

Coherence Protection of Electron Spin in Earth-field Range by All-optical Dynamic Decoupling

Peiyu Yang¹, Guzhi Bao^{2,*}, L. Q. Chen^{1,4,†} and Weiping Zhang^{2,3,4‡}

¹ *State Key Laboratory of Precision Spectroscopy, Quantum Institute for Light and Atoms, School of Physics and Electronic Science, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China.*

² *School of Physics and Astronomy, and Tsung-Dao Lee Institute, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China.*

³ *Collaborative Innovation Center of Extreme Optics, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030006, China.*

⁴ *Shanghai Research Center for Quantum Sciences, Shanghai 201315, China.*

(Dated: March 10, 2021)

In recent years, unshielded atomic systems have been attracting researchers' attention, in which decoherence is one of the major problems, especially for high precision measurements. The nonlinear Zeeman effect and magnetic field gradient are the main decoherence sources of atomic electron spin in Earth-field range. Here, we propose a method to cancel out the two dominant broadening effects simultaneously by an all-optical dynamic decoupling approach based on Raman scattering in the ⁸⁷Rb Zeeman sublevels. By adjusting the parameters of the Raman lasers, we realize spin control along an arbitrary direction. We analyze the state evolution of atomic spin under the Raman light control sequence in detail. The results show that both the nonlinear Zeeman effect and magnetic field gradient can be significantly suppressed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Atomic electron spin is a basic information carrier in quantum science [1–15]. Coherence protection is of major importance for precision measurements and for scalable quantum information networks. Short coherence time limits the sensitivity of atomic sensors [1–8] and quantum memory time [10, 12, 13]. Such as, the sensitivity of atomic magnetometer is described as $\delta B = 1/(\gamma\sqrt{nT_2Vt})$ [1], in which T_2 represents the coherence time. Longer coherence time indicates better sensitivity. Usually, high-performance atomic systems are protected by magnetic shields [1, 4, 10, 11, 13]. However, practical application often requires that atomic systems work in challenging conditions where background magnetic fields with large amplitudes or large gradients exist [7]. When an atomic sample operates in the Earth's magnetic field, the nonlinear Zeeman (NLZ) effect and magnetic field gradient are major decoherence sources of atomic electron spins [5–7, 16–18]. These decoherence effects broaden the linewidth of magnetic resonance and decrease the signal intensity, which leads to the drops of the sensitivity quadratically to the coherence time. Furthermore, the asymmetries of magnetic-resonance signal due to the NLZ splitting is particularly troublesome in airborne and marine systems [19]. Several ways have been reported to cancel the NLZ effect, e.g., double-modulated synchronous optical pumping [17], high-order polarization moments [16], tensor light shift effects [18] and spin locking [5]. Among them, spin locking is robust

against orientation changes [5]. However, spin locking brings power broadening to the magnetic resonance, limiting the sensitivity [6]. To achieve a narrow linewidth, the power of spin locking needs to be finely controlled to reach a balance between spin locking and power broadening. With the existence of a tilted angle between the sensor and the leading field, the microwave fields and the atomic spins are no longer rotating in the same plane which decreases the effect of spin locking.

Another choice is dynamic decoupling (DD) [10, 12, 20–30], which is a quantum control technique used to extend the atomic or nuclear coherence time by periodic sequences of instantaneous modulation pulses and has been widely used to cancel quadrupolar energy shifts [20, 23] and inhomogeneous broadenings [12, 21, 26]. Conventional DD is realized by pulsed microwave fields [10, 20–25]. However, global applied microwave fields might lead to crosstalk between closely located samples [6, 31–33]. Additionally, in an atom interferometer, the microwave fields cannot generate a recoil velocity to separate the atomic energy levels [2, 3, 8]. Moreover, the microwave fields cannot be applied to a faraway atomic sample directly, which limits the applicability of this technique to remote control [6, 34, 35]. An alternative solution is needed to overcome these drawbacks above.

We construct an all-optical pulsed control field to achieve spin rotation by Raman scattering. Raman scattering is a simple way to couple atomic spins with only two optical fields [2–4, 8, 11–13]. Here, we manage to rotate the spin state by an arbitrary angle along any direction by adjusting the Rabi frequencies, detunings, propagating directions and pulse lengths of Raman lasers. By inserting Hahn echo $\tau - 180^\circ y - \tau$ into quadrupolar echo $\tau - 90^\circ y - \tau$, we design an all-optical sequence to cancel the NLZ effect and magnetic field gradient simul-

* guzhi_bao@126.com

† lqchen@phy.ecnu.edu.cn

‡ wpz@sjtu.edu.cn

taneously in the Earth-field range. Since all the control fields are along \hat{y} axis, even with a large tilted angle, DD works successfully. To evaluate the performance of this all-optical scheme, we exhibit the state evolution process and analyze the fidelity of the atomic spin state with and without DD. The results show that the fidelity up to 99.99% with DD is much better than the free evolution case, indicating that the coherence of the atomic spin state is well maintained by DD.

In this work, we propose and design an all-optical DD sequence by Raman process to prolong the coherence time of atomic system in the Earth-field range. The article is organised as follows. In Sec. II we study the underlying dephasing mechanism limiting the coherence time. In Sec. III we give an effective rotation Hamiltonian by Raman process to manipulate the atomic spin in an all-optical way. In Sec. IV we design an all-optical DD sequence and analyze the DD performance. In the last section, we draw some conclusions and discussions.

II. DEPHASING MECHANISM OF THE ELECTRON SPIN

The dephasing effects of the electron spin contain at least six components: spin-exchange relaxation, spin-destruction relaxation, wall-collision relaxation, optical power broadening, the NLZ effect and magnetic field gradient broadening. Among them, the NLZ effect and magnetic field gradient are dominant in most cases.

The eigenvalues for the ground state $5S_{1/2}$ of ^{87}Rb in an external magnetic field B are given by the Breit-Rabi formula [36, 37] and illustrated in Fig.1. The energy splittings between adjacent Zeeman sublevels are

$$E_{m_F+1} - E_{m_F} = \pm \frac{\mu_B B}{2} \mp \hbar\omega(2m_F + 1), \quad (1)$$

where m_F is the magnetic quantum number, μ_B is the Bohr magneton, B is the magnetic field intensity, $\omega = (\mu_B B)^2 / (4\hbar\delta)$ is the quantum-beat revival frequency caused by the NLZ effect, and δ is the hyperfine-structure energy splitting; \pm refer to the $F = I \pm 1/2$ hyperfine components, and I is the nuclear spin. In the Earth-field range ($50 \mu\text{T}$), the revival frequency for ^{87}Rb is $\omega = 2\pi \times 17.9 \text{ Hz}$, which is comparable to the width of magnetic resonance. The right side of Fig.1 gives the split magnetic-resonance signal in the presence of the NLZ effect.

When atomic systems work in an open environment, without the protection of magnetic shields, background magnetic fields have large gradients. Due to the inhomogeneous distribution of the external magnetic field, atoms located in different positions have different Larmor precession frequencies, which leads to dephasing of the atomic electron spin.

With consideration of the two main dephasing effects (NLZ effect and magnetic field gradient), the total Hamiltonian of our system on the basis of the Zeeman sublevels




FIG. 1. Hyperfine structure of the $5S_{1/2}$ $F = 1$ and $F = 2$ state manifolds of the ^{87}Rb atom in an external magnetic field. The right side shows the split magnetic-resonance signal for the $F = 2$ states (up) and $F = 1$ states (down). The signals are fitted with Lorentzian peaks arising due to the NLZ effect. $\omega_1 = \Omega_L - 2\omega$, $\omega_2 = \Omega_L - \omega$, $\omega_3 = \Omega_L + \omega$ and $\omega_4 = \Omega_L + 2\omega$ are the quantum beating frequencies of $F = 2$. $\omega_5 = \Omega_L + \omega$ and $\omega_6 = \Omega_L - \omega$ are the quantum beating frequencies of $F = 1$.

with a magnetic field along the \hat{z} axis is

$$H = \hbar(\Omega_L J_z + \Delta\Omega_L J_z + \omega J_z^2), \quad (2)$$

where \hbar is Plank's constant, J_z is the angular momentum along the \hat{z} axis, Ω_L is the Larmor precession frequency, $\Delta\Omega_L$ is the inhomogeneous frequency broadening caused by the magnetic field gradient, and ω is the revival frequency due to the NLZ effect. Our goal is to cancel out the NLZ effect and magnetic field gradient simultaneously.

III. SPIN CONTROL BY RAMAN PROCESSES

A dynamic decoupling experiment often requires fast spin rotations by certain degrees in the \hat{x} or \hat{y} direction, denoted as Φ_x or Φ_y , where Φ is the angle of rotation. Raman scattering is a typical method for manipulating the atomic electron spin. For the all-optical scheme, we construct an equivalent J_x or J_y Hamiltonian by quantum interference of Raman channels.

Here, we consider the D1 transition of ^{87}Rb from $5S_{1/2}, F = 1$ to $5P_{1/2}, F' = 1$ and $F' = 2$. We apply laser lights with three polarizations, i.e., σ_+ , π and σ_- , the Rabi frequencies of which are Ω_1, Ω_2 and Ω_3 , respectively. The propagating directions of the Raman lasers are all along the \hat{x} axis. Possible transition channels are depicted in Fig. 2. The hyperfine energy level splitting of the excited state δ is 800 MHz and the natural linewidth of the excited state is around 6 MHz. When the Raman detuning Δ is far enough, the effective Hamiltonian H_{eff} on the basis of the Zeeman sublevels can be derived from the method in the Appendix A. To achieve a J_x -rotation Hamiltonian, we assume $\Omega_3 = \Omega_1$ and that π polarized light has a $\pi/2$ phase difference with circularly polarized




FIG. 2. Transition channels of the ^{87}Rb D1 line from the ground state $5S_{1/2}, F = 1$ Zeeman sublevels to excited energy levels $5P_{1/2}, F' = 1$ and $F' = 2$. δ is the hyperfine energy level splitting. Δ denotes Raman detuning. m_F is the magnetic quantum number. Solid lines represent σ_+ transitions. Dashed lines represent σ_- transitions. Dot-dashed lines represent π transitions.

lights σ_+, σ_- . We can finally write H_{eff} as

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \alpha_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \beta_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \beta_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1$ and β_2 are the coupling coefficients, with

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{(7\delta + 4\Delta)\Omega_1\Omega_2}{6(3\delta - 4\Delta)(5\delta + 4\Delta)}, \quad (4a)$$

$$\alpha_2 = -\frac{\delta\Omega_1^2}{3(3\delta - 4\Delta)(5\delta + 4\Delta)}, \quad (4b)$$

$$\beta_1 = -\frac{2(3\delta + 4\Delta)\Omega_1^2 + (5\delta + 4\Delta)\Omega_2^2}{6(3\delta - 4\Delta)(5\delta + 4\Delta)}, \quad (4c)$$

$$\beta_2 = -\frac{\delta_1(\Omega_1 - \Omega_2)(\Omega_1 + \Omega_2)}{3(3\delta - 4\Delta)(5\delta + 4\Delta)}, \quad (4d)$$

where δ is the hyperfine energy level splitting and Δ is the Raman detuning.

The first term in Eq. (3) containing α_1 denotes the $\Delta m_F = 1$ transitions, which is a typical J_x form for the $F = 1$ system. The second term containing α_2 represents transitions between the two outermost Zeeman energy levels $m_F = -1$ and $m_F = 1$. The third term containing β_1 gives a scalar shift independent of the Zeeman sublevels. The scalar shift results in a global phase factor relative to the wavefunction, which has no influence on the density matrix. The last term proportional to β_2 is a tensor shift similar to the NLZ shift. To obtain a clean J_x Hamiltonian, we need to adjust the detunings and Rabi frequencies of the Raman fields to eliminate the second term and the last term.

Since we want to obtain a pure J_x Hamiltonian by Raman processes, we simulate how J_z evolves with the application of H_{eff} in Eq. (3). J_z is turned into $-J_y$ after a $90^\circ x$ pulse. To find the optimal detunings and Rabi




FIG. 3. (a) The dependence of the fidelity on Ω_1/Ω_2 and Δ/δ in the basis of $5S_{1/2}, F = 1$. (b) The dependence of the fidelity on Ω_1/Ω_2 and Δ/δ on the basis of $5S_{1/2}, F = 2$. The red area where the fidelity is close to one indicates the optimized conditions to realize the J_x Hamiltonian. The black dashed line is a contour line where the fidelity is 0.99.

frequencies of the Raman fields for a J_x Hamiltonian, we calculate the fidelity of $-J_y$ and the state after a 90° rotation operation by H_{eff} . The fidelity formula is $\mathcal{F} = \text{Tr}[\sqrt{\sqrt{\rho_1}\rho_2\sqrt{\rho_1}}]^2$ [38]. ρ_1 is $-J_y$ and ρ_2 is the state after a 90° rotation operation by H_{eff} . If the fidelity is close to one, we can say that H_{eff} is a perfect J_x Hamiltonian.

Figure 3a shows the dependence of the fidelity on the dimensionless parameters Ω_1/Ω_2 and Δ/δ for $F = 1$. When the Raman detuning is large enough ($\Delta \gg \delta$), the fidelity is close to one. Then, we can write the effective Hamiltonian as

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\Omega_1\Omega_2}{24\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

The coefficient $\Omega_1\Omega_2/(24\Delta)$ is the effective Rabi frequency.

Note that in Eq. (5), the coherence between $m_F = 1$




FIG. 4. The density matrix evolution under the quadrupolar echo $\tau - 90^\circ y - \tau$ in the J_y representation. (a-d) are real parts of the density matrix. (e-h) are imaginary parts of the density matrix. ρ_1 is the state $-J_y$. ρ_2 is the state after free evolution with the NLZ dephasing effect. ρ_3 is the state after the $90^\circ y$ pulse. ρ_4 is the final state after DD for a whole Larmor precession cycle.

and $m_F = -1$ disappears. This is caused by quantum interference between the two Raman transition channels from $5S_{1/2}, F = 1$ to $5P_{1/2}, F' = 1$ and $F' = 2$. Under appropriate detunings and Rabi frequencies of the Raman lights, the two transition amplitudes form destructive interference.

Following the same steps, we can construct an efficient J_x Hamiltonian for the energy level $5S_{1/2}, F = 2$. Similarly, we can plot the fidelity of $-J_y$ and the state after a 90° rotation operation by the H_{eff} on the basis of $5S_{1/2}, F = 2$, as shown in Fig. 3b. Compared with the $F = 1$ case, the optimal condition for $F = 2$ is more rigorous. We need a larger Raman detuning to achieve the same fidelity (dashed line in Fig. 3).

In total, there are three necessary conditions for Raman lights to construct a perfect J_x rotation Hamiltonian: (i) The Rabi frequencies and phases of two circularly polarized lights are equal. (ii) The π polarized light has a $\pi/2$ phase difference with the two circularly polarized lights. (iii) The Raman detuning Δ is far off resonance.

For both the $F = 1$ and $F = 2$ systems, an effective J_y Hamiltonian can be realized in the same way by changing the propagating directions of the Raman lasers from the \hat{x} direction to the \hat{y} direction.

IV. DYNAMIC DECOUPLING

In Section III, we give an effective rotation Hamiltonian by Raman process. Next, we utilize the effective Hamiltonian to construct a DD sequence. Through the dynamic evolution of the spin state, we give the optimal DD schemes for different atomic systems.

Consider an atomic system with a total angular momentum F (for the ground state of ^{87}Rb $5S_{1/2}$, $F = 1$ or 2) interacting with a leading magnetic field along \hat{z} in

the Earth-field range, we assume that the atomic spins are prepared in the $m_F = F$ state along the \hat{z} direction (J_z) by an optical pumping (OP) field. The spin state is rotated to $-J_y$ by a $90^\circ x$ pulse to generate the coherence between Zeeman sublevels as quantum sensor or carrier of information. After that, the spin state begins rotating freely in the $\hat{x} - \hat{y}$ plane because of Larmor precession. In presence of the NLZ effect and magnetic field gradient, the spin state begin diffusing, which broadens the linewidth of magnetic resonance and decreases the coherence time.

A. NLZ cancellation

To eliminate the NLZ effect by DD, we choose the quadrupolar echo $\tau - 90^\circ y - \tau$. With the H_{eff} obtained in Section III, the spin rotation operations $90^\circ x$ and $90^\circ y$ can be realized by controlling the Rabi frequencies, detunings, propagating directions and pulse lengths of the Raman lasers.

For the $F = 1$ system, we calculate the density matrix evolution under the quadrupolar echo $\tau - 90^\circ y - \tau$ in detail in the Appendix B. In terms of the expression of $\rho(2\tau)$, the NLZ effect still exists. If we choose an appropriate free evolution time τ such that $\Omega_L \tau = \pi$, $\rho(2\tau)$ turns into

$$\rho(2\tau) = i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

To realize $\Omega_L \tau = \pi$, atomic spin can be driven by turning on the DD sequence with an optical rotation signal as the trigger (self-oscillating mode) [39]. The final state is refocused to $-J_y$. An alternative approach is to carry out quadrature detection, in which only the components of $\rho(2\tau)$ irrelevant with respect to ω are detected [20,

40]. Thus, the NLZ effect is removed by $90^\circ y$ pulses with arbitrary free evolution time τ as long as τ is much smaller than the NLZ dephasing time and much bigger than the pulse length.

In the $F = 2$ system, due to more NLZ splitting, the spin state dynamics under the quadrupolar echo is more complicated. To see the DD performance clearly, we present the density matrix evolution of the electron spin in the J_y representation, as plotted in Fig 4. Figs. 4 (a-d) show the real parts of the density matrix, and Figs. 4 (e-h) show the imaginary parts of the density matrix. In the J_y representation, $-J_y$ means that atoms are fully populated in the $m_F = -F$ state (Fig. 4a, 4e). During the free precession of state $-J_y$ in half of the Larmor precession period τ under the influence of the Larmor precession Hamiltonian $\Omega_L J_z$, the spin state rotates from $-J_y$ to J_y , as shown in Fig. 4b. At the same time, the nondiagonal terms $\rho_{F,F-2}$ and $\rho_{F-2,F}$ occur because the NLZ effect Hamiltonian ωJ_z^2 brings $\Delta m_F = 2$ transitions, as shown in Fig. 4f. The produced coherence terms lead to a reduction in the atomic polarization. To eliminate the atomic decoherence effect, we apply a $90^\circ y$ pulse, which adds a π phase to the terms $\rho_{F,F-2}$ and $\rho_{F-2,F}$ (Fig. 4g) and keeps the target term $\rho_{F,F}$ unchanged (Fig. 4c) (see Appendix C). Finally, the dephasing effect in the second free evolution τ counteracts the dephasing effect from the first free evolution τ , which refocuses the final spin state to $-J_y$ (Fig. 4d, 4h).




FIG. 5. The state fidelity comparison between the cases free evolution and with DD applied after five cycles. η is the ratio of the revival frequency ω and Larmor frequency Ω_L . Insert is the zoom in where real experimental parameters locate. Dashed line corresponds to the case of ^{87}Rb atoms in the Earth-field range.

Different from the $F = 1$ system, the density matrix of the $F = 2$ system includes more nonzero terms induced by the NLZ effect. The $90^\circ y$ pulse cannot flip them all. In the J_y representation, the $90^\circ y$ operation turns density matrix ρ_{mn} to ρ'_{mn} with $\rho'_{mn} = \exp[i(m+n)\pi/2]\rho_{mn}$, where m and n denote the magnetic quantum number (see Appendix C). There are 25 elements in the density matrix in total in the $F = 2$ system, of which only $\rho_{2,0}$,

$\rho_{0,2}$, $\rho_{-2,0}$ and $\rho_{0,-2}$ can be inverted by the $90^\circ y$ pulse, meaning that the quadrupolar echo cannot cancel out the NLZ effect completely. The cancellation performance depends on the ratio η of the revival frequency ω and Larmor frequency Ω_L . We define $\eta = \omega/\Omega_L$. The fidelity is introduced to evaluate the DD performance [29, 41]

and formulated as $\mathcal{F} = \text{Tr}|\sqrt{\rho'_1\rho'_2}\sqrt{\rho'_1}|^2$ [38]. Here, ρ'_1 is $-J_y$ and ρ'_2 is the state evolving for five DD cycles. Figure 5 compares the fidelity against η in the cases with and without DD. From Fig. 5, we find that the fidelity is maintained as high as 99.99% in a wide range $\eta < 1 \times 10^{-3}$, where most atomic systems are located [42]. For example, when ^{87}Rb atoms operate in the Earth-field range, the corresponding ratio η is 5.1×10^{-5} (see Fig. 5 inset).

B. Magnetic field gradient cancellation

A magnetic field gradient is inevitable in a natural environment. The phase perturbation due to an inhomogeneous magnetic field accumulates over time and causes a small spin orientation broadening along the target spin state, formulated as $\phi = (\Omega_L + \Delta\Omega_L)\tau$. First, this dephasing effect reduces the coherence time of the atomic electron spin. Second, for a long precession time and large phase perturbation, the condition $\Omega_L\tau = \pi$ cannot be achieved for all atoms. Hence, the quadrupolar echo cannot refocus the spin state perfectly, which compromises the performance of NLZ effect cancellation.

Dephasing due to an inhomogeneous magnetic field can be largely alleviated by using the Hahn echo [12, 26], where a $180^\circ y$ pulse is applied during the free evolution interval. In our scheme, the $180^\circ y$ pulse is realized by Raman scattering.

To remove the magnetic field gradient altogether, we apply a $180^\circ y$ pulse at the end of each Larmor cycle, as shown in Fig. 6. Note that the $180^\circ y$ pulses have no influence on the NLZ effect cancellation (see Appendix C). Figure 6 gives the specific DD control schemes. The Larmor frequency for ^{87}Rb in the Earth's magnetic field is $\Omega_L = 2\pi \times 350$ kHz. The corresponding Larmor period T is $28.5 \mu\text{s}$. For $F = 1$ system, the free evolution time τ_1 is arbitrary as long as it is smaller than the NLZ dephasing time and much bigger than the pulse length using quadrature detection. Based on the revival frequency $\omega = 2\pi \times 17.9$ kHz, τ_1 is adjustable from about $14.3 \mu\text{s}$ to about 5.59 ms. For $F = 2$ system, the free evolution time τ_2 is half of the Larmor period $14.3 \mu\text{s}$. To get a fast rotation operation, the length of square Raman pulse can be set as $2.85 \mu\text{s}$ for 90° rotation and $5.7 \mu\text{s}$ for 180° rotation. Thus, the effective Rabi frequency $\Omega_1\Omega_2/(24\Delta)$ is $2\pi \times 0.875$ MHz. For a Raman detuning 4 GHz, Rabi frequencies of the three polarized Raman lights can be set as $2\pi \times 290$ MHz equally.




FIG. 6. DD control sequence by inserting Hahn echo $\tau - 180^\circ y - \tau$ into quadrupolar echo $\tau - 90^\circ y - \tau$ for (a) $F = 1$ system. (b) $F = 2$ system. The curved line represents projection of the evolved spin state to J_y in the Larmor precession process. The Raman pulses are all square waves. Larmor period T is $28.5 \mu\text{s}$. The free evolution time τ_1 is adjustable from about $14.3 \mu\text{s}$ to about 5.59ms and τ_2 is $14.3 \mu\text{s}$. $90^\circ y$ pulse length t_1 is $2.85 \mu\text{s}$. $180^\circ y$ pulse length t_2 is $5.7 \mu\text{s}$.

V. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

We demonstrate an all-optical DD scheme to suppress the NLZ effect and magnetic field gradient at the same time. We design an all-optical control sequence by inserting Hahn echo $\tau - 180^\circ y - \tau$ into quadrupolar echo $\tau - 90^\circ y - \tau$ via Raman process. The NLZ effect can be canceled out by the quadrupolar echo. There are two ways to set the free evolution time τ . One is to set τ to half of the Larmor precession period, which can be realized by self-oscillating. The other is to use arbitrary τ with quadrature detection as long as τ is much smaller than the NLZ dephasing time and much bigger than the pulse length. For the $F = 1$ system, the NLZ effect can be completely removed by both methods. For the $F = 2$ system, the $90^\circ y$ pulses are added at every half time of the Larmor precession period. Due to the greater amount of NLZ splitting, the NLZ effect cannot be completely canceled out, and the decoupling results rely on the ratio η of the revival frequency to the Larmor precession frequency. By analyzing the fidelity of the atomic spin state with and without DD, we find that the fidelity is maintained as high as 99.99% in a wide range $\eta < 1 \times 10^{-3}$ after five DD cycles. The magnetic field gradient can be completely eliminated by Hahn echo.

For ^{87}Rb in the Earth-field range, the signal splitting due to the NLZ effect is $2\pi \times 35.8 \text{Hz}$ for $F = 1$ system and $2\pi \times 71.6 \text{Hz}$ for $F = 2$ system. The typical relative inhomogeneity of magnetic field is about 10^{-4} [43], leading to the signal broadening of $2\pi \times 35 \text{Hz}$. As a consequence, the coherence time is 27.9ms for $F = 1$ system and 14ms for $F = 2$ system. After removing the NLZ effect and magnetic field gradient by DD, the coherence time is only limited by the electron spin-destruction col-

lisions with the cell walls, residual relaxation due to collisions with the reservoir, and alkali-metal-alkali-metal spin-exchange collisions, which reaches 60s [44]. The sensitivity of atomic magnetometer can be improved by 46.4 times for $F = 1$ system and 65.5 times for $F = 2$ with DD applied.

The Larmor frequency for ^{87}Rb in the Earth's magnetic field is $\Omega_L = 2\pi \times 350 \text{kHz}$. To get a fast rotation operation, the length of square Raman pulse can be set as $2.85 \mu\text{s}$ for 90° rotation and $5.7 \mu\text{s}$ for 180° rotation. The effective Rabi frequency is $2\pi \times 0.875 \text{MHz}$. For a Raman detuning 4GHz , Rabi frequencies of the three polarized Raman lights can be set as $2\pi \times 290 \text{MHz}$ equally. Our pulsed DD scheme suppresses the power broadening significantly compared with the continuous spin locking approach. Furthermore, this all-optical DD does not bring crosstalk between adjacent samples, which is essential for multisensor detection. It has promising prospects for practical application in quantum sensing and quantum information.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Min Jiang from the University of Science and Technology of China for useful discussions. The authors acknowledge financial support from the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFA0302001); the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11874152, 11654005); the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities; the Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Major Project (2019SHZDZX01); and the Fellowship of China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2020TQ0193); W. Z. also acknowledges additional support from the Shanghai talent program.

Appendix A: Adiabatic Approximation

When multilevel atoms interact with optical fields, the block matrix form of the interaction Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H_{gg} & H_{ge} \\ H_{eg} & H_{ee} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

The corresponding block density matrix is

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{gg} & \rho_{ge} \\ \rho_{eg} & \rho_{ee} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

Substituting these two expressions into the Liouville

equation $i d\rho/dt = [H, \rho]$, we have

$$i \frac{d\rho_{gg}}{dt} = H_{gg}\rho_{gg} + H_{ge}\rho_{eg} - \rho_{gg}H_{gg} - \rho_{ge}H_{eg}, \quad (\text{A3a})$$

$$i \frac{d\rho_{ge}}{dt} = H_{gg}\rho_{ge} + H_{ge}\rho_{ee} - \rho_{gg}H_{ge} - \rho_{ge}H_{ee}, \quad (\text{A3b})$$

$$i \frac{d\rho_{eg}}{dt} = H_{eg}\rho_{gg} + H_{ee}\rho_{eg} - \rho_{eg}H_{gg} - \rho_{ee}H_{eg}, \quad (\text{A3c})$$

$$i \frac{d\rho_{ee}}{dt} = H_{eg}\rho_{ge} + H_{ee}\rho_{ee} - \rho_{eg}H_{ge} - \rho_{ee}H_{ee}. \quad (\text{A3d})$$

Usually, the ground-state Zeeman splitting is hundreds of kHz, while the Raman detuning Δ is several GHz. Thus, compared with H_{ee} , H_{gg} is negligible. In addition, the Raman detuning Δ is much larger than the natural linewidth of the excited energy level $5P_{1/2}$. Therefore, we can carry out an adiabatic approximation for the excited state, that is, $d\rho_{ge}/dt = 0$, $d\rho_{eg}/dt = 0$. Additionally, in the Raman scattering process, the number of excited atoms is far less than the number of unexcited atoms. Thus, we can simply set $\rho_{ee} = 0$. With all the assumptions above, Eq. (A3) is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\rho_{gg}H_{ge} - \rho_{ge}H_{ee}, \\ 0 &= H_{eg}\rho_{gg} + H_{ee}\rho_{eg}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{ge} &= -\rho_{gg}H_{ge}H_{ee}^{-1}, \\ \rho_{eg} &= -H_{ee}^{-1}H_{eg}\rho_{gg}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

Substituting Eq. (A5) into Eq. (A3), we end up with

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{d\rho_{gg}}{dt} &= H_{gg}\rho_{gg} - H_{ge}H_{ee}^{-1}H_{eg}\rho_{gg} - \\ &\quad \rho_{gg}H_{gg} + \rho_{gg}H_{ge}H_{ee}^{-1}H_{eg} \\ &= [H_{gg} + H_{eff}, \rho_{gg}]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

Furthermore, we obtain

$$H_{eff} = -H_{ge}H_{ee}^{-1}H_{eg}. \quad (\text{A7})$$

With the help of the ADM package in Mathematica [45], we can write out the specific efficient Hamiltonian on the basis of the Zeeman sublevels.

Appendix B: State evolution with the quadrupolar echo

For the $F = 1$ system, we calculate the state evolution under the quadrupolar echo $\tau - 90^\circ y - \tau$. Initially, the

atoms are populated in the J_z state. The density matrix after a $90^\circ x$ pulse evolves into

$$J_z \xrightarrow{90^\circ x} -J_y = \rho(0). \quad (\text{B1})$$

Free precession of this state for a period τ under the influence of the Larmor precession Hamiltonian $\Omega_L J_z$ and the NLZ effect Hamiltonian ωJ_z^2 produces the following transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_L J_z \tau &\rightarrow -[J_y \cos(\Omega_L \tau) - J_x \sin(\Omega_L \tau)], \\ \omega J_z^2 \tau &\rightarrow -\{[J_y \cos(\Omega_L \tau) - iC_y \sin(\omega\tau)] \cos(\Omega_L \tau) - \\ &\quad [J_x \cos(\Omega_L \tau) - iC_x \sin(\omega\tau)] \sin(\Omega_L \tau)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

Since $\Omega_L J_z$ commutes with ωJ_z^2 , the order in which we perform the transformations in Eq. (B2) is irrelevant.

Then, a $90^\circ y$ pulse is applied to the final state in Eq. (B2), yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \xrightarrow{90^\circ y} &-\{[J_y \cos(\omega\tau) + iC_y \sin(\omega\tau)] \cos(\Omega_L \tau) - \\ &\quad [-J_z \cos(\omega\tau) - iC_q \sin(\omega\tau)] \sin(\Omega_L \tau)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3})$$

After the $90^\circ y$ pulse, an echo is formed following a second period τ of free precession:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_L J_z \tau &\rightarrow -\{[J_y \cos(\Omega_L \tau) - J_x \sin(\Omega_L \tau)] \cos(\omega\tau) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[C_p \cos(\Omega_L \tau) - iC_a \sin(\Omega_L \tau)] \\ &\quad \sin(\omega\tau)\} \cos(\Omega_L \tau) \{-J_z \cos(\omega\tau) - i[C_q \\ &\quad \cos(2\Omega_L \tau) - iC_b \sin(2\Omega_L \tau)] \sin(\omega\tau)\} \\ &\quad \sin(\Omega_L \tau)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B4})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega J_z^2 \tau &\rightarrow -\{[J_y \cos(\omega\tau) - iC_y \sin(\omega\tau)] \cos(\Omega_L \tau) \\ &\quad - [J_x \cos(\omega\tau) - iC_x \sin(\omega\tau)] \cos(\omega\tau) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{[C_p \cos(\omega\tau) - iC_i \sin(\omega\tau)] \\ &\quad \cos(\Omega_L \tau) - i[C_a \cos(\omega\tau) - i\sqrt{2}J_x \\ &\quad \sin(\omega\tau)] \sin(\Omega_L \tau)\} \sin(\omega\tau)\} \cos(\Omega_L \tau) - \\ &\quad \{-J_z \cos(\omega\tau) - i[C_q \cos(2\Omega_L \tau) - iC_b \\ &\quad \sin(2\Omega_L \tau)] \sin(\omega\tau)\} \sin(\Omega_L \tau)\} = \rho(2\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B5})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_x &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_y = i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ C_n &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ C_a &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_p = i\sqrt{2}C_y, \quad C_q = \sqrt{2}C_n. \end{aligned}$$

With these substitutions, the matrix form of $\rho(2\tau)$ at the end of the second free precession period is

$$\rho(2\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} -\cos(w\tau) \sin(\Omega_L\tau) & \frac{\cos(\Omega_L\tau)(i \cos(\Omega_L\tau) + \sin(\Omega\tau))}{\sqrt{2}} & -ie^{-2i\Omega_L\tau} \sin(w\tau) \sin(\Omega_L\tau) \\ \frac{-i(1+e^{-2i\Omega_L\tau})}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{\cos(\Omega_L\tau)(i \cos(\Omega_L\tau) + \sin(\Omega\tau))}{\sqrt{2}} \\ ie^{-2i\Omega_L\tau} \sin(w\tau) \sin(\Omega_L\tau) & \frac{i(1+e^{-2i\Omega_L\tau})}{2\sqrt{2}} & \cos(w\tau) \sin(\Omega_L\tau) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B6})$$

Appendix C: Spin rotation in the J_y representation

In the J_y representation, the matrix form of the 90°_y operation on the basis of the $F = 2$ Zeeman sublevels is

$$\text{rot}_{90^\circ_y} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{C1})$$

With the 90°_y pulse applied, an arbitrary density matrix ρ

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{2,2} & \rho_{2,1} & \rho_{2,0} & \rho_{2,-1} & \rho_{2,-2} \\ \rho_{1,2} & \rho_{1,1} & \rho_{1,0} & \rho_{1,-1} & \rho_{1,-2} \\ \rho_{0,2} & \rho_{0,1} & \rho_{0,0} & \rho_{0,-1} & \rho_{0,-2} \\ \rho_{-1,2} & \rho_{-1,1} & \rho_{-1,0} & \rho_{-1,-1} & \rho_{-1,-2} \\ \rho_{-2,2} & \rho_{-2,1} & \rho_{-2,0} & \rho_{-2,-1} & \rho_{-2,-2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{C2})$$

is transformed into

$$\rho' = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{2,2} & i\rho_{2,1} & -\rho_{2,0} & -i\rho_{2,-1} & \rho_{2,-2} \\ -i\rho_{1,2} & \rho_{1,1} & i\rho_{1,0} & -\rho_{1,-1} & -i\rho_{1,-2} \\ -\rho_{0,2} & -i\rho_{0,1} & \rho_{0,0} & i\rho_{0,-1} & -\rho_{0,-2} \\ i\rho_{-1,2} & -\rho_{-1,1} & -i\rho_{-1,0} & \rho_{-1,-1} & i\rho_{-1,-2} \\ \rho_{-2,2} & i\rho_{-2,1} & -\rho_{-2,0} & -i\rho_{-2,-1} & \rho_{-2,-2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{C3})$$

with the unitary transform $\rho' = \text{rot}_{90^\circ_y} \rho \text{rot}_{90^\circ_y}^\dagger$.

A uniform expression for the density matrix elements is

$$\rho'_{mn} = \exp(i \frac{m+n}{2} \pi) \rho_{mn}, \quad (\text{C4})$$

where m and n are magnetic quantum numbers.

From Eq. (C4), we can see that the density matrix obtains a π phase for the elements $\rho_{2,0}$, $\rho_{0,2}$, $\rho_{-2,0}$ and $\rho_{0,-2}$ while keeping the diagonal elements unchanged.

The 180°_y operation is equivalent to two 90°_y operations. A uniform expression for density matrix elements under the 180°_y operation is

$$\rho'_{mn} = \exp[i(m+n)\pi] \rho_{mn}. \quad (\text{C5})$$

-
- [1] I. Kominis, T. Kornack, J. Allred, and M. V. Romalis, A subfemtotesla multichannel atomic magnetometer, *Nature* **422**, 596 (2003).
- [2] J. B. Fixler, G. Foster, J. McGuirk, and M. Kasevich, Atom interferometer measurement of the newtonian constant of gravity, *Science* **315**, 74 (2007).
- [3] A. Dunning, R. Gregory, J. Bateman, N. Cooper, M. Himsforth, J. A. Jones, and T. Freegarde, Composite pulses for interferometry in a thermal cold atom cloud, *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 033608 (2014).
- [4] B. Chen, C. Qiu, S. Chen, J. Guo, L. Chen, Z. Ou, and W. Zhang, Atom-light hybrid interferometer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 043602 (2015).
- [5] G. Bao, A. Wickenbrock, S. Rochester, W. Zhang, and D. Budker, Suppression of the nonlinear zeeman effect and heading error in earthfield-range alkali-vapor magnetometers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 033202 (2018).
- [6] G. Bao, D. Kanta, D. Antypas, S. Rochester, K. Jensen, W. Zhang, A. Wickenbrock, and D. Budker, All-optical spin locking in alkali-vapor magnetometers, arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.10906 (2018).
- [7] K.-M. C. Fu, G. Z. Iwata, A. Wickenbrock, and D. Budker, Sensitive magnetometry in challenging environments, arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.00082 (2020).
- [8] J. Saywell, M. Carey, M. Belal, I. Kuprov, and T. Freegarde, Optimal control of raman pulse sequences for atom interferometry, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **53**, 085006 (2020).
- [9] M. Jiang, W. Xu, Q. Li, Z. Wu, D. Suter, and X. Peng, Interference in atomic magnetometry, *Adv. Quantum Technol.* p. 2000078 (2020).
- [10] Y. Dudin, L. Li, and A. Kuzmich, Light storage on the time scale of a minute, *Phys. Rev. A* **87**, 031801 (2013).
- [11] L. Chen, G.-W. Zhang, C.-L. Bian, C.-H. Yuan, Z. Ou, and W. Zhang, Observation of the rabi oscillation of light driven by an atomic spin wave, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 133603 (2010).
- [12] J. Rui, Y. Jiang, S.-J. Yang, B. Zhao, X.-H. Bao, and J.-W. Pan, Operating spin echo in the quantum regime for an atomic-ensemble quantum memory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 133002 (2015).
- [13] J. Guo, X. Feng, P. Yang, Z. Yu, L. Chen, C.-H. Yuan, and W. Zhang, High-performance raman quantum memory with optimal control in room temperature atoms, *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1 (2019).
- [14] G. K. Brennen, D. P. OLeary, and S. S. Bullock, Criteria for exact qudit universality, *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 052318 (2005).
- [15] Z. Wu, L. Zhang, W. Sun, X.-T. Xu, B.-Z. Wang, S.-C. Ji, Y. Deng, S. Chen, X.-J. Liu, and J.-W. Pan, Realization of two-dimensional spin-orbit coupling for bose-einstein condensates, *Science* **354**, 83 (2016).

- [16] V. Acosta, M. Ledbetter, S. Rochester, D. Budker, D. J. Kimball, D. Hovde, W. Gawlik, S. Pustelny, J. Zachorowski, and V. Yashchuk, Nonlinear magneto-optical rotation with frequency-modulated light in the geophysical field range, *Phys. Rev. A* **73**, 053404 (2006).
- [17] S. Seltzer, P. Meares, and M. Romalis, Synchronous optical pumping of quantum revival beats for atomic magnetometry, *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 051407 (2007).
- [18] K. Jensen, V. Acosta, J. Higbie, M. Ledbetter, S. Rochester, and D. Budker, Cancellation of nonlinear Zeeman shifts with light shifts, *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 023406 (2009).
- [19] E. Alexandrov, Recent progress in optically pumped magnetometers, *Phys. Scr.* **2003**, 27 (2003).
- [20] S. Antonijevic and S. Wimperis, Refocussing of chemical and paramagnetic shift anisotropies in 2h nmr using the quadrupolar-echo experiment, *J. Magn. Reson.* **164**, 343 (2003).
- [21] G. De Lange, Z. Wang, D. Riste, V. Dobrovitski, and R. Hanson, Universal dynamical decoupling of a single solid-state spin from a spin bath, *Science* **330**, 60 (2010).
- [22] B. Naydenov, F. Dolde, L. T. Hall, C. Shin, H. Fedder, L. C. Hollenberg, F. Jelezko, and J. Wrachtrup, Dynamical decoupling of a single electron spin at room temperature, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 081201 (2011).
- [23] R. Shaniv, N. Akerman, T. Manovitz, Y. Shapira, and R. Ozeri, Quadrupole shift cancellation using dynamic decoupling, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 223204 (2019).
- [24] J. S. Pedernales, G. W. Morley, and M. B. Plenio, Motional dynamical decoupling for interferometry with macroscopic particles, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 023602 (2020).
- [25] K. C. Miao, J. P. Blanton, C. P. Anderson, A. Bourassa, A. L. Crook, G. Wolfowicz, H. Abe, T. Ohshima, and D. D. Awschalom, Universal coherence protection in a solid-state spin qubit, *Science* **10**, 1126 (2020).
- [26] E. L. Hahn, Spin echoes, *Phys. Rev.* **80**, 580 (1950).
- [27] C. Barthel, J. Medford, C. Marcus, M. Hanson, and A. Gossard, Interlaced dynamical decoupling and coherent operation of a singlet-triplet qubit, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 266808 (2010).
- [28] M. A. A. Ahmed, G. A. Álvarez, and D. Suter, Robustness of dynamical decoupling sequences, *Phys. Rev. A* **87**, 042309 (2013).
- [29] B. Pokharel, N. Anand, B. Fortman, and D. A. Lidar, Demonstration of fidelity improvement using dynamical decoupling with superconducting qubits, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 220502 (2018).
- [30] S. Upadhyay, U. Dargyte, D. Patterson, and J. D. Weinstein, Ultralong spin-coherence times for rubidium atoms in solid parahydrogen via dynamical decoupling, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 043601 (2020).
- [31] G. Bison, N. Castagna, A. Hofer, P. Knowles, J.-L. Schenker, M. Kasprzak, H. Saudan, and A. Weis, A room temperature 19- channel magnetic field mapping device for cardiac signals, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **95**, 173701 (2009).
- [32] G. Lembke, S. Ern e, H. Nowak, B. Menhorn, A. Pasquarelli, and G. Bison, Optical multichannel room temperature magnetic field imaging system for clinical application, *Biomed. Opt. Express* **5**, 876 (2014).
- [33] A. Borna, T. R. Carter, J. D. Goldberg, A. P. Colombo, Y.-Y. Jau, C. Berry, J. McKay, J. Stephen, M. Weisend, and P. D. Schwindt, A 20- channel magnetoencephalography system based on optically pumped magnetometers, *Phys. Medicine & Biol.* **62**, 8909 (2017).
- [34] F. P. Bustos, D. B. Calia, D. Budker, M. Centrone, J. Hellemeier, P. Hickson, R. Holzl hner, and S. Rochester, Remote sensing of geomagnetic fields and atomic collisions in the mesosphere, *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1 (2018).
- [35] B. Patton, O. Versolato, D. C. Hovde, E. Corsini, J. M. Higbie, and D. Budker, A remotely interrogated all-optical 87 Rb magnetometer, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **101**, 083502 (2012).
- [36] G. Breit and I. Rabi, Measurement of nuclear spin, *Phys. Rev.* **38**, 2082 (1931).
- [37] D. Budker and D. F. J. Kimball, *Optically polarized atoms: understanding light-atom interactions* (Oxford University Press, 2010).
- [38] H. Jeong, T. C. Ralph, and W. P. Bowen, Quantum and classical fidelities for gaussian states, *Josa B* **24**, 355 (2007).
- [39] J. Higbie, E. Corsini, and D. Budker, Robust, high-speed, all-optical atomic magnetometer, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **77**, 113106 (2006).
- [40] M. H. Levitt, *Spin dynamics: basics of nuclear magnetic resonance* (John Wiley & Sons, 2013).
- [41] X. Peng, D. Suter and D. A. Lidar, High fidelity quantum memory via dynamical decoupling: theory and experiment, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **44**, 154003 (2011).
- [42] S. J. Seltzer, *Developments in alkali-metal atomic magnetometry* (Princeton University, 2008).
- [43] Y. Zhang, Y. Li, Q. Jiang, Z. Wang, T. Xia, and H. Luo, Analytical design of axial magnetic coils with systematically improved uniformity for miniature quantum devices, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **90**, 114706 (2019).
- [44] M. V. Balabas, T. Karaulanov, M. P. Ledbetter, and D. Budker, Polarized Alkali-metal vapor with minute-long transverse spin-relaxation time, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 070801 (2010).
- [45] <http://rochesterscientific.com/ADM/>.