

# THE MULTIPLIER ALGEBRA OF THE NONCOMMUTATIVE SCHWARTZ SPACE

TOMASZ CIAŚ AND KRZYSZTOF PISZCZEK

**ABSTRACT.** We describe the multiplier algebra of the noncommutative Schwartz space. This multiplier algebra can be seen as the largest  $*$ -algebra of unbounded operators on a separable Hilbert space with the classical Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions as the domain. We show in particular that it is neither a  $\mathcal{Q}$ -algebra nor  $m$ -convex. On the other hand, we prove that classical tools of functional analysis, for example, the closed graph theorem, the open mapping theorem or the uniform boundedness principle, are still available.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to study algebraic and topological properties of some specific topological algebra with involution, called the *multiplier algebra of the noncommutative Schwartz space* and denoted by  $\mathcal{MS}$ . In particular we will show that, in spite of the fact that  $\mathcal{MS}$  is neither Banach nor metrizable, the Closed Graph Theorem, Open Mapping Theorem and Uniform Boundedness Principle work on this space – see Th. 4.5. Since it is a locally convex space the Hahn-Banach Theorem obviously holds.

The algebra  $\mathcal{MS}$  can be described in many ways. For instance, it can be seen as the “intersection”  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}))$ , where  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}))$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}))$ ) is the algebra of continuous linear operators on the well-known Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  of smooth rapidly decreasing functions (resp., on the space  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  of tempered distributions). It appears that we can replace the above function and distribution spaces with the corresponding sequence spaces. This means that  $\mathcal{MS}$  is isomorphic (as a topological  $*$ -algebra) to the “intersection”  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$ , where  $s$  is the space of rapidly decreasing sequences,  $s'$  is the space of slowly increasing sequences (for definitions see next section) and  $\mathcal{L}(s)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(s')$  are the corresponding spaces of continuous linear operators. The “intersection” – whatever it is – allows us to introduce a natural involution and this is why we consider  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  instead of, for example,  $\mathcal{L}(s)$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{MS}$  also turns out to be the maximal  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebra with domain  $s$ , and thus it can be viewed as the largest algebra of unbounded operators on  $\ell_2$  with domain  $s$  – see the book of K. Schmüdgen [33] which deals with  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebras, and especially [33, Part I.2]. Moreover, by Proposition 4.3, our algebra is isomorphic (as a topological  $*$ -algebra) to the matrix algebra

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{A}) := \left\{ x = (x_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}^2} : \forall N \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \sup_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} |x_{i,j}| \max \left\{ \frac{i^N}{j^n}, \frac{j^N}{i^n} \right\} < \infty \right\}.$$

As the name suggests,  $\mathcal{MS}$  can be also viewed as the algebra of “multipliers” for the so-called *noncommutative Schwartz space*  $\mathcal{S}$ , also known as the *algebra of smooth operators*. The noncommutative Schwartz space is a specific  $m$ -convex Fréchet  $*$ -algebra isomorphic to several, operator algebras naturally appearing in analysis, for example, to the algebra  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}))$  of

<sup>1</sup>**Keywords:** (Fréchet)  $m$ -convex algebra, (noncommutative) Schwartz space, multiplier algebra, PLS-space.

<sup>2</sup>**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary: 47L10, 46K10, 46H15. Secondary: 46A13, 46A11.

<sup>3</sup>**Acknowledgement.** The research of both authors has been supported by the National Center of Science, Poland, grant no. DEC-2013/10/A/ST1/00091.

continuous linear operators from  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , the algebra  $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$  or to the algebra

$$\mathcal{K}_\infty := \{(x_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}^2} : \forall N \in \mathbb{N}_0 \sup_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} |x_{i,j}| i^N j^N < \infty\}$$

of *rapidly decreasing matrices* (see [11, Th. 1.1] for more representations). It is also isomorphic – topologically but not as an algebra – to the Fréchet space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  (which explains its name).

The noncommutative Schwartz space and the space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  itself play a role in a number of fields, for example: structure theory of Fréchet spaces and splitting of short exact sequences (see [26, Part IV]); K-theory (see [9]);  $C^*$ -dynamical systems (see [18]); cyclic cohomology for crossed products (see [34]); operator analogues for locally convex spaces (see [16, 17]) and quantum mechanics, where it is called the *space of physical states* and its dual is the so-called *space of observables* (see [15]). Recently, some progress in the investigation of the noncommutative Schwartz space has been made. This contains: functional calculus (see [7]); description of closed, commutative,  $*$ -subalgebras (see [8]); automatic continuity (see [30]); amenability properties (see [29, 30]); Grothendieck inequality (see [25]).

However, the significance of the algebra  $\mathcal{MS}$  lies not only in the fact that it is a multiplier algebra of some well-known algebra of operators but also in its resemblance to the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  of bounded operators on  $\ell_2$  in the context of some class of Fréchet and topological  $*$ -algebras. This can be already seen in the very definition of the maximal  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebra on the domain  $s$  (which is, recall, isomorphic to  $\mathcal{MS}$ ). It is also worth pointing out that  $\mathcal{S}$  is considered as a Fréchet analogon of the algebra  $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$  of compact operators on  $\ell_2$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  is the multiplier algebra of  $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$  whereas, as we have already noted,  $\mathcal{MS}$  is the multiplier algebra of  $\mathcal{S}$  – in fact, in order to prove this, we apply methods used in the case of  $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  (compare Theorem 3.9 with [6, Prop. 2.5] and [27, Example 3.1.2]). Moreover, it seems that  $\mathcal{MS}$  contains as closed  $*$ -subalgebras many important topological  $*$ -algebras, e.g. Fréchet algebras  $C^\infty(M)$  of smooth functions on each compact smooth manifold  $M$  (the proof of this fact will be presented in a forthcoming paper).

Finally, we find quite interesting to compare some commutative sequence  $*$ -algebras with the corresponding noncommutative operator  $*$ -algebras. This is done by the following diagram with the horizontal continuous embeddings of algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} s & \hookrightarrow & \ell_1 & \hookrightarrow & \ell_2 & \hookrightarrow & c_0 & \hookrightarrow & \ell_\infty & \hookrightarrow & s' \\ \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\ \mathcal{S} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{N}(\ell_2) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{HS}(\ell_2) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{K}(\ell_2) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{B}(\ell_2) & & \mathcal{MS} \end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{N}(\ell_2)$  and  $\mathcal{HS}(\ell_2)$  is the algebra of nuclear and Hilbert-Schmidt operators, respectively. The “vertical correspondences” from  $s$  up to  $c_0$  mean, for example, that every monotonical sequence of nonnegative numbers belonging to a commutative algebra from the first row is a sequence of singular numbers of some operator of its noncommutative analogues. Moreover, algebras from the first row are embedded in a canonical way, as the algebras of diagonal operators, into the corresponding algebras from the second row. It will be made clear below that  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  is not embedded in  $\mathcal{MS}$  and vice versa.

This article is divided into four parts. Section 2 recalls basic notation and properties of the objects involved. In Section 3, we describe the multiplier algebra of the noncommutative Schwartz space. Section 4 deals with its topological properties and, finally, in Section 5 we consider properties of  $\mathcal{MS}$  as a topological algebra. (For more information on functional analysis, see [20, 26], for more on Banach algebra theory, see [10]; and for non-Banach operator algebras, see [33].)

## 2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

In what follows, we set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{N} &:= \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, \\ \mathbb{N}_0 &:= \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}.\end{aligned}$$

For locally convex spaces  $E$  and  $F$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$  the space of all continuous linear operators from  $E$  to  $F$ , and we set  $\mathcal{L}(E) := \mathcal{L}(E, E)$ . These spaces will be considered with the topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{L}(E, F)}$  of uniform convergence on bounded sets.

By  $s$  we denote the *space of rapidly decreasing sequences*, that is, the Fréchet space

$$s := \left\{ \xi = (\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : |\xi|_n := \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j|^2 j^{2n} \right)^{1/2} < \infty \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$$

with the topology given by the system  $(|\cdot|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  of norms. We will denote by  $s_n$  the Hilbert space corresponding to the norm  $|\cdot|_n$ .

By [26, Prop. 27.13] we may identify the strong dual of  $s$ , that is, the space of all continuous linear functionals on  $s$  with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets of  $s$ , with the *space of slowly increasing sequences*

$$s' := \left\{ \xi = (\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : |\xi|_{-n} := \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j|^2 j^{-2n} \right)^{1/2} < \infty \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$$

equipped with the inductive limit topology for the sequence  $(s_{-n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ , where  $s_{-n}$  is the Hilbert space corresponding to the norm  $|\cdot|_{-n}$ . In other words, the locally convex topology on  $s'$  is given by the family  $\{|\cdot|_B\}_{B \in \mathcal{B}}$  of norms,  $|\xi|'_B := \sup_{\eta \in B} |\langle \eta, \xi \rangle|$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the class of all bounded subsets of  $s$ .

The space  $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$  is a Fréchet space, whose topology is described by the sequence  $(\|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  of norms,

$$\|x\|_n := \sup\{|x\xi|_n : \xi \in U_n^\circ\},$$

where  $U_n^\circ = \{\xi \in s' : |\xi|_{-n} \leq 1\}$  are polars of the zeroneighbourhood basis  $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  in  $s$ . One can show that  $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$  is in fact isomorphic (as a Fréchet space) to the space  $s$  (see [22, §41, 7.(5)] and [26, Lemma 31.1]). Since  $\mathcal{L}(s', s) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  (continuous embedding given by  $\ell_2 \hookrightarrow s' \xrightarrow{x} s \hookrightarrow \ell_2$ ), we can endow our space with multiplication, involution and order structure of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ . With these operations  $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$  becomes an  $m$ -convex Fréchet  $*$ -algebra and is called the *noncommutative Schwartz space* or the *algebra of smooth operators*.

We devote a large part of the present article to considering the spaces  $\mathcal{L}(s)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(s')$  and their “intersection”

$$\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s') := \{x \in \mathcal{L}(s) : x = \tilde{x}|_s \text{ for some (and hence unique) } \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{L}(s')\}$$

equipped with the topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')} := \tau_{\mathcal{L}(s)} \cap \tau_{\mathcal{L}(s')}$ ;  $\tau_{\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')}$  is therefore determined by the family  $\{q_{n, B}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0, B \in \mathcal{B}}$  of seminorms, where

$$(1) \quad q_{n, B}(x) := \max \left\{ \sup_{\xi \in B} |x\xi|_n, \sup_{\xi \in U_n^\circ} |x\xi|'_B \right\}$$

and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the class of all bounded subsets of  $s$ . It is easy to show that  $\mathcal{L}(s)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(s')$  are topological algebras and, as we will show in Proposition 3.8, the same is true for  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$ .

The spaces  $\mathcal{L}(s)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(s')$  can be seen as the completed tensor products  $s' \widehat{\otimes} s$  and  $s \widehat{\otimes} s'$ , respectively – see [22, §43, 3.(7)]. Since  $s$  and  $s'$  are nuclear (see [26, Prop. 28.16]), the injective and the projective tensor product topologies coincide (see [20, 21.2, Th. 1]). Consequently (see

[20, 15.4, Th. 2 & 15.5, Cor. 4]),  $\mathcal{L}(s)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(s')$  admit natural PLS-topologies (see below) coinciding with  $\tau_{\mathcal{L}(s)}$  and  $\tau_{\mathcal{L}(s')}$ , that is,

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(s) &= \text{proj}_{N \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ind}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{L}(s_n, s_N), \\ \mathcal{L}(s') &= \text{proj}_{N \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ind}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{L}(s'_N, s'_n). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.1.** To be precise, the above two representations of  $\mathcal{L}(s)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(s')$  are not of PLS-type. But this can be easily overcome following the first part of Remark 4.11.

As for the class of PLS-spaces (the best reference for which is [12] and the references therein) we will need more information. Recall that by a PLB-space we mean a locally convex space  $X$  whose topology is given by

$$X = \text{proj}_{N \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ind}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} X_{N,n},$$

where all the  $X_{N,n}$ 's are Banach spaces and all the linking maps  $\iota_{N,n}^{N,n+1}: X_{N,n} \hookrightarrow X_{N,n+1}$  are linear and continuous inclusions. If all the  $X_{N,n}$ 's are Hilbert spaces then we call  $X$  a PLH-space. If the linking maps  $(\iota_{N,n}^{N,n+1})_{N,n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  are compact (nuclear) then  $X$  is called a PLS-space (PLN-space).

Of particular importance for us will be the so-called *Köthe-type* PLB-spaces. Recall that a *Köthe* PLB-matrix is a matrix  $\mathcal{C} := (c_{j,N,n})_{j \in \mathbb{N}, N, n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  of nonnegative scalars satisfying:

- (i)  $\forall j \in \mathbb{N} \exists N \in \mathbb{N}_0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0: c_{j,N,n} > 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}, N, n \in \mathbb{N}_0: c_{j,N,n+1} \leq c_{j,N,n} \leq c_{j,N+1,n}$ .

We define

$$\Lambda^p(\mathcal{C}) := \{x = (x_j)_j \mid \forall N \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists n \in \mathbb{N}_0: \|x\|_{N,n,p} < +\infty\} \quad (1 \leq p < \infty),$$

where

$$\|x\|_{N,n,p} := \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (|x_j| c_{j,N,n})^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

For  $p = \infty$  we use the respective 'sup' norms. Then  $\Lambda^p(\mathcal{C})$  can be identified with the space  $\text{proj}_{N \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ind}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \ell^p(c_{j,N,n})$  and it is called a Köthe-type PLB-space. If for all  $N, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have  $\lim_j \frac{c_{j,N,n+1}}{c_{j,N,n}} = 0$  (compact linking maps) then  $\Lambda^p(\mathcal{C})$  is a PLS-space and if for all  $N, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have  $\sum_j \frac{c_{j,N,n+1}}{c_{j,N,n}} < \infty$  (nuclear linking maps) then  $\Lambda^p(\mathcal{C})$  is a PLN-space. If  $\Lambda^p(\mathcal{C}) = \Lambda^q(\mathcal{C})$ , as sets, for all  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  then we simply write  $\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ .

For later use, we distinguish specific Köthe PLB-matrices on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , defined as follows:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &= (b_{ij;N,n})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}, N, n \in \mathbb{N}_0}, & b_{ij;N,n} &:= \frac{i^N}{j^n}, \\ \mathcal{B}' &= (b'_{ij;N,n})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}, N, n \in \mathbb{N}_0}, & b'_{ij;N,n} &:= \frac{j^N}{i^n} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{A} = (a_{ij;N,n})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}, N, n \in \mathbb{N}_0}, \quad a_{ij;N,n} := \max\{b_{ij;N,n}, b'_{ij;N,n}\} = \max\left\{\frac{i^N}{j^n}, \frac{j^N}{i^n}\right\}.$$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be any of the Köthe-type PLB-matrices given by (3) or (4). Then  $\Lambda^p(\mathcal{M}) = \Lambda^q(\mathcal{M})$  topologically, for all  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $m_{ij;N+2,n} = (ij)^2 m_{ij;N,n+2}$  for all indices  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}, N, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have the inequalities

$$\|\cdot\|_{N,n,\infty} \leq \|\cdot\|_{N,n,1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\cdot\|_{N,n+2,1} \leq C \|\cdot\|_{N+2,n,\infty},$$

which hold for all  $N, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $C = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (ij)^{-2} = \frac{\pi^4}{36}$ .  $\square$

Using the notion of Köthe-type PLB-spaces we get from (2) and Proposition 2.2 topological isomorphisms:

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{L}(s) \cong \Lambda(\mathcal{B}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}(s') \cong \Lambda(\mathcal{B}').$$

In both cases the isomorphism is given by  $x \mapsto (\langle xe_j, e_i \rangle)_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

### 3. REPRESENTATIONS OF THE MULTIPLIER ALGEBRA

In this section we want to describe the so-called multiplier algebra of  $\mathcal{S}$  which is, in some sense, the largest algebra of operators acting on  $\mathcal{S}$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  seems to be a good candidate, because if  $x \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$ , then clearly  $xy, yx \in \mathcal{S}$ . Now, using heuristic arguments, we may show that the algebra  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  is optimal. Assume that  $y \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  for some locally convex spaces  $E, F$ . If  $xy \in \mathcal{S}$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{S}$  then, in particular,  $(\langle \cdot, \xi \rangle \xi)y \in \mathcal{S}$  for all  $\xi \in s$ , and therefore  $\langle y(\eta), \xi \rangle$  has to be well-defined for every  $\xi \in s$  and  $\eta \in s'$ , which shows that  $y: s' \rightarrow s'$ . Similarly, we show that if  $yx \in \mathcal{S}$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{S}$  then  $y: s \rightarrow s$ . Hence,  $y \in \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$ .

Another, more abstract, approach to multipliers goes through the so-called double centralizers (see Definition 3.1) and is due to Johnson [21]. Theorem 3.9 below shows that this approach leads again to  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$ . In Corollary 4.4, we show that the multiplier algebra of  $\mathcal{S}$  has other representations – also important in further investigation.

The theory of double centralizers of  $C^*$ -algebras was developed by Busby (see [6] and also [27, pp. 38–39, 81–83]). Our exposition for the noncommutative Schwartz space will follow that of  $C^*$ -algebras.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $A$  be a  $*$ -algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ . A pair  $(L, R)$  of maps from  $A$  to  $A$  (neither linearity nor continuity is required) such that  $xL(y) = R(x)y$  for  $x, y \in A$  is called a *double centralizer* on  $A$ . We denote the set of all double centralizers on  $A$  by  $\mathcal{DC}(A)$ . Moreover, for a map  $T: A \rightarrow A$ , we define  $T^*: A \rightarrow A$  by  $T^*(x) := (T(x^*))^*$ .

Now, let  $(L_1, R_1), (L_2, R_2) \in \mathcal{DC}(A)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . We define:

- (i)  $(L_1, R_1) + (L_2, R_2) := (L_1 + L_2, R_1 + R_2)$ ;
- (ii)  $\lambda(L_1, R_1) := (\lambda L_1, \lambda R_1)$ ;
- (iii)  $(L_1, R_1) \cdot (L_2, R_2) := (L_1 L_2, R_2 R_1)$ ;
- (iv)  $(L_1, R_1)^* := (R_1^*, L_1^*)$ .

A straightforward computation shows that  $\mathcal{DC}(A)$  with the operations defined above is a  $*$ -algebra. The elements of  $A$  correspond to the elements of  $\mathcal{DC}(A)$  via the map, called the *double representation* of  $A$  (see [21, p. 301]),

$$(6) \quad \varrho: A \rightarrow \mathcal{DC}(A), \quad \varrho(x) := (L_x, R_x),$$

where  $L_x(y) := xy$  and  $R_x(y) := yx$  are the left and right multiplication maps, respectively. One can easily show that  $\varrho$  is a homomorphism of  $*$ -algebras.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $A$  be an algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $I$  be an ideal in  $A$ .

- (i) We say that  $A$  is *faithful* if for every  $x \in A$  we have:  $xA = \{0\}$  implies  $x = 0$  and  $Ax = \{0\}$  implies  $x = 0$ .
- (ii) An ideal  $I$  is called *essential* in  $A$  if for every  $x \in A$  the following implications hold: if  $xI = \{0\}$  then  $x = 0$  and if  $Ix = \{0\}$  then  $x = 0$ .

It is well-known that every  $C^*$ -algebra is an essential ideal in its multiplier algebra [27, p. 82]), so it is faithful (see also [6, Cor. 2.4]). For example, the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators on  $\ell_2$  is an essential ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(\ell_2)$ . The analogue of this result holds for  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *An algebra  $\mathcal{S}$  is an essential ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{S}$  is faithful.*

**Proof.** Clearly,  $\mathcal{S}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$ . Assume that  $xz = 0$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then, in particular, for  $\xi \in s$  and  $z := \langle \cdot, \xi \rangle \xi$ , we get  $\langle \cdot, \xi \rangle x(\xi) = 0$ . Thus  $x(\xi) = 0$  for all  $\xi \in s$ , i.e.  $x = 0$ . This gives  $x\mathcal{S} = \{0\} \Rightarrow x = 0$ . Applying now the involution we get the implication  $\mathcal{S}x = \{0\} \Rightarrow x = 0$ .  $\square$

The following result can be deduced from [21, Th. 7 & Th. 14]. For the convenience of the reader we present a more direct proof. We follow the proof of [6, Prop. 2.5] (the case of  $C^*$ -algebras).

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $A$  be a faithful  $m$ -convex Fréchet algebra and let  $(L, R) \in \mathcal{DC}(A)$ . Then*

- (i)  $L$  and  $R$  are linear continuous maps on  $A$ ;
- (ii)  $L(xy) = L(x)y$  for every  $x, y \in A$ ;
- (iii)  $R(xy) = xR(y)$  for every  $x, y \in A$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $x, y, z \in A$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$zL(\alpha x + \beta y) = R(z)(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha R(z)x + \beta R(z)y = z(\alpha L(x) + \beta L(y)),$$

and since  $A$  is faithful,  $L(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha L(x) + \beta L(y)$ .

Now, let  $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset A$  and assume that  $x_j \rightarrow 0$  and  $L(x_j) \rightarrow y$  (convergence in the topology of  $A$ ). Let  $(\|\cdot\|_q)_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a fundamental system of submultiplicative seminorms on  $A$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|zy\|_q &\leq \|zy - zL(x_j)\|_q + \|zL(x_j)\|_q = \|z(y - L(x_j))\|_q + \|R(z)x_j\|_q \\ &\leq \|z\|_q \cdot \|y - L(x_j)\|_q + \|R(z)\|_q \cdot \|x_j\|_q \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $\|zy\|_q = 0$  for every  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and therefore  $zy = 0$ . Hence, by the assumption on  $A$ ,  $y = 0$ . Now, by the Closed Graph Theorem for Fréchet spaces (see e.g. [26, Th. 24.31]),  $L$  is continuous. Analogous arguments work for the map  $R$ .

(ii) Let  $x, y, z \in A$ . Then

$$zL(xy) = R(z)xy = (R(z)x)y = (zL(x))y = z(L(x)y),$$

and therefore,  $L(xy) = L(x)y$ .

(iii) Analogously as in (ii).  $\square$

Now, we need to prove that elements of  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  can be seen as some unbounded operators on  $\ell_2$ , namely as the elements of the class

$$\mathcal{L}^*(s) := \{x: s \rightarrow s : x \text{ is linear, } s \subset \mathcal{D}(x^*) \text{ and } x^*(s) \subset s\}.$$

Here

$$\mathcal{D}(x^*) := \{\eta \in \ell_2 : \exists \zeta \in \ell_2 \forall \xi \in s \quad \langle x\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle\}$$

and  $x^*\eta := \zeta$  for  $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(x^*)$  (one can show that such a vector  $\zeta$  is unique). This  $*$ -operation defines a natural involution on  $\mathcal{L}^*(s)$ .  $\mathcal{L}^*(s)$  is known as the maximal  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebra with domain  $s$  and it can be viewed as the largest  $*$ -algebra of unbounded operators on  $\ell_2$  with domain  $s$  (see [33, p. I.2.1] for details). Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the set of all bounded subsets of  $s$ . We endow  $\mathcal{L}^*(s)$  with the topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{L}^*(s)}$  given by the family  $\{p_{n,B}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0, B \in \mathcal{B}}$  of seminorms,

$$(7) \quad p_{n,B}(x) := \max\left\{\sup_{\xi \in B} |x\xi|_n, \sup_{\xi \in B} |x^*\xi|_n\right\}.$$

It is well-known that  $\mathcal{L}^*(s)$  is a complete locally convex space and a topological  $*$ -algebra (see [33, Prop. 3.3.15] and Remark 3.5 below).

**Remark 3.5.** The so-called graph topology on  $s$  of the  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{L}^*(s)$  is given by the system of seminorms  $(\|\cdot\|_a)_{a \in \mathcal{L}^*(s)}$ ,  $\|\xi\|_a := \|a\xi\|_{\ell_2}$  ([33, Def. 2.1.1]). It is easy to see that the usual Fréchet space topology on  $s$  is equal to the graph topology (consider the diagonal map  $s \ni (\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (j^n \xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in s$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ), and therefore the topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{L}^*(s)}$  on  $\mathcal{L}^*(s)$  coincides with the topology  $\tau^*$  (see [33, pp. 81–82]) defined by the seminorms  $\{p^{a,B}\}_{a \in \mathcal{L}^*(s), B \in \mathcal{B}}$ ,

$$p^{a,B}(x) := \max \left\{ \sup_{\xi \in B} \|ax\xi\|_{\ell_2}, \sup_{\xi \in B} \|ax^*\xi\|_{\ell_2} \right\}.$$

**Lemma 3.6.** *We have  $\mathcal{L}^*(s) \subset \mathcal{L}(s)$  and every operator  $x \in \mathcal{L}^*(s)$  can be extended to an operator  $\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{L}(s')$ . Moreover,*

$$\langle x^*\eta, \xi \rangle = \langle \eta, \tilde{x}\xi \rangle$$

for all  $\xi \in s'$  and  $\eta \in s$ .

**Proof.** Take  $x \in \mathcal{L}^*(s)$ . Let  $(\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset s$  and assume that  $\xi_j \rightarrow 0$  and  $x\xi_j \rightarrow \eta$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, for every  $\zeta \in s$ , we have

$$\langle x\xi_j, \zeta \rangle = \langle \xi_j, x^*\zeta \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

and, on the other hand,

$$\langle x\xi_j, \zeta \rangle \rightarrow \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle.$$

Hence  $\langle \eta, \zeta \rangle = 0$  for every  $\zeta \in s$ , and therefore  $\eta = 0$ . By the Closed Graph Theorem for Fréchet spaces,  $x: s \rightarrow s$  is continuous, and thus  $\mathcal{L}^*(s) \subset \mathcal{L}(s)$ .

Fix  $x \in \mathcal{L}^*(s)$ ,  $\xi \in s'$ , and define a linear functional  $\varphi_\xi: s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\varphi_\xi(\eta) := \langle x^*\eta, \xi \rangle$ . From the continuity of  $x^*: s \rightarrow s$ , it follows that for every  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$  there is  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $C > 0$  such that  $|x^*\eta|_q \leq C|\eta|_r$  for all  $\eta$  in  $s$ . Hence, with the same quantifiers, we get

$$(8) \quad |\varphi_\xi(\eta)| = |\langle x^*\eta, \xi \rangle| \leq |x^*\eta|_q \cdot |\xi|_{-q} \leq C|\eta|_r \cdot |\xi|_{-q},$$

and thus  $\varphi_\xi$  is continuous. Consequently, for each  $\xi \in s'$  we can find a unique  $\zeta \in s'$  such that

$$\langle \eta, \zeta \rangle = \varphi_\xi(\eta) = \langle x^*\eta, \xi \rangle$$

for all  $\eta \in s$  and we may define  $\tilde{x}: s' \rightarrow s'$  by  $\tilde{x}\xi := \zeta$ . Clearly,  $\tilde{x}$  is a linear extension of  $x$ , and moreover  $\tilde{x}$  is continuous. Indeed, by (8), for every  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$  there is  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $C > 0$  such that

$$|\tilde{x}\xi|_{-r} = \sup_{|\eta|_r \leq 1} |\langle \eta, \tilde{x}\xi \rangle| = \sup_{|\eta|_r \leq 1} |\langle x^*\eta, \xi \rangle| \leq C|\xi|_{-q}$$

for all  $\xi \in s'$ . This shows the continuity of  $\tilde{x}$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

The following result follows also from [23, Prop. 2.2].

**Proposition 3.7.**  $\mathcal{L}^*(s) = \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  as sets.

**Proof.** The inclusion  $\mathcal{L}^*(s) \subset \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  follows directly from Lemma 3.6.

Let  $x \in \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$ . For each  $\eta \in s$  we define a linear functional  $\psi_\eta: s' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\psi_\eta(\xi) := \langle \tilde{x}\xi, \eta \rangle$ , where  $\tilde{x}: s' \rightarrow s'$  is the continuous extension of  $x$ . By the continuity of the operator  $\tilde{x}$  and the Grothendieck Factorization Theorem [26, p. 24.33], it follows that for every  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$  there is  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $C > 0$  such that  $|\tilde{x}\xi|_{-q} \leq C|\xi|_{-r}$  for  $\xi \in s'$ . Hence, for  $\xi \in s'$ , we have

$$|\psi_\eta(\xi)| = |\langle \tilde{x}\xi, \eta \rangle| \leq |\tilde{x}\xi|_{-q} \cdot |\eta|_q \leq C|\eta|_q \cdot |\xi|_{-r}.$$

This shows that  $\psi_\eta$  is continuous, and therefore there exists  $\zeta \in s$  such that  $\psi_\eta(\cdot) = \langle \cdot, \zeta \rangle$ . Consequently,  $\langle x\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle$  for  $\xi \in s$ , hence  $s \subset \mathcal{D}(x^*)$  and  $x^*(s) \subset s$ , that is,  $x \in \mathcal{L}^*(s)$ .  $\square$

If we endow  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  with the involution on  $\mathcal{L}^*(s)$ , we obtain that:

**Proposition 3.8.**  $\mathcal{L}^*(s) = \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  as locally convex spaces and  $*$ -algebras. Consequently,  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  is a complete locally convex space and a topological  $*$ -algebra.

**Proof.** By definition and Proposition 3.7,  $\mathcal{L}^*(s) = \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  as  $*$ -algebras. Let us compare fundamental systems  $\{p_{n,B}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0, B \in \mathcal{B}}$  (7) and  $\{q_{n,B}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0, B \in \mathcal{B}}$  (1) of seminorms on  $\mathcal{L}^*(s)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$ , respectively.

Take  $x \in \mathcal{L}^*(s)$  with its unique extension  $\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{L}(s')$ . Let  $B$  be a bounded subset of  $s$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then, by Lemma 3.6,

$$(9) \quad \sup_{\eta \in B} |x^* \eta|_n = \sup_{\eta \in B} \sup_{\xi \in U_n^\circ} |\langle x^* \eta, \xi \rangle| = \sup_{\eta \in B} \sup_{\xi \in U_n^\circ} |\langle \eta, \tilde{x} \xi \rangle| = \sup_{\xi \in U_n^\circ} |\tilde{x} \xi|'_B.$$

This shows that  $p_{n,B}(x) = q_{n,B}(x)$ .

Since  $\mathcal{L}^*(s)$  is a complete locally convex space and a topological  $*$ -algebra (see [33, Prop. 3.3.15] and Remark 3.5), the result follows.  $\square$

By Propositions 3.3 and 3.4,  $\mathcal{DC}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ , and thus we may endow  $\mathcal{DC}(\mathcal{S})$  with the corresponding subspace topology, denoted by  $\tau_{\mathcal{DC}(\mathcal{S})}$ . Note that a typical continuous seminorm of an element  $(L, R)$  of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$  is given by the formula  $\max\{\sup_{x \in M} \|L(x)\|_n, \sup_{x \in M} \|R(x)\|_n\}$ , where  $M$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

For  $u \in \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  we define the left and right multiplication maps  $L_u, R_u: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ ,  $L_u(x) := ux$ ,  $R_u(x) := x\tilde{u}$ , where  $\tilde{u}: s' \rightarrow s'$  is the extension of  $u$  according to the definition of  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$ .

Theorem 3.9 below states that the double representation (6) of  $\mathcal{S}$  can be extended to an isomorphism of topological  $*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  and  $\mathcal{DC}(\mathcal{S})$ , and thus  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  can be seen as the multiplier algebra of  $\mathcal{S}$  (compare with [27, Th. 3.1.8 & Example 3.1.2]).

**Theorem 3.9.** *The map  $\tilde{\varrho}: \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s') \rightarrow \mathcal{DC}(\mathcal{S})$ ,  $u \mapsto (L_u, R_u)$  is an isomorphism of locally convex spaces and  $*$ -algebras. Consequently,  $\mathcal{DC}(\mathcal{S})$  is a complete locally convex space and topological  $*$ -algebra.*

**Proof.** Throughout the proof, for  $\xi, \eta \in s$ ,  $\xi \otimes \eta$  denotes the one-dimensional operator  $\langle \cdot, \eta \rangle \xi: s' \rightarrow s$ .

Clearly, for  $u \in \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$ , the left and right multiplication maps  $L_u, R_u: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  are well defined. Moreover, it is easy to see that  $xL_u(y) = R_u(x)y$  for  $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$ . Hence,  $(L_u, R_u) \in \mathcal{DC}(\mathcal{S})$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$ , that is,  $\tilde{\varrho}$  is well defined.

The proof of the fact that  $\tilde{\varrho}$  is a  $*$ -algebra homomorphism is straightforward and  $\tilde{\varrho}$  is injective, because  $\mathcal{S}$  is an essential ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  (Proposition 3.3). We will show that  $\tilde{\varrho}$  is surjective.

Let  $(L, R) \in \mathcal{DC}(\mathcal{S})$  and fix  $e \in s$  with  $\|e\|_{\ell_2} = 1$ . We define a linear continuous map (use Propositions 3.3 and 3.4)  $u: s \rightarrow s$  by

$$u\xi := L(\xi \otimes e)(e).$$

For  $\xi, \eta \in s$  we have

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle u\xi, \eta \rangle &= \langle L(\xi \otimes e)(e), \eta \rangle = \langle L(\xi \otimes e)(e), (\eta \otimes e)(e) \rangle = \langle (e \otimes \eta)[L(\xi \otimes e)(e)], e \rangle \\ &= \langle [(e \otimes \eta)L(\xi \otimes e)](e), e \rangle = \langle [R(e \otimes \eta)(\xi \otimes e)](e), e \rangle = \langle R(e \otimes \eta)[(\xi \otimes e)(e)], e \rangle \\ &= \langle R(e \otimes \eta)(\xi), e \rangle = \langle \xi, (R(e \otimes \eta))^*(e) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $u^* \eta = (R(e \otimes \eta))^*(e) \in s$  for  $\eta \in s$ . Hence,  $s \subset \mathcal{D}(u^*)$  and  $u^*(s) \subset s$ . Consequently,  $u \in \mathcal{L}^*(s)$ , and thus, by Proposition 3.7,  $u$  has the continuous extension  $\tilde{u}: s' \rightarrow s'$ .

By Propositions 3.3 and 3.4, for  $\zeta \in s$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_u(\xi \otimes \eta)(\zeta) &= (u\xi \otimes \eta)(\zeta) = [L(\xi \otimes e)(e) \otimes \eta](\zeta) = \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle L(\xi \otimes e)(e) \\ &= L(\xi \otimes e)(\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle e) = L(\xi \otimes e)[(e \otimes \eta)(\zeta)] = [L(\xi \otimes e)(e \otimes \eta)](\zeta) \\ &= L((\xi \otimes e)(e \otimes \eta))(\zeta) = L(\xi \otimes \eta)(\zeta), \end{aligned}$$



hence  $L_u(\xi \otimes \eta) = L(\xi \otimes \eta)$ . Since  $\{\xi \otimes \eta : \xi, \eta \in s\}$  is linearly dense in  $\mathcal{S}$ , it follows that  $L_u = L$ . Likewise, (10) implies that, for  $\zeta \in s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} R_u(\xi \otimes \eta)(\zeta) &= [(\xi \otimes \eta)\tilde{u}](\zeta) = \langle u\zeta, \eta \rangle \xi = \langle R(e \otimes \eta)(\zeta), e \rangle \xi = (\xi \otimes e)((R(e \otimes \eta)(\zeta)) = \\ &= [(\xi \otimes e)R(e \otimes \eta)](\zeta) = R((\xi \otimes e)(e \otimes \eta))(\zeta) = R(\xi \otimes \eta)(\zeta), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $R_u = R$ . Hence  $\tilde{\varrho}(u) = (L_u, R_u) = (L, R)$ , and thus  $\tilde{\varrho}$  is surjective.

Next, we shall prove that  $\tilde{\varrho}$  is continuous. Let  $M$  be a bounded subset of  $\mathcal{S}$  and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Since the involution on  $\mathcal{S}$  is continuous (see [7, p. 148]), the set  $M^*$  is bounded and there are  $C > 0$ ,  $k \geq n$  such that  $\|y^*\|_n \leq C\|y\|_k$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{S}$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &:= \{x\xi : x \in M, \xi \in s', |\xi|_{-n} \leq 1\}, \\ B_2 &:= \{x^*\xi : x \in M, \xi \in s', |\xi|_{-k} \leq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for all  $m \geq k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{|\eta|_m : \eta \in B_1\} &\leq \sup_{x \in M} \|x\|_m < \infty, \\ \sup\{|\eta|_m : \eta \in B_2\} &\leq \sup_{x \in M^*} \|x\|_m < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are bounded subsets of  $s$ . Now,

$$\sup_{x \in M} \|L_u(x)\|_n = \sup_{x \in M} \|ux\|_n = \sup_{x \in M} \sup_{|\xi|_{-n} \leq 1} |u(x\xi)|_n = \sup_{\eta \in B_1} |u(\eta)|_n \leq q_{n, B_1}(u).$$

Moreover, by Lemma 3.6,  $(x\tilde{u})^* = u^*x^*$  for  $x \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in M} \|R_u(x)\|_n &= \sup_{x \in M} \|x\tilde{u}\|_n \leq C \sup_{x \in M} \|(x\tilde{u})^*\|_k = C \sup_{x \in M} \|u^*x^*\|_k = \sup_{x \in M} \sup_{|\xi|_{-k} \leq 1} |u^*(x^*\xi)|_k \\ &= \sup_{\eta \in B_2} |u^*\eta|_k \leq p_{k, B_2}(u) = q_{k, B_2}(u), \end{aligned}$$

where the last identity follows from (9). Consequently,

$$\max \left\{ \sup_{x \in M} \|L_u(x)\|_n, \sup_{x \in M} \|R_u(x)\|_n \right\} \leq \max\{q_{n, B_1}(u), q_{k, B_2}(u)\} \leq q_{k, B_1 \cup B_2}(u),$$

and thus  $\tilde{\varrho}$  is continuous.

Finally, we show that the inverse of  $\tilde{\varrho}$  is continuous. Let us take a bounded subset  $B$  of  $s$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Define

$$M := \{x\xi : \xi \in B \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{0\},$$

where  $x\xi := \|\xi\|_{\ell_2}^{-1} \xi \otimes \xi$  and  $0$  is the zero operator in  $\mathcal{S}$ . For all  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have

$$\sup_{x \in M} \|x\|_m = \sup_{\xi \in B \setminus \{0\}} \|\xi\|_{\ell_2}^{-1} \|\xi\|_m^2 \leq \sup_{\xi \in B \setminus \{0\}} |\xi|_{2m} < \infty,$$

where the first inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the last one is a consequence of the boundedness of the set  $B$ . Hence  $M$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Let

$$B' := \{x\xi : x \in M, \xi \in s', |\xi|_{-n} \leq 1\}.$$

Clearly,  $0 \in B'$ . If  $\xi \in B \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $\xi = x_\xi(\|\xi\|_{\ell_2}^{-1}\xi)$  and  $\|\xi\|_{\ell_2}^{-1}\xi|_{-n} \leq 1$ , hence  $\xi \in B'$ . Consequently,  $B \subset B'$ . Again by identity (9), we get, for all  $u \in \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} q_{n,B}(u) &= p_{n,B}(u) = \max \left\{ \sup_{\eta \in B} |u\eta|_n, \sup_{\eta \in B} |u^*\eta|_n \right\} \leq \max \left\{ \sup_{\eta \in B'} |u\eta|_n, \sup_{\eta \in B'} |u^*\eta|_n \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in M} \sup_{|\xi|_{-n} \leq 1} |u(x\xi)|_n, \sup_{x \in M} \sup_{|\xi|_{-n} \leq 1} |u^*(x\xi)|_n \right\} = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in M} \|ux\|_n, \sup_{x \in M} \|u^*x^*\|_n \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in M} \|ux\|_n, \sup_{x \in M} \|x\tilde{u}\|_n \right\} = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in M} \|L_u(x)\|_n, \sup_{x \in M} \|R_u(x)\|_n \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $\tilde{\varrho}^{-1}$  is continuous.  $\square$

#### 4. TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF THE MULTIPLIER ALGEBRA

We start by showing how the multiplier algebra of  $\mathcal{S}$  can be realized as a matrix algebra. Before that we make rather easy but very efficient observation.

**Proposition 4.1.** *The space  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  is isomorphic as a locally convex space to a complemented subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(s) \times \mathcal{L}(s')$ .*

**Proof.** We use matrix representations (5). If  $x = (x_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Lambda(\mathcal{B})$  and  $y = (y_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Lambda(\mathcal{B}')$  then we denote  $M(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ ,

$$[M(x, y)]_{ij} := \begin{cases} x_{ij}, & i \leq j \\ y_{ij}, & i > j, \end{cases}$$

and define a map  $P: \Lambda(\mathcal{B}) \times \Lambda(\mathcal{B}') \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{B}) \times \Lambda(\mathcal{B}')$  by

$$P(x, y) := (M(x, y), M(x, y)).$$

It is easily seen that  $P$  is a projection with

$$\text{im } P = \Delta(\Lambda(\mathcal{B}) \times \Lambda(\mathcal{B}')) := \{(x, x) \in \Lambda(\mathcal{B}) \times \Lambda(\mathcal{B}') : x \in \Lambda(\mathcal{B}) \cap \Lambda(\mathcal{B}')\}.$$

To get continuity, observe first that  $\Lambda(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\Lambda(\mathcal{B}')$  are webbed by [26, Lemma 24.28]. Moreover,  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cong \mathcal{L}(s') \cong s \otimes s'$  by [22, §43, 3.(7)], and therefore  $\mathcal{L}(s)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(s')$  are ultrabornological by [19, Ch. II, Prop. 15 & Cor. 2] (see also [24] for a homological proof of this fact). Since  $\Lambda(\mathcal{B}) \cong \mathcal{L}(s)$  and  $\Lambda(\mathcal{B}') \cong \mathcal{L}(s')$ ,  $\Lambda(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\Lambda(\mathcal{B}')$  are ultrabornological, as well. This implies that  $\Lambda(\mathcal{B}) \times \Lambda(\mathcal{B}')$  is ultrabornological by [32, Ch. II, 8.2, Cor. 1] and has a web by [22, §35, 4.(6)]. Continuity of  $P$  follows now by the Closed Graph Theorem [26, Th. 24.31].

Now, let us consider the map

$$\Phi: \mathcal{L}(s) \times \mathcal{L}(s') \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{B}) \times \Lambda(\mathcal{B}'), \quad \Phi(x, y) := (\Phi_1(x), \Phi_2(y)),$$

where  $\Phi_1: \mathcal{L}(s) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $\Phi_2: \mathcal{L}(s') \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{B}')$  are isomorphisms given by  $x \mapsto (\langle xe_j, e_i \rangle)_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Clearly  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism of locally convex spaces and

$$\Phi(\Delta(\mathcal{L}(s) \times \mathcal{L}(s'))) = \Delta(\Lambda(\mathcal{B}) \times \Lambda(\mathcal{B}')),$$

where

$$\Delta(\mathcal{L}(s) \times \mathcal{L}(s')) := \{(x, \tilde{x}) \in \mathcal{L}(s) \times \mathcal{L}(s') : x \in \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')\}.$$

This shows that the map  $\Phi^{-1}P\Phi$  is a continuous projection onto  $\Delta(\mathcal{L}(s) \times \mathcal{L}(s'))$ . Finally, by comparing fundamental systems of seminorms on  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  and  $\Delta(\mathcal{L}(s) \times \mathcal{L}(s'))$ , we see that the map

$$\Psi: \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s') \rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{L}(s) \times \mathcal{L}(s')), \quad \Psi(x) := (x, \tilde{x})$$

is an isomorphism, and thus  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  is isomorphic to  $\Delta(\mathcal{L}(s) \times \mathcal{L}(s'))$ , a complemented subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(s) \times \mathcal{L}(s')$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *The space  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  is a nuclear, ultrabornological PLS-space.*

**Proof.**  $\mathcal{L}(s)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(s')$  are nuclear, ultrabornological PLS-spaces, so is  $\mathcal{L}(s) \times \mathcal{L}(s')$  as their product (see [26, Prop. 28.7], [28, Cor. 6.2.14]). The desired properties are inherited by complemented subspaces (see [26, Prop. 28.6], [32, Ch. II, 8.2, Cor. 1], [14, Prop. 1.2]), and thus, by Proposition 4.1, the proof is complete.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be as in (4), that is,

$$\mathcal{A} := (a_{ij,N,n})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}, N, n \in \mathbb{N}_0}, \quad a_{ij,N,n} := \max \left\{ \frac{i^N}{j^n}, \frac{j^N}{i^n} \right\}.$$

**Proposition 4.3.** *We have  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s') \cong \Lambda(\mathcal{A})$  as topological  $*$ -algebras.*

**Proof.** The map

$$T: \Lambda(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s'), \quad \langle (Tx)e_j, e_i \rangle := x_{ij}$$

is a  $*$ -algebra isomorphism. To see it is continuous, observe that the embeddings  $\Lambda(\mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(s)$  and  $\Lambda(\mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(s')$  are continuous. Continuity of  $T^{-1}$  follows from the Open Mapping Theorem [26, p. 24.30] since  $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})$  – as a PLS-space – has a web and  $\mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s')$  is ultrabornological by Corollary 4.2.  $\square$

Combining Proposition 3.8, Theorem 3.9 and Proposition 4.3 we obtain the following.

**Corollary 4.4.** *We have  $\mathcal{DC}(\mathcal{S}) \cong \mathcal{L}^*(s) = \mathcal{L}(s) \cap \mathcal{L}(s') \cong \Lambda(\mathcal{A})$  as topological  $*$ -algebras.*

From now on, by  $\mathcal{ML}(s', s)$  we denote any topological  $*$ -algebra isomorphic to  $\mathcal{DC}(\mathcal{S})$  and we call it the *multiplier algebra of the noncommutative Schwartz space*.

We have just shown that  $\mathcal{ML}(s', s)$  is webbed and ultrabornological. By [35, Th. 4.2] this last property is equivalent to barrelledness. Therefore by [26, Ths. 24.30, 24.31] and [28, Prop. 4.1.3] all the classical functional analytic tools are available for  $\mathcal{ML}(s', s)$ . For convenience we state this result separately.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $X = \mathcal{ML}(s', s)$  be the multiplier algebra of the noncommutative Schwartz space and let  $E, F, G$  be a locally convex spaces with  $E$  webbed and  $F$  ultrabornological. The following hold:*

- (1) *Uniform Boundedness Principle: every pointwise bounded set  $B \subset \mathcal{L}(X, G)$  is equicontinuous.*
- (2) *Closed Graph Theorem: every linear map  $T: X \rightarrow E$  and  $S: F \rightarrow X$  with closed graph is continuous.*
- (3) *Open Mapping Theorem: every continuous linear surjection  $T: E \rightarrow X$  and  $S: X \rightarrow F$  is open.*

We will now need a characterization of those Fréchet spaces which are PLN-spaces. This characterization seems to be known for specialists however we were not able to find any reference to that result. For convenience of the reader we state it explicitly below.

**Proposition 4.6.** *A Fréchet space is a PLN-space if and only if it is strongly nuclear.*

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be a strongly nuclear Fréchet space. By [20, 21.8, Th. 8],  $X$  is a topological subspace of  $(s')^I$  for a countable set  $I$ . Since  $s'$  is an LN-space, the product  $(s')^I$  is a PLN-space. By [14, Prop. 1.2],  $X$  is a PLN-space.

Conversely, every PLN-space is a topological subspace of a countable product of LN-spaces and these are strongly nuclear by [20, 21.8, Th. 6]. Consequently, by [20, Props. 21.1.3 and 21.1.5],  $X$  is strongly nuclear.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.7.** *The multiplier algebra of the noncommutative Schwartz space is not a PLN-space.*

**Proof.** Suppose that the multiplier algebra is a PLN-space. Then so is  $s$  as its closed subspace. In fact,  $s$  is even complemented in  $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})$  – consider the projection in  $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})$  which cancels all but first row-entries. By Proposition 4.6,  $s$  is strongly nuclear which leads to a contradiction by [20, 21.8, Ex. 3].  $\square$

The multiplier algebra of the noncommutative Schwartz space satisfies another useful property. Recall from [5, p. 433] that a PLS-space  $X$  is said to have *the dual interpolation estimate for big  $\theta$*  if

$$\forall N \exists M \forall K \exists n \forall m \exists \theta_0 \in (0, 1) \forall \theta \geq \theta_0 \exists k, C > 0 \forall x' \in X'_N : \\ \|x' \circ \iota_N^M\|_{M,m}^* \leq C(\|x' \circ \iota_N^K\|_{K,k}^*)^{1-\theta} (\|x'\|_{N,n}^*)^\theta.$$

If we take  $\theta \leq \theta_0$  then  $X$  has the *dual interpolation estimate for small  $\theta$*  and if we take  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  then  $X$  has the *dual interpolation estimate for all  $\theta$* . For Köthe-type PLS-spaces  $\Lambda^p(B)$  it is enough – see the proof of [4, Th. 4.3] – to check the above condition for evaluation functionals  $\varphi_j(x) := x_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Examples of PLS-spaces with this property can be found in [3, 5, 4]. Dual interpolation estimate plays an important role in partial differential equations, e.g. surjectivity of operators, existence of linear right inverses, parameter dependence of solutions – see [13] for more details.

**Proposition 4.8.** *The multiplier algebra  $\mathcal{MS}$  has the dual interpolation estimate for big  $\theta$  but not for small  $\theta$ .*

**Proof.** For any  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  take  $M := N + 1$  and for any  $K \in \mathbb{N}_0$  take  $\theta_0 \in (0, 1)$  so that

$$(1 - \theta_0)K + N\theta_0 \leq M.$$

In a similar fashion, for  $n = 1$ , any  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\theta \geq \theta_0$  take  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  so that

$$(1 - \theta)k + n\theta \geq m.$$

Then (with all the quantifiers in front)

$$\left(\frac{j^K}{i^k}\right)^{1-\theta} \left(\frac{j^N}{i^n}\right)^\theta \leq C \frac{j^M}{i^m}.$$

Exchanging indices  $i, j$  in the above inequality we obtain by (4)

$$(a_{ij;K,k})^{1-\theta} (a_{ij;N,n})^\theta \leq C a_{ij;M,m}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{MS} \cong \Lambda(\mathcal{A})$ , the dual interpolation estimate for big  $\theta$  follows. If it had the condition for small  $\theta$  then, by [3, Props. 5.3(b) & 5.4(b)] and [26, Cor. 29.22], the space  $s$  of rapidly decreasing sequences would be a Banach space – a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 4.9.** The above result together with [5, Prop. 1.1 & Cor. 1.2(c)] gives another proof of the fact that the multiplier algebra of the noncommutative Schwartz space is ultrabornological.

We end this section with a technical lemma which characterizes when an arbitrary PLB-space is already a PLS-space; the proof uses interpolation theory and follows the idea of [31, Lemma 7]. As a consequence – see Remark 4.11(ii), we obtain another proof of the fact that  $\mathcal{MS}$  is a PLS-space.

**Lemma 4.10.** *Let  $(X_{M,m})_{M,m \in \mathbb{N}}$  be Banach spaces so that  $X := \text{proj}_{M \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ind}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} X_{M,m}$  is a PLB-space. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is a PLS-space,
- (ii)  $\forall M \exists L := L_M \forall l \exists m := m_l : X_{L,l} \hookrightarrow X_{M,m}$  is a compact inclusion.

**Proof.** We only need to show the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). There is no loss of generality in assuming that  $L_M = M + 1$  and  $m_l = l$ . Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{2,1} & \xrightarrow{j_1} & X_{1,1} \\
\iota_1^2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \kappa_1^2 \\
X_{2,2} & \xrightarrow{j_2} & X_{1,2}
\end{array}$$

where the inclusions  $\iota_1^2, \kappa_1^2$  are the respective linking maps and the inclusions  $j_1, j_2$  are compact. Applying the real interpolation method with parameters  $\theta_1, 1$  ( $0 < \theta_1 < 1$ ) to the Banach couples  $\overline{Y}_1 := (X_{2,1}, X_{1,1}), \overline{Y}_2 := (X_{2,2}, X_{1,2})$  we obtain, by [1, Th. 3.11.8], a continuous map

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta_1,1}(\overline{Y}_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\theta_1,1}(\overline{Y}_2).$$

between the interpolation spaces  $\mathcal{J}_{\theta_1,1}(\overline{Y}_1)$  and  $\mathcal{J}_{\theta_1,1}(\overline{Y}_2)$ . By [1, Cor. 3.8.2], we get for  $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < 1$  the compact inclusion

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta_1,1}(\overline{Y}_2) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\theta_2,1}(\overline{Y}_2)$$

therefore the map

$$j_1^2: \mathcal{J}_{\theta_1,1}(\overline{Y}_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\theta_2,1}(\overline{Y}_2)$$

is also compact. We apply the same procedure to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{2,2} & \xrightarrow{j_2} & X_{1,2} \\
\iota_2^3 \downarrow & & \downarrow \kappa_2^3 \\
X_{2,3} & \xrightarrow{j_3} & X_{1,3}
\end{array}$$

and obtain a compact operator

$$j_2^3: \mathcal{J}_{\theta_2,1}(\overline{Y}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\theta_3,1}(\overline{Y}_3),$$

where  $\overline{Y}_3 := (X_{2,3}, X_{1,3})$  and  $\theta_2 < \theta_3 < 1$ . Proceeding this way we obtain a countable inductive system  $(j_n^{n+1}: \mathcal{J}_{\theta_n,1}(\overline{Y}_n) \rightarrow Y_1)$ , where  $Y_1 := \bigcup_n j_n^{n+1}(\mathcal{J}_{\theta_n,1}(\overline{Y}_n))$ . Let us observe that the inductive topology of this system exists. Indeed, let  $x \in Y_1$  be a non-zero element. Since  $Y_1 \subset X_1 = \text{ind}_n X_{1,n}$ , there exists, by [26, Lemma 24.6], a linear functional  $\varphi \in (\text{ind}_n X_{1,n})^*$  such that  $\varphi(x) \neq 0$  and  $\varphi \circ \kappa_n \in X_{1,n}'$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (by  $(\kappa_n: X_{1,n} \rightarrow X_1)$  we denote the imbedding spectrum of  $X_1$ ). Recall that we distinguish here the space of linear functionals – denoted by  $(\cdot)^*$  and the space of linear and continuous functionals – denoted by  $(\cdot)'$ . Therefore  $\varphi \in Y_1^*$ . Moreover, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{J}_{\theta_n,1}(\overline{Y}_n) & \hookrightarrow & X_{1,n} & \xrightarrow{\varphi \circ \kappa_n} & \mathbb{K} \\
j_n^{n+1} \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \cong \\
\mathcal{J}_{\theta_{n+1},1}(\overline{Y}_{n+1}) & \hookrightarrow & X_{1,n+1} & \xrightarrow{\varphi \circ \kappa_{n+1}} & \mathbb{K}
\end{array}$$

therefore  $\varphi \circ j_n^{n+1} \in \mathcal{J}_{\theta_n,1}(\overline{Y}_n)'$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Again, by [26, Lemma 24.6], this implies that the inductive topology of  $(j_n^{n+1}: \mathcal{J}_{\theta_n,1}(\overline{Y}_n) \rightarrow Y_1)$  exists. Now, by [26, Lemma 24.34], we conclude that  $(j_n^{n+1}: \mathcal{J}_{\theta_n,1}(\overline{Y}_n) \rightarrow Y_1)$  is an LB-space and compactness of the linking maps  $(j_n^{n+1})_n$  implies that it is even an LS-space. It follows that we have linear and continuous maps

$$(11) \quad X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow X_1.$$

Indeed, since for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}_{\theta_n,1}(\overline{Y_n})$  is an interpolation space for the couple  $\overline{Y_n} = (X_{2,n}, X_{1,n})$  with the compact inclusion  $j_n: X_{2,n} \hookrightarrow X_{1,n}$ , we get compact inclusions

$$X_{2,n} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\theta_n,1}(\overline{Y_n}) \hookrightarrow X_{1,n}$$

(observe that we loose injectivity in (11) because the linking maps  $(j_n^{n+1})_n$  are not, in general, injective). The above argument works for all LB-spaces  $X_M$  and  $X_{M+1}$  therefore

$$X = \text{proj}_{M \in \mathbb{N}} Y_M$$

where all  $Y_M$ 's are LS-spaces. Consequently,  $X$  is a PLS-space.  $\square$

**Remark 4.11.** Here we give two new proofs of the fact that  $\mathcal{MS}$  is a PLS-space. By Propositions 2.2 & 4.3, we may use the topological \*-algebra isomorphism  $\mathcal{MS} \cong \Lambda(\mathcal{A})$  (recall that the PLB-matrix  $\mathcal{A}$  is given by (4)).

(i) We do a slight perturbation of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{D} := (d_{ij;N,n})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}, N, n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a 4-indexed Köthe PLB-matrix given by

$$d_{ij;N,n} := \max_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{j^{N+\frac{1}{n}}}{j^n}, \frac{i^{N+\frac{1}{n}}}{i^n} \right\}.$$

One can easily show that for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}, N, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have

$$a_{ij;N,n} \leq d_{ij;N,n} \leq a_{ij;N+1,n}.$$

This implies the topological isomorphism  $\mathcal{MS} \cong \Lambda(\mathcal{D})$ . Since

$$\frac{d_{ij;N,n}}{d_{ij;N,n+1}} = \min\{i, j\} \max\{i, j\}^{\frac{1}{n(n+1)}},$$

we get that for all  $N, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\lim_{i,j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{d_{ij;N,n+1}}{d_{ij;N,n}} = 0.$$

Consequently,  $\Lambda(\mathcal{D})$ , and therefore also  $\mathcal{MS}$ , is a PLS-space.

(ii) Since  $\frac{a_{ij;N,n+2}}{a_{ij;N+2,n}} = (ij)^{-2}$  for all  $i, j, N, n$ , the inclusion map

$$\ell_2((a_{ij;N+2,n})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}) \hookrightarrow \ell_2((a_{ij;N,n+2})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}})$$

is compact. By Lemma 4.10, the result follows.  $\square$

## 5. ALGEBRAIC PROPERTIES OF THE MULTIPLIER ALGEBRA

We say that a subalgebra  $B$  of an algebra  $A$  is *spectral invariant in  $A$*  if, for every  $x \in B$ ,  $x$  is invertible in  $A$  if and only if it is invertible in  $B$ . We show that  $\mathcal{MS}$  contains a spectral invariant copy of the algebra  $s'$ , which implies that it is neither a  $\mathcal{Q}$ -algebra nor  $m$ -convex.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Köthe PLB-matrix given by (4) and let  $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$  be the algebra of all diagonal matrices belonging to  $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})$ . Then*

- (i)  $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$  is a complemented subspace of  $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})$ ;
- (ii)  $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$  is a closed commutative \*-subalgebra of  $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})$ ;
- (iii)  $\Delta(\mathcal{A}) \cong s'$  as topological \*-algebras;
- (iv)  $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$  is spectral invariant in  $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})$ .

*Proof.* (i) Define  $\pi: \Lambda(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{A})$  by

$$\pi x := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e_{jj} x e_{jj},$$

where  $(e_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of matrix units. Clearly,  $\pi$  is a projection. Note that continuity of a linear operator  $T$  on  $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})$  follows from the condition

$$\forall N \exists M \forall m \exists n, C > 0 \forall x \in \Lambda(\mathcal{A}) \quad \|Tx\|_{N,n} \leq C \|x\|_{M,m}.$$

But

$$\|\pi x\|_{N,n} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_{jj}| a_{jj,N,n} \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} |x_{ij}| a_{ij,N,n} = \|x\|_{N,n},$$

so  $\pi$  is continuous, and thus  $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$  is complemented in  $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})$ .

(ii) It is clear that  $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$  is a commutative  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})$ , and by (i) it is closed in  $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})$ .

(iii) Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathcal{A}) &= \{x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} : x_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j \text{ and } \forall N \exists n \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_{jj}| j^{N-n} < \infty\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} : x_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j \text{ and } (x_{jj})_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in s'\}, \end{aligned}$$

the operator

$$\varphi: s' \rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{A}), \quad \varphi \xi := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_j e_{jj}$$

is a  $*$ -algebra isomorphism. Moreover, for all  $N, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and all  $\xi \in s'$ , we have

$$\|\varphi \xi\|_{N,n} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j| j^{N-n}.$$

Now, by Cauchy-Schwarz we get

$$|\xi|_{-m} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j| j^{-m} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}} |\xi|_{-m+1}$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Therefore  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism of locally convex spaces. Consequently,  $\Delta(\mathcal{A}) \cong s'$  as topological  $*$ -algebras.

(iv) Let us take  $x \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$  which is invertible in  $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})$  and let  $y$  be its inverse. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{ik} y_{kj} = x_{ii} y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consequently,  $x_{ii} \neq 0$ , and thus  $y_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . This shows that  $y \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *The following statements hold:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{MS}$  is not a  $\mathcal{Q}$ -algebra;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{MS}$  is not  $m$ -convex.

**Proof.** By Proposition 5.1,  $\mathcal{ML}(s', s)$  contains a closed, spectral invariant  $*$ -subalgebra  $M$  isomorphic to  $s'$ .

(i) Let  $F, G$  be the sets of invertible elements in  $M$  and  $\mathcal{ML}(s', s)$ , respectively. Then  $F = G \cap M$ . This shows that  $G$  is not open, because otherwise  $F$  would be open, which contradicts [2, Th. 2.8]. Hence  $\mathcal{ML}(s', s)$  is not a  $\mathcal{Q}$ -algebra.

(ii) Suppose that there is a basis  $\mathcal{V}$  of zero neighborhoods in  $\mathcal{ML}(s', s)$  such that  $V^2 \subseteq V$  for all  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then  $\{V \cap M\}_{V \in \mathcal{V}}$  is a basis of zero neighborhoods in  $M$  and

$$(V \cap M)^2 \subseteq V^2 \cap M \subseteq V \cap M,$$

so  $M$  is  $m$ -convex, a contradiction (again apply [2, Th. 2.8]). Hence,  $\mathcal{ML}(s', s)$  is not  $m$ -convex.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bergh and J. Löfström. *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 223. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976, pp. x+207.
- [2] J. Bonet and P. Domański. “Köthe coechelon spaces as locally convex algebras”. In: *Studia Math.* 199.3 (2010), pp. 241–265.
- [3] J. Bonet and P. Domański. “Parameter dependence of solutions of differential equations on spaces of distributions and the splitting of short exact sequences”. In: *J. Funct. Anal.* 230.2 (2006), pp. 329–381.
- [4] J. Bonet and P. Domański. “The splitting of exact sequences of PLS-spaces and smooth dependence of solutions of linear partial differential equations”. In: *Adv. Math.* 217.2 (2008), pp. 561–585.
- [5] J. Bonet and P. Domański. “The structure of spaces of quasianalytic functions of Roumieu type”. In: *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 89.5 (2007), pp. 430–441.
- [6] R.C. Busby. “Double centralizers and extensions of  $C^*$ -algebras”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (1968), pp. 79–99.
- [7] T. Ciaś. “On the algebra of smooth operators”. In: *Studia Math.* 218.2 (2013), pp. 145–166.
- [8] Tomasz Ciaś. “Commutative subalgebras of the algebra of smooth operators”. In: *Monatsh. Math.* 181.2 (2016), pp. 301–323.
- [9] J. Cuntz. “Cyclic theory and the bivariant Chern-Connes character”. In: *Noncommutative geometry*. Vol. 1831. Lecture Notes in Math. Berlin: Springer, 2004, pp. 73–135.
- [10] H.G. Dales. *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*. Vol. 24. London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series. Oxford Science Publications. New York: The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, 2000, pp. xviii+907.
- [11] P. Domański. “Algebra of smooth operators”. Unpublished manuscript.
- [12] P. Domański. “Classical PLS-spaces: spaces of distributions, real analytic functions and their relatives”. In: *Orlicz centenary volume*. Vol. 64. Banach Center Publ. Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2004, pp. 51–70.
- [13] P. Domański. “Real analytic parameter dependence of solutions of differential equations”. In: *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 26.1 (2010), pp. 175–238.
- [14] P. Domański and D. Vogt. “A splitting theory for the space of distributions”. In: *Studia Math.* 140.1 (2000), pp. 57–77.
- [15] D.A. Dubin and M.A. Hennings. *Quantum mechanics, algebras and distributions*. Vol. 238. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1990, pp. viii+238.
- [16] E.G. Effros and C. Webster. “Operator analogues of locally convex spaces”. In: *Operator algebras and applications (Samos, 1996)*. Vol. 495. NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1997, pp. 163–207.
- [17] E.G. Effros and S. Winkler. “Matrix convexity: operator analogues of the bipolar and Hahn-Banach theorems”. In: *J. Funct. Anal.* 144.1 (1997), pp. 117–152.
- [18] G.A. Elliott, T. Natsume, and R. Nest. “Cyclic cohomology for one-parameter smooth crossed products”. In: *Acta Math.* 160.3-4 (1988), pp. 285–305.
- [19] A. Grothendieck. “Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires”. In: *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1955.16 (1955), 140 pp.
- [20] H. Jarchow. *Locally convex spaces*. Mathematische Leitfäden. Stuttgart: B. G. Teubner, 1981, p. 548.
- [21] B.E. Johnson. “An introduction to the theory of centralizers”. In: *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 14 (1964), pp. 299–320.



- [22] G. Köthe. *Topological vector spaces. II*. Vol. 237. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Science]. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979, pp. xii+331.
- [23] K.-D. Kürsten. “The completion of the maximal  $\text{Op}^*$ -algebra on a Fréchet domain”. In: *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 22.1 (1986), pp. 151–175.
- [24] J. Larcher and J. Wengenroth. “A new proof for the bornologicity of the space of slowly increasing functions”. In: *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* 21.5 (2014), pp. 887–894.
- [25] R.H. Levene and K. Piszczek. “Grothendieck’s Inequality in the noncommutative Schwartz space”. To appear in *Studia Math.*
- [26] R. Meise and D. Vogt. *Introduction to functional analysis*. Vol. 2. Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Translated from the German by M. S. Ramanujan and revised by the authors. New York: The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, 1997, pp. x+437.
- [27] G.J. Murphy.  *$C^*$ -algebras and operator theory*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990, pp. x+286.
- [28] Pedro Pérez Carreras and José Bonet. *Barrelled locally convex spaces*. Vol. 131. North-Holland Mathematics Studies. Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes], 113. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1987, pp. xvi+512.
- [29] A.Yu. Pirkovskii. “Flat cyclic Fréchet modules, amenable Fréchet algebras, and approximate identities”. In: *Homology, Homotopy Appl.* 11.1 (2009), pp. 81–114.
- [30] K. Piszczek. “Automatic continuity and amenability in the non-commutative Schwartz space”. In: *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 432.2 (2015), pp. 954–964.
- [31] K. Piszczek. “Tame (PLS)-spaces”. In: *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* 38.part 1 (2008), pp. 67–80.
- [32] H.H. Schaefer. *Topological vector spaces*. Third printing corrected, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 3. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1971, pp. xi+294.
- [33] K. Schmüdgen. *Unbounded operator algebras and representation theory*. Vol. 37. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1990, p. 380.
- [34] L.B. Schweitzer. “Spectral invariance of dense subalgebras of operator algebras”. In: *Internat. J. Math.* 4.2 (1993), pp. 289–317.
- [35] Dietmar Vogt. “Topics on projective spectra of (LB)-spaces”. In: *Advances in the theory of Fréchet spaces (Istanbul, 1988)*. Vol. 287. NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1989, pp. 11–27.

Tomasz Ciaś  
 Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science  
 Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań  
 ul. Uniwersytetu Poznańskiego 4  
 61-614 Poznań, Poland  
 e-mail: tcias@amu.edu.pl

Krzysztof Piszczek  
 Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science  
 Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań  
 ul. Uniwersytetu Poznańskiego 4  
 61-614 Poznań, Poland  
 e-mail: kpk@amu.edu.pl