

EQUIDISTRIBUTION OF RATIONAL SUBSPACES AND THEIR SHAPES

MENNY AKA, ANDREA MUSSO, AND ANDREAS WIESER

ABSTRACT. To any k -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{Q}^n one can naturally associate a point in the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R})$ and two shapes of lattices of rank k and $n - k$ respectively. These lattices originate by intersecting the k -dimensional subspace and its orthogonal with the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n . Using unipotent dynamics we prove simultaneous equidistribution of all of these objects under congruence conditions when $(k, n) \neq (2, 4)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the joint distribution of rational subspaces of a fixed discriminant (also called height by some authors) and of two naturally associated lattices: the integer lattice in the subspace and in its orthogonal complement together with some natural refinements.

Let Q be a positive definite integral quadratic form on \mathbb{Q}^n and let $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ be a rational k -dimensional subspace. Here, $\text{Gr}_{n,k}$ is the projective variety of k -dimensional subspaces of the n -dimensional linear space. The discriminant $\text{disc}_Q(L)$ of L with respect to Q is the discriminant of the restriction of Q to the integer lattice $L(\mathbb{Z}) = L \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. In a formula,

$$\text{disc}_Q(L) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \langle v_1, v_1 \rangle_Q & \cdots & \langle v_1, v_k \rangle_Q \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle v_k, v_1 \rangle_Q & \cdots & \langle v_k, v_k \rangle_Q \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Q$ is the bilinear form induced by Q and v_1, \dots, v_k is a basis of $L(\mathbb{Z})$. We consider the finite set

$$\mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D) := \{L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q}) : \text{disc}_Q(L) = D\}.$$

We attach to any $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ the restriction of Q to $L(\mathbb{Z})$ represented in a basis. This is an integral quadratic form in k -variables which is well-defined up to a change of basis i.e. (in the language of quadratic forms) up to equivalence. In particular, it defines a well-defined point – also called the *shape* of $L(\mathbb{Z})$ –

$$[L(\mathbb{Z})] \in \mathcal{S}_k$$

where \mathcal{S}_k is the space of positive definite real quadratic forms on \mathbb{R}^k up to similarity (i.e. up to equivalence and positive multiples). We may identify \mathcal{S}_k as

$$\mathcal{S}_k \simeq \text{O}_k(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{R}) / \text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$$

A.W. was supported by ERC grant HomDyn, ID 833423, SNF grant 178958, and the SNF Doc. Mobility grant 195737.

which in particular equips \mathcal{S}_k with a probability measure $m_{\mathcal{S}_k}$ arising from the Haar measures of the groups on the right. We will simply call $m_{\mathcal{S}_k}$ the Haar probability measure on \mathcal{S}_k .

Analogously, one may define the point $[L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})] \in \mathcal{S}_{n-k}$ where L^\perp is the orthogonal complement of L with respect to Q . Overall, we obtain a triple of points $(L, [L(\mathbb{Z})], [L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})])$. The goal of this work is to study the distribution of these points in $\text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_{n-k}$ as $\text{disc}_Q(L)$ grows. In what follows, $\text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R})$ is given the unique $\text{SO}_Q(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant probability measure $m_{\text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R})}$.

Conjecture 1.1. *Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be integers such that $k \geq 2$ and $n - k \geq 2$. Then the sets*

$$\{(L, [L(\mathbb{Z})], [L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})]) : L \in \mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D)\}$$

equidistribute¹ in $\text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_{n-k}$ as $D \rightarrow \infty$ along $D \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D) \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 1.2. There exists an analogous conjecture for $k = 1$, $n - k \geq 2$ where one only considers the pairs $(L, [L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})])$ (and similarly for $n - k = 1$, $k \geq 2$). This has been studied extensively by the first named author with Einsiedler and Shapira in [AES16b, AES16a] where the conjecture is settled for $n \geq 6$ (i.e. $n - k \geq 5$), for $n = 4, 5$ under a weak congruence condition and for $n = 3$ under a stronger congruence condition on D . We remark that, as it is written, [AES16b, AES16a] treat only the case where Q is the sum of squares (that we will sometimes call the standard form), but the arguments carry over without major difficulties. Using effective methods from homogeneous dynamics, Einsiedler, Rühr and Wirth [ERW19] proved an effective version of the conjecture when $n = 4, 5$ removing in particular all congruence conditions. The case $n = 3$ relies on a deep classification theorem for joinings by Einsiedler and Lindenstrauss [EL19]; effective versions of that theorem are well out of reach of current methods from homogeneous dynamics. Assuming the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, Blomer and Brumley [BB20] have recently removed the congruence condition in [AES16b].

Remark 1.3. The case $k = 2$ and $n - k = 2$ of Conjecture 1.1 has been settled in [AEW22] by the first and the last named author together with Einsiedler under a (relatively strong) congruence condition when Q is the sum of four squares. The result in the paper is in fact stronger as it considers two additional shapes that one can naturally associate to L essentially thanks to the local isomorphism between $\text{SO}_4(\mathbb{R})$ and $\text{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}) \times \text{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$. The arguments carry over without major difficulties to consider norm forms on quaternion algebras (equivalently, the forms Q for which $\text{disc}(Q)$ is a square in \mathbb{Q}^\times). In [AW21], the first and last named author will extend the results of [AEW22] to treat arbitrary quadratic forms.

In this article, we prove Conjecture 1.1 in the remaining cases, partially under congruence conditions. For integers D, ℓ we write $D^{[\ell]}$ for the ℓ -power free part of D i.e. the largest divisor d of D with $a^\ell \nmid d$ for any $a > 1$.

Theorem 1.4 (Equidistribution of subspaces and shapes). *Let $2 \leq k \leq n$ be integers with $k \leq n - k$ and $n - k > 3$, and let p be an odd prime with $p \nmid \text{disc}(Q)$.*

¹Implicitly, we mean with respect to the product 'Haar' measure, i.e. the product measure $m_{\text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R})} \otimes m_{\mathcal{S}_k} \otimes m_{\mathcal{S}_{n-k}}$.

Let $D_i \in \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence of integers with $D_i^{[k]} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D_i) \neq \emptyset$ for every i . Then the sets

$$\{(L, [L(\mathbb{Z})], [L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})]) : L \in \mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D_i)\}$$

equidistribute in $\mathrm{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_{n-k}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ assuming the following conditions:

- $p \nmid D_i$ if $k \in \{3, 4\}$.
- $-D_i \pmod p$ is a square in \mathbb{F}_p^\times if $k = 2$.

Moreover, the analogous statement holds when the roles of k and $n - k$ are reversed.

Remark 1.5. Maass [Maa56, Maa59] in the 60's and Schmidt [Sch98] in the 90's have considered problems of this kind. They prove that the set of pairs $(L, [L(\mathbb{Z})])$ equidistributes in $\mathrm{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{S}_k$ where $L \in \mathrm{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ varies over the rational subspaces with discriminant *at most* D . In this averaged setup, Horesh and Karasik [HK20] recently verified Conjecture 1.1. Indeed, their version is polynomially effective in D .

Remark 1.6 (Congruence conditions). As in the previous works referenced in Remarks 1.2 and 1.3, our proof is of dynamical nature and follows from an equidistribution result for certain orbits in an adelic homogeneous space. The congruence conditions at the prime p assert roughly speaking that one can use non-trivial dynamics at one fixed place for all D . The acting groups we consider here are (variations of) the \mathbb{Q}_p -points of

$$\mathbf{H}_L = \{g \in \mathrm{SO}_Q : g.L \subset L\}^\circ$$

for $L \in \mathrm{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$. In particular, the cases $k = 2$ and $k > 2$ are very different from a dynamical viewpoint:

- For $k > 2$ the group \mathbf{H}_L is semisimple. The knowledge about measures on homogeneous spaces invariant under unipotents is vast – see Ratner's seminal works [Rat91, Rat95]. In our situation, we use an S -arithmetic version of a theorem by Mozes and Shah [MS95], proven by Gorodnik and Oh [GO11], which describes weak*-limits of measures with invariance under a semisimple group. Roughly speaking, the theorem implies that any sequence of orbits under a semisimple subgroup is either equidistributed or sits (up to a small shift) inside an orbit of a larger subgroup. The flexibility that this method provides allows us to in fact prove a significantly stronger result; see Theorem 1.11 below.
- For $k = 2$ and $n - k \geq 3$, the group \mathbf{H}_L is reductive. Thus, one can apply the results mentioned in the previous bullet point only to the commutator subgroup of \mathbf{H}_L which is non-maximal and has intermediate subgroups.

One of the novelties of this article is a treatment of this reductive case where we use additional invariance under the center to rule out intermediate subgroups 'on average' (see §4.3). Here as well as for the second component of the triples in Theorem 1.4 we need equidistribution of certain adelic torus orbits; this is a generalized version of a theorem of Duke [Duk88] building on a breakthrough of Iwaniec [Iwa87] – see for example [ELMV11, HM06, Wie19]. Furthermore, to prove simultaneous equidistribution of the tuples in Theorem 1.4 we apply a new simple disjointness trick – see the following remark.

Remark 1.7 (Disjointness). In upcoming work, the first and last named author prove together with Einsiedler, Luethi and Michel [AEL⁺21] an effective version of

Conjecture 1.1 when $k \neq 2$. This removes in particular the congruence conditions. The technique consists of a method to 'bootstrap' effective equidistribution in the individual factors to simultaneous effective equidistribution (in some situations).

In the current article, we use an ineffective analogue of this to prove Theorem 1.4, namely, the very well-known fact that mixing systems are disjoint from trivial systems (see also Lemma 4.2). This simple trick has (to our knowledge) not yet appeared in the literature in a similar context. It is particularly useful when $k = 2$ and $n - k \geq 3$ in which case we cannot rely solely on methods from unipotent dynamics (see Remark 1.6).

Remark 1.8 (On the power assumption). The assumption in Theorem 1.4 toward the power free part of the discriminants should only be considered a simplifying assumption. Its purpose is automatically to rule out situations where for most subspaces $L \in \mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D)$ the quadratic form $Q|_{L(\mathbb{Z})}$ (or $Q|_{L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})}$) is highly imprimitive (i.e. a multiple of a quadratic form of very small discriminant). We expect that such discriminants do not exist regardless of their factorization. A conjecture in this spirit is phrased in Appendix B. Moreover, Schmidt's work [Sch68] suggests that $|\mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D)| = D^{\frac{n}{2}-1+o(1)}$ in which case one could remove the assumption $D_i^{[k]} \rightarrow \infty$ in Theorem 1.4.

1.1. A strengthening. In the following we present a strengthening of Conjecture 1.1 inspired by the notion of grids introduced in [AES16a] and by Bersudsky's construction of a moduli space [Ber19] which refines the results of [AES16a].

Consider the set of pairs (L, Λ) where $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a k -dimensional subspace and where $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice of full rank with the property that $L \cap \Lambda$ is a lattice in L (L is Λ -rational). We define an equivalence relation on these pairs by setting $(L, \Lambda) \sim (L', \Lambda')$ whenever the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $L = L'$,
- (2) There exists $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ with $\det(g) > 0$ such that g acts on L and L^\perp as scalar multiplication and $g\Lambda = \Lambda'$.

We write $[L, \Lambda]$ for the class of (L, Λ) ; elements of such a class are said to be *homothetic along L or L -homothetic* to (L, Λ) . We refer to the set \mathcal{Y} of such equivalence classes as the *moduli space of basis extensions*. Indeed, one can think of a lattice Λ such that $L \cap \Lambda$ is a lattice as one choice of complementing the lattice $L \cap \Lambda$ into a basis of \mathbb{R}^n . The equivalence relation is not very transparent in this viewpoint, see Section 6 for further discussion.

The moduli space \mathcal{Y} is designed to incorporate subspaces as well as both shapes. Clearly, we have a well-defined map

$$(1.1) \quad [L, \Lambda] \in \mathcal{Y} \mapsto L \in \mathrm{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R})$$

The restriction of Q to $L \cap \Lambda$ yields a well-defined element of \mathcal{S}_k . Similarly, one may check that L^\perp intersects the dual lattice $\Lambda^\#$ in a lattice, the second shape is given by the restriction of Q to $L^\perp \cap \Lambda^\#$.

We note that there is a natural identification of \mathcal{Y} with a double quotient of a Lie group (cf. Lemma 6.3) so that we may again speak of the 'Haar measure' on \mathcal{Y} .

Conjecture 1.9. *Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be integers such that $k \geq 3$ and $n - k \geq 3$. Then the sets*

$$\{([L, \mathbb{Z}^n] : L \in \mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D))\} \subset \mathcal{Y}$$

equidistribute with respect to the Haar measure as $D \rightarrow \infty$ along $D \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D) \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 1.10 (From Conjecture 1.9 to Conjecture 1.1). When Q is unimodular (i.e. $\text{disc}(Q) = 1$), Conjecture 1.9 implies Conjecture 1.1. Otherwise, Conjecture 1.9 implies equidistribution of the triples $(L, [L(\mathbb{Z})], [L^\perp \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#])$ where $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$ is the dual lattice to \mathbb{Z}^n under the quadratic form Q :

$$(\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}^n : \langle x, y \rangle_Q \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}.$$

This is not significantly different as the lattice $L^\perp \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$ contains $L^\perp \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ with index at most $\text{disc}(Q)$; it is nevertheless insufficient to deduce Conjecture 1.1. In §6 we introduce tuples $[L, \Lambda_L]$ which satisfy an analogue of Conjecture 1.9; this adapted conjecture implies Conjecture 1.1

We prove the following towards Conjecture 1.9.

Theorem 1.11. *Let k, n be integers with $3 \leq k \leq n - k$ and let p be an odd prime with $p \nmid \text{disc}(Q)$. Let $D_i \in \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence of integers with $D_i^{[k]} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D_i) \neq \emptyset$ for every i . Then the sets*

$$\{([L, \mathbb{Z}^n] : L \in \mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D_i))\}$$

equidistribute in \mathcal{Y} as $i \rightarrow \infty$ assuming in addition that $p \nmid D_i$ if $k \in \{3, 4\}$.

Remark 1.12. As mentioned in Remark 1.6, the assumption $k \geq 3$ and $n - k \geq 3$ asserts that the acting group underlying the problem is semisimple. There are instances where one could overcome this obstacle: Khayutin [Kha21] proves equidistribution of grids when $(k, n) = (1, 3)$ as conjectured in [AES16a] using techniques from geometric invariant theory.

1.2. Further refinements and questions. For an integral quadratic form q in k variables a *primitive representation* of q by Q is a \mathbb{Z} -linear map $\iota : \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $Q(\iota(v)) = q(v)$ for all $v \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ and such that $\mathbb{Q}\iota(\mathbb{Z}^k) \cap \mathbb{Q}^n = \iota(\mathbb{Z}^k)$. One can identify primitive representations of q with subspaces $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $Q|_{L(\mathbb{Z})}$ is equivalent to q . Given this definition, one could ask about the distribution of the pairs

$$(1.2) \quad \{(L, [L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})]) : L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q}) \text{ and } Q|_{L(\mathbb{Z})} \text{ is equivalent to } q\}$$

inside $\text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{S}_{n-k}$ when $\text{disc}(q) \rightarrow \infty$. The condition $\text{disc}(q) \rightarrow \infty$ here is not sufficient; for instance, when q represents 1 and Q represents 1 only on, say, $\pm v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ then any primitive representation of q by Q must contain $\pm v$. However, the subspaces in $\text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R})$ containing $\pm v$ form a Zariski closed subset. Assuming that the minimal value represented by q goes to infinity, the above question is very strongly related to results of Ellenberg and Venkatesh [EV08] as are indeed our techniques in this article. In principle, these techniques should apply to show that under congruence conditions as in Theorems 1.4 and 1.11 the pairs in (1.2) are equidistributed when q_i is a sequence of quadratic forms primitively representable by Q whose minimal values tend to infinity.

As alluded to in Remark 1.12 it would be interesting to know if Khayutin's technique applies to show the analogue of Theorem 1.11 when, say, $(k, n) = (2, 5), (2, 4)$. The two cases are from a dynamical perspective quite different as noted in Remark 1.6.

Furthermore, we note that this paper has various clear directions of possible generalization. Most notably, this paper can be extended to indefinite forms. Let Q be an indefinite integral quadratic form on \mathbb{Q}^n of signature (r, s) . Here, we observe that $\mathrm{SO}_Q(\mathbb{R})$ does not act transitively on $\mathrm{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R})$. Indeed, the degenerate subspaces form a Zariski closed subset (the equation being $\mathrm{disc}(Q|_L) = 0$). The complement is a disjoint union of finitely many open sets on which $\mathrm{SO}_Q(\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively; for each tuple (r', s') with $r' + s' = k$ and $r' \leq r, s' \leq s$ such an open set is given by the subspaces L for which $Q|_L$ has signature (r', s') . The analogue of the above conjectures and theorems can then be formulated by replacing $\mathrm{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R})$ with one of these open sets. The proofs generalize without major difficulties to this case; we refrain from doing so here for simplicity of the exposition. Other directions of generalization include the number field case which is not addressed in any of the works prior to this article and is hence interesting in other dimensions as well.

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank Michael Bersudsky, Manfred Einsiedler and Manuel Luethi for useful discussions. We are also thankful towards the anonymous referee who made various valuable suggestions towards improving the exposition.

1.3. Organization of the paper. This article consists of two parts. In Part 1 – the ‘dynamical’ part – we establish the necessary results concerning equidistribution of certain adelic orbits. It is structured as follows:

- In §2, we prove various results concerning stabilizer subgroups of subspaces.
- In §3, we prove the homogeneous analogue of Theorem 1.11. The key ingredient of our proof is an S -arithmetic extension of a theorem of Mozes and Shah [MS95] proven by Gorodnik and Oh [GO11]. The arguments used in this section only work when the dimension and codimension (i.e. k and $n - k$) are at least 3.
- In §4, we prove the homogeneous analogue of Theorem 1.4 for two dimensional subspaces (i.e. for $k = 2$). Contrary to the case of dimension and codimension at least 3, the groups whose dynamics we use are not semisimple (see Remark 1.6). In particular, the theorem of Gorodnik and Oh [GO11] is not sufficient and more subtle arguments, relying on Duke’s Theorem [Duk88] and the trick mentioned in Remark 1.7, are required.

In Part 2, we deduce Theorems 1.4 and 1.11 from the homogeneous dynamics results proven in §3 ($k > 2$) and §4 ($k = 2$) of the first part. More precisely, it is structured as follows:

- In §5, we prove that the discriminant of the orthogonal complement of a subspace is equal to the discriminant of the subspace up to an essentially negligible factor.
- In §6, we study the moduli space of base extensions and show that it surjects onto $\mathrm{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_{n-k}$. From this, we prove that a slight strengthening of Theorem 1.11 implies Theorem 1.4. In these considerations, it is useful to consider subspaces together with an orientation.
- In §7, we finally establish Theorems 1.4 and 1.11. The technique here is by now standard – we interpret the sets in Theorem 1.11 as projections of the adelic orbits in Part 1 (or a slight adaptation thereof).

In the appendix, we establish various complementary facts.

- In Appendix A, we discuss non-emptiness conditions for the set $\mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D)$ when the quadratic form Q is the sum of squares. In particular, we prove that $\mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D) \neq \emptyset$ for all $n \geq 5$. The techniques here are completely elementary and we do not provide any counting results.
- In Appendix B, we prove various facts complementing the discussion in §5. For instance, we prove that if $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ is a subspace where $k < n - k$ then the quadratic form on the orthogonal complement $Q|_{L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})}$ is primitive up to negligible factors.

1.4. Notation. Let $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the set of places of \mathbb{Q} and denote by \mathbb{Q}_v for any $v \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the completion at v . Given a subset $S \subset V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ we define the ring \mathbb{Q}_S to be the restricted direct product of \mathbb{Q}_p for $p \in S$ with respect to the subgroups \mathbb{Z}_p for $p \in S \setminus \{\infty\}$. Moreover, we set $\mathbb{Z}^S := \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p} : p \in S \setminus \{\infty\}]$. When $S = V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ we denote \mathbb{Q}_S by \mathbb{A} and call it the ring of *adeles*. When instead $S = V_{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{\infty\}$ we denote \mathbb{Q}_S by \mathbb{A}_f and call it the ring of *finite adeles*. Finally, we let $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \prod_{p \in V_{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{\infty\}} \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Let $\mathbf{G} < \text{SL}_N$ be a connected algebraic group defined over \mathbb{Q} . We identify $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}^S) = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_S) \cap \text{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z}^S)$ with its diagonally embedded copy in $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_S)$. If \mathbf{G} has no non-trivial \mathbb{Q} -characters (for instance when the radical of \mathbf{G} is unipotent), the Borel-Harish-Chandra Theorem (see [PR94, Thm. 5.5]) yields that $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}^S)$ is a lattice in $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_S)$ whenever $\infty \in S$. In particular, the quotient $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_S)/\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}^S)$ is a finite volume homogeneous space. For $g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_S)$ and $v \in S$, g_v denotes the v -adic component of g .

Whenever \mathbf{G} is semisimple, we denote by $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_S)^+$ the image of the simply connected cover in $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_S)$ (somewhat informally, this can be thought of as the part of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_S)$ which is generated by unipotents).

1.4.1. Quadratic forms. In this whole article, (V, Q) is a fixed non-degenerate quadratic space over \mathbb{Q} of dimension n . The induced bilinear form is denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Q$. We assume throughout that (V, Q) is positive definite. We also identify V with \mathbb{Q}^n and suppose that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Q$ takes integral values on $\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ in which case we say that Q is *integral*. Equivalently, the matrix representation M_Q in the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^n has integral entries.

We denote by O_Q resp. SO_Q the orthogonal resp. special orthogonal group for Q . Recall that SO_Q is abelian if $\dim(V) = 2$ and semisimple otherwise. We denote by Spin_Q the spin group for Q which is the simply connected cover of SO_Q if $\dim(V) > 2$. Explicitly, the spin group may be constructed from the Clifford algebra of Q . We remark that this article contains certain technicalities that will use the Clifford algebra – we refer to [Knu88] for a thorough discussion. The spin group comes with an isogeny of \mathbb{Q} -groups $\rho_Q : \text{Spin}_Q \rightarrow \text{SO}_Q$ which satisfies that for any field K of characteristic zero we have an exact sequence (cf. [Knu88, p. 64])

$$\text{Spin}_Q(K) \rightarrow \text{SO}_Q(K) \rightarrow K^\times / (K^\times)^2.$$

where the second homomorphism is given by the spinor norm. The isogeny ρ_Q induces an integral structure on Spin_Q . For instance, $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ consists of elements $g \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$ for which $\rho_Q(g) \in \text{SO}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$. To simplify notation, we will write $g.v$ for the action of Spin_Q on a vector in n -dimensional linear space. Here, the action is naturally induced by the isogeny ρ_Q (and the standard representation of SO_Q).

Furthermore, we let $\text{Gr}_{n,k}$ denote the Grassmannian of k -dimensional subspaces of V . Note that this is a homogeneous variety for SO_Q and (through the isogeny

ρ_Q) also for Spin_Q . If we assume that Q is positive definite (as we always do), the action of $\text{SO}_Q(\mathbb{R})$ on $\text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R})$ is transitive. Furthermore, in this case the spinor norm on $\text{SO}_Q(\mathbb{R})$ takes only positive values so that $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{R})$ surjects onto $\text{SO}_Q(\mathbb{R})$ and in particular also acts transitively.

We denote the standard positive definite form (i.e. the sum of n squares) by Q_0 and write SO_n for its special orthogonal group. As Q_0 and Q have the same signature, there exists $\eta_Q \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ with $\det(\eta_Q) > 0$ such that $\eta_Q^t \eta_Q = M_Q$ or equivalently

$$(1.3) \quad Q_0(\eta_Q x) = Q(x)$$

holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (similarly for the induced bilinear forms). In particular, η_Q maps pairs of vectors in V which are orthogonal with respect to Q onto pairs of vectors which are orthogonal with respect to Q_0 . Also, $\eta_Q^{-1} \text{SO}_n(\mathbb{R}) \eta_Q = \text{SO}_Q(\mathbb{R})$.

1.4.2. Quadratic forms on sublattices and discriminants. For any finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -lattice $\Gamma < \mathbb{Q}^n$ (of arbitrary rank) the restriction of Q to Γ induces a quadratic form. We denote by q_Γ the representation of this form in a choice of basis of Γ . Hence, q_Γ is well-defined up to equivalence (and not proper equivalence) of quadratic forms (i.e. up to change of basis).

If $\Gamma < \mathbb{Z}^n$, q_Γ is an integral quadratic form and we denote by $\text{gcd}(q_\Gamma)$ the greatest common divisor of its coefficients (which is independent of the choice of basis). Note that $\text{gcd}(q_\Gamma)$ is sometimes also referred to as the content of q_Γ . We write $\tilde{q}_\Gamma = \frac{1}{\text{gcd}(q_\Gamma)} q_\Gamma$ for the primitive multiple of q_Γ . If $L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ is a subspace, we sometimes write q_L instead of $q_{L(\mathbb{Z})}$ for simplicity.

The discriminant $\text{disc}_Q(\Gamma)$ of a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -lattice $\Gamma < \mathbb{Q}^n$ is the discriminant of q_Γ . As at the beginning of the introduction, we write $\text{disc}_Q(L)$ instead of $\text{disc}_Q(L(\mathbb{Z}))$ for any subspace $L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$. Given a prime p we also define

$$(1.4) \quad \text{disc}_{p,Q}(L) = \text{disc}(Q|_{L(\mathbb{Z}_p)}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p / (\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)^2$$

where $L(\mathbb{Z}_p) = L(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^n$. We have the following useful identity

$$(1.5) \quad \text{disc}_Q(L) = \prod_p p^{\nu_p(\text{disc}_{p,Q}(L))}$$

where the product is taken over all primes p and ν_p denotes the standard p -adic valuation. Note that only primes dividing the discriminant contribute non-trivially.

1.4.3. Choice of a reference subspace. We fix an integer $k \leq n$ for which we always assume that one of the following holds:

- $k \geq 3$ and $n - k \geq 3$,
- $k = 2$ and $n - k \geq 3$, or
- $k \geq 3$ and $n - k = 2$.

Let $L_0 \subset V$ be given by

$$(1.6) \quad L_0 = \mathbb{Q}^k \times \{(0, \dots, 0)\} \subset V.$$

We adapt the choice of η_Q to this reference subspace L_0 and suppose that the first k column vectors in η_Q^{-1} are an orthonormal basis of L_0 . This choice asserts that η_Q maps $L_0(\mathbb{R})$ to $L_0(\mathbb{R})$ and hence $L_0^\perp(\mathbb{R})$ to $\{(0, \dots, 0)\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$,

1.4.4. *Ambient groups.* The following subgroups of SL_n will be useful throughout this work:

$$\mathbf{P}_{n,k} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_n : \det(A) = \det(D) = 1 \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{n,k} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_n : \det(A) = \det(D) = 1 \right\}.$$

where A is a $k \times k$ -matrix, D is an $(n-k) \times (n-k)$ -matrix and B is a $k \times (n-k)$ -matrix. We denote by π_1 resp. π_2 the projection of $\mathbf{P}_{n,k}$ onto the upper-left resp. bottom-right block. We also define the group

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Spin}_Q \times \mathbf{P}_{n,k}.$$

By $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$ we denote the Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G} with $B = 0$ i.e.

$$\bar{\mathbf{G}} = \mathrm{Spin}_Q \times \mathbf{D}_{n,k} \simeq \mathrm{Spin}_Q \times \mathrm{SL}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_{n-k}.$$

Remark 1.13. Concerning the aforementioned groups we will need two well known facts. Firstly, $\mathbf{D}_{n,k}$ is a maximal subgroup of $\mathbf{P}_{n,k}$ (meaning that there is no connected \mathbb{Q} -group \mathbf{M} with $\mathbf{D}_{n,k} \subsetneq \mathbf{M} \subsetneq \mathbf{P}_{n,k}$) – see for example [AELM20, Prop. 3.2]. Secondly, for any quadratic form q in d variables SO_q is maximal in SL_d – see for example [LS98] for a modern discussion of maximal subgroups of the classical groups.

1.4.5. *Landau notation.* In classical Landau notation, we write $f \asymp g$ for two positive functions if there exist constants $c, C > 0$ with $cf \leq g \leq Cf$. If the constants depend on another quantity a , we sometimes write $f \asymp_a g$ to emphasize the dependence.

Part 1

Homogeneous results

For an overview of the contents of this part, we refer the reader to §1.3.

2. STABILIZER GROUPS

Recall that throughout the article Q is a positive definite integral quadratic form on $V = \mathbb{Q}^n$. In particular, any subspace of \mathbb{Q}^n is non-degenerate with respect to Q .

2.1. Stabilizers of subspaces. For any subspace $L \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^n$ we define the following groups:

- $\mathbf{H}_L < \text{Spin}_Q$ is the identity component of the stabilizer group of L in Spin_Q for the action of Spin_Q on $\text{Gr}_{n,k}$.
- $\mathbf{H}'_L < \text{SO}_Q$ is the identity component of the stabilizer group of L in SO_Q for the action of SO_Q on $\text{Gr}_{n,k}$.

Note that we have an isogeny $\mathbf{H}_L \rightarrow \mathbf{H}'_L$. Furthermore, the restriction to L resp. L^\perp yields an isomorphism of \mathbb{Q} -groups

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{H}'_L \rightarrow \text{SO}_{Q|_L} \times \text{SO}_{Q|_{L^\perp}}.$$

To see this, one needs to check that the image consists indeed of special orthogonal transformations. This follows from the fact that the determinant of the restrictions is a morphism with finite image and hence its kernel must be everything by connectedness. In particular, we have the following cases:

- If $k \geq 3$ and $n - k \geq 3$, \mathbf{H}'_L (and hence also \mathbf{H}_L) is semisimple.
- If $k = 2$ and $n - k \geq 3$ (or $k \geq 3$ and $n - k = 2$), \mathbf{H}'_L is reductive.
- If $k = 2$ and $n - k = 2$ (which is not a case this paper covers), \mathbf{H}'_L is abelian.

Remark 2.1 (Special Clifford groups and (2.1)). While it might seem appealing to suspect that \mathbf{H}_L is simply-connected, this is actually false. The following vague and lengthy explanation is not needed in the sequel. Denote by \mathbf{M} the special Clifford group of Q and similarly by \mathbf{M}_1 resp. \mathbf{M}_2 the special Clifford groups of $Q|_L$ resp. $Q|_{L^\perp}$ (for the duration of this remark) – cf. [Knu88]. These are reductive groups whose center is a one-dimensional \mathbb{Q} -isotropic torus. We identify $\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2$ as subgroups of \mathbf{M} and write \mathbf{C} for the center of \mathbf{M} which is in fact equal to $\mathbf{M}_1 \cap \mathbf{M}_2$. The natural map $\phi : \mathbf{M}_1 \times \mathbf{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ has kernel $\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C} : xy = 1\}$ so that

$$\mathbf{M}_1 \times \mathbf{M}_2 / \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C} : xy = 1\} \simeq \{g \in \mathbf{M} : g \text{ preserves } L\}^\circ.$$

Furthermore, we have the spinor norm which is a character $\chi : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m$ whose kernel is the spin group. Similarly, we have spinor norms χ_1, χ_2 for \mathbf{M}_1 resp. \mathbf{M}_2 which are simply the restrictions of χ . The above yields that

$$\mathbf{H}_L \simeq \{(g_1, g_2) \in \mathbf{M}_1 \times \mathbf{M}_2 : \chi(g_1)\chi(g_2) = 1\} / \ker(\phi)$$

which is isogenous (but not isomorphic) to $\text{Spin}_{Q|_L} \times \text{Spin}_{Q|_{L^\perp}}$.

The first result we prove states that the group \mathbf{H}_L totally determines the subspace L (up to orthogonal complements). More precisely,

Proposition 2.2. *Let $L_1, L_2 \leq V$ be non-degenerate² subspaces. If $\mathbf{H}_{L_1} = \mathbf{H}_{L_2}$, then $L_1 = L_2$ or $L_1 = L_2^\perp$.*

The proposition follows directly from the following simple lemma:

Lemma 2.3. *Let $L \subset V$ be a non-degenerate subspace and let $W \subset V$ be a non-trivial non-degenerate subspace invariant under \mathbf{H}'_L . Then $W \in \{L, L^\perp, V\}$.*

Proof. We first observe the following: over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, \mathbf{H}'_L acts transitively on the set of anisotropic lines in L and in L^\perp . Indeed, by Witt's theorem [Cas78, p. 20] the special orthogonal group in dimension at least 2 acts transitively on vectors of the same quadratic value. In any two lines one can find vectors of the same quadratic value by taking roots.

Let $w \in W$ be anisotropic and write $w = w_1 + w_2$ for $w_1 \in L$ and $w_2 \in L^\perp$. As w is anisotropic, one of w_1 or w_2 must also be anisotropic; we suppose that w_1 is anisotropic without loss of generality. Let $h \in \mathbf{H}'_L(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ be such that $hw_1 \neq w_1$ and $hw_2 = w_2$. Then

$$u := hw - w = hw_1 - w_1 \in L \cap W.$$

We claim that we can choose h so that u is anisotropic. Indeed, as w_1 is anisotropic its orthogonal complement in L is non-degenerate (as L is non-degenerate). We can thus choose h to map w_1 to a vector orthogonal to it by the above variant of Witt's theorem. Then

$$Q(u) = Q(hw_1) + Q(w_1) = 2Q(w_1) \neq 0.$$

Now note that $L \cap W$ is \mathbf{H}'_L -invariant. By a further application of the above variant of Witt's theorem and the fact that L is spanned by anisotropic vectors (L is non-degenerate), we obtain that $L \cap W = L$ or equivalently $L \subset W$. Thus, we may write $W = L \oplus W'$ where W' is an orthogonal complement to L in W and in particular contained in L^\perp . The subspace W' must be non-degenerate as W and L are and hence is trivial or contains anisotropic vectors. If W' is trivial, $W = L$ and we are done. Otherwise, we apply the above variant of Witt's theorem and obtain that $W' = L^\perp$ and $W = V$. \square

An analogous statement holds for the relationship between quadratic forms and their special stabilizer groups.

Proposition 2.4. *Let Q_1, Q_2 be rational quadratic forms on V . If $\mathrm{SO}_{Q_1} = \mathrm{SO}_{Q_2}$, then $Q_1 = rQ_2$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}$.*

For a proof see [AES16a, Lemma 3.3].

2.1.1. Maximality. We now aim to prove that for any non-degenerate subspace L the connected \mathbb{Q} -groups \mathbf{H}'_L and \mathbf{H}_L are maximal subgroups. Here, maximal is meant among connected and proper subgroups (as it was in Remark 1.13).

Proposition 2.5. *For any non-degenerate subspace $L \subset V$ the groups \mathbf{H}'_L and \mathbf{H}_L are maximal.*

²Recall that a non-trivial subspace $W \subset V$ is non-degenerate if $\mathrm{disc}(Q|_W) \neq 0$ or equivalently if there is no non-zero vector $w \in W$ so that $\langle w, w' \rangle = 0$ for all $w' \in W$. This notion is stable under extension of scalars.

The result above is well-known and due to Dynkin, who classified the maximal subgroups of the classical groups in [Dyn52] (see also the work of Liebeck and Seitz such as [LS98]). We will give an elementary proof.

Proof. Note that it suffices to prove the statement for \mathbf{H}'_L . As L is non-degenerate, we may choose an orthogonal basis of V consisting of an orthogonal basis of L and an orthogonal basis of L^\perp . Let

$$M_Q = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_4 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } M_1, M_4 \text{ diagonal matrices}$$

be the matrix representation of Q in this basis. Computing the Lie algebras of SO_Q and \mathbf{H}'_L we obtain:

$$\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{SO}_Q) = \{A \in \mathrm{Mat}(n) : A^T M_Q + M_Q A = 0\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{h} := \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{H}'_L) = \left\{ A \in \mathrm{Mat}(n) : A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_4 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } A_i^T M_i + M_i A_i = 0, i = 1, 4 \right\}.$$

We may split \mathfrak{g} in a direct sum $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ where \mathfrak{r} is an invariant subspace under the adjoint action of \mathbf{H}'_L on \mathfrak{g} . Explicitly, we may set

$$\mathfrak{r} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_2 \\ A_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : A_2^T M_1 + M_4 A_3 = 0 \right\}.$$

We claim that the representation of \mathbf{H}'_L on \mathfrak{r} is irreducible. Note that we may as well show that the representation of $\mathrm{SO}_{Q|_L} \times \mathrm{SO}_{Q|_{L^\perp}}$ on $\mathrm{Mat}(k, n-k)$ given by

$$((\sigma_1, \sigma_2), A) \mapsto \sigma_1 A \sigma_2^{-1}$$

is irreducible. Over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ we may apply Lemma 2.6 below from which this follows.

Now let \mathbf{M} be a connected group containing \mathbf{H}'_L and let \mathfrak{m} be its Lie algebra. Note that $\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{r}$ is an invariant subspace under the adjoint action of \mathbf{H}'_L on \mathfrak{r} . Since this representation is irreducible, $\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{r} = \{0\}$ or $\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}$. In the former case, we have that $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{h}$ and in the latter $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}$. It follows that \mathbf{H}'_L is maximal and the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 2.6. *For any $k, m \geq 3$ the action of $\mathrm{SO}_k \times \mathrm{SO}_m$ on $\mathrm{Mat}(k, m)$ by right- resp. left-multiplication is irreducible.*

Proof. We write a very elementary proof for the sake of completeness. First, assume that $k, m \geq 3$. Note that the standard representation of SO_k (resp. SO_m) is irreducible as³ $k \geq 3$ (resp. $m \geq 3$). It follows that the representation of $\mathrm{SO}_k \times \mathrm{SO}_m$ on the tensor product of the respective standard representations is also irreducible (see, for instance, [EGH⁺11, Theorem 3.10.2]); the latter is isomorphic to the representation in the lemma. \square

³Note that whenever $k = 2$ any isotropic vector is a fixed vector.

2.2. The isotropy condition. We establish here congruence conditions which imply isotropy of the stabilizer groups \mathbf{H}_L . Recall that a \mathbb{Q}_p -group \mathbf{G} is *strongly isotropic* if for every connected non-trivial normal subgroup $\mathbf{N} < \mathbf{G}$ defined over \mathbb{Q}_p , the group $\mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is not compact. We say that a \mathbb{Q} -group \mathbf{G} is *strongly isotropic at a prime p* if \mathbf{G} is strongly isotropic as a \mathbb{Q}_p -group.

Proposition 2.7. *Let (V', Q') be any non-degenerate quadratic space over \mathbb{Q}_p . Then Q' is isotropic if and only if $\text{Spin}_{Q'}$ is strongly isotropic.*

Proof. If Q' is isotropic, V' contains an hyperbolic plane H (see [Cas78, Chapter 2. Lemma 2.1]). Then $\text{Spin}_{Q'}$ contains $\text{Spin}_{Q'|_H}$ which is a split torus. Hence, $\text{Spin}_{Q'}$ is isotropic. Conversely, if Q' is anisotropic then $\text{Spin}_{Q'}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is compact as the hypersurface $Q'(x) = 1$ is compact. This proves that Q' is isotropic if and only if $\text{Spin}_{Q'}$ is isotropic. This is sufficient to prove the proposition if $\dim(V') = 2$ (as the torus $\text{Spin}_{Q'}$ is one-dimensional) and if $\dim(V') > 2$ is not equal to 4 as $\text{Spin}_{Q'}$ is absolutely almost simple in these cases.

Suppose that $\dim(V') = 4$. We freely use facts about Clifford algebras and spin groups from [Knu88] (mostly Chapter 9 therein). Recall that $\text{Spin}_{Q'}$ is equal to the norm one elements of the even Clifford algebra \mathcal{C}^0 of Q' . If the center \mathcal{Z} of \mathcal{C}^0 is a field over \mathbb{Q}_p , \mathcal{C}^0 is a quaternion algebra over \mathcal{Z} and $\text{Spin}_{Q'}$ is simple. In this case, the proof works as in the case of $\dim(V') \neq 4$.

So suppose that the center is split which is equivalent to $\text{disc}(Q')$ being a square in \mathbb{Q}_p . Thus, there is a quaternion algebra \mathcal{B} over \mathbb{Q}_p such that (V', Q') is similar to (\mathcal{B}, Nr) where Nr is the norm on \mathcal{B} . Then $\text{Spin}_{Q'} \simeq \text{SL}_1(\mathcal{B}) \times \text{SL}_1(\mathcal{B})$ which is a product of two \mathbb{Q}_p -simple groups. Note that \mathcal{B} or $\text{SL}_1(\mathcal{B})$ are isotropic if and only if Q' is isotropic. This concludes the proof of the proposition. \square

Via (2.1) we obtain the following.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ and p be an odd prime. Then, \mathbf{H}_L is strongly isotropic at p if and only if the quadratic spaces $(L, Q|_L)$ and $(L^\perp, Q|_{L^\perp})$ are isotropic over \mathbb{Q}_p .*

Using standard arguments (as in [AES16a, Lemma 3.7] for example) we may deduce the following explicit characterization of isotropy.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ be a rational subspace and let p be an odd prime. Then, \mathbf{H}_L is strongly isotropic at p if any of the following conditions hold:*

- $k \geq 5$ and $n - k \geq 5$.
- $3 \leq k < 5$, $n - k \geq 5$, and $p \nmid \text{disc}_Q(L)$.
- $k \geq 5$, $3 \leq n - k < 5$, and $p \nmid \text{disc}_Q(L^\perp)$.
- $3 \leq k < 5$, $3 \leq n - k < 5$, $p \nmid \text{disc}_Q(L)$, and $p \nmid \text{disc}_Q(L^\perp)$.
- $k = 2$, $n - k \geq 5$, and $-\text{disc}_Q(L) \in (\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^2$ (i.e. $-\text{disc}_Q(L)$ is a non-zero square modulo p).
- $k = 2$, $3 \leq n - k < 5$, $p \nmid \text{disc}_Q(L^\perp)$, and $-\text{disc}_Q(L) \in (\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^2$.
- $k \geq 5$, $n - k = 2$, and $-\text{disc}_Q(L^\perp) \in (\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^2$.
- $3 \leq k < 5$, $n - k = 2$, $p \nmid \text{disc}_Q(L)$, and $-\text{disc}_Q(L^\perp) \in (\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^2$.

While the list is lengthy, let us note that half of it consists in interchanging the roles of k and $n - k$ as well as L and L^\perp . Also, whenever $p \nmid \text{disc}(Q)$ the conditions $p \nmid \text{disc}_Q(L)$ and $p \nmid \text{disc}_Q(L^\perp)$ are equivalent (see Proposition 5.4 and its corollary).

When $k = 4$ or $n - k = 4$ the above criteria are sufficient but not necessary. For example, the form $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + px_4^2$ is isotropic though its discriminant is divisible by p .

2.3. Diagonal embeddings of stabilizer groups. In this section, we define a diagonally embedded copy $\Delta \mathbf{H}_L < \text{Spin}_Q \times \mathbf{P}_{n,k}$ of the stabilizer group of any subspace $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$.

With the arithmetic application in Part 2 in mind, we must allow for any rational subspace a choice of a full rank \mathbb{Z} -lattice $\Lambda_L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ with

$$\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \Lambda_L \subset (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# := \{v \in \mathbb{Q}^n : \langle v, w \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } w \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}.$$

If Q is unimodular (i.e. $\text{disc}(Q) = 1$) then $\Lambda_L = \mathbb{Z}^n = (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$. We emphasize that for the arguments in the current Part 1, this choice of intermediate lattice Λ_L is inconsequential and the reader may safely assume $\Lambda_L = \mathbb{Z}^n$ at first.

Let $g_L \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ be such that $g_L \mathbb{Z}^n = \Lambda_L$, its first k columns are a basis of $L \cap \Lambda_L$ and such that $\det(g_L) > 0$. In words, the columns of g_L complement a basis of $L \cap \Lambda_L$ into an oriented basis of Λ_L . We then have a well-defined morphism with finite kernel

$$(2.2) \quad \Psi_L : \mathbf{H}_L \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{n,k}, \quad h \mapsto g_L^{-1} \rho_Q(h) g_L.$$

Note that the morphism depends on the choice of Λ_L , but we omit this dependency here to simplify notation. It also depends on the choice of basis; a change of basis conjugates Ψ_L by an element of $\mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Z})$.

One can restrict the action of an element of \mathbf{H}_L to L and represent the so-obtained special orthogonal transformation in the basis contained in g_L . This yields an epimorphism (as in (2.1))

$$\psi_{1,L} : \mathbf{H}_L \rightarrow \text{SO}_{q_{L \cap \Lambda_L}}.$$

Explicitly, the epimorphism is given by

$$\psi_{1,L} : h \in \mathbf{H}_L \mapsto \pi_1(g_L^{-1} \rho_Q(h) g_L) = \pi_1 \circ \Psi_L(h) \in \text{SO}_{q_{L \cap \Lambda_L}}.$$

Similarly to the above, one can obtain an epimorphism $\mathbf{H}_L \rightarrow \text{SO}_{q_{L^\perp \cap \Lambda_L^\#}}$. To explicit this, we would like to specify how to obtain a basis of $L^\perp \cap \Lambda_L^\#$ from g_L . For this, observe first that the basis dual to the columns of g_L is given by the columns of $M_Q^{-1}(g_L^{-1})^t$. Note that the last $n - k$ columns of $M_Q^{-1}(g_L^{-1})^t$ are orthogonal to L so they form a basis of $\Lambda_L^\# \cap L^\perp$. We hence obtain an epimorphism

$$\psi_{2,L} : h \in \mathbf{H}_L \mapsto \pi_2(g_L^t M_Q \rho_Q(h) M_Q^{-1} (g_L^{-1})^t) \in \text{SO}_{q_{L^\perp \cap \Lambda_L^\#}}.$$

Note that

$$g_L^t M_Q \rho_Q(h) M_Q^{-1} (g_L^{-1})^t = g_L^t \rho_Q(h^{-1})^t (g_L^{-1})^t = (g_L^{-1} \rho_Q(h^{-1}) g_L)^t$$

which shows that

$$\psi_{2,L}(h) = \pi_2((g_L^{-1} \rho_Q(h^{-1}) g_L)^t) = \pi_2(g_L^{-1} \rho_Q(h^{-1}) g_L)^t = \pi_2(\Psi_L(h^{-1}))^t.$$

We define the group

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta \mathbf{H}_L = \{(h, \Psi_L(h)) : h \in \mathbf{H}_L\} \subset \text{Spin}_Q \times \mathbf{P}_{n,k} = \mathbf{G}.$$

By the definitions above, the morphism

$$\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{G}}, \quad (g_1, g_2) \mapsto (g_1, \pi_1(g_2), \pi_2(g_2^{-1})^t)$$

induces a morphism

$$\Delta \mathbf{H}_L \rightarrow \{(h, \psi_{1,L}(h), \psi_{2,L}(h)) : h \in \mathbf{H}_L\} =: \Delta \bar{\mathbf{H}}_L \subset \bar{\mathbf{G}}$$

which is in fact an isogeny.

3. THE DYNAMICAL VERSION OF THE THEOREM IN CODIMENSION AT LEAST 3

As mentioned in the introduction, our aim is to translate the main theorems into a statement concerning weak* limits of orbit measures on an adequate adelic homogeneous space. In this and the next section we shall establish these equidistribution theorems for orbit measures. This section treats the case $k, n - k \geq 3$.

In the following we call a sequence of subspaces $L_i \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ *admissible* if

- (1) $\text{disc}_Q(L_i) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$,
- (2) $\text{disc}(\tilde{q}_{L_i}) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$,
- (3) $\text{disc}(\tilde{q}_{L_i^\perp}) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and
- (4) there exists a prime p such that $\mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is strongly isotropic for all i .

This section establishes the following theorem. Conjecturally, an analogous version should hold when $k = 2$ or $n - k = 2$ (see Remark 1.12).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $L_i \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ be an admissible sequence of rational subspaces (with a choice of lattice Λ_{L_i} as in §2.3), let $g_i \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ and let μ_i be the Haar probability measure on the closed orbit*

$$g_i \Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A}) \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}) / \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Then μ_i converges to the Haar probability measure on $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}) / \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

The rest of the section is devoted to proving Theorem 3.1. We remark that the notion of admissible sequences here is an ad hoc notion which appeared in other instances (see e.g. [AEW22]) to assert a similar goal. The assumptions (1)–(3) in the definition of admissibility are in fact necessary for the above theorem to hold while (4) can conjecturally be removed.

3.1. A general result on equidistribution of packets. The crucial input to our results is an S -arithmetic extension of a theorem of Mozes and Shah [MS95] by Gorodnik and Oh [GO11]. We state a version of it here for the reader's convenience.

Let \mathbf{G} be a simply-connected connected semisimple algebraic group defined over \mathbb{Q} and $Y_{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}) / \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$. Let W be a compact open subgroup of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$. We denote by $C_c(Y_{\mathbb{A}}, W)$ the set of all continuous compactly supported functions on $Y_{\mathbb{A}}$ which are W invariant. Consider a sequence $(\mathbf{H}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of connected semisimple subgroups of \mathbf{G} and let μ_i denote the Haar probability measure on the orbit $\mathbf{H}_i(\mathbb{A})^+ \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \subset Y_{\mathbb{A}}$ where $\mathbf{H}_i(\mathbb{A})^+$ is the image of the adelic points of the simply connected cover of \mathbf{H}_i in $\mathbf{H}_i(\mathbb{A})$. For given $g_i \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ we are interested in the weak* limits of the sequence of measures $g_i \mu_i$.

Theorem 3.2 (Gorodnik-Oh [GO11, Theorem 1.7]). *Assume that there exists a prime p such that \mathbf{H}_i is strongly isotropic at p for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for any weak* limit of the sequence $(g_i \mu_i)$ with $\mu(Y_{\mathbb{A}}) = 1$, there exists a connected \mathbb{Q} -group $\mathbf{M} < \mathbf{G}$ such that the following hold:*

- (1) *For all i large enough, there exist $\delta_i \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that:*

$$\delta_i^{-1} \mathbf{H}_i \delta_i \subset \mathbf{M}.$$

- (2) For any compact open subgroup W of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ there exists a finite index normal subgroup $M_0 = M_0(W)$ of $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{A})$ and $g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ such that μ agrees with the Haar probability measure on $gM_0\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ when restricted to $C_c(Y_{\mathbb{A}}, W)$. Moreover, there exists $h_i \in \mathbf{H}_i(\mathbb{A})^+$ such that $g_i h_i \delta_i \rightarrow g$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.
- (3) If the centralizers of \mathbf{H}_i are \mathbb{Q} -anisotropic for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then \mathbf{M} is semisimple. Moreover, for any compact open subgroup W , $M_0 = M_0(W)$ in (2) contains $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{A})^+\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{Q})$.

We remark that the theorem as stated in [GO11] does not assume that \mathbf{G} is simply connected; we will however only need this case.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1. We shall prove Theorem 3.1 in several steps and start with a short overview. Note that we have a morphism

$$\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{G}} = \mathrm{Spin}_Q \times \mathrm{SL}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_{n-k}$$

given by mapping $g \in \mathbf{P}_{n,k}$ to $(\pi_1(g), \pi_2(g^{-1})^t)$ and Spin_Q to itself via the identity map (see also §2.3). The first step of the theorem establishes equidistribution of the projections to the respective homogeneous quotients for $\mathrm{Spin}_Q, \mathrm{SL}_k, \mathrm{SL}_{n-k}$ (henceforth called 'individual equidistribution'). The second step is the analogous statement for $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$. Note that the admissibility assumption on the sequence of subspaces L_i is used for individual equidistribution and in fact, the different conditions (1)–(3) imply the corresponding individual equidistribution statements (i.e. (1) implies equidistribution in the homogeneous quotient $\mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A})/\mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$ etc.).

To vaguely outline the argument here, consider a sequence of orbits

$$g'_i \mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A}) \mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A})/\mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}).$$

As the groups \mathbf{H}_{L_i} are maximal subgroups, the theorem of Gorodnik and Oh above implies that either the orbits are equidistributed or that there exist lattice elements δ_i so that $\delta_i \mathbf{H}_{L_i} \delta_i^{-1}$ is eventually independent of i . In the latter case, we also know that the lattice elements are up to a bounded amount in the stabilizer group; this will be shown to contradict the assumption that $\mathrm{disc}_Q(L_i) \rightarrow \infty$.

3.2.1. Applying Theorem 3.2. Consider the subgroup $\mathbf{J} = \mathrm{Spin}_Q \times \mathrm{SL}_n$. Note that \mathbf{J} is semisimple and simply connected so that we may apply Theorem 3.2 given a suitable sequence of subgroups.

The groups \mathbf{H}_{L_i} are potentially not simply connected so that a little more care is needed in applying Theorem 3.2 to the orbit measures μ_i . We fix for any i some $h_i \in \Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A})$ and consider the orbit measures on $g_i h_i \Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A})^+ \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$. In view of the theorem, it suffices to show that these converge to the Haar probability measure on $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})/\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$. Indeed, by disintegration the Haar measure on $g_i \Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A}) \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ is the integral over the Haar measures on $g_i h_i \Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A})^+ \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ when h_i is integrated with respect to the Haar probability measure on the compact group $\Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A})/\Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A})^+$. In other words, the Haar measure on $g_i \Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A}) \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ is a convex combination of the Haar measures on the orbits $g_i h_i \Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A})^+ \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$. To simplify notation, we replace g_i by $g_i h_i$ in order to omit h_i . Furthermore, we abuse notation and write μ_i for these "components" of the original orbit measures.

We fix a compact open subgroup W of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ in view of (2) b) in Theorem 3.2 and an odd prime p as in the definition of admissibility of the sequence $(L_i)_i$.

Let μ be any weak*-limit of the measures μ_i . Note that μ is a probability measure. Indeed, the pushforward of the measures μ_i to $\mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A})/\mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$ has

to converge to a probability measure as $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A})/\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$ is compact. We let $\mathbf{M} < \mathbf{J}$ be as in Theorem 3.2. As $g_i \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ and $\Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_i} < \mathbf{G}$ for all i , the support of the measures μ_i is contained in $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})\mathbf{J}(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})/\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$. Thus $\mathbf{M} < \mathbf{G}$.

Claim. *It suffices to show that $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{G}$.*

Proof of the claim. Suppose that $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{G}$. Let $M_0 = M_0(W)$ be as in Theorem 3.2. Since $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ has no proper finite-index subgroups [BT73, Theorem 6.7], we have $M_0 = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ (independently of W). Therefore, for any W -invariant continuous compactly-supported function f , the integral $\mu(f)$ agrees with the integral against the Haar measure on $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})/\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$. But any continuous compactly-supported function is invariant under some compact open subgroup W , hence the claim follows. \square

We now focus on proving that $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{G}$. By Theorem 3.2 there exist $\delta_i \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\delta_i^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_i} \delta_i < \mathbf{M}$ for all $i \geq i_0$. Furthermore, we fix $g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ as well as $\hat{h}_i = (h_i, \Psi_{L_i}(h_i)) \in \Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A})^+$ as in Theorem 3.2 such that

$$(3.1) \quad g_i \hat{h}_i \delta_i \rightarrow g.$$

3.2.2. *Individual equidistribution of subspaces and shapes.* Consider the morphism

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{G}} = \text{Spin}_Q \times \text{SL}_k \times \text{SL}_{n-k}.$$

In the following step of the proof, we show that the image $\bar{\mathbf{M}}$ of the subgroup \mathbf{M} via (3.2) projects surjectively onto each of the factors of $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$.

Proposition 3.3. *The morphism obtained by restricting the projection of $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$ onto any almost simple factor of $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$ to $\bar{\mathbf{M}}$ is surjective.*

Proof. We prove the proposition for each factor separately. To ease notation, π will denote the projection of $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$ onto the factor in consideration, which we extend to \mathbf{G} by precomposition.

FIRST FACTOR: As $\pi(\Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_i}) = \mathbf{H}_{L_i}$ we have for each i

$$\pi(\delta_i)^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{L_i} \pi(\delta_i) < \pi(\mathbf{M}).$$

Since \mathbf{H}_{L_i} is a maximal subgroup of Spin_Q (see Proposition 2.5), there are two options: either $\pi_1(\mathbf{M}) = \text{Spin}_Q$ or $\pi(\delta_i)^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{L_i} \pi(\delta_i) = \pi(\mathbf{M})$ for all $i \geq i_0$.

Suppose the second option holds (as we are done otherwise). Setting $\gamma_i = \pi(\delta_i \delta_{i_0}^{-1})$ and $L = L_{i_0}$ we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{\gamma_i.L} = \gamma_i \mathbf{H}_L \gamma_i^{-1} = \mathbf{H}_{L_i}.$$

By Proposition 2.2 we have $\gamma_i.L = L_i$ or $\gamma_i.L^\perp = L_i$; changing to a subsequence and increasing i_0 we may suppose that the former option holds for all $i \geq i_0$. By (3.1) there exist $h_i \in \mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\pi(g_i) h_i \gamma_i \rightarrow \pi(g')$ for some $g' \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$. Roughly speaking, this implies that $L_i = h_i \gamma_i.L \rightarrow \pi(g).L$ as \mathbb{Q}_p -subspaces for any prime p contradicting the discriminant condition. More precisely, let $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow e$ be such that $\pi(g_i) h_i \gamma_i = \varepsilon_i \pi(g')$. Then for any prime p the local discriminant gives

$$\text{disc}_{p,Q}(L_i) = \text{disc}_{p,Q}(h_{i,p} \gamma_i.L) = \text{disc}_{p,Q}(\varepsilon_{i,p} \pi(g'_p).L)$$

If i is large enough such that $\varepsilon_i \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$, we have

$$\text{disc}_Q(L_i) = \prod_p p^{\nu_p(\text{disc}_{p,Q}(L_i))} = \prod_p p^{\nu_p(\text{disc}_{p,Q}(\pi(g'_p).L))}$$

which is constant, contradicting $\text{disc}_Q(L_i) \rightarrow \infty$.

SECOND FACTOR: The proof is very similar to the first case, so we will be brief. By maximality of special orthogonal groups (Remark 1.13) and as $\pi(\Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_i}) = \text{SO}_{q_{L_i \cap \Lambda_{L_i}}}$ we may suppose by contradiction that for all $i \geq i_0$

$$\pi(\delta_i)^{-1} \text{SO}_{q_{L_i \cap \Lambda_{L_i}}} \pi(\delta_i) = \pi(\mathbf{M}).$$

Let us simplify notation and write q_i for the least integer multiple of $q_{L_i \cap \Lambda_{L_i}}$ that has integer coefficients. Since $L_i \cap \Lambda_{L_i}$ and $L_i(\mathbb{Z})$ are commensurable with indices controlled by $\text{disc}(Q)$, we have $\text{disc}(q_i) \asymp \text{disc}(q_{L_i})$ and $\text{disc}(\tilde{q}_i) \asymp \text{disc}(\tilde{q}_{L_i})$. In particular, by our assumption $\text{disc}(\tilde{q}_i) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Set $\gamma_i = \pi(\delta_i \delta_{i_0}^{-1}) \in \text{SL}_k(\mathbb{Q})$ so that

$$(3.3) \quad \text{SO}_{\gamma_i \tilde{q}_{i_0}} = \text{SO}_{\gamma_i q_{i_0}} = \gamma_i \text{SO}_{q_{L_{i_0}}} \gamma_i^{-1} = \text{SO}_{q_i} = \text{SO}_{\tilde{q}_i}.$$

By Proposition 2.4 there exist coprime integers m_i, n_i such that

$$m_i \gamma_i \tilde{q}_{i_0} = n_i \tilde{q}_i.$$

Using (3.1) write $\pi(g_i) h_i \gamma_i = \varepsilon_i \pi(g')$ for some $g' \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ and $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow e$. By (3.3), $h_i(\gamma_i \tilde{q}_{i_0}) = \gamma_i \tilde{q}_{i_0}$. Thus, we have for any prime p

$$m_i \varepsilon_{i,p} \pi(g'_p) \tilde{q}_{i_0} = m_i h_{i,p} \gamma_i \tilde{q}_{i_0} = n_i \tilde{q}_i.$$

The form $\pi(g'_p) \tilde{q}_{i_0}$ is a form over \mathbb{Q}_p with trivial denominators for all but finitely many p . Applying $\varepsilon_{i,p}$ for large i does not change this. Furthermore, m_i needs to divide all denominators of \tilde{q}_{i_0} over \mathbb{Z}_p for all i as \tilde{q}_i is primitive. Hence, m_i can only assume finitely many values and by reversing roles one can argue the same for n_i . For any prime p we have

$$\text{disc}_p(\tilde{q}_i) = p^{\text{ord}_p(\frac{m_i}{n_i})} \text{disc}_p(\pi(g'_p) \tilde{q}_{i_0}).$$

and hence

$$\text{disc}(\tilde{q}_i) = \frac{m_i}{n_i} \prod_p p^{\text{ord}_p(\text{disc}_p(\pi(g'_p) \tilde{q}_{i_0}))}$$

which is a contradiction to $\text{disc}(\tilde{q}_i) \rightarrow \infty$.

THIRD FACTOR: The proof here is the same as for the second factor. We do however point out that the morphism $\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ was constructed to satisfy that for any $h \in \mathbf{H}_{L_i}$ we have $\pi((h, \Psi_{L_i}(h))) = \psi_{2, L_i}(h)$ and hence $\pi(\Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_i}) = \text{SO}_{q_{L_i^\perp \cap \Lambda_{L_i}^\#}}$. \square

Remark 3.4. We recall from the beginning of this section §3.2 that the first three conditions in admissibility were used in this order for the three factors in the above proof. This has a consequence: If $L_i \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ is any sequence of subspaces satisfying properties (1) and (4), then for any $g_i \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{R})$ the packets

$$g_i \mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A}) \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A}) / \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$$

are equidistributed as $i \rightarrow \infty$. This can be used to obtain equidistribution of $\mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D) \subset \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R})$ without any restrictions on the k -power free part of D (as opposed to our main theorems in the introduction).

3.2.3. *Simultaneous equidistribution of subspaces and shapes.* Proposition 3.3 shows that the image $\bar{\mathbf{M}}$ of \mathbf{M} under (3.2) satisfies that the projection onto each simple factors of $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$ is surjective. We claim that this implies $\bar{\mathbf{M}} = \bar{\mathbf{G}}$.

We first show that the projection of $\bar{\mathbf{M}}$ to $\mathrm{SL}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_{n-k}$ is surjective. Note that any proper subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_{n-k}$ with surjective projections is the graph of an isomorphism $\mathrm{SL}_k \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_{n-k}$. In particular, we are done with the intermediate claim if $k \neq n-k$. Suppose that $k = n-k$ and choose for some $i \geq i_0$ an element $h \in \mathbf{H}_{L_i}$ acting trivially on L_i but not trivially on L_i^\perp . The projection of $g_{L_i}^{-1} \rho_Q(h) g_{L_i}$ to the first (resp. the second) SL_k is trivial (resp. non-trivial); the projection of $\bar{\mathbf{M}}$ to $\mathrm{SL}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_{n-k}$ thus contains elements of the form (e, g) with $g \neq e$. This rules out graphs under isomorphisms and concludes the intermediate claim.

Now note that $\bar{\mathbf{M}}$ projects surjectively onto Spin_Q and $\mathrm{SL}_k \times \mathrm{SL}_{n-k}$ and that the latter two \mathbb{Q} -groups do not have isomorphic simple factors. By a similar argument as above, we deduce that $\bar{\mathbf{M}} = \bar{\mathbf{G}}$.

3.2.4. *Handling the unipotent radical.* We now turn to proving that $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{G}$ which concludes the proof of the theorem. By §3.2.3 we know that \mathbf{M} surjects to $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$. In particular, by the Levi-Malcev theorem there exists some element in the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{P}_{n,k}$

$$y_C = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & C \\ 0 & I_{n-k} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$$

such that \mathbf{M} contains $\mathrm{Spin}_Q \times y_C \mathbf{D}_{n,k} y_C^{-1}$. By maximality of the latter group (cf. Remark 1.13), \mathbf{M} is either equal to \mathbf{G} or we have

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathrm{Spin}_Q \times y_C \mathbf{D}_{n,k} y_C^{-1}.$$

Assume by contradiction the latter. The inclusion $\delta_i^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_i} \delta_i \subset \mathbf{M}$ implies that

$$\delta_{2,i}^{-1} g_{L_i}^{-1} \rho_Q(h) g_{L_i} \delta_{2,i} \in y_C \mathbf{D}_{n,k} y_C^{-1}$$

where $\delta_{2,i}$ denotes the second coordinate of the element $\delta_i \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$. Since $y_C \mathbf{D}_{n,k} y_C^{-1}$ stabilizes two subspaces, namely $y_C L_0 = L_0$ and $L' = y_C(\{(0, \dots, 0)\} \times \mathbb{Q}^{n-k})$, the conjugated group $g_{L_i} \delta_{i,2} y_C \mathbf{D}_{n,k} y_C^{-1} \delta_{i,2}^{-1} g_{L_i}^{-1}$ fixes the subspaces

$$g_{L_i} \delta_{i,2} L_0 = g_{L_i} L_0 = L_i \quad \text{and} \quad g_{L_i} \delta_{i,2} L'.$$

As \mathbf{H}_{L_i} fixes exactly the subspaces L_i, L_i^\perp , we must have

$$(3.4) \quad L_i^\perp = g_{L_i} \delta_{i,2} L'$$

for all i . We denote by v_1^i, \dots, v_n^i the columns of g_{L_i} which is a basis of Λ_{L_i} and by w_1^i, \dots, w_n^i its dual basis. Recall that w_{k+1}^i, \dots, w_n^i form a basis of $\Lambda_{L_i}^\# \cap L_i^\perp$. By (3.4), there exists a rational number $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad \alpha_i (w_{k+1}^i \wedge \dots \wedge w_n^i) = g_{L_i} \delta_{i,2} y_C (e_{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_n).$$

To simplify notation, we set $\eta_i = \delta_{i,2} y_C$.

We first control the numbers α_i . From (3.1) we know that there are $h_i \in \mathbf{H}_{L_i}$ such that

$$g_{2,i} g_{L_i}^{-1} \rho_Q(h_i) g_{L_i} \eta_i \rightarrow g'$$

for some $g' \in \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{A})$. For i large enough, there exist $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ with $g_{2,i} g_{L_i}^{-1} \rho_Q(h_i) g_{L_i} \eta_i = \varepsilon_i g'$. We now fix a prime p so that $\rho_Q(h_{i,p}) g_{L_i} \eta_i = g_{L_i} \varepsilon_{i,p} g'_p$ (as $g_{2,i} \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$). Applying $\rho_Q(h_{i,p})$ to (3.4) we obtain

$$\alpha_i(w_{k+1}^i \wedge \dots \wedge w_n^i) = g_{L_i} \varepsilon_{i,p} g'_p(e_{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_n).$$

Considering that the vectors $w_{k+1}^i \wedge \dots \wedge w_n^i$ and $e_{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_n$ are primitive (see e.g. [Cas97, Ch. 1, Lemma 2]) and that g_{L_i} and g'_p have bounded denominators, this shows that the denominators and numerators of the numbers α_i are bounded independently of i .

We now compute the discriminant of the lattice spanned by w_{k+1}^i, \dots, w_n^i in two ways. First, note that as w_{k+1}^i, \dots, w_n^i is a basis of $\Lambda_{L_i}^\# \cap L_i^\perp$, the discriminant in question is equal to the discriminant of $\Lambda_{L_i}^\# \cap L_i^\perp$ and hence $\asymp \text{disc}_Q(L_i)$. For the second way, observe that by (3.5) the discriminant of the lattice spanned by w_{k+1}^i, \dots, w_n^i is given by α_i^{-1} multiplied by the determinant of the matrix with entries⁴

$$(3.6) \quad \langle g_{L_i} \eta_i e_j, w_m^i \rangle_Q \quad \text{with } j, m > k.$$

To compute this determinant, write $\eta_i e_j = \sum_\ell a_{\ell j}^i e_\ell$ for all $j > k$ so that

$$g_{L_i} \eta_i e_j = \sum_\ell a_{\ell j}^i v_\ell^i.$$

Using that $\{w_\ell^i\}$ are dual vectors to $\{v_\ell^i\}$ we compute

$$\langle g_{L_i} \eta_i e_j, w_m^i \rangle_Q = \sum_\ell a_{\ell j}^i \langle v_\ell^i, w_m^i \rangle_Q = a_{mj}^i$$

for all $m, j > k$. This implies that the determinant of the matrix with entries (3.6) is equal to the determinant of the lower right block of the matrix η_i . The latter being equal to one, we conclude that the discriminant of the lattice spanned by $w_{k+1}^i \wedge \dots \wedge w_n^i$ is equal to α_i^{-1} .

To summarize, we have established the following identity:

$$\text{disc}_Q(\Lambda_{L_i}^\# \cap L_i^\perp) = \alpha_i^{-1}$$

Since the left-hand side of this identity goes to infinity as $i \rightarrow \infty$ (because $\asymp \text{disc}_Q(L_i)$) while the right-hand side is bounded, we have reached a contradiction. It follows that $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{G}$ and hence the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

4. THE DYNAMICAL VERSION OF THE THEOREM IN CODIMENSION 2

In the following, we prove the analogue of Theorem 3.1 for the case $k = 2$ and $n - k \geq 3$ (i.e. $n \geq 5$) ignoring the unipotent radical (cf. Remark 1.12); the case $n - k = 2$, $k \geq 3$ is completely analogous and can be deduced by passing to the orthogonal complement. Contrary to cases treated in §3, the groups whose

⁴One conceptual way to see this is the following: the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Q$ induces a bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Lambda^{n-k} Q}$ on the wedge-product $\Lambda^{n-k} \mathbb{Q}^n$ by defining it on pure wedges through

$$\langle v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{n-k}, w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_{n-k} \rangle_{\Lambda^{n-k} Q} = \det(\langle v_i, w_j \rangle_Q).$$

This definition asserts that the discriminant of a rank $n - k$ lattice is the quadratic value of the wedge product of any of its bases. Equation 3.6 is then obtained by replacing one of the wedges in $\langle w_{k+1}^i \wedge \dots \wedge w_n^i, w_{k+1}^i \wedge \dots \wedge w_n^i \rangle_{\Lambda^{n-k} Q}$ via (3.5).

dynamics we use are not semisimple and have non-trivial central torus (see also Remark 1.6).

Recall the following notation (for $k = 2$):

- $\bar{\mathbf{G}} = \text{Spin}_Q \times \text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_{n-2}$ (here the ambient group).
- $\Delta\bar{\mathbf{H}}_L = \{(h, \psi_{1,L}(h), \psi_{2,L}(h)) : h \in \mathbf{H}_L\}$ (here the acting group) for any $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ where $\psi_{1,L}$ resp. $\psi_{2,L}$ is roughly the restriction of the action of h to L resp. L^\perp (cf. §2.3).
- For any $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,2}(\mathbb{Q})$ a choice of intermediate lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \Lambda_L \subset (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$ (also implicit in the definition of $\Delta\bar{\mathbf{H}}_L$). For simplicity, we assume here in addition that $\Lambda_L \cap L = L(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\Lambda_L^\# \cap L^\perp = L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})$; such a choice will be constructed later (cf. Proposition 6.6). Again, if Q is unimodular, $\Lambda_L = \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfies this property.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $L_i \in \text{Gr}_{n,2}(\mathbb{Q})$ for $i \geq 1$ be an admissible sequence of rational subspaces and let $g_i \in \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $g_i \Delta\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{L_i}(\mathbb{R}) g_i^{-1} = \Delta\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R})$. Let μ_i be the Haar probability measure on the closed orbit*

$$g_i \Delta\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A}) \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{A}) / \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Then μ_i converges to the Haar probability measure on $\bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{A}) / \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{Q})$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

We will structure the proof somewhat differently as equidistribution in the first component turns out to be the most difficult challenge in the proof. We fix an admissible sequence of subspaces L_i and a prime p as in the definition of admissibility.

Recall (cf. §2.1) that for any $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,2}(\mathbb{Q})$ the group \mathbf{H}_L is not semisimple but only reductive. Let us describe the center as well as the commutator subgroup of \mathbf{H}_L . Define the pointwise stabilizer subgroup

$$\mathbf{H}_L^{\text{pt}} = \{g \in \text{Spin}_Q : g.v = v \text{ for all } v \in L\}.$$

The center of \mathbf{H}_L is equal to $\mathbf{H}_{L^\perp}^{\text{pt}}$ which we denote by \mathbf{T}_L for simplicity as it is abelian in this case. The commutator subgroup of \mathbf{H}_L is the semisimple group \mathbf{H}_L^{pt} and \mathbf{H}_L is isogenous to $\mathbf{H}_L^{\text{pt}} \times \mathbf{T}_L$ (see Remark 2.1). As in §3, one can use the measure rigidity result of Gorodnik and Oh [GO11], this time for subgroups of the form \mathbf{H}_L^{pt} . These are however non-maximal so that we need to put extra effort to rule out intermediate groups⁵. Here, we use an averaging procedure involving the torus \mathbf{T}_L as well as Duke's theorem [Duk88] to show that these obstructions typically do not occur.

Let us outline the structure of the proof:

- In §4.1, we show (in Lemma 4.4) that it is sufficient to prove equidistribution in each of the factors of $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$, that is, to show equidistribution of the projections of the packets in Theorem 4.1 to

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A}) / \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}), \quad \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}) / \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}), \quad \text{SL}_{n-2}(\mathbb{A}) / \text{SL}_{n-2}(\mathbb{Q}).$$

As mentioned in Remark 1.7, we use the elementary fact that ergodic systems are disjoint from trivial systems for this reduction (see Lemma 4.2).

⁵Roughly speaking, the obstacle to overcome are 'short vectors' in L . Ellenberg and Venkatesh [EV08] prove the theorem we are alluding to here assuming that L does not contain 'short vectors' – see also Proposition 4.7.

- To prove equidistribution in each of the factors of $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$, we first note that equidistribution in the third factor can be verified as in §3, Proposition 3.3. Equidistribution in the second factor turns out to be a variant of Duke's theorem [Duk88] which we discuss in §4.2.
- Due to the difficulties described above, equidistribution in the first factor of $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$, is the hardest to prove (cf. §4.3) and implies Theorem 4.1 by the first two items in this list. In §4.3.2, we collect a useful corollary of the above variant of Duke's theorem which we then use in Lemma 4.10 to prove that the subspaces in the packet do not contain short vectors on average.

4.1. Reduction to individual equidistribution. As explained, we begin by reducing Theorem 4.1 to the corresponding equidistribution statement in each of the factors of $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$. To this end, we will use the following elementary fact from abstract ergodic theory.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\mathbf{X}_1 = (X, \mathcal{B}_1, \mu_1, T_1)$ and $\mathbf{X}_2 = (X_2, \mathcal{B}_2, \mu_2, T_2)$ be measure-preserving systems. Suppose that \mathbf{X}_1 is ergodic and that \mathbf{X}_2 is trivial (i.e. $T_2(x) = x$ for μ_2 -almost every $x \in X_2$). Then the only joining of \mathbf{X}_1 and \mathbf{X}_2 is $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$.*

Proof. Let ν be a joining and let $A_1 \times A_2 \subset X_1 \times X_2$ be measurable. It suffices to show that $\nu(A_1 \times A_2) = \mu_1(A_1)\mu_2(A_2)$. By $T_1 \times T_2$ -invariance of ν , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(A_1 \times A_2) &= \int_{X_1 \times X_2} 1_{A_1}(x_1)1_{A_2}(x_2) d\nu(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \int_{X_1 \times X_2} 1_{A_1}(T_1^m x_1)1_{A_2}(T_2^m x_2) d\nu(x_1, x_2) \end{aligned}$$

As \mathbf{X}_1 is ergodic, there is a μ_1 -conull set $B_1 \subset X_1$ with

$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} 1_{A_1}(T_1^m(x)) \rightarrow \mu_1(A_1)$$

for every $x \in B_1$ by Birkhoff's ergodic theorem. As \mathbf{X}_2 is trivial, there is a μ_2 -conull set B_2 with $T_2(x) = x$ for all $x \in B_2$. We let $B = B_1 \times B_2$ and note that B has full measure as it is the intersection of the full-measure sets $B_1 \times X_2$ and $X_1 \times B_2$ (we use here that ν is a joining). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(A_1 \times A_2) &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \int_B 1_{A_1}(T_1^m x_1)1_{A_2}(T_2^m x_2) d\nu(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \int_B 1_{A_1}(T_1^m x_1)1_{A_2}(x_2) d\nu(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \int_B \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} 1_{A_1}(T_1^m x_1)1_{A_2}(x_2) d\nu(x_1, x_2) \\ &\rightarrow \int_B \mu_1(A_1)1_{A_2}(x_2) d\nu(x_1, x_2) = \mu_1(A_1)\mu_2(A_2) \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

We aim to apply Lemma 4.2 to any weak*-limit μ of the measures in Theorem 4.1. Thus, we need to establish some invariance of the latter. Let p be as in the definition of admissibility.

Lemma 4.3. *There exists $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with the following property: Let $L \in \mathrm{Gr}_{n,2}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be the subspace spanned by the first two columns of g . Then μ is invariant under the subgroup of $\Delta\bar{\mathbf{H}}_L(\mathbb{Q}_p) \subset \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ where*

$$\Delta\bar{\mathbf{H}}_L = \{(h, \pi_1(g^{-1}\rho_Q(h)g), \pi_2(g^{-1}\rho_Q(h^{-1})g)^t) : h \in \mathbf{H}_L\}.$$

Moreover, the \mathbb{Q}_p -group $\Delta\bar{\mathbf{H}}_L$ is strongly isotropic.

Proof. First of all, we prove that there exists a compact subset $K \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that $g_{L_i} \in K$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall that g_{L_i} consists of a basis of an intermediate lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n \subseteq \Lambda_{L_i} \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$ (cf. §2.3). The set K of elements $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with $\mathbb{Z}_p^n \subset g\mathbb{Z}_p^n \subset (\mathbb{Z}_p^n)^\#$ is compact (in fact, it consists of finitely many cosets modulo $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ on the right).

By compactness of K we may assume (by passing to a subsequence) that the sequence $(g_{L_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some $g \in K$. Let L denote the \mathbb{Q}_p -plane spanned by the first two columns of g . Note that μ is $\Delta\bar{\mathbf{H}}_L(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -invariant because each μ_i is $\Delta\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{L_i}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -invariant. Therefore, we are left to show that L is non-degenerate and $\Delta\bar{\mathbf{H}}_L(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is strongly isotropic.

We first observe that L and L^\perp are non-degenerate. Indeed, since $g_{L_i} \rightarrow g$, there exist \mathbb{Z}_p -bases of the subspaces L_i which converge towards a basis of L . Taking discriminants of L_i and L with respect to these bases, we obtain

$$\mathrm{disc}_{p,Q}(L_i) \rightarrow \mathrm{disc}_{p,Q}(L).$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times / (\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)^2$ is discrete, $\mathrm{disc}_{p,Q}(L_i)$ is eventually constant and therefore $\mathrm{disc}_{p,Q}(L) = \mathrm{disc}_{p,Q}(L_i)$ for i large enough; non-degeneracy of L follows. In particular, L^\perp is non-degenerate.

We may now use Corollary 2.8 to show $\Delta\bar{\mathbf{H}}_L$ or equivalently \mathbf{H}_L is strongly isotropic. Since \mathbf{H}_{L_i} is strongly isotropic at p , the quadratic spaces $(Q|_{L_i}, L_i)$ and $(Q|_{L_i^\perp}, L_i^\perp)$ are isotropic over \mathbb{Q}_p . By isotropy of the spaces $(Q|_{L_i}, L_i)$, we have a sequence of non-zero primitive vectors $v_i \in L_i(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that $Q(v_i) = 0$ (after multiplying with denominators). By compactness of $\mathbb{Z}_p^n \setminus p\mathbb{Z}_p^n$, the sequence v_i admits a limit $v \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n \setminus p\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ after passing to a subsequence. This limit clearly satisfies $v \in L(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $Q(v) = 0$, so $(Q|_L, L)$ is isotropic. An identical argument proves that $(Q|_{L^\perp}, L^\perp)$ is also isotropic, which proves (cf. Corollary 2.8) that \mathbf{H}_L is a strongly isotropic group. The proof is complete. \square

Recall that $\psi_{1,L}, \psi_{2,L}$ denote the epimorphisms $\mathbf{H}_{L_i} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{q_{L_i}}, \mathbf{H}_{L_i} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{q_{L_i^\perp}}$ respectively.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that individual equidistribution holds i.e. that*

- (1) $g_{i,1}\mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A})\mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$ is equidistributed in $\mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A}) / \mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$,
- (2) $g_{i,2}\psi_{1,L}(\mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A}))\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ is equidistributed in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}) / \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$, and
- (3) $g_{i,3}\psi_{2,L}(\mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A}))\mathrm{SL}_{n-2}(\mathbb{Q})$ is equidistributed in $\mathrm{SL}_{n-2}(\mathbb{A}) / \mathrm{SL}_{n-2}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Then Theorem 4.1 holds.

Proof. Let μ be a weak*-limit and choose L as in Lemma 4.3. By assumption, μ is a joining with respect to the Haar measures on each factor. We proceed in two steps and apply Lemma 4.2 once in each step.

For the first step, we choose $h \in \mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ which acts trivially on L but non-trivially on L^\perp . As $\mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is strongly isotropic we can choose h so that it is unipotent and not contained in any normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Since Spin_Q

is simply connected and $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is isotropic, Spin_Q has strong approximation with respect to $\{p\}$ (see for example [PR94, Thm. 7.12]). In particular, $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ acts ergodically on $X_1 = \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A}) / \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$ with respect to the Haar measure on X_1 . By Mautner's phenomenon (see [MT96, §2] for this instance), h also acts ergodically. Embedding h diagonally (using the embedding in Lemma 4.3), we can apply Lemma 4.2 for X_1 as above and $X_2 = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}) / \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ and obtain that the pushforward of μ to $X_1 \times X_2$ is the Haar measure.

For the second step, we proceed similarly. Choose $h \in \mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ which acts trivially on L^\perp but non-trivially on L . One checks that h acts ergodically on $X_1 \times X_2$ (via $\pi_2(g^{-1}\rho_Q(h^{-1})g)^t$ on the second factor cf. Lemma 4.3). Applying Lemma 4.2 again for $X_1 \times X_2$ and for $X_3 = \text{SL}_{n-2}(\mathbb{A}) / \text{SL}_{n-2}(\mathbb{Q})$ we obtain the claim. \square

We prove the conditions of Lemma 4.4 in an order which is potentially peculiar at first sight. The third assertion can be proven exactly as in §3 by applying [GO11] (see Proposition 3.3) so we omit it here.

4.2. Individual equidistribution in the second factor. The aim of this second section is to prove the second assertion of Lemma 4.4. As we shall see, it follows from Duke's theorem [Duk88] and its generalizations – see e.g. [ELMV11, HM06]. Note that

$$g_{i,2}\psi_{1,L}(\mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A}))\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \subset g_{i,2}\text{SO}_{q_{L_i}}(\mathbb{A})\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}).$$

While the right-hand side is equidistributed by Duke's theorem (specifically for instance by [ELMV11, Thm. 4.6] or – as we assume a splitting condition – by [Wie19]), one needs to verify that the left-hand side has sufficiently large 'volume'.

Proposition 4.5. *For $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,2}(\mathbb{Q})$ and any field K of characteristic zero the image $\psi_{1,L}(\mathbf{H}_L(K))$ contains the group of squares in the abelian group $\text{SO}_{q_L}(K)$.*

Proof. The proof is surprisingly involved. Observe first that $\psi_{1,L}(\mathbf{H}_L(K))$ contains $\psi_{1,L}(\mathbf{T}_L(K))$ which we now identify as the set of squares in $\text{SO}_{q_L}(K)$.

We identify the torus \mathbf{T}_L in terms of the Clifford algebra. Denote by \mathcal{C} resp. \mathcal{C}^0 the Clifford algebra of Q resp. the even Clifford algebra of Q . Let v_1, v_2 be an orthogonal basis of L and complete it into an orthogonal basis of \mathbb{Q}^n . Consider $X = v_1v_2 \in (\mathcal{C}^0)^\times$ (L is non-degenerate) which satisfies the relations

$$(4.2) \quad Xv_i = v_iX, \quad Xv_1 = -Q(v_1)v_2 = -v_1X, \quad Xv_2 = -v_2X$$

for all $i > 2$. Moreover, $X^2 = -Q(v_1)Q(v_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$. Denote by σ the standard involution on \mathcal{C} . Then $\sigma(X) = v_2v_1 = -X$.

It follows directly from (4.2) that for all $a, b \in K$ the element $t = a + bX$ satisfies $tv_i = v_it$ for $i > 2$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} tv_1\sigma(t) &= (a + bX)v_1(a - bX) = a^2v_1 + abXv_1 - abv_1X - b^2Xv_1X \\ &= a^2v_1 - 2abQ(v_1)v_2 - b^2Q(v_1)Q(v_2)v_1 \in L \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for v_2 . Therefore, $t \in \mathbf{T}_L$ if and only if

$$\sigma(t)t = (a - bX)(a + bX) = a^2 - b^2X^2 = a^2 - b^2Q(v_1)Q(v_2) = 1.$$

We set

$$F = \mathbb{Q}(-Q(v_1)Q(v_2)) = \mathbb{Q}(-\text{disc}_Q(L))$$

and embed F into \mathcal{C}^0 via $\sqrt{-\text{disc}_Q(L)} \mapsto X$. The non-trivial Galois automorphism on F is then given by $\sigma|_F$. To summarize, we obtain

$$\mathbf{T}_L(K) = \{t \in F \otimes K : \sigma(t)t = 1\}.$$

Also, recall that the special Clifford group surjects onto SO_Q so that one may show quite analogously

$$\text{SO}_{q_L}(K) = (F \otimes K)^\times / K^\times.$$

The proposition then follows from Hilbert's theorem 90 as in the proof of [Wie19, Lemma 7.2]. \square

Corollary 4.6. *The orbits*

$$g_{i,2}\psi_{1,L}(\mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A}))\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A})/\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$$

equidistribute as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We deduce the corollary from existing literature and Proposition 4.5. We first claim that as $i \rightarrow \infty$ the sets

$$(4.3) \quad g_{i,2}\text{SO}_{q_{L_i}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})\psi_{1,L}(\mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A}))\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$$

are equidistributed. By Proposition 4.5 the abelian group $\text{SO}_{q_{L_i}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})\psi_{1,L}(\mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A}))$ contains the group $\text{SO}_{q_{L_i}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})\text{SO}_{q_{L_i}}(\mathbb{A})^2$ where $\text{SO}_{q_{L_i}}(\mathbb{A})^2$ denotes the group of squares.

The orbit (4.3) is then a union of suborbits of the same form associated to these subgroups. Any sequence of such suborbits are equidistributed, for instance, by [HM06] as the volume is of size⁶ $\text{disc}_Q(L_i)^{\frac{1}{2}+o(1)}$. We note that the result in [HM06] allows for smaller volumes (where the exponent $\frac{1}{2}$ can be replaced by $\frac{1}{2} - \eta$ for some not too large $\eta > 0$). In the case needed here one can also apply Linnik's ergodic method as we assume a splitting condition at a fixed prime – see [Wie19, §7]. By averaging, the claim in (4.3) follows. The corollary is implied by (4.3) and ergodicity of the Haar measure on $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A})/\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ under any diagonal flow. \square

4.3. Individual equidistribution in the first factor. In view of the discussion in §4.2 and Lemma 4.4, it suffices to show equidistribution of the packets

$$g_{i,1}\mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A})\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A})/\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$$

to prove Theorem 4.1. We proceed in several steps.

4.3.1. An equidistribution theorem for the pointwise stabilizers. We first establish the following proposition which shows that either orbits of the pointwise stabilizer are equidistributed or there is some arithmetic obstruction.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $(L_i)_i$ be a sequence of 2-dimensional rational subspaces such that there exists a prime p for which $\mathbf{H}_{L_i}^{\text{pt}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is strongly isotropic for all i . Let $g_i \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ and assume that $\text{disc}_Q(L_i) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Then one of the following statements is true:*

- (1) *The packets $g_i\mathbf{H}_{L_i}^{\text{pt}}(\mathbb{A})\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$ are equidistributed in $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A})/\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.*

⁶Since the 2-torsion of the Picard group of the order of discriminant $\text{disc}_Q(L_i)$ has size $\text{disc}_Q(L_i)^{o(1)}$ (see e.g. [Cas78, p. 342]), the squares form a subgroup of size $\text{disc}_Q(L_i)^{\frac{1}{2}+o(1)}$.

- (2) *There exists a rational vector $v \in \mathbb{Q}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and lattice elements $\delta_i \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$ such that*

$$\mathbb{Q}v = \bigcap_i \delta_i^{-1} \cdot L_i(\mathbb{Q}).$$

The lattice elements additionally satisfy that there exist $h_i \in \mathbf{H}_{L_i}^{\text{pt}}(\mathbb{A})$ such that the sequence $g_i h_i \delta_i$ is convergent as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We prove the proposition in exactly the same way we proved the first case in Proposition 3.3; we will thus be rather brief. Let $\delta_i \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$ and a connected \mathbb{Q} -group $\mathbf{M} < \mathbf{G}$ be as in Theorem 3.2. In particular,

$$\delta_i^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{L_i}^{\text{pt}} \delta_i < \mathbf{M}$$

and it suffices for equidistribution to verify that $\mathbf{M} = \text{Spin}_Q$. One can see that \mathbf{M} strictly contains $\delta_i^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{L_i}^{\text{pt}} \delta_i$ for all i by using $\text{disc}_Q(L_i) \rightarrow \infty$ and repeating the proof of the first case in Proposition 3.3.

Contrary to the case treated in Proposition 3.3 the groups $\mathbf{H}_{L_i}^{\text{pt}}$ are non-maximal. The intermediate groups can however be understood explicitly: they are of the form \mathbf{H}_W^{pt} where W is a rational line contained in $\delta_i^{-1} \cdot L_i$ for all i . For a proof of this fact we refer to [EV08, Prop. 4], see also the arXiv version of the same paper where the authors give an elementary proof in the case $n - 2 \geq 7$. This concludes the proof of the proposition. \square

Corollary 4.8. *Let the notation and the assumptions be as in Proposition 4.7 and suppose that the second case holds. Then*

$$\min_{w \in L_i(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}} Q(w) = \min_{w \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} q_{L_i}(w)$$

is bounded as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $v \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ be as in Proposition 4.7 and suppose without loss of generality that v is integral and primitive. Suppose also that $g_i h_i \delta_i \rightarrow g' \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A})$ and write $g_i h_i \delta_i = \varepsilon_i g'$ where $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow e$. Let i_0 be large enough so that $\varepsilon_i \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ for all $i \geq i_0$ and let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest integer such that $N g'_p$ is integral for all primes p .

We claim that $v_i := N \delta_i \cdot v \in L_i(\mathbb{Z})$. To see this, first note that $v_i \in L_i(\mathbb{Q})$. Furthermore, for any prime p the vector v_i is contained in $L(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Indeed, $h_{i,p} \in \mathbf{H}_{L_i}^{\text{pt}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ necessarily fixes v_i and, as $g_{i,p} = e$,

$$v_i = h_{i,p} \cdot v_i = N h_{i,p} \delta_i \cdot v = N \varepsilon_{i,p} g_p \cdot v \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n.$$

This proves the claim and hence the corollary as $Q(N \delta_i \cdot v) = N^2 Q(v)$. \square

4.3.2. A corollary of equidistribution in the second factor. In the following we would like to give an estimate on the measure of the set of points in $g_{i,1} \mathbf{T}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A}) \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$ whose associated point in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}) / \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ is 'close' to the cusp. This will allow to 'wash out' the effect of the obstructions in Proposition 4.7 on average across the full stabilizer group. To obtain said estimate, we introduce a height function that suits our needs.

Let \mathcal{S}_2 be the space of positive definite real binary quadratic forms up to similarity⁷ and write $[q]$ for the similarity class of a binary form q . We define for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathcal{S}_2(\varepsilon) = \{[q] \in \mathcal{S}_2 : \min_{w \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} q(w) > \varepsilon \sqrt{\text{disc}(q)}\}.$$

Note that the condition is independent of the choice of representative of $[q]$.

By Mahler's compactness criterion [Mah46], these are compact subsets of \mathcal{S}_2 and any compact subset is contained in $\mathcal{S}_2(\varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Furthermore, one can show that the Haar measure of $\mathcal{S}_2 \setminus \mathcal{S}_2(\varepsilon)$ is $\ll \varepsilon$ by direct integration of the hyperbolic area measure on that region.

We define $K_\varepsilon \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}) / \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ to be the preimage of $\mathcal{S}_2(\varepsilon)$ under the composition

$$\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}) / \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) / \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R}) / \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2.$$

By the previous discussion, this is a compact set whose complement has Haar measure $\ll \varepsilon$. For $x \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}) / \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ we shall call the supremum over all $\varepsilon > 0$ with $x \in K_\varepsilon$ the *minimal quadratic value* for x .

The following is a direct corollary of equidistribution in the second factor.

Corollary 4.9. *For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists $i_0 \geq 1$ so that the measure of the set of points $t \in \mathbf{T}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A}) / \mathbf{T}_{L_i}(\mathbb{Q})$ for which $g_2 \psi_{1, L_i}(t) \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \notin K_\varepsilon$ is $\ll \varepsilon$ for all $i \geq i_0$.*

4.3.3. *Using the shape in the subspace.* In the following we identify the minimal quadratic value for the points on the orbits in the context of proving Theorem 4.1. As $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ is a compact open subgroup, it has finitely many orbits on $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A}) / \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$ (these correspond to the spin genus of the quadratic form Q). We choose a finite set of representatives $\mathcal{R} \subset \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A}_f)$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A}) / \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}) = \bigsqcup_{r \in \mathcal{R}} \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) r \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Note that in SL_2 (or SL_{n-2}) any $g \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ can be written as $g = b\gamma$ where $b \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $\gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $h \in \Delta \bar{\mathbf{H}}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A})$ and write $h\gamma = br$ for some $\gamma \in \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{Q})$, $b \in \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$, and $r \in \mathcal{R}$. Then $\gamma_1^{-1} \cdot L_i$ is a rational subspace of discriminant $\asymp D$. Furthermore, the minimum*

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{q_{\gamma_1^{-1} \cdot L_i}(w)}{\sqrt{\text{disc}_Q(\gamma_1^{-1} \cdot L_i)}}$$

is comparable to the minimal quadratic value for $g_{i,2} \psi_{1,L}(h) \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$.

Note that a lemma in this spirit will later on be used to deduce the main theorems from their dynamical counterparts (cf. Proposition 7.1). The statement here is more technical in nature (as it needs to treat different genera) and the reader is encouraged to return to the proof after reading Proposition 7.1. We note that such a treatment has appeared in different context in the literature [EV08, ALMW22].

⁷Two positive definite binary real quadratic forms Q_1, Q_2 are similar if there exist $\lambda > 0$ and $g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $Q_2(\cdot) = \lambda Q_1(g \cdot)$. Note that the space \mathcal{S}_2 will be discussed in more detail in §6.2.

Proof. The ingredients for this proof are all contained in the proof of Proposition 7.1; so we will be brief. Write $L = L_i$ for simplicity. Note that $h_{1,p}\gamma_1 = b_{1,p}r_p$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{disc}_{p,Q}(\gamma_1^{-1}.L) &= \text{disc}_{p,Q}(\gamma_1^{-1}h_{1,p}^{-1}.L) = \text{disc}_{p,Q}(r_p^{-1}b_{1,p}.L) \\ &\asymp_r \text{disc}_{p,Q}(b_{1,p}.L) = \text{disc}_{p,Q}(L). \end{aligned}$$

As the discriminant is a product of the local discriminants (1.5), this proves the first claim.

For the second claim, we let $L' = \gamma_1^{-1}.L$ and first consider $m = g_{L'}^{-1}\rho_Q(\gamma_1^{-1})g_L\gamma_2 \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$. Observe that

$$mL_0 = g_{L'}^{-1}\rho_Q(\gamma_1^{-1})g_LL_0 = g_{L'}^{-1}\rho_Q(\gamma_1^{-1})L = g_{L'}^{-1}L' = L_0.$$

As we will now see, m is 'almost integral' and invertible. For this, compute

$$\rho_Q(\gamma_1^{-1})g_L\gamma_2 = \rho_Q(\gamma_1^{-1}h_{1,p}^{-1})g_Lh_{2,p}\gamma_2 = \rho_Q(r_p^{-1}b_{1,p}^{-1})g_Lb_{2,p}.$$

This implies that there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ independent of L such that $N\rho_Q(\gamma_1^{-1})g_L\gamma_2$ and $N\rho_Q(\gamma_1^{-1})g_{L'}^{-1}\gamma_2$ are integral. Recall that $\text{disc}(Q)g_{L'}$, $\text{disc}(Q)g_{L'}^{-1}$ are integral so that $N\text{disc}(Q)m$ and $N\text{disc}(Q)m^{-1}$ are integral. This discussion implies that for any two positive definite real binary quadratic forms q, q' with the property that $\pi_1(m)q$ and q' are similar we have

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{q(w)}{\sqrt{\text{disc}(q)}} \asymp \min_{w \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{q'(w)}{\sqrt{\text{disc}(q')}}.$$

Here, recall that $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ acts on binary forms via $gq(x) = q(g^t x)$.

Now note

$$[q_{L'}] = [Q(g_{L'}^t \cdot)] = [Q(\rho_Q(\gamma_1)g_{L'} \cdot)]$$

while the similarity class belonging to $g_2\psi_{1,L}(t)\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ is

$$[\gamma_2^{-1}q_L] = [Q(g_L\gamma_2 \cdot)] = [Q(\rho_Q(\gamma_1)g_L m \cdot)]$$

The claim follows. \square

4.3.4. *Proof of Theorem 4.1.* As explained, it now suffices to prove that the packets for L_i

$$g_{i,1}\mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A})\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A})/\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$$

equidistribute as $\text{disc}_Q(L_i) \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly to the situation in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we need to circumvent the problem that \mathbf{H}_L for $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,2}(\mathbb{Q})$ is not exactly isomorphic to $\mathbf{H}_L^{\text{pt}} \times \mathbf{T}_L$ – see Remark 2.1 for a more careful discussion. Denote by $\mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A})^*$ the image of $\mathbf{H}_L^{\text{pt}}(\mathbb{A}) \times \mathbf{T}_L(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A})$; this is a normal subgroup of $\mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A})$ with the property that $K_L := \mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A})/\mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A})^*$ is compact and abelian. By an argument as in the beginning of the proof of Theorem 3.1 it suffices to show that for any $k_i \in K_{L_i}$ the orbits

$$g_{i,1}k_i\mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A})^*\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A})/\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$$

are equidistributed as $i \rightarrow \infty$. We let μ_i be the Haar measure on the i -th such orbit and let

$$\mu_{i,1} = m_{\mathbf{H}_{L_i}^{\text{pt}}(\mathbb{A})\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})}, \quad \mu_{i,2} = m_{\mathbf{T}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A})\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})}$$

be the Haar measure on the closed orbits of $\mathbf{H}_{L_i}^{\text{pt}}(\mathbb{A})$ resp. $\mathbf{T}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A})$. Then we have for any function $f \in C_c(\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A}) / \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}))$

$$(4.5) \quad \int f \, d\mu_i = \int \int f(g_{i,1}k_i h t) \, d\mu_{1,i}(h) \, d\mu_{i,2}(t).$$

In the following, we identify k_i with a representative in a fixed bounded region of $\mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A})$.

For a fixed $t_i \in \mathbf{T}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A})$, the inner integral is the integral over the orbit

$$g_{i,1}k_i\mathbf{H}_{L_i}^{\text{pt}}(\mathbb{A})t_i\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}) = g_{i,1}k_it_i\mathbf{H}_{L_i}^{\text{pt}}(\mathbb{A})\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Writing $t_i\gamma_i = b_i r$ as in (4.4), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{i,1}k_it_i\mathbf{H}_{L_i}^{\text{pt}}(\mathbb{A})\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}) &= g_{i,1}k_ib_i r \gamma_i^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{L_i}^{\text{pt}}(\mathbb{A})\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}) \\ &= g_{i,1}k_ib_i r \mathbf{H}_{\gamma_i^{-1}.L_i}^{\text{pt}}(\mathbb{A})\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}) \end{aligned}$$

which is equidistributed if and only if $\mathbf{H}_{\gamma_i^{-1}.L_i}^{\text{pt}}(\mathbb{A})\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$ is equidistributed (as $g_{i,1}k_ib_i$ is bounded). By Proposition 4.7 and its corollary it suffices to show that the minimal non-zero value of $q_{\gamma_i^{-1}.L_i}$ goes to infinity. This minimum is comparable to the minimal quadratic value for $g_{i,2}\psi_{1,L}(t_i)\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ by Lemma 4.10.

Motivated by this observation, we define for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathcal{B}_i(\varepsilon) = \{t\mathbf{T}_{L_i}(\mathbb{Q}) : g_{i,2}\psi_{1,L}(t)\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \in K_\varepsilon\} \subset \mathbf{T}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A}) / \mathbf{T}_{L_i}(\mathbb{Q})$$

so that the complement of $\mathcal{B}_i(\varepsilon)$ has $\mu_{i,2}$ -measure $\ll \varepsilon$ for all i large enough (depending on ε) by Corollary 4.9. In view of (4.5), this implies that

$$\int f \, d\mu_i = \frac{1}{\mu_{i,2}(\mathcal{B}_i(\varepsilon))} \int_{\mathcal{B}_i(\varepsilon)} \int f(g_{i,1}k_i h t) \, d\mu_{1,i}(h) \, d\mu_{i,2}(t) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$$

By the previous paragraph, the orbits $g_{i,1}k_i\mathbf{H}_{L_i}^{\text{pt}}(\mathbb{A})t_i\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$ are equidistributed for any sequence $t_i \in \mathcal{B}_i(\varepsilon)$. The integral on the right-hand side is a convex combination of such orbital integrals and hence must converge to the integral of f over the Haar measure. Letting μ be any weak*-limit of the measures μ_i we obtain

$$\int f \, d\mu = \int f \, dm_{\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{A}) / \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).$$

As ε is arbitrary, this implies the claim.

Part 2

From equidistribution of orbits to the main theorems

For the contents of this part we refer the reader to the overview of this article in §1.3.

5. DISCRIMINANTS AND GLUE GROUPS

Recall that Q is a positive definite integral quadratic form on \mathbb{Q}^n and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Q$ is its symmetric bilinear form. By integrality we mean that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Q$ takes integer values on $\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$. The goal of this section is to prove the following proposition:

Proposition 5.1. *For any subspace $L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ there exist two positive divisors m_1, m_2 of $\text{disc}(Q)$ with*

$$\text{disc}_Q(L^\perp) = \frac{m_1}{m_2} \text{disc}_Q(L).$$

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{\text{disc}(Q)} \text{disc}_Q(L) \leq \text{disc}_Q(L^\perp) \leq \text{disc}(Q) \text{disc}_Q(L).$$

To that end, we will use the notion of glue groups defined in §5.1 and, in fact, prove a significantly finer statement in Proposition 5.4 below.

5.1. Definitions. For any \mathbb{Z} -lattice $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ we define the dual lattice

$$\Gamma^\# = \{x \in \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q} : \langle x, y \rangle_Q \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } y \in \Gamma\}.$$

If $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ (or more generally if $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Q$ takes integral values on $\Gamma \times \Gamma$), the dual lattice $\Gamma^\#$ contains Γ . Note that if $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_2$ are any two \mathbb{Z} -lattices then $\Gamma_1^\# \supset \Gamma_2^\#$.

For the purposes of this section, a very useful classical tool is the so-called *glue-group*, which one could see as a concept generalizing the discriminant. We introduce only what is needed here; for better context we refer to [CS99, McM11] (in particular, we do not introduce the fractional form). We define the *glue group* of a rational subspace L (or of the lattice $L(\mathbb{Z})$) as

$$\mathcal{G}(L) = L(\mathbb{Z})^\# / L(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Note that $L(\mathbb{Z})^\#$ contains $L(\mathbb{Z})$ by integrality. The glue-group is a finite abelian group whose cardinality is exactly the discriminant (see e.g. [Kit93, §5.1]). We remark that the glue group may be computed from local data – this is made explicit in §B.1 of the appendix.

Remark 5.2. For each discriminant D , one may consider the collection of subspaces $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ with discriminant D and glue group a fixed abelian group of order D . In principle, the results of the current article should carry over to prove equidistribution of these subspaces together with their shapes (cf. [AEW22]). However, it is not clear when one expects such collections to be non-empty, even when Q is the sum of squares.

5.2. The glue group of the orthogonal complement. We study the relation between the glue group of a subspace and that of its orthogonal complement. Any subspace $L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ contains various lattices which are (potentially) of interest and are natural:

- the intersections $L(\mathbb{Z}) = L(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $L(\mathbb{Q}) \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$,
- the dual lattice $L(\mathbb{Z})^\#$, and
- the projection lattices $\pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $\pi_L((\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#)$ where $\pi_L : \mathbb{Q}^n \rightarrow L$ denotes the orthogonal projection.

Lemma 5.3 (Elementary properties). *The following relations between the aforementioned lattices hold:*

- (i) $L(\mathbb{Z})^\# = \pi_L((\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#)$ and $(L \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#)^\# = \pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.
- (ii) $(L \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#)/L(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq L(\mathbb{Z})^\#/\pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

Proof. We prove (i) first. Since the proofs of the two assertions in (i) are similar, we only detail the first. Let v_1, \dots, v_k be a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $L(\mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, let $w_1, \dots, w_k \in L$ be the dual basis to v_1, \dots, v_k . Extend v_1, \dots, v_k to a basis v_1, \dots, v_n of \mathbb{Z}^n and consider y_1, \dots, y_n the dual basis to v_1, \dots, v_n . Then $\pi_L(y_i) = w_i$ for any $i \leq k$ as

$$\langle \pi_L(y_i), v_j \rangle_Q = \langle y_i, v_j \rangle_Q = \delta_{ij}$$

whenever $j \leq k$. Moreover, $y_i \in L^\perp$ for $i > k$ by construction. Thus,

$$\pi_L((\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#) = \pi_L(\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(y_1, \dots, y_n)) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(w_1, \dots, w_k) = L(\mathbb{Z})^\#$$

as claimed. The proof of the second equality is analogous.

For (ii), note that for any two lattices $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2$ in L one has

$$(5.1) \quad \Lambda_2/\Lambda_1 \simeq \Lambda_1^\#/\Lambda_2^\#,$$

so (ii) follows from (i). To construct such an isomorphism one proceeds as follows. Fix a basis v_1, \dots, v_n of Λ_2 such that $d_1 v_1, \dots, d_n v_n$ is a basis⁸ of Λ_1 with $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let w_1, \dots, w_n be the dual basis to v_1, \dots, v_n . Then, the map

$$f : \Lambda_2 \rightarrow \Lambda_1^\#, \quad v_i \mapsto d_i^{-1} w_i$$

induces the desired isomorphism. \square

Proposition 5.4. *We have an isomorphism*

$$\pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n)/L(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_{L^\perp}(\mathbb{Z}^n)/L^\perp(\mathbb{Z}).$$

When Q is unimodular, i.e. $\text{disc}(Q) = 1$, this together with Lemma 5.3 shows that the glue-groups of L and L^\perp are isomorphic. Indeed, in this case $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and hence $\pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n) = L(\mathbb{Z})^\#$. In particular, L and L^\perp have the same discriminant. When Q is not unimodular, the proposition gives an isomorphism between subgroups of the respective glue-groups.

Proof. We define a map f from $\pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ to $\pi_{L^\perp}(\mathbb{Z}^n)/L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})$ as follows. For $x \in \pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ choose a lift $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ of x for the projection π_L and define

$$f(x) = \pi_{L^\perp}(\hat{x}) + L^\perp(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Note that f is well-defined since if $\hat{x}, \hat{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ are two lifts of $x \in \pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, then $\hat{x} - \hat{y} \in L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})$ which implies $\pi_{L^\perp}(\hat{x}) + L^\perp(\mathbb{Z}) = \pi_{L^\perp}(\hat{y}) + L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})$.

⁸Such a basis is sometimes called 'adapted basis' (in geometry of numbers). The existence can be easily seen using Smith's normal form.

We show that $\ker(f) = L(\mathbb{Z})$. Obviously, $L(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \ker(f)$ since for any $x \in L(\mathbb{Z})$ we can choose x itself as lift. On the other hand, if $x \in \ker(f)$ there is a lift $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ of x for π_L such that $\pi_{L^\perp}(\hat{x}) \in L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})$. In particular,

$$x = \pi_L(\hat{x}) = \pi_L(\hat{x}) - \pi_L(\pi_{L^\perp}(\hat{x})) = \pi_L(\hat{x} - \pi_{L^\perp}(\hat{x})) = \hat{x} - \pi_{L^\perp}(\hat{x}) \in L(\mathbb{Z}).$$

We deduce that $\ker(f) \subset L(\mathbb{Z})$ and hence equality. This proves the proposition. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.1. By Proposition 5.4

$$\begin{aligned} \text{disc}_Q(L) &= |\mathcal{G}(L)| = |L(\mathbb{Z})^\#/\pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n)| \cdot |\pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n)/L(\mathbb{Z})| \\ &= |L(\mathbb{Z})^\#/\pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n)| \cdot |\pi_{L^\perp}(\mathbb{Z}^n)/L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})| \\ &= \frac{|L(\mathbb{Z})^\#/\pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n)|}{|L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})^\#/\pi_{L^\perp}(\mathbb{Z}^n)|} |\mathcal{G}(L^\perp)|. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5.3 note that the finite group $L(\mathbb{Z})^\#/\pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n) = \pi_L((\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#)/\pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is a quotient of $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#/\mathbb{Z}^n$ and hence $|L(\mathbb{Z})^\#/\pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n)|$ is a divisor of $\text{disc}(Q) = |(\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#/\mathbb{Z}^n|$. As the analogous statement holds for L^\perp , the proposition follows. \square

Remark 5.5. When $\text{disc}(Q) = 1$, Proposition 5.4 states that $\mathcal{G}(L) \simeq \mathcal{G}(L^\perp)$. Apart from the discriminants of L and L^\perp being the same, this includes information about the local coefficients of the quadratic forms on L and L^\perp . This is exploited e.g. in Proposition B.6. When $k = n - k$, one can ask whether this implies that $Q|_{L(\mathbb{Z})}$ and $Q|_{L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})}$ are in the same genus.

6. MODULI SPACES

In this section we study the moduli space \mathcal{Y} of basis extensions which was introduced in §1.1 consisting of (certain) homothety classes $[L, \Lambda]$ where L is a k -dimensional subspace, Λ is a full rank lattice in \mathbb{R}^n and $L \cap \Lambda$ is a lattice in L . We also discuss a slight refinement of Theorem 1.11 (Theorem 6.9 below) and see how it implies Theorem 1.4.

6.1. Oriented subspaces. For the purposes of proving the main theorems from their dynamical analogues, it is convenient to work with subspaces with an orientation. In fact, the main theorems may be refined to include orientation.

Oriented subspaces of dimension k form an affine variety $\text{Gr}_{n,k}^+$ (defined over \mathbb{Q}) with a morphism (of algebraic varieties) $\text{Gr}_{n,k}^+ \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{n,k}$ where the preimage of any point consists of two points corresponding to two choices of orientation.

Remark 6.1. To construct $\text{Gr}_{n,k}^+$ explicitly, observe that the positive definite form Q induces a rational form disc_Q on the exterior product $\bigwedge^k \mathbb{Q}^n$ via

$$\text{disc}_Q(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \langle v_1, v_1 \rangle_Q & \cdots & \langle v_1, v_k \rangle_Q \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle v_k, v_1 \rangle_Q & \cdots & \langle v_k, v_k \rangle_Q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that this merely extends the previous definition of discriminant. The variety $\text{Gr}_{n,k}^+$ is then as the subvariety of the variety of pure wedges \mathcal{P} satisfying the additional equation $\text{disc}_Q(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k) = 1$. Note that rational subspaces with an orientation do *not* correspond to rational points of $\text{Gr}_{n,k}^+$ but rather to primitive integer points of the variety of pure wedges \mathcal{P} . In that sense, it is often more natural to work with \mathcal{P} instead of $\text{Gr}_{n,k}^+$.

The orthogonal group SO_Q (and hence also Spin_Q) acts on oriented subspaces. For an oriented rational subspace L the stabilizer group in Spin_Q under this action is exactly equal to the stabilizer group \mathbf{H}_L defined in §2.1. Moreover, the action of $\mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_{n,k}^+(\mathbb{R})$ is transitive (as the action of $\mathrm{SO}_Q(\mathbb{R})$ is).

Remark 6.2 (Orientation on the orthogonal complement). For any oriented k -dimensional subspace L the orthogonal complement inherits an orientation: if v_1, \dots, v_k is an oriented basis of L then a basis v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n of L^\perp is oriented if $\det(v_1, \dots, v_n) > 0$. The orthogonal complement yields an isomorphism $\mathrm{Gr}_{n,k}^+ \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{n,n-k}^+$ which is explicitly realizable in Plücker coordinates at least when $\mathrm{disc}(Q) = 1$ [Sch67, §1].

6.2. Quotients of homogeneous spaces.

6.2.1. *The moduli space of oriented basis extensions.* We extend the definition of the moduli space of basis extensions to include orientation. Consider the pairs (L, Λ) where L is an oriented subspace, $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a full rank lattice, and $L \cap \Lambda$ is a lattice in L . Two such pairs $(L, \Lambda), (L', \Lambda')$ are equivalent if $L = L'$ (including orientation) and if there exists $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ which acts by positive scalar multiplication of L and L^\perp such that $g\Lambda = \Lambda'$. The moduli space of oriented basis extensions \mathcal{Y}^+ is defined to be the set of such equivalence classes $[L, \Lambda]$. There exists a natural map $\mathcal{Y}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ (simply by forgetting orientation).

We begin by realizing \mathcal{Y}^+ as a double quotient of a Lie group. We use the following notation:

- The groups $\mathbf{P}_{n,k}$ and \mathbf{G} as defined in §1.4.4:

$$\mathbf{P}_{n,k} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_n : \det(A) = \det(D) = 1 \right\},$$

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Spin}_Q \times \mathbf{P}_{n,k}.$$

- The reference subspace L_0 spanned by the first k standard basis vectors (1.6) as well as the 'standardization' η_Q defined in (1.3). Note that L_0 is oriented using the standard basis.
- For any oriented subspace $L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ we let $\mathbf{H}_L < \mathrm{Spin}_Q$ be the stabilizer group of L .
- The subgroup $\mathbf{H}_{L_0} < \mathrm{Spin}_Q$ maps to a subgroup of $\mathbf{P}_{n,k}$ under the (spin) isogeny ρ_Q ; we again denote by $\Delta\mathbf{H}_{L_0} < \mathbf{G}$ the diagonally embedded group (this agrees with the definition in §2.3 with the choice of the standard basis).

Lemma 6.3. *There is an identification*

$$\mathcal{Y}^+ \simeq \Delta\mathbf{H}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

By Lemma 6.3, we may pull back the Haar quotient probability measure on the right-hand side to \mathcal{Y}^+ (and by push-forward on \mathcal{Y}).

Proof. The above identification runs as follows. If $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ is given, we set $L = \rho_Q(g_1^{-1})g_2L_0(\mathbb{R}) = g_1^{-1}.L_0(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Lambda = \rho_Q(g_1^{-1})g_2\mathbb{Z}^n$. Clearly, Λ intersects L in the lattice $\rho_Q(g_1^{-1})g_2L_0(\mathbb{Z})$. As any element of $\mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Z})$ stabilizes $L_0(\mathbb{R})$ and \mathbb{Z}^n and as $\Delta\mathbf{H}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R})$ is diagonally embedded, we obtain a well-defined map

$$\Delta\mathbf{H}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}.$$

The injectivity of this map is clear from the definition of $\Delta\mathbf{H}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R})$, so let us argue for the surjectivity.

Let $[L, \Lambda] \in \mathcal{Y}$. By choosing the representative correctly, we may assume that Λ as well as $L \cap \Lambda$ are unimodular. Choose $g_1 \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g_1.L = L_0$. Then $L_0(\mathbb{R})$ is $g_1.\Lambda$ -rational. Pick a basis v_1, \dots, v_k of $g_1.\Lambda \cap L_0(\mathbb{R})$ and complete it into a basis v_1, \dots, v_n of $g_1.\Lambda$. Set

$$g_2 = (v_1 \mid \dots \mid v_n) \in \{g \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) : gL_0(\mathbb{R}) = L_0(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

As $g_1.\Lambda \cap L_0(\mathbb{R})$ is unimodular, we have that $g_2 \in \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R})$. Under these choices we have $\rho_Q(g_1^{-1})g_2L_0(\mathbb{R}) = L$ and $\rho_Q(g_1^{-1})g_2\mathbb{Z}^n = \Lambda$; surjectivity follows. \square

Remark 6.4 (Action of $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$). Note that $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on \mathcal{Y}^+ via $g[L, \Lambda] = [g.L, g.\Lambda]$. In view of the identification in Lemma 6.3 (and its proof) this action of $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ corresponds to the $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ -action from the right on the double quotient $\Delta\mathbf{H}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Z})$. In particular,

$$\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{Y}^+ \simeq \Delta\mathbf{H}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Recall from the introduction that \mathcal{S}_k is the space of positive definite real quadratic forms in k variables up to similarity. Here, we say that two forms q, q' in k -variables are *equivalent* if there is $g \in \text{GL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $gq = q'$ and *similar* if q is equivalent to a multiple of q' . We may identify \mathcal{S}_k with

$$(6.1) \quad \text{O}_k(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{R}) / \text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Indeed, to any point $\text{O}_k(\mathbb{R})g\text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$ one associates the similarity class of the form represented by $g^t g$. Conversely, given the similarity class of a form q and a matrix representation M of q one can write $M = g^t g$ for some $g \in \text{GL}_k(\mathbb{R})$. Another way to view the quotient in (6.1) is as the space of lattices in \mathbb{R}^k up to isometries and homothety. For a lattice $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, we denote by $\langle \Gamma \rangle$ its equivalence class. The map

$$(6.2) \quad \langle \Gamma \rangle \mapsto [Q_0|_\Gamma]$$

is the desired bijection. In words, the class of lattices $\langle \Gamma \rangle$ is associated to the similarity class of the standard form Q_0 represented in a basis of the lattice Γ .

Note that we have a map $[L, \Lambda] \in \mathcal{Y} \mapsto [Q|_{L \cap \Lambda}] \in \mathcal{S}_k$ already alluded to in the introduction. It is natural to ask what equivalence class of lattices corresponds to the similarity class (or shape) $[Q|_{L \cap \Lambda}]$ from the introduction under the identification (6.2). To answer this question, choose a rotation $k_L \in \text{SO}_Q(\mathbb{R})$ with $k_L L(\mathbb{R}) = L_0(\mathbb{R})$. Apply η_Q to the lattice $k_L(L \cap \Lambda) \subset L_0(\mathbb{R})$. Recall that η_Q was chosen in §1.4.1 to preserve $L_0(\mathbb{R})$ so that $\eta_Q k_L(L \cap \Lambda) \subset L_0(\mathbb{R})$. Since

$$Q_0|_{\eta_Q k_L(L \cap \Lambda)} \simeq Q|_{L \cap \Lambda},$$

the equivalence class of the lattice $\eta_Q k_L(L \cap \Lambda)$ corresponds to the similarity class or shape $[Q|_{L \cap \Lambda}]$. As we did in the introduction, we will also write $[L \cap \Lambda]$ for that shape.

Lemma 6.5. *There is a surjective map*

$$\mathcal{Y}^+ \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_{n-k}$$

given explicitly by $[L, \Lambda] \mapsto (L, [L \cap \Lambda], [L^\perp \cap \Lambda^\#])$. Moreover, the pushforward of the Haar (quotient) probability measure is the Haar probability measure on the target.

Proof. Recall that \mathbf{H}'_{L_0} is the stabilizer of L_0 in SO_Q . Over \mathbb{R} , we have $\mathbf{H}'_{L_0}(\mathbb{R}) = \rho_Q(\mathbf{H}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R}))$. Consider the (surjective) composition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}^+ &\rightarrow \mathbf{\Delta H}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Z}) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbf{H}'_{L_0}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Z}) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{R}) \times \eta_Q \mathbf{H}'_{L_0}(\mathbb{R}) \eta_Q^{-1} \backslash \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

where the first map is the identification in Lemma 6.3, the second map is the quotient map and the third map is multiplication by η_Q in the second factor. First, observe that $\mathbf{H}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{R})$ is identified with $\mathrm{Gr}_{n,k}^+(\mathbb{R})$ via $\mathbf{H}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R})g_0 \mapsto g_0^{-1}.L_0(\mathbb{R})$. Note also that $\eta_Q \mathbf{H}'_{L_0}(\mathbb{R}) \eta_Q^{-1}$ is equal to the group $\mathrm{SO}_k(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SO}_{n-k}(\mathbb{R})$ embedded block-diagonally. We apply projections onto the blocks (π_1, π_2 defined in §1.4.4) as well as inverse-transpose in the second block to obtain a surjective map

$$\eta_Q \mathbf{H}'_{L_0}(\mathbb{R}) \eta_Q^{-1} \backslash \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_{n-k}.$$

Overall, we have a surjection $\phi : \mathcal{Y}^+ \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_{n-k}$.

It remains to verify that this surjection is the map from the lemma. Let $[L, \Lambda] \in \mathcal{Y}^+$ and let $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ be a representative of its double coset in Lemma 6.3. It is clear from the proof of Lemma 6.3 that $\phi([L, \Lambda])_1 = g_1^{-1}.L_0(\mathbb{R}) = L(\mathbb{R})$. For the second component, note that using $g_1^{-1}.L_0(\mathbb{R}) = L(\mathbb{R})$

$$[Q|_{L \cap \Lambda}] = [Q_0|_{\eta_Q \rho_Q(g_1)(L \cap \Lambda)}] = [Q_0|_{\eta_Q(L_0 \cap g_2 \mathbb{Z}^n)}] = [Q_0|_{\pi_1(\eta_Q g_2) \mathbb{Z}^k}] = \phi([L, \Lambda])_2.$$

For the third component, we first observe that $L^\perp(\mathbb{R}) = g_1^{-1}.L_0(\mathbb{R})^\perp$ as well as $\Lambda^\# = \rho_Q(g_1^{-1})(g_2^{-1})^t \mathbb{Z}^n$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} [Q|_{L^\perp \cap \Lambda^\#}] &= [Q_0|_{\eta_Q \rho_Q(g_1)(L^\perp \cap \Lambda^\#)}] = [Q_0|_{\eta_Q(L_0^\perp \cap (g_2^{-1})^t \mathbb{Z}^n)}] = [Q_0|_{\pi_2(\eta_Q (g_2^{-1})^t) \mathbb{Z}^k}] \\ &= \phi([L, \Lambda])_3 \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the lemma. \square

6.3. A construction of an intermediate lattice. As was already observed in Remark 1.10, equidistribution of the tuples $[L, \mathbb{Z}^n]$ for $L \in \mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D)$ (Conjecture 1.9) does not necessarily imply equidistribution of the tuples $(L, [L(\mathbb{Z})], [L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})])$ when Q is not unimodular (Conjecture 1.1). Indeed, one can see from Lemma 6.5 that it implies equidistribution of the tuples $(L, [L(\mathbb{Z})], [L^\perp \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#])$ for $L \in \mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D)$. Here, we construct for every L a full rank sublattice $\Lambda_L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ so that equidistribution of the tuples $[L, \Lambda_L]$ does have this desired implication. For any subspace $L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ write π_L for the orthogonal projection onto L .

Proposition 6.6. *For any subspace $L \in \mathrm{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ there exists a full rank \mathbb{Z} -lattice $\Lambda_L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ with the following properties:*

- (1) $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \Lambda_L \subset (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$
- (2) We have

$$L \cap \Lambda_L = L(\mathbb{Z}), \quad \pi_{L^\perp}(\Lambda_L) = L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})^\#, \quad \text{and} \quad L^\perp(\mathbb{Z}) = \Lambda_L^\# \cap L^\perp.$$

- (3) Suppose that L' satisfies that there are $\gamma \in \mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$ and $k_p \in \mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for every prime p such that $\gamma.L = L'$ and $k_p.L(\mathbb{Z}_p) = L'(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Then

$$\Lambda_{L'} = \bigcap_p k_p.(\Lambda_L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \cap \mathbb{Q}^n.$$

We remark that if Q is unimodular, one may simply take $\Lambda_L = \mathbb{Z}^n$. For Q not unimodular, this choice generally satisfies (1) and (3) but not necessarily (2).

Remark 6.7 (Equivalence relation). We write $L \sim L'$ for rational subspaces L, L' of dimension k if there are $\gamma \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$ and $k_p \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for every prime p such that $\gamma.L = L'$ and $k_p.L(\mathbb{Z}_p) = L'(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. This defines an equivalence relation. As L, L' are locally rotated into each other, they have the same discriminant (see Equation (1.5)).

Proof of Proposition 6.6. In view of Remark 6.7 and the required property in (3) we first observe that if L' is equivalent to L and L satisfies (1),(2) then L' also does so. We may hence split $\text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ into equivalence classes, choose a representative L in each equivalence class, and construct Λ_L with the properties in (1) and (2) ignoring (3).

So let $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ be such a representative. Choose a basis v_1, \dots, v_k of $L(\mathbb{Z})$. We consider the \mathbb{Z} -module $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# / L(\mathbb{Z})$ which fits into the following exact sequence

$$(6.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow L \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# / L(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# / L(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# / L \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# \rightarrow 0.$$

As $L \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$ is primitive⁹ in $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$, the module on the very right is free of rank $n - k$. We choose a basis of it as well as representatives $v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$ of these basis elements. Define

$$\Lambda_L = \mathbb{Z}v_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}v_n.$$

It is not very hard to see that this lattice contains \mathbb{Z}^n and is contained in $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$ so that (1) is satisfied.

Suppose that

$$v = \sum_i \alpha_i v_i \in L \cap \Lambda_L.$$

This implies that $\sum_{i>k} \alpha_i v_i \in L$ and so $\sum_{i>k} \alpha_i v_i = 0$ by linear independence. The identity $L \cap \Lambda_L = L(\mathbb{Z})$ follows.

By Lemma 5.3, the projection $\pi_{L^\perp} : (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# \rightarrow L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})^\#$ is surjective. Clearly, the kernel is $L \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$ and hence by construction of Λ_L we have $\pi_{L^\perp}(\Lambda_L) = \pi_{L^\perp}((\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#) = L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})^\#$.

It remains to prove the last identity. As $\Lambda_L^\# \supset \mathbb{Z}^n$ we have $\Lambda_L^\# \cap L^\perp \supset L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})$ so it suffices to show that

$$L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})^\# = \pi_{L^\perp}(\Lambda_L) \subset (\Lambda_L^\# \cap L^\perp)^\#.$$

For $v = \pi_{L^\perp}(v') \in \pi_{L^\perp}(\Lambda_L)$ and $w \in L^\perp \cap \Lambda_L^\#$ we have $\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v', w \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ proving the remaining claim. \square

Remark 6.8. Observe that Λ_L constructed above depends on the choice of basis for the free module $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# / L \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$ which forms the 'free part' of $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# / L(\mathbb{Z})$ in the sense of (6.3). But the short exact sequence (6.3) does not split in general so that the basis elements have no canonical lifts to $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# / L(\mathbb{Z})$; different choices yield different lattices Λ_L . This dependency is inconsequential as the set of lattices Λ with $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \Lambda \subset (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$ is finite.

⁹A sublattice Γ of a lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ is primitive if it is not strictly contained in any sublattice of the same rank.

6.4. A refinement of Theorem 1.11. We now present a refinement of Theorem 1.11 which is necessary in order to deduce the desired equidistribution theorem of shapes (i.e. Theorem 1.4).

Theorem 6.9. *Let $k \geq 3$ with $k \leq n - k$ and let p be a prime with $p \nmid 2\text{disc}(Q)$. Let $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q}) \mapsto \Lambda_L$ satisfy conditions (1) and (3) from Proposition 6.6. Suppose that $D_i \in \mathbb{N}$ is a sequence of integers with $D_i^{[k]} \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D_i) \neq \emptyset$ as well $p \nmid D_i$ if $k \in \{3, 4\}$. Then the sets*

$$(6.4) \quad \{([L, \Lambda_L] : L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n \text{ oriented}, \text{disc}_Q(L) = D_i, \dim(L) = k)\}$$

equidistribute in \mathcal{Y}^+ as $i \rightarrow \infty$

We observe that the special case $\Lambda_L = \mathbb{Z}^n$ for every $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ in Theorem 6.9 implies Theorem 1.11 after projection $\mathcal{Y}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4 from Theorem 6.9 when $k \geq 3$. Let Λ_L for $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ be defined as in Proposition 6.6. Let p be a prime and $D_i \geq 1$ be a sequence of discriminants as in Theorem 1.4. Then Theorem 6.9 is applicable and the sets in (6.4) are equidistributed in \mathcal{Y}^+ when $i \rightarrow \infty$. By construction of Λ_L , the image of these sets under the map in Lemma 6.5 is exactly

$$\{(L, [L(\mathbb{Z})], [L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})]) : L \in \mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D_i)\}.$$

These images are equidistributed with respect to the pushforward measure, which is the Haar probability measure on $\text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_{n-k}$. \square

Remark 6.10 (Theorem 1.4 for oriented subspaces). Let \mathcal{X}_k be the space of positive definite real quadratic forms in k variables up to *proper* similarity. Observe that the shape of an oriented k -dimensional subspace makes sense as a point in \mathcal{X}_k . Very much related to this is the fact that the proof of Lemma 6.5 actually establishes a surjective map $\mathcal{Y}^+ \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{n,k}^+(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{X}_k \times \mathcal{X}_{n-k}$. Theorem 1.4 may thus be generalized to this latter space. For $k = 1$, this oriented version already appears in the works [AES16b, AES16a].

7. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREMS FROM THE DYNAMICAL VERSIONS

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 6.9 and Theorem 1.4 for $k = 2$. We remark that any possible future upgrades to the dynamical versions (in regard to the congruence conditions at fixed primes) imply the analogous upgrades to the arithmetic versions.

7.1. Notation. We recall and introduce here some notation used throughout this Section 7. In the following, $L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ is an arbitrary k -dimensional oriented subspace unless specified otherwise:

- \mathcal{Y}^+ is the moduli space of oriented basis extensions defined in §6.2.1 (see also §1.1). Recall that $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on \mathcal{Y}^+ via $g[L, \Lambda] = [g.L, g.\Lambda]$. Moreover, by Lemma 6.3 and the subsequent Remark 6.4, we have

$$(7.1) \quad \mathcal{Y}^+ \simeq \Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Z}),$$

$$(7.2) \quad \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{Y}^+ \simeq \Delta \mathbf{H}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z})$$

where $L_0 = \mathbb{Q}^k \times \{(0, \dots, 0)\} \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ is the fixed reference subspace (cf. (1.6)) and $\mathbf{G} = \text{Spin}_Q \times \mathbf{P}_{n,k}$ (cf. 1.4.4).

- The subgroup $\mathbf{H}_L < \text{Spin}_Q$ is the identity component of the stabilizer group of L (cf. §2.1 and see also §6.1).
- We fix a full-rank lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \Lambda_L \subset (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$ satisfying (1) and (3) in Proposition 6.6. The reader is encouraged to keep in mind the case $\text{disc}(Q) = 1$ where one may take $\Lambda_L = \mathbb{Z}^n$ for all L .
- We fix an oriented basis of Λ_L where the first k vectors are an oriented basis of $L \cap \Lambda_L$. Let $g_L \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ be the element whose columns consist of this basis.
- The subgroup $\Delta\mathbf{H}_L < \mathbf{G}$ is defined as in §2.3 using the basis in g_L .
- For any $[L, \Lambda] \in \mathcal{Y}^+$ (where L is not necessarily rational) we write to shorten notation $[L, \Lambda]_\star$ for the equivalence class $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z})[L, \Lambda] \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{Y}^+$.
- Let $\mathfrak{s}_L \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ be the representative of the double coset of $[L, \Lambda_L]$ defined using g_L (see also the proof of Lemma 6.3).
- For any $D \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D) \neq \emptyset$ we consider the finite set $\mathcal{R}_Q^{n,k}(D) \subset \mathcal{Y}^+$ consisting of classes $[L, \Lambda_L]$ where L runs over all oriented k -dimensional subspaces $L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ with $\text{disc}_Q(L) = D$ – see also (6.4). The action of $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ on \mathcal{Y}^+ leaves $\mathcal{R}_Q^{n,k}(D)$ invariant.

7.2. Outline of the proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})/\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ be the principal genus¹⁰. There is a natural map

$$(7.3) \quad \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})/\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \supset \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{Y}^+$$

given by taking the quotient on the left of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})/\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ by the maximal compact open subgroup $\mathbf{G}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $\Delta\mathbf{H}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R})$. Consider now an oriented subspace L of discriminant D and the orbit $\mathfrak{s}_L\Delta\mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A})\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$. For any $L \in \mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D)$, the image of the intersection of $\mathfrak{s}_L\Delta\mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A})\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ with \mathcal{U} under (7.3) is a subset of the collection $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{R}_Q^{n,k}(D)$ and contains $[L, \Lambda_L]$ – see Proposition 7.1. In other words, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{s}_L\Delta\mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A})\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{U} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{U} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{R}_Q^{n,k}(D) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{Y}^+. \end{array}$$

Assuming here $k \geq 3$, the intersection $\mathfrak{s}_L\Delta\mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A})\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{U}$ is equidistributed in \mathcal{U} with respect to the normalized restriction of the Haar measure (along any sequence of admissible subspaces). This immediately implies equidistribution of the pushforwards under the map in (7.3).

It remains to compare the pushforward of the Haar measure on the orbit to the measure on $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{R}_Q^{n,k}(D)$ induced by the normalized counting measure on $\mathcal{R}_Q^{n,k}(D)$. (This technical argument constitutes a large part of this section §7.) To this end, we first note that the projection $P(L)$ of $\mathfrak{s}_L\Delta\mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A})\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{U}$ is not surjective but $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{R}_Q^{n,k}(D)$ may be decomposed into such images for different subspaces L – see Remark 7.2. Thus, it is enough to determine the weights of individual points in $P(L)$ – see Lemmas 7.3 and 7.4.

¹⁰The genera (i.e. orbits of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbf{G}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$) correspond to classes in the spinor genus of Q . Recall here that if Q is the sum of squares in ≤ 8 variables, then the spinor genus consists of one class (cf. [Cas78, p.232]) and hence $\mathcal{U} = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}) \setminus \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$.

7.3. Generating integer points from the packet. As a first step towards the proof of Theorem 6.9, we illustrate a general technique for generating points in $\mathcal{R}_Q^{n,k}(D)$ from a given point in $\mathcal{R}_Q^{n,k}(D)$. This kind of idea appears in many recent or less recent articles in the literature – see for example [PR94, Thm. 8.2], [EV08], [AES16b], [AES16a], and [AEW22].

For $g \in \mathbf{G} = \text{Spin}_Q \times \mathbf{P}_{n,k}$ we write $g = (g_1, g_2)$ where g_1 is the first (resp. g_2 is the second) coordinate of g . Consider the open subset (principal genus)

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})/\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$$

On \mathcal{U} , there is a projection map

$$(7.4) \quad \Phi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})/\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Delta\mathbf{H}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})/\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{Y}^+$$

where the first map takes for any point $x \in \mathcal{U}$ a representative in $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ and projects onto the real component. Note that the first map is clearly $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant. For $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ we define

$$(7.5) \quad \mathbf{P}(L) := \Phi(\mathfrak{s}_L \Delta\mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A})\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{U}).$$

Proposition 7.1. *For any oriented k -dimensional subspace $L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ of discriminant D we have*

$$\mathbf{P}(L) \subset \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{R}_Q^{n,k}(D).$$

Proof. Fix a coset $b\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \in \Delta\mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A})\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{U}$ and a representative $b = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$. By definition of Φ

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{s}_L b\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})) = \Delta\mathbf{H}_{L_0}(\mathbb{R})\mathfrak{s}_L b_\infty \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Note that since $b\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \in \Delta\mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A})\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ there exists $h \in \Delta\mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A})$ and $\gamma \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $b = h\gamma$. By definition of $\Delta\mathbf{H}_L$ we have $h_2 = g_L^{-1}\rho_Q(h_1)g_L$. We first show that the point in $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{Y}^+$ corresponding to $\Phi(\mathfrak{s}_L b\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}))$ lies above a rational subspace under the natural map $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{n,k}^+(\mathbb{R})$. Note that by definition of the maps in (7.1) the subspace attached to $\Phi(\mathfrak{s}_L b\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}))$ is $\rho_Q(b_{1,\infty}^{-1})\rho_L^{-1}L_0 = b_{1,\infty}^{-1}.L$. But

$$(7.6) \quad b_{1,\infty}^{-1}.L = \gamma_1^{-1}h_{1,\infty}^{-1}.L = \gamma_1^{-1}.L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n.$$

Next, we show that $\gamma_1^{-1}.L$ has discriminant D . To this end, note that by an analogous argument as in (7.6) for a prime p we have $b_{1,p}^{-1}.L = \gamma_1^{-1}.L$ so that

$$\text{disc}_{p,Q}(L) = \text{disc}_{p,Q}(b_{1,p}^{-1}.L) = \text{disc}_{p,Q}(\gamma_1^{-1}.L)$$

where we used that $b_{1,p} \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ preserves the local discriminant at p . Thus, $\text{disc}_Q(\gamma_1^{-1}.L) = D$ by (1.5).

It remains to show that $\Phi(\mathfrak{s}_L b\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}))$ corresponds to $[\gamma_1^{-1}.L, \Lambda_{\gamma_1^{-1}.L}]_\star$. For this, notice first that under (7.1)

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{s}_L b\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})) = [\gamma_1^{-1}.L, \rho_Q(b_{1,\infty}^{-1})g_L b_{2,\infty} \mathbb{Z}^n]_\star$$

by definition of the equivalence relation. Now,

$$\rho_Q(b_{1,\infty}^{-1})g_L b_{2,\infty} = \rho_Q(\gamma_1^{-1}h_1^{-1})g_L h_2 \gamma_2 = \rho_Q(\gamma_1^{-1})g_L \gamma_2.$$

Quite analogously, we have $\rho_Q(\gamma_1^{-1})g_L \gamma_2 = \rho_Q(b_{1,p}^{-1})g_L b_{2,p}$ so that

$$\rho_Q(\gamma_1^{-1})g_L \gamma_2 \mathbb{Z}_p^n = \rho_Q(b_{1,p}^{-1})g_L b_{2,p} \mathbb{Z}_p^n = b_{1,p}^{-1}.(\Lambda_L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

This shows that

$$\rho_Q(\gamma_1^{-1})g_L\gamma_2\mathbb{Z}^n = \bigcap_p (\rho_Q(\gamma_1^{-1})g_L\gamma_2\mathbb{Z}_p^n) \cap \mathbb{Q}^n = \bigcap_p b_{1,p}^{-1}(\Lambda_L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \cap \mathbb{Q}^n = \Lambda_{\gamma_1^{-1}.L}$$

by the third property of Λ_L in Proposition 6.6. This shows that

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{s}_L b \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})) = [\gamma_1^{-1}.L, \Lambda_{\gamma_1^{-1}.L}]_\star$$

and hence the proposition follows. \square

Remark 7.2 (Equivalence class induced by packets). Note that for any two L, L' of discriminant D the sets $\mathbf{P}(L), \mathbf{P}(L')$ are either equal or disjoint. Indeed, these sets are equivalence classes for an equivalence relation which is implicitly stated in the proof of Proposition 7.1; see also Remark 6.7.

We analyze the fibers of the map Φ when restricted to the piece of the homogeneous set $\mathfrak{s}_L \mathbf{\Delta H}_L(\mathbb{A}) \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ in the open set \mathcal{U} . We set for any $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$

$$\Delta H_L^{\text{cpt}} = \{h \in \mathbf{\Delta H}_L(\mathbb{A}) : h_1 \in \mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})\}.$$

We remark that ΔH_L^{cpt} is not equal to $\mathbf{\Delta H}_L(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ as g_L can have denominators (cf. (2.2)).

Lemma 7.3. *Let $x, y \in \mathbf{\Delta H}_L(\mathbb{A}) \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{U}$. Then*

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{s}_L x) = \Phi(\mathfrak{s}_L y) \iff y \in \Delta H_L^{\text{cpt}} x.$$

Proof. We fix representatives $b^x \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ of x and $b^y \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ of y . Moreover, we write $b^x = h^x \gamma^x$ and $b^y = h^y \gamma^y$ with $h^x, h^y \in \mathbf{\Delta H}_L(\mathbb{A})$ and $\gamma^x, \gamma^y \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$. The direction " \Leftarrow " is straightforward to verify; we leave it to the reader.

Assume that $\Phi(\mathfrak{s}_L x) = \Phi(\mathfrak{s}_L y)$. We recall from Proposition 7.1 and its proof that

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{s}_L x) = [(\gamma_1^x)^{-1}.L, \Lambda_{(\gamma_1^x)^{-1}.L}]_\star$$

and similarly for $\Phi(\mathfrak{s}_L y)$. By assumption, we have that there exists $\eta \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\eta(\gamma_1^x)^{-1}.L = (\gamma_1^y)^{-1}.L$. Therefore, $\gamma_1^y \eta (\gamma_1^x)^{-1} \in \mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{Q})$ and we obtain that

$$\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \ni b_1^x \eta (b_1^y)^{-1} = h_1^x \gamma_1^x \eta (\gamma_1^y)^{-1} (h_1^y)^{-1} \in \mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A}).$$

The element $h = (h_1, g_L^{-1} \rho_Q(h_1) g_L) \in \Delta H_L^{\text{cpt}}$ corresponding to $h_1 = b_1^x \eta (b_1^y)^{-1} \in \mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ satisfies $hy = x$. To see this, note that

$$hy = hb^y \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) = (b_1^x \eta (b_1^y)^{-1} b_1^y \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}), g_L^{-1} \rho_Q(b_1^x \eta (b_1^y)^{-1}) g_L b_2^y \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})).$$

For the first component we have $b_1^x \eta (b_1^y)^{-1} b_1^y \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q}) = b_1^x \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Q})$ because $\eta \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$. For the second component, we first recall that

$$b_2^y = h_2^y \gamma_2^y = g_L^{-1} \rho_Q(t_1^y) g_L \gamma_2^y \quad \text{and} \quad b_1^x \eta (b_1^y)^{-1} = h_1^x \gamma_1^x \eta (\gamma_1^y)^{-1} (t_1^y)^{-1}.$$

We may therefore rewrite

$$g_L^{-1} \rho_Q(b_1^x \eta (b_1^y)^{-1}) g_L b_2^y \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q}) = g_L^{-1} \rho_Q(h_1^x \gamma_1^x \eta (\gamma_1^y)^{-1}) g_L \gamma_2^y \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Using that $\gamma_2^y \in \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $h_2^x = g_L^{-1} \rho_Q(h_1^x) g_L$ we obtain:

$$g_L^{-1} \rho_Q(h_1^x \gamma_1^x \eta (\gamma_1^y)^{-1}) g_L \gamma_2^y \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q}) = h_2^x g_L^{-1} \rho_Q(\gamma_1^x \eta (\gamma_1^y)^{-1}) g_L \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Finally, $g_L^{-1}\rho_Q(\gamma_1^x\eta(\gamma_1^y)^{-1})g_L \in \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ because $\gamma_1^x\eta(\gamma_1^y)^{-1}$ stabilizes L , thus

$$h_2^x g_L^{-1}\rho_Q(\gamma_1^x\eta(\gamma_1^y)^{-1})g_L \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q}) = h_2^x \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q}) = b_2^x \mathbf{P}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q}).$$

It follows that $hx = y$ and the proof is complete. \square

7.4. The correct weights. Let μ_L be the Haar probability measure on the orbit $\mathfrak{s}_L \Delta \mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A}) \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})/\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ and let $\mu_L|_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the normalized restriction¹¹ to \mathcal{U} .

We compute the measure of a fiber through any point $x \in \mathcal{U}$ in the packet.

Lemma 7.4. *Let $x \in \Delta \mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A}) \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{U}$ and write $\Phi(\mathfrak{s}_L x) = [\hat{L}, \Lambda_{\hat{L}}]_{\star}$. Then*

$$(7.7) \quad \mu_L|_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{s}_L \Delta H_L^{\text{cpt}} x) = \left(\sum_{[L', \Lambda_{L'}]_{\star} \in \mathbf{P}(L)} \frac{|\mathbf{H}_{\hat{L}}(\mathbb{Z})|}{|\mathbf{H}_{L'}(\mathbb{Z})|} \right)^{-1}.$$

Proof. We must trace through a normalization: let m be the Haar measure on $\Delta \mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A})$ induced by requiring that μ_L is a probability measure and let $C_1 = m(\Delta H_L^{\text{cpt}})$. Then

$$(7.8) \quad \mu_L(\mathfrak{s}_L \Delta H_L^{\text{cpt}} x) = \frac{C_1}{|\text{Stab}_{\Delta H_L^{\text{cpt}}}(x)|}.$$

We compute the stabilizer. Write $x = b \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ for some $b \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ and observe

$$(7.9) \quad \text{Stab}_{\Delta H_L^{\text{cpt}}}(x) = b \text{Stab}_{\Delta H_{\hat{L}}^{\text{cpt}}}(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})) b^{-1}$$

as $\hat{L} = b_{1,\infty}^{-1} \cdot L$. The intersection $\Delta H_{\hat{L}}^{\text{cpt}} \cap \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ consists of rational elements g of $\Delta \mathbf{H}_{\hat{L}}(\mathbb{Q})$ whose first component g_1 is in $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$. Equivalently, it is the subgroup of $\Delta \mathbf{H}_{\hat{L}}(\mathbb{Q})$ of elements g with $g_1 \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ which is clearly isomorphic to $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{L}}(\mathbb{Z})$. In particular,

$$|\text{Stab}_{\Delta H_L^{\text{cpt}}}(x)| = |\mathbf{H}_{\hat{L}}(\mathbb{Z})|.$$

We now use that the one-to-one correspondence between $\mathbf{P}(L)$ and ΔH_L^{cpt} -orbits in $\Delta \mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A}) \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{U}$ (Lemma 7.3). By summing (7.7) over all such orbits we obtain

$$\mu_L(\mathcal{U}) = \sum_{[L', \Lambda_{L'}]_{\star} \in \mathbf{P}(L)} \frac{C_1}{|\mathbf{H}_{L'}(\mathbb{Z})|}$$

which determines C_1 . This concludes the lemma as by (7.8) and (7.9)

$$\mu_L|_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{s}_L \Delta H_L^{\text{cpt}} x) = C_1 \mu_L(\mathcal{U})^{-1} |\mathbf{H}_{\hat{L}}(\mathbb{Z})|^{-1}.$$

\square

7.4.1. Measures on $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{R}_Q^{n,k}(D)$. We have different measures on the set of cosets $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{R}_Q^{n,k}(D)$:

- ν_D is the pushforward of the normalized sum of Dirac measures on $\mathcal{R}_Q^{n,k}(D)$.
- For any $L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ oriented k -dimensional with $\text{disc}_Q(L) = D$ the measure $\nu_{\mathbf{P}(L)}$ is the pushforward of $\mu_L|_{\mathcal{U}}$ under the map Φ defined in (7.4). Here, the collection $\mathbf{P}(L)$ is defined in (7.5).

¹¹Note that the normalized restriction is well-defined (i.e. $\mu_L(\mathcal{U}) \neq 0$) as the intersection $\mathfrak{s}_L \Delta \mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A}) \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{U}$ contains $\mathfrak{s}_L(\Delta \mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A}) \cap \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})) \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ which is open in $\mathfrak{s}_L \Delta \mathbf{H}_L(\mathbb{A}) \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$.

We claim that ν_D is a convex combination of the measures $\nu_{P(L)}$ for L varying with discriminant D . The weights of the above measures may be computed explicitly. Beginning with the former, note that the mass ν_D gives to a point $[\hat{L}, \Lambda_{\hat{L}}]_{\star} \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{R}_Q^{n,k}(D)$ is, up to a fixed scalar multiple, the number of preimages of $[\hat{L}, \Lambda_{\hat{L}}]_{\star}$ under the quotient map $\mathcal{R}_Q^{n,k}(D) \rightarrow \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{R}_Q^{n,k}(D)$. In other words, it is a constant times

$$\#\{[g.\hat{L}, \Lambda_{g.\hat{L}}] : g \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z})\} = \#\{g.\hat{L} : g \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z})\} = \frac{|\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z})|}{|\mathbf{H}_{\hat{L}}(\mathbb{Z})|}.$$

By the same argument as in Lemma 7.4, we have (as $|\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z})|$ cancels out)

$$(7.10) \quad \nu_D([\hat{L}, \Lambda_{\hat{L}}]_{\star}) = \left(\sum_{[L', \Lambda_{L'}]_{\star} \in \text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{R}_Q^{n,k}(D)} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{H}_{L'}(\mathbb{Z})|} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{H}_{\hat{L}}(\mathbb{Z})|}$$

On the other hand, the measure $\nu_{P(L)}$ satisfies for any $[\hat{L}, \Lambda_{\hat{L}}]_{\star} \in P(L)$

$$(7.11) \quad \nu_{P(L)}([\hat{L}, \Lambda_{\hat{L}}]_{\star}) = \left(\sum_{[L', \Lambda_{L'}]_{\star} \in P(L)} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{H}_{L'}(\mathbb{Z})|} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{H}_{\hat{L}}(\mathbb{Z})|}$$

by Lemma 7.4.

Thus, the relative weights the measures ν_D and $\nu_{P(L)}$ assign agree. It follows from Remark 7.2 and (7.11) and (7.10) that ν_D is a convex combination of the measures $\nu_{P(L)}$ as claimed.

7.5. Conclusion. We now prove the remaining theorems. We proved in §6.4 that Theorem 6.9 implies Theorem 1.4 when $k > 2$ and Theorem 1.11. So it is left to prove Theorem 6.9 and Theorem 1.4 when $k = 2$.

Proof of Theorem 6.9. The key insight is that ν_{D_i} is a convex combination of measures that are equidistributed along any sequence of admissible subspaces. The assumption of D_i to be k -power free implies admissibility.

Let p be an odd prime not dividing $\text{disc}(Q)$ and let $D_i \rightarrow \infty$ be a sequence of integers as in the assumptions of the theorem for the prime p . We first claim that any sequence $L_i \in \mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D_i)$ is admissible (cf. §3). Observe first that Condition (1) is automatic. Also, the assumption $D_i^{[k]} \rightarrow \infty$ implies Condition (2). By Proposition 5.1 and $n - k \geq k$ we have

$$\text{disc}(L_i^{\perp})^{[n-k]} \geq \text{disc}(L_i^{\perp})^{[k]} \asymp_Q D_i^{[k]}$$

proving Condition (3). Lastly, Condition (4) follows from Propositions 5.1 and 2.9 (where the former implies $p \nmid \text{disc}_Q(L^{\perp})$).

For any sequence L_i as above together with an additional given orientation the measures $\nu_{P(L_i)}$ equidistribute to the Haar measure on $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{Y}^+$. Indeed, by admissibility the measures μ_{L_i} converge to the Haar measure μ on $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}) / \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ by Theorem 3.1. In particular, as \mathcal{U} is compact open we have $\mu_{L_i}|_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mu|_{\mathcal{U}}$. Taking the pushforward under Φ yields $\nu_{P(L_i)} \rightarrow \nu$ where ν is the Haar measure on $\text{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{Y}^+$.

The fact that ν_{D_i} is a convex combination of the measures $\nu_{P(L_i)}$ finally implies Theorem 6.9. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4 for $k = 2$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$ be the principal genus of $\bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{A}) / \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{Q})$. The following diagram commutes by construction:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}) / \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \supset \mathcal{U} & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathcal{U}} \subset \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{A}) / \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{Q}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{Y}^+ & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spin}_Q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}_{n,2}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{S}_2 \times \mathcal{S}_{n-2}.
\end{array}$$

By Theorem 4.1, the images of $\mathfrak{s}_{L_i} \mathbf{H}_{L_i}(\mathbb{A}) \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{U}$ in $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$ along any admissible sequence of subspaces L_i are equidistributed. On the other hand, by the above commutative diagram these images are given by the images of $P(L_i)$ under the bottom map. The rest of the argument is analogous to the case $k > 2$. \square

APPENDIX A. NON-EMPTYNESS FOR THE SUM OF SQUARES

In this section, we discuss non-emptiness conditions for the set $\mathcal{H}_Q^{n,k}(D)$ when Q is the sum of squares. To simplify notation, we write $\mathcal{H}^{n,k}(D)$. Note that we have a bijection

$$L \in \mathcal{H}^{n,k}(D) \mapsto L^\perp \in \mathcal{H}^{n,n-k}(D)$$

as Q is unimodular (see Proposition 5.4 and its corollary). In view of our goal, we will thus assume that $k \leq n - k$ throughout. We will also suppose that $n - k \geq 2$.

The question of when $\mathcal{H}^{n,k}(D)$ is non-empty is a very classical problem in number theory, particularly if $k = 1$. Here, note that $\mathcal{H}^{n,1}(D)$ is non-empty if and only if there exists a primitive vector $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $Q(v) = D$ (i.e. D is primitively represented as a sum of n squares).

- For $n = 3$, Legendre proved, assuming the existence of infinitely many primes in arithmetic progression, that $\mathcal{H}^{3,1}(D)$ is non-empty if and only if $D \not\equiv 0, 4, 7 \pmod{8}$. A complete proof was later given by Gauss [Gau86]; we shall nevertheless refer to this result as Legendre's three squares theorem.
- For $n = 4$, Lagrange's four squares theorem states that $\mathcal{H}^{4,1}(D)$ is non-empty if and only if $D \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$.
- For $n \geq 5$, we have $\mathcal{H}^{5,1}(D) \neq \emptyset$ for all $D \in \mathbb{N}$ as one can see from Lagrange's four square theorem. Indeed, if $D \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ the integer D is primitively represented as a sum of four squares and hence also of n squares (by adding zeros). If $D \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ one can primitively represent $D - 1$ as sum of four squares which yields a primitive representation of D as sum of five squares.

When $k = 2$, this question has been studied by Mordell [Mor32, Mor37] and Ko [Ko37]. In [AEW22], the first and last named authors have shown together with Einsiedler that

$$(A.1) \quad \mathcal{H}^{4,2}(D) \neq \emptyset \iff D \not\equiv 0, 7, 12, 15 \pmod{16}.$$

This concludes all cases with $n \in \{3, 4\}$. In this appendix we show by completely elementary methods the following.

Proposition A.1. *Suppose that $n \geq 5$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{n,k}(D)$ is non-empty.*

We first claim that it suffices to show that $\mathcal{H}^{5,2}(D)$ is non-empty. For this, observe that there exists for any (n, k) injective maps

$$(A.2) \quad \mathcal{H}^{n,k}(D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n+1,k}(D), \quad \mathcal{H}^{n,k}(D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n+1,k+1}(D).$$

The first map is given by viewing $L \in \mathcal{H}^{n,k}(D)$ as a subspace of \mathbb{Q}^{n+1} via $\mathbb{Q}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^n \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{n+1}$. The second map associates to $L = \mathbb{Q}v_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Q}v_k \in \mathcal{H}^{n,k}(D)$ the subspace $\mathbb{Q}(v_1, 0) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Q}(v_k, 0) \oplus \mathbb{Q}e_{n+1} \in \mathcal{H}^{n+1,k+1}(D)$. In particular, Proposition A.1 for $(n, k) = (5, 2)$ implies Proposition A.1 for $(n, k) = (6, 2), (6, 3)$. One then proceeds inductively to verify the claim.

A.1. A construction of Schmidt. Though it is not, strictly speaking, necessary, we introduce here a conceptual construction of Schmidt [Sch68] that captures what can be done with inductive arguments as in (A.2). As before, we identify \mathbb{Q}^n with a subspace of \mathbb{Q}^{n+1} via $\mathbb{Q}^n \simeq \mathbb{Q}^n \times \{0\}$. Given any $L \in \text{Gr}_{n+1,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ we have that

either the intersection $L \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$ is $(k-1)$ -dimensional or L is contained in \mathbb{Q}^n . In particular, we can write

$$\mathcal{H}^{n+1,k}(D) = \mathcal{H}^{n,k}(D) \sqcup \mathcal{H}_{\text{nd}}^{n+1,k}(D)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{\text{nd}}^{n+1,k}(D)$ denotes the subspaces $L \in \mathcal{H}^{n+1,k}(D)$ for which $L \not\subset \mathbb{Q}^n$. We also let $\text{Gr}_{n+1,k}^{\text{nd}}(\mathbb{Q})$ be the subspaces $L \in \text{Gr}_{n+1,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ for which $L \not\subset \mathbb{Q}^n$. Here, 'nd' stands for 'non-degenerate'.

We now associate to $L \in \text{Gr}_{n+1,k}^{\text{nd}}(\mathbb{Q})$ three quantities. Let $L' = L \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$. Furthermore, note that the projection of $L(\mathbb{Z})$ onto the x_{n+1} -axis consists of multiples of some vector $(0, \dots, 0, h_L)$ where $h_L \in \mathbb{N}$. As $(0, \dots, 0, h_L)$ comes from projection of $L(\mathbb{Z})$, there exists some vector $(u_L, h_L) \in L(\mathbb{Z})$. We define v_L to be the projection of u_L onto the orthogonal complement of L' inside \mathbb{Q}^n .

Proposition A.2 ([Sch68, §5]). *The following properties hold:*

- (i) *For any $L \in \text{Gr}_{n+1,k}^{\text{nd}}(\mathbb{Q})$ the pair (h_L, v_L) is relatively prime in the following sense: there is no integer $d > 1$ such that $d^{-1}h_L \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d^{-1}v_L \in \pi_{L'^{\perp}}(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1})$.*
- (ii) *Let (h, \bar{L}, v) be any triplet with $h \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bar{L} \in \text{Gr}_{n,k-1}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $v \in \pi_{\bar{L}}(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1})$ such that (h, v) is relatively prime. Then there exists a unique $L \in \text{Gr}_{n+1,k}^{\text{nd}}(\mathbb{Q})$ with $(h, \bar{L}, v) = (h_L, L', v_L)$.*
- (iii) *We have*

$$\text{disc}(L) = \text{disc}(L')(h_L^2 + Q(v_L)).$$

We remark that the construction in (ii) is quite explicit: If $u \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ satisfies $\pi_{\bar{L}}(u) = v$, one defines L to be the span of \bar{L} and the vector (u, h) .

To illustrate this construction we show the direction in (A.1) that we will need for Proposition A.1.

Lemma A.3. *If $D \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $D \not\equiv 0, 7, 12, 15 \pmod{16}$, then $\mathcal{H}^{4,2}(D)$ is non-empty.*

Proof. By Legendre's three squares theorem and (A.2), we have

$$D \not\equiv 0, 4, 7 \pmod{8} \implies \mathcal{H}^{4,2}(D) \neq \emptyset.$$

So suppose that D is congruent to 4, 8 modulo 16. In view of Proposition A.2, we let L' be the line through $(1, -1, 0)$ so that $\text{disc}(L') = 2$. Thus, it remains to find relatively prime $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v \in \pi_{L'}(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ with $\frac{D}{2} = h^2 + Q(v)$. Note that

$$\pi_{L'}(\mathbb{Z}^3) = \mathbb{Z} \frac{e_1 + e_2}{2} + \mathbb{Z}e_3$$

so that we may choose $v = a \frac{e_1 + e_2}{2} + be_3$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, we need to find a solution to

$$\frac{D}{2} = h^2 + \frac{a^2}{4} + \frac{a^2}{4} + b^2 = h^2 + \frac{a^2}{2} + b^2$$

such that (h, a, b) is primitive.

Equivalently, this corresponds to finding a primitive representation of D by the ternary form $x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + 2x_3^2$. This is again a very classical problem and has been settled by Dickson [Dic27]; as the argument is very short and elementary we give it here. Note that $\frac{D}{4}$ is congruent to 1 or 2 modulo 4 and hence there is $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ primitive with $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = \frac{D}{4}$. As $\frac{D}{4} \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ at least one and at most two

of the integers x, y, z must be even. Suppose without loss of generality that x is even and y is odd. One checks that

$$D = 2(x + y)^2 + 2(x - y)^2 + (2z)^2$$

and observing that $(x + y, x - y, 2z)$ is primitive as $x + y$ is odd, the claim follows in this case. \square

Proof of Proposition A.1. As explained, it suffices to consider the case $(n, k) = (5, 2)$. In view of Lagrange's four squares theorem and (A.2), we may suppose that $D \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. Moreover, we can assume that $D \equiv 0, 7, 12, 15 \pmod{16}$ by (A.2) and Lemma A.3. To summarize, we only need to consider the case $D \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$.

We again employ the technique in Proposition A.2. Consider the subspace $L' \subset \mathbb{Q}^4$ spanned by the vector $(1, -1, 0, 0)$ which has discriminant 2. Then

$$\pi_{L'}(\mathbb{Z}^4) = \mathbb{Z} \frac{e_1 + e_2}{2} + \mathbb{Z}e_3 + \mathbb{Z}e_4$$

and as in the proof of Lemma A.3 we need to find a primitive representation (h, a, b, c) of $\frac{D}{2}$ as

$$\frac{D}{2} = h^2 + \frac{a^2}{2} + b^2 + c^2.$$

Setting $a = 2$ and observing that $\frac{D}{2} - 2 \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$ the claim follows from Legendre's three squares theorem. \square

APPENDIX B. MORE RESULTS AROUND DISCRIMINANTS AND INDUCED FORMS

The contents of this section of the appendix are of elementary nature and complement the results in §5.

B.1. Local glue groups. In this section we briefly explain how to compute the glue group in terms of local data. This is largely in analogy to the local formula for the discriminant (1.5). Define for any prime p

$$\mathcal{G}_p(L) = L(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\# / L(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

where we recall that $L(\mathbb{Z}_p) = L(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and

$$L(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\# = \{v \in L(\mathbb{Q}_p) : \langle v, w \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{G}_p(L)$ is trivial for all but finitely many p . Indeed, $\mathcal{G}_p(L)$ is trivial if L is p -unimodular for an odd prime p , i.e. $p \nmid \text{disc}_Q(L)$ (see also Remark B.2 for a much finer statement). Also, it is easy to adapt Lemma 5.3 and Proposition 5.4 to their local analogues. Here, we prove the following:

Lemma B.1. *We have*

$$(B.1) \quad \mathcal{G}(L) \simeq \prod_p \mathcal{G}_p(L).$$

Taking cardinalities, (B.1) encodes the (obvious) local product formula for discriminants (1.5).

Proof. The image of the natural inclusion $L(\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow L(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is dense for every p . In particular, the image of $L(\mathbb{Z})^\#$ under $L(\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow L(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ lies in $L(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\#$ and is dense therein. We obtain a homomorphism $\iota : \mathcal{G}(L) \rightarrow \prod_p \mathcal{G}_p(L)$. We prove that ι is the desired isomorphism. Let $(v_i)_i$ be an integral basis of $L(\mathbb{Z})$.

Let $v + L(\mathbb{Z})$ be in the kernel of ι . Then $v \in L(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for every p or, equivalently, the coordinates of v in the \mathbb{Z} -basis $(v_i)_i$ of $L(\mathbb{Z})$ have no denominators in p for every p . Hence $v \in L(\mathbb{Z})$ and ι is injective.

As $\mathcal{G}_p(L)$ is trivial for all but finitely many p , it suffices to find for any $v \in L(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\#$ an element $w \in L(\mathbb{Z})^\#$ with $w + L(\mathbb{Z}_p) = v + L(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $w \in L(\mathbb{Z}_q)$ for any $q \neq p$. Let $v \in L(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\#$ and write $v = \sum_i \alpha_i v_i$ where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. For every i let $\beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ be such that $\alpha_i \in \beta_i + \mathbb{Z}_p$ and set $w = \sum_i \beta_i v_i \in L(\mathbb{Q})$ as well as $u = w - v \in L(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Then clearly for every i

$$\langle w, v_i \rangle = \langle v, v_i \rangle + \langle u, v_i \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_p,$$

that is, $w \in L(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\#$, and $\langle w, v_i \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$. But $\mathbb{Z}_p \cap \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] = \mathbb{Z}$ and hence $w \in L(\mathbb{Z})^\#$. Observe also that by construction $w \in L(\mathbb{Z}_q)$ for every prime $q \neq p$. Hence ι is surjective. \square

Remark B.2. The isomorphism in (B.1) is particularly handy when one tries to explicitly compute glue-groups. Indeed, recall that for any odd prime p an integral quadratic form q over \mathbb{Z}_p is diagonalizable [Cas78, Ch. 8]. For

$$q(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \alpha_1 p^{\ell_1} x_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_k p^{\ell_k} x_k^2$$

with units $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and $\ell_i \geq 0$, the glue-group is

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell_1} \mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell_k} \mathbb{Z}.$$

For $p = 2$ an integral quadratic form q need not be diagonalizable over \mathbb{Z}_2 . However, by [Cas78, Lemma 4.1] we may write q as a (direct) sum of forms of the following types in distinct variables:

$$(B.2) \quad 2^\ell \alpha x_1^2, \quad 2^\ell (2x_1 x_2) \quad \text{and} \quad 2^\ell (2x_1^2 + 2x_1 x_2 + 2x_2^2)$$

with $\ell \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\times$. An elementary computation leads to observing that the glue groups of the quadratic forms in (B.2) are respectively:

$$(B.3) \quad \mathbb{Z}/2^\ell \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathbb{Z}/2^\ell \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^\ell \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Z}/2^\ell \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^\ell \mathbb{Z}.$$

It follows that the glue group has essentially the same structure as in the case of p odd. More precisely, assume that

$$q(x_1, \dots, x_k) = q_1 + \dots + q_m$$

where the q_i 's are forms as in (B.2) with exponents $\ell = \ell_i$ satisfying $\ell_1 \leq \dots \leq \ell_m$. Then the glue group is a product of groups as in (B.3) with exponents $\ell_1 \leq \dots \leq \ell_m$.

B.2. Indices of projected lattices. For any subspace $L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ we denote the index of $L(\mathbb{Z})$ in $L \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$ by $i(L)$. Then the proof of Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.3 shows that

$$\text{disc}_Q(L^\perp) = \frac{i(L^\perp)}{i(L)} \text{disc}_Q(L).$$

The following proposition establishes a fundamental relation between the indices for L and L^\perp .

Proposition B.3. *Let $L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ be a subspace. The sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow (L^\perp \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#) / L^\perp(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# / \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow L(\mathbb{Z})^\# / \pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow 0.$$

obtained by inclusion and projection is exact. In particular,

$$i(L)i(L^\perp) = \text{disc}(Q).$$

Similarly, for any prime p

$$[L(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap (\mathbb{Z}_p^n)^\# : L(\mathbb{Z}_p)] \cdot [L^\perp(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap (\mathbb{Z}_p^n)^\# : L^\perp(\mathbb{Z}_p)] = p^{\nu_p(\text{disc}(Q))}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.3, the orthogonal projection π_L defines a surjective morphism

$$f : (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# \rightarrow L(\mathbb{Z})^\# / \pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n).$$

The kernel of this morphism can be described by:

$$(B.4) \quad \ker(f) = \{v \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# : \text{there exists } w \in \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ such that } v - w \in L^\perp\}.$$

Clearly $L^\perp \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# \subset \ker(f)$. We claim that the inclusion of $L^\perp \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$ into $\ker(f)$ induces an isomorphism:

$$L^\perp \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# / L^\perp(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ker(f) / \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

The fact that the map $L^\perp \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# \rightarrow \ker(f) / \mathbb{Z}^n$ induced by the inclusion is surjective follows immediately from the characterization of $\ker(f)$ in (B.4). Since the kernel of this map is clearly $L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})$, the claim is proven. It follows that

$$0 \rightarrow L^\perp \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# / L^\perp(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\# / \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow L(\mathbb{Z})^\# / \pi_L(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow 0.$$

is a short exact sequence. The local analogue follows similarly. \square

Remark B.4. It would be interesting to see statistical results regarding these indices. To give a concrete example, suppose $\text{disc}(Q) = 2$. Then clearly $i(L) \in \{1, 2\}$ for any subspace L and one can ask what the proportion of subspaces L with $i(L) = 1$ (or $i(L^\perp) = 2$) is. If $k = n - k$, Proposition B.3 shows that the number of subspaces with $i(L) = 1$ and $i(L) = 2$ is the same.

B.3. Primitive forms. Here, we study to what extent the induced forms q_L, q_{L^\perp} (defined in §1.4.2 up to equivalence) for a given subspace $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ need to be primitive. For instance, we establish that for $k < n - k$ the form q_{L^\perp} needs to be essentially primitive (while q_L does not). First, observe that indeed the form q_L need not be primitive:

Example B.5. Let $n \geq 6$, let $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ denote the standard basis vectors of \mathbb{Q}^n and suppose that $Q = Q_0$ is the standard positive definite form. Let $(v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be a primitive vector. Then the integer lattice in the subspace

$$L = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{v_1 e_1 + v_2 e_2, v_1 e_3 + v_2 e_4, v_1 e_5 + v_2 e_6\}$$

is spanned by $v_1 e_1 + v_2 e_2, v_1 e_3 + v_2 e_4, v_1 e_5 + v_2 e_6$ which are orthogonal vectors. In this basis,

$$q_L(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (v_1^2 + v_2^2)(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)$$

which is a highly non-primitive form. Similarly, $L^\perp(\mathbb{Z})$ is spanned by the integer vectors $v_2 e_1 - v_1 e_2, v_2 e_3 - v_1 e_4, v_2 e_5 - v_1 e_6, e_7, \dots, e_n$ and hence in this basis

$$q_{L^\perp}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-3}) = (v_1^2 + v_2^2)(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) + x_4^2 + \dots + x_{n-3}^2$$

In particular, q_{L^\perp} is primitive if $n > 3$ and otherwise $\gcd(q_{L^\perp}) = \gcd(q_L)$ (as $q_{L^\perp} = q_L$ in this specific example). This type of behavior is generally true as established below. For more examples we also refer to [AEW22, Example 2.4].

Proposition B.6. *Let $L \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}(\mathbb{Q})$. If $k > n - k$, $\gcd(q_L)$ divides $\text{disc}(Q)$ and*

$$\text{disc}(\tilde{q}_L) \asymp_Q \text{disc}_Q(L).$$

Conversely, if $k < n - k$, $\gcd(q_{L^\perp})$ divides $\text{disc}(Q)$ and $\text{disc}(\tilde{q}_{L^\perp}) \asymp_Q \text{disc}_Q(L)$.

Moreover, if $k = n - k$ we have $\gcd(q_L) \asymp_Q \gcd(q_{L^\perp})$ and

$$\text{disc}(\tilde{q}_L) \asymp_Q \text{disc}(\tilde{q}_{L^\perp}).$$

For convenience of the reader, we provide two proofs of the first claim in the proposition; the second uses glue groups and generalizes to $k = n - k$.

First proof for $k \neq n - k$. Fix a basis v_1, \dots, v_k of $L(\mathbb{Z})$ and complete it into a basis v_1, \dots, v_n of \mathbb{Z}^n . Let v_1^*, \dots, v_n^* be its dual basis. Since $k > n - k$, without loss of generality we may assume $v_1 \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n)^\perp$. Note that $v_1^* \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\#$ and so $\text{disc}(Q)v_1^* \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. In particular, we may write

$$\text{disc}(Q)v_1^* = \sum_{s \leq n} a_s v_s \text{ with } a_s \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By our choice of v_1 we have

$$\text{disc}(Q) = \langle \text{disc}(Q)v_1^*, v_1 \rangle_Q = \sum_{s \leq k} a_s \langle v_s, v_1 \rangle_Q$$

and the first claim follows as $\gcd(q_L)$ divides the right-hand side. \square

Proof. Given a prime p we write $\text{ord}_p(q_L)$ for the largest integer m with $p^m \mid \gcd(q_L)$. Note that $\text{ord}_p(q_L)$ can be extracted from the glue-group of L whenever $p \mid \gcd(q_L)$ – see Remark B.2.

To begin the proof, fix p and note that $a_L := \text{ord}_p(q_L)$ can be characterized as follows: it is the smallest integer m so that there exists a primitive vector $v \in L(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\#$ with $p^m v \in L(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. To see this, first assume p is an odd prime. Then, as in Remark B.2 (after possibly changing the basis), we may write

$$q_L(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \alpha_1 p^{\ell_1} x_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_k p^{\ell_k} x_k^2$$

with $\ell_1 \leq \ell_2 \leq \dots \leq \ell_k$. If v is a vector as above, the expression for the glue-group in Remark B.2 as well as primitivity imply that $m \geq \ell_1$. Conversely, it is easy to see that the first vector v in the above (implicit) choice of basis of $L(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ satisfies $p^{-\ell_1} v \in L(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\#$ and is primitive. For $p = 2$ the proof above can be adapted using Remark B.2.

Define a'_L as the smallest integer m so that there exists a primitive vector $v' \in \pi_L(\mathbb{Z}_p^n)$ with $p^m v' \in L(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. We argue that $a'_L \leq a_L$. Let v be as in the above definition of a_L . Then, there exists an integer $i \leq a_L$ such that $p^i v \in \pi_L(\mathbb{Z}_p^n)$ and $p^i v$ is primitive in $\pi_L(\mathbb{Z}_p^n)$. For this integer i set $v' := p^i v$ and observe that $p^{a_L - i} v' = p^{a_L} v \in L(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Therefore, $a'_L \leq a_L - i \leq a_L$ as claimed. In analogous fashion, one argues that $a_L \leq a'_L + \text{ord}_p(i_p(L))$ so that the following inequalities hold:

$$a'_L \leq a_L \leq a'_L + \text{ord}_p(i_p(L)).$$

Suppose now that $k > n - k$. Applying Proposition 5.4 we see that there exists $v' \in \pi_L(\mathbb{Z}_p^n)$ primitive with $v' \in L(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Indeed, as $\pi_{L^\perp}(\mathbb{Z}_p^n)/L^\perp(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a product of at most k non-trivial cyclic groups, the same is true for $\pi_L(\mathbb{Z}_p^n)/L(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ implying the claim. Therefore, $a'_L = 0$ and hence $a_L \leq \text{ord}_p(i_p(L))$. This shows that $\text{gcd}(q_L) \mid i(L)$ which proves a sharpened version of the first part of the proposition (cf. Proposition B.3).

Suppose now that $k = n - k$. We show first that $a'_L = a'_{L^\perp}$. If $a'_L = 0$, $\pi_L(\mathbb{Z}_p^n)/L(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a product of at most $k - 1$ cyclic groups and hence the same is true for $\pi_{L^\perp}(\mathbb{Z}_p^n)/L^\perp(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ by Proposition 5.4. This implies that $a'_{L^\perp} = 0$. If $a'_L \neq 0$, the number a'_L is exactly the smallest order of a non-trivial element in $\pi_L(\mathbb{Z}_p^n)/L(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Applying the same for L^\perp yields $a'_L = a'_{L^\perp}$ in all cases. In particular,

$$a_L \leq a'_{L^\perp} + \text{ord}_p(i_p(L)) \leq a_{L^\perp} + \text{ord}_p(i_p(L)).$$

Varying the prime p we obtain that

$$\text{gcd}(q_L) \mid \text{gcd}(q_{L^\perp})i(L)$$

and conversely. This finishes the proof of the proposition. \square

REFERENCES

- [AELM20] M. Aka, M. Einsiedler, H. Li, and A. Mohammadi, *On effective equidistribution for quotients of $\text{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$* , Israel J. Math. **236** (2020), no. 1, 365–391. MR4093891
- [AEL⁺21] M. Aka, M. Einsiedler, M. Luethi, Ph. Michel, and A. Wieser, *Mixing, effective disjointness and applications* (2021). In preparation.
- [AES16a] M. Aka, M. Einsiedler, and U. Shapira, *Integer points on spheres and their orthogonal grids*, J London Math Soc **93** (2016), no. 1, 143–158.
- [AES16b] M. Aka, M. Einsiedler, and U. Shapira, *Integer points on spheres and their orthogonal lattices*, Invent. Math. **206** (2016), no. 2, 379–396.
- [AEW22] M. Aka, M. Einsiedler, and A. Wieser, *Planes in four-space and four associated CM points*, Duke Math. J. **171** (2022), no. 7, 1469–1529. MR4484212
- [ALMW22] M. Aka, M. Luethi, P. Michel, and A. Wieser, *Simultaneous supersingular reductions of CM elliptic curves*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **786** (2022), 1–43. MR4434745
- [AW21] M. Aka and A. Wieser, *Planes in four space and associated CM points for general quadratic forms* (2021). In preparation.
- [BB20] V. Blomer and F. Brumley, *Simultaneous equidistribution of toric periods and fractional moments of L-functions*, arXiv:2009.07093 (2020).
- [Ber19] M. Bersudsky, *On the distribution of primitive subgroups of \mathbb{Z}^d of large covolume*, arXiv:1908.07165 (2019).
- [BT73] A. Borel and J. Tits, *Homomorphismes” abstraits” de groupes algebriques simples*, Ann. Math. **97** (1973), 499–571.
- [Cas78] J. W. S. Cassels, *Rational Quadratic Forms*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, vol. 13, Academic Press Inc., 1978.
- [Cas97] J. W. S. Cassels, *An introduction to the geometry of numbers*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer, 1997.
- [CS99] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*, third, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 290, Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [Dic27] L. E. Dickson, *Integers represented by positive ternary quadratic forms*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (1927), 63–70.
- [Duk88] W. Duke, *Hyperbolic distribution problems and half-integral weight Maass forms*, Invent. Math. **92** (1988), no. 1, 73–90.
- [Dyn52] E. B. Dynkin, *Maximal subgroups of the classical groups*, Trudy Moskovskogo Matematicheskogo Obshchestva **1** (1952), 39–166.
- [EGH⁺11] P. I. Etingof, O. Golberg, S. Hensel, T. Liu, A. Schwendner, D. Vaintrob, and E. Yudovina, *Introduction to representation theory*, Vol. 59, American Mathematical Soc., 2011.

- [EL19] M. Einsiedler and E. Lindenstrauss, *Joinings of higher rank torus actions on homogeneous spaces*, Publ. Math. IHES **129** (2019), 83–127.
- [ELMV11] M. Einsiedler, E. Lindenstrauss, Ph. Michel, and A. Venkatesh, *Distribution of periodic torus orbits and Duke’s theorem for cubic fields*, Ann. Math. **173** (2011), 815–885.
- [ERW19] M. Einsiedler, R. Rühr, and P. Wirth, *Distribution of shapes of orthogonal lattices*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **39** (2019), no. 6, 1531–1607.
- [EV08] J. S. Ellenberg and A. Venkatesh, *Local-global principles for representations of quadratic forms*, Invent. Math. **171** (2008), 257–279.
- [Gau86] C. F. Gauss, *Disquisitiones arithmeticae*, Springer-Verlag, 1986. Translated and with a preface by Arthur A. Clarke, Revised by William C. Waterhouse, Cornelius Greither and A.W.Grootendorst and with a preface by Waterhouse.
- [GO11] A. Gorodnik and H. Oh, *Rational points on homogeneous varieties and equidistribution of adelic periods*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **21** (2011), no. 2, 318–392. With an appendix by Mikhail Borovoi.
- [HK20] T. Horesh and Y. Karasik, *Equidistribution of primitive lattices in \mathbb{R}^n* , arXiv:2012.04508 (2020).
- [HM06] G. Harcos and P. Michel, *The subconvexity problem for Rankin-Selberg L-functions and equidistribution of Heegner points. II*, Invent. Math. **163** (2006), no. 3, 581–655.
- [Iwa87] H. Iwaniec, *Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), no. 2, 385–401.
- [Kha21] I. Khayutin, *Equidistribution on Kuga-Sato varieties of torsion points on CM elliptic curves*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **23** (2021), no. 9, 2949–3016. MR4275469
- [Kit93] Y. Kitaoka, *Arithmetic of quadratic forms*, Vol. 106, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [Knu88] M.-A. Knus, *Quadratic forms, Clifford algebras and spinors*, Seminários de Matemática, IMECC–UNICAMP, vol. 1, Campinas, 1988.
- [Ko37] C. Ko, *On the representation of a quadratic form as a sum of squares of linear forms*, Q. J. Math. **1** (1937), 81–98.
- [LS98] M. Liebeck and G. Seitz, *On the subgroup structure of classical groups*, Invent. Math. **134** (1998), 427–453.
- [Maa56] H. Maass, *Spherical functions and quadratic forms*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **20** (1956), 117–162.
- [Maa59] H. Maass, *Über die Verteilung der zweidimensionalen Untergitter in einem euklidischen Gitter*, Mathematische Annalen **137** (1959), 319–327.
- [Mah46] K. Mahler, *On lattice points in n-dimensional star bodies. I. Existence theorems*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **187** (1946), 151–187. MR17753
- [McM11] C. McMullen, *K3 surfaces, entropy and glue*, J. Reine Angew. Math **658** (2011), 1–25.
- [Mor32] L. J. Mordell, *On the representations of a binary quadratic form as a sum of squares of linear forms*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **35** (1932), no. 1, 1–15.
- [Mor37] L. J. Mordell, *An applications of quaternions to the representation of a binary quadratic form as a sum of four linear squares*, Q. J. Math. **1** (1937), 58–61.
- [MS95] S. Mozes and N. Shah, *On the space of ergodic invariant measures of unipotent flows*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **15** (1995), no. 1, 149–159.
- [MT96] G. A. Margulis and G. Tomanov, *Measure rigidity for almost linear groups and its applications*, J. Anal. Math. **69** (1996), 25–54.
- [PR94] V. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 139, Academic Press, Inc., 1994. Translated from the 1991 Russian original by R. Rowen.
- [Rat91] M. Ratner, *On Raghunathan’s measure conjecture*, Ann. Math. **134** (1991), no. 3, 545–607.
- [Rat95] M. Ratner, *Raghunathan’s conjectures for Cartesian products of real and p-adic Lie groups.*, Duke Math. J. **77** (1995), no. 2, 275–382.
- [Sch67] W. M. Schmidt, *On heights of algebraic subspaces and diophantine approximations*, Ann. of Math. (2) **85** (1967), 430–472. MR213301
- [Sch68] W. Schmidt, *Asymptotic formulae for point lattices of bounded determinant and subspaces of bounded height*, Duke Math. J. **35** (1968), no. 2, 327–339.

- [Sch98] W. Schmidt, *The distribution of sublattices of \mathbb{Z}^m* , Monatsh. Math. **125** (1998), no. 1, 37–81.
- [Wie19] A. Wieser, *Linnik’s problems and maximal entropy methods*, Monatsh. Math. **190** (2019), 153–208.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ETH ZÜRICH, RAMISTRASSE 101, ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND

D-GESS, ETH ZÜRICH, RAMISTRASSE 101, ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, GIVAT RAM, HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM,
JERUSALEM, ISRAEL