

# One-dimensional Stark operators in the half-line

Julio H. Toloza<sup>\*1</sup> and Alfredo Uribe<sup>†2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Matemática (INMABB), Departamento de Matemática, Universidad Nacional del Sur (UNS) - CONICET, Bahía Blanca, Argentina

<sup>2</sup>Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Av. San Rafael Atlixco 186, Col. Vicentina, Iztapalapa, C.P. 09340, México D.F.

## Abstract

We obtain asymptotic formulas for the spectral data of perturbed Stark operators associated with the differential expression

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x + q(x), \quad x \in [0, \infty), \quad q \in L^1(0, \infty),$$

and having either Dirichlet or Neumann boundary condition at the origin.

**Keywords:** Stark operators, spectral theory, asymptotic analysis

**2010 MSC:** 34E10, 34L15, 81Q05, 81Q10

## 1 Introduction and statement of results

Self-adjoint operators of the form

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + f(x) + q(x), \quad x \in (0, \infty),$$

with domain in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , occur naturally in the context of quantum-mechanical operators with spherical symmetry; here  $q$  plays the role of a small perturbation of  $f$  in some suitable sense. The spectral analysis of this kind of operators have attracted considerable attention for various choices of the dominant term  $f$ , usually in connection with well-known special functions. Most remarkable among them are the investigations concerning perturbed Bessel operators [1, 3, 4, 10, 16–19] (corresponding to  $f(x) = l(l+1)x^{-2}$ ,  $l \geq -1/2$ ), and perturbed harmonic oscillator in the half-line [7, 8] (in this case  $f(x) = x^2$ ); the latter is closely related to the spectral analysis of perturbed harmonic oscillator in the whole real line [5, 6].

In this paper we consider self-adjoint operators associated with a differential expression of the form

$$\tau = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x + q(x), \quad x \in [0, \infty),$$

acting in the space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , where  $q$  is a real-valued function that lies in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Self-adjoint operators are defined by adjoining to  $\tau$  a standard boundary condition (see Section 2) at the left endpoint. For the sake of brevity, we only consider Dirichlet ( $\varphi(0) = 0$ ) and Neumann

---

\*✉ julio.toloza@uns.edu.ar (corresponding author)

†✉ alfredo.uribe.83@gmail.com

( $\varphi'(0) = 0$ ) boundary conditions; let  $H^D$  and  $H^N$  denote the corresponding self-adjoint operators.

Clearly the unperturbed case  $q \equiv 0$  can be solved explicitly. For in this case a square-integrable solution to the associated eigenvalue problem is given by the Airy function of the first kind  $\text{Ai}(z)$  so

$$\sigma(H_0^D) = \{-a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(H_0^N) = \{-a'_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}},$$

where  $a_k$  and  $a'_k$  denote the zeros of  $\text{Ai}(z)$  and its derivative  $\text{Ai}'(z)$ , respectively. The corresponding set of norming constants  $\{\nu_{0,k}^D\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{\nu_{0,k}^N\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  are then given by

$$\frac{1}{\nu_{0,k}^D} = \frac{\|\text{Ai}(\cdot + a_k)\|^2}{(\text{Ai}'(a_k))^2} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\nu_{0,k}^N} = \frac{\|\text{Ai}(\cdot + a'_k)\|^2}{(\text{Ai}(a'_k))^2} = -a'_k.$$

The related results for arbitrary  $q$  are stated in Theorems 3.5, 3.6, 3.8 and 3.9. They can be summarized as follows:

**Theorem.** *Suppose  $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then the eigenvalues and norming constants of  $H^D$ , the operator associated with  $\tau$  and boundary condition  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , satisfy*

$$\lambda_k^D = \left(\frac{3}{2}\pi(k - \frac{1}{4})\right)^{2/3} (1 + O(k^{-1})) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\nu_k^D} = 1 + o(1)$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly, the eigenvalues and norming constants of  $H^N$  corresponding to the boundary condition  $\varphi'(0) = 0$  satisfy

$$\lambda_k^N = \left(\frac{3}{2}\pi(k - \frac{3}{4})\right)^{2/3} (1 + O(k^{-1})) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\nu_k^N} = \left(\frac{3}{2}\pi(k - \frac{3}{4})\right)^{2/3} (1 + o(1))$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

The direct spectral problem for the one-dimensional Stark operator in the semi-axis, with Dirichlet boundary condition, has also been treated recently in [22], where the authors use transformation operator methods and their results are valid under the more restrictive assumption  $q \in C^{(1)}[0, \infty) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, x^4 dx)$ ,  $q(x) = o(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . The corresponding inverse spectral problem is discussed in [20].

Finally, it is worth mentioning that one-dimensional Stark operators have been studied mostly when defined on the whole real line, see for instance [2, 11–13, 21, 25]. As it is well-known, Stark operators on the real line are characterized by the presence of resonances; see [14, 15] for some recent developments on this subject.

## 2 Preliminaries

In what follows, we consider the differential expression

$$\tau = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x + q(x), \quad x \in [0, \infty),$$

where  $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and it is real-valued.

By standard theory (see e.g. [26, Ch. 6]),  $\tau$  is in the limit-circle case at 0 and in the limit-point case at  $\infty$ . Hence (the closure of) the minimal operator  $H'$  defined by  $\tau$  is symmetric and has deficiency indices  $(1, 1)$ . Also, there exists a solution  $\psi(z, x)$  to the eigenvalue equation  $\tau\varphi = z\varphi$ , real entire as a function of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  for every  $x \in [0, \infty)$ , such that  $\psi(z, \cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  for

every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . This function is unique up to multiplication by a zero-free, real entire function of the spectral parameter  $z$ .

The self-adjoint extensions  $H^\beta$  ( $0 \leq \beta < \pi$ ) of  $H'$  are determined by imposing the usual boundary condition at  $x = 0$ . Namely,

$$\mathcal{D}(H^\beta) = \left\{ \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) : \varphi, \varphi' \in \text{AC}_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty)), \tau\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \right. \\ \left. \cos(\beta)\varphi(0) - \sin(\beta)\varphi'(0) = 0 \right\}, \quad H^\beta\varphi = \tau\varphi.$$

Since  $x + q(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that  $\sigma(H^\beta)$  has only eigenvalues of multiplicity one, possibly with a finite number of them being negative. Moreover,

$$\sigma(H^\beta) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \cos(\beta)\psi(\lambda, 0) - \sin(\beta)\psi'(\lambda, 0) = 0\} \quad (0 \leq \beta < \pi).$$

We henceforth suppose  $\sigma(H^\beta)$  is arranged as an increasing sequence, viz.,  $\sigma(H^\beta) = \{\lambda_k^\beta\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $\lambda_k^\beta < \lambda_{k+1}^\beta$ .

In what follows we use the notation  $' = \partial_x$  and  $\dot{\phantom{x}} = \partial_z$ . Along with the spectrum  $\{\lambda_k^\beta\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  one has the corresponding set of norming constants  $\{\nu_k^\beta\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . In terms of  $\psi(z, x)$ , the norming constants for Dirichlet ( $\beta = 0$ ) and Neumann ( $\beta = \pi/2$ ) boundary conditions are given by the formulas

$$\frac{1}{\nu_k^D} = \frac{\|\psi(\lambda_k^D, \cdot)\|^2}{[\psi'(\lambda_k^D, 0)]^2} = -\frac{\dot{\psi}(\lambda_k^D, 0)}{\psi'(\lambda_k^D, 0)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\nu_k^N} = \frac{\|\psi(\lambda_k^N, \cdot)\|^2}{[\psi(\lambda_k^N, 0)]^2} = \frac{\dot{\psi}'(\lambda_k^N, 0)}{\psi(\lambda_k^N, 0)},$$

respectively. The second part of these equations follows from the identity  $W'(\eta, \dot{\eta}) = -\eta^2$ , which is valid for any solution to  $\tau\eta = z\eta$ . We recall that the spectral data  $(\{\mu_k^\beta\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\nu_k^\beta\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$  are the poles and residues of the Weyl function associated with  $H^\beta$ , and they determine the potential  $q$  by virtue of the Borg–Marchenko uniqueness theorem [9].

As mentioned in the Introduction, the unperturbed case  $q = 0$  can be treated explicitly. A solution to the equation  $-\varphi'' + (x - z)\varphi$ , belonging to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , is

$$\psi_0(z, x) = \sqrt{\pi} \text{Ai}(x - z),$$

where the factor  $\sqrt{\pi}$  is included for convenience. It follows that

$$\sigma(H_0^D) = \{-a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(H_0^N) = \{-a'_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

respectively, where the zeros of  $\text{Ai}(z)$  and  $\text{Ai}'(z)$  obey the asymptotic formulas

$$-a_k = \left(\frac{3}{2}\pi(k - \frac{1}{4})\right)^{2/3} \left(1 + O(k^{-2})\right) \quad (1)$$

and

$$-a'_k = \left(\frac{3}{2}\pi(k - \frac{3}{4})\right)^{2/3} \left(1 + O(k^{-2})\right)$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  (see [23, §9.9(iv)]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *There exists a constant  $C_0 > 0$  such that*

$$|\text{Ai}(z)| \leq C_0 \frac{g_A(z)}{1 + |z|^{1/4}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\text{Ai}'(z)| \leq C_0(1 + |z|^{1/4})g_A(z),$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $g_A(z) = \exp(-\frac{2}{3} \text{Re } z^{3/2})$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\zeta = \frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}$  with branch cut along  $\mathbb{R}_-$ . According to [23, §9.7(ii)], the function  $\text{Ai}(z)$  satisfies the asymptotic expansions

$$\text{Ai}(z) = \frac{e^{-\zeta}}{2\sqrt{\pi}z^{1/4}} \left[ 1 + O(\zeta^{-1}) \right], \quad |\arg(z)| \leq \pi - \delta, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\text{Ai}(-z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}z^{1/4}} \left[ \sin\left(\zeta + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O\left(\zeta^{-1}e^{|\text{Im}\zeta}\right) \right], \quad |\arg(z)| \leq \frac{2\pi}{3} - \delta, \quad (3)$$

as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ . These expansions are uniform for any given small  $\delta > 0$  and  $|z| \geq 1$ . In what follows we set  $\delta = \pi/3$ . Since  $\text{Ai}(z)$  is an entire function, it follows that there exists  $C_0 > 0$  such that

$$|\text{Ai}(z)| \leq \frac{C_0}{1 + |z|^{1/4}} \times \begin{cases} \exp(-\frac{2}{3}\text{Re}z^{3/2}), & \arg(z) \in [-\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3}], \\ \exp(\frac{2}{3}|\text{Im}(-z)^{3/2}|), & \arg(z) \in (-\pi, -\frac{2\pi}{3}) \cup (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi]. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the bound on  $\text{Ai}(z)$  follows after noticing that  $|\text{Im}(-z)^{3/2}| = |\text{Re}z^{3/2}|$  and  $|\text{Re}z^{3/2}| = -\text{Re}z^{3/2}$  if  $\arg(z) \in (-\pi, -\frac{2\pi}{3}) \cup (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi]$ . The bound on  $\text{Ai}'(z)$  follows an analogous argument so the details are omitted.  $\blacksquare$

Lemma 2.1 clearly implies

$$|\psi_0(z, x)| \leq C_0 \frac{e^{-\frac{2}{3}\text{Re}(x-z)^{3/2}}}{1 + |x-z|^{1/4}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\psi_0'(z, x)| \leq C_0(1 + |x-z|^{1/4})e^{-\frac{2}{3}\text{Re}(x-z)^{3/2}} \quad (4)$$

with  $(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{C}$ . Later we will make use of a linearly independent solution to  $-\varphi'' + (x-z)\varphi$ . An obvious choice is given by the Airy function of the second kind

$$\theta_0(z, x) = \sqrt{\pi} \text{Bi}(x-z).$$

However, it will be more convenient to use an independent solution of the form

$$\theta_{\pm}(z, x) = \theta_0(z, x) \mp i\psi_0(z, x) = 2\sqrt{\pi}e^{\mp i\pi/6} \text{Ai}((x-z)e^{\mp i2\pi/3})$$

(in the context of the present work any of these two functions is equally good). According to [23, §9.2(iv)], one has  $W(\psi_0(z), \theta_{\pm}(z)) \equiv 1$ . Moreover, since  $\text{Re}(ze^{\pm i2\pi/3})^{3/2} = -\text{Re}z^{3/2}$ , we have the bounds

$$|\theta_{\pm}(z, x)| \leq 2C_0 \frac{e^{\frac{2}{3}\text{Re}(x-z)^{3/2}}}{1 + |x-z|^{1/4}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\theta_{\pm}'(z, x)| \leq 2C_0(1 + |x-z|^{1/4})e^{\frac{2}{3}\text{Re}(x-z)^{3/2}}. \quad (5)$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *The map  $x \mapsto g_A(x-z)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , is decreasing whenever  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . If  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $g_A(x-z)$  is constant (equal to 1) for  $x \in [0, z]$  and decreasing for  $x \in (z, \infty)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $z \in \mathbb{C}_-$ . A simple computation shows that, given  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a unique  $\gamma \in (0, \pi)$  such that

$$x - z = \frac{|\text{Im}z|}{\sin\gamma} e^{i\gamma}.$$

Then,

$$\text{Re}(x-z)^{3/2} = |\text{Im}z|^{3/2} \frac{\cos\frac{3}{2}\gamma}{(\sin\gamma)^{3/2}}.$$

The right hand side of the last equation is decreasing as a function of  $\gamma$ . But the map  $x \mapsto \gamma$  is also decreasing so the map  $x \mapsto \text{Re}(x-z)^{3/2}$  is increasing. This in turn implies the assertion. Clearly, a similar reasoning works if  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ . The statement is obvious for  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\blacksquare$

### 3 Main results

#### 3.1 Adding a perturbation

We look for a solution to the eigenvalue equation  $\tau\varphi = z\varphi$ , with  $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , that is real entire with respect to the spectral parameter  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and lies in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . To this end we introduce the auxiliary function

$$\omega(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{|q(x)|}{\sqrt{1+|x-z|}} dx.$$

Clearly,  $\omega(z)$  is well defined for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Moreover,  $\omega(z)$  is well defined under the weaker assumption  $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, (1+x)^{-1/2}dx)$ . However, our hypothesis on  $q$  give us control on the decay of  $\omega(z)$  as it is shown next.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume  $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then  $\omega(z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $x_* > 0$  and  $\mu_* > x_*$  such that

$$\int_{x_*}^\infty |q(x)| dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_* - x_*}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|q\|_1}.$$

Suppose  $|\text{Im}(z)| > \mu_*$ . Then  $|x - z| > \mu_*$  for any  $x > 0$ . Hence,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|x-z|}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_*}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|q\|_1}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_*$ , which in turn implies  $\omega(q, z) < \varepsilon$ . A similar reasoning applies when  $|\text{Im}(z)| \leq \mu_*$  and  $\text{Re}(z) < -\mu_*$ . Finally, suppose that  $|\text{Im}(z)| \leq \mu_*$  and  $\text{Re}(z) > \mu_*$ . Since  $\omega(q, z) \leq \omega(q, \text{Re}(z))$ , it suffices to consider  $z = \mu \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\mu > \mu_*$ . Then,

$$\omega(q, \mu) < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|x_* - \mu_*|}} \int_0^{x_*} |q(x)| dx + \int_{x_*}^\infty |q(x)| dx < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, we have shown that  $\omega(q, z) < \varepsilon$  whenever  $|\text{Re}(z)| + |\text{Im}(z)| > \mu_*$ . ■

In what follows  $C$  denotes a generic positive constant.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose  $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, (1+x)^{-1/2}dx)$ . Then, the eigenvalue equation  $\tau\varphi = z\varphi$  admits a solution  $\psi(z, x)$ , real entire with respect to  $z$ , such that:*

(i)  $\psi(z, x)$  solves the Volterra integral equation

$$\psi(z, x) = \psi_0(z, x) - \int_x^\infty J_0(z, x, y)q(y)\psi(z, y)dy, \quad (6)$$

where

$$J_0(z, x, y) = \psi_0(z, y)\theta_0(z, x) - \psi_0(z, x)\theta_0(z, y),$$

and satisfies the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(z, x)| &\leq C e^{C\omega(z)} \frac{g_A(x-z)}{1+|x-z|^{1/4}} \quad \text{and} \\ |\psi(z, x) - \psi_0(z, x)| &\leq C\omega(z) e^{C\omega(z)} \frac{g_A(x-z)}{1+|x-z|^{1/4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

(ii) Moreover,  $\psi'(z, x)$  obeys the equation

$$\psi'(z, x) = \psi'_0(z, x) - \int_x^\infty \partial_x J_0(z, x, y) q(y) \psi(z, y) dy$$

and satisfies the estimates

$$|\psi'(z, x) - \psi'_0(z, x)| \leq C\omega(z)e^{C\omega(z)}(1 + |x - z|^{1/4})g_A(x - z). \quad (8)$$

*Proof.* For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define

$$\psi_n(z, x) = - \int_x^\infty J_0(z, x, y) q(y) \psi_{n-1}(z, y) dy.$$

Then,

$$|\psi_n(z, x)| \leq \int_x^\infty |J_0(z, x, y)| |q(y)| |\psi_{n-1}(z, y)| dy. \quad (9)$$

Next, we note that

$$J_0(z, x, y) = \pm i [\psi_0(z, x)\theta_\pm(z, y) - \psi_0(z, y)\theta_\pm(z, x)]$$

(the choice of sign is irrelevant). Then, recalling (4) and (5), (9) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_n(z, x)| &\leq 2C_0^2 \frac{g_A(x - z)}{1 + |x - z|^{1/4}} \int_x^\infty \frac{|q(y)|}{1 + |y - z|^{1/4}} g_\#(y - z) |\psi_{n-1}(z, y)| dy \\ &\quad + 2C_0^2 \frac{g_\#(x - z)}{1 + |x - z|^{1/4}} \int_x^\infty \frac{|q(y)|}{1 + |y - z|^{1/4}} g_A(y - z) |\psi_{n-1}(z, y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_\#(z) := 1/g_A(z)$ . We claim that every  $\psi_n(z, x)$  is real entire with respect to the spectral parameter and satisfies the estimate

$$|\psi_n(z, x)| \leq \frac{4^n}{n!} C_0^{2n+1} \omega^n(z) \frac{g_A(x - z)}{1 + |x - z|^{1/4}}. \quad (10)$$

From this it will follow that

$$\psi(z, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n(z, x)$$

converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$  to the solution with the desired properties.

First, consider  $n = 1$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_1(z, x)| &\leq 2C_0^3 \frac{g_A(x - z)}{1 + |x - z|^{1/4}} \int_x^\infty \frac{|q(y)|}{(1 + |y - z|^{1/4})^2} g_\#(y - z) g_A(y - z) dy \\ &\quad + 2C_0^3 \frac{g_\#(x - z)}{1 + |x - z|^{1/4}} \int_x^\infty \frac{|q(y)|}{(1 + |y - z|^{1/4})^2} (g_A(y - z))^2 dy. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $(g_A g_\#)(x - z) \equiv 1$ . Also, Lemma 2.2 implies  $g_A(y - z) \leq g_A(x - z)$  for all  $y \in [x, \infty)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_1(z, x)| &\leq 2C_0^3 \frac{g_A(x - z)}{1 + |x - z|^{1/4}} \int_x^\infty \frac{|q(y)|}{(1 + |y - z|^{1/4})^2} dy \\ &\quad + 2C_0^3 \frac{(g_\# g_A g_A)(x - z)}{1 + |x - z|^{1/4}} \int_x^\infty \frac{|q(y)|}{(1 + |y - z|^{1/4})^2} dy, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$|\psi_1(z, x)| \leq 4C_0^3 \frac{g_A(x-z)}{1+|x-z|^{1/4}} \int_x^\infty \frac{|q(y)|}{(1+|y-z|^{1/4})^2} dy.$$

For arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we use the identity

$$\int_x^\infty \int_{y_1}^\infty \cdots \int_{y_{n-1}}^\infty \prod_{l=1}^n h(y_l) dy_1 \cdots dy_n = \frac{1}{n!} \left[ \int_x^\infty h(y) dy \right]^n \quad (11)$$

to obtain

$$|\psi_n(z, x)| \leq \frac{4^n}{n!} C_0^{2n+1} \frac{g_A(x-z)}{1+|x-z|^{1/4}} \left[ \int_x^\infty \frac{|q(y)|}{(1+|y-z|^{1/4})^2} dy \right]^n \quad (12)$$

which in turn implies (10). Then (i) follows after a suitable choice for the constant  $C$ .

The proof of (ii) is omitted since it arises from an analogous reasoning.  $\blacksquare$

Clearly, (7) implies that  $\psi(z, x)$  so constructed belongs to the domain of the maximal operator  $H$ .

The asymptotic analysis of the norming constants depends also on the following estimates.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Suppose  $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then,  $\psi(z, x)$  satisfies*

$$|\dot{\psi}(z, x) - \dot{\psi}_0(z, x)| \leq C e^{C\|q\|} \left( (1+|x-z|^{1/4})\omega(z) + \frac{\|q\|}{1+|x-z|^{1/4}} \right) g_A(x-z). \quad (13)$$

Also,

$$|\dot{\psi}'(z, x) - \dot{\psi}'_0(z, x)| \leq C e^{C\|q\|} \left( (1+|x-z|^{1/4})\|q\|^2 + \frac{|x-z|}{1+|x-z|^{1/4}}\omega(z) \right) g_A(x-z). \quad (14)$$

*Proof.* From (6) we see that  $\dot{\psi}(z, x)$  is a solution to the integral equation

$$\dot{\psi}(z, x) = \dot{\psi}_0(z, x) - \int_x^\infty \partial_z J_0(z, x, y) q(y) \psi(z, y) dy - \int_x^\infty J_0(z, x, y) q(y) \dot{\psi}(z, y) dy.$$

Let  $\{\eta_k(z, x)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , be solutions to the recursive equation

$$\eta_k(z, x) = - \int_x^\infty \partial_z J_0(z, x, y) q(y) \psi_{k-1}(z, y) dy - \int_x^\infty J_0(z, x, y) q(y) \eta_{k-1}(z, y) dy,$$

where  $\{\psi_k(z, x)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  are defined in the proof of Proposition 3.2 and  $\eta_0(z, x) := \dot{\psi}_0(z, x)$ . Using induction one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta_k(z, x)| \leq \frac{4^k C_0^{2k+1}}{k!} & \left( (1+|x-z|^{1/4}) \left( \int_x^\infty \frac{|q(y)|}{(1+|y-z|^{1/4})^2} dy \right)^k \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{2k}{1+|x-z|^{1/4}} \left( \int_x^\infty |q(y)| dy \right)^k \right) g_A(x-z), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$|\eta_k(z, x)| \leq \frac{4^k C_0^{2k+1}}{k!} \left( (1+|x-z|^{1/4})\omega(z)^k + \frac{2k}{1+|x-z|^{1/4}} \|q\|^k \right) g_A(x-z).$$

It follows that

$$\dot{\psi}(z, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta_k(z, x)$$

(the convergence being uniform on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ ) which in turn implies the assertion.

The proof of the second inequality follows from an analogous reasoning.  $\blacksquare$

### 3.2 Dirichlet boundary condition

Define the contours

$$\mathcal{E}^m := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| = \left(m + \frac{1}{4}\right)\pi \right\}, \quad \mathcal{E}_k := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \zeta - \left(k - \frac{1}{4}\right)\pi \right| = \frac{\pi}{2} \right\}, \quad m, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In view of (1), every  $\mathcal{E}_k$  encloses one and only one zero of  $\text{Ai}(-\lambda)$ , at least for  $k$  sufficiently large.

**Lemma 3.4.** *There exists  $m_0, k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $m \geq m_0$  and  $k \geq k_0$ , the following statement holds true:*

$$\frac{g_A(-z)}{1 + |z|^{1/4}} < 8\sqrt{\pi} |\text{Ai}(-z)|, \quad (15)$$

whenever  $z \in \mathcal{E}^m$  or  $z \in \mathcal{E}_k$ .

*Proof.* Let us begin by recalling (2) and (3) in more precise terms:

$$\text{Ai}(z) = \frac{e^{-\zeta}}{2\sqrt{\pi}z^{1/4}} [1 + W_1(z)], \quad |\arg(z)| \leq \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad |z| \geq 1, \quad (16)$$

$$\text{Ai}(-z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}z^{1/4}} [\sin(\zeta + \frac{\pi}{4}) + W_2(z)], \quad |\arg(z)| \leq \frac{\pi}{3}, \quad |z| \geq 1, \quad (17)$$

where the functions  $W_1(z)$  and  $W_2(z)$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{W_1(z)}{\zeta^{-1}} \right| &\leq D_1, \quad |\arg(z)| \leq \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad |z| \geq 1, \\ \left| \frac{W_2(z)}{\zeta^{-1}e^{|\text{Im}\zeta|}} \right| &\leq D_2, \quad |\arg(z)| \leq \frac{\pi}{3}, \quad |z| \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

There exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $k \geq k_0$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{E}_k$  implies  $\text{Re } z \geq 1$  and  $\arg(z) \in (-\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3})$  so  $\arg(-z) \in (-\pi, -\frac{2\pi}{3}) \cup (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi]$ . Since in this case  $|\text{Im } z^{3/2}| = -\text{Re}(-z)^{3/2}$ , one has

$$\frac{g_A(-z)}{1 + |z|^{1/4}} = \frac{e^{|\text{Im}\zeta|}}{1 + |z|^{1/4}} \leq \frac{e^{|\text{Im}(\zeta + \frac{\pi}{4})|}}{|z|^{1/4}}$$

for all  $z \in \mathcal{E}_k$  and  $k \geq k_0$ . By a well-known result (see [24, Ch. 2, Lemma 1]),

$$|w - n\pi| \geq \frac{\pi}{4} \implies e^{|\text{Im } w|} < 4 |\sin w|$$

for all integer  $n$ . Hence,

$$\frac{g_A(-z)}{1 + |z|^{1/4}} < 4 \frac{|\sin(\zeta + \frac{\pi}{4})|}{|z|^{1/4}} \quad (19)$$

for all  $z \in \mathcal{E}_k$  and  $k \geq k_0$ . On the other hand, since  $|\sin(\zeta + \frac{\pi}{4})| \geq d > 0$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{E}_k$  and  $k \geq k_0$ , (17) implies

$$|\text{Ai}(-z)| \geq \frac{|\sin(\zeta + \frac{\pi}{4})|}{\sqrt{\pi}|z|^{1/4}} \left| 1 - \frac{|W_2(z)|}{|\sin(\zeta + \frac{\pi}{4})|} \right|.$$

However,

$$\frac{|W_2(z)|}{|\sin(\zeta + \frac{\pi}{4})|} \leq \frac{e^{|\text{Im}\zeta|} D_2}{|\zeta| d},$$



and note that  $|\operatorname{Im} \zeta| \leq \pi/2$  if  $z \in \mathcal{E}_k$ . Thus, by increasing  $k_0$  if necessary, we have

$$|\operatorname{Ai}(-z)| \geq \frac{|\sin(\zeta + \frac{\pi}{4})|}{2\sqrt{\pi}|z|^{1/4}},$$

for all  $z \in \mathcal{E}_k$  with  $k \geq k_0$ .

The proof concerning  $\mathcal{E}^m$  is analogous: Suppose  $m_0 = k_0$ . Then, by the previous argument, (15) holds for  $z \in \mathcal{E}^m$  within the sector  $\arg(z) \in [-\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}]$ , for  $m \geq m_0$ . Within the sector  $\arg(-z) \in [-\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3}]$ , we have  $(\eta := \frac{2}{3}(-z)^{3/2})$

$$\frac{g_A(-z)}{1 + |z|^{1/4}} \leq \frac{e^{-\operatorname{Re} \eta}}{|z|^{1/4}}$$

and, due to (16),

$$|\operatorname{Ai}(-z)| \geq \frac{e^{-\operatorname{Re} \eta}}{2\sqrt{\pi}|z|^{1/4}} |1 - |W_1(-z)||.$$

Finally, using (18) —and increasing  $m_0$  if required—, we have  $1 - |W_1(-z)| \geq 1/4$  whenever  $|z| \geq m_0$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Suppose  $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then, the eigenvalues of  $H^D$  satisfy*

$$\lambda_k^D = \left(\frac{3}{2}\pi(k - \frac{1}{4})\right)^{2/3} \left(1 + O(k^{-1})\right), \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* Abbreviate

$$\psi_0(z) := \psi_0(z, 0), \quad \psi(z) := \psi(z, 0), \quad \mu_k := -a_k.$$

Since  $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \omega(z) < \infty$ , Proposition 3.2 yields

$$|\psi(z) - \psi_0(z)| \leq C\omega(z) \frac{g_A(-z)}{1 + |z|^{1/4}},$$

after redefining the constant  $C$ . Due to Lemma 3.1, there exists  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\omega(z) \leq (8C)^{-1}$  whenever  $|z| \geq (\frac{3}{2}\pi(k_1 + \frac{1}{4}))^{2/3}$ . Then, by Lemma 3.4, there exists  $k_2 \geq k_1$  such that

$$|\psi(z) - \psi_0(z)| < |\psi_0(z)| \tag{20}$$

for all  $z \in \mathcal{E}^{k_2}$ ;  $k_2$  can be assumed large enough so  $\mathcal{E}^{k_2}$  encloses all the (finitely many) negative zeros of  $\psi(z)$ . Increase  $k_2$  (if necessary) to ensure that (20) holds true for  $z$  on every contour  $\mathcal{E}_n$  whenever  $n \geq n_2$ . Then, in view of Rouché's theorem, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{2}{3}(\lambda_k^D)^{3/2} - \frac{2}{3}(-a_k)^{3/2} \right| \leq \pi$$

for sufficiently large  $k$ , whence the asymptotics for the eigenvalues follows.  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Suppose  $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then the Dirichlet norming constants  $\nu_k^D$  satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{\nu_k^D} = 1 + o(1)$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Abbreviate

$$\Delta_1(\lambda) := \frac{\psi'(\lambda, 0) - \psi'_0(\lambda, 0)}{\sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{Ai}'(-\lambda)}, \quad \Delta_2(\lambda) := \frac{\dot{\psi}(\lambda, 0) - \dot{\psi}_0(\lambda, 0)}{\sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{Ai}'(-\lambda)}.$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$-\frac{\dot{\psi}(\lambda_k^D, 0)}{\psi'(\lambda_k^D, 0)} = 1 - \frac{\Delta_1(\lambda_k^D)}{1 + \Delta_1(\lambda_k^D)} - \frac{\Delta_2(\lambda_k^D)}{1 + \Delta_1(\lambda_k^D)}$$

so it suffices to show that

$$\Delta_1(\lambda_k^D) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_2(\lambda_k^D) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

From Theorem 3.5 we obtain  $\lambda_k^D = -a_k + O(k^{-1/3})$  thus

$$\sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{Ai}'(-\lambda_k^D) = (-1)^{k-1} \left( \frac{3}{2}\pi(k - \frac{1}{4}) \right)^{1/6} (1 + o(1)) = (-1)^{k-1} (\lambda_k^D)^{1/4} (1 + o(1))$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand, from (8), we have

$$\left| \psi'(\lambda_k^D, 0) - \psi'_0(\lambda_k^D, 0) \right| \leq C \omega(\lambda_k^D) e^{C\omega(\lambda_k^D)} |\lambda_k^D|^{1/4}$$

hence the assertion on  $\Delta_1(\lambda_k^D)$  holds true since  $\omega(\lambda_k^D) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  due to Lemma 3.1. Finally, (13) implies the corresponding assertion on  $\Delta_2(\lambda_k^D)$ .  $\blacksquare$

### 3.3 Neumann boundary condition

The analysis of the asymptotic behavior of  $\sigma(H^N)$  does not differ much from the Dirichlet case. We start by defining the contours

$$\mathcal{F}^m := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| = (m - \frac{1}{4})\pi \right\}, \quad \mathcal{F}_k := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \zeta - (k + \frac{1}{4})\pi \right| = \frac{\pi}{2} \right\}, \quad m, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As expected,  $\mathcal{F}_k$  encloses exactly one zero of  $\operatorname{Ai}'(-\lambda)$  for sufficiently large values of  $k$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *There exists  $m_0, k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $m \geq m_0$  and  $k \geq k_0$ , the following statement holds true:*

$$(1 + |x - z|^{1/4}) g_A(-z) < 16\sqrt{\pi} |\operatorname{Ai}'(-z)|,$$

whenever  $z \in \mathcal{F}^m$  or  $z \in \mathcal{F}_k$ .

The proof of this assertion is nearly identical to the proof of Lemma 3.4, except that it relies on the identities

$$\operatorname{Ai}'(z) = -z^{1/4} \frac{e^{-\zeta}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} [1 + W_3(z)], \quad |\arg(z)| \leq \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad |z| \geq 1,$$

$$\operatorname{Ai}'(-z) = \frac{z^{1/4}}{\sqrt{\pi}} [\sin(\zeta - \frac{\pi}{4}) + W_4(z)], \quad |\arg(z)| \leq \frac{\pi}{3}, \quad |z| \geq 1,$$

where the functions  $W_3(z)$  and  $W_4(z)$  satisfy

$$\left| \frac{W_3(z)}{\zeta^{-1}} \right| \leq D_1, \quad |\arg(z)| \leq \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad |z| \geq 1,$$

$$\left| \frac{W_4(z)}{\zeta^{-1} e^{|\operatorname{Im} \zeta|}} \right| \leq D_2, \quad |\arg(z)| \leq \frac{\pi}{3}, \quad |z| \geq 1.$$

The details are therefore omitted.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Suppose  $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then, the eigenvalues of  $H^N$  satisfy*

$$\lambda_k^N = \left(\frac{3}{2}\pi(k - \frac{3}{4})\right)^{2/3} \left(1 + O(k^{-1})\right), \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* Since it is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.5, we only hint at the main departure from it. Recalling that  $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \omega(z) < \infty$ , (8) implies

$$|\psi'(z) - \psi'_0(z)| \leq C\omega(z)(1 + |z|^{1/4})g_A(-z)$$

for certain positive constant  $C$ . Because of Lemma 3.7, there exists  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|\psi'(z) - \psi'_0(z)| < |\psi'_0(z)|$$

for all  $z \in \mathcal{F}^{k_1}$  and  $z \in \mathcal{F}_k$  for every  $k > k_1$ , hence  $|(\lambda_k^N)^{3/2} - (-a'_k)^{3/2}| < \pi$  for all  $k$  large enough. ■

**Theorem 3.9.** *Suppose  $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then the Neumann norming constants  $\nu_k^N$  satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{\nu_k^N} = \left(\frac{3}{2}\pi(k - \frac{3}{4})\right)^{2/3} (1 + o(1))$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* The argument goes along the lines of the proof of Theorem 3.6. Define

$$\Delta_3(\lambda) := \frac{\dot{\psi}'(\lambda, 0) - \dot{\psi}'_0(\lambda, 0)}{\sqrt{\pi}\lambda \operatorname{Ai}(-\lambda)}, \quad \Delta_4(\lambda) := \frac{\psi(\lambda, 0) - \psi_0(\lambda, 0)}{\sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{Ai}(-\lambda)}.$$

Then

$$\frac{\dot{\psi}'(\lambda_k^N, 0)}{\psi(\lambda_k^N, 0)} = \lambda_k^N \frac{1 + \Delta_3(\lambda_k^N)}{1 + \Delta_4(\lambda_k^N)}$$

so we only need to prove that

$$\Delta_3(\lambda_k^D) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_4(\lambda_k^D) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . But this follows from (7) and (14). ■

**Acknowledgments.** This research is based upon work supported by Universidad Nacional del Sur (Argentina) under grant PGI 24/L117.

## References

- [1] S. Albeverio, R. Hryniv and Ya. Mykytyuk, *Inverse spectral problems for Bessel operators*, J. Diff. Eqs. **241** (2007), 130–159.
- [2] F. Calogero and A. Degasperis, *Inverse spectral problem for the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with an additional linear potential*, Lett. Nuovo Cimento **23** (1978), 143–149.
- [3] R. Carlson, *Inverse spectral theory for some singular Sturm–Liouville problems*, J. Diff. Eqs. **106** (1993), 121–140.
- [4] R. Carlson *A Borg–Levinson theorem for Bessel operators*, Pacific J. Math. **177** (1997), 1–26.

- [5] D. Chelkak, P. Kargaev and E. Korotyaev, *An inverse problem for an harmonic oscillator perturbed by potential: uniqueness*, Lett. Math. Phys. **64** (2003), 7–21.
- [6] D. Chelkak, P. Kargaev and E. Korotyaev, *Inverse problem for harmonic oscillator perturbed by potential, characterization*, Comm. Math. Phys. **249** (2004), 133–196.
- [7] D. Chelkak and E. Korotyaev, *The inverse problem for perturbed harmonic oscillator on the half-line*, Institut Mittag–Leffler, Preprint No. 10, 2005/2006 fall.
- [8] D. Chelkak and E. Korotyaev, *The inverse problem for perturbed harmonic oscillator on the half-line with a Dirichlet boundary condition*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **8** (2007), 1115–1150.
- [9] F. Gesztesy and B. Simon, *Uniqueness theorems in inverse spectral theory for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 349–373.
- [10] N. J. Guliyev, *Inverse square singularities and eigenparameter dependent boundary conditions are two sides of the same coin*, preprint [arXiv:2001.00061](https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.00061) [math-ph].
- [11] A. Its and V. Sukhanov, *A Riemann–Hilbert approach to the inverse problem for the Stark operator on the line*, Inverse Problems **32** (2016), 055003 (27pp).
- [12] A. P. Katchalov and Ya. V. Kurylev, *Inverse scattering problem for a one-dimensional Stark effect Hamiltonian*, Inverse Problems **6** (1990), L1–L5.
- [13] A. Kh. Khanmamedov and M. G. Makhmudova, *Inverse spectral problem for the Schrödinger equation with an additional linear potential*, Theor. Math. Phys. **202** (2020), 58–71.
- [14] E. L. Korotyaev, *Asymptotics of resonances for 1D Stark operators*, Lett. Math. Phys. **108** (2018), 1307–1322.
- [15] E. L. Korotyaev, *Resonances for 1d Stark operators*, J. Spectr. Theory **7** (2017), 699–732.
- [16] A. Kostenko, A. Sakhnovich and G. Teschl, *Inverse eigenvalue problems for perturbed spherical Schrödinger operators*, Inverse Problems **26** (2010), 105013 (14pp).
- [17] A. Kostenko, A. Sakhnovich and G. Teschl, *Weyl–Titchmarsh theory for Schrödinger operators with strongly singular potentials*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2012** (2012), 1699–1747.
- [18] A. Kostenko and G. Teschl, *On the singular Weyl–Titchmarsh function of perturbed spherical Schrödinger operators*, J. Differential Equations **250** (2011), 3701–3739.
- [19] A. Kostenko and G. Teschl, *Spectral asymptotics for perturbed spherical Schrödinger operators and applications to quantum scattering*, Comm. Math. Phys. **322** (2013), 255–275.
- [20] A. R. Latifova and A. Kh. Khanmamedov, *Inverse spectral problem for the one-dimensional Stark operator on the semiaxis*, Ukr. Math. J. **72** (2020), 568–584.
- [21] W. Liu, *Criteria for eigenvalues embedded into the absolutely continuous spectrum of perturbed Stark type operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **276** (2019), 2936–2967.
- [22] M. G. Makhmudova and A. Kh. Khanmamedov, *On spectral properties of the one-dimensional Stark operator on the semiaxis*, Ukr. Math. J. **71** (2020), 1813–1819.

- [23] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert and C. W. Clark, *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, U.S. Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards and Technology, Washington D.C., 2010.
- [24] J. Pöschel and E. Trubowitz, *Inverse Spectral Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics vol. 130, Academic Press, Boston, 1987.
- [25] V. V. Sukhanov, *Trace formulas for the one-dimensional Stark operator and integrals of motion for the cylindrical Korteweg–de Vries equation*, St. Petersburg Math.J. **31** (2020) 903-910.
- [26] J. Weidmann, *Spectral Theory of Ordinary Differential Operators* Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1258**, Springer, Berlin, 1987.