#### **INTERSECTION** K-THEORY

TUDOR PĂDURARIU

ABSTRACT. For a proper map  $f: X \to S$  between varieties over  $\mathbb{C}$  with X smooth, we introduce increasing filtrations  $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leqslant \cdot} \subset P_f^{\leqslant \cdot}$  on  $\operatorname{gr} K_{\cdot}(X)$ , the associated graded on K-theory with respect to the codimension filtration, both sent by the cycle map to the perverse filtration on cohomology  ${}^{p}H_f^{\leqslant \cdot}(X)$ . The filtrations  $P_f^{\leqslant \cdot}$  and  $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leqslant \cdot}$  are functorial with respect to proper pushforward and  $P_f^{\leqslant \cdot}$  is functorial with respect to pullback.

We use the above filtrations to propose two definitions of (graded) intersection K-theory  $\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} IK_{\cdot}(S)$  and  $\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} \mathbf{I}K_{\cdot}(S)$ . Both have cycle maps to intersection cohomology  $IH^{\cdot}(S)$ . We conjecture a version of the decomposition theorem for semismall surjective maps and prove it in some particular cases.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

For a complex variety X, intersection cohomology  $IH^{\cdot}(X)$  coincides with singular cohomology with rational coefficients  $H^{\cdot}(X)$  when X is smooth and has better properties than  $H^{\cdot}(X)$  when X is singular, for example it satisfies Poincaré duality and the Hard Lefschetz theorem. Many applications of intersection cohomology, for example in representation theory [18], [7, Section 4], are through the decomposition theorem of Beilinson–Bernstein–Deligne–Gabber [3].

A construction of intersection K-theory is expected to have applications in computations of K-theory via a K-theoretic version of the decomposition theory, and in representation theory, for example in the construction of representations of vertex algebras using (framed) Uhlenbeck spaces [5]. The Goresky–MacPherson construction of intersection cohomology [15] does not generalize in an obvious way to K-theory.

1.1. The perverse filtration and intersection cohomology. For S a variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ , intersection cohomology  $IH^{\cdot}(S)$  is a subquotient of  $H^{\cdot}(X)$  for any resolution of singularities  $f : X \to S$ . The decomposition theorem implies that  $IH^{\cdot}(S)$  is a (non-canonical) direct summand of  $H^{\cdot}(X)$ . Consider the perverse filtration

$${}^{p}H_{f}^{\leqslant i}(X) := H^{\cdot}\left(S, {}^{p}\tau^{\leqslant i}Rf_{*}IC_{X}\right) \hookrightarrow H^{\cdot}(S, Rf_{*}IC_{X}) = H^{\cdot}(X).$$

For  $V \hookrightarrow S$ , denote by  $X_V := f^{-1}(V)$ . Let  $A_V$  be the set of irreducible components of  $X_V$  and let  $c_V^a$  be the codimension on  $\iota_V^a : X_V^a \hookrightarrow X$  for  $a \in A_V$ . Consider a resolution of singularities  $\pi_V^a: Y_V^a \to X_V^a$ . Let  $g_V^a: = f\pi_V^a: Y_V^a \to V$ . Define

$${}^{p}\widetilde{H}_{f,V}^{\leqslant i} := \bigoplus_{a \in A_{V}} \iota_{V*}^{a} \pi_{V*}^{a} {}^{p} H_{g_{V}^{a}}^{\leqslant i - c_{V}^{a}}(Y_{V}^{a}) \subset {}^{p} H_{f}^{\leqslant i}(X),$$
$${}^{p}\widetilde{H}_{f}^{\leqslant i} := \bigoplus_{V \lneq S} {}^{p} \widetilde{H}_{f,V}^{\leqslant i} \subset {}^{p} H_{f}^{\leqslant i}(X).$$

The decomposition theorem implies that

$$IH^{\cdot}(S) \cong {}^{p}H_{f}^{\leqslant 0}H^{\cdot}(X) / {}^{p}\widetilde{H}_{f}^{\leqslant 0}H^{\cdot}(X).$$

1.2. Perverse filtrations in K-theory. Inspired by the above characterization of intersection cohomology via the perverse filtration, we propose two K-theoretic perverse filtrations  $\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leq i} \subset P_{f}^{\leq i}$  on  $\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K.(X)$  for a proper map  $f: X \to S$  of complex varieties with X smooth. Here, the associated graded  $\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K.(X)$  is with respect to the codimension of support filtration on K.(X) [13, Definition 3.7, Section 5.4].

The precise definition of the filtration  $P_f^{\leq i}$ gr $K_{\cdot}(X)$  is given in Subsection 3.3; roughly, it is generated by (subspaces of) images

(1) 
$$\Phi_{\Gamma} : \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(T) \to \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X)$$


induced by correspondences  $\Gamma$  on  $X \times T$  of restricted dimension, see (5), for T a smooth variety with a generically finite map onto a subvariety of S. These subspaces of the images of  $\Phi_{\Gamma}$  are required to satisfy certain conditions when restricted to the subvarieties  $Y_V^a$  from Subsection 1.1.

The definition of the filtration  $\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leq i}$ gr $K.(X) \subset P_{f}^{\leq i}$ grK.(X) is given in Subsection 3.5. In (1), we further impose that  $\Gamma$  is a quasi-smooth scheme surjective over T. This further restricts the possible dimension of the cycles  $\Gamma$ , see Proposition 3.9, and allows for more computations.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $f : X \to S$  be a proper map with X smooth. Then the cycle map  $\mathfrak{c} : gr^{\cdot}K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to H^{\cdot}(X)$  respects the perverse filtration

$$\boldsymbol{P}_{f}^{\leqslant i}gr^{\cdot}K_{0}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}\subset P_{f}^{\leqslant i}gr^{\cdot}K_{0}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}\xrightarrow{\mathfrak{c}}{}^{p}H_{f}^{\leqslant i}(X).$$

Perverse filtrations in K-theory have the following functorial properties. Let X and Y be smooth varieties with  $c = \dim X - \dim Y$ . Consider proper maps



There are induced maps

$$\begin{split} h_*: P_g^{\leqslant i-c} \mathrm{gr.} K_{\cdot}(Y) &\to P_f^{\leqslant i} \mathrm{gr.} K_{\cdot}(X), \\ h_*: \mathbf{P}_g^{\leqslant i-c} \mathrm{gr.} K_{\cdot}(Y) &\to \mathbf{P}_f^{\leqslant i} \mathrm{gr.} K_{\cdot}(X), \\ h^*: P_f^{\leqslant i-c} \mathrm{gr.} K_{\cdot}(X) &\to P_g^{\leqslant i} \mathrm{gr.} K_{\cdot}(Y). \end{split}$$

If h is surjective, then there is also a map

$$h^*: \mathbf{P}_f^{\leqslant i-c} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) \to \mathbf{P}_g^{\leqslant i} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(Y).$$

Let  $f: X \to S$  be a resolution of singularities. We define  $\widetilde{P}_f^{\leq 0} \operatorname{gr} K.(X)$  and  $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_f^{\leq 0} \operatorname{gr} K.(X)$  similarly to  ${}^p \widetilde{H}^{\leq i}(X)$ . Inspired by the discussion in cohomology from Subsection 1.1, define

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} IK_{\cdot}(S) &:= P_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) / \left( \widetilde{P}_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) \cap \ker f_{*} \right) \\ \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} \mathbf{I}K_{\cdot}(S) &:= \mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) / \left( \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) \cap \ker f_{*} \right). \end{split}$$

Note that we do not construct  $\operatorname{gr} IK(S)$  and  $\operatorname{gr} IK(S)$  as associated graded of spaces IK(S) or IK(S), but we hope that such a construction is possible, see Subsection 1.6.

**Theorem 1.2.** The definitions of  $gr IK_{\cdot}(S)$  and  $gr IK_{\cdot}(S)$  do not depend on the resolution of singularities  $f : X \to S$  with the properties mentioned above. Further, there are cycle maps

$$\mathfrak{c} : gr^{j}IK_{0}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to IH^{2j}(S)$$
$$\mathfrak{c} : gr^{j}IK_{0}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to IH^{2j}(S).$$

We also propose definitions for  $\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} IK_{\cdot}(S, L)$  and  $\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} IK_{\cdot}(S, L)$  for L a local system on U open in S of the form  $L \cong h_*(\mathbb{Z}_V)$  for an étale map  $h: V \to U$ . There are cycle maps

$$\mathfrak{c}: \mathrm{gr}^{j} IK_{0}(S, L)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to IH^{2j}(S, L \otimes \mathbb{Q})$$
$$\mathfrak{c}: \mathrm{gr}^{j} \mathbf{I} K_{0}(S, L)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to IH^{2j}(S, L \otimes \mathbb{Q}).$$

1.3. Properties of the perverse filtrations and intersection K-theory. The perverse filtrations in K-theory and intersection K-theory have similar properties to their counterparts in cohomology.

For a map  $f: X \to S$ , let  $s := \dim X \times_S X - \dim X$  be its defect of semismallness. In Theorem 3.11, we show that

$$\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant -s-1} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{0}(X) = 0,$$
  
$$\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant s} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{0}(X) = P_{f}^{\leqslant s} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{0}(X) = \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{0}(X).$$

This implies that

 $\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} IK_{\cdot}(S) = \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} \mathbf{I}K_{\cdot}(S) = \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(S)$  for S smooth,  $\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} \mathbf{I}K_{0}(S) = \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{0}(X)$  if S has a small resolution  $f: X \to S$ .

For more computations of perverse filtrations in K-theory and intersection K-theory, see Subsections 3.7 and 4.4.

Let  $d = \dim S$ . In cohomology, there are natural maps

$$H^{i}(S) \to IH^{i}(S) \to H^{BM}_{2d-i}(S)$$
$$IH^{i}(S) \otimes IH^{j}(S) \to H^{BM}_{2d-i-i}(S)$$

The composition in the first line is the natural map  $H^i(S) \to H^{\text{BM}}_{2d-i}(S)$ . The second map is non-degenerate for cycles of complementary dimensions. In Subsection 4.3 we explain that there exist natural maps

$$\operatorname{gr}_{i}IK_{\cdot}(S) \to \operatorname{gr}_{i}G(S)$$
  
 $\operatorname{gr}^{i}IK_{\cdot}(S) \times \operatorname{gr}^{j}IK_{\cdot}(S) \to \operatorname{gr}_{d-i-j}G_{\cdot}(S)$ 

and their analogues for IK. The above filtration on *G*-theory is by dimension of supports, see [13, Section 5.4].

1.4. The decomposition theorem for semismall maps. As mentioned above, many applications of intersection cohomology are based on the decomposition theorem. When the map

$$f: X \to S$$

is semismall, the statement of the decomposition theorem is more explicit, which we now explain. Let  $\{S_a | a \in I\}$  be a stratification of S such that  $f_a : f^{-1}(S_a^o) \to S_a^o$  is a locally trivial fibration, where  $S_a^o = S_a - \bigcup_{b \in I} (S_a \cap S_b)$ . Let  $A \subset I$  be the set of relevant strata, that is, those strata such that for  $x_a \in S_a^o$ :

dim 
$$f^{-1}(x_a) = \frac{1}{2} (\dim S - \dim S_a).$$

For  $x_a \in S_a^o$ , the monodromy group  $\pi_1(S_a^0, x_a)$  acts on the set of irreducible components of  $f^{-1}(x_a)$  of top dimension; let  $L_a$  be the corresponding local system. Let  $c_a$  be the codimension of  $X_a = f^{-1}(S_a)$  in X. The decomposition theorem for the map  $f: X \to S$  says that there exists a canonical decomposition [7, Theorem 4.2.7]:

$$H^{j}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{a \in A} IH^{j-c_{a}}(S_{a}, L_{a})$$

We conjecture the analogous statement in K-theory.

**Conjecture 1.3.** Let  $f : X \to S$  be a semismall map and consider  $\{S_a | a \in I\}$ a stratification as above, and let  $A \subset I$  be the set of relevant strata. There is a decomposition for any integer j:

$$gr^{j}K.(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \bigoplus_{a \in A} gr^{j-c_{a}}IK.(S_{a}, L_{a})_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

See Conjecture 5.1 for a more precise statement. In Theorem 5.4, we check the above conjecture for  $K_0$  under the extra condition that for any  $a \in A$ , there are small maps  $\pi_a : T_a \to S_a$  such that  $\pi_a^{-1}(S_a^o) \to S_a^o$  is étale with associated local system  $L_a$ . The proof of the above result is based on a theorem of de Cataldo-Migliorini [6, Section 4]. In Subsection 4.4.4, we prove the statement for  $K_0$  when  $f: X \to S$  is a resolution of singularities of a surface.

1.5. Intersection Chow groups. When X is smooth,  $\operatorname{gr}^i K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = CH^i(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Thus  $\operatorname{gr}^i IK_0(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is a candidate for an intersection Chow group of S. Corti-Hanamura already defined (rational) intersection Chow groups (or Chow motives) in [10], [11] inspired by the decomposition theorem. One proposed definition assumes conjectures of Grothendieck and Murre and proves a version of the decomposition theorem for Chow groups; the other approach defines a perverse-type filtration on Chow groups by induction on level *i* of the filtration and via correspondences involving all varieties  $W \to S$ . These correspondences need to satisfy certain vanishings for the perverse filtration in cohomology. The advantage of the definition we propose is that one uses fewer correspondences to define  $P_f^{\leq i}$  and  $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i}$  and this allows for computations, see Subsection 4.4 and Theorem 5.3.

For varieties S with a semismall resolution of singularities  $f: X \to S$ , de Cataldo– Migliorini [6] proposed a definition of Chow motives ICH(S) and proved a version of the decomposition theorem for semismall maps.

1.6. Intersection K-theory. Our approach to define (graded) intersection Ktheory uses functoriality of the perverse filtration in an essential way. To obtain this functoriality, it is essential to pass to  $\operatorname{gr} K_{\cdot}(X)$ . It is a very interesting problem to find a definition of the perverse filtration on  $K_{\cdot}(X)$ . We hope that such a definition will provide a version of equivariant intersection K-theory with applications to geometric representation theory, for example in understanding the K-theoretic version of [5].

There are proposed definitions of intersection K-theory in some particular cases. Cautis [8], Cautis–Kamnitzer [9] have an approach for categorification of intersection sheaves for certain subvarieties of the affine Grassmannian. Eberhardt defined intersection K-theoretic sheaves for varieties with certain stratifications [12]. In [19], we proposed a definition of intersection K-theoretic for good moduli spaces of smooth Artin stacks which has applications to the structure theory of Hall algebras of Kontsevich–Soibelman [20].

1.7. Outline of the paper. In Section 2, we discuss preliminary material. In Section 3, we introduce the two perverse filtrations  $\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leq \cdot} \subset P_{f}^{\leq \cdot}$ . In Section 4, we define the two versions of intersection K-theory  $\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} IK_{\cdot}(S)$  and  $\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} \mathbf{I}K_{\cdot}(S)$ . In Section 5, we discuss the decomposition theorem for semismall maps.

1.8. Acknowledgements. I thank Jacob Lurie for useful discussions related to the paper. I thank the Institute of Advanced Studies for support during the preparation of the paper. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1926686.

## 2. Preliminary material

2.1. Notations and conventions. All schemes considered in this paper are finite type and defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The definition of the filtration in Subsection 3.1 works over any field, but to define intersection K-theory we use resolution of singularities. The definition of intersection K-theory works over any field of characteristic

zero. A variety is an irreducible reduced scheme. We use quasi-smooth schemes in Subsections 3.3 and 3.4, which are the natural extension of lci schemes in derived geometry.

For S a scheme, let  $D^b \text{Coh}(S)$  be the derived category of bounded complexes of coherent sheaves and let Perf(S) be its subcategory of bounded complexes of locally free sheaves on S. For their analogues for quasi-smooth schemes, see [16, Subsections 2.1 and 3.1]. The functors used in the paper are derived; we sometimes drop R or L from notation, for example we write  $f_*$  instead of  $Rf_*$ . When S is smooth, the two categories coincide. Define

$$G_{\cdot}(S) := K_{\cdot} \left( D^{b} \operatorname{Coh}(S) \right),$$
  
$$K_{\cdot}(S) := K_{\cdot} \left( \operatorname{Perf}(S) \right).$$

For Y a subvariety of X, let  $D^b \operatorname{Coh}_Y(X)$  be the subcategory of  $D^b \operatorname{Coh}(X)$  of complexes supported on Y, and define

$$G_{Y,\cdot}(X) := K_{\cdot}\left(D^b \operatorname{Coh}_Y(X)\right).$$

When X is smooth, we also use the notation  $K_{Y,\cdot}(X)$  for the above. We will usually drop the subscript  $\cdot$  from the notation.

The local systems used in this paper are of the form  $L \cong h_*(\mathbb{Z}_V)$  (or  $L \cong h_*(\mathbb{Q}_V)$ ) for an étale morphism  $h: V \to U$ . We call these local systems *integer (or rational)* finite local systems.

Singular and intersection cohomology and Borel-Moore homology are used only with coefficients in a rational finite local system, usually  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

For f a morphism of varieties, we denote by  $\mathbb{L}_f$  its cotangent complex.

2.2. Filtrations in K-theory. A reference for the following is [13], especially Section 5 in loc. cit. Let  $F^iG_{\cdot}(S)$  be the filtration on  $G_{\cdot}(S)$  by sheaves with support of codimension  $\geq i$ ; it induces a filtration on  $K_{\cdot}(S)$ . The associated graded will be denoted by  $\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot}G_{\cdot}(S)$ ,  $\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot}K_{\cdot}(S)$ . A morphism  $f: X \to Y$  of smooth varieties induces maps:

$$f^*: F^i K_{\cdot}(Y) \to F^i K_{\cdot}(X)$$
$$f^*: \operatorname{gr}^i K_{\cdot}(Y) \to \operatorname{gr}^i K_{\cdot}(X).$$

Further, let  $F_i^{\dim}G_i(S)$  be the filtration on  $G_i(S)$  by sheaves with support of dimension  $\leq i$ ; it induces a filtration on  $K_i(S)$ . The associated graded will be denoted by  $\operatorname{gr} G_i(S), \operatorname{gr} K_i(S)$ . A proper morphism  $f: X \to Y$  of schemes induces maps:

$$\begin{split} f_* &: F_i^{\dim}G_{\cdot}(X) \to F_i^{\dim}G_{\cdot}(Y) \\ f_* &: \operatorname{gr}_iG_{\cdot}(X) \to \operatorname{gr}_iG_{\cdot}(Y). \end{split}$$

There are similar filtrations and associated graded on  $G_Y(X)$  for  $Y \hookrightarrow X$  a subvariety. If X is smooth of dimension d, then  $\operatorname{gr}_i G_Y(X) = \operatorname{gr}^{d-i} G_Y(X)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $S \xrightarrow{a} Spec \mathbb{C}$  be a variety of dimension d. Then

$$\left(a^*, \bigoplus_{T \subsetneq S} \iota_{T*}\right) : G_0(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}) \oplus \bigoplus_{T \gneqq S} \operatorname{gr}_{\cdot}G_0(T) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{\cdot}G_0(S),$$

where the sum is taken over all proper subvarieties T of S.

*Proof.* For i < d, the map

$$\bigoplus_{T\subsetneqq S} \iota_{T*}: \bigoplus_{T\varsubsetneq S} \operatorname{gr}_i G_0(T) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{gr}_i G_0(S)$$

is surjective by definition of the filtration  $F^i_{\rm dim}$ . Finally, the following map is an isomorphism

$$a^*: G_0(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr}_d G_0(S).$$

**Proposition 2.2.** Let S be a singular variety of dimension d, and let  $f : X \to S$  be a resolution of singularities. The following map is surjective:

$$f_*: gr_iG_0(X) \twoheadrightarrow gr_iG_0(S).$$

*Proof.* We use induction on d. By Proposition 2.1, the following is an isomorphism

$$f_*: \operatorname{gr}_d G_0(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr}_d G_0(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} G_0(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}).$$

For  $V \subsetneqq S$  a subvariety, consider g a resolution of singularities as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & X \\ & \downarrow^g & & \downarrow^f \\ V & \longmapsto & S. \end{array}$$

The surjectivity of  $f_*$  for i < d follows using Proposition 2.1 and the induction hypothesis.

#### 2.3. Quasi-smooth schemes.

2.3.1. A morphism  $f: X \to Y$  of derived schemes is quasi-smooth if it is locally of finite presentation and the cotangent complex  $\mathbb{L}_f$  has Tor-amplitude  $\leq 1$ . Alternatively, there is a factorization

$$X \xrightarrow{\iota} X' \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$$

with  $\pi$  smooth and  $\iota$  a quasi-smooth immersion, that is, the complex  $\mathbb{L}_{\iota}[-1]$  is a vector bundle, see [17, Section 2], [2, Subsections 2.1 and 2.2]. A proper map between smooth varieties is quasi-smooth. Any quasi-smooth map has a well defined relative dimension.

A derived scheme X is quasi-smooth if the structure morphism  $X \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}$  is quasi-smooth. Any quasi-smooth scheme has a well defined dimension.

2.3.2. We list some properties satisfied by quasi-smooth morphisms and schemes. We are using them only in the proof of an excess intersection formula, see (6). Consider a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y. \end{array}$$

If f is quasi-smooth, then f' is quasi-smooth and

(2)  $\operatorname{reldim}(f) = \operatorname{reldim}(f').$ 

Composition of quasi-smooth maps is quasi-smooth.

Let Y be a quasi-smooth scheme. Define a filtration  $F^iG_{\cdot}(Y) \subset G_{\cdot}(Y)$  generated by images of

$$f_*: G_{\cdot}(Y) \to G_{\cdot}(X)$$

for  $f: Y \to X$  a quasi-smooth morphism with reldim  $(f) \leq -i$ . It induces a filtration on  $K_i(Y)$ . One can define similarly a filtration  $F_i^{\dim}G_i(Y)$ . When Y is a classical scheme, this definitions recover the filtrations introduced in Subsection 2.2, see [16, Theorem 6.21]. By (2), pullback respects the filtrations  $F^i$ . Pushforward clearly respects the filtrations  $F_i^{\dim}$ .

2.3.3. Let X be a quasi-smooth scheme. There exists an open set  $U \subset X$  of codimension  $\geq 1$  and a vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $U^{\text{cl}}$  such that

$$\mathcal{O}_U \cong \mathcal{O}_{U^{\mathrm{cl}}}[\mathcal{E}[1]; d],$$

where  $d: \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{O}_U$  is the zero map. The bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  has rank dim  $X^{\text{cl}} - \dim X$ .

2.4. The perverse filtration in cohomology. Let S be a scheme over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $D_c^b(S)$  be the derived category of bounded complexes of rational constructible sheaves [7, Section 2]. Consider the perverse *t*-structure  $(\mathcal{P}^{\leq i}, \mathcal{P}^{\geq i})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  on this category. There are functors:

$${}^{p}\tau^{\leqslant i}: D^{b}_{c}(S) \to \mathcal{P}^{\leqslant i},$$
$${}^{p}\tau^{\geqslant i}: D^{b}_{c}(S) \to \mathcal{P}^{\geqslant i}$$

such that for  $F \in D_c^b(S)$  there is a distinguished triangle in  $D_c^b(S)$ :

$${}^{p}\tau^{\leqslant i}F \to F \to {}^{p}\tau^{\geqslant i+1}F \xrightarrow{[1]}$$

For a proper map  $f: X \to S$  and  $F \in D^b_c(X)$ , the perverse filtration on  $H^{\cdot}(X, F)$  is defined as the image of

$${}^{p}H_{f}^{\leqslant i}(X,F):=H^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(S,{}^{p}\tau^{\leqslant i}Rf_{*}F)\rightarrow H^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(S,Rf_{*}F)=H^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(X,F)$$

For  $F = IC_X$ , the decomposition theorem implies that

$$^{p}IH_{f}^{\leqslant i}(X) \hookrightarrow IH^{\cdot}(X).$$

Let  $f: X \to S$  be a generically finite morphism from X smooth, let U be a smooth open subset of S such that  $f^{-1}(U) \to U$  is étale, and let  $L = f_*(\mathbb{Q}_{f^{-1}(U)})$ .

For  $V \hookrightarrow S$ , denote by  $X_V := f^{-1}(V)$ . Let  $A_V$  be the set of irreducible components of  $X_V$ . Let  $c_V^a$  be the codimension on  $\iota_V^a : X_V^a \hookrightarrow X$  for  $a \in A_V$ . Consider a resolution of singularities  $\pi_V^a : Y_V^a \to X_V^a$ . Let  $g_V^a := f\pi_V^a : Y_V^a \to V$ . Then

$${}^{p}\tau^{\leqslant 0}Rf_{*}IC_{X} \cong \ker \left( Rf_{*}IC_{X} \to \bigoplus_{V \subsetneq S} \bigoplus_{a \in A_{V}} \left( {}^{p}\tau^{>c_{V}^{a}}Rg_{V*}^{a}IC_{Y_{V}^{a}} \right) [c_{V}^{a}] \right)$$

Define the subspace

$${}^{p}\widetilde{\tau}^{\leqslant 0}Rf_{*}IC_{X}:=\mathrm{image}\left(\bigoplus_{V\subsetneqq S}\bigoplus_{a\in A_{V}}\left({}^{p}\tau^{\leqslant -c_{V}^{a}}Rg_{V*}^{a}IC_{Y_{V}^{a}}\right)\left[-c_{V}^{a}\right]\rightarrow{}^{p}\tau^{\leqslant 0}Rf_{*}IC_{X}\right).$$

By a computation of Corti–Hanamura [11, Proposition 1.5, Theorem 2.4], there is an isomorphism:

(3) 
$$IC_S(L) \cong {}^p \tau^{\leqslant 0} Rf_* IC_X / {}^p \tilde{\tau}^{\leqslant 0} Rf_* IC_X.$$

Further, consider a more general morphism  $f: X \to S$  with X smooth. Let  $V \subsetneq S$  be a subvariety. For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , denote by  ${}^{p}\mathcal{H}^{i}(Rf_{*}IC_{X})_{V}$  the direct sum of simple summands of  ${}^{p}\mathcal{H}^{i}(Rf_{*}IC_{X})$  with support equal to V. A computation of Corti-Hanamura [11, Proposition 1.5] shows that:

(4) 
$${}^{p}\mathcal{H}^{i}(Rf_{*}IC_{X})_{V} \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{a \in A_{V}} {}^{p}\mathcal{H}^{i+c_{V}^{a}}\left(Rg_{V*}^{a}IC_{Y_{V}^{a}}\right).$$

# 3. The perverse filtration in K-theory

3.1. Definition of the filtration  $P^{\leq}$ . Let  $f: X \to S$  be a proper map between varieties. We define an increasing filtration

$$P_f^{\prime \leqslant i} \operatorname{gr} G_{\cdot}(X) \subset \operatorname{gr} G_{\cdot}(X).$$

It induces a filtration on  $\operatorname{gr} K(X)$ . We use the notations from Subsection 2.4. Let  $Y \hookrightarrow X$  be a subvariety and let  $T \xrightarrow{\pi} S$  be a map generically finite onto its image from T smooth. Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T \times X & \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} X \\ & \downarrow^{q} & & \downarrow^{f} \\ T & \stackrel{\pi}{\longrightarrow} S. \end{array}$$

For a correspondence  $\Gamma \in \operatorname{gr}_{\dim X-s}G_{T\times_S Y,0}(T\times X)$ , define

$$\Phi_{\Gamma} := p_*(\Gamma \otimes q^*(-)) : \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_i(T) \to \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot -s} G_{Y,i}(X).$$

We usually drop the shift by s in the superscript of  $\operatorname{gr} G_Y(X)$ . We define the subspace of  $\operatorname{gr} G_Y(X)$ :

$$P_{f,T}^{\leq i} := \operatorname{span}_{\Gamma} \left( \Phi_{\Gamma} : \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(T) \to \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} G_{Y}(X) \right)$$
$$P_{f}^{\leq i} := \operatorname{span} \left( P_{f,T}^{\leq i} \text{ for all maps } \pi \text{ as above} \right),$$

where the dimension of the correspondence satisfies


(5) 
$$\left\lfloor \frac{i + \dim X - \dim T}{2} \right\rfloor \ge s.$$

We also define a quotient of  $\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot}G_Y(X)$ :

$$P_f^{i \leq i} \operatorname{gr} G_Y(X) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{gr} G_Y(X) \twoheadrightarrow P_f^{i > i} \operatorname{gr} G_Y(X)$$

# 3.2. Functoriality of the filtration $P^{\prime \leq \cdot}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** Let X and Y be smooth varieties with  $c = \dim X - \dim Y$ . Consider proper maps




There are induced maps

$$h^*: P_f^{\prime \leqslant i-c}gr^{\cdot}K_{\cdot}(X) \to P_g^{\prime \leqslant i}gr^{\cdot}K_{\cdot}(Y).$$

*Proof.* Let  $T \to S$  be a generically finite map onto its image with T smooth. It suffices to show that

$$h^*: P_{f,T}^{\prime \leqslant i-c} \operatorname{gr} K_{\cdot}(X) \to P_{g,T}^{\prime \leqslant i} \operatorname{gr} K_{\cdot}(Y).$$

Consider the diagram:



Let  $\Theta \in \operatorname{gr}_{\dim X-s} G_{T \times_S X,0}(T \times X)$  be a correspondence such that

 $i \ge 2s - \dim X + \dim T.$ 

For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have that:

$$\operatorname{gr}^{j}K_{\cdot}(T) \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\Theta}} \operatorname{gr}^{j-s}K_{\cdot}(X)$$

$$\downarrow^{h^{*}}_{h^{*}\Theta} \xrightarrow{\qquad} f^{n-s}K_{\cdot}(Y).$$

To see this, we compute:


$$h^* \Phi_{\Theta}(F) = h^* p_{X*}(\Theta \otimes q_X^* F) = p_{Y*} \widetilde{h}^*(\Theta \otimes q_X^* F) = p_{Y*}(\widetilde{h}^* \Theta \otimes q_Y^* F) = \Phi_{\widetilde{h}^* \Theta}(F).$$

The correspondence  $h^*\Theta \in \operatorname{gr}_{\dim Y-s}G_{T\times_S Y}(T\times Y)$  satisfies

$$i + c \ge 2s - \dim Y + \dim T,$$

and this implies the desired conclusion.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let X and Y be varieties with proper maps



Let  $c = \dim X - \dim Y$ . There are induced maps

$$h_*: P'^{\leqslant i-c}_g gr_{\cdot}G_{\cdot}(Y) \to P'^{\leqslant i}_f gr_{\cdot}G_{\cdot}(X).$$

*Proof.* Let  $T \to S$  be a generically finite map onto its image from T smooth. We first explain that

$$h_*: P_{g,T}^{\prime \leqslant i-c} \operatorname{gr}_{\cdot} G_{\cdot}(Y) \to P_{f,T}^{\prime \leqslant i} \operatorname{gr}_{\cdot} G_{\cdot}(X).$$

We use the notation from the proof of Theorem 3.1. Consider a correspondence  $\Gamma \in \operatorname{gr}_{\dim Y-s} G_{T \times_S Y,0}(T \times Y)$  such that

$$i \ge 2s - \dim Y + \dim T.$$

For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have that:

To see this, we compute:

$$h_*p_{Y*}(\Gamma \otimes q_Y^*F) = p_{X*}\widetilde{h}_*(\Gamma \otimes \widetilde{h}^*q_X^*F) = p_{X*}(\widetilde{h}_*\Gamma \otimes q_X^*F).$$

The correspondence

$$\widetilde{h}_*\Gamma \in \operatorname{gr}_{\dim Y-s}G_{T\times_S X}(T\times X) = \operatorname{gr}_{\dim X-(c+s)}G_{T\times_S X}(T\times X)$$

satisfies

$$i + c \ge 2(s + c) - \dim X + \dim T,$$

and thus the conclusion follows.

We continue with some further properties of the filtration  $P^{i \leq \cdot}$ . The following is immediate:

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $f : X \to S$  be a proper map. Let U be an open subset of S,  $X_U := f^{-1}(U), \iota : X_U \hookrightarrow X$ , and  $f_U : X_U \to U$ . Then

$$\iota^*: P_f^{\prime \leqslant i} gr_{\cdot}G_{\cdot}(X) \to P_{f_U}^{\prime \leqslant i} gr_{\cdot}G_{\cdot}(X_U).$$

11

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $f : X \to S$  be a proper map from X smooth and consider  $e \in gr^j K_0(X)$ . Then

$$e \cdot P'^{\leqslant i}_f gr^a K_{\cdot}(X) \subset P'^{\leqslant i+2j}_f gr^{a+j} K_{\cdot}(X).$$

*Proof.* Let  $T \to S$  be a generically finite map onto its image with T smooth and let  $\Theta \in \operatorname{gr}_a G_{T \times_S X, 0}(T \times X)$ . Let  $p: T \times X \to X$  be the natural projection. Then


$$p^*(e) \cdot \Theta \in \operatorname{gr}_{a-j} G_{T \times_S X, 0}(T \times X).$$

For  $x \in \operatorname{gr}_{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(T)$ , we have that

$$e \cdot \Phi_{\Theta}(x) = \Phi_{p^*(e) \cdot \Theta}(x),$$

and the conclusion thus follows.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let X and Y be smooth varieties with proper maps



such that h is surjective. Let  $c = \dim X - \dim Y$ . Then

$$h_*\left(P_f^{\prime\leqslant i}gr_{\cdot}K_{\cdot}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}\right) = P_f^{\prime\leqslant i+c}gr_{\cdot}K_{\cdot}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$
$$h^*\left(gr^{\cdot}K(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}\right) \cap P_g^{\prime\leqslant i+c}gr^{\cdot}K_{\cdot}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} = h^*\left(P_f^{\prime\leqslant i}gr^{\cdot}K_{\cdot}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$$

If there exists  $X' \to Y$  such that the induced map  $X' \to X$  is birational, then the above isomorphisms hold integrally.


*Proof.* The statement and its proof are similar to [11, Proposition 3.11].

Let  $i : X' \to Y$  be a map such that  $hi : X' \to X$  is generically finite and surjective. Let  $f' := fi : X' \to S$ . Then, by Proposition 3.2:

$$P_{f'}^{\prime\leqslant i+c}\mathrm{gr.}K.(X')\xrightarrow{i_*} P_g^{\prime\leqslant i}\mathrm{gr.}K.(Y)\xrightarrow{h_*} P_f^{\prime\leqslant i+c}\mathrm{gr.}K.(X).$$

The map  $h_*i_*$ : gr. $K_{\cdot}(X') \to \text{gr.}K_{\cdot}(X)$  is multiplication by the degree of the map hi, so it is an isomorphism rationally. It is an isomorphism integrally if  $X' \to X$  has degree 1. The pullback statement is similar.


3.3. The filtration  $P^{\leq \cdot}$ . Let  $f: X \to S$  be a proper map from X smooth. Let  $V \hookrightarrow S$  be a subvariety, and let  $A_V$  the set of irreducible components of  $f^{-1}(V)$ . For an irreducible component  $X_V^a$  of  $f^{-1}(V)$ , consider a resolution of singularities  $\pi_V^a$  as follows:



Let  $c_V^a$  be the codimension of  $X_V^a$  in X. Denote by  $\tau_V^a = \iota_V^a \pi_V^a$ . Consider a subvariety  $Y \hookrightarrow X$ . Define

$$P_f^{\leqslant i} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} G_Y(X) := \bigcap_{V \subsetneqq S} \bigcap_{a \in A_V} \ker \left( \tau_V^{a*} : P_f^{\prime \leqslant i} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} G_Y(X) \to P_{\widetilde{f_V}}^{\prime > i + c_V^a} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K.\left(\widetilde{X_V^a}\right) \right).$$

The definition is independent of the resolutions  $\pi_V^a$  chosen. Indeed, consider two different resolutions  $\widetilde{X_V^a}$ ,  $\widetilde{X_V^{\prime a}}$ . There exists W such that




where the maps  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  are successive blow-ups along smooth subvarieties of  $\widetilde{X_V^a}$ and  $\widetilde{X_V'^a}$ , respectively. Let  $\tau_V'^a : \widetilde{X_V'^a} \to X$  as above. Then  $\tau_V^a \pi = \tau_V'^a \pi'$ . By Proposition 3.5,

$$\ker \left( \tau_V^{a*} : P_f^{\prime \leqslant i} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} G_Y(X) \to P_{\widetilde{f_V}^a}^{\prime > i + c_V^a} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K.\left(\widetilde{X_V^a}\right) \right) \cong$$

$$\ker \left( \pi^* \tau_V^{a*} : P_f^{\prime \leqslant i} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} G_Y(X) \to P_{\widetilde{f_V}^a}^{\prime > i + c_V^a} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K.(W) \right) \cong$$

$$\ker \left( \tau_V^{\prime a*} : P_f^{\prime \leqslant i} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} G_Y(X) \to P_{\widetilde{f_V}^a}^{\prime > i + c_V^a} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K.\left(\widetilde{X_V^a}\right) \right).$$

**Theorem 3.6.** Let X and Y be smooth varieties with  $c = \dim X - \dim Y$ . Consider proper maps



There are induced maps

$$\begin{split} h^*: P_f^{\leqslant i-c}gr^{\cdot}K_{\cdot}(X) &\to P_g^{\leqslant i}gr^{\cdot}K_{\cdot}(Y) \\ h_*: P_g^{\leqslant i-c}gr_{\cdot}K_{\cdot}(Y) &\to P_f^{\leqslant i}gr_{\cdot}K_{\cdot}(X). \end{split}$$

*Proof.* The functoriality of  $h^*$  follows from Proposition 3.1 and induction on dimension of S.

We discuss the statement for  $h_*$ . We use induction on the dimension of S. The case of S a point is clear as  $P_f^{\leq i} = P_f^{\leq i}$ . We use the notation from the beginning of Subsection 3.3. Let V be a subvariety of S. Let  $X_V^a$  be an irreducible component of  $f^{-1}(V)$  with a resolution of singularities  $\widetilde{X_V^a} \to X_V^a$ . Let B be the set of irreducible

component of  $Y_V$  over  $X_V^a$ . For  $b \in B$ , consider a resolution of singularities  $\widetilde{Y_V^b} \to Y_V^b$ and maps such that

$$\begin{array}{c} \bigsqcup_{b \in B} \widetilde{Y_V^b} \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_B h_V^b} \widetilde{X_V^a} \\ \downarrow \bigoplus_B \tau_V^b & \downarrow \tau_V^a \\ Y \xrightarrow{h} X. \end{array}$$

Consider the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_V^{\text{der}} & \stackrel{\widetilde{h}}{\longrightarrow} & \widetilde{X_V^a} \\ & \downarrow^{\tau} & \downarrow^{\tau_V^a} \\ Y & \stackrel{h}{\longrightarrow} & X. \end{array}$$

The scheme  $Y_V^{\text{der}}$  is quasi-smooth, see Subsection (2.3), and  $\operatorname{reldim} \widetilde{h} = \operatorname{reldim} h$ . For  $b \in B$ , there is a map  $p_b : \widetilde{Y_V^b} \to Y_V^{\text{der}}$ . Let  $d_b = \dim \widetilde{Y_V^b} - \dim Y_V^{\text{der}}$  and define

$$e_b = \det \left( \mathbb{L}_{\tau_V^b} / h_V^{b*} \mathbb{L}_{\tau_V^a} \right) \in \operatorname{gr}^{d_b} K_0\left( \widetilde{Y_V^b} \right).$$

By a version of the excess intersection formula, the following diagram commutes:

(6)  

$$gr.K.(Y) \xrightarrow{h_{*}} gr.K.(X)$$


$$\downarrow \bigoplus_{B} \tau_{V}^{b*}$$

$$\downarrow \bigoplus_{B} gr.K.(\widetilde{Y_{V}^{b}})$$

$$\downarrow \bigoplus_{B} e_{b}$$

$$\bigoplus_{B} gr.K.(\widetilde{Y_{V}^{b}}) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{B} h_{V}^{b*}} K.(\widetilde{X_{V}^{a}}),$$

where we have ignored shifts in the above gradings. We now explain that (6) commutes. Consider the diagram



Then

$$\sum_{b\in B} h_{V*}^b \left( e_b \cdot \tau_V^{b*} \right) = \sum_{b\in B} \widetilde{h}_* p_{b*} \left( e_b \cdot p_b^* \tau^* \right) = \widetilde{h}_* \left( \left( \sum_{b\in B} p_{b*} e_b \right) \cdot \tau^* \right).$$

For M a quasi-smooth scheme, denote by  $1 := [\mathcal{O}_M] \in \operatorname{gr}^0 K_0(M)$ . It suffices to show that

(7) 
$$\sum_{b\in B} p_{b*}(e_b) = 1 \in \operatorname{gr}^0 K_0\left(Y_V^{\operatorname{der}}\right).$$

The underlying scheme  $Y_V^{\text{cl}}$  has irreducible components indexed by B and these componenets are birational to  $\widetilde{Y_V^b}$ . Recall the discussion in Subsection 2.3.3. There exist open sets

$$W = \bigsqcup_{b \in B} W^b \subset Y_V^{\mathrm{der}},$$
$$U^b \subset \widetilde{Y_V^b}$$

whose complements have codimension  $\ge 1$  and such that for any  $b \in B$ :

$$\begin{split} W^{b,\mathrm{cl}} &\cong U^b, \\ W^b \times_{Y^{\mathrm{der}}_V} \widetilde{Y^b_V} &\cong U^b, \\ \mathcal{O}_{W^b} &\cong \mathcal{O}_{U^b} \left[ \mathcal{E}^b[1]; d \right], \end{split}$$

where  $\mathcal{E}^{b}$  is a vector bundle on  $U^{b}$  of rank  $d_{b}$  and the differential  $\mathcal{E}^{b} \to \mathcal{O}_{U^{b}}$  is zero. Let  $\varepsilon_{b} \in \operatorname{gr}^{d_{b}} K_{0}(U^{b})$  be the Euler class of  $\mathcal{E}^{b}$ . Then  $p_{b*}(\varepsilon_{b}) = 1 \in \operatorname{gr}^{0} K_{0}(W^{b})$  and the restriction map sends

res : 
$$\operatorname{gr}^{d_b} K_0\left(\widetilde{Y_V^b}\right) \to \operatorname{gr}^{d_b} K_0\left(U^b\right)$$
  
 $e_b \mapsto \varepsilon_b.$ 

Back to proving (7), we have that  $\operatorname{gr}^{0} K_{0}(Y_{V}^{\operatorname{der}}) \cong \bigoplus_{b \in B} \operatorname{gr}^{0} K_{0}(W^{b})$ . Consider the diagram

$$gr^{d_b}K_0\left(\widetilde{Y_V^b}\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{res}} gr^{d_b}K_0\left(U^b\right)$$
$$\downarrow^{p_{b*}} \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{p_{b*}}$$
$$gr^0K_0\left(Y_V^{\operatorname{der}}\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{res}} gr^0K_0(W^b),$$

where the horizontal maps are restriction to open sets maps. Then

res 
$$p_{b*}(e_b) = p_{b*}(\varepsilon_b) = 1$$
 in gr<sup>0</sup> $K_0(W^b)$ .

The diagram (6) thus commutes. The conclusion now follows from Propositions 3.2 and 3.4.  $\hfill \Box$ 


3.4. Towards the filtration  $\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leq i}$ . We continue with the notation from Subsection 3.1. Let X be a smooth variety with a proper map  $f: X \to S$ . Let  $T \xrightarrow{\pi} S$  be a generically finite map onto its image from T smooth. We say that  $\Gamma$  is a  $(f, \pi)$ -quasi-smooth scheme if  $\Gamma$  is a derived scheme with maps

such that  $\iota$  is a quasi-smooth immersion in a smooth variety X' (i.e. the cotangent complex  $\mathbb{L}_{\iota}[-1]$  is a vector bundle on  $\Gamma$ ), t is smooth, and  $q^{\text{cl}}$  is surjective. The conditions on the maps  $\iota$  and t imply that  $\Gamma$  is quasi-smooth. Let

$$\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K^{q}_{T \times_{S} X}(T \times X) \subset \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{T \times_{S} X}(T \times X)$$

be the subspace generated by classes  $[\Gamma]$  for  $(f, \pi)$ -quasi-smooth schemes as above.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let h be a proper map:



There are induced maps

$$h_*: gr_K^q_{T \times_S Y}(T \times Y) \to gr_K^q_{T \times_S X}(T \times X).$$

If h is surjective, then there are induced maps


$$h^*: \operatorname{gr} K^q_{T\times_S X}(T\times X) \to \operatorname{gr} K^q_{T\times_S Y}(T\times Y).$$

Proof. We discuss the statement about pullback. Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \Theta & & & Y' \xrightarrow{t_Y} Y \\ \downarrow^r & & \downarrow^{h'} & \downarrow^h \\ \Gamma & & & X' \xrightarrow{t_X} X \\ \downarrow^q & & & f \\ T & \xrightarrow{\pi} S, \end{array}$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a  $(f, \pi)$ -quasi-smooth scheme with  $q^{\text{cl}}$  is surjective,  $t_X$  is smooth, and the upper squares are cartesian. Then the map  $\Theta \hookrightarrow Y'$  is a quasi-smooth immersion and  $t_Y$  is smooth. The map h is surjective, so  $r^{\text{cl}} : \Theta^{\text{cl}} \to \Gamma^{\text{cl}}$  is surjective, and thus  $(qr)^{\text{cl}} : \Theta^{\text{cl}} \to T$  is surjective as well, so  $\Theta$  is a  $(g, \pi)$ -quasi-smooth scheme.


We next discuss the statement about pushforward. Consider



such that  $\iota$  is a closed immersion, t is smooth, and  $q^{\rm cl}$  is surjective. The map  $Y' \to X$  is a proper map of smooth quasi-projective varieties, so we can choose X' with maps

$$Y' \xrightarrow{\iota'} X' \xrightarrow{t'} X$$

such that  $\iota'$  is a closed immersion and t' is smooth. Then



such that  $\iota'\iota$  is a closed immersion, t' is smooth, and  $q^{\rm cl}$  is surjective.

Consider a diagram

(8)

X'

as above, with t a smooth map and with  $\iota$  a closed immersion. Let

$$T \times_S X = Z_1 \cup Z_2,$$

where  $Z_1$  is the union of irreducible components of  $T \times_S X$  dominant over T and  $Z_2$ is the union of the other irreducible components. Denote by  $Z_1^o := Z_1 - (Z_1 \cap Z_2)$ . Similarly define  $Z'_1$  and  $Z'_2$  for  $T \times_S X'$ . Let  $b = \operatorname{reldim} q$  and  $a = b + \dim T = \dim \Gamma$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** The class  $[\Gamma] \in gr_a K_{T \times_S X'}(T \times X')$  is not supported on  $Z'_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\ell$  be an *ft*-ample divisor. Denote by  $\operatorname{pr}_1: T \times X' \to T$ . Then

(9) 
$$\operatorname{pr}_{1*}\left([\Gamma] \cdot \ell^b\right) = d[T] \in \operatorname{gr}_{\dim T} K_{\cdot}(T)$$

for d a non-zero integer. Indeed, let  $\eta$  be the generic point of T. By abuse of notation, we denote by  $\eta$  its image in S. It suffices to show (9) after restricting to  $\eta$ . In this case, d is the intersection number  $[\Gamma_{\eta}] \cdot \ell^{b}$  in  $X'_{\eta}$ .

Further, let  $x \in \operatorname{gr}_a K_{Z'_2}(T \times X')$ . We have that

$$\operatorname{pr}_{1*}\left(x \cdot \ell^{b}\right) = 0 \in \operatorname{gr}_{\dim T} K_{\cdot}(T)$$

because the support on  $x \cdot \ell^b$  is not dominant over T. The conclusion thus follows.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.9.** Let  $T \xrightarrow{\pi} X$  be a generically finite map from T smooth with image V. Let  $a > \dim X_V$ . Then  $gr_a K^q_{T \times_S X}(T \times X) = 0$ . Further,  $gr_{\dim X_V} K^q_{T \times_S X}(T \times X)$  is generated by irreducible components of  $T \times_S X$  dominant over T of dimension  $X_V$ .

*Proof.* Suppose we are in the setting of (8) and let  $s : X \to X'$  be a section of t. We write  $\tilde{p} : \Gamma \to T \times X$ ,  $\tilde{\iota} : \Gamma \to T \times X'$  etc. Assume that

$$\widetilde{t}_*\widetilde{\iota}_*[\Gamma] = \widetilde{p}_*[\Gamma] \neq 0 \in \operatorname{gr}_a K^q_{T \times_S X}(T \times X).$$

Then there exists a non-zero  $x \in \operatorname{gr}_a K^q_{T \times_S X}(T \times X)$  such that

$$\widetilde{p}_*[\Gamma] = \widetilde{s}_*(x) \in \operatorname{gr}_a K^q_{T \times_S X'}(T \times X').$$

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{gr}_{a}K_{T\times_{S}X'}(T\times X') & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{res}} & \operatorname{gr}_{a}K_{Z_{1}'^{o}}(T\times X'\setminus Z_{2}') \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & &$$

By Proposition 3.8, we have that  $\operatorname{res}(x) \neq 0 \in \operatorname{gr}_a K_{Z_1^o}(T \times X \setminus Z_2)$ . We have that  $\dim Z_1^o = \dim X_V$ , and the conclusion follows from here.

3.5. The perverse filtration  $\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leq i}$ . We now define a smaller filtration  $\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leq i} \subset P_{f}^{\leq i}$ . We use the notation from Subsection 3.1.

Let X be a smooth variety with a proper map  $f: X \to S$  and let  $T \xrightarrow{\pi} S$  be a generically finite map onto its image from T smooth. Consider a subvariety  $Y \hookrightarrow X$ . Define the subspaces of  $\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot}G_Y(X)$ :

$$\mathbf{P}_{f,T}^{\prime \leqslant i} := \operatorname{span}_{\Gamma} \left( \Phi_{\Gamma} : \operatorname{gr} K_{\cdot}(T) \to \operatorname{gr} G_{Y}(X) \right)$$
$$\mathbf{P}_{f,V}^{\prime \leqslant i} := \operatorname{span} \left( \mathbf{P}_{f,T}^{\prime \leqslant i} \text{ for all maps } \pi \text{ as above } V \right)$$

where  $\Gamma \in \operatorname{gr}_{\dim X-s} K^q_{T \times_S Y,0}(T \times X)$  and


$$\left\lfloor \frac{i + \dim X - \dim T}{2} \right\rfloor \geqslant s.$$

Using the notation from Subsection 3.3, define

$$\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant i} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} G_{Y}(X) := \bigcap_{V \subsetneq S} \bigcap_{a \in A_{V}} \ker \left( \tau_{V}^{a*} : \mathbf{P}_{f}^{\prime \leqslant i} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} G_{Y}(X) \to P_{\widetilde{f_{V}^{a}}}^{\prime > i + c_{V}^{a}} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K.\left(\widetilde{X_{V}^{a}}\right) \right).$$

The definition is independent of the resolutions  $\widetilde{X_V^a}$  chosen, see Subsection 3.3.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let X and Y be smooth varieties with  $c = \dim X - \dim Y$ . Consider proper maps



There are induced maps

$$\begin{split} h_*: & \boldsymbol{P}_g^{\leqslant i-c} gr_{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(Y) \to \boldsymbol{P}_f^{\leqslant i} gr_{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) \\ h_*: & \boldsymbol{P}_g^{\leqslant i-c} gr_{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(Y) \to \boldsymbol{P}_f^{\leqslant i} gr_{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X). \end{split}$$

If h is surjective, then there are induced maps

$$\begin{split} h^*: & \boldsymbol{P}_f^{\leqslant i-c} gr^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) \to \boldsymbol{P}_g^{\leqslant i} gr^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(Y) \\ h^*: & \boldsymbol{P}_f^{\leqslant i-c} gr^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) \to \boldsymbol{P}_g^{\leqslant i} gr^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(Y). \end{split}$$

*Proof.* The functoriality follow as in Propositions 3.1, 3.2, and Theorem 3.6, using Proposition 3.7.

3.6. Properties of the perverse filtration. Consider a proper map  $f: X \to S$  with X smooth. Define the defect of semismallness of f by

$$s := s(f) = \dim X \times_S X - \dim X.$$

Further, define  $s' = \max(\dim X + \dim S - 4, \dim X)$ . The perverse filtration in cohomology satisfies

$${}^{p}H_{f}^{\leqslant -s-1}(X) = 0 \text{ and } {}^{p}H_{f}^{\leqslant s}(X) = H^{\cdot}(X),$$

see [7, Section 1.6]. We prove an analogous result in K-theory:

**Theorem 3.11.** For f as above,

$$P_f^{\leqslant -s'-1}gr^{\cdot}K_{\cdot}(X) = \mathbf{P}_f^{\leqslant -s-1}gr^{\cdot}K_{\cdot}(X) = 0$$
$$P_f^{\leqslant s}gr^{\cdot}K_0(X) = \mathbf{P}_f^{\leqslant s}gr^{\cdot}K_0(X) = gr^{\cdot}K_0(X).$$

**Proposition 3.12.** Let  $f : X \to S$  be a surjective map from X smooth with reldim f > 0 and consider a subvariety  $Z \hookrightarrow X$  of codimension  $\ge 2$ . Then there exists a subvariety  $\iota : Y \hookrightarrow X$  of codimension 1 such that  $Z \subset Y$  and  $f\iota : Y \to S$  is surjective.

*Proof.* It suffices to pass to the generic point of Z, and we can thus assume that Z is a point and is given by a complete intersection of smooth hypersurfaces  $H_1, \dots, H_r$  in X with  $r \ge 2$ . Localizing at the generic point of Z, we can assume that Z is a point. Further restricting to an open set of X, we can assume that the fibers of f are irreducible. Assume that none of the maps

$$f_i: H_i \to Z$$

are surjective. Let  $S_i$  be the image of  $f_i$ . Let  $S' := \bigcap_{i=1}^r S_i$ . Then S' is not empty because it contains f(Z). We have  $\pi^{-1}(S_i) = H_i$  and so  $\bigcap_{i=1}^r H_i$  contains  $\pi^{-1}(S')$ .

This means that dim  $\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}\right) \ge \operatorname{reldim} f$ . This bound contradicts that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}$  is a point Z.

**Proposition 3.13.** Let  $f: X \to S$  be a proper surjective map from X smooth of relative dimension d. Then

$$\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\prime \leqslant d}gr^{\cdot}K_{0}(X) = gr^{\cdot}K_{0}(X).$$

*Proof.* We use induction on d. Assume that f is generically finite. Consider the correspondence  $\Delta \cong X \hookrightarrow X \times_S X$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta & \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} & X \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow f \\ X & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} & S. \end{array}$$

This implies that  $\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\prime \leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr} K.(X) = \operatorname{gr} K.(X).$ 

Consider f with d > 0. Let  $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow X$  be a subvariety of codimension  $\geq 2$ . By Proposition 3.12, there exists  $Y \hookrightarrow X$  of codimension 1 such that  $Z \subset Y$  and  $Y \to S$  has image W of codimension  $\leq 1$  in S. Let  $Y' \to Y$  be a resolution of singularities and denote the resulting map by  $g: Y' \to W$ . By induction,

$$\mathbf{P}_q^{\prime \leqslant d-1} \operatorname{gr} K_0(Y') = \operatorname{gr} K_0(Y').$$

By Proposition 2.2,

image 
$$(\iota_* : \operatorname{gr}_{\cdot}G_0(Z) \to \operatorname{gr}_{\cdot}K_0(X)) \subset \operatorname{image} (g_* : \operatorname{gr}_{\cdot}K_0(Y') \to \operatorname{gr}_{\cdot}K_0(X))$$

Finally, assume that  $Z \hookrightarrow Y$  has codimension 1. By Proposition 2.1, it suffices to show that

image 
$$(\operatorname{gr}_{\dim Z} G_0(Z) \to \operatorname{gr}_{\dim Z} G_0(X)) \subset \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq d} \operatorname{gr} K_0(X)$$

because  $\operatorname{gr}_i G_0(Z)$  for  $i < \dim Z$  is generated by varieties of smaller dimension than Z. If  $Z \to S$  is surjective, then it has relative dimension d-1 and we can treat it as above. If  $Z \to S$  is not surjective, let  $W \subset S$  be its image. Choose a resolution of singularities  $T \to W$  and a smooth variety  $\Gamma$  with surjective maps p and q:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \Gamma & \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} Z & \longleftrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow^{q} & \downarrow & & \downarrow^{f} \\ T & \longrightarrow W & \longleftrightarrow & S. \end{array}$$

Then  $[\Gamma] \in \operatorname{gr}_{\dim X - 1} K^q_{T \times_S X}(T \times X)$  and its image  $\Phi_{\Gamma}$  is in  $\mathbf{P}_f^{\prime \leq d} \operatorname{gr} K_0(X)$ . Then

$$\operatorname{image}\left(\operatorname{gr}_{\operatorname{dim} Z} G_0(Z) \to \operatorname{gr}_{\operatorname{dim} Z} K_0(X)\right) \subset \operatorname{image} \Phi_{\Gamma} \subset \mathbf{P}_f^{\prime \leqslant d} \operatorname{gr} K_0(X)$$

The conclusion now follows from Proposition 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.11. We first show that  $P_f^{\leq -s'-1} \operatorname{gr} K_{\cdot}(X) = 0$ . Consider a map  $\pi: T \to X$  generically finite onto its image  $V \subset S$  with T smooth and consider a correspondence

$$\Gamma \in \operatorname{gr}_{\dim X-b}G_{T \times_S X}(T \times S).$$

Then dim  $X - b \leq \dim T \times_S X \leq \max(\dim X, \dim X + \dim T - 2)$ , and so

$$b \ge \min\left(0, -\dim T + 2\right).$$

By the bound (5), it suffices to show that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{-s'-1+\dim X-\dim T}{2} \right\rfloor < \min\left(0,-\dim T+2\right),$$

or, alternatively, that

$$\max(\dim X - \dim T - 1, \dim X + \dim T - 5) < s',$$

which is true because  $0 \leq \dim T \leq \dim S$ .

We next explain that  $\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leq -s-1} \operatorname{gr} K_{\cdot}(X) = 0$ . We keep the notation from the previous paragraph. Let  $[\Gamma] \in \operatorname{gr}_{\dim X-b} K^{q}_{T \times_{S} X}(T \times S)$ . By Proposition 3.9, we have that

$$b \ge \dim X - \dim X_V.$$

It suffices to show that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{-s - 1 + \dim X - \dim T}{2} \right\rfloor < \dim X - \dim X_V,$$

or, alternatively, that

$$2\dim X_V - \dim V \leqslant s - \dim X = \dim X \times_S X,$$

which is true because  $2 \dim X_V - \dim V \leq \dim X_V \times_V X_V \leq \dim X \times_S X$ .

We next show that  $\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leq s} \operatorname{gr} K_{0}(X) = \operatorname{gr} K_{0}(X)$ . We can assume that f is surjective of relative dimension d. Use the notation from Subsection 3.3. We have that

$$\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant s} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{0}(X) := \bigcap_{V \subsetneqq S} \bigcap_{a \in A_{V}} \ker \left( \tau_{V}^{a*} : \mathbf{P}_{f}^{\prime \leqslant s} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{0}(X) \to P_{\widetilde{f}_{V}^{a}}^{\prime > s + c_{V}^{a}} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{0}\left(\widetilde{X_{V}^{a}}\right) \right).$$

We claim that

reldim 
$$\left(\widetilde{X_V^a} \to V\right)$$
 = reldim  $\left(X_V^a \to V\right) \leqslant s + c_V^a$ 

Indeed,

$$\dim X_V^a - \dim V \leq (\dim X \times_S X - \dim X) + (\dim X - \dim X_V^a)$$
  
$$2 \dim X_V^a - \dim V \leq \dim X_V^a \times_V X_V^a \leq \dim X \times_S X,$$

which is true. By Proposition 3.13, this implies that  $P_{\widetilde{f_V}}^{\prime>s+c_V^a}\operatorname{gr} K_0\left(\widetilde{X_V^a}\right) = 0$ . Furthermore,  $s \ge d$ , so Proposition 3.13 implies that  $\mathbf{P}_f^{\prime\leq s}\operatorname{gr} K_0(X) = \operatorname{gr} K_0(X)$ , and

thus  $\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant s} \operatorname{gr} K_{0}(X) = \operatorname{gr} K_{0}(X)$ . This also implies that  $P_{f}^{\leqslant s} \operatorname{gr} K_{0}(X) = \operatorname{gr} K_{0}(X)$ .

# 3.7. Examples of perverse filtration in K-theory.

3.7.1. Let X be a smooth variety of dimension d, and let  $f: X \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$P_f^{\leqslant i} \mathrm{gr}^j K_{\cdot}(X) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{gr}^j K_{\cdot}(X) & \text{if } j \leqslant \lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \rfloor, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3.7.2. Let X be a smooth variety and let E be a vector bundle on X of rank d+1. Let  $Y := \mathbb{P}_X(E)$ . Denote by  $\hbar := c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) \in \operatorname{gr}^2 K_0(Y)$ . Consider the projection map  $f: Y \to X$ . We have that s(f) = d. For  $i \leq d$ , there exists an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant \lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \rfloor} \operatorname{gr}^{a-2j} K_{\cdot}(X) \cong P_{f}^{\prime \leqslant i} \operatorname{gr}^{a} K_{\cdot}(Y)$$
$$(x_{0}, \cdots, x_{\lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \rfloor}) \mapsto \sum_{j \leqslant \lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \rfloor} \hbar^{j} f^{*}(x_{j}).$$

The condition for  $P^{\leqslant i}$  is checked using projective bundles over varieties of smaller dimension, and we obtain that

$$\bigoplus_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant \lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \rfloor} \operatorname{gr}^{a-2j} K_{\cdot}(X) \cong P_{f}^{\leqslant i} \operatorname{gr}^{a} K_{\cdot}(Y).$$

3.7.3. Let X be a smooth variety and let Z be a smooth subvariety of codimension d + 1. Consider the blow-up diagram for  $Y = \text{Bl}_Z X$ :

$$E \xrightarrow{\iota} Y$$

$$\downarrow^p \qquad \qquad \downarrow^f$$

$$Z \xrightarrow{j} X.$$

Let  $\hbar := c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(1)) \in \operatorname{gr}^2 K_0(E)$ . We have that s(f) = d - 1. For  $i \leq d - 1$ , there is an isomorphism:

$$\operatorname{gr}^{a} K(X)^{\varepsilon} \oplus \bigoplus_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant \lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \rfloor - 1} \operatorname{gr}^{a-2-2j} K_{\cdot}(Z) \cong P_{f}^{\leqslant i} \operatorname{gr}^{a} K_{\cdot}(Y)$$
$$\left(x, z_{0}, \cdots, z_{\lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \rfloor - 1}\right) \mapsto f^{*}(x) + \sum_{j \leqslant \lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \rfloor - 1} \iota_{*}\left(\hbar^{j} q^{*}(z_{j})\right).$$

Here  $\varepsilon$  is 0 if i < 0 and is 1 otherwise. This follows from the computation in Subsection 3.7.2 and Proposition 4.4.

One can check that in the above examples, we have that  $\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant \cdot} = P_{f}^{\leqslant \cdot}$ .

3.8. Compatibility with the perverse filtration in cohomology. Consider a proper map  $f : X \to S$  with X smooth. Define filtrations  $P_f^{\leq i}, P_f^{\leq i}, \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i}$  on  $H^{\cdot}(X), H^{\cdot}(X)_{\text{alg}}$  as in Subsections 3.1 and 3.5. We have that

image 
$$\left(\mathfrak{c}: P_f^{\leqslant i} \mathrm{gr}^j K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to P_f^{\leqslant i} H^{2j}(X)\right) = P_f^{\leqslant i} H^{2j}(X)_{\mathrm{alg}}.$$

We use the notation  ${}^{p}H_{f}^{\leq i}(X)_{\text{full}}$  for the cohomology of summands of  ${}^{p}\tau^{\leq i}Rf_{*}IC_{X}$ with support S.

Proposition 3.14. There exist natural inclusions

$$\begin{split} & \mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant i}H^{\cdot}(X) \subset P_{f}^{\leqslant i}H^{\cdot}(X) \subset {}^{p}H_{f}^{\leqslant i}(X) \\ & \mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant i}H^{\cdot}(X)_{alg} \subset P_{f}^{\leqslant i}H^{\cdot}(X)_{alg} \subset {}^{p}H_{f}^{\leqslant i}(X)_{alg} \end{split}$$

Thus the cycle map restricts to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{c} &: P_f^{\leqslant i} gr^{\cdot} K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to {}^p H_f^{\leqslant i}(X)_{alg} \\ \mathfrak{c} &: \mathbf{P}_f^{\leqslant i} gr^{\cdot} K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to {}^p H_f^{\leqslant i}(X)_{alg}. \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathit{Proof.}$  Let  $\pi:T\to S$  be a generically finite map with T smooth. Consider a correspondence

$$\Gamma \in \operatorname{gr}_{\dim X-s} K_{T \times_S X,0}(T \times X)$$

such that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{i + \dim X - \dim T}{2} \right\rfloor \geqslant s$$

The correspondence  $\Gamma$  induces a map of constructible sheaves on S:

$$R\pi_* \mathbb{Q}_T[-2s] \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\Gamma}} Rf_* \mathbb{Q}_X.$$
$$Rp_* IC_T[\dim X - \dim T - 2s] \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\Gamma}} Rf_* IC_X.$$

If  $\pi$  is not surjective,  $R\pi_*IC_T$  has summands with support  $W \subsetneq S$ . If  $\pi$  is surjective, the complex  $R\pi_*IC_T$  has summands  $IC_S(\mathcal{L})$  of full support and of perverse degree zero, and other summands with support  $W \subsetneq S$ . The perverse degree of the sheaf with support S in the image of  $\Phi_{\Gamma}$  is

$$\dim X - \dim T - 2s \leqslant i.$$

Thus  $P'_{f}^{\leq i}H^{\cdot}(X)$  contains cohomology of sheaves  $IC_{S}(\mathcal{L})[j]$  with  $j \leq i$  which appear as summands of  $Rf_{*}IC_{X}$  and of other sheaves with support  $W \subsetneqq S$ . Thus

$$P'_f H^{\cdot}(X) \twoheadrightarrow {}^p H^{>i}_f(X)_{\text{full}}.$$

Using the notation in Subsection 3.3, we have that

$$P_f^{\leqslant i}H^{\cdot}(X) := \bigcap_{V \subsetneq S} \bigcap_{a \in A_V} \ker \left( \tau_V^{a*} : P_f^{\prime \leqslant i}H^{\cdot}(X) \to P_{\widetilde{f_V}}^{\prime > i + c_V^a} H^{\cdot}\left(\widetilde{X_V^a}\right) \right).$$

In particular,

$$P_f^{\leqslant i}H^{\cdot}(X) \subset \bigcap_{V \subsetneqq Z} \bigcap_{a \in A_V} \ker \left( \tau_V^{a*} : P_f^{\prime \leqslant i}H^{\cdot}(X) \to {}^pH_{\widetilde{f_V^a}}^{>i+c_V^a} \left( \widetilde{X_V^a} \right)_{\text{full}} \right).$$

Using (4), we obtain that  $P_f^{\leqslant i}H^{\cdot}(X) \subset {}^pH_f^{\leqslant i}(X)$ .

**Remark.** We expect equalities  $\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant i}H^{\cdot}(X)_{\text{alg}} = P_{f}^{\leqslant i}H^{\cdot}(X)_{\text{alg}} = {}^{p}H_{f}^{\leqslant i}(X)_{\text{alg}}$  in the above Proposition.

## 4. Intersection K-theory

4.1. Definition of intersection K-theory. Let S be a variety, let U be an open subset, let  $f : X \to S$  be such  $f^{-1}(U) \to U$  is étale, and let  $L = f_*(\mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(U)})$ . Recall the notation of Subsection 3.3. Define

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{f}^{\leqslant i} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) := \mathrm{image} \left( \bigoplus_{V \subsetneqq S} \bigoplus_{a \in A_{V}} P_{f}^{\leqslant i} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{X_{V}^{a}}(X) \to P_{f}^{\leqslant i} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) \right)$$
$$\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{f}^{\leqslant i} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) := \mathrm{image} \left( \bigoplus_{V \gneqq S} \bigoplus_{a \in A_{V}} \mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant i} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{X_{V}^{a}}(X) \to \mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant i} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) \right).$$

Define

$$\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} IK_{\cdot}(S,L) := P_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) / \left( \widetilde{P}_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) \cap \ker f_{*} \right)$$
$$\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} IK_{\cdot}(S,L) := \mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) / \left( \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) \cap \ker f_{*} \right).$$


**Theorem 4.1.** The definitions of gr IK(S, L) and gr IK(S, L) do not depend on the choice of the map  $f: X \to S$  with  $f^{-1}(U) \to U$  étale such that  $L \cong f_*(\mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(U)})$ . Further, let  $U^o \subset U$  be an open set and let  $L^o := L|_{U^o}$ . Then

$$gr^{\cdot}IK_{\cdot}(S,L) \cong gr^{\cdot}IK_{\cdot}(S,L^{o}),$$
  
 $gr^{\cdot}IK_{\cdot}(S,L) \cong gr^{\cdot}IK_{\cdot}(S,L^{o}).$ 

We start with some preliminary results. Let  $f : X \to S$  be a proper map with X smooth. Let Z be a smooth subvariety of X with normal bundle  $N, Y = \text{Bl}_Z X$ , and  $E = \mathbb{P}_Z(N)$  the exceptional divisor

$$E \xrightarrow{\iota} Y$$
$$\downarrow^p \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\pi}$$
$$Z \xrightarrow{j} X.$$

Consider the proper maps



Let  $X' \hookrightarrow X$  be a closed subset, and denote its preimages in Y, Z, E by Y', Z', E' respectively. Denote by

$$\operatorname{gr}_{K_{Y'}}(Y)^{0} = \operatorname{ker}(\pi_{*}: \operatorname{gr}_{K_{Y'}}(Y) \to \operatorname{gr}_{K_{X'}}(X)).$$

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $T \to S$  be a map with T smooth which is generically finite onto its image. Then

$$gr.K_{T\times_SY'}(T\times Y) = \pi^* gr.K_{T\times_SX'}(T\times X) \oplus gr.K_{T\times_SE'}(T\times Y)^0$$
$$gr.K_{T\times_SY'}^q(T\times Y) = \pi^* gr.K_{T\times_SX'}^q(T\times X) \oplus gr.K_{T\times_SE'}^q(T\times Y)^0.$$

Proof. Let c + 1 be the codimension of Z in X. Denote by  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  the canonical line bundle on E and let  $\hbar = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1)) \in \operatorname{gr}^2 K_0(E)$ . There is a semi-orthogonal decomposition [4, Theorem 4.2] with  $\pi^*$  fully faithful on  $D^b(X)$ :

$$D^{b}(Y) = \left\langle \pi^{*} D^{b}(X), \iota_{*} \left( p^{*} D^{b}(Z) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \right), \cdots, \iota_{*} \left( p^{*} D^{b}(Z) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-c) \right) \right\rangle,$$

which implies that

$$\operatorname{gr}^{j}K.(Y) = \pi^{*}\operatorname{gr}^{j}K.(X) \oplus \bigoplus_{0 \leq k \leq c-1} \iota_{*}\left(\hbar^{k} \cdot p^{*}\operatorname{gr}^{j-2-2k}K.(Z)\right)$$

Using the analogous decomposition for  $Y \setminus Y' = \operatorname{Bl}_{Z \setminus Z'}(X \setminus X')$  and the localization sequence in K-theory [21, V.2.6.2], we obtain that

$$\operatorname{gr}^{j} K_{Y'}(Y) = \pi^{*} \operatorname{gr}^{j} K_{X'}(X) \oplus \bigoplus_{0 \leq k \leq c-1} \iota_{*} \left( \hbar^{k} \cdot p^{*} \operatorname{gr}^{j-2-2k} K_{Z'}(Z) \right).$$

In particular, we have that

$$\operatorname{gr}^{j}K_{T\times_{S}Y'}(T\times Y) = \pi^{*}\operatorname{gr}^{j}K_{T\times_{S}X'}(T\times X) \oplus \bigoplus_{0 \leqslant k \leqslant c-1} \iota_{*}\left(\hbar^{k} \cdot p^{*}\operatorname{gr}^{j-2-2k}K_{T\times_{S}Z'}(T\times Z)\right)$$

and thus that

$$\operatorname{gr}_{K_{T\times_{S}Y'}}(T\times Y) = \pi^{*}\operatorname{gr}_{K_{T\times_{S}X'}}(T\times X) \oplus \operatorname{gr}_{K_{T\times_{S}E'}}(T\times Y)^{0}.$$

By Proposition 3.7, we also have that

$$\operatorname{gr}_{K}K^{q}_{T\times_{S}Y'}(T\times Y) = \pi^{*}\operatorname{gr}_{K}K^{q}_{T\times_{S}X'}(T\times X) \oplus \operatorname{gr}_{K}K^{q}_{T\times_{S}E'}(T\times Y)^{0}.$$

An immediate corollary of Proposition 4.2 is:

**Corollary 4.3.** We continue with the notation from Proposition 4.2. There are decompositions

$$P_g^{\prime \leqslant i} gr^{\cdot} K_{Y'}(Y) = \pi^* P_f^{\prime \leqslant i} gr^{\cdot} K_{X'}(X) \oplus P_g^{\prime \leqslant i} gr^{\cdot} K_{E'}(Y)$$
$$P_g^{\prime \leqslant i} gr^{\cdot} K_{Y'}(Y) = \pi^* P_f^{\prime \leqslant i} gr^{\cdot} K_{X'}(X) \oplus P_g^{\prime \leqslant i} gr^{\cdot} K_{E'}(Y).$$

We next prove:


**Proposition 4.4.** We continue with the notation from Proposition 4.2. There are decompositions

$$P_g^{\leqslant i}gr^{i}K_{Y'}(Y) = \pi^* P_f^{\leqslant i}gr^{i}K_{X'}(X) \oplus P_g^{\leqslant i}gr^{i}K_{E'}(Y)$$
$$P_g^{\leqslant i}gr^{i}K_{Y'}(Y) = \pi^* P_f^{\leqslant i}gr^{i}K_{X'}(X) \oplus P_g^{\leqslant i}gr^{i}K_{E'}(Y).$$

*Proof.* We use the notation from Subsection 3.3. For  $V \subsetneq S$ , let  $A_V$  be the set of irreducible components of  $f^{-1}(V)$ . Let  $X_V^a$  be such a component.

If  $X_V^a \subset Z$ , then there is only one irreducible component  $Y_V^a = \mathbb{P}_{X_V^a}(N)$  of  $g^{-1}(V)$  above it.

If  $X_V^a$  is not in Z, then there is one component  $Y_V^a$  of  $g^{-1}(V)$  birational to  $X_V^a$ . The other components are  $\mathbb{P}_{W_V^b}(N)$ , where  $W_V^b$  is an irreducible component of  $X_V^a \cap Z$ . Denote by  $B_a$  the set of such components. For  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B_a$ , consider resolutions of singularities r such that



Denote by  $\tau$  maps as in Subsection 3.3, for example  $\tau_V^a : \widetilde{X_V^a} \to X$ , and by  $\mu$  the map

(10) 
$$\tau_V^b : \widetilde{W_V^b} \xrightarrow{\mu} \widetilde{X_V^a} \xrightarrow{\tau_V^a} X.$$

We consider the proper maps

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{f_V^a} &: \widetilde{X_V^a} \to X_V^a \to V \\ \widetilde{g_V^a} &: \widetilde{Y_V^a} \to Y_V^a \to V \\ \widetilde{f_V^b} &: \widetilde{W_V^b} \to W_V^b \to V \\ \widetilde{g_V^b} &: \mathbb{P}_{\widetilde{W_V^b}}(N) \to \mathbb{P}_{W_V^b}(N) \to V \end{split}$$

Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} c_V^a &= \operatorname{codim} \left( X_V^a \text{ in } X \right) = \operatorname{codim} \left( Y_V^a \text{ in } Y \right) \\ c_V^b &= \operatorname{codim} \left( W_V^b \text{ in } X \right) \\ c_V'^b &= \operatorname{codim} \left( \mathbb{P}_{W_V^b}(N) \text{ in } Y \right) \end{aligned}$$

the codimensions as in Subsection 3.3. By Proposition 3.5, we have that

(11) 
$$\ker\left(\tau_{V}^{a*}:\pi^{*}P_{f}^{\prime\leqslant i}\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot}K.(X)\to P_{\widetilde{g_{V}^{a}}}^{\prime>i+c_{V}^{a}}\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot}K.\left(\widetilde{Y_{V}^{a}}\right)\right)\cong \\\ker\left(\tau_{V}^{a*}:P_{f}^{\prime\leqslant i}\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot}K.(X)\to P_{\widetilde{f_{V}^{a}}}^{\prime>i+c_{V}^{a}}\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot}K.\left(\widetilde{X_{V}^{a}}\right)\right).$$

By Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.1 for the map  $\mu$  in (10), we have that

(12) 
$$\ker \left( \tau_V^{b*} : \pi^* P_f^{\leq i} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) \to P_{\widetilde{g_V^b}}^{\prime > i + c_V^{\prime b}} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot} \left( \mathbb{P}_{\widetilde{W_V^b}}(N) \right) \right) \cong$$
$$\ker \left( \tau_V^{b*} : P_f^{\leq i} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) \to P_{\widetilde{f_V^b}}^{\prime > i + c_V^b} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot} \left( \widetilde{W_V^b} \right) \right) \supset$$
$$\ker \left( \tau_V^{a*} : P_f^{\leq i} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) \to P_{\widetilde{f_V^a}}^{\prime > i + c_V^a} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot} \left( \widetilde{X_V^a} \right) \right).$$

Let  $B_V$  be the set of irreducible components of  $g^{-1}(V)$ . For  $d \in B_V$ , denote by  $\widetilde{g_V^d}: \widetilde{Y_V^d} \to V$  and let  $c_V^d := \operatorname{codim}(Y_V^d \text{ in } Y)$ . We have that  $B_V = A \cup \bigcup_{a \in A} B_a$ . The statements in (11) and (12) imply that


$$\pi_* : \bigcap_{V \subsetneqq S} \bigcap_{d \in B_V} \ker \left( \tau_V^{d*} : \pi^* P_f^{i \leqslant i} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) \to P_{\widetilde{g_V^a}}^{i > i + c_V^d} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}\left(\widetilde{Y_V^d}\right) \right) \cong \\\bigcap_{V \subsetneqq S} \bigcap_{a \in A_V} \ker \left( \tau_V^{a*} : P_f^{i \leqslant i} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) \to P_{\widetilde{f_V^a}}^{i > i + c_V^a} \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}\left(\widetilde{X_V^a}\right) \right).$$

Using Corollary 4.3, we obtain that

$$P_g^{\leqslant i} \operatorname{gr} K_{Y'}(Y) = \pi^* P_f^{\leqslant i} \operatorname{gr} K_{X'}(X) \oplus P_g^{\leqslant i} \operatorname{gr} K_{E'}(Y)^0$$

The analogous statement for  $\mathbf{P}^{\leqslant i}$  follows similarly.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Any two such varieties  $f : X \to S$  and  $f' : X' \to S$  are birational, so by [1] there is a smooth variety W such that



and the maps  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  are successive blow-ups along smooth subvarieties of X and X', respectively. It thus suffices to show that (13)

$$P_f^{\leqslant 0} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) \Big/ \left( \widetilde{P}_f^{\leqslant 0} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) \cap \ker f_* \right) \cong P_g^{\leqslant 0} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(Y) \Big/ \left( \widetilde{P}_g^{\leqslant 0} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(Y) \cap \ker g_* \right),$$

where  $\pi: Y \to X$  is the blow up along smooth subvariety  $Z \hookrightarrow X$  and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \stackrel{\pi}{\longrightarrow} & X \\ & \searrow & & \downarrow^f \\ & g & & \downarrow^g \\ & S. \end{array}$$

By Proposition 4.4, we have that

$$P_g^{\leqslant i} \operatorname{gr} K_{\cdot}(Y) = \pi^* P_f^{\leqslant i} \operatorname{gr} K_{\cdot}(X) \oplus P_g^{\leqslant i} \operatorname{gr} K_E(Y)^0$$
$$\widetilde{P}_g^{\leqslant i} \operatorname{gr} K_{\cdot}(Y) = \pi^* \widetilde{P}_f^{\leqslant i} \operatorname{gr} K_{\cdot}(X) \oplus P_g^{\leqslant i} \operatorname{gr} K_E(Y)^0.$$

The map  $\pi^*$  is injective. Taking the quotients we thus obtain the isomorphism (13). The analogous statement for **I**K is similar.

4.2. Cycle map for intersection K-theory. Let S be a variety, let U be an open subset, let  $f: X \to S$  be such  $f^{-1}(U) \to U$  is étale, and let  $L = f_*(\mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(U)})$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** The cycle map  $ch : gr^j K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to H^{2j}(X)$  induces cycle maps independent of the map  $f : X \to S$  as in Subsection 4.1:

$$\mathfrak{c} : gr^{j}IK_{0}(S,L)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to IH^{2j}(S,L\otimes\mathbb{Q})$$
$$\mathfrak{c} : gr^{j}IK_{0}(S,L)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to IH^{2j}(S,L\otimes\mathbb{Q}).$$

*Proof.* Define  $P_f^{\leq i} H_{X_V^a}^{\cdot}(X)$  as in Subsection 3.1 and denote by

$$\widetilde{P}_{f}^{\leqslant 0}H^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(X):=\mathrm{image}\left(\bigoplus_{V\subsetneq S}\bigoplus_{a\in A_{T}}P_{f}^{\prime\leqslant i}H^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}_{X_{V}^{a}}(X)\rightarrow H^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(X)\right)\cap P_{f}^{\leqslant 0}H^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(X).$$

Denote by  ${}^{p}\widetilde{H}_{f}^{\leq 0}(X) \subset {}^{p}H_{f}^{\leq 0}(X)$  the sum of summands of  ${}^{p}\tau^{\leq 0}Rf_{*}IC_{X}$  with support strictly smaller than S. By Proposition 3.14, the cycle map respects the perverse filtrations in K-theory and cohomology

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{c} &: P_f^{\leqslant 0} \mathrm{gr}^j K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to P_f^{\leqslant 0} H^{2j}(X) \hookrightarrow {}^p H_f^{\leqslant 0}(X) \\ \mathfrak{c} &: \widetilde{P}_f^{\leqslant 0} \mathrm{gr}^j K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \widetilde{P}_f^{\leqslant 0} H^{2j}(X) \hookrightarrow {}^p \widetilde{H}_f^{\leqslant 0}(X). \end{split}$$

Taking the quotient and using (3), we obtain a map

$$\mathfrak{c}: \operatorname{gr}^{j} IK_{0}(S, L)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to IH^{2j}(S, L \otimes \mathbb{Q}).$$

The proof that the above cycle map is independent of the map f chosen follows as in Theorem 4.1. The argument for  $\mathbf{I}K$  is similar.

4.3. Further properties of intersection *K*-theory. Intersection cohomology satisfies the following properties, the second one explaining its name [10, Motivation]:

• The natural map  $H^i(S) \to H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{2d-i}(S)$  factors through

$$H^i(S) \to IH^i(S) \to H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{2d-i}(S).$$

• There is a natural intersection map

$$IH^{i}(S) \otimes IH^{j}(S) \to H^{BM}_{2d-i-j}(S)$$

which is non-degenerate for i + j = 2d.

We prove analogous, but weaker versions of the above properties in K-theory.

**Proposition 4.6.** (a) There are natural maps

$$\begin{split} gr^{i}IK_{\cdot}(S) &\to gr_{d-i}G_{\cdot}(S) \\ gr^{i}IK_{\cdot}(S) &\to gr_{d-i}G_{\cdot}(S). \end{split}$$

- (b) There are natural intersection maps
  - $$\begin{split} gr^{i}IK_{\cdot}(S)\otimes gr^{j}IK_{\cdot}(S) &\to gr_{d-i-j}G_{\cdot}(S) \\ gr^{i}IK_{\cdot}(S)\otimes gr^{j}IK_{\cdot}(S) &\to gr_{d-i-j}G_{\cdot}(S). \end{split}$$

*Proof.* Let  $f : X \to S$  be a resolution of singularities. We discuss the claims for IK, the ones for  $\mathbf{I}K$  are similar. We construct maps as above using f. They are independent by f by an argument as in Theorem 4.1.

(a) There is a natural map  $\operatorname{gr}^{i}K(X) = \operatorname{gr}_{d-i}G(X) \xrightarrow{f_{*}} \operatorname{gr}_{d-i}G(S)$ , and we thus obtain a map

$$\operatorname{gr}^{i}IK_{\cdot}(S) = P_{f}^{\leqslant 0}\operatorname{gr}^{i}K_{\cdot}(X) / \left(\widetilde{P}_{f}^{\leqslant 0}\operatorname{gr}^{i}K_{\cdot}(X) \cap \ker f_{*}\right) \to \operatorname{gr}_{d-i}G_{\cdot}(S).$$

(b) Consider the composite map

 $P_f^{\leqslant 0} \mathrm{gr}^i K_{\cdot}(X) \boxtimes P_f^{\leqslant 0} \mathrm{gr}^j K_{\cdot}(X) \to \mathrm{gr}^{i+j} K_{\cdot}(X \times X) \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} \mathrm{gr}^{i+j} K_{\cdot}(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} \mathrm{gr}_{d-i-j} G_{\cdot}(S).$ 

The subspaces

$$\begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{P}_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \mathrm{gr}^{i} K.(X) \cap \ker f_{*} \end{pmatrix} \boxtimes P_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \mathrm{gr}^{i} K.(X)$$
$$P_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \mathrm{gr}^{i} K.(X) \boxtimes \left( \widetilde{P}_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \mathrm{gr}^{i} K.(X) \cap \ker f_{*} \right)$$

are in the kernel of  $f_*\Delta^* = \Delta^* (f_* \boxtimes f_*)$ . We thus obtain the desired map.

### 4.4. Computations of intersection K-theory.

4.4.1. If S is smooth, then  $\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} IK_{\cdot}(S) = \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} IK_{\cdot}(S) = \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(S)$ .

4.4.2. Let  $f: X \to S$  be a small resolution of singularities. Then

$$\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot}\mathbf{I}K_0(S) = \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot}K_0(X).$$

Let  $T \xrightarrow{\pi} S$  be a generically surjective finite map from T smooth. By Proposition 3.9,  $\operatorname{gr}_{\dim X} K^q_{T \times_S X}(T \times X)$  is generated by the irreducible components of  $T \times_S X$  dominant over S. This means that the cycles in  $\operatorname{gr}_a K^q_{T \times_S X}(T \times X)$  supported on the exceptional locus have  $a < \dim X$ , and thus they only contribute in perverse degrees  $\geq 1$ , see (5).

Next, say that  $T \xrightarrow{\pi} S$  has image  $V \subsetneq S$ . Let  $[\Gamma] \in \operatorname{gr}_{\dim X - a} K^q_{T \times_S X}(T \times X)$ . By Proposition 3.9,  $a \leq \dim X - \dim X_V$ . If it contributes in perverse degree *i*, then

$$\left\lfloor \frac{i + \dim X - \dim V}{2} \right\rfloor \ge \dim X - \dim X_V,$$

and thus that

$$i \ge \dim X + \dim V - 2 \dim X_V \ge 1.$$

This means that  $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr} K_{\cdot}(X) = 0$ . By Theorem 3.11,  $\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr} K_{0}(X) = \operatorname{gr} K_{0}(X)$ , and thus  $\operatorname{gr} \mathbf{I} K_{0}(S) = \operatorname{gr} K_{0}(X)$ .

4.4.3. Let S be a surface. Consider a resolution of singularities  $f : X \to S$ . Let B be the set of singular points of S. For each p in B, let  $A_p = \{C_p^a\}$  be the set of irreducible components of  $X_p := f^{-1}(p)$ . For each such curve, consider the diagram



Consider the maps

$$\begin{split} m_p^a &:= g_{p*}^a h_p^{a*} : K_{\cdot}(p) \to \operatorname{gr}^1 K_{\cdot}(X) \\ \Delta_p^a &:= h_{p*}^a g^{a*} : \operatorname{gr}^1 K_{\cdot}(X) \to K_{\cdot}(p). \end{split}$$

We claim that

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(X) = \mathrm{image} \left( \bigoplus_{p \in B} \bigoplus_{a \in A_{p}} m_{p}^{a} : K_{\cdot}(p) \to \mathrm{gr}^{1} K_{\cdot}(X) \right).$$

The correspondences which contribute to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{f}^{\leqslant 0}$  are in  $\operatorname{gr}_{2-s} K_{T \times_{S} X}^{q}(T \times X)$  for  $\pi : T \to S$  a generically finite map onto its image  $V \subsetneq S$  with T smooth. By Proposition 3.9,

$$\left\lfloor \frac{2 - \dim V}{2} \right\rfloor \geqslant s \geqslant \dim X - \dim X_V.$$

So the map  $T \to S$  is the inclusion of a point  $p \hookrightarrow S$  for  $p \in B$  and  $\Gamma$  is in  $\operatorname{gr}_1 G_{X_p}(X)$ . Further, for  $p, q \in B$ ,  $a \in A_p$ ,  $b \in A_q$ :

$$\Delta^b_q m^a_p = \delta_{pq} \delta_{ab} \, \mathrm{id}.$$

This means that:

$$\bigoplus_{p\in B} \bigoplus_{a\in A_p} m_p^a : \bigoplus_{p\in B} K_{\cdot}(p)^{|A_p|} \cong \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_f^{\leqslant 0} \mathrm{gr}^1 K_{\cdot}(X).$$

The map f is semismall, so by Theorem 3.11 we obtain a form of the decomposition theorem for the map f:

$$\operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_0(X) \cong \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} \mathbf{I} K_0(S) \oplus \bigoplus_{p \in B} K_0(p)^{|A_p|}.$$

See Section 5 for further discussions of the decomposition theorem for semismall maps.

4.4.4. Let Y be a smooth projective variety of dimension d and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on Y. Consider the cone  $S = C_Y \mathcal{L}$  and its resolution of singularities

$$X := \mathrm{Tot}_Y \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{f} S.$$

Let o be the vertex of the cone X. There is only one fiber with nonzero dimension

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \stackrel{\iota}{\longrightarrow} & X \\ & \downarrow^g & & \downarrow^f \\ o & \longleftrightarrow & S. \end{array}$$

Using the correspondence  $X \cong \Delta \hookrightarrow X \times_S X$ , we see that

$$P_f^{\prime \leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr} K_{\cdot}(X) = \operatorname{gr} K_{\cdot}(X).$$

For  $V \subsetneq S$ , the irreducible components of  $f^{-1}(V)$  are  $f_V : W \to V$  birational to Vand, if V contains o, the fiber Y. As above, we have that  $P_{f_V}^{\leq 0} \operatorname{gr} G(W) = \operatorname{gr} G(W)$ , so the conditions in defining  $P_f^{\leq i}$  are automatically satisfied for these irreducible components W. We thus have that

$$P_f^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr} K_{\cdot}(X) = \ker \left( \iota^* : \operatorname{gr} K_{\cdot}(X) \to P_g'^{>1} \operatorname{gr} K_{\cdot}(Y) \right).$$

By the computation in Subsection 3.7.1,

$$P_g^{\prime>1} \mathrm{gr}^j K_{\cdot}(Y) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{gr}^j K_{\cdot}(Y) & \text{if } j > \lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The map  $\iota^* : \operatorname{gr}^j K_{\cdot}(X) \to \operatorname{gr}^j K_{\cdot}(Y)$  is an isomorphism, so we have that

$$P_f^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr}^j K_{\cdot}(X) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{gr}^j K_{\cdot}(Y) & \text{if } j \leqslant \lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Further,  $\widetilde{P}_f^{\leq 0}$ gr' $K_{\cdot}(X)$  is generated by the cycles over  $X_o \cong Y$  of codimension between 0 and  $\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor$ . The map

$$\iota_*: \operatorname{gr}^i K_{\cdot}(Y) \to \operatorname{gr}^{i+2} K_{\cdot}(X) \cong \operatorname{gr}^{i+2} K_{\cdot}(Y)$$

is multiplication by the class  $\hbar := c_1(\mathcal{L}|_Y) \in \operatorname{gr}^2 K_0(Y)$ . As a vector space, we thus have that

$$\operatorname{gr}^{j}IK_{\cdot}(S) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{gr}^{j}K_{\cdot}(Y)/\hbar \operatorname{gr}^{j-2}K_{\cdot}(Y) & \text{if } j \leq \lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The computation in cohomology is similar, see [7, Example 2.2.1].

#### 5. The decomposition theorem for semismall maps

We will be using the notation from Subsection 1.4. For  $a, b \in A$ , we write b < aif  $S_b \subsetneqq S_a$ . Denote by  $\iota_{ba} : X_b \hookrightarrow X_a$ . For  $a \in A$ , define

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{X_{a}}(X) = \mathrm{image}\left(\bigoplus_{b < a} \iota_{ba*} : \mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{X_{b}}(X) \to \mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant 0} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} K_{X_{a}}(X)\right).$$

First, we state a more precise version of Conjecture 1.3.

**Conjecture 5.1.** Let  $f : X \to S$  be a semismall map and consider  $\{S_a | a \in I\}$  a stratification as in Subsection 1.4, denote by  $A \subset I$  the set of relevant strata. For  $a \in A$ , consider generically finite maps  $\pi_a : T_a \to S_a$  with  $T_a$  is smooth such that  $\pi_a^{-1}(S_a^o) \to S_a^o$  is smooth and  $L_a \cong f_*(\mathbb{Z}_{S_a^o})$ . For each a, there exists a rational map  $X_a \dashrightarrow T_a$ , and let  $\Gamma_a$  be the closure of its graph

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \Gamma_a & \longrightarrow & X_a & \stackrel{\iota_a}{\longrightarrow} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow_{f_a} & \downarrow_f \\ T_a & \stackrel{\pi_a}{\longrightarrow} & S_a & \longleftrightarrow & S, \end{array}$$

The correspondence  $\Gamma_a$  induces an isomorphism

(14) 
$$\iota_{a*}\Phi_{\Gamma_{a}}: \mathbf{P}_{\pi_{a}}^{\leqslant 0}gr^{j-c_{a}}K.(T_{a})_{\mathbb{Q}}/\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\pi_{a}}^{\leqslant 0}gr^{j-c_{a}}K.(T_{a})_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \iota_{a*}\left(\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant 0}gr^{j}K_{X_{a}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}/\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{f}^{\leqslant 0}gr^{j}K_{X_{a}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$$

and a decomposition

$$\bigoplus_{a \in A} gr^{j-c_a} IK_{\cdot}(S_a, L_a)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong gr^j K_{\cdot}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$
$$(x_a)_{a \in A} \mapsto \sum \iota_{a*} \Phi_{\Gamma_a}(x_a).$$

 $\overline{a \in A}$ 

In relation to (14), we propose the following:

**Conjecture 5.2.** Let  $f: X \to S$  be a surjective map of relative dimension d with X is smooth. Let U be a smooth open subset of S such that  $f^{-1}(U) \to U$  is smooth. For  $y \in U$ ,  $\pi_1(U, y)$  acts on the irreducible components of  $f^{-1}(y)$  of top dimension and let L be the associated local system. Then L is an integer finite local system. There is an isomorphism


$$\mathbf{P}_{f}^{\leqslant -d}gr^{j}K_{\cdot}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}/\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{f}^{\leqslant -d}gr^{j}K_{\cdot}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}\cong gr^{j}\mathbf{I}K_{\cdot}(S,L)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

The analogous statement in cohomology follows from the decomposition theorem. In this section, we prove the following:


**Theorem 5.3.** We use the notation from Conjecture 5.1. Assume that the maps  $\pi_a: T_a \to S_a$  are small. Then Conjecture 5.1 holds for  $K_0$ .

We first note a preliminary result.


**Proposition 5.4.** Consider varieties S and X, and a smooth variety Y with surjective maps  $f: X \to S$  of relative dimension d and  $g: Y \to S$  of relative dimension 0. Assume there exists an open subset U of S and a map h such that:



Denote also by h the rational map  $h: X \dashrightarrow Y$ . Consider a resolution of singularities  $\pi: X' \to X$  such that there exists a regular map h' as follows:



Let  $\Gamma$  be the closure of the graph of h in  $Y \times X$  and let  $\Gamma'$  be the graph of h' in  $Y \times X'$ . Then the following diagram commutes:



*Proof.* Consider the maps:

Let  $x \in \operatorname{gr}^{\cdot} K_{\cdot}(Y)$ . We want to show that:

$$\pi_* p'_*(\Gamma' \otimes \pi'^* q^*(x)) = p_*(\Gamma \otimes q^*(x)).$$

It suffices to show that


(15) 
$$\pi'_* \left[ \Gamma' \right] = \left[ \Gamma \right] \text{ in gr}_{\mathcal{G}}(X \times Y).$$

Both  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  have dimension equal to the dimension of X. The map  $\pi' : \Gamma' \to \Gamma$  is birational, so the cone of

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} \to \pi'_* \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma'}$$

is supported on a proper set of  $\Gamma$ , which implies the equality in (15).

*Proof of Theorem 5.3.* Let  $a \in A$  and consider the diagram:



where the map  $\tau_a$  is a resolution of singularities. Let  $\Gamma_a$  be the closure of the natural rational map  $X_a \dashrightarrow T_a$ . By Proposition 5.4 and Theorem 3.10, the map  $\Phi_{\Gamma_a}$  factors as:

$$\Phi_{\Gamma_a} : \operatorname{gr}^j K_{\cdot}(T_a) \xrightarrow{h_a^*} \operatorname{gr}^j K_{\cdot}(Y_a) \xrightarrow{\tau_{a*}} \operatorname{gr}^j G_{\cdot}(X_a) \to \operatorname{gr}^{j+c_a} K_{X_a}(X)$$

By Theorems 3.6 and 3.11, the map  $\Phi_{\Gamma_a}$  factors as:

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\Gamma_a} : \operatorname{gr}^j K_0(T_a) &= \mathbf{P}_{h_a}^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr}^j K_0(T_a) \xrightarrow{h_a^*} \mathbf{P}_{f_a \tau_a}^{\leqslant -d_a} \operatorname{gr}^j K_0(Y_a) \xrightarrow{\tau_{a*}} \mathbf{P}_{f_a}^{\leqslant -d_a} \operatorname{gr}^j K_0(X_a) \to \\ & \mathbf{P}^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr}^{j+c_a} K_{X_a,0}(X) \to \mathbf{P}_f^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr}^{j+c_a} K_0(X) = \operatorname{gr}^{j+c_a} K_0(X). \end{split}$$

We thus obtain a map of vector spaces

(16) 
$$\bigoplus_{a \in A} \Phi_{\Gamma_a} : \bigoplus_{a \in A} \operatorname{gr}^{j-c_a} K_0(T_a) \to \bigoplus_{a \in A} \iota_{a*} \left( \mathbf{P}_f^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr}^j K_{X_a,0}(X) / \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_f^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr}^j K_{X_a,0}(X) \right) \to \operatorname{gr}^j K_0(X).$$

A theorem of de Cataldo–Migliorini [6, Theorem 4.0.4] says that there is an isomorphism:

$$\bigoplus_{a\in A} \Phi_{\Gamma_a} : \bigoplus_{a\in A} \operatorname{gr}^{j-c_a} K_0(T_a)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr}^j K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Combining with (16), we see that in this case

$$\Phi_{\Gamma_a}: \operatorname{gr}^{j-c_a} K_0(T_a)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \iota_{a*} \left( \mathbf{P}_f^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr}^j K_{X_a,0}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} / \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_f^{\leqslant 0} \operatorname{gr}^j K_{X_a,0}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \right).$$

This implies the claim of Theorem 5.3.

#### References

- D. Abramovich, K. Karu, K. Matsuki, J. Włodarczyk. Torification and factorization of birational maps. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (2002), no. 3, 531-572.
- [2] D. Arinkin, D. Gaitsgory. Singular support of coherent sheaves and the geometric Langlands conjecture. *Selecta Math.* (N.S.) 21 (2015), no. 1, 1–199.
- [3] A.A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne. Faisceaux pervers. Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981), 5–171, Astérisque, 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [4] A. Bondal, D. Orlov. Semiorthogonal decomposition for algebraic varieties. https://arxiv.org/pdf/alg-geom/9506012.pdf, 1995.
- [5] A. Braverman, M. Finkelberg, H. Nakajima. Instanton moduli spaces and W-algebras. Astérisque, No. 385 (2016), vii+128 pp.

- [6] M. A. de Cataldo, L. Migliorini. The Chow motive of semismall resolutions. *Math. Res. Lett.* 11 (2004), no. 2-3, 151–170.
- [7] M. A. de Cataldo, L. Migliorini. The decomposition theorem, perverse sheaves and the topology of algebraic maps. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 46 (2009), no. 4, 535–633.
- [8] S. Cautis. Clasp technology to knot homology via the affine Grassmannian. Math. Ann. 363 (2015), no. 3-4, 1053–1115.
- [9] S. Cautis, J. Kamnitzer. Quantum K-theoretic geometric Satake: the  $SL_n$  case. Compos. Math. 154 (2018), no. 2, 275–327.
- [10] A. Corti, M. Hanamura. Motivic decomposition and intersection Chow groups. I. Duke Math. J. 103 (2000), no. 3, 459–522.
- [11] A. Corti, M. Hanamura. Motivic decomposition and intersection Chow groups. II. Pure Appl. Math. Q. 3 (2007), no. 1, Special Issue: In honor of Robert D. MacPherson. Part 3, 181–203.
- [12] J. Eberhardt. K-motives and Koszul Duality. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1909.11151.pdf, 2019.
- [13] H. Gillet. K-theory and intersection theory. Handbook of K-theory. Vol. 1, 2, 235–293, Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [14] M. Goresky, R. MacPherson. Intersection homology theory. *Topology* 19 (1980), no. 2, 135–162.
- [15] M. Goresky, R. MacPherson. Intersection homology theory. II. Invent. Math. 72 (1983), no. 1, 77–129.
- [16] A. Khan. K-theory and G-theory of derived algebraic stacks https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.07130, 2020.
- [17] A. Khan, D. Rydh. Virtual Cartier divisors and blow-ups. http://arxiv.org/abs/1802.05702v2, 2018.
- [18] G. Lusztig. Intersection cohomology methods in representation theory. A plenary address presented at the International Congress of Mathematicians held in Kyoto, August 1990.
- [19] T. Pădurariu. Non-commutative resolutions and intersection cohomology of quotient singularities. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2103.06215.pdf, 2021.
- [20] T. Pădurariu. K-theoretic Hall algebras for quivers with potential. http://arxiv.org/abs/1911.05526, 2019.
- [21] C. Weibel. The K-book: an introduction to algebraic K-theory. Graduate Studies in Math. vol. 145, AMS, 2013.

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, 2990 Broadway, New York, NY 10027

Email address: tgp2109@columbia.edu