

# BOUNDING THE LOG-DERIVATIVE OF THE ZETA-FUNCTION

ANDRÉS CHIRRE AND FELIPE GONÇALVES

ABSTRACT. Assuming the Riemann hypothesis we establish explicit bounds for the modulus of the log-derivative of Riemann's zeta-function in the critical strip.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\zeta(s)$  be the Riemann zeta-function. In this paper we are interested in its log-derivative

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} \quad (\operatorname{Re} s > 1)$$

and its growth behaviour in the strip  $1/2 < \operatorname{Re} s < 1$  (above  $\Lambda(n)$  is the von Mangoldt function). Let  $\rho$  denote the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  in the critical strip. The Riemann hypothesis (RH) states that the zeros are aligned:  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$  with  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assuming RH, a classical estimate for the log-derivative of  $\zeta(s)$  (see [12, Theorem 14.5]) establishes that

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) = O((\log t)^{2-2\sigma}),$$

uniformly in  $\frac{1}{2} + \delta \leq \sigma \leq 1 - \delta$ , for any fixed  $\delta > 0$ . The purpose of this paper is to establish this bound in explicit form.

**Theorem 1.** *Assume RH. Then*

$$\left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) \right| \leq \frac{B_\sigma}{\sigma(1-\sigma)} (\log t)^{2-2\sigma} + O\left( \frac{(\log t)^{2-2\sigma}}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})(1-\sigma)^2 \log \log t} \right),$$

uniformly in the range

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda_0 + c}{\log \log t} \leq \sigma \leq 1 - \frac{c}{\sqrt{\log \log t}} \quad \text{and} \quad t \geq 3, \quad (1.1)$$

for any fixed small  $c > 0$ , where  $\lambda_0 = 0.771\dots$  is such that  $2\lambda_0 \tanh(\lambda_0) = 1$  and

$$B_\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{(3\sigma^4 - 17\sigma^3 + 19\sigma^2 + 4\sigma - 4)(-\sigma^2 + 3\sigma - 1)}{\sigma(2-\sigma)}}.$$

In particular

$$\left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) \right| \leq \left( \frac{B_\sigma}{\sigma(1-\sigma)} + o(1) \right) (\log t)^{2-2\sigma}, \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{1}{2} + \delta \leq \sigma \leq 1 - \delta.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11M06, 11M26, 41A30.

*Key words and phrases.* zeta-function, Riemann hypothesis, critical strip, Beurling-Selberg extremal problem, bandlimited functions, exponential type.

We believe that  $\lambda_0$  is simply a by-product of our proof, although it is curious that such a number appears. It turns out that when  $(\sigma - 1/2) \log \log t$  is too small, our main technique delivers a bound of the form  $A_\sigma(\log t)/\log \log t$ , however the calculations are lengthy and convoluted, and this is not the purpose of this note. Moreover, a conjecture of Ki [10], related to the distribution of the zeros of  $\zeta'(s)$ , states that the bound  $O((\log t)^{2-2\sigma})$  still holds in the range  $\sigma \geq 1/2 + c/\log t$ , but this lies outside of what this technique can accomplish. Theorem 1 is derived by combining Theorem 2 and estimates for the real part of the log-derivative of  $\zeta(s)$  obtained in [4, Theorem 2]:

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) \right| \leq \left( \frac{-\sigma^2 + 3\sigma - 1}{\sigma(1 - \sigma)} \right) (\log t)^{2-2\sigma} + O\left( \frac{(\log t)^{2-2\sigma}}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})(1 - \sigma)^2 \log \log t} \right),$$

uniformly in the range (1.1) (in fact  $\lambda_0 + c$  can be replaced by just  $c$ ).

**Theorem 2.** *Assume RH. Then*

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) \right| \leq \frac{C_\sigma}{\sigma(1 - \sigma)} (\log t)^{2-2\sigma} + O\left( \frac{(\log t)^{2-2\sigma}}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})(1 - \sigma)^2 \log \log t} \right),$$

uniformly in the range (1.1), where

$$C_\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{2(-\sigma^2 + 5\sigma - 2)(-\sigma^2 + 3\sigma - 1)(-\sigma^2 + \sigma + 1)}{\sigma(2 - \sigma)}}.$$

Theorem 2 is obtained using a known interpolation technique [4, Section 6]. Essentially, to bound the asymptotic growth of  $\operatorname{Im} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s)$  one can bound instead its primitive  $\log |\zeta(s)|$  (see [1, Theorems 1 and 2]) and its derivative (Theorem 3).

**Theorem 3.** *Assume RH. Then*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)'(\sigma + it) \leq \left( \frac{-2\sigma^2 + 2\sigma + 2}{\sigma(1 - \sigma)} \right) \log \log t (\log t)^{2-2\sigma} + O\left( \frac{(\log t)^{2-2\sigma}}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})(1 - \sigma)^2} \right),$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)'(\sigma + it) \geq -\left( \frac{-2\sigma^2 + 6\sigma - 2}{\sigma(1 - \sigma)} \right) \log \log t (\log t)^{2-2\sigma} + O\left( \frac{(\log t)^{2-2\sigma}}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})(1 - \sigma)^2} \right),$$

uniformly in the range

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda_0}{\log \log t} \leq \sigma \leq 1 - \frac{c}{\sqrt{\log \log t}} \quad \text{and} \quad t \geq 3,$$

for any fixed  $c > 0$ .

The main technique to prove these theorems revolves in bounding a certain sum over the ordinates of zeta-zeros

$$\sum_{\gamma} f(\gamma - t),$$

where  $f$  is some explicit real function that varies according to the problem of study. The key idea is to replace  $f$  by explicit bandlimited majorants and minorants that are in turn admissible for the Guinand-Weil explicit formula (Proposition 5). From there estimating the sum is usually easier. This bandlimited approximation idea originates in the works of Beurling and Selberg (see [14, Introduction]), and was first employed in this form by Goldston and Gonek [8], and Chandee and Soundararajan

[6], but many others after them (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 7] to name a few). In our specific case,  $f = f_a$  as in (2.1), which has *zero mass* and therefore is not in the scope of the machinery developed in [5], nor its close relatives (the constructions in [5] are regarded as the most general thus far and have been used widely). Nevertheless, we are able to overcome this difficulty with a very simple optimal construction which, in the majorant case, requires some basic results in the theory of de Branges spaces.

We recall that, without assuming RH, explicit bounds for  $\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s)$  are given by Trudgian [13] in a zero-free region for  $\zeta(s)$ .

## 2. LEMMATA

For a given  $a > 0$  we let

$$f_a(x) = \frac{x^2 - a^2}{(x^2 + a^2)^2}. \quad (2.1)$$

**Lemma 4** (Representation lemma). *Assume RH. We have*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)'(\sigma + it) = \sum_{\gamma} f_{\sigma-1/2}(\gamma - t) + O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right),$$

for  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma \leq 1$  and  $t \geq 3$ , where the above sum runs over the ordinates of the non-trivial zeros  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$  of  $\zeta(s)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s = \sigma + it$  and  $t \geq 3$ . From the partial fraction decomposition for  $\zeta'(s)/\zeta(s)$  (cf. [12, Eq. 2.12.7]), we have

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = \sum_{\rho} \left( \frac{1}{s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left( \frac{s}{2} + 1 \right) + B + \frac{1}{2} \log \pi - \frac{1}{s-1},$$

with  $B = -\sum_{\rho} \operatorname{Re}(1/\rho)$ . Differentiating and taking its real part we get

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)'(\sigma + it) = \sum_{\gamma} f_{\sigma-1/2}(\gamma - t) - \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \right)' \left( \frac{\sigma}{2} + 1 + \frac{it}{2} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right).$$

Using Stirling's formula, that guarantees the  $\Gamma$  term is  $O(1/t^2)$ , we conclude.  $\square$

As always, the crucial tool to work with sums as in Lemma 4 is the Guinand-Weil explicit formula (see [4, Lemma 8]), which for even functions reads as follows.

**Proposition 5** (Guinand-Weil explicit formula). *Let  $h(s)$  be analytic in the strip  $|\operatorname{Im} s| \leq \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ , for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , such that  $|h(s)| \ll (1 + |s|)^{-(1+\delta)}$ , for some  $\delta > 0$ . Assume further that  $h$  is even. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\rho} h \left( \frac{\rho - \frac{1}{2}}{i} \right) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(u) \operatorname{Re} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left( \frac{1+2iu}{4} \right) du + 2h \left( \frac{i}{2} \right) - \frac{\log \pi}{2\pi} \hat{h}(0) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{h} \left( \frac{\log n}{2\pi} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  are the non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  and

$$\hat{h}(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) e^{-2\pi ixy} dx$$

is the Fourier transform<sup>1</sup> of  $h$ .

### 2.1. Bandlimited approximations.

**Lemma 6** (Minorant). *For  $a, \Delta > 0$  let*

$$L_{a,\Delta}(z) = \frac{z^2 - a^2 - (Az^2 + Ba^2) \sin^2(\pi\Delta z)}{(z^2 + a^2)^2}$$

where

$$A = \frac{2\lambda \coth(\lambda) - 1}{\sinh^2(\lambda)}, \quad B = \frac{2\lambda \coth(\lambda) + 1}{\sinh^2(\lambda)},$$

and  $\lambda = \pi a\Delta$ . Then:

(1) *The inequality*

$$L_{a,\Delta}(x) \leq f_a(x)$$

*holds for all real  $x$ ,  $L_{a,\Delta} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and its Fourier transform is supported in  $[-\Delta, \Delta]$  (i.e.  $L_{a,\Delta}$  is of exponential type at most  $2\pi\Delta$ );*

(2) *We have*

$$\widehat{L}_{a,\Delta}(0) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_a(n/\Delta) = -\frac{\pi^2 \Delta}{\sinh^2(\pi a \Delta)}, \quad (2.2)$$

*and any other function  $F \neq L_{a,\Delta}$  having the same properties as  $L_{a,\Delta}$  in item (1) has integral strictly less than the integral of  $L_{a,\Delta}$ .*

*Proof.* Note first that the constants  $A, B$  were chosen so the numerator of  $L_{a,\Delta}$  vanishes doubly at  $z = \pm ia$ . We then see that  $L_{a,\Delta}$  is entire, of exponential type at most  $2\pi\Delta$  and belongs to  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Therefore, the Paley-Wiener Theorem guarantees its Fourier transform is supported in  $[-\Delta, \Delta]$ . Since  $B > A > 0$  we have  $L_{a,\Delta}(x) \leq f_a(x)$  for all real  $x$ . This proves item (1). We now prove item (2). Suppose  $F$  is an  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ -function,  $F(x) \leq f_a(x)$  for all real  $x$  and  $\widehat{F}$  is supported in  $[-\Delta, \Delta]$ . Poisson summation implies

$$\widehat{F}(0) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} F(n/\Delta) \leq \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_a(n/\Delta) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_a(n/\Delta) = \widehat{L}_{a,\Delta}(0),$$

where the last identity is due to the fact that  $L_{a,\Delta}$  interpolates (in second order)  $f_a$  in  $\frac{1}{\Delta}\mathbb{Z}$ . Equality is attained if and only if  $F(x) = L_{a,\Delta}(x)$  in second order for all  $x \in \frac{1}{\Delta}\mathbb{Z}$ . However, this completely characterizes  $F = L_{a,\Delta}$  (see [14, Theorem 9]). Finally, using that  $\widehat{f}_a(y) = -2\pi^2|y|e^{-2\pi a|y|}$ , identity (2.2) can easily be derived using Poisson summation over  $\frac{1}{\Delta}\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

It turns out that because  $f_a(x)$  has a local maximum at  $x = \sqrt{3}a$ , the bandlimited majorant of  $f_a$  with minimal total mass will have to be adjusted when  $\pi a\Delta$  is small. This adjustment will require some de Branges spaces theory.

**Lemma 7** (Majorant). *For  $a, \Delta > 0$  let*

$$U_{a,\Delta}(z) = \frac{z^2 - a^2 + (Cz^2 + Da^2)(\cos(\pi\Delta z) - E\pi\Delta z \sin(\pi\Delta z))^2}{(z^2 + a^2)^2},$$

<sup>1</sup>We shall use this definition of the Fourier transform throughout the paper.

where

$$(C, D, E) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{2\lambda \tanh(\lambda) - 1}{\cosh^2(\lambda)}, \frac{2\lambda \tanh(\lambda) + 1}{\cosh^2(\lambda)}, 0 \right) & \text{if } \lambda \geq \lambda_0, \\ \left( 0, \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2\lambda + \tanh(\lambda)}{\sinh(\lambda) + \lambda \operatorname{sech}(\lambda)} \right)^2, \frac{1 - 2\lambda \tanh(\lambda)}{2\lambda^2 + \lambda \tanh(\lambda)} \right) & \text{if } \lambda < \lambda_0, \end{cases}$$

$\lambda = \pi a \Delta$  and  $\lambda_0 = 0.771\dots$  is such that  $2\lambda_0 \tanh(\lambda_0) = 1$ . Then:

(1) The inequality

$$f_a(x) \leq U_{a,\Delta}(x)$$

holds for all real  $x$ ,  $U_{a,\Delta} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and its Fourier transform is supported in  $[-\Delta, \Delta]$  (i.e.  $U_{a,\Delta}$  is of exponential type at most  $2\pi\Delta$ );

(2) We have

$$\widehat{U}_{a,\Delta}(0) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi^2 \Delta}{\cosh^2(\lambda)} & \text{if } \lambda \geq \lambda_0, \\ \frac{\pi^2 \Delta}{\sinh^2(\lambda)} \left( \frac{2\lambda + \sinh(2\lambda)}{8\lambda} \left( \frac{2\lambda + \tanh(\lambda)}{\sinh(\lambda) + \lambda \operatorname{sech}(\lambda)} \right)^2 - 1 \right) & \text{if } \lambda < \lambda_0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, any other function  $F \neq U_{a,\Delta}$  having the same properties as  $U_{a,\Delta}$  in item (1) has integral strictly greater than the integral of  $U_{a,\Delta}$ .

*Proof.* Note that the constants  $(C, D, E)$  are chosen so that  $U_{a,\Delta}$  is entire, that is, its numerator vanishes doubly at  $z = \pm ia$ . Since  $U_{a,\Delta}$  is visibly of exponential type at most  $2\pi\Delta$  and belongs to  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , the Paley-Wiener Theorem guarantees its Fourier transform is supported in  $[-\Delta, \Delta]$ . Noting that  $C, D \geq 0$  we have  $f_a(x) \leq U_{a,\Delta}(x)$  for all real  $x$ , and this proves item (1). We now show item (2). Suppose  $F$  is an  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ -function,  $F(x) \geq f_a(x)$  for all real  $x$  and  $\widehat{F}$  is supported in  $[-\Delta, \Delta]$ . We now apply the generalized Poisson summation formula of Littmann for bandlimited functions [11, Theorem 2.1] for  $\gamma = (\pi E)^{-1}$  with  $E > 0$ . It translates to

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{F}(0) &= \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{\mathcal{B}(t)=0} \left( 1 - \frac{\pi E}{\pi(\pi^2 E^2 t^2 + 1) + \pi E} \right) F(t/\Delta) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{\mathcal{B}(t)=0} \left( 1 - \frac{\pi E}{\pi(\pi^2 E^2 t^2 + 1) + \pi E} \right) f_a(t/\Delta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{\mathcal{B}(t)=0} \left( 1 - \frac{\pi E}{\pi(\pi^2 E^2 t^2 + 1) + \pi E} \right) U_{a,\Delta}(t/\Delta) \\ &= \widehat{U}_{a,\Delta}(0), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(z) = \cos(\pi z) - E\pi z \sin(\pi z)$ . Note when  $E = 0$ , that is,  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ , this is the classical Poisson summation over  $\frac{1}{\Delta}(\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})$ . Equality is attained if and only if  $F(t/\Delta) = U_{a,\Delta}(t/\Delta)$  in second order for all real  $t$  with  $\mathcal{B}(t) = 0$ . We claim this completely characterizes  $F = U_{a,\Delta}$ . The trick is to use the theory of de Branges spaces and the interpolation formula [9, Theorem A] (the introduction of [9] gives a solid short background on the necessary de Branges spaces theory which we will use here without much explanation). First we note that the function  $\mathcal{E}(z) = (i + \pi E z)e^{-\pi i z}$  is of Hermite-Biehler class (i.e.  $|\mathcal{E}(\bar{z})| < |\mathcal{E}(z)|$  for all  $z$  with

$\text{Im } z > 0$ ) and therefore the de Branges space  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}^2)$  exists, and it consists of all entire functions of exponential type at most  $2\pi$  belonging to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx/(1+E^2\pi^2x^2))$ . Note also that  $\mathcal{B}(z) = i(\overline{\mathcal{E}(\bar{z})} - \mathcal{E}(z))/2$ . Moreover, it is not hard to show that all conditions of [9, Theorem A] are satisfied by  $\mathcal{E}(z)$ , and thus we conclude that any function  $G \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}^2)$  is completely characterized by its values  $G(t)$  and  $G'(t)$  for all real  $t$  with  $\mathcal{B}(t) = 0$ . Now it is simply a matter to note that  $(i + \pi E z)^2 F(z/\Delta)$  and  $(i + \pi E z)^2 U_{a,\Delta}(z/\Delta)$  both belong to  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}^2)$ , and so they must be equal<sup>2</sup>.

Finally, in the case  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  one can use Poisson summation over  $\frac{1}{\Delta}(\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})$  to evaluate the integral of  $U_{a,\Delta}$  and obtain

$$\widehat{U}_{a,\Delta}(0) = \frac{\pi^2 \Delta}{\cosh^2(\pi a \Delta)}.$$

If  $\lambda < \lambda_0$  then we can use Poisson summation over  $\frac{1}{\Delta}\mathbb{Z}$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{U}_{a,\Delta}(0) &= \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( f_a(n/\Delta) + \frac{Da^2}{(n^2/\Delta^2 + a^2)^2} \right) \\ &= -2\pi^2 \Delta \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |n| e^{-2\lambda|n|} + D\pi^2 \Delta \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (|n| + \frac{1}{2\lambda}) e^{-2\lambda|n|} \\ &= -\frac{\pi^2 \Delta}{\sinh^2(\lambda)} + D\pi^2 \Delta \frac{2\lambda + \sinh(2\lambda)}{4\lambda \sinh^2(\lambda)} \\ &= \frac{\pi^2 \Delta}{\sinh^2(\lambda)} \left( \frac{2\lambda + \sinh(2\lambda)}{8\lambda} \left( \frac{2\lambda + \tanh(\lambda)}{\sinh(\lambda) + \lambda \operatorname{sech}(\lambda)} \right)^2 - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Above we used that  $\widehat{f}_a(y) = -2\pi^2 |y| e^{-2\pi a|y|}$  and the Fourier transform of  $\frac{a^2}{(x^2+a^2)^2}$  is  $\pi^2 (|y| + \frac{1}{2\pi a}) e^{-2\pi a|y|}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** *The functions defined in Lemmas 6 and 7 satisfy the following inequalities for  $-\Delta < y < \Delta$ :*

$$\widehat{L}_{a,\Delta}(y) < 0$$

and, if  $\pi a \Delta \geq \lambda_0$ ,

$$\widehat{U}_{a,\Delta}(y) > \widehat{f}_a(y).$$

*Proof.* First we deal with the minorant. Using that  $\widehat{f}_a(y) = -2\pi^2 |y| e^{-2\pi a|y|}$  and the Fourier transforms of  $\frac{1}{x^2+a^2}$  and  $\frac{a^2}{(x^2+a^2)^2}$  are

$$\frac{\pi}{a} e^{-2\pi a|y|} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^2 \left( |y| + \frac{1}{2\pi a} \right) e^{-2\pi a|y|},$$

respectively, we obtain

$$\widehat{L}_{a,\Delta}(y) = -2\pi^2 |y| e^{-2\pi a|y|} - \frac{2 \operatorname{Id} - T_\Delta - T_{-\Delta}}{4} \left[ \pi^2 \left( \frac{B+A}{2\pi a} + (B-A)|y| \right) e^{-2\pi a|y|} \right],$$

where  $T_h$  is the operator of translation by  $h$  and  $\operatorname{Id}$  is the identity operator. These operators come from the (distributional) Fourier transform of  $\sin^2(\pi \Delta x)$ . We claim that the function  $e^{2\pi a y} \widehat{L}_{a,\Delta}(y)$  is convex in the range  $0 < y < \Delta$ , which would

<sup>2</sup>Note when  $E = 0$  this argument reduces to classical Paley-Wiener space theory and Poisson summation.

show that  $\widehat{L}_{a,\Delta}(y)$  is negative in the same range since it is negative at  $y = 0$  and vanishes at  $y = \Delta$ . For  $0 < y < \Delta$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dy^2} \left[ e^{2\pi ay} \widehat{L}_{a,\Delta}(y) \right] &= \frac{d^2}{dy^2} \left[ \frac{\pi^2}{4} \left( \frac{B+A}{2\pi a} + (B-A)(\Delta-y) \right) e^{2\pi a(2y-\Delta)} + \text{linear} \right] \\ &= (A + \pi a(B-A)(\Delta-y)) 4a\pi^3 e^{2\pi a(2y-\Delta)} \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

because  $B > A > 0$ . The majorant case is simpler, since if  $\lambda = \pi a\Delta \geq \lambda_0$  a similar computation leads to

$$\widehat{U}_{a,\Delta}(y) = -2\pi^2 |y| e^{-2\pi a|y|} + \frac{2\text{Id} + T_\Delta + T_{-\Delta}}{4} \left[ \pi^2 \left( \frac{C+D}{2\pi a} + (D-C)|y| \right) e^{-2\pi a|y|} \right],$$

and so the desired inequality follows because  $D > C \geq 0$ .  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$  and  $\Delta > 0$ . Throughout the rest of the paper we set  $a = \sigma - \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\lambda = \pi a\Delta$ . Using Lemma 4 and the evenness of the zeta-zeros we obtain

$$\text{Re} \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)' (\sigma + it) = \sum_{\gamma} \left( \frac{1}{2} f_a(\gamma - t) + \frac{1}{2} f_a(\gamma + t) + f_a(\gamma) \right) + O(1),$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , where we have used that  $f_a(x) = O(1/x^2)$  uniformly for  $|x| \geq 1$  and  $0 < a < 1/2$ , hence  $\sum_{\gamma} f_a(\gamma) = O(1)$ . We then apply Lemmas 6 and 7 to get

$$\sum_{\gamma} M_t L_{a,\Delta}(\gamma) + O(1) \leq \text{Re} \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)' (\sigma + it) \leq \sum_{\gamma} M_t U_{a,\Delta}(\gamma) + O(1), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $M_t = \frac{1}{2}T_t + \frac{1}{2}T_{-t} + \text{Id}$ . Note that for each  $t \geq 0$  the functions  $M_t L_{a,\Delta}$  and  $M_t U_{a,\Delta}$  are even and admissible for the Guinand-Weil explicit formula (Proposition 5). We use the operator  $M_t$  because its Fourier transform is the operator that multiplies by  $2 \cos^2(\pi t x)$ , which is nonnegative. This will allow us to simply discard (or easily bound) the sum over primes in the explicit formula.

**3.1. Proof of the lower bound.** Applying Proposition 5 and Lemmas 6 and 8 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma} M_t L_{a,\Delta}(\gamma) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_t L_{a,\Delta}(u) \text{Re} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left( \frac{1+2iu}{4} \right) du + 2M_t L_{a,\Delta} \left( \frac{i}{2} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \widehat{L}_{a,\Delta} \left( \frac{\log n}{2\pi} \right) \cos^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} t \log n \right) - \frac{\log \pi}{\pi} \widehat{L}_{a,\Delta}(0) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_t L_{a,\Delta}(u) \text{Re} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left( \frac{1+2iu}{4} \right) du + 2M_t L_{a,\Delta} \left( \frac{i}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In this part we assume that  $\lambda \geq c$  for some given fixed  $c > 0$ . We now analyze the terms on the right-hand side above. The function  $L_{a,\Delta}$  depends on the parameters  $A$  and  $B$ , but both behave like (since  $\lambda \geq c$ )

$$8\lambda e^{-2\lambda} + O(e^{-2\lambda}).$$

Hence  $|L_{a,\Delta}(x)| \leq K(x^2 + a^2)^{-1}$  for some  $K > 0$ . Since  $(s^2 + a^2)L_{a,\Delta}(s)$  has exponential type  $2\pi\Delta$  and it is bounded on the real line, a routine application of the Phragmén–Lindelöf principle implies that

$$|L_{a,\Delta}(s)| \leq K \frac{e^{2\pi\Delta|\operatorname{Im} s|}}{|s^2 + a^2|}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C} \quad (3.2)$$

(alternatively, one could derive such bound by direct computation). Using the bounds for  $A$  and  $B$  it follows that

$$2 M_t L_{a,\Delta} \left( \frac{i}{2} \right) = \frac{4\pi a \Delta e^{(1-2a)\pi\Delta}}{a^2 - \frac{1}{4}} + O \left( \frac{e^{(1-2a)\pi\Delta}}{(a^2 - \frac{1}{4})^2} + \frac{e^{\pi\Delta}}{t^2} \right).$$

Using that  $M_t$  is self-adjoint and applying Stirling's approximation to obtain

$$M_t \operatorname{Re} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left( \frac{1+2iu}{4} \right) = \log t + O(\log(2+|u|)),$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_t L_{a,\Delta}(u) \operatorname{Re} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left( \frac{1+2iu}{4} \right) du &= \frac{\pi\Delta \log t}{2 \sinh^2(\pi a \Delta)} + O \left( \frac{1}{a} \right) \\ &= -2\pi\Delta e^{-2\pi a \Delta} \log t + O \left( \frac{1}{a} + \Delta e^{-4\pi a \Delta} \log t \right) \\ &= -2\pi\Delta e^{-2\pi a \Delta} \log t + O \left( \frac{1 + e^{-2\pi a \Delta} \log t}{a} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above bounds we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma} M_t L_{a,\Delta}(\gamma - t) &\geq -2\pi\Delta e^{-2\pi a \Delta} \log t + \frac{4\pi a \Delta e^{(1-2a)\pi\Delta}}{a^2 - \frac{1}{4}} \\ &\quad + O \left( \frac{e^{(1-2a)\pi\Delta}}{(a^2 - \frac{1}{4})^2} + \frac{e^{\pi\Delta}}{t^2} + \frac{1}{a} (1 + e^{-2\pi a \Delta} \log t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $\pi\Delta = \log \log t$  (which is the optimal choice) and using (3.1) we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)'(\sigma + it) \geq - \left( \frac{-2\sigma^2 + 6\sigma - 2}{\sigma(1-\sigma)} \right) \log \log t (\log t)^{2-2\sigma} + O_c \left( \frac{(\log t)^{2-2\sigma}}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})(1-\sigma)^2} \right)$$

for  $\pi(\sigma - 1/2) \log \log t \geq c$ . This proves the desired result.

**3.2. Proof of the upper bound.** Using Proposition 5 and Lemma 7 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma} M_t U_{a,\Delta}(\gamma) &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_t U_{a,\Delta}(u) \operatorname{Re} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left( \frac{1+2iu}{4} \right) du + 2M_t U_{a,\Delta} \left( \frac{i}{2} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{U}_{a,\Delta} \left( \frac{\log n}{2\pi} \right) \cos^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} t \log n \right). \end{aligned}$$

When  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  the computations are very similar to the lower bound and we just indicate them here. We still have both  $C$  and  $D$  behaving like  $8\lambda e^{-2\lambda} + O(e^{-2\lambda})$ ,



and a bound similar to (3.2) holds. Using Stirling's formula and Lemma 7 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_t U_{a,\Delta}(u) \operatorname{Re} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left( \frac{1+2iu}{4} \right) du &= \frac{\pi\Delta \log t}{2 \cosh^2(\pi a\Delta)} + O\left(\frac{1}{a}\right) \\ &= 2\pi\Delta e^{-2\pi a\Delta} \log t + O\left(\frac{1 + e^{-2\pi a\Delta} \log t}{a}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimates for  $C$  and  $D$  it follows that

$$2M_t U_{a,\Delta} \left( \frac{i}{2} \right) = \frac{4\pi a\Delta e^{(1-2a)\pi\Delta}}{a^2 - \frac{1}{4}} + O\left(\frac{e^{(1-2a)\pi\Delta}}{(a^2 - \frac{1}{4})^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{e^{\pi\Delta}}{t^2}\right).$$

Since  $\widehat{U}_{a,\Delta}$  is supported in  $[-\Delta, \Delta]$ , we estimate the sum over primes (which we cannot discard as before) using Lemma 8 and that  $\widehat{f}_a(y) = -2\pi^2|y|e^{-2\pi a|y|}$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \widehat{U}_{a,\Delta} \left( \frac{\log n}{2\pi} \right) \cos^2\left(\frac{1}{2}t \log n\right) &\leq 2 \sum_{2 \leq n \leq e^{2\pi\Delta}} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{a+1/2}} \log n \\ &= \frac{4\pi\Delta e^{(1-2a)\pi\Delta}}{\frac{1}{2} - a} + O\left(\frac{e^{(1-2a)\pi\Delta}}{(\frac{1}{2} - a)^2} + \frac{\Delta^3}{a}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The above estimate follows from the prime number theorem (see [4, Eq. (B.2)]). Choosing  $\pi\Delta = \log \log t$  and using (3.1) we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)' (\sigma + it) \leq \left( \frac{-2\sigma^2 + 2\sigma + 2}{\sigma(1-\sigma)} \right) \log \log t (\log t)^{2-2\sigma} + O_c \left( \frac{(\log t)^{2-2\sigma}}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})(1-\sigma)^2} \right)$$

in the range  $(\sigma - 1/2) \log \log t \geq \lambda_0$  and  $(1-\sigma)\sqrt{\log \log t} \geq c$  for some fixed  $c > 0$ ; note that  $\Delta^3 = O_c((1/2 - a)^{-2}(\log t)^{1-2a})$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

To obtain the bounds for the imaginary part of the log-derivative  $\zeta(s)$  we will employ the interpolation technique of [4, Section 6] for functions with slow growth, which we conveniently state in the form of a lemma.

**Lemma 9** (Interpolation). *Let  $\varphi : (t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be twice differentiable,  $t_0 > 0$ , and assume that*

$$-\beta_0(t) \leq \varphi(t) \leq \alpha_0(t) \quad \text{and} \quad -\beta_2(t) \leq \varphi''(t) \leq \alpha_2(t),$$

for some differentiable functions  $\alpha_0, \beta_0, \alpha_2, \beta_2 : (t_0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ . Suppose the numbers

$$L = \sup_{t > t_0} \frac{2(\alpha_2(t) + \beta_2(t))(\alpha_0(t) + \beta_0(t))}{3\alpha_2(t)\beta_2(t)},$$

$$M_i = \sup_{t > t_0} |\alpha_i'(t)| \quad \text{and} \quad N_i = \sup_{t > t_0} |\beta_i'(t)| \quad (i = 0, 2)$$

are finite. Then, for  $t > t_0 + \sqrt{3L}$  we have

$$|\varphi'(t)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha_2(t)\beta_2(t)(\alpha_0(t) + \beta_0(t))}{\alpha_2(t) + \beta_2(t)}} + M_0 + N_0 + (M_2 + N_2)L.$$

*Proof.* Since the bound is symmetric when we interchange  $\alpha_0, \beta_0$  and  $\alpha_2, \beta_2$  (i.e. we change  $\varphi$  by  $-\varphi$ ), it is enough to prove that  $\varphi'(t)$  is bounded above by the desired bound. An application of the mean value theorem easily gives that<sup>3</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi'(t) - \varphi'(t-h) &= \varphi''(t^*)h \\ &\leq h_+\alpha_2(t^*) + (-h)_+\beta_2(t^*) \\ &\leq h_+\alpha_2(t) + (-h)_+\beta_2(t) + (M_2 + N_2)|h|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Averaging in  $h$  in the interval  $[-\nu(1-A), \nu A]$ , for some  $\nu > 0$  (with  $t - \nu > t_0$ ) and  $0 < A < 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi'(t) &\leq \frac{1}{\nu}(\varphi(t + (1-A)\nu) - \varphi(t - A\nu)) + \frac{\nu}{2}(A^2\alpha_2(t) + (1-A)^2\beta_2(t)) + \frac{\nu^2}{3}(M_2 + N_2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\nu}(\alpha_0(t + (1-A)\nu) + \beta_0(t - A\nu)) + \frac{\nu}{2}(A^2\alpha_2(t) + (1-A)^2\beta_2(t)) + \frac{\nu^2}{3}(M_2 + N_2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\nu}(\alpha_0(t) + \beta_0(t)) + \frac{\nu}{2}(A^2\alpha_2(t) + (1-A)^2\beta_2(t)) + M_0 + N_0 + \frac{\nu^2}{3}(M_2 + N_2).\end{aligned}$$

Minimizing the main term above as a function of  $\nu$  and  $A$ , we must set

$$\nu = \sqrt{\frac{2(\alpha_2(t) + \beta_2(t))(\alpha_0(t) + \beta_0(t))}{\alpha_2(t)\beta_2(t)}} \quad \text{and} \quad A = \frac{\beta_2(t)}{\alpha_2(t) + \beta_2(t)},$$

which gives, for  $t > t_0 + \sqrt{3L}$ , that

$$\varphi'(t) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha_2(t)\beta_2(t)(\alpha_0(t) + \beta_0(t))}{\alpha_2(t) + \beta_2(t)}} + M_0 + N_0 + (M_2 + N_2)L.$$

The lemma follows.  $\square$

We will apply this lemma for

$$\varphi(t) = -\log |\zeta(\sigma + it)|$$

noting that

$$\varphi'(t) = \operatorname{Im} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi''(t) = \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)'(\sigma + it).$$

Theorem 3 and [1, Theorems 1 and 2] establish respectively that

$$-\beta_0(t) \leq \varphi(t) \leq \alpha_0(t) \quad \text{and} \quad -\beta_2(t) \leq \varphi''(t) \leq \alpha_2(t),$$

in the range

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda_0}{\log \log t} \leq \sigma \leq 1 - \frac{c\sqrt{\lambda_0/(\lambda_0 + c)}}{\sqrt{\log \log t}} \quad \text{and} \quad t \geq 3, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $c > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_2(t) &= \frac{-2\sigma^2 + 2\sigma + 2}{\sigma(1-\sigma)} \ell_{-1,\sigma}(t) + O_c \left( \frac{\ell_{0,\sigma}(t)}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})(1-\sigma)^2} \right), \\ \beta_2(t) &= \frac{-2\sigma^2 + 6\sigma - 2}{\sigma(1-\sigma)} \ell_{-1,\sigma}(t) + O_c \left( \frac{\ell_{0,\sigma}(t)}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})(1-\sigma)^2} \right), \\ \alpha_0(t) = \beta_0(t) &= \frac{-\sigma^2 + 5\sigma - 2}{2\sigma(1-\sigma)} \ell_{1,\sigma}(t) + O_c \left( \frac{\ell_{2,\sigma}(t)}{(1-\sigma)^2} \right)\end{aligned}$$

<sup>3</sup>The notation  $h_+$  means  $\max(h, 0)$ .

and  $\ell_{n,\sigma}(t) = (\log t)^{2-2\sigma}(\log \log t)^{-n}$ . We can then apply Lemma 9 with  $t_0 = t_0(\sigma, c)$  equals to the smallest  $t$  such that (4.1) is not vacuous. A routine computation shows that  $\sqrt{3L} = O_c(1)$  and that  $M_0, M_2, N_0, N_2$  are  $O_c((\sigma - \frac{1}{2})^{-1}(1 - \sigma)^{-2})$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \operatorname{Im} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) \right| &\leq \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha_2(t)\beta_2(t)(\alpha_0(t) + \beta_0(t))}{\alpha_2(t) + \beta_2(t)}} + O_c\left(\frac{1}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})(1 - \sigma)^2}\right) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2(-\sigma^2 + 5\sigma - 2)(-\sigma^2 + 3\sigma - 1)(-\sigma^2 + \sigma + 1)}{\sigma^3(1 - \sigma)^2(2 - \sigma)}} \ell_{0,\sigma}(t) \\ &\quad + O_c\left(\frac{\ell_{1,\sigma}(t)}{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})(1 - \sigma)^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

if  $t' = t - \sqrt{3L} \geq t_0$ . Letting  $t_1(c)$  be such that  $\frac{\log \log t'}{\log \log t} \geq \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 + c}$  if  $t \geq t_1(c)$ , we conclude that the above estimate holds in the range

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda_0 + c}{\log \log t} \leq \sigma \leq 1 - \frac{c}{\sqrt{\log \log t}} \quad \text{and} \quad t \geq t_2(c),$$

where  $t_2(c) = \max(t_1(c), 3 + \sqrt{3L})$ . To finish the proof we note that if  $3 \leq t \leq t_2(c)$  then a simple compactness argument gives the full desired range.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

AC was supported by Grant 275113 of the Research Council of Norway. The authors are grateful to Kristian Seip for his helpful remarks and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Carneiro and V. Chandee, Bounding  $\zeta(s)$  in the critical strip, *J. Number Theory* 131 (2011), 363–384.
- [2] E. Carneiro, V. Chandee and M. B. Milinovich, Bounding  $S(t)$  and  $S_1(t)$  on the Riemann hypothesis, *Math. Ann.* 356 (2013), no. 3, 939–968.
- [3] E. Carneiro and A. Chirre, Bounding  $S_n(t)$  on the Riemann hypothesis, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 164 (2018), no. 2, 259–283.
- [4] E. Carneiro, A. Chirre and M. B. Milinovich, Bandlimited approximations and estimates for the Riemann zeta-function, *Publ. Mat.* 63 (2019), no. 2, 601–661.
- [5] E. Carneiro, F. Littmann and J. D. Vaaler, Gaussian subordination for the Beurling-Selberg extremal problem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 365, no. 7 (2013), 3493–3534.
- [6] V. Chandee and K. Soundararajan, Bounding  $|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|$  on the Riemann hypothesis, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 43 (2011), no. 2, 243–250.
- [7] A. Chirre, A note on Entire L-functions, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 50 (2019), no.1, 67–93.
- [8] D. A. Goldston and S. M. Gonek, A note on  $S(t)$  and the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 39 (2007), 482–486.
- [9] F. Gonçalves and L. Littmann, Interpolation formulas with derivatives in de Branges spaces II, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 458 (2018), no. 2, 1091–1114.
- [10] H. Ki, The zeros of the derivative of the Riemann zeta function near the critical line, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2008), no. 16, Art. ID rnn064, 23 pp.
- [11] F. Littmann, Quadrature and extremal bandlimited functions, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 45 (2013), no. 2, 732–747.
- [12] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, Second edition, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.
- [13] T. Trudgian, Explicit bounds on the logarithmic derivative and the reciprocal of the Riemann zeta-function, *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* 52 (2015), 253–261.

- [14] J. D. Vaaler, Some extremal functions in Fourier analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 12 (1985), 183–216.

HAUSDORFF CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS, 53115 BONN, GERMANY.  
*Email address:* `goncalve@math.uni-bonn.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NO-7491 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY.  
*Email address:* `carlos.a.c.chavez@ntnu.no`