

Counting spanning trees in a complete bipartite graph which contain a given spanning forest

Fengming Dong^{1*} and Jun Ge^{2†}

¹National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

²School of Mathematical Sciences & Laurent Mathematics Center, Sichuan Normal University, China

Abstract

In this article, we extend Moon's classic formula for counting spanning trees in complete graphs containing a fixed spanning forest to complete bipartite graphs. Let (X, Y) be the bipartition of the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ with $|X| = m$ and $|Y| = n$. We prove that for any given spanning forest F of $K_{m,n}$ with components T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k , the number of spanning trees in $K_{m,n}$ which contain all edges in F is equal to

$$\frac{1}{mn} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k (m_i n + n_i m) \right) \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{m_i n_i}{m_i n + n_i m} \right),$$

where $m_i = |V(T_i) \cap X|$ and $n_i = |V(T_i) \cap Y|$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Keywords: spanning tree; multigraph; weighted graph; complete bipartite graph

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05C30, 05C05

1 Introduction

In this paper, we assume that all graphs are loopless, while parallel edges are allowed. For any graph G , let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ be the vertex set and edge set of G . For any edge set $F \subseteq E(G)$, let G/F be the graph obtained from G by contracting all edges in F , and removing all loops. Let $\mathcal{T}(G)$ denote the set of spanning trees of G . For any positive integer k , let $\llbracket k \rrbracket$ denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

Suppose G is a weighted graph with weight function $\omega : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For any $F \subseteq E(G)$ and any subgraph H of G , define $\omega(F) = \prod_{e \in F} \omega(e)$ and $\omega(H) = \omega(E(H))$. Let $\tau(G, \omega) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}(G)} \omega(T)$. Sometimes we use $\tau(G)$ instead of $\tau(G, \omega)$ when there is no confusion. It is obvious that for an unweighted graph G (that is to say, a weighted graph with unit weight on each edge), $\tau(G) = |\mathcal{T}(G)|$, i.e., the number of spanning trees of G . Throughout this paper, any graph is assumed to be unweighted, unless it is claimed.

Counting spanning trees in graphs is a very old topic in graph theory having modern connections with many other fields in mathematics, statistical physics and theoretical computer science, such as

*Email: fengming.dong@nie.edu.sg and donggraph@163.com.

†Corresponding author. Email: mathsgejun@163.com.

random walks, the Ising model and Potts model, network reliability, parking functions, knot/link determinants. See [2–4, 7, 10] for some recent work on counting spanning trees.

For a subgraph H of G , let $\mathcal{T}_H(G)$ denote the set of spanning trees $T \in \mathcal{T}(G)$ with $E(H) \subseteq E(T)$, and let $\tau_H(G) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_H(G)} \omega(T)$. For unweighted graph G , $\tau_H(G) = |\mathcal{T}_H(G)|$, i.e., the number of spanning trees of G containing all edges in H . Note that usually the graph H here is a forest or a tree, because otherwise $\mathcal{T}_H(G) = \emptyset$ and $\tau_H(G) = 0$.

The celebrated Cayley's formula [1] states that $\tau(K_n) = n^{n-2}$. In 1964, Moon generalized Cayley's formula by obtaining a nice expression of $\tau_F(K_n)$ for any spanning forest F of K_n .

Theorem 1 ([9], also see Problem 4.4 in [8]). *For any spanning forest F of K_n , if c is the number of components of F and n_1, n_2, \dots, n_c are the orders of those components, then*

$$\tau_F(K_n) = n^{c-2} \prod_{i=1}^c n_i.$$

It is easy to see that Cayley's formula is the special case that F is an empty graph. It is also well known that $\tau(K_{m,n}) = m^{n-1} n^{m-1}$ for any complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ by Fiedler and Sedláček [5]. So there is a natural question: is there a bipartite analogue of Moon's formula (Theorem 1)? That is to say, for any given spanning forest F in $K_{m,n}$, what is the explicit expression of $\tau_F(K_{m,n})$?

It turns out that this question is much harder than the case of complete graphs. In [6], this question was partially answered for two special cases: F is a matching or a tree plus several possible isolated vertices.

Theorem 2 ([6]). *For any matching M of size k in $K_{m,n}$,*

$$\tau_M(K_{m,n}) = (m+n)^{k-1} (m+n-k) m^{n-k-1} n^{m-k-1}.$$

Theorem 3 ([6]). *For any tree T of $K_{m,n}$,*

$$\tau_T(K_{m,n}) = (sn + tm - st) m^{n-t-1} n^{m-s-1},$$

where $s = |V(T) \cap X|$, $t = |V(T) \cap Y|$, and (X, Y) is the bipartition of $K_{m,n}$ with $|X| = m$ and $|Y| = n$.

In this paper, we obtain an explicit expression for $\tau_F(K_{m,n})$ for an arbitrary spanning forest F of $K_{m,n}$.

Theorem 4. *Let (X, Y) be the bipartition of $K_{m,n}$ with $|X| = m$ and $|Y| = n$. For any spanning forest F of $K_{m,n}$ with components T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k ,*

$$\tau_F(K_{m,n}) = \frac{1}{mn} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k (m_i n + n_i m) \right) \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{m_i n_i}{m_i n + n_i m} \right), \quad (1)$$

where $m_i = |X \cap V(T_i)|$ and $n_i = |Y \cap V(T_i)|$ for all $i \in [k]$.

2 Preliminary results

This section provides some results which will be applied in the next section for proving a key identity.

Lemma 1. For any set of k pairs of real numbers $\{a_i, b_i : i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket\}$, where $k \geq 1$, if $a_i B + b_i A \neq 0$ for all $i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket$ where $A = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_k$ and $B = b_1 + b_2 + \cdots + b_k$, then

$$\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i b_i}{a_i B + b_i A}\right)^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i^2}{a_i B + b_i A}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{b_i^2}{a_i B + b_i A}\right). \quad (2)$$

Proof. Since $a_i B + b_i A \neq 0$, A and B cannot both be 0.

When $A = 0$ and $B \neq 0$, equality (2) holds because

$$\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i b_i}{a_i B + b_i A}\right)^2 = \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{b_i}{B}\right)^2 = 0,$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i^2}{a_i B + b_i A}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{b_i^2}{a_i B + b_i A}\right) = \frac{A}{B} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{b_i^2}{a_i B} = 0.$$

Similarly, equality (2) holds when $B = 0$ and $A \neq 0$. Let $W_i = a_i B + b_i A$ for $i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket$. When $A \neq 0$ and $B \neq 0$, observe that

$$A \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i b_i}{W_i} + B \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i^2}{W_i} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i (A b_i + B a_i)}{W_i} = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i = A, \quad (3)$$

implying that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i^2}{W_i} = \frac{A}{B} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i b_i}{W_i}\right). \quad (4)$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{b_i^2}{W_i} = \frac{B}{A} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i b_i}{W_i}\right). \quad (5)$$

Clearly, equality (2) follows from (4) and (5). \square

For a set A of real numbers, in the following, if A is the empty set, we set

$$\prod_{a \in A} a = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{a \in A} a = 0. \quad (6)$$

Lemma 2. Let S be a set of positive integers. For any set of $2|S|$ real numbers $\{a_i, b_i : i \in S\}$,

$$\sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq S} \left(\left(\prod_{j \in I} a_j \right) \left(\prod_{r \in S \setminus I} b_r \right) \right) = \prod_{i \in S} (a_i + b_i) - \prod_{i \in S} b_i. \quad (7)$$

Proof. The identity follows from the following fact:

$$\sum_{\emptyset \subseteq I \subseteq S} \left(\left(\prod_{j \in I} a_j \right) \left(\prod_{r \in S \setminus I} b_r \right) \right) = \prod_{i \in S} (a_i + b_i). \quad (8)$$

\square

Lemma 3. Let S be a set of positive integers. For any set of $3|S|$ real numbers $\{a_i, b_i, c_i : i \in S\}$,

$$\sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq S} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in I} c_i \right) \left(\prod_{j \in I} a_j \right) \left(\prod_{r \in S \setminus I} b_r \right) \right) = \left(\prod_{j \in S} (a_j + b_j) \right) \cdot \sum_{i \in S} \frac{c_i a_i}{a_i + b_i}. \quad (9)$$

Proof. The identity follows from the following fact:

$$\sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq S} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in I} c_i \right) \left(\prod_{j \in I} a_j \right) \left(\prod_{r \in S \setminus I} b_r \right) \right) = \sum_{i \in S} \left(c_i a_i \prod_{j \in S \setminus \{i\}} (a_j + b_j) \right). \quad (10)$$

□

By Lemmas 2 and 3, for an arbitrary real number c , we have

$$\sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq S} \left(\left(c + \sum_{i \in I} c_i \right) \left(\prod_{j \in I} a_j \right) \left(\prod_{r \in S \setminus I} b_r \right) \right) = \left(\prod_{j \in S} (a_j + b_j) \right) \cdot \left(c + \sum_{i \in S} \frac{c_i a_i}{a_i + b_i} \right) - c \prod_{j \in S} b_j. \quad (11)$$

Lemma 4. *Let S be a set of positive integers. For any set of $3|S|$ real numbers $\{a_i, b_i, d_i : i \in S\}$,*

$$\sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq S} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in S \setminus I} d_i \right) \left(\prod_{j \in I} a_j \right) \left(\prod_{r \in S \setminus I} b_r \right) \right) = \left(\prod_{j \in S} (a_j + b_j) \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in S} \frac{d_i b_i}{a_i + b_i} \right) - \left(\prod_{r \in S} b_r \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in S} d_i \right). \quad (12)$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3 and the following fact:

$$\sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq S} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in S \setminus I} d_i \right) \left(\prod_{j \in I} a_j \right) \left(\prod_{r \in S \setminus I} b_r \right) \right) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq S} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in I} d_i \right) \prod_{j \in S \setminus I} a_j \prod_{r \in I} b_r \right) - \left(\prod_{r \in S} b_r \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in S} d_i \right). \quad (13)$$

□

Lemma 5. *Let S be a set of positive integers. For any set of $4|S|$ real numbers $\{a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i : i \in S\}$,*

$$\sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subsetneq S} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in I} c_i \right) \left(\sum_{q \in S \setminus I} d_q \right) \left(\prod_{j \in I} a_j \right) \left(\prod_{r \in S \setminus I} b_r \right) \right) = \left(\prod_{r \in S} (a_r + b_r) \right) \cdot \sum_{\substack{i, j \in S \\ i \neq j}} \frac{c_i a_i d_j b_j}{(a_i + b_i)(a_j + b_j)}. \quad (14)$$

Proof. The result follows from the following fact:

$$\sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subsetneq S} \left(\left(\sum_{i \in I} c_i \right) \left(\sum_{q \in S \setminus I} d_q \right) \left(\prod_{j \in I} a_j \right) \left(\prod_{r \in S \setminus I} b_r \right) \right) = \sum_{\substack{i, j \in S \\ i \neq j}} \left(c_i a_i d_j b_j \prod_{r \in S \setminus \{i, j\}} (a_r + b_r) \right). \quad (15)$$

□

By Lemmas 4 and 5, for an arbitrary real number c , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq S} \left(\left(c + \sum_{i \in I} c_i \right) \left(\sum_{q \in S \setminus I} d_q \right) \left(\prod_{j \in I} a_j \right) \left(\prod_{r \in S \setminus I} b_r \right) \right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{r \in S} (a_r + b_r) \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\substack{i, j \in S \\ i \neq j}} \frac{c_i a_i d_j b_j}{(a_i + b_i)(a_j + b_j)} + c \sum_{i \in S} \frac{d_i b_i}{a_i + b_i} \right) - c \left(\prod_{r \in S} b_r \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in S} d_i \right). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

3 An identity

Define a function ϕ on $2k$ variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k , where $k \geq 1$, as follows:

$$\phi(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k) = \frac{1}{XY} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k (x_i Y + y_i X) \right) \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i y_i}{x_i Y + y_i X} \right), \quad (17)$$

where $X = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k$ and $Y = y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_k$. Observe that

$$\phi(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k) = \frac{1}{XY} \left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^k (x_i Y + y_i X) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^k \left(x_i y_i \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ j \neq i}} (x_j Y + y_j X) \right) \right).$$

In the expansion of $\left(\prod_{i=1}^k (x_i Y + y_i X) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^k \left(x_i y_i \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ j \neq i}} (x_j Y + y_j X) \right)$, the expression consisting of all monomials not divisible by XY is identically 0, as shown below:

$$\begin{aligned} & Y^k \prod_{i=1}^k x_i + X^k \prod_{i=1}^k y_i - \sum_{i=1}^k \left(y_i Y^{k-1} \prod_{j=1}^k x_j \right) - \sum_{i=1}^k \left(x_i X^{k-1} \prod_{j=1}^k y_j \right) \\ &= Y^k \prod_{j=1}^k x_j + X^k \prod_{j=1}^k y_j - Y^{k-1} \prod_{j=1}^k x_j \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^k y_i \right) - X^{k-1} \prod_{j=1}^k y_j \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

It follows that $\phi(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k)$ is a polynomial on $2k$ variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k .

For $k \leq 3$,

$$\begin{cases} \phi(x_1, y_1) = 1; \\ \phi(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = z_{1,2}; \\ \phi(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, x_3, y_3) = z_{1,2}z_{1,3} + z_{1,2}z_{2,3} + z_{1,3}z_{2,3}, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

where $z_{i,j} = x_i y_j + x_j y_i$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. For any $I \subseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}$, let

$$x_I = x_1 + \sum_{i \in I} x_i, \quad y_I = y_1 + \sum_{i \in I} y_i. \quad (20)$$

In this section, we shall establish the following identity, which will be applied to prove the main result in the article.

Theorem 5. For any $2k$ real numbers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k , where $k \geq 2$,

$$\phi(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}} \left((-1)^{|I|-1} \left(\prod_{j \in I} (x_1 y_j + x_j y_1) \right) \phi(x_I, y_I, \underbrace{x_s, y_s}_{\forall s \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})}) \right), \quad (21)$$

where $\phi(x_I, y_I, \underbrace{x_s, y_s}_{\forall s \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})}) = \phi(x_I, y_I, x_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}, y_{i_r})$, $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\} = \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})$ and $r = k - 1 - |I|$.

Proof. Let I be a non-empty subset of $\llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}$. Then

$$x_I + \sum_{s \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} x_s = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i = X \quad (22)$$

and

$$y_I + \sum_{s \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} y_s = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i = Y. \quad (23)$$

In the remainder of the proof of Theorem 5, let $W_I = x_I Y + y_I X$, and for each $i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket$, let

$$W_i = x_i Y + y_i X \text{ and } w_i = x_i y_1 + y_i x_1.$$

By the definition of the function ϕ ,

$$\phi(x_I, y_I, \underbrace{x_s, y_s}_{\forall s \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})}) = \frac{W_I}{XY} \cdot \left(\prod_{i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} W_i \right) \left(1 - \frac{x_I y_I}{W_I} - \sum_{i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} \frac{x_i y_i}{W_i} \right). \quad (24)$$

Thus, the right-hand side of (21) can be expressed as

$$\frac{1}{XY} (\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3), \quad (25)$$

where

$$\Gamma_1 = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}} \left((-1)^{|I|-1} W_I \left(\prod_{j \in I} w_j \right) \left(\prod_{i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} W_i \right) \right), \quad (26)$$

$$\Gamma_2 = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}} \left((-1)^{|I|-1} x_I y_I \left(\prod_{j \in I} w_j \right) \left(\prod_{i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} W_i \right) \right), \quad (27)$$

$$\Gamma_3 = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}} \left((-1)^{|I|-1} W_I \left(\prod_{j \in I} w_j \right) \left(\prod_{i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} W_i \right) \left(\sum_{i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} \frac{x_i y_i}{W_i} \right) \right). \quad (28)$$

In the following, we shall apply Lemmas 1– 5 to simplify Γ_1, Γ_2 and Γ_3 in order to show that

$$\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3 = \left(\prod_{i=1}^k (x_i Y + y_i X) \right) \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i y_i}{x_i Y + y_i X} \right). \quad (29)$$

Let $X' = X - x_1$ and $Y' = Y - y_1$. Also let

$$\begin{cases} Z = \prod_{i=2}^k (x_i Y' + y_i X'), \\ Z_1 = \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{x_i y_i}{x_i Y' + y_i X'}, \quad Z_2 = \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{x_i^2}{x_i Y' + y_i X'}, \quad Z_3 = \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{y_i^2}{x_i Y' + y_i X'}. \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

Note that for any non-empty subset I of $\llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}$,

$$y_I X + x_I Y - x_I y_I = \left(y_1 + \sum_{i \in I} y_i \right) X + \left(x_1 + \sum_{i \in I} x_i \right) \left(\sum_{i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} y_i \right). \quad (31)$$

In the remainder of this section, let W'_i denote $x_i Y' + y_i X'$ for each $i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket$. By applying identities (11), (16) and (31),

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2 \\ &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}} \left((-1)^{|I|-1} (W_I - x_I y_I) \left(\prod_{j \in I} w_j \right) \left(\prod_{i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} W_i \right) \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{by (31)}}{=} X \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}} \left((-1)^{|I|-1} \left(y_1 + \sum_{i \in I} y_i \right) \left(\prod_{j \in I} w_j \right) \left(\prod_{i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} W_i \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [k] \setminus \{1\}} \left((-1)^{|I|-1} \left(x_1 + \sum_{i \in I} x_i \right) \left(\sum_{i \in [k] \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} y_i \right) \left(\prod_{j \in I} w_j \right) \left(\prod_{i \in [k] \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} W_i \right) \right) \\
= & -X \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [k] \setminus \{1\}} \left(\left(y_1 + \sum_{i \in I} y_i \right) \left(\prod_{j \in I} (-w_j) \right) \left(\prod_{i \in [k] \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} W_i \right) \right) \\
& - \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [k] \setminus \{1\}} \left(\left(x_1 + \sum_{i \in I} x_i \right) \left(\sum_{i \in [k] \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} y_i \right) \left(\prod_{j \in I} (-w_j) \right) \left(\prod_{i \in [k] \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} W_i \right) \right) \\
\stackrel{\text{by (11),(16)}}{=} & X y_1 \prod_{i=2}^k W_i - X \prod_{j=2}^k W'_j \left(y_1 - \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{y_i w_i}{W'_i} \right) + x_1 \left(\prod_{i=2}^k W_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=2}^k y_j \right) \\
& + \left(\prod_{r=2}^k W'_r \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{2 \leq i, j \leq k \\ i \neq j}} \frac{x_i y_j w_i W_j}{W'_i W'_j} - x_1 \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{y_i W_i}{W'_i} \right) \\
= & \prod_{i=1}^k W_i - x_1 y_1 \prod_{i=2}^k W_i - X Z (y_1 - x_1 Z_3 - y_1 Z_1) + Z \left(-x_1 (Y Z_1 + X Z_3) + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i, j \leq k \\ i \neq j}} \frac{x_i y_j w_i W_j}{W'_i W'_j} \right) \\
= & \prod_{i=1}^k W_i - x_1 y_1 \prod_{i=2}^k W_i - Z (y_1 X - y_1 X Z_1 + x_1 Y Z_1) + Z \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i, j \leq k \\ i \neq j}} \frac{x_i y_j w_i W_j}{W'_i W'_j}, \tag{32}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second last equality, we combine $X y_1 \prod_{i=2}^k W_i$ and $x_1 \prod_{i=2}^k W_i \left(\sum_{j=2}^k y_j \right)$ to obtain $\prod_{i=1}^k W_i - x_1 y_1 \prod_{i=2}^k W_i$.

By applying identity (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_3 & = - \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [k] \setminus \{1\}} \left(\left(W_1 + \sum_{i \in I} W_i \right) \left(\sum_{i \in [k] \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} \frac{x_i y_i}{W_i} \right) \left(\prod_{j \in I} (-w_j) \right) \left(\prod_{i \in [k] \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} W_i \right) \right) \\
& \stackrel{\text{by (16)}}{=} W_1 \left(\prod_{i=2}^k W_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=2}^k \frac{x_i y_i}{W_i} \right) + \left(\prod_{i=2}^k W'_i \right) \left(-W_1 \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{x_i y_i}{W'_i} + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i, j \leq k \\ i \neq j}} \frac{w_i W_i x_j y_j}{W'_i W'_j} \right) \\
& = \left(\prod_{i=1}^k W_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=2}^k \frac{x_i y_i}{W_i} \right) - W_1 Z Z_1 + Z \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i, j \leq k \\ i \neq j}} \frac{w_i W_i x_j y_j}{W'_i W'_j}. \tag{33}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for any set S of positive integers, and real numbers a_i, b_i for $i \in S$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{i, j \in S \\ i \neq j}} (a_i b_j) = \left(\sum_{i \in S} a_i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in S} b_i \right) - \sum_{i \in S} (a_i b_i).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i, j \leq k \\ i \neq j}} \frac{x_i y_j w_i W_j}{W'_i W'_j} - \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i, j \leq k \\ i \neq j}} \frac{w_i W_i x_j y_j}{W'_i W'_j} \\
= & \left(\sum_{i=2}^k \frac{x_i w_i}{W'_i} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=2}^k \frac{y_i W_i}{W'_i} \right) - \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{x_i y_i w_i W_i}{(W'_i)^2} - \left(\sum_{i=2}^k \frac{x_i y_i}{W'_i} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=2}^k \frac{w_i W_i}{W'_i} \right) - \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{x_i y_i w_i W_i}{(W'_i)^2} \\
= & (x_1 Z_1 + y_1 Z_2) (Y' + y_1 Z_1 + x_1 Z_3) - Z_1 (x_1 Y' + y_1 X' + x_1^2 Z_3 + y_1^2 Z_2 + 2x_1 y_1 Z_1) \\
= & y_1 (Y' Z_2 - X' Z_1) + x_1 y_1 (Z_2 Z_3 - Z_1^2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= y_1(Y'Z_2 - X'Z_1) + x_1y_1(1 - 2Z_1) \\
&= y_1(Y'Z_2 + x_1 - X'Z_1 - 2x_1Z_1),
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where the second last equality follows from the fact that $(Z_1 - 1)^2 = Z_2Z_3$ by Lemma 1. Thus, by (32), (33) and (34),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^k (x_iY + y_iX) \right) \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_iy_i}{x_iY + y_iX} \right) \\
&= -Z(y_1X - y_1XZ_1 + x_1YZ_1) + ZZ_1(x_1Y + y_1X) + Zy_1(Y'Z_2 + x_1 - X'Z_1 - 2x_1Z_1) \\
&= y_1Z(X'Z_1 + Y'Z_2 - X') \\
&= y_1Z \left(-X' + \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{x_i(y_iX' + x_iY')}{x_iY' + y_iX'} \right) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Thus, (21) follows from (25), (35) and the definition of $\phi(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k)$ in (17). \square

4 Counting spanning trees in a special type of multigraphs

Let $V = \{v_i : i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket\}$ and $E = \{v_iv_j : i, j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \text{ and } i \neq j\}$ be the vertex set and edge set of the complete graph K_k , where $k \geq 1$. Let ω be a weight function on E . If $\omega(v_iv_j)$ is a nonnegative integer for all i, j with $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, then $\tau(K_k, \omega)$ is the number of spanning trees of the multigraph with vertex set $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$ which contains exactly $\omega(v_iv_j)$ parallel edges joining u_i and u_j for all i, j with $1 \leq i < j \leq k$.

For any non-empty subset I of $\llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}$, let G_I denote the complete graph of order $k - |I|$ with vertex set $\{v_I\} \cup \{v_i : i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})\}$ and weight function ω_I on the edge set of G_I defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \omega_I(v_iv_j) = \omega(v_iv_j), & \forall i, j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\}), i \neq j; \\ \omega_I(v_Iv_j) = \sum_{r \in I \cup \{1\}} \omega(v_rv_j), & \forall j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\}). \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

Note that G_I is actually the graph obtained from K_k by identifying all vertices in $\{v_i : i \in I \cup \{1\}\}$ as one vertex.

By the inclusion-exclusion principle, the following recursive relation on $\tau(K_k, \omega)$ can be obtained.

Lemma 6. *For any weight function ω on the edge set E of K_k ,*

$$\tau(K_k, \omega) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}} \left((-1)^{|I|-1} \tau(G_I, \omega_I) \prod_{i \in I} \omega(v_1v_i) \right). \tag{37}$$

Proof. For any $i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}$, let A_i denote the set of members T in $\mathcal{T}(K_k)$ with $v_1v_i \in E(T)$. Clearly,

$$\mathcal{T}(K_k) = \bigcup_{i=2}^k A_i. \tag{38}$$

For any $T \in \mathcal{T}(K_k)$, by the inclusion-exclusion principle,

$$\sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}} \left((-1)^{|I|-1} |\{T\} \cap \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i| \right) = 1. \tag{39}$$

Thus,

$$\tau(K_k, \omega) = \sum_{T \in \bigcup_{2 \leq i \leq k} A_i} \omega(T) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}} \left((-1)^{|I|-1} \sum_{T \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i} \omega(T) \right). \quad (40)$$

Let I be any non-empty subset of $\llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}$ and let H_I denote the multiple graph obtained from K_k by identifying all vertices in the set $\{v_i : i \in I \cup \{1\}\}$ and removing all loops produced. The vertex set of H_I is $(V(K_k) \setminus \{v_i : i \in I \cup \{1\}\}) \cup v_I$. Clearly, H_I includes each edge $v_i v_j$, where $i, j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})$, while each edge $v_i v_j$ in K_k , where $i \in I \cup \{1\}$ and $j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})$, is changed to an edge of H_I joining v_I and v_j . There are exactly $1 + |I|$ parallel edges in H_I joining v_I and v_j for each $j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})$. The weight function on $E(H_I)$ is the restriction of ω to $E(H_I)$ and parallel edges in H_I may have different weights.

For each $T \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$, let T_I be the tree obtained from T by identifying all vertices in the set $\{v_i : i \in I \cup \{1\}\}$. Clearly $\omega(T)$ and $\omega(T_I)$ have the following relation:

$$\omega(T) = \prod_{i \in I} \omega(v_1 v_i) \cdot \omega(T_I). \quad (41)$$

Moreover, $T \rightarrow T_I$ is a bijection from $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ to $\mathcal{T}(H_I)$, implying that

$$\sum_{T \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i} \omega(T) = \prod_{i \in I} \omega(v_1 v_i) \cdot \sum_{T \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i} \omega(T_I) = \tau(H_I, \omega) \cdot \prod_{i \in I} \omega(v_1 v_i). \quad (42)$$

Note that G_T can be obtained from H_I by merging all parallel edges with ends v_I and v_j into one for each $j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})$. By the definition of ω_I , $\tau(H_I, \omega) = \tau(G_I, \omega_I)$. Thus, (37) follows from (40) and (42). \square

Recall the function ϕ defined in the previous section. In the following, we shall show that $\tau(K_k, \omega)$ can be expressed in terms of ϕ when ω satisfies certain conditions.

Theorem 6. *Let $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ be the vertex set of the complete graph K_k , where $k \geq 1$, and ω be a weight function on the edge set E of K_k . If there exist $2k$ real numbers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k such that $\omega(v_i v_j) = x_i y_j + x_j y_i$ holds for every pair i and j with $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, then,*

$$\tau(K_k, \omega) = \phi(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k). \quad (43)$$

Proof. Note that for $1 \leq k \leq 3$,

$$\tau(K_k, \omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & k = 1; \\ \omega(v_1 v_2), & k = 2; \\ \omega(v_1 v_2)\omega(v_1 v_3) + \omega(v_1 v_2)\omega(v_2 v_3) + \omega(v_1 v_3)\omega(v_2 v_3), & k = 3. \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

As $\omega(v_i v_j) = x_i y_j + x_j y_i$, (43) follows from (19) and (44) when $k \leq 3$.

Assume that the result holds for $k \leq N$, where $N \geq 3$. In the following, we assume that $k = N + 1$ and show that it holds in this case by induction.

Recall that for any non-empty subset of I of $\llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}$, G_I is the complete graph with vertex set $\{v_I\} \cup \{v_j : j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})\}$ and weight function ω_I on its edge set defined in (36), i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \omega_I(v_i v_j) = \omega(v_i v_j) = x_i y_j + x_j y_i, & \forall i, j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\}), i \neq j; \\ \omega_I(v_I v_j) = \sum_{r \in I \cup \{1\}} (x_r y_j + x_j y_r) = x_I y_j + y_I x_j, & \forall j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\}). \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

where $x_I = x_1 + \sum_{r \in I} x_r$ and $y_I = y_1 + \sum_{r \in I} y_r$.

As G_I is a complete graph of order $k - |I| < k$ with a weight function ω_I satisfying conditions in (45), by inductive assumption, (43) holds for G_I , i.e.,

$$\tau(G_I, \omega_I) = \phi(x_I, y_I, \underbrace{x_i, y_i}_{\forall i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})}). \quad (46)$$

By Lemma 6, (46) and Theorem 5,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(K_k, \omega) &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}} (-1)^{|I|-1} \tau(G_I, \omega_I) \prod_{i \in I} \omega(v_1 v_i) \\ &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}} \left((-1)^{|I|-1} \tau(G_I, \omega_I) \prod_{i \in I} (x_i y_1 + y_i x_1) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}} \left((-1)^{|I|-1} \prod_{i \in I} (x_i y_1 + y_i x_1) \cdot \phi(x_I, y_I, \underbrace{x_i, y_i}_{\forall i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})}) \right) \\ &= \phi(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Hence the result holds. \square

5 Spanning trees in $K_{m,n}$ containing a spanning forest F

Now we are ready to prove the main result.

Proof of Theorem 4. For any spanning forest F of $K_{m,n}$ with components T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k , observe that

$$\tau_F(K_{m,n}) = \tau(K_{m,n}/F), \quad (48)$$

where $K_{m,n}$ is unweighted and $K_{m,n}/F$ is the multigraph obtained from $K_{m,n}$ by contracting all edges in F . Note that $K_{m,n}/F$ is a multigraph of order k whose vertices correspond to components of F , as $K_{m,n}/F$ can also be obtained from $K_{m,n}$ by identifying all vertices in T_i for all $i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket$, and removing all loops. Thus, we may assume that $K_{m,n}/F$ has vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k such that the number of parallel edges joining v_i and v_j is equal to the number of edges in $K_{m,n}$ with one end in T_i and the other end in T_j .

As $|X \cap V(T_s)| = m_s$ and $|Y \cap V(T_s)| = n_s$ for all $s = 1, 2, \dots, k$, $K_{m,n}/F$ contains exactly $m_i n_j + m_j n_i$ parallel edges joining v_i and v_j for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. By Theorem 6,

$$\tau(K_{m,n}/F) = \phi(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2, \dots, m_k, n_k). \quad (49)$$

Thus, by (48) and the definition of $\phi(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k)$ in (17), the result holds. \square

6 Remarks

Another approach for proving the main result is to establish results analogue to Lemma 6 and Theorem 5. The following identity analogue to Lemma 6 can be obtained easily:

$$\tau(K_k, \omega) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}} \left(\tau(G_I, \omega'_I) \prod_{i \in I} \omega(v_1 v_i) \right), \quad (50)$$

where ω'_I is different from ω_I defined in (36), as for any $j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})$,

$$\omega'_I(v_I v_j) = \sum_{r \in I} \omega(v_r v_j) = \omega_I(v_I v_j) - \omega(v_1 v_j), \quad (51)$$

although $\omega'_I(v_i v_j) = \omega_I(v_i v_j)$ for all $i, j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})$ with $i \neq j$.

By Theorem 4 and (50), the following identity analogue to Theorem 5 holds:

$$\phi(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}} \left(\prod_{j \in I} (x_1 y_j + x_j y_1) \phi(x'_I, y'_I, \underbrace{x_s, y_s}_{\forall s \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})}) \right), \quad (52)$$

where $x'_I = \sum_{i \in I} x_i = x_I - x_1$ and $y'_I = \sum_{i \in I} y_i = y_I - y_1$.

However, it is quite challenging to prove (52) directly. Note that for any I with $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1\}$,

$$\begin{cases} x'_I + \sum_{i \in I} x_i = x_2 + x_3 + \dots + x_k = X - x_1, \\ y'_I + \sum_{i \in I} y_i = y_2 + y_3 + \dots + y_k = Y - x_1. \end{cases} \quad (53)$$

By the definition of the function ϕ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x'_I, y'_I, \underbrace{x_s, y_s}_{\forall s \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})}) &= \frac{x'_I Y' + y'_I X'}{X' Y'} \cdot \left(\prod_{i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} (x_i Y' + y_i X') \right) \\ &\cdot \left(1 - \frac{x'_I y'_I}{x'_I Y' + y'_I X'} - \sum_{i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (I \cup \{1\})} \frac{x_i y_i}{x_i Y' + y_i X'} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where $X' = X - x_1$ and $Y' = Y - y_1$. Observe that the left-hand of (52) has a denominator XY , while its right-hand side has a denominator $X'Y'$.

Clearly, the main result (i.e., Theorem 4) also follows from (50) and (52).

In the end, we propose some problems.

Problem 1. Find a bijective proof for Theorem 4.

Another problem is to extend Theorem 4 to complete k -partite graphs, where $k \geq 3$.

Problem 2. Let K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} be a complete k -partite graph and F be a spanning forest in K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} , where $k \geq 3$. Find a formula for counting the number of spanning trees in K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} which contain all edges in F .

For $k = 3$, we propose the following conjecture for a lower bound of $\tau_F(K_{n_1, n_2, n_3})$.

Conjecture 1. Let X_1, X_2 and X_3 be the partite sets of the complete tripartite graph K_{n_1, n_2, n_3} , where $|X_i| = n_i$ for $i \in \llbracket 3 \rrbracket$. For any spanning forest F in K_{n_1, n_2, n_3} with k components T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_F(K_{n_1, n_2, n_3}) &\geq \frac{1}{n_1 n_2 + n_1 n_3 + n_2 n_3} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k ((n - n_1) n_{1,i} + (n - n_2) n_{2,i} + (n - n_3) n_{3,i}) \right) \\ &\cdot \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n_{1,i} n_{2,i} + n_{1,i} n_{3,i} + n_{2,i} n_{3,i}}{(n - n_1) n_{1,i} + (n - n_2) n_{2,i} + (n - n_3) n_{3,i}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

where $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ and $n_{s,i} = |X_s \cap V(T_i)|$ for $s = 1, 2, 3$ and $i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket$.

It is trivial to verify that the equality of (55) holds for $k \leq 2$.

Acknowledgements

Jun Ge is supported by NSFC (No. 11701401) and the joint research project of Laurent Mathematics Center of Sichuan Normal University and National-Local Joint Engineering Laboratory of System Credibility Automatic Verification. The authors are grateful to two anonymous referees for their careful examination and constructive comments.

References

- [1] A. Cayley, A theorem on trees, *Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **23** (1889), 376–378.
- [2] F. Dong, J. Ge and Z. Ouyang, Express the number of spanning trees in term of degrees, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **415** (2022), 126697.
- [3] F. Dong and W. Yan, Expression for the number of spanning trees of line graphs of arbitrary connected graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **85** (2017), 74–93.
- [4] R. Ehrenborg, The number of spanning trees of the Bruhat graph, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **125** (2021), 102150.
- [5] M. Fiedler and J. Sedláček, Über Wurzelbasen von gerichteten Graphen, *Časopis Pěst. Mat.* **83** (1958), 214–225.
- [6] J. Ge and F. Dong, Spanning trees in complete bipartite graphs and resistance distance in nearly complete bipartite graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **283** (2020), 542–554.
- [7] H. Gong and X. Jin, A simple formula for the number of spanning trees of line graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **88** (2018), 294–301.
- [8] L. Lovász, Combinatorial problems and exercises, second edition, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993.
- [9] J. W. Moon, The second moment of the complexity of a graph, *Mathematika* **11** (1964), 95–98.
- [10] W. Yan, On the number of spanning trees of some irregular line graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **120** (2013), 1642–1648.