0 \rangle}(\bar{D})$ respectively. Since χ_1, χ_2 are unipotent characters it follows that they extend to $G_{\text{ad}}\langle F_0 \rangle$, see for example [24, Theorem 2.4]. For $i = 3, 4$ the stabilizer quotient $(G_{\text{ad}}\langle F_0 \rangle)_{\chi_i}/S$ of χ_i is cyclic and so χ_i extends to its inertia group in $G_{\text{ad}}\langle F_0 \rangle$. Similarly, for $i = 3, 4$ the local character ψ_i extends to its inertia group in $N_{G_{\text{ad}}\langle F_0 \rangle}(\bar{D})$ as well. It is therefore left to show that ψ_1 and ψ_2 extend to $G_{\text{ad}}\langle F_0 \rangle$ as well. We let b_2 be a block of $G_{\text{ad}}\langle F_0 \rangle$ covering \bar{b} . Let D_2 be a defect group of b_2 such that $D_2 \cap S = \bar{D}$. We observe that $D_1 := D_2 \cap G_{\text{ad}}$ is a defect group of the unique block b_1 of G_{ad} covering \bar{b} . Since Brauer's height zero conjecture holds for blocks with defect group of order 8 (see e.g. [37, Theorem 13.1] and [37, Theorem 8.1]) it follows that D_1 is nonabelian. Since $|\text{Irr}(b_1)| = 5$ we must have $D_1 \cong D_8$, see for instance [37, Theorem 8.1]. From this it follows that D_2 is a cyclic extension of D_8 . Let B_1 be the Harris–Knörr correspondent of b_1 in $N_{G_{\text{ad}}}(\bar{D})$. Again, Proposition 14.4 ensures that Lemma 14.5 is applicable to the automorphism F_0 . Since every character of $\text{Irr}_0(b_1)$ is F_0 -stable the same is true for every character of $\text{Irr}_0(B_1)$. Since $\text{Irr}_0(B_1)$ consists of the four extensions of ψ_1 and ψ_2 to G_{ad} it follows that ψ_1 and ψ_2 must necessarily extend to $G_{\text{ad}}\langle F_0 \rangle$.

It is now easy to show that the above information is enough to verify the inductive conditions. For this we observe that we can choose extensions $\hat{\chi}_i \in \text{Irr}((G_{\text{ad}}\langle F_0 \rangle)_{\chi_i})$ of χ_i and extensions $\hat{\psi}_i \in \text{Irr}((N_{G_{\text{ad}}\langle F_0 \rangle}(\bar{D}))_{\psi_i})$ of ψ_i lying in Harris–Knörr corresponding blocks. It follows from [40, Lemma 2.15] and [40, Proposition 4.4] that the inductive Alperin–McKay condition (in the version of [40, Definition 4.2]) is satisfied for the block b of G . \square

14.3. The inductive Alperin–McKay condition for $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Proposition 14.7. *The iAM-condition holds for the principal 2-block of $\mathbf{G}^F = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ relative to its defect group.*

Proof. By [6] we can assume that \mathbf{G}^F has non-exceptional Schur multiplier. We follow the proof (and notation) of Proposition 14.6. Using [11, Theorem 21.14] it's easy to see that

the principal block b is the unique 2-block of maximal defect. Hence, $\text{Irr}_0(b) = \text{Irr}_{p'}(G)$ and $\text{Irr}_0(B) = \text{Irr}_{p'}(\text{N}_G(D))$, where B is the Brauer correspondent of b .

We place ourselves in the situation of [18, Section 15], where it was shown that $S = G/Z(G)$ is McKay-good. More precisely, it was shown that there exists an intermediate subgroup $\text{N}_G(D) \subset H$ and an $\text{Aut}(G)_H$ -equivariant bijection $\text{Irr}_{p'}(G) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_{p'}(H)$. Modifying their bijection we obtain an $\text{Aut}(G)_D$ -equivariant bijection $\text{Irr}_0(G, b) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_0(\text{N}_G(D), B)$. This bijection preserves central characters since every 2'-character of G respectively $\text{N}_G(D)$ has the 2-group $Z(G)$ in its kernel. One then checks that all characters of $\text{Irr}_0(S, \bar{b})$ extend to their inertia group in $G_{\text{ad}}\langle F_0 \rangle$ (the stabilizer is either cyclic or the characters are unipotent). Similarly, one also checks that the characters of $\text{Irr}_0(\text{N}_S(\overline{D}), \overline{B})$ extend to their inertia group: If D is self-normalizing then every character in $\text{Irr}_0(\text{N}_S(\overline{D}), \overline{B})$ is linear and one can check the claim by explicit computations. Otherwise, both characters of $\text{Irr}_0(\text{N}_G(D), B)$ with non-cyclic inertia group in $\text{Out}(G)$ are unipotent characters of $\text{N}_G(D) \cong \text{SL}_2(3)$ and their extension to the inertia group follows again from explicit computations. Now using similar arguments as in Proposition 14.6 shows that the block b is AM-good. \square

14.4. Groups with exceptional Schur multiplier. After having checked the iAM-condition for all quasi-isolated 2-blocks of groups of Lie type with almost abelian defect group in non-defining characteristic we are left to show the iAM-condition for the remaining simple groups and blocks under consideration.

Proposition 14.8. *Suppose that S is a simple group not of Lie type in odd characteristic with exceptional Schur multiplier. Then the inductive Alperin–McKay condition holds for all 2-blocks with almost abelian defect group of the universal covering group X of S .*

Proof. Observe first that the iAM-condition holds for all simple sporadic groups by [6]. Assume that S is a simple group of Lie type in characteristic different from 2 with exceptional Schur multiplier and let X be its universal covering group. Then S is one of the following groups: $A_1(9)$, ${}^2A_3(3)$, $B_3(3)$ or $G_2(3)$. According to [6] both $A_1(9) \cong A_6$ and $G_2(3)$ are AM-good. Observe that the blocks of the universal covering groups of ${}^2A_3(3)$ and $B_3(3)$ with maximal defect have a defect group which is not almost abelian. Recall that every defect group of X contains $Z(X)_\ell$. An inspection of the blocks of the universal covering group of ${}^2A_3(3)$ using [7] now shows that all 2-blocks with almost abelian defect groups have central defect. Therefore, there is nothing to check in this case. For the universal covering group of $B_3(3)$ the same arguments easily rule out all blocks except the blocks denoted by 2, 3, 8 and 9 in [7].

We claim that the blocks 2, 8 and 9 of X have a defect group which is not almost abelian. For this let b be any of these blocks. Consider a central extension $X \rightarrow X'$ whose kernel is of order 2. According to [31, Theorem 9.10] we obtain a bijection $b \rightarrow \bar{b}$ between blocks of X and X' . The block of X' corresponding to b under this bijection has according to [31, Theorem 9.10] a defect group of order 8 and still two Brauer characters. The groups C_8 and $C_2 \times C_4$ admit no automorphisms of odd order. By the remarks following [37, Theorem 1.30] we therefore deduce that these groups arise as defect groups of nilpotent blocks only. Moreover, any block with defect group $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ can't have exactly two Brauer characters, see [37, Theorem 13.1]. Since the block \bar{b} is not nilpotent (it has 2 Brauer characters) its defect group is therefore not abelian. Since X' is a 3-cover of S , we deduce that the block b cannot have an almost abelian defect group.

It therefore remains to consider the block labeled 3 in [7]. This block can however be considered as a block of the 2-cover of S , i.e. as a block of \mathbf{G}^F , and can therefore be treated as a block with non-exceptional covering group. Using the reduction theorem in [36] we can thus conclude that this block is AM-good.

For alternating groups the inductive AM condition is known to hold by the main result of [13] and [39, Corollary 8.3]. By [25] the iAM-condition holds for Suzuki and Ree groups.

Let us finally assume that S is a simple group of Lie type defined over a field of characteristic 2. Let \mathbf{G}^F be such that $\mathbf{G}^F/Z(\mathbf{G}^F) \cong S$. As before, let X be the universal covering group of S . Then there exists a surjective homomorphism $X \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^F$ whose kernel is the Sylow 2-group Z of $Z(\hat{G})$. According to [31, Theorem 9.10] we obtain a bijection $B \rightarrow \bar{B}$ between blocks of \hat{G} and \mathbf{G}^F which maps blocks with almost abelian defect to each other.

It is known (see [11, Theorem 6.18]) that the only 2-blocks of \mathbf{G}^F are blocks of maximal defect and blocks of height zero. We must therefore consider the cases where a Sylow 2-subgroup of S is abelian. This is however precisely the case when $S \cong A_1(\pm 2^f)$. Again by the work of Breuer [6] we know that S is AM-good whenever S has an exceptional Schur multiplier. Using the properties of the bijection constructed in [38] in conjunction with [12, Theorem 4.1] shows that the principal block of S is AM-good. \square

Theorem 14.9. *Suppose that S is a simple group of Lie type in characteristic unequal to 2 with non-exceptional Schur multiplier. Then the inductive Alperin–McKay condition holds for all 2-blocks with almost abelian defect group of the universal covering group X of S .*

Proof. Let \mathbf{G} be a simple, simply connected algebraic group and $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ a Frobenius endomorphism such that $S = \mathbf{G}^F/Z(\mathbf{G}^F)$. We fix a 2-block b of \mathbf{G}^F with almost abelian defect group. We want to show that b is AM-good relative to its defect group.

Using the proof of [36, Theorem 3.12] we see that the statement of [36, Theorem 3.12] can be adapted to our situation as follows: If [36, Hypothesis 3.3] holds for all blocks with almost abelian defect groups then the block b is AM-good. In other words, we can assume that the 2-block b of \mathbf{G}^F is strictly quasi-isolated. According to Lemma 14.1 all these blocks are unipotent unless \mathbf{G} is of type A . Suppose therefore now that \mathbf{G} is of type A and $s \neq 1$. The assumptions of Lemma 11.2 applies. We can therefore use the proof of Theorem 12.2 shows that we can also assume in this case that the block is unipotent. It therefore suffices to check that the iAM-condition holds for the unipotent blocks occurring in Lemma 14.1. Using Remark 14.2 we see that this was checked in Proposition 14.6 and Proposition 14.7. \square

Theorem 14.10. *The Alperin–McKay conjecture holds for all 2-blocks of almost abelian defect.*

Proof. According to Proposition 13.3 to show the theorem it is sufficient to prove the iAM-condition for all ℓ -blocks with almost abelian defect of the universal covering group of a simple finite group. The iAM-condition in these cases has been verified in Theorem 14.9 and Proposition 14.8. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] David J. Benson. *Representations and cohomology. I*, volume 30 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1998.
- [2] Cédric Bonnafé. Quasi-isolated elements in reductive groups. *Comm. Algebra*, 33(7):2315–2337, 2005.
- [3] Cédric Bonnafé. Sur les caractères des groupes réductifs finis à centre non connexe: applications aux groupes spéciaux linéaires et unitaires. *Astérisque*, (306), 2006.

- [4] Cédric Bonnafé, Jean-François Dat, and Raphaël Rouquier. Derived categories and Deligne–Lusztig varieties II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 185(2):609–670, 2017.
- [5] Cédric Bonnafé and Raphaël Rouquier. Coxeter orbits and modular representations. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 183:1–34, 2006.
- [6] Thomas Breuer. Computations for some simple groups. <http://www.math.rwth-aachen.de/Thomas.Breuer/ctblocks/doc/overview.html>.
- [7] Thomas Breuer. Decomposition matrices. <http://www.math.rwth-aachen.de/~moc/decomposition/>.
- [8] Julian Brough and Britta Späth. On the Alperin-McKay conjecture for simple groups of type A. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1901.04591, Jan 2019.
- [9] Marc Cabanes and Michel Enguehard. Unipotent blocks of finite reductive groups of a given type. *Math. Z.*, 213(3):479–490, 1993.
- [10] Marc Cabanes and Michel Enguehard. On blocks of finite reductive groups and twisted induction. *Adv. Math.*, 145(2):189–229, 1999.
- [11] Marc Cabanes and Michel Enguehard. *Representation theory of finite reductive groups*, volume 1 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [12] Marc Cabanes and Britta Späth. On the inductive Alperin-McKay condition for simple groups of type A. *J. Algebra*, 442:104–123, 2015.
- [13] David Denoncin. Inductive AM condition for the alternating groups in characteristic 2. *J. Algebra*, 404:1–17, 2014.
- [14] François Digne and Jean Michel. *Representations of finite groups of Lie type*, volume 21 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [15] François Digne and Jean Michel. Groupes réductifs non connexes. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 27(3):345–406, 1994.
- [16] Charles W. Eaton, Radha Kessar, Burkhard Külshammer, and Benjamin Sambale. 2-blocks with abelian defect groups. *Adv. Math.*, 254:706–735, 2014.
- [17] Michel Enguehard. Sur les l -blocs unipotents des groupes réductifs finis quand l est mauvais. *J. Algebra*, 230(2):334–377, 2000.
- [18] I. Martin Isaacs, Gunter Malle, and Gabriel Navarro. A reduction theorem for the McKay conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 170(1):33–101, 2007.
- [19] Radha Kessar and Gunter Malle. Quasi-isolated blocks and Brauer’s height zero conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 178(1):321–384, 2013.
- [20] Radha Kessar and Gunter Malle. Lusztig induction and ℓ -blocks of finite reductive groups. *Pacific J. Math.*, 279(1-2):269–298, 2015.
- [21] Reinhard Knörr and Geoffrey R. Robinson. Some remarks on a conjecture of Alperin. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 39(1):48–60, 1989.
- [22] A. S. Kondrat’ev. Normalizers of Sylow 2-subgroups in finite simple groups. *Mat. Zametki*, 78(3):368–376, 2005.
- [23] Shigeo Koshitani and Britta Späth. The inductive Alperin-McKay and blockwise Alperin weight conditions for blocks with cyclic defect groups and odd primes. *J. Group Theory*, 19(5):777–813, 2016.
- [24] Gunter Malle. Extensions of unipotent characters and the inductive McKay condition. *J. Algebra*, 320(7):2963–2980, 2008.
- [25] Gunter Malle. On the inductive Alperin-McKay and Alperin weight conjecture for groups with abelian Sylow subgroups. *J. Algebra*, 397:190–208, 2014.
- [26] Gunter Malle and Donna Testerman. *Linear algebraic groups and finite groups of Lie type*, volume 133 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [27] Andrei Marcus. On equivalences between blocks of group algebras: reduction to the simple components. *J. Algebra*, 184(2):372–396, 1996.
- [28] Masafumi Murai. A remark on the Alperin-McKay conjecture. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 44(2):245–254, 2004.
- [29] Masafumi Murai. On blocks of normal subgroups of finite groups. *Osaka J. Math.*, 50(4):1007–1020, 2013.
- [30] Gabriel Navarro. *Characters and blocks of finite groups*, volume 250 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [31] Gabriel Navarro, Pham Huu Tiep, and Alexandre Turull. Brauer characters with cyclotomic field of values. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 212(3):628–635, 2008.
- [32] Jeremy Rickard. Splendid equivalences: derived categories and permutation modules. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 72(2):331–358, 1996.

- [33] Raphaël Rouquier. The derived category of blocks with cyclic defect groups. In *Derived equivalences for group rings*, volume 1685 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 199–220. Springer, Berlin, 1998.
- [34] Lucas Ruhstorfer. On the Bonnafé–Dat–Rouquier Morita equivalence. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1812.07354, Dec 2018.
- [35] Lucas Ruhstorfer. Derived equivalences and equivariant Jordan decomposition. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2010.04468, October 2020.
- [36] Lucas Ruhstorfer. Jordan Decomposition for the Alperin-McKay Conjecture. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2010.04499, October 2020.
- [37] Benjamin Sambale. *Blocks of finite groups and their invariants*, volume 2127 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [38] Britta Späth. Inductive McKay condition in defining characteristic. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 44(3):426–438, 2012.
- [39] Britta Späth. A reduction theorem for the Alperin-McKay conjecture. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 680:153–189, 2013.
- [40] Britta Späth. Reduction theorems for some global-local conjectures. In *Local representation theory and simple groups*, EMS Ser. Lect. Math., pages 23–61. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2018.
- [41] Jay Taylor. The structure of root data and smooth regular embeddings of reductive groups. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)*, 62(2):523–552, 2019.
- [42] Jacques Thévenaz. Extensions of group representations from a normal subgroup. *Comm. Algebra*, 11(4):391–425, 1983.

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, TU KAISERSLAUTERN, 67653 KAISERSLAUTERN, GERMANY
Email address: ruhstorfer@mathematik.uni-kl.de