

AFPP and Unions of Convex Disks in the Digital Plane

Laurence Boxer *

Abstract

We use results of [6] to enlarge our knowledge of the approximate fixed point property (AFPP) for digital images in \mathbb{Z}^2 . In particular, we study conditions under which the union of two convex digital disks has the AFPP.

Key words and phrases: digital topology, digital image, convex, approximate fixed point

1 Introduction

We quote from [6].

The study of fixed points is prominent in many branches of mathematics. In digital topology, it has become worthwhile to broaden the study to “approximate fixed points.” The Approximate Fixed Point Property (AFPP), a generalization of the classical fixed point property (FPP), was introduced in [7].

At the current writing, there is much to be learned about the AFPP, even for digital images in the digital plane. In this paper, we extend the work of [6] in showing how for digital images $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, convexity can help us determine whether (X, c_2) has the AFPP.

2 Preliminaries

Much of this section is quoted or paraphrased from papers that are listed in the references, especially [3, 4, 5, 7].

We use \mathbb{Z} to indicate the set of integers; \mathbb{R} for the set of real numbers.

*Department of Computer and Information Sciences, Niagara University, Niagara University, NY 14109, USA; and Department of Computer Science and Engineering, State University of New York at Buffalo. email: boxer@niagara.edu

2.1 Adjacencies

A digital image is a graph (X, κ) , where X is a subset of \mathbb{Z}^n for some positive integer n , and κ is an adjacency relation for the points of X . The c_u -adjacencies are commonly used. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $x \neq y$, where we consider these points as n -tuples of integers:

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad y = (y_1, \dots, y_n).$$

Let $u \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq u \leq n$. We say x and y are c_u -adjacent if

- There are at most u indices i for which $|x_i - y_i| = 1$.
- For all indices j such that $|x_j - y_j| \neq 1$ we have $x_j = y_j$.

Often, a c_u -adjacency is denoted by the number of points adjacent to a given point in \mathbb{Z}^n using this adjacency. E.g.,

- In \mathbb{Z}^1 , c_1 -adjacency is 2-adjacency.
- In \mathbb{Z}^2 , c_1 -adjacency is 4-adjacency and c_2 -adjacency is 8-adjacency.
- In \mathbb{Z}^3 , c_1 -adjacency is 6-adjacency, c_2 -adjacency is 18-adjacency, and c_3 -adjacency is 26-adjacency.

We write $x \leftrightarrow_{\kappa} x'$, or $x \leftrightarrow x'$ when κ is understood, to indicate that x and x' are κ -adjacent. Similarly, we write $x \Leftrightarrow_{\kappa} x'$, or $x \Leftrightarrow x'$ when κ is understood, to indicate that x and x' are κ -adjacent or equal.

Let (X, κ) be a digital image and $a, b \in X$. A *path from a to b in X* is a sequence $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^m \subset Y$ such that $a = y_0$, $b = y_m$, and $y_i \leftrightarrow_{\kappa} y_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i < m$.

A subset Y of a digital image (X, κ) is κ -connected [12], or *connected* when κ is understood, if for every pair of points $a, b \in Y$ there exists a κ -path in Y from a to b . A maximal κ -connected subset of X is a κ -component of X .

Given a digital image (X, κ) and $x \in X$, we denote by $N^*(X, \kappa, x)$ the set $\{y \in X \mid y \Leftrightarrow_{\kappa} x\}$.

Definition 2.1. [10] Given digital images $(X_1, \kappa) \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $(X_2, \kappa) \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, the image (X, κ) is a *wedge of X_1 and X_2* , denoted $X = X_1 \vee X_2$, if (X, κ) is isomorphic to $X_1 \cup X_2$ such that

- $X_1 \cap X_2$ is a set with a single point, say, x_0 , called the *wedge point*; and
- if $x, x' \in X$ and $x \leftrightarrow_{\kappa} x'$, then either $\{x, x'\} \subset X_1$ or $\{x, x'\} \subset X_2$.

2.2 Digitally continuous functions

The following generalizes a definition of [12].

Definition 2.2. [2] Let (X, κ) and (Y, λ) be digital images. A single-valued function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is (κ, λ) -continuous if for every κ -connected $A \subset X$ we have that $f(A)$ is a λ -connected subset of Y . \square

When the adjacency relations are understood, we will simply say that f is *continuous*. Continuity can be expressed in terms of adjacency of points:

Theorem 2.3. [12, 2] *A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if and only if $x \leftrightarrow x'$ in X implies $f(x) \Leftrightarrow f(x')$. \square*

See also [8, 9], where similar notions are referred to as *immersions*, *gradually varied operators*, and *gradually varied mappings*.

If $f : (X, \kappa) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is a (κ, λ) -continuous bijection such that $f^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$ is (λ, κ) -continuous, then f is an *isomorphism* (called a *homeomorphism* in [1]), and we say (X, κ) and (Y, λ) are *isomorphic*.

Let $Y \subset X$ and let $r : X \rightarrow Y$ be (κ, κ) -continuous such that $r(y) = y$ for all $y \in Y$. Then r is a κ -*retraction*.

The notation $C(X, \kappa)$ denotes $\{f : X \rightarrow X \mid f \text{ is } (\kappa, \kappa) \text{-continuous}\}$.

For $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, the projection functions $p_1, p_2 : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ are

$$p_1(x, y) = x, \quad p_2(x, y) = y.$$

2.3 Digital convexity, disks

Material in this section is quoted or paraphrased from [5].

Let $n > 1$. We say a c_2 -connected set $S = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ is a (*digital*) *line segment* if the members of S are collinear. A *digital line* is a c_2 -connected set S in which all members are collinear and (S, c_2) is isomorphic to (\mathbb{Z}, c_1) .

Remark 2.4. [5] *A digital line segment or line must be vertical, horizontal, or have slope of ± 1 . We say a segment or line with slope of ± 1 is slanted. A horizontal or vertical line is axis parallel.*

Given a digital line $L \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus L$ has two c_1 -components. The union of L and a c_1 -component of $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus L$ is a (*digital*) *half-plane*.

A (*digital*) κ -*closed curve* is a path $S = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^{m-1}$ such that $i \neq j$ implies $s_i \neq s_j$, and $s_i \leftrightarrow_{\kappa} s_{(i+1) \bmod m}$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-1$.

Definition 2.5. [5] Let $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ be a c_2 -closed curve such that $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus S$ has two c_1 -components, one finite (possibly empty) and the other infinite. The union D of S and the finite c_1 -component of $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus S$ is a (*digital*) *disk*. S is a *bounding curve* of D . The finite c_1 -component of $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus S$ is the *interior* of S , denoted $Int(S)$, and the infinite c_1 -component of $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus S$ is the *exterior* of S , denoted $Ext(S)$.

A maximal digital line segment in S is an *edge* of S . Note a disk may have multiple distinct bounding curves [5].

A set X in a Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n is *convex* if for every pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$, the line segment \overline{xy} from x to y is contained in X . The *convex hull* of $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, denoted $hull(Y)$, is the smallest convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n that contains Y . If $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a finite set, then $hull(Y)$ is a single point if Y is a singleton; a line segment if Y has at least 2 members and all are collinear; otherwise, $hull(Y)$ is a polygonal disk, and the endpoints of the edges of $hull(Y)$ are its *vertices*.




Figure 1: Left: a triangular disc T in the Euclidean plane with vertices $(0, 0)$, $(4, 0)$, and $(4, 3)$. Right: the digital image $Y = T \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$. It seems reasonable to call $Y \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, but not Y , digitally convex. Note also that since the only digital line segments among the marked points containing $(0, 0)$ are (horizontal) subsets of Y , it is not sufficient to define a digitally convex set as one containing all the digital segments (which must be horizontal, vertical, or have slope ± 1) connecting pairs of its points.

Unsatisfactory attempts to define digital convexity for finite subsets of the digital plane \mathbb{Z}^2 include the following.

- We might try defining convexity by the condition that $Y = Y' \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ where Y' is a convex subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . Figure 1 illustrates why this is unsatisfying; the triangular disk $Y' \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with vertices $(0, 0)$, $(4, 0)$, and $(4, 3)$ meets \mathbb{Z}^2 in the digital image Y shown on the right side of this figure. It appears reasonable to call $Y \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ digitally convex, but not Y .
- We might try defining convexity by the condition Y contains every digital segment connecting two of its points. The example of Figure 1 shows this to be unsatisfying. Note in this digital image, the only digital segments containing $(0, 0)$ are horizontal and contained in Y .
- We might try defining convexity by the condition that given $y_0, y_1 \in Y$, there is a digital segment in Y from y_0 to y_1 . That this is unsatisfying can be seen in Figure 2, where the image shown is one that we want to call convex, although its points $(2, 2)$ and $(3, 4)$ are not connected in Y by a digital segment.

We want our definition to capture the feel that a convex disk is a digital version of a Euclidean convex polygon in which all vertices are integer points and all edges are digital line segments (hence are axis parallel or slanted). Thus, we have the following definition.

Definition 2.6. [5] A finite set $Y \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ is (*digitally*) *convex* if either

- Y is a single point, or

- Y is a digital line segment, or
- Y is a digital disk with a bounding curve S such that the endpoints of the edges of S are the vertices of $\text{hull}(Y) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

2.4 Approximate fixed points and the AFPP

Let $f \in C(X, \kappa)$ and let $x \in X$. We say

- x is a *fixed point* of f if $f(x) = x$;
- If $f(x) \approx_{\kappa} x$, then x is an *almost fixed point* [12, 13] or *approximate fixed point* [7] of (f, κ) .
- A digital image (X, κ) has the *approximate fixed point property* (AFPP) [7] if for every $f \in C(X, \kappa)$ there is an approximate fixed point of f . This generalizes the *fixed point property* (FPP): a digital image (X, κ) has the FPP if every $f \in C(X, \kappa)$ has a fixed point.

The AFPP gathered attention in part because only a digital image with a single point has the FPP [7].

A. Rosenfeld's paper [12] states the following as its Theorem 4.1 (quoted verbatim).

Let I be a digital picture, and let f be a continuous function from I into I ; then there exists a point $P \in I$ such that $f(P) = P$ or is a neighbor or diagonal neighbor of P .

We quote from [3]:

Several subsequent papers have incorrectly concluded that this [Rosenfeld's] result implies that I with some c_u adjacency has the $AFPP_S$ [in the current paper, the AFPP]. By *digital picture* Rosenfeld means a digital cube, $I = [0, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}^v$. By a "continuous function" he means a (c_1, c_1) -continuous function; by "a neighbor or diagonal neighbor of P " he means a c_v -adjacent point.

Thus, Rosenfeld's result was important but not equivalent to Theorem 2.7(6), below.

Theorem 2.7. *The following digital images have the AFPP.*

1. Any digital interval $([a, b]_{\mathbb{Z}}, c_1)$ [12].
2. Any digital image (Y, λ) that is isomorphic to (X, κ) such that (X, κ) has the AFPP [7].
3. Any digital image (Y, κ) that is a retract of (X, κ) such that (X, κ) has the AFPP [7].
4. Any digital image (T, κ) that is a tree [4].

5. Any digital image (X, c_{m+n}) such that $X = X' \times \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $X' \subset \mathbb{Z}^m$, and (X', c_m) has the AFPP [4].
6. Any digital cube $(\prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i]_{\mathbb{Z}}, c_n)$ [4].
7. Any digitally convex image $(X, c_2) \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ [6].
8. The normal product $(X \times Y, NP(\kappa, \lambda))$ of digital images (X, κ) and (Y, λ) that each have the AFPP [11].
9. The wedge $(X \vee Y, \kappa)$ of digital images (X, κ) and (Y, κ) that have the AFPP [7].

Lemma 2.8. *Let $r : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow X$ be a c_n -retraction, where X is finite. Then (X, c_n) has the AFPP.*

Proof. Since X is finite, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X \subset Y = [-m, m]_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$. Then $r|_Y$ is a c_n -retraction of Y to X . The assertion follows from Theorem 2.7, parts (3) and (6). \square

As stated in [6], “The next result suggests that ‘most’ digital images $(X, c_u) \subset \mathbb{Z}^v$ that have the AFPP have $u = v$.” We will therefore focus our attention on the c_2 adjacency in \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Theorem 2.9. [3] *Let $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^v$ be such that X has a subset $Y = \prod_{i=1}^v [a_i, b_i]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, where $v > 1$; for all indices i , $b_i \in \{a_i, a_i + 1\}$; and, for at least 2 indices i , $b_i = a_i + 1$. Then (X, c_u) fails to have the AFPP for $1 \leq u < v$.*

Example 2.10. [7] A digital simple closed curve of at least 4 points does not have the AFPP.

3 Retractions to convex images

In this section, we build retractions that will give us tools for proofs of assertions in sections 4 and 5.

The following proposition can be useful in determining whether (X, c_2) has the AFPP, for $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$. The assertion following “Further” was not part of the assertion as stated in [6], but was demonstrated in the proof. The version in [6] gave a retraction to X of a digital rectangle that contains X , but such a retraction is easily extended to a retraction of \mathbb{Z}^2 that satisfies the asserted properties.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a convex disk in \mathbb{Z}^2 with minimal bounding curve S . Let L_1 and L_2 be distinct parallel digital lines such that for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $L_i \cap X \subset S$. Then L_1 and L_2 sandwich X .




Figure 2: Retraction r of a digital image Y to a subset X that is a convex disk as in Theorem 3.2, where for maximally separated vertical (shown here) or horizontal lines L and their respective half-planes H that do not contain X , $r(H) = X \cap L$.

- a) Each point vertically above or below the disk is mapped to its nearest vertical neighbor in X , e.g., $r(y_i) = x_i$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.
- b) Each point to the left (not necessarily horizontally) of X is mapped to the nearest member of X with minimal first coordinate, e.g., $r(y_i) = x_i$, $i \in \{5, 6, 7\}$.
- c) Each point to the right (not necessarily horizontally) of X is mapped to the nearest member of X with maximal first coordinate, e.g., $r(y_i) = x_i$, $i \in \{8, 9, 10\}$.

Let L_0 be the vertical line $x = 2$. Note r maps the intersection of Y and the half-plane $H_0 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid x \leq 2\}$ to the boundary edge $\overline{x_5x_7} = L_0 \cap X$. Similarly, if we take L_1 to be the vertical line $x = 8$ and H_1 the half-plane of points satisfying $x \geq 8$, we have $r(H_1) = L_1 \cap X = \overline{x_8x_{10}}$.




Figure 3: X for Example 3.3 for $n = 3$. X is not a c_2 -retract of \mathbb{Z}^2 , roughly because there is no place in X for a c_2 -retraction to send $p = (0, n) \notin X$.

Proposition 3.2. [6] *Let $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, such that X is a digitally convex disk. Let S be a bounding curve for X . Then there is a c_2 -retraction $r : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow X$ such that $r(\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \text{Int}(S)) = S$. Further, suppose S is a minimal bounding curve for X . We can take r to satisfy the following. Let L_0 and L_1 be axis parallel lines that sandwich X . Let H_i be the half-planes determined by L_i , $i \in \{0, 1\}$, that do not contain X . Then $r|_{H_i}$ retracts H_i to $L_i \cap S$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$, such that $x \in H_i$ implies $r(x)$ is the unique closest (with respect to the Euclidean metric) point of $L_i \cap S$ to x . (See Figure 2).*

The importance of convexity in Proposition 3.2 and in Theorem 3.4 below is illustrated in the following.

Example 3.3. Let $X = [-n, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \setminus (\{0\} \times [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}})$, $n > 2$. (See Figure 3.) Then X is a non-convex disk that is not a c_2 -retract of \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Proof. Our argument is modified from the proof of Example 5.11 of [1]. Consider the point $p = (0, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus X$. If there were a c_2 -retraction $r : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow X$, then by continuity we would have

$$(-1, n) = r(-1, n) \stackrel{\text{c}_2}{\simeq} r(p) \stackrel{\text{c}_2}{\simeq} r(1, n) = (1, n).$$

Since there is no point $y \in X$ that is c_2 -adjacent to both $(-1, n)$ and $(1, n)$, there cannot be such a retraction. \square

We strengthen Proposition 3.2 by dropping the requirement that the lines L_i be axis parallel.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, such that X is a digitally convex disk. Let S be a bounding curve for X . Then there is a c_2 -retraction $r : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow X$ such that $r(\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \text{Int}(S)) = S$. Further, suppose S is a minimal bounding curve for X and L_0 and L_1 are distinct parallel digital lines in \mathbb{Z}^2 that sandwich X . Let H_i be the half-plane of \mathbb{Z}^2 determined by L_i that does not contain X . Then we can take r such that $r|_{H_i}$ retracts H_i to $L_i \cap S$, for $i \in \{0, 1\}$, such that if $x \in L_i$*




Figure 4: Retraction r of a digital image Y to a subset X that is a convex disk as in Theorem 3.4, so that for maximally separated parallel slanted lines L_0 and L_1 that intersect X and the respective half-planes H_i determined by L_i not containing X we have $r(Y \cap H_i) = L_i \cap X$. We have $r(y_i) = x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 16$.

then $r(x)$ is the unique closest (with respect to the Euclidean metric) point of $L_i \cap S$ to x .

Proof. In light of Proposition 3.2, it suffices to consider the case that the L_i are slanted. Without loss of generality, the L_i have slope -1 and therefore $P = (x, y) \in L_0$ satisfies $y = -x + b_{\max}$ for $b_{\max} = \max\{b \mid (x, -x + b) \in X\}$ and $P = (x, y) \in L_1$ satisfies $y = -x + b_{\min}$ for $b_{\min} = \min\{b \mid (x, -x + b) \in X\}$. E.g., see Figure 4.

- For $P \in X$, let $r(P) = P$.
- Suppose $L = L_0$. Let H_0 be the half-plane such that $P = (x, y) \in H_0 \Leftrightarrow y \geq -x + b_{\max}$. (E.g., see Figure 4, where H_0 is the half-plane above and to the right of the line marked L_0 .)
 - If $L \cap S$ is a digital segment σ and $P \in H_0$ can drop a perpendicular to σ at a point $Q \in \sigma$, then $r(P) = Q$ (e.g., $P \in \{y_2, y_4\}$ in Figure 4).
 - If $L \cap S$ is a digital segment σ and P can drop a perpendicular to L at a point between the endpoints of σ that does not meet a point of σ , then $r(P) = r(x - 1, y)$ (e.g., $r(y_3) = r(y_2)$ in Figure 4).
 - If $L \cap S$ is a digital segment σ and a perpendicular from $P \in H_0$ to L does not fall between the endpoints of σ , there is a unique nearest (in the Euclidean metric) endpoint Q of σ to P . Then $r(P) = Q$ (e.g., $P \in \{y_1, y_5\}$ in Figure 4).
 - If $L \cap S$ is a single point Q then $r(P) = Q$ for all $P \in H$.
- Suppose $L = L_1$. Let H_1 be the half-plane bounded by L not containing X . (In Figure 4, L_1 is the line containing $\{y_{11}, y_{13}\}$, and H_1 is the half-plane below and to the left of L_1 .) Then $P \in H_1$ implies $r(P) \in \sigma$ is defined in a fashion similar to that of the case $L = L_0$.
- For $P \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus (X \cup H_0 \cup H_1)$, if a parallel to L_0 (and L_1) through P meets a nearest $Q \in S$ then $r(P) = Q$ (e.g., $P \in \{y_6, y_7, y_8, y_{13}, y_{14}, y_{15}\}$ in Figure 4). Otherwise, for $P = (u, v)$, $r(P) = r(u - 1, v)$ (e.g., in Figure 4, $r(y_8) = r(y_9)$ and $r(y_{16}) = r(y_{15})$).

We show that $r \in C(\mathbb{Z}^2, c_2)$ in the following; it will follow easily that r is a retraction with the asserted properties.

Since $r|_X$ is an inclusion function, r satisfies the continuity condition of Theorem 2.3 for all $P \in X \setminus S$.

Next, consider regions of $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus X$. Let $P = (x, y)$. Note the c_2 -adjacent points to P are $(x + i, y + j)$, where $i, j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and $(i, j) \neq (0, 0)$.

Consider r for $P \in H_0 \setminus S$.

- If $L_0 \cap X$ is a digital segment and P has a perpendicular to L_0 at $Q = (u, v)$, then for each P' that is c_2 -adjacent to P ,

$$r(P') \in \{(u - 1, v + 1), Q, (u + 1, v - 1)\}.$$

Thus $r(P') \leftrightarrow_{c_2} r(P)$. (See, e.g., Figure 4, with $P = y_2$, $r(y_3) = r(y_2) \leftrightarrow r(y_4)$.) Thus r is continuous at P .

- If $L_0 \cap X$ is a digital segment and P has a perpendicular to the (real) line containing L_0 that does not meet a point of L_0 , then $r(P) = r(x-1, y)$. Suppose $r(x-1, y) = (u, v)$. Then for each P' that is c_2 -adjacent to P , $r(P') \in \{(u, v), (u+1, v-1)\}$. (See, e.g., Figure 4, with $P = y_3$ and $r(y_3) = r(y_2) \leftrightarrow r(y_4)$.) Thus r is continuous at P .
- If $L_0 \cap X$ is a digital segment from (a, b) to (c, d) and the perpendicular projection of P to the line of L_0 is (u, v) such that $u > c > a$, then $r(P) = (c, d)$. For each P' that is c_2 -adjacent to P , $r(P') = (c, d)$. (See, e.g., Figure 4, with $P = y_5$.) Thus r is continuous at P .
- If $L_0 \cap X$ is a digital segment from (a, b) to (c, d) and the perpendicular projection of P to the line of L_0 is (u, v) such that $u < a < c$, then $r(P) = (a, b)$. If $P' \leftrightarrow_{c_2} P$, then $r(P') = (a, b)$. Thus r is continuous at P . (See, e.g., Figure 4, with $P = y_1$.)
- If $L_0 \cap X$ is a single point (a, b) and P' is c_2 -adjacent to P , then $r(P) = (a, b) = r(P')$. Hence r is continuous at P .

Thus r is continuous at every $P \in H_0 \setminus S$.

Similarly, r is continuous at every $P \in H_1 \setminus S$.

Similarly, r is continuous at every $P \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus (X \cup H_0 \cup H_1)$.

For $P' \leftrightarrow_{c_2} P$ where $P \in S$, we have the following cases.

- If $P' \in X$ then $r(P') = P' \leftrightarrow P = r(P)$.
- If $P' \notin X$, then we have seen above that r is continuous at P' , so $r(P) \leftrightarrow r(P')$.

Hence r is continuous at P .

Thus $r \in C(X, c_2)$.

It follows from the above that $r|_{H_i}$ retracts H_i to $L_i \cap S$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$, such that if $x \in L_i \setminus S$ then $r(x)$ is the unique closest point of $L_i \cap S$ to x . This completes the proof. \square

4 Union of convex images meeting in a common edge

In this and the following sections, we extend our knowledge of digital images $(X, c_2) \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ that have the AFPP. Most of our results are derived by showing the applicability of Lemma 2.8.

In several of the assertions in this section, we will be concerned with the relation between a digital disk X and a half-plane H . The reader is cautioned that convenience sometimes dictates $X \subset H$ and sometimes X is on the other side of the boundary of H ; and sometimes $X \cap H$ is an edge of X ; and sometimes $X \cap H$ is an endpoint of an edge of X .




Figure 5: A digital image X to illustrate Theorem 4.2.

L (line of separation of X_1 and X_2): $y = x - 2$

$X_1 = \{(x, y) \in X \mid y \geq x - 2\}$ $X_2 = \{(x, y) \in X \mid y \leq x - 2\}$

H_1 and H_2 , are half-planes respectively Northwest of L and Southeast of L .

Definition 4.1. Let X_1 and X_2 be subsets of \mathbb{Z}^2 . Let $X = X_1 \cup X_2$. Let L be a digital line in \mathbb{Z}^2 such that X_1 and X_2 are on opposite sides of L , and either

- $X_1 \cap X_2$ is an edge of both X_1 and X_2 , or
- $(X, c_2) = (X_1 \vee X_2, c_2)$ with wedge point x_0 such that $X \cap L = \{x_0\}$.

Then L is a *line of separation* of X_1 and X_2 .

Theorem 4.2. Let $X_1 \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, $X_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ be digitally convex disks such that $\sigma = X_1 \cap X_2$ is an edge of both S_1 and S_2 , where S_i is a minimal bounding curve of X_i . Then

- there is a c_2 -retraction $r : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow X = X_1 \cup X_2$; and
- (X, c_2) has the AFPP.

Proof. (See Figure 5.) Let L be a line of separation of X_1 and X_2 . We have $\sigma \subset L$. Let H_i be the half-plane determined by L containing X_i . By Theorem 3.4, there exist retractions $r_i : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow X_i$ such that $r_1(H_2) = r_2(H_1) = \sigma$, and r_i retracts L_1 to σ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that

$$x \in L \setminus \sigma \text{ implies } r_i(x) \text{ is the unique nearest point of } \sigma \text{ to } x. \quad (1)$$

The function $r : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow X$ defined by $r(p) = r_i(p)$ for $p \in H_i$, is, by (1), well defined and c_2 -continuous. Thus r is a retraction of \mathbb{Z}^2 to X . It follows from Lemma 2.8 that X has the AFPP. \square

Remark 4.3. Theorem 4.2 does not cover the case in which $\sigma = X_1 \cap X_2 \subset L$ and σ is not a maximal segment of both S_1 and S_2 , as in Figure 6. In fact, the argument given for Theorem 4.2 does not generally work in such a case; see Example 4.4.




Figure 6: (a) An image X illustrating Remark 4.3 and Example 4.4. Let $X = X_1 \cup X_2$, where $X_1 = [0, 4]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times [2, 4]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $X_2 = [2, 4]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times [0, 2]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, L is the line $y = 2$. We have $X_1 \cap X_2 = \{(2, 2), (3, 2), (4, 2)\}$ is not a maximal segment of the bounding curve of X_1 .
(b) Arrows show $R(P)$ for $P \notin X$, for a c_2 -retraction $R : [0, 4]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times [0, 4]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X$.

Example 4.4. For $X = X_1 \cup X_2$, where

$$X_1 = [0, 4]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times [2, 4]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad X_2 = [2, 4]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times [0, 2]_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

(see Figure 6(a)), r of Theorem 4.2 is not well defined at the point $(0, 2)$, since $r_1(0, 2) = (0, 2) \in X_1 \setminus X_2$ and $r_2(0, 2) \in X_2$. However, we can show (X, c_2) has the AFPP as follows. Define $R : [0, 4]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times [0, 4]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X$ by

$$R(P) = \begin{cases} P & \text{if } P \in X; \\ (1, 2) & \text{if } P = (0, 1); \\ (2, 2) & \text{if } P \in \{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}; \\ (2, 1) & \text{if } P = (1, 0) \end{cases}$$

(see Figure 6(b)). It is easily seen that R is a c_2 -retraction of $[0, 4]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times [0, 4]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to X , so the assertion follows from Theorem 2.7, assertions (3) and (6).

One might ask if Theorem 4.2 extends to unions of more than 2 convex disks X_i , such that $\sigma_{i-1} = X_{i-1} \cap X_i$ is a maximal segment of both S_{i-1} and S_i , where S_i is a minimal bounding curve of X_i . The following shows that such an extension is not generally valid.

Example 4.5. Let $X = [-3, 3]_{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 X_i$, where

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \{(x, y) \in X \mid 1 \leq x \leq 3, -x \leq y \leq x\}, \\ X_2 &= \{(x, y) \in X \mid 1 \leq y \leq 3, -y \leq x \leq y\}, \\ X_3 &= \{(x, y) \in X \mid -3 \leq x \leq -1, x \leq y \leq -x\}, \end{aligned}$$




Figure 7: The digital image X of Example 4.5. Most adjacencies are not shown in order to clarify the X_i . Points $(x, y) \in X$ such that $|x| = |y|$ are shown in solid circles; these are points at which two of the X_i intersect.

$$X_4 = \{(x, y) \in X \mid -3 \leq y \leq -1, y \leq x \leq -y\}.$$

See Figure 7. Then each X_i is a convex disk, and each pair (X_1, X_2) , (X_2, X_3) , (X_3, X_4) , (X_4, X_1) intersects in a common edge of bounding curves for both members of the pair. Then (X, c_2) does not have the AFPP.

Proof. Let $U = \{(x, y) \in X \mid |x| = 1 \text{ or } |y| = 1\}$. (U is the “inner ring” of X .) Let $r : X \rightarrow U$ be defined for $P = (x, y)$ by

$$r(P) = \begin{cases} (1, -1) & \text{if } P \in X_1, y \leq -1; \text{ or if } P \in X_4, x \geq 1; \\ (1, y) & \text{if } P \in X_1, -1 \leq y \leq 1; \\ (1, 1) & \text{if } P \in X_1, y \geq 1; \text{ or if } P \in X_2, x \geq 1; \\ (x, 1) & \text{if } P \in X_2, -y \leq x \leq y; \\ (-1, 1) & \text{if } P \in X_2, x \leq -1; \text{ or if } P \in X_3, y \geq 1; \\ (-1, y) & \text{if } P \in X_3, -1 \leq y \leq 1; \\ (-1, -1) & \text{if } P \in X_3, y \leq -1; \text{ or if } P \in X_4, x \leq -1; \\ (x, -1) & \text{if } P \in X_4, -1 \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that r is well-defined and c_2 -continuous, hence is a c_2 -retraction of X to U . By Example 2.10, we know (U, c_2) does not have the AFPP. It follows from Theorem 2.7(3) that (X, c_2) does not have the AFPP. \square




Figure 8: Up to isomorphism, all possibilities are shown for $N^*(X, c_2, (0, 0))$ for $X = X_1 \cup X_2$, where X_1 and X_2 are convex disks, $X_1 \cap X_2 = \{(0, 0)\}$, and both interior angles are 45° ($\pi/4$) radians). Some adjacency lines not shown. Subfigures (a), (d), and (e) are not appropriate to (X, c_2) as $(X_1 \vee X_2, c_2)$, since each has points $p \in X_1$, $q \in X_2$ such that $p \neq (0, 0) \neq q$ and $p \leftrightarrow_{c_2} q$. Subfigures (b) and (c) are appropriate to (X, c_2) as $(X_1 \vee X_2, c_2)$. (Not meant to be understood as all of X .)

5 Wedges of convex images

In this section, we obtain a result somewhat similar to Theorem 4.2 by showing that the wedge of digital images in (\mathbb{Z}^2, c_2) has the AFPP.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $(X, c_2) = (X_1, c_2) \vee (X_2, c_2) \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, where X_1 and X_2 are convex disks. Let x_0 be the wedge point. Then x_0 must be an endpoint of edges of both X_1 and X_2 .*

Proof. Suppose $X_1 \cap X_2 = \{x_0\}$ where x_0 is in a boundary edge σ of, say, X_1 but is not an endpoint of σ . Then, whether the interior angle of the convex disk X_2 at x_0 measures 45° ($\pi/4$ radians), 90° ($\pi/2$ radians), or 135° ($3\pi/4$ radians), there must be points $x_i \in X_i$ such that $x_1 \neq x_0 \neq x_2$ and x_1, x_0 , and x_2 are pairwise c_2 -adjacent. Therefore, $(X_1 \cup X_2, c_2) \neq (X_1, c_2) \vee (X_2, c_2)$. This is contrary to hypothesis, so the assertion is established. \square

Proposition 5.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ be such that $(X, c_2) = (X_1 \vee X_2, c_2)$, where X_1 and X_2 are convex disks. Then there is a digital line $L \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ that is a line of separation of X_1 and X_2 .*

Proof. Since the edges of a convex disk must be horizontal, vertical, or slanted (having slopes of ± 1), interior angles formed by edges of the disk must measure 45° ($\pi/4$ radians), 90° ($\pi/2$ radians), or 135° ($3\pi/4$ radians). Let $(X, c_2) = (X_1, c_2) \vee (X_2, c_2) \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ where X_1 and X_2 are convex disks, and x_0 is the wedge point.

Figure 8, subfigures (b) and (c), show up to isomorphism the only ways in which X_1 and X_2 can meet at a point x_0 at which both have 45° ($\pi/4$ radians) interior angles in $X_1 \vee X_2$. Clearly these configurations permit a line of separation L . Subfigures (a), (d), and (e) show other ways in which X_1 and X_2 can have a one-point intersection at which both have 45° ($\pi/4$ radians) interior angles, but not in $X_1 \vee X_2$, since there exist $x_1 \in X_1$, $x_2 \in X_2$ such that $x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2$ and $x_1 \neq x_0 \neq x_2$.




Figure 9: Up to isomorphism, all possibilities are shown for $N^*(X, c_2, (0, 0))$ for $X = X_1 \cup X_2$, where X_1 and X_2 are convex disks, $X_1 \cap X_2 = \{(0, 0)\}$, and the interior angles are 45° ($\pi/4$ radians) and 90° ($\pi/2$ radians). Some adjacency lines not shown. Subfigures (a), (c), and (d) are not appropriate to (X, c_2) as $(X_1 \vee X_2, c_2)$, since each has points $p \in X_1, q \in X_2$ such that $p \neq (0, 0) \neq q$ and $p \leftrightarrow_{c_2} q$. Subfigure (b) is appropriate to (X, c_2) as $(X_1 \vee X_2, c_2)$. (Not meant to be understood as all of X .)




Figure 10: Up to isomorphism, all possibilities are shown for $N^*(X, c_2, (0, 0))$ for $X = X_1 \cup X_2$, where X_1 and X_2 are convex disks, $X_1 \cap X_2 = \{(0, 0)\}$, and the interior angles are 45° ($\pi/4$ radians) and 135° ($3\pi/4$ radians). Some adjacency lines not shown. None of these is suitable for (X, c_2) as $(X_1 \vee X_2, c_2)$, as each has $p \in X_1$ and $q \in X_2$ such that $p \neq (0, 0) \neq q$ and $p \leftrightarrow_{c_2} q$. (Not meant to be understood as all of X .)

Figure 9(b) shows up to isomorphism the only way in which X_1 and X_2 can meet in a single point (at x_0) at which one has a 45° ($\pi/4$ radians) interior angle and the other has a 90° ($\pi/2$ radians) interior angle in $X_1 \vee X_2$. Clearly this configuration permits a line of separation L . Subfigures (a), (c), and (d) show other ways in which X_1 and X_2 can meet at a single point at which one has a 45° ($\pi/4$ radians) interior angle and the other has a 90° ($\pi/2$ radians) interior angle, but not in $X_1 \vee X_2$, since in each of these configurations there exist $x_1 \in X_1, x_2 \in X_2$ such that $x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2$ and $x_1 \neq x_0 \neq x_2$.

Figure 10 illustrates that there is no way for X_1 and X_2 to meet at a wedge point for $X_1 \vee X_2$ at which one has a 45° ($\pi/4$ radians) interior angle and the other has a 135° ($3\pi/4$ radians) interior angle.

Figure 11, subfigures (a) and (b), show up to isomorphism the only ways in which X_1 and X_2 can meet in a single point (at x_0) at which both have a 90° ($\pi/2$ radians) interior angle in $X_1 \vee X_2$. Clearly these configurations permit a line of separation L .

It is easily seen that in $X_1 \vee X_2$ we cannot have, at the wedge point x_0 , one




Figure 11: Up to isomorphism, the only possibilities are shown for $N^*(X, c_2, (0, 0))$ for $X = X_1 \cup X_2$, where X_1 and X_2 are convex disks, $X_1 \cap X_2 = \{(0, 0)\}$, and the interior angles are both 90° ($\pi/2$ radians). Some adjacency lines not shown. (a) is not suitable for (X, c_2) as $(X_1 \vee X_2, c_2)$, as, e.g., $p = (0, 1) \in X_1$ and $q = (1, 0) \in X_2$ are such that $p \neq (0, 0) \neq q$ and $p \leftrightarrow_{c_2} q$. (b) is suitable for $(X, c_2) = (X_1 \vee X_2, c_2)$. (Not meant to be understood as all of X .)

of X_1 and X_2 with an interior angle of 90° ($\pi/2$ radians) and the other with an interior angle of 135° ($3\pi/4$ radians); nor can we have both of X_1 and X_2 with interior angles of 135° ($3\pi/4$ radians). The assertion follows. \square

Theorem 5.3. *Let $X_1, X_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ be digitally convex disks. Let $(X, c_2) = (X_1 \vee X_2, c_2)$. Then*

- *there is a c_2 -retraction $r : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow X$; and*
- *(X, c_2) has the AFPP.*

Proof. Let x_0 be the wedge point of X . By Lemma 5.1, x_0 is an endpoint of an edge of X_1 and of an edge of X_2 . Let S_2 be a minimal bounding curve for X_2 .

By Proposition 5.2, there is a digital line $L_1 \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ that is a line of separation of X_1 and X_2 . By Theorem 3.4, there exist retractions $r_i : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow X_i$ such that

$$r_1(H_2) = \{x_0\} = r_2(H_1), \quad (2)$$

where H_i is the half-plane determined by L_1 containing X_i , and $r_2|_H$ retracts H to $L_2 \cap X_2$, where H is the half-plane determined by L_2 not containing X_2 , such that

$$\text{if } x \in L_i \setminus S \text{ then } r_i(x) \text{ is the unique closest point of } L_i \cap S \text{ to } x. \quad (3)$$

The function $r : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow X$ given by

$$r(y) = \begin{cases} r_1(y) & \text{if } y \in H_1; \\ r_2(y) & \text{if } y \in H_2, \end{cases}$$

is, by (2) and (3), well-defined and c_2 -continuous. Hence r is a retraction of \mathbb{Z}^2 to X , with $r|_H$ retracting H to $L_2 \cap X_2$. Then $r|_R$ is a retraction of R to X . It follows from Lemma 2.8 that (X, c_2) has the AFPP. \square

6 Further remarks

We have continued the work of [5], exploring relationships between the convexity of digital images in \mathbb{Z}^2 and the AFPP. In particular, we have used the result of [5] that convex disks in \mathbb{Z}^2 are c_2 -retracts of digital rectangles and therefore have the AFPP for the c_2 -adjacency to show that certain unions of convex disks in \mathbb{Z}^2 also have the AFPP.

7 Acknowledgment

The suggestions and corrections of an anonymous reviewer are gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] L. Boxer, Digitally continuous functions, *Pattern Recognition Letters* 15 (1994), 833-839.
- [2] L. Boxer, A classical construction for the digital fundamental group, *Pattern Recognition Letters* 10 (1999), 51-62.
- [3] L. Boxer, Approximate fixed point properties in digital topology, *Bulletin of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute* 10 (2) (2020), 357-367.
- [4] L. Boxer, Approximate fixed point property for digital trees and products, *Bulletin of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute* 10 (3) (2020), 595-602.
- [5] L. Boxer, Convexity and freezing sets in digital topology, *Applied General Topology* 22 (1) (2021), 121 - 137.
- [6] L. Boxer, Convexity and AFPP in the digital plane, *Bulletin of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute* 11(3)(2021), 403 - 411.
- [7] L. Boxer, O. Ege, I. Karaca, J. Lopez, and J. Louwsma, Digital fixed points, approximate fixed points, and universal functions, *Applied General Topology* 17(2), 2016, 159-172.
- [8] L. Chen, Gradually varied surfaces and its optimal uniform approximation, *SPIE Proceedings* 2182 (1994), 300-307.
- [9] L. Chen, *Discrete Surfaces and Manifolds*, Scientific Practical Computing, Rockville, MD, 2004
- [10] S-E. Han, Non-product property of the digital fundamental group, *Information Sciences* 171 (2005), 73-91.
- [11] J.M. Kang and S-E. Han, The product property of the almost fixed point property for digital spaces, *AIMS Mathematics*, 6 (7) (2021), 7215-7228.

- [12] A. Rosenfeld, 'Continuous' functions on digital images, *Pattern Recognition Letters* 4 (1987), 177-184.
- [13] R. Tsaur and M. Smyth, "Continuous" multifunctions in discrete spaces with applications to fixed point theory, in: G. Bertrand, A. Imiya, and R. Klette (eds.), *Digital and Image Geometry, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 2243, Springer, Berlin / Heidelberg, 2001, 151-162.