

A p -adic local invariant cycle theorem with applications to Brauer groups

Yanshuai Qin

March 9, 2021

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Local invariant cycle theorems	1
1.2	Applications to Brauer groups	3
1.3	Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.2	5
1.4	Notation and Terminology	6
2	Proof of Theorem 1.2	7
3	Applications to Brauer groups	13
3.1	Proof of Corollary 1.7	13
3.2	Proof of Corollary 1.9	14
3.3	Proof of Theorem 1.10	20
4	Removing the semi-stable assumption	22

1 Introduction

Throughout the paper, let R denote a henselian DVR of characteristic 0 with a perfect residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let R^{sh} denote a strict henselization of R . Let K (resp. K^{sh}) denote the quotient field of R (resp. R^{sh}). Let ℓ denote a prime number. Let I denote $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K^{sh})$ the inertia group for K .

1.1 Local invariant cycle theorems

Conjecture 1.1. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } R$ be a proper flat morphism with \mathcal{X} regular. Assume that the residue field of R is finite. Let X (resp. Y) denote the generic (resp. special) fiber of π and $\ell \neq p$ be a prime. Then the natural map*

$$H_{\text{et}}^i(Y_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^I$$

is surjective for all $i \geq 0$.

The conjecture is called *the local invariant cycle conjecture* in mixed characteristic and is known for $i \leq 2$ as a result of the weight monodromy conjecture which is proved for $\dim X \leq 2$ (cf. [RZ] and [FIMo, §10]). By the proper base change theorem, $H_{\text{et}}^i(Y_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ can be identified with $H_{\text{et}}^i(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, the conjecture is equivalent to the surjectivity of

$$H_{\text{et}}^i(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^I.$$

The aim of this paper is to prove a p -adic analogue of the above conjecture for $i = 2$ and give some applications to Brauer groups.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow S = \text{Spec } R$ be a proper flat morphism with \mathcal{X} regular. Assume that the residue field of R is finite. Let X denote the generic fiber of π . Define:*

$$H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)) := \varprojlim_n H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mu_{p^n}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

then the natural map

$$H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)) \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))^I$$

is surjective.

Remark 1.3. In the case that π is a strictly semi-stable morphism, assuming the conjecture of purity of the weight filtration (cf. [Illu, Conj. 2.6.5]), by the same method, one can show that the claim holds for any $i \geq 0$.

In the case that π is smooth and proper, by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, one can prove the following result:

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow S = \text{Spec } R$ be a smooth and proper morphism. Assume that the residue field of R is finite. Let X denote the generic fiber of π . Define:*

$$H_L^i(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(m)) := \varprojlim_n \mathbb{H}_{\text{et}}^2(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathbb{Z}(m)_{\text{et}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

where $\mathbb{Z}(m)_{\text{et}}$ denotes Bloch's cycle complex for the étale topology on $\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}$. Then there is a surjective natural map

$$H_L^i(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(m)) \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(m))^I.$$

When $m = 0$, it was shown in [FoMe, I. (4.1)] that the natural map $H_{\text{et}}^i(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)^I$ is an isomorphism as a consequence of Fontaine's C_{crys} -comparison isomorphism in p -adic Hodge theory proven by Fontaine and Messing [FoMe] and Faltings [Fal].

In the case that π is a semi-stable morphism, Sato [Sat2, §1.3] defined a p -adic étale Tate twist $\mathfrak{T}_n(m) \in D^b(\mathcal{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ and conjectured that $\mathfrak{T}_n(m)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}(m)_{\text{et}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n$, which was proved by Geisser when π is smooth and by Sato [Sat2,

Prop. 4.5.1] when $m \leq 1$. Assuming that the inverse system of $H^i(Y_{\bar{k}}, \nu_{Y,n}^m)$, $n \geq 1$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition (it is known to hold when Y is smooth and proper or $m = 0$), where $\nu_{Y,n}^m$ is the logarithmic Hodge–Witt sheaves defined by Sato in [Sat1], which agrees with the logarithmic de Rham–Witt sheaves $W_n \Omega_{Y,\log}^m$ when Y is smooth, one can prove that the following natural map is surjective under the assumption of the conjecture of purity of the weight filtration(cf. [Illu, Conj. 2.6.5])

$$\varprojlim_n \mathbb{H}_{\text{et}}^i(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathfrak{T}_n(m)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(m))^I.$$

This leads to the following conjecture :

Conjecture 1.5. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } R$ be a proper flat morphism with \mathcal{X} regular. Assume that the residue field of R is finite. Let X denote the generic fiber of π , then there is a surjective natural map*

$$H_L^i(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(m)) \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(m))^I.$$

Remark 1.6. In the case that ℓ is invertible in R , $\mathbb{Z}(m)_{\text{et}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n$ is conjectured to be isomorphic to the etale sheaf μ_n^m (cf. [Lev, Thm. 12.5]), assuming this, then the conjecture is exactly same as the local invariant cycle conjecture that we stated at the beginning.

1.2 Applications to Brauer groups

For any noetherian scheme X , the *cohomological Brauer group*

$$\text{Br}(X) := H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tor}}$$

is defined to be the torsion part of the etale cohomology group $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$.

Corollary 1.7. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } R$ be a proper flat morphism with \mathcal{X} regular. Assuming that the residue field of R is finite, then the natural map*

$$\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})[p^\infty] \longrightarrow \text{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^I[p^\infty]$$

has a kernel of finite exponent and a finite cokernel.

Remark 1.8. In the case that \mathcal{X} is of dimension 2, a theorem of Artin ([Gro3, Thm. 3.1]) implies that $\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}) = 0$ and it is well-known that $\text{Br}(X_{\bar{K}}) = 0$. Thus, the claim is trivial in this case. For higher relative dimensions, we will use a pull-back trick (Lefschetz hyperplane arguments) developed by Colliot-Thélène and Skorobogatov(cf. [CTSk] or [Yua]) to show that the map has a kernel of finite exponent (which can be bounded) by reducing it to the case of relative dimension 1. The finiteness of the cokernel follows directly from Theorem 1.2. Unfortunately, this method cannot give a bound of the size of the cokernel. This result can be viewed as a weak generalization of Artin’s theorem. In our forthcoming work, we will use this result to prove a relation between Brauer groups and Tate-Shafarevich groups for fibrations of arbitrary relative dimensions, generalizing a theorem of Artin and Grothendieck for fibered surfaces (cf. [Gro3, §4] or [Qin1]).

Corollary 1.9. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } R$ be a proper flat morphism with \mathcal{X} regular. Assuming that the residue field of R is finite, then the natural map*

$$\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K}$$

has a finite kernel and a finite cokernel.

Let X be a proper smooth geometrically connected variety over a p -adic local field K . Let $\text{CH}_0(X)$ be the Chow group of 0-cycles on X modulo rational equivalence. Manin [Man] defined a natural pairing

$$\text{CH}_0(X) \times \text{Br}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(K) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $A_0(X) \subset \text{CH}_0(X)$ be the subgroup consisting of cycles of degree 0. Then there is a homomorphism

$$\phi_X : A_0(X) \longrightarrow \text{Alb}_X(K)$$

induced by the Albanese map $X \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$, where Alb_X denotes the Albanese variety of X and $\text{Alb}_X(K)$ denotes the group of K -rational points on Alb_X . Colliot-Thélène [CT] conjectured that the kernel of ϕ_X is the direct sum of finite group and a divisible group. Manin's pairing induces a natural map

$$A_0(X) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Br}(X)/\text{Br}(K), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

which was shown to be an isomorphism when $\dim(X) = 1$ by Lichtenbaum [Lic]. Assuming that X has a regular model \mathcal{X} which is proper flat of finite type over the integer ring R of K , the natural map above induces a homomorphism

$$A_0(X) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Br}(X)/\text{Br}(K) + \text{Br}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

which was shown to be surjective by Saito and Sato [SS1, Thm. 1.1.3]. The Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(G_K, H^q(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{G}_m)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

gives a long exact sequence

$$\text{Br}(K) \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\text{Br}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K}) \longrightarrow H^1(K, \text{Pic}(X_{\bar{K}})) \longrightarrow H^3(K, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

By [Mil2, Chap. II, Prop. 1.5], $H^3(K, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$, thus it induces a natural map

$$H^1(K, \text{Pic}_{X/K}^0) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(X)/\text{Br}(K).$$

By taking dual and composing with the natural isomorphism $\text{Hom}(H^1(K, \text{Pic}_{X/K}^0), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Alb}_X(K)$ induced by the local Tate duality [Mil2, Chap. I, Cor. 3.4], we get a natural homomorphism

$$\psi_X : \text{Hom}(\text{Br}(X)/\text{Br}(K) + \text{Br}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \text{Alb}_X(K).$$

As a result of Corollary 1.9, we will show that ψ_X has a finite kernel and a finite cokernel. More precisely, we will prove the following result:

Theorem 1.10. *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(K) + \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ & \searrow \phi_X & \downarrow \psi_X \\ & & \mathrm{Alb}_X(K) \end{array}$$

and ψ_X has a finite kernel and a finite cokernel. Let f (resp. g) denote the natural map $\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K}$ (resp. $\mathrm{Br}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K}$) and F denote the cokernel of $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K} \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K}$. Then there is a long exact sequence of finite groups

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(\psi_X)^\vee \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(f)/\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \mathrm{Br}(K) \longrightarrow H^1(K, \mathrm{NS}(X_{\bar{K}})) \\ \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\psi_X)^\vee \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(f) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(g) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.11. The horizontal map in the diagram was proved to be surjective by Saito and Sato [SS1]. So $\mathrm{Coker}(\psi_X) = \mathrm{Coker}(\phi_X)$. Kai [Kai] proved the commutativity of the diagram and showed $F \cong \mathrm{Coker}(\psi_X)^\vee$ for some X satisfying certain conditions. It was shown by Saito and Sato [SS2, Cor. 0.4] that $A_0(X)$ is ℓ -divisible for almost all primes ℓ . It follows that $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(K) + \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is ℓ -divisible for almost all ℓ , thus the pro ℓ -part of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(K) + \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is actually trivial for almost all ℓ . By [CTSa, Cor. 2.6] or [SS2, Thm. 0.3], the prime to p -part of it is finite. By the same argument, a conjecture of Colliot-Thélène [CT] i.e. $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi_X)$ is the direct sum of a finite group and a divisible group can imply the finiteness of $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi_X)$.

1.3 Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.2

The idea of proving Theorem 1.2 is to use the semi-stable p -adic Hodge comparison theorem, syntomic cohomology for \mathcal{X} and weight arguments in Deligne's proof of the local invariant cycle theorem in equal characteristic (cf. [Del2, Thm. 3.6.1]).

K. Sato defined a p -adic étale Tate twist $\mathfrak{T}_n(1) \in D^b(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ in [Sat2, §1.3], which is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_m \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}[-1]$. Thus, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$H_{\mathrm{fppf}}^2(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mu_{p^n}) \cong H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathfrak{T}_n(1)).$$

The p -adic étale twist $\mathfrak{T}_n(1)$ is related to the truncated nearby cycle by the following distinguished triangle

$$i_*\nu_{Y,n}^0[-2] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{T}_n(1) \longrightarrow \tau_{\leq 1}Rj_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1) \longrightarrow i_*\nu_{Y,n}^0[-1],$$

where $i : Y_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}$ is the special fiber and $\nu_{Y,n}^0$ is roughly equal to the constant étale sheaf $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ on $Y_{\bar{k}}$ (equal to $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ if Y is smooth). Taking cohomology, we get an exact sequence

$$\varprojlim_n H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathfrak{T}_n(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \tau_{\leq 1}Rj_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H_{\mathrm{et}}^1(Y_{\bar{k}}, \nu_{Y,n}^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q},$$

where the third term is roughly equal to $H_{\text{et}}^1(Y_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ which is of weight ≥ 1 . By using the semi-stable p-adic Hodge comparison theorem, we can show that

$$H_{\text{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))^I$$

is of weight ≤ 0 . So the question is reduced to show that the natural map

$$\varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \tau_{\leq 1} Rj_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))^I$$

is surjective. By a result of Kato and others, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{S}_n(1)_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \tau_{\leq 1} i^* Rj_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1),$$

where $\mathcal{S}_n(1)_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the (log) syntomic sheaf modulo p^n on Y_{et} . Thus, the question is reduced to show that the natural map

$$H_{\text{syn}}^2(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)) \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))^I$$

is surjective. This follows from the degeneracy of a syntomic descent spectral sequence (cf. [NN, Thm. A(5)] and [DN]).

1.4 Notation and Terminology

Fields

For any field k , denote by k^s the separable closure. Denote by $G_k = \text{Gal}(k^s/k)$ the absolute Galois group of k .

Henselization

Let R be a noetherian local ring, denote by R^h (resp. R^{sh}) the henselization (resp. strict henselization) of R at the maximal ideal. If R is a domain, denote by K^h (resp. K^{sh}) the fraction field of R^h (resp. R^{sh}).

Varieties

By a *variety* over a field k , we mean a scheme which is separated and of finite type over k . For a smooth proper geometrically connected variety X over a field k , we use $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$ to denote the identity component of the Picard scheme $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$.

Cohomology

The default sheaves and cohomology over schemes are with respect to the small étale site. So H^i is the abbreviation of H_{et}^i .

Brauer groups

For any noetherian scheme X , denote the *cohomological Brauer group*

$$\mathrm{Br}(X) := H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathrm{tor}}.$$

Abelian group

For any abelian group M , integer m and prime ℓ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} M[m] &= \{x \in M \mid mx = 0\}, & M_{\mathrm{tor}} &= \bigcup_{m \geq 1} M[m], & M[\ell^\infty] &= \bigcup_{n \geq 1} M[\ell^n], \\ T_\ell M &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell, M) = \varprojlim_n M[\ell^n], & V_\ell M &= T_\ell(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements:

I would like to thank Ruochuan Liu, Wiesława Nizioł and Xinyi Yuan for important communications.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We will prove Theorem 1.2 under the assumption that π is a semi-stable projective morphism. The general case can be reduced to this case by de Jong's alteration theorem [deJ, Cor. 5.1] (cf. Theorem 4.3).

Definition 2.1. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S = \mathrm{Spec} R$ be a flat separated morphism of finite type. Assume that \mathcal{X} is regular and irreducible. Let Y be the special fiber of π . Let $Y_i, i \in I$ be the irreducible components of Y . Put $Y_J = \bigcap_{j \in J} Y_j$ (scheme-theoretic intersection) for a non empty subset J of I . We say \mathcal{X} is strictly semi-stable over S if the following property hold:*

- a) *the generic fiber X of π is smooth over $K = \mathrm{Frac}(R)$,*
- b) *Y is a reduced scheme,*
- c) *for each nonempty $J \subseteq I$, Y_J is smooth over k and has codimension $\#J$ in \mathcal{X} .*

We say \mathcal{X} is semi-stable over S (or with semi-stable reduction) if the situation étale locally looks as described above.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S = \mathrm{Spec} R$ be a semi-stable flat projective morphism, where R is a henselian DVR of characteristic 0 with a perfect residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $i : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the special fiber and $j : U = X_K \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the generic fiber. Then the natural map*

$$\tau_{\leq 1} Rj_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1) \rightarrow Rj_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)$$

induces a surjection:

$$\varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathcal{X}, \tau_{\leq 1} Rj_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))^{G_K}.$$

To prove the above statement, we need the following two lemmas:

Lemma 2.3. (*[CN, Cor. 5.2(ii) and Thm. 5.4]*) *Notation as above, assume that R is complete. Equips \mathcal{X} with the log-structure defined by the special fiber. Let $\mathcal{S}_n(r)_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the (log) syntomic sheaf modulo p^n on Y_{et} , there exist period morphisms*

$$\alpha_{r,n}^{FM} : \mathcal{S}_n(r)_{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow i^* Rj_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)'_{X_K}$$

from logarithmic syntomic cohomology to logarithmic p -adic nearby cycles. Here we set $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)' := \frac{1}{p^{a(r)}} \mathbb{Z}_p(r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n$ where $a(r) = [r/(p-1)]$. Then we have

(i) for $i \geq r+1$, $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{S}_n(r))$ is annihilated by $p^{N(r)}$.

(ii) for $0 \leq i \leq r$, the kernel and cokernel of the period map

$$\alpha_{r,n}^{FM} : \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{S}_n(r)_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow i^* R^i j_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)'_{X_K}$$

is annihilated by p^N for an integer $N = N(K, p, r)$, which only depends on K, p, r .

Remark 2.4. For $0 \leq r \leq p-2$ and $n \geq 1$, it is known that

$$\alpha_{r,n}^{FM} : \mathcal{S}_n(r)_{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \tau_{\leq r} i^* Rj_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)_{X_K}$$

is an isomorphism for \mathcal{X} a log-scheme log-smooth over a henselian discrete valuation ring R of mixed characteristic. This was proved by Kato [Ka1][Ka2], Kurihara [Ku], and Tsuji [Ts1][Ts2]. If $p > 2$, we can take $r = 1$, it is enough for our application. To deal with the case $p = 2$, we need the above general result of Colmez and Niziol.

Lemma 2.5. (*[NN, Thm. A(5)] and [DN]*) *Notations as above, define $R\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(\mathcal{X}, r)_n := R\Gamma(\mathcal{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathcal{S}_n(r))$ and $R\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(\mathcal{X}, r) := \text{homlim}_n R\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(\mathcal{X}, r)_n$. Define $H_{\text{syn}}^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}_p(r)) := H^i(R\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(\mathcal{X}, r)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Then there is a spectral sequence*

$${}^{\text{syn}}E_2^{i,j} = H_{\text{st}}^i(G_K, H_{\text{et}}^j(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(r))) \Rightarrow H_{\text{syn}}^{i+j}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}_p(r)),$$

which is compatible with the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence for etale cohomology

$${}^{\text{et}}E_2^{i,j} = H^i(G_K, H_{\text{et}}^j(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(r))) \Rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^{i+j}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p(r)).$$

It degenerates at E_2 for X_K projective and smooth. In particular, the edge map

$$H_{\text{syn}}^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)) \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))^{G_K}$$

is surjective.

Proof of Proposition 2.2.

Proof. Replacing R by its completion, we can assume that R is complete. By Lemma 2.3, the maps

$$\mathcal{H}^i(\tau_{\leq 1}\mathcal{S}_n(1)_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{S}_n(1)_{\mathcal{X}})$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^i(\tau_{\leq 1}\mathcal{S}_n(1)_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(i^*\tau_{\leq 1}Rj_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_{X_K})$$

have kernel and cokernel annihilated by some p^N , where N only depends on $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ and is independent of n . So do

$$H_{\text{et}}^2(Y, \tau_{\leq 1}\mathcal{S}_n(1)_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^2(Y, \mathcal{S}_n(1)_{\mathcal{X}})$$

and

$$H_{\text{et}}^2(Y, \tau_{\leq 1}\mathcal{S}_n(1)_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^2(Y, i^*\tau_{\leq 1}Rj_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_{X_K}).$$

Taking limit, we get an isomorphism

$$\varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^2(Y, \mathcal{S}_n(1)_{\mathcal{X}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^2(Y, i^*\tau_{\leq 1}Rj_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_{X_K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

By the proper base change theorem, we have

$$H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathcal{X}, \tau_{\leq 1}Rj_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_{X_K}) \cong H_{\text{et}}^2(Y, i^*\tau_{\leq 1}Rj_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_{X_K}).$$

There is a natural map

$$H_{\text{syn}}^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^2(Y, \mathcal{S}_n(1)_{\mathcal{X}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

By composition, we get a natural map

$$H_{\text{syn}}^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathcal{X}, \tau_{\leq 1}Rj_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_{X_K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

By Lemma 2.5, the natural map

$$H_{\text{syn}}^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)) \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))^{G_K}$$

is surjective. By the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{syn}}^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathcal{X}, \tau_{\leq 1}Rj_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)'_{X_K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & H_{\text{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))^{G_K} \end{array}$$

the map

$$\varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathcal{X}, \tau_{\leq 1}Rj_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))^{G_K}$$

is surjective. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Assuming that R has a finite residue field, let X be a smooth proper variety over K . Assuming the conjecture of purity of the weight filtration ([Illu, Conj. 2.6.5]) (which is known for $\dim(X) \leq 2$), then the eigenvalues of the geometric Frobenius action on $H_{\text{et}}^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(m))^I$ are Weil numbers of weight $\leq i - 2m$.*

Proof. By de Jong's alteration theorem [deJ, Cor. 5.1], there exists an alteration of X with semi-stable reduction over R . Without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists a proper semi-stable morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ whose generic fiber is identified with X/K .

Replace K by its completion. Let K_0 (resp. K_1) denote the fraction field of $W(k)$ (resp. $W(\bar{k})$). By the semi-stable comparison theorem, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$H_{\text{et}}^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{st} \cong H_0^i(\mathcal{X}) \otimes_{K_0} B_{st}$$

compatible with actions of G_K , Frobenius ϕ and N and filtrations after tensor K on both sides, where the ϕ action on right side is given by $\phi_{st} \otimes \phi_B$ and N acts by $N \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes N$ on the right side. Set $V = H^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. We also write $D_{st}(V)$ for $H_0^i(\mathcal{X})$. Note $D_{st}(V(m)) = D_{st}(V)(m)$. And we have

$$V(m) = \{x \in D_{st}(V(m)) \otimes_{K_0} B_{st} \mid \phi x = x, Nx = 0, 1 \otimes_{K_0} x \in \text{Fil}^0\}.$$

Since $B_{st}^I = K_1$ and $B_{cris} = \text{Ker}(N : B_{st} \rightarrow B_{st})$, we have

$$V(m)^I = \{x \in D_{st}(V(m)) \otimes_{K_0} K_1 \mid \phi x = x, Nx = 0\} \cap \text{Fil}^0.$$

Since $N\phi = p\phi N$ on $D_{st}(V(m))$, so ϕ keeps $(D_{st}(V(m)))^{N=0}$. N acts as zero on K_1 , therefore

$$V(m)^I \subset \{x \in D_{st}(V(m))^{N=0} \otimes_{K_0} K_1 \mid \phi x = x\}.$$

Assuming $k = \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, there is a ϕ^n -equivariant filtration M_i on $D_{st}(V)$ determined by N . Since N acts on $D_{st}(V(m))$ same as action on $D_{st}(V)$ (identifying the underlying space) and $\phi_{D_{st}(m)} = p^{-m}\phi_{D_{st}}$, we have

$$\{x \in D_{st}(V(m))^{N=0} \otimes_{K_0} K_1 \mid \phi x = x\} = \{x \in D_{st}(V)^{N=0} \otimes_{K_0} K_1 \mid \phi^n(x) = p^{mn}x\}.$$

ϕ^n can be written as $(\phi_{st}^n \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes \phi_B^n)$ as K_0 -linear maps on $D_{st}(V)^{N=0} \otimes_{K_0} K_1$. Set $A = \phi_{st}^n \otimes 1$ and $B = 1 \otimes \phi_B^n$, then $\phi^n = AB = BA$. Set

$$U = \{x \in D_{st}(V)^{N=0} \otimes_{K_0} K_1 \mid \phi^n(x) = p^{mn}x\}.$$

It is a A and B invariant \mathbb{Q}_p -linear subspace. Since $D_{st}(V)^{N=0} \subseteq M_0$, by [Illu, Conj. 2.6.6(a)], all eigenvalues of ϕ^n on $D_{st}(V)^{N=0}$ are Weil numbers of weight $\leq i$. So there is polynomial $P(X)$ (the product of Galois conjugates of the characteristic polynomial of ϕ^n) in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ such that $P(\phi^n) = 0$ on $D_{st}(V)^{N=0}$. So $P(B^{-1}p^{mn}) = 0$ on U . Set $G(X) = X^{\deg(P)}P(p^{mn}X^{-1})$, we have $G \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ and $G(B) = 0$ on U . Actually, the B action on U is same as the arithmetic Frobenius $F \in \text{Gal}(K^{sh}/K)$ action on U .

Therefore, $H^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(m))^I$ is a B -invariant subspace of U . Therefore, $G(F) = 0$ on $H^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(m))^I$. Since all roots of $P(X)$ are Weil numbers of weight $\leq i$, so all roots of $G(X)$ are Weil numbers of weight $\geq 2m - i$. \square

Remark 2.7. The conjecture of purity of the weight filtration is known for the case $\dim X \leq 2$. For $i \leq 2$, by a Lefschetz hyperplane argument, the statement in the theorem can be reduced to the case $\dim X \leq 2$, thus it holds for $i \leq 2$ unconditionally. In the case that X has a good reduction, the eigenvalues of the geometric Frobenius action on $H_{\text{et}}^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(m))^I$ are Weil numbers of pure weight $i - 2m$. The above proof is sketched in [Jan, §5].

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } R$ be a semi-stable flat projective morphism. Assume that it is a base change of some $\mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow S_0 = \text{Spec } R_0$, where R_0 is a henselian DVR with a finite residue field k_0 and $R = R_0^{sh}$. Let $\mathfrak{T}_n(1) \in D^b(\mathcal{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ be the p -adic étale Tate twist defined by Kanetomo Sato in [Sat2, §1.3], which is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_m \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}[-1]$. Then the natural map*

$$\varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_n(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))^{G_K}$$

is surjective.

Proof. By the definition of $\mathfrak{T}_n(m)$, there is a distinguished triangle in $D^b(\mathcal{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$

$$(1) \quad i_*\nu_{Y,n}^{m-1}[-m-1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_n(m) \rightarrow \tau_{\leq m} Rj_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n(m) \rightarrow i_*\nu_{Y,n}^{m-1}[-m],$$

where $\nu_{Y,n}^m$ are generalized Logarithmic Hodge–Witt sheaves which agree with $W_n\Omega_{Y,\log}^m$ if Y is smooth (cf. [Sat2, Lem. 1.3.1]). $\nu_{Y,n}^0$ can be identified with

$$\bigoplus_{y \in Y^0} i_{y*}\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$$

where Y^0 is the set of generic points of Y . Taking $m = 1$ in (1) and taking cohomology, we get an exact sequence

$$\varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_n(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathcal{X}, \tau_{\leq 1} Rj_*\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^1(Y, \nu_{Y,n}^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

G_{k_0} acts on the above sequence, and we will show the third term is of pure weight 1. Let $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be an alteration such that \tilde{Y} is smooth. It induces a map

$$H_{\text{et}}^1(Y, \nu_{Y,n}^0) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^1(\tilde{Y}, \nu_{\tilde{Y},n}^0).$$

Since $H_{\text{et}}^1(Y, \nu_{Y,n}^0) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^1(Y^0, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ and $H_{\text{et}}^1(\tilde{Y}, \nu_{\tilde{Y},n}^0) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^1(\tilde{Y}^0, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$, and the kernel of $H_{\text{et}}^1(Y^0, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^1(\tilde{Y}^0, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ is killed by some positive integer independent of

n , we have an injection

$$\varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^1(Y, \nu_{Y,n}^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^1(\tilde{Y}, \nu_{\tilde{Y},n}^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

Therefore, the natural map

$$\varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^1(Y, \nu_{Y,n}^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^1(\tilde{Y}, \nu_{\tilde{Y},n}^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

is injective. We might assume that the irreducible components of Y and \tilde{Y} are defined over k_0 , therefore $H_{\text{et}}^1(\tilde{Y}, \nu_{\tilde{Y},n}^0)$ admits a $\text{Gal}(k/k_0)$ action. Since \tilde{Y} is smooth over k , we have $\nu_{\tilde{Y},n}^0 = \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$. Thus

$$\varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^1(\tilde{Y}, \nu_{\tilde{Y},n}^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = H_{\text{et}}^1(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

Since $H_{\text{et}}^1(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is of pure weight 1 (cf. [Jan, §3]), thus $\varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^1(Y, \nu_{Y,n}^0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is also of pure weight 1.

By Proposition 2.2,

$$\varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathcal{X}, \tau_{\leq 1} Rj_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))^{G_K}$$

is surjective. By Lemma 2.6, $H_{\text{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))^{G_K}$ is of mixed weight ≤ 0 . Therefore

$$\varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_n(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))^{G_K}$$

is surjective. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof. Let $f : \mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\text{et}}$ be the morphism between Grothendieck topologies. By [Mil1, Thm. 3.9], $R^i f_* \mathbb{G}_m = 0$ for $i > 0$. By the Kummer exact sequence of fppf-sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{p^n} \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow 0,$$

the complex $\mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{p^n} \mathbb{G}_m$ can be viewed as an acyclic resolution of μ_{p^n} . It follows

$$Rf_* \mu_{p^n} \cong \mathbb{G}_m \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}[-1].$$

Since $\mathfrak{T}_n(1) \cong \mathbb{G}_m \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}[-1]$ (cf. [Sat2, Prop. 4.5.1]), we have

$$H_{\text{fppf}}^2(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mu_{p^n}) = H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, Rf_* \mu_{p^n}) \cong H_{\text{et}}^2(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathfrak{T}_n(1)).$$

Then the claim follows from Lemma 2.8. □

3 Applications to Brauer groups

3.1 Proof of Corollary 1.7

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } R$ be a proper flat morphism, where R is an excellent strictly henselian DVR with an algebraic closed residue field. Let K denote the quotient field of R . Assuming that \mathcal{X} is regular and the generic fiber X is smooth projective geometrically connected over K , then the map*

$$\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K}$$

has kernel of finite exponent. The claim still holds for the prime to p part if the residue field of R is only separable closed.

Proof. If $\dim(\mathcal{X}) \leq 2$, Artin's theorem [Gro3, Thm.3.1] implies that both groups vanish. In general, we will use a pull-back trick developed by Colliot-Thélène and Skorobogatov (cf.[CTSk] or [Yua]) to reduce it to cases of relative dimension 1. By [Yua, §3.3, p17], there are smooth projective geometrically connected curves $Z_i \subset X$, an abelian variety A/K and a G_K -equivariant map

$$\text{Pic}(X_{K^s}) \times A(K^s) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \text{Pic}(Z_{i,K^s})$$

with finite kernel and cokernel. It follows that the natural map

$$H^1(K, \text{Pic}_{X/K}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i H^1(K, \text{Pic}_{Z_i/K})$$

has a kernel of finite exponent. By taking the Zariski closures of Z_i in \mathcal{X} and then desingularizing it, we may assume that there are $\pi_i : \mathcal{Z}_i \rightarrow S$ with generic fiber Z_i satisfying same conditions as π and S -morphisms $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, \text{Pic}_{X/K}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_i H^1(K, \text{Pic}_{Z_i/K}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_i \text{Br}(Z_i) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_i \text{Br}(Z_{i,K^s})^{G_K} \end{array}$$

The exact rows come from the spectral sequences

$$H^p(K, H^q(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{G}_m)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

and the fact $H^q(K, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0, q > 0$. Since $\text{Br}(\mathcal{Z}_i) = 0$, $\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \cap H^1(K, \text{Pic}_{X/K})$ will be mapped to zero in $\text{Br}(Z_i)$. Thus, $\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \cap H^1(K, \text{Pic}_{X/K})$ is contained in the kernel of the first column which is of finite exponent. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.7.

Proof. It follows directly from the above lemma that the natural map

$$\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})[p^\infty] \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^I[p^\infty]$$

has a kernel of finite exponent. By [Yua, Thm. 1.2], the natural map

$$H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))^I \longrightarrow V_p \mathrm{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^I$$

is surjective. By Theorem 1.2, the natural map

$$V_p \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}) \longrightarrow V_p \mathrm{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^I$$

is surjective. Since $\mathrm{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^I$ is of cofinite type, thus

$$\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})[p^\infty] \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^I[p^\infty]$$

has a finite cokernel. □

3.2 Proof of Corollary 1.9

We will deduce the finiteness of the kernel and the p -primary part of the cokernel from Corollary 1.7. The prime to p -parts of the kernel and the cokernel was proved by Colliot-Thélène and Saito in [CTSa, Cor. 2.6] (cf. Remark 1.11). To prove the finiteness of the cokernel, we will use a Bertini theorem for strictly semi-stable morphisms over DVRs developed in [JS] and a pull-back trick developed by Colliot-Thélène and Skorobogatov (cf. [CTSk] or [Yua]) to reduce it to cases of relative dimension 1.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow S = \mathrm{Spec} R$ be a proper flat map, where R is an excellent henselian DVR with a fraction field K and a finite residue field k of characteristic p . Assuming that \mathcal{X} is regular and the generic fiber X of π is geometrically connected over K , then there is an exact sequence*

$$\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})^{G_k} \longrightarrow H^2(S, R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{G}_m),$$

and the first map of the above sequence has a finite kernel.

Proof. By the Leray spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(S, R^q \pi_* \mathbb{G}_m) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{G}_m),$$

we get a long exact sequence

$$H^2(S, \mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(H^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow H^0(S, R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{G}_m)) \longrightarrow H^1(S, R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow H^3(S, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

By [Mil2, Chap. II, Prop. 1.5], $H^i(S, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Since $H^0(C, R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})^{G_k}$, we get the desired exact sequence. To show the kernel of the first map is finite, it suffices to show that $H^1(S, R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{G}_m)$ is finite. We have

$$H^1(S, R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{G}_m) = H^1(G_k, \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})).$$

Since the natural map $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_{K^{sh}})$ is surjective and has a finitely generated cokernel, it suffices to show that $H^1(G_k, \text{Pic}(X_{K^{sh}}))$ is finite. Since $\text{Br}(K^{sh}) = 0$, we have

$$\text{Pic}(X_{K^{sh}}) = \text{Pic}_{X/K}(K^{sh}).$$

Since the cokernel of $\text{Pic}_{X/K}^0(K^{sh}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/K}(K^{sh})$ is finitely generated, it suffices to show that $H^1(G_k, \text{Pic}(X_{K^{sh}}))$ is finite. This follows from [Mil2, Chap. I, Prop.3.8]. \square

By Lemma 3.2, the kernel of the natural map $\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})$ is of finite exponent. By the above lemma, the kernel of the natural map $\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K}$ is also of finite exponent and is actually finite since $\text{Br}(X)$ is of cofinite type. To show the natural map $\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K}$ has a finite cokernel, by Corollary 1.7, it suffices to show that the natural map

$$\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})^{G_k} \longrightarrow H^2(S, R^1\pi_*\mathbb{G}_m)$$

has an image of finite exponent. In the case of $\dim(\mathcal{X}) = 2$, this is obvious since $\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}) = 0$. In general, we will need to use a Lefschetz hyperplane argument to reduce this to the case of relative dimension 1.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } R$ be a projective flat morphism, where R is a strictly henselian DVR of characteristic 0 with an algebraic closed residue field k . Assume \mathcal{X} is strictly quasi-semi-stable over S (cf.[JS, Def. 1.1]) and its geometric fiber is geometrically connected over K . Let Y be the special fiber of π . Write $Y_{\text{red}} = \cup_{i=1}^n Y_i$ as the union of irreducible components. By definition, Y_i are smooth projective connected varieties over k . Assume $m = \dim(Y) \geq 1$. Fix an embedding $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$, then there exist hyperplanes H_1, \dots, H_{m-1} defined over R such that the scheme-theoretic intersections $\mathcal{Z} = (\cap_{i=1}^{m-1} H_i) \cap \mathcal{X}$ satisfies the same assumption as $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ (strictly quasi-semi-stable and has geometrically connected generic fiber) and $C_j = Y_j \cap (\cap_{i=1}^{m-1} H_i)$ are distinct smooth projective connected curves.*

Proof. If $\dim(Y) = 1$, the claim is trivial. Assume $m = \dim(Y) > 1$, by Bertini's theorem for quasi-stable-schemes over a DVR [JS, Thm. 1.2], there is a hyperplane H over S such that H intersects Y_j and $Y_i \cap Y_j$ transversely and $H \cap \mathcal{X}$ is quasi-semi-stable over S . Since $\dim(Y) > 1$, we have scheme-theoretic intersections $H \cap Y_j$ and $H_{\bar{K}} \cap X_{\bar{K}}$ are smooth and connected. Since H intersects $Y_i \cap Y_j$ transversely, $H \cap Y_i$ are distinct irreducible components of the special fiber of $\mathcal{X} \cap H$. By induction, the claim is true for $\mathcal{X} \cap H$, so there exist H_2, \dots, H_{m-1} satisfying conditions in the claim for $\mathcal{X} \cap H$. Then $H_1 = H, H_2, \dots, H_{m-1}$ are desired hyperplanes for \mathcal{X} . \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } R$ be a proper flat morphism where R is a strictly henselian DVR with an algebraic closed residue field and \mathcal{Z} is regular and is of dimension 2. Assume that the generic fiber of π is smooth and connected. Let C denote the special fiber and C_i denote irreducible components of C . Then the intersection pairing*

$$\bigoplus_i \mathbb{Q} \cdot [C_i] \times \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Q} \cdot [C_i] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

has the left and right kernel spanned by $[C]$.

Proof. Since $[C] = 0$, so $C.C_j = 0$ for any j . Let $D = \sum x_i[C_i]$ such that $D.C_j = 0$ for all j . So $\sum x_i C_i.C_j = 0$ for all j . We have $D.D = 0$, i.e $\sum x_i x_j C_i.C_j = 0$. We may assume $[C] = \sum[C_i]$, since $C.C_i = 0$. It follows $C_i.C_i = -\sum_{j \neq i} C_i.C_j$. Therefore $0 = \sum x_i x_j C_i.C_j = \sum_{i < j} (2x_i x_j - x_i^2 - x_j^2) C_i.C_j$. It follows $x_i = x_j$ if $C_i.C_j > 0$. Since C is connected, so all x_i are same, we have $D = x[C]$. This proves the claim. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let G be a group and M, L are G -modules. We say a G -map $f : M \rightarrow L$ is almost split if there exists a G -map $N \rightarrow L$ such that $M \oplus N \rightarrow L$ has kernel and cokernel of finite exponent. Assume that there is a commutative diagram with exact rows*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A_1 & \longrightarrow & B_1 & \longrightarrow & C_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A_2 & \longrightarrow & B_2 & \longrightarrow & C_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

such that the first column, the third column and $A_2 \rightarrow B_2$ are almost split. Then the middle column is almost split.

Proof. Let $A'_1 \rightarrow A_2$, $A'_2 \rightarrow B_2$ and $C'_1 \rightarrow C_2$ be G -maps such that $A'_1 \oplus A_1 \rightarrow A_2$, $A_2 \oplus A'_2 \rightarrow B_2$ and $C_1 \oplus C'_1 \rightarrow C_2$ have kernels and cokernels of finite exponent. We may assume $A'_2 \subseteq B_2$ and $C'_1 \subseteq C_2$. Then $A'_2 \rightarrow C_2$ has a kernel and a cokernel of finite exponent. Let B' denote the preimage of C'_1 under this map. One can show that $B' \rightarrow C'_1$ has a kernel and a cokernel of finite exponent. Replace C'_1 by the image of this map, we may assume that the map $B' \rightarrow C'_1$ is surjective. Let K denote its kernel, so $K \subseteq A_2$ and is of finite exponent. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A'_1 \oplus A_1 \oplus K & \longrightarrow & A'_1 \oplus B_1 \oplus B' & \longrightarrow & C_1 \oplus C'_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A_2 & \longrightarrow & B_2 & \longrightarrow & C_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the first and the third column has kernels and cokernels of finite exponent. By the snake lemma, the second column has kernel and cokernel of finite exponent. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } R$ be a projective flat morphism with a smooth and geometrically connected generic fiber X , where R is a henselian DVR of characteristic 0 with a finite residue field k . Assuming that the base change of π to R^{sh} is strictly quasi-semi-stable, then*

$$\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})^{G_k}$$

has a kernel and a cokernel of finite exponent.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, it suffices to show that the cokernel is of finite exponent. If π is of relative dimension 1, by Artin's theorem [Gro3, Thm.3.1], $\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}) = 0$, so the claim

is true in this case. For the general case, we will use a pullback trick (cf.[Yua]) to reduce the problem to the case of relative dimension 1. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ S & \xrightarrow{id} & S \end{array}$$

where π' is proper flat and of relative dimension 1. By Proposition 3.2, there is commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})^{G_k} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^2(S, R^1\pi_*\mathbb{G}_m) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow a \\ \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{Z}_{R^{sh}})^{G_k} & \longrightarrow & H^2(S, R^1\pi'_*\mathbb{G}_m). \end{array}$$

Since $\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{Z}_{R^{sh}})^{G_k} = 0$, we have $\mathrm{Im}(\partial) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}(a)$. The idea is to show that $\mathrm{Ker}(a)$ is of finite exponent. Instead of working on one \mathcal{Z} , we will find finitely many \mathcal{Z}_i and show that the kernel of

$$H^2(S, R^1\pi_*\mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\sum a_i} \bigoplus_i H^2(S, R^1\pi'_*\mathbb{G}_m)$$

is of finite exponent. By the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence

$$H^p(G_k, H^q(\mathrm{Spec} R^{sh}, R^1\pi_*\mathbb{G}_m)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(S, R^1\pi_*\mathbb{G}_m),$$

we get

$$H^2(S, R^1\pi_*\mathbb{G}_m) = H^2(G_k, H^0(\mathrm{Spec} R^{sh}, R^1\pi_*\mathbb{G}_m)) = H^2(G_k, \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})).$$

Thus, the map a can be identified with

$$H^2(G_k, \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})) \longrightarrow H^2(G_k, \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{Z}_{R^{sh}})).$$

Let Y denote the special fiber of π and Y_i denote its irreducible components. Then there is an exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z} \cdot [Y_i] \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X_{K^{sh}}) \longrightarrow 0$$

The kernel of the first arrow is generated by $[Y]$, this actually follows from the following long exact sequence (cf.[Gro3, §6])

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow H^0(X_{K^{sh}}, \mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow H_Y^1(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow H^1(X_{K^{sh}}, \mathbb{G}_m),$$

and the facts

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathbb{G}_m) = (R^{sh})^*, \quad H^0(X_{K^{sh}}, \mathbb{G}_m) = (K^{sh})^*$$

and

$$H_Y^1(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}, \mathbb{G}_m) = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z} \cdot [Y_i].$$

Thus, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \left(\bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z} \cdot [Y_i] \right) / \mathbb{Z} \cdot [Y] \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X_{K^{sh}}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We will denote $(\bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z} \cdot [Y_i]) / \mathbb{Z} \cdot [Y]$ by $D_{\mathcal{X}}$. Let $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow S$ be a proper flat morphism with \mathcal{Z} regular and of dimension 2. Let C denote its special fiber and C_i denote irreducible components of C . Consider the G_k -equivariant intersect pairing

$$(2) \quad \text{Pic}(\mathcal{Z}_{R^{sh}}) \times D_{\mathcal{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

by Lemma 3.4, the restriction of the pairing to $D_{\mathcal{Z}} \times D_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is perfect after tensor \mathbb{Q} . Let $D_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\perp}$ denote the left kernel of the pairing (2). Then the map

$$D_{\mathcal{Z}} \oplus D_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\perp} \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{Z}_{R^{sh}})$$

has a kernel and a cokernel of finite exponent. The kernel can be identified with the left kernel of the pairing $D_{\mathcal{Z}} \times D_{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which is finite. Since $D_{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(D_{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathbb{Z})$ has a cokernel killed by some positive integer m , for any element $v \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{Z}_{R^{sh}})$, v defines an element v^* in $\text{Hom}(D_{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathbb{Z})$, so there exists an element $u \in D_{\mathcal{Z}}$ such that $mv^* = u^*$. Thus $mv - u \in D_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\perp}$. This proves that the cokernel is killed by m . Thus, $D_{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{Z}_{R^{sh}})$ is almost split.

By Lemma 3.3, we can choose \mathcal{Z}_0 by taking hyperplane sections repeatedly such that $D_{\mathcal{X}} \cong D_{\mathcal{Z}_0}$. Then by [Yua, §3.3, p17], we can choose smooth projective geometrically connected curves $Z_i \subseteq X$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that

$$\text{Pic}(X_{K^s}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \text{Pic}(Z_{i,K^s})$$

is almost split as G_{K^s} -modules. Taking $G_{K^{sh}}$ invariant, we have

$$\text{Pic}(X_{K^{sh}}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \text{Pic}(Z_{i,K^{sh}})$$

is almost split as G_k -modules.

Taking the Zariski closure of Z_i in \mathcal{X} , then desingularizes it, we get a S -morphism $\mathcal{Z}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{Z}_i \rightarrow S$ is proper flat with generic fiber Z_i . Thus, we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & D_{\mathcal{X}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}(X_{K^{sh}}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=0}^n D_{\mathcal{Z}_i} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \text{Pic}(\mathcal{Z}_{i,R^{sh}}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \text{Pic}(Z_{i,K^{sh}}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

By the constructions, the first column, the third column and the second row are almost split, by Lemma 3.5, the second column is also almost split. Therefore,

$$H^2(G_k, \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^n H^2(G_k, \text{Pic}(\mathcal{Z}_{i,R^{sh}}))$$

has a kernel of finite exponent. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } R$ as before. Assuming that π is strictly semi-stable, then the natural map*

$$\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K}$$

has a finite kernel and a finite cokernel.

Proof. By Corollary 1.7,

$$\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})[p^\infty] \longrightarrow \text{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_{K^{sh}}}[p^\infty]$$

has a kernel and a cokernel of finite exponent. It follows that the map

$$\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})^{G_k}[p^\infty] \longrightarrow \text{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K}[p^\infty]$$

also has a kernel and a cokernel of finite exponent. By the lemma below, the claim also holds for prime to p part. Thus, the natural map

$$\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})^{G_k} \longrightarrow \text{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K}$$

has a kernel and a cokernel of finite exponent. By Proposition 3.6,

$$\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K}$$

has a kernel and a cokernel of finite exponent. Since both groups are of cofinite type, so the kernel and cokernel are actually finite. \square

Remark 3.8. To finish the proof of Corollary 1.9, we will remove the strictly semi-stable assumption in the next section.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } R$ be a proper flat morphism where R is a henselian DVR with a finite residue field k and a quotient field K . Assume that \mathcal{X} is regular and the generic fiber X of π is smooth projective geometrically connected over K . Let $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$ be a prime. Then*

$$\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})^{G_k}(\ell) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K}(\ell)$$

has a kernel and a cokernel of finite exponent and is an isomorphism for all but finitely many ℓ .

Proof. Let Y be the special fiber of π and Let Y_i be its irreducible components. We may assume that Y_i is geometrically irreducible. By purity of Brauer group, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})(\ell) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_{K^{sh}})(\ell) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i H^1(D_{i,k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell),$$

where D_i is the smooth locus of Y_i . Thus, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}_{R^{sh}})^{G_k}(\ell) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_{K^{sh}})^{G_k}(\ell) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i H^1(D_{i,k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{G_k}.$$

By [Qin1, Lem. 2.4], $H^1(D_{i,k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{G_k}$ is finite and vanishes for all but finitely many ℓ . Consider the spectral sequence

$$H^p(K^{sh}, H^q(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{G}_m)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X_{K^{sh}}, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

Since $H^p(K^{sh}, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$ for $p \geq 1$, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(K^{sh}, \mathrm{Pic}_{X/K}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_{K^{sh}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K}.$$

Taking G_k invariants, we get

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(K^{sh}, \mathrm{Pic}_{X/K})^{G_k} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_{K^{sh}})^{G_k} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K}$$

By [CTSk, Thm. 2.1], the last map has a cokernel of finite exponent. By [Qin2, Lem. 5.4], the group $H^1(K^{sh}, \mathrm{Pic}_{X/K})^{G_k}(\ell)$ is finite and vanishes for all but finitely many ℓ . This proves the claim. \square

3.3 Proof of Theorem 1.10

Theorem 3.10. *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(K) + \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ & \searrow \phi_X & \downarrow \psi_X \\ & & \mathrm{Alb}_X(K) \end{array}$$

and ψ_X has a finite kernel and a finite cokernel. Let f (resp. g) denote the natural map $\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K}$ (resp. $\mathrm{Br}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K}$) and F denote the cokernel of $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K} \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K}$. Then there is a long exact sequence of finite groups

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(\psi_X)^\vee &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(f)/\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \mathrm{Br}(K) \longrightarrow H^1(K, \mathrm{NS}(X_{\bar{K}})) \\ &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\psi_X)^\vee \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(f) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(g) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The commutativity of the diagram was proved by Kai (cf. [Kai, Prop. 3.4]). We will construct the long exact sequence by diagram chasings. By the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$H^p(K, H^q(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{G}_m)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

and the fact $H^3(K, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$ (cf. [Mil2, Chap. II, Prop. 1.5]), there is an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Br}(K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Br}(X)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K} \longrightarrow H^1(K, \mathrm{Pic}_{X/K}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X})/\mathrm{Br}(K) \cap \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(K) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(K) + \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K} & \xrightarrow{id} & \mathrm{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K} & \longrightarrow & 0 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

By the Snake Lemma, there is a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(f)/\mathrm{Br}(K) \cap \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}) &\longrightarrow H^1(K, \mathrm{Pic}_{X/K}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(K) + \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \\ &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(f) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(g) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, \mathrm{Pic}_{X/K}^0) & \xrightarrow{id} & H^1(K, \mathrm{Pic}_{X/K}^0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow a & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(f)/\mathrm{Br}(K) \cap \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, \mathrm{Pic}_{X/K}) & \xrightarrow{b} & \mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(K) + \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}) & & \end{array}$$

Since $H^2(K, \mathrm{Pic}_{X/K}^0) = 0$ (cf. [Mil2, Cor. 3.4]), there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow H^1(K, \mathrm{Pic}_{X/K}^0) \longrightarrow H^1(K, \mathrm{Pic}_{X/K}) \longrightarrow H^1(K, \mathrm{NS}(X_{\bar{K}})) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By the Snake Lemma, we get a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow F &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(a) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(f)/\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \mathrm{Br}(K) \longrightarrow H^1(K, \mathrm{NS}(X_{\bar{K}})) \\ &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(a) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(b) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the previous long exact sequence, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(b) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(f) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(g) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus, we have a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow F &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(a) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(f)/\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \mathrm{Br}(K) \longrightarrow H^1(K, \mathrm{NS}(X_{\bar{K}})) \\ &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(a) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(f) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(g) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, the map ψ_X is the dual of the map a . So we have

$$\text{Coker}(\psi_X)^\vee \cong \text{Ker}(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ker}(\psi_X)^\vee \cong \text{Coker}(a).$$

Since F is a finitely generated torsion abelian group, thus F is finite. There exists a finite Galois extension L/K such that G_L acts trivially on $\text{NS}(X_{\bar{K}})$. Consider the exact sequence of Galois cohomology

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\text{Gal}(L/K), \text{NS}(X_{\bar{K}})) \longrightarrow H^1(K, \text{NS}(X_{\bar{K}})) \longrightarrow H^1(L, \text{NS}(X_{\bar{K}})),$$

the first group is finite since it is a finitely generated torsion abelian group. By the local class field theory, the third group is also finite. Thus, $H^1(K, \text{NS}(X_{\bar{K}}))$ is finite. By Corollary 1.9, $\text{Ker}(f)$ and $\text{Coker}(f)$ are finite. It follows that all groups in the long exact sequence are finite. □

4 Removing the semi-stable assumption

We need the following two technical Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 to remove the semi-stable assumption.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper morphism between regular noetherian schemes. Assume that X is irreducible and each irreducible component of Y dominates X and there is an open dense subset U of X such that $f|_{f^{-1}(U)}$ is a finite etale Galois covering over U . Let $K(X)$ denote the functions field of X and assume $\text{char}(K(X)) = 0$. Set $G = \text{Aut}(f^{-1}(U)/U) = \text{Aut}(K(Y)/K(X))^{\text{op}}$. Then G acts on $\text{Br}(Y)$ and the natural map*

$$\text{Br}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(Y)^G$$

has a kernel and a cokernel of finite exponent.

Proof. Let $g \in G$, g induces a X -rational map $Y \dashrightarrow Y$. Since $Y \rightarrow X$ is proper, by the valuation criterion of properness, g can extend to an open dense subset $V \subset Y$ with $\text{codim}(Y - V) \geq 2$. Thus, g induces a map $\text{Br}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Br}(V)$. By purity of the Brauer group [Čes], $\text{Br}(Y) = \text{Br}(V)$, so G acts on $\text{Br}(Y)$. By [Čes, Thm. 1.2], there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Br}(Y) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(K(Y)) \longrightarrow \prod_{y \in Y^1} \text{Br}(K(Y))/\text{Br}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}),$$

where Y^1 denotes the set of point of codimension 1. Therefore we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}(K(X)) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{x \in X^1} \mathrm{Br}(K(X))/\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}(K(Y))^G & \longrightarrow & \prod_{y \in f^{-1}(X^1)} \mathrm{Br}(K(Y))/\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y})
\end{array}$$

Note all points in $f^{-1}(X^1)$ has codimension 1. Since $\mathrm{Br}(Y)^G \subset \mathcal{K}$, it suffices to show that the first column has a kernel and a cokernel of finite exponent. By the Snake lemma, it is enough to show that the kernel of the third row is killed by some positive integer. Set $B = \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$, $x = f(y)$, $A = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, $K = \mathrm{Frac}(A)$, $L = \mathrm{Frac}(B)$. It suffices to show that the kernel of $\mathrm{Br}(K)/\mathrm{Br}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(L)/\mathrm{Br}(B)$ is killed by some positive integer that only depends on $[L : K]$. We may replace L by its normal closure in \bar{K} , therefore we can assume that L/K is Galois. By definition, there is an injection

$$\mathrm{Br}(K)/\mathrm{Br}(A) \hookrightarrow H_x^3(\mathrm{Spec} A, \mathbb{G}_m) = H_x^3(\mathrm{Spec} A^h, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

Thus, we have

$$\mathrm{Br}(K)/\mathrm{Br}(A) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(K^h)/\mathrm{Br}(A^h).$$

Since $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$, we have $\mathrm{Br}(K^h) = \mathrm{Br}(\hat{K})$ and $\mathrm{Br}(A^h) = \mathrm{Br}(\hat{A})$. Thus, we can assume that A and B are complete DVRs. It suffices to show that the cokernel of $\mathrm{Br}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(B)^{\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)}$ is killed by some positive integer that only depends on $[L : K]$. Since $\mathrm{Br}(A) = \mathrm{Br}(k)$ and $\mathrm{Br}(B) = \mathrm{Br}(l)$ (cf. [Mil1, Chap. IV, Cor. 2.13]) where k (resp. l) denotes the residue field of A (resp. B). It suffices to show that the natural map

$$\mathrm{Br}(k) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(l)^{\mathrm{Aut}(l/k)}$$

has a cokernel killed by some fixed power of $[l : k]$. If l/k is separable, it follows from the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence that the cokernel is killed by $[l : k]^2$. Thus, we may assume that l/k is purely inseparable, $\mathrm{char}(k) = p$ and $[l : k] = p$. By assumptions, $(l^s)^p \subset k^s$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (k^s)^\times \longrightarrow (l^s)^\times \longrightarrow (l^s)^\times / (k^s)^\times \longrightarrow 0,$$

the last group is killed by p . Since $G_k = G_l$, taking Galois cohomology, it follows from the long exact sequence of Galois cohomology that the cokernel of $\mathrm{Br}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(l)$ is killed by $[l : k]$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.2. (Gabber) *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S = \mathrm{Spec} R$ be a proper flat morphism, where R is a henselian DVR of characteristic 0 with a perfect residue field. Let $K = \mathrm{Frac}(R)$ and X denote the generic fiber of π . Assuming that \mathcal{X} is regular and X is geometrically connected over K , then there exists a strictly semi-stable projective morphism $\pi_1 : \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow S_1 = \mathrm{Spec} R_1$, where R_1 is the ring of integer of some finite Galois extension K_1 of K , and an alteration $f : \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_S S_1$ over S_1 such that \mathcal{X}_1 is irreducible and regular and $K(\mathcal{X}_1)/K(\mathcal{X})$ is a finite Galois extension.*

Proof. It follows from [Vid, Prop. 4.4.1]. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } R$ be a proper flat morphism, where R is a henselian DVR of characteristic 0 with a finite residue field. Assuming that \mathcal{X} is regular and the generic fiber X of π is geometrically connected over K , then the natural map*

$$\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K}$$

has a kernel and a cokernel of finite exponent.

Proof. By the previous lemma, there is an alternation $f : \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ satisfying the assumptions in the Lemma 4.1. Obviously, $X_1 \otimes_K \bar{K} \rightarrow X_{\bar{K}}$ also satisfies the assumptions in the Lemma 4.1. Set $G = \text{Gal}(K(\mathcal{X}_1)/K(\mathcal{X}))$. By Lemma 4.1, the maps $\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_1)^G$ and $\text{Br}(X_{\bar{K}}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_1 \otimes_K \bar{K})^G$ have a kernel and a cokernel of finite exponent. Thus, the map

$$\text{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K} \rightarrow (\text{Br}(X_1 \otimes_K \bar{K})^{G_K})^G$$

also has a kernel and a cokernel of finite exponent. Since

$$X_1 \otimes_K \bar{K} = \bigsqcup_{\sigma: K_1 \hookrightarrow \bar{K}} (X_1)_\sigma,$$

so we have

$$\text{Br}(X_1 \otimes_K \bar{K})^{G_K} = \text{Br}((X_1)_{\bar{K}})^{G_{K_1}}.$$

Since $\mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow S_1$ is strictly semi-stable, by Proposition 3.7, the natural map

$$\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_1) \rightarrow \text{Br}((X_1)_{\bar{K}})^{G_{K_1}}$$

has a kernel and a cokernel of finite exponent. It follows that

$$\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_1)^G \rightarrow (\text{Br}((X_1)_{\bar{K}})^{G_{K_1}})^G$$

also has a kernel and a cokernel of finite exponent. Then the claim follows from the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Br}(\mathcal{X}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})^{G_K} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_1)^G & \longrightarrow & (\text{Br}((X_1)_{\bar{K}})^{G_{K_1}})^G \end{array}$$

□

Remark 4.4. The strictly semi-stable assumption in the proof of Corollary 1.7 can be removed by the same argument as above.

References

- [Čes] K. Česnavičius, Purity for the Brauer group. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 168(8) 2019, pp.1461-1486.
- [CN] P. Colmez, W. Nizioł, Syntomic complexes and p -adic nearby cycles, *Inventiones mathematicae* 208, no. 1 (2017): 1-108.
- [CT] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, L'arithmétique du groupe de Chow des zéro-cycles, *Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux* 7 (1995), 51–73.
- [CTSa] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, S. Saito, Zéro-cycles sur les variétés p -adiques et groupe de Brauer, *International Mathematics Research Notices* 4 (1996): 151-160.
- [CTSk] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, A.N. Skorobogatov, Descente galoisienne sur le groupe de Brauer. *J. reine angew. Math.* 682 (2013) 141-165.
- [deJ] A. de Jong, Families of curves and alterations. In *Annals of the Fourier Institute* (Vol. 47, No. 2, 1997, pp. 599-621).
- [Del1] P. Deligne, “SGA 4 1/2.” *Lect. Notes Math* 569 (1977).
- [Del2] P. Deligne, “Weil’s conjecture: II.” *IHÉS Mathematical Publications* 52 (1980): 137-252.
- [DN] F. Déglise, W. Nizioł, On p -adic absolute Hodge cohomology and syntomic coefficients, I. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*. 2018.
- [Fal] G. Faltings, Crystalline cohomology and p -adic Galois representations. In: Igusa, J.I. (ed.) *Algebraic Analysis, Geometry and Number Theory*, pp. 25–80. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore (1989)
- [FIMo] M. Flach, B. Morin, 2012. On the Weil-Étale Topos of Regular Arithmetic Schemes. *Documenta Mathematica*, 17, pp.313-399.
- [FoMe] J.M. Fontaine, W. Messing, p -Adic periods and p -adic étale cohomology. *Contemp. Math.* 67, 179–209 (1987)
- [Fu] L. Fu, *Étale cohomology theory* (Vol. 13)(2011). World Scientific.
- [Gro1] A. Grothendieck, Le groupe de Brauer. I. Algèbres d’Azumaya et interprétations diverses, *Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, pp. 46–66.
- [Gro2] A. Grothendieck, Le groupe de Brauer. II. Théorie cohomologique, *Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, pp. 67–87.

- [Gro3] A. Grothendieck, Le groupe de Brauer. III. Exemples et compléments, Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, pp. 88–188.
- [Illu] L. Illusie, “Crystalline cohomology.” *Motives* (Seattle, WA, 1991) 55 (1994): 43-70.
- [Jan] U. Jannsen, Weights in arithmetic geometry. *Japanese Journal of Mathematics*, 5(1)(2010), pp.73-102.
- [JS] U. Jannsen, S. Saito, Bertini theorems and Lefschetz pencils over discrete valuation rings, with applications to higher class field theory. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 21(4)(2012), 683-705.
- [Ka1] K. Kato, On p-adic vanishing cycles (application of ideas of Fontaine-Messing). In: *Algebraic Geometry, Sendai, 1985*. *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, vol. 10, pp. 207–251. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1987)
- [Ka2] K. Kato, Semistable reduction and p-adic étale cohomology. *Astérisque* 223, 269–293(1994)
- [Kai] W. Kai, A higher-dimensional generalization of Lichtenbaum duality in terms of the Albanese map, *Compositio Mathematica* 152, no. 9 (2016): 1915-1934.
- [Ku] M. Kurihara, A note on p-adic étale cohomology. *Proc. Jpn. Acad.* 63, 275–278 (1987)
- [Lev] M. Levine, K-theory and motivic cohomology of schemes, preprint(1999).
- [Lic] S. Lichtenbaum, Values of zeta-functions at nonnegative integers, in *Number theory, Noordwijkerhout 1983* (Noordwijkerhout, 1983), *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1068, Springer, Berlin, 1984, 127—138.
- [Man] Y. I. Manin, Le groupe de Brauer-Grothendieck en géométrie diophantienne, in *Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens* (Nice, 1970), Tome 1, GauthierVillars, Paris, 1971, 401—411.
- [Mil1] J.S. Milne, *Etale cohomology* (PMS-33) (Vol. 5657)(1980). Princeton university press.
- [Mil2] J.S. Milne, *Arithmetic duality theorems*, Vol. 20. Charleston, SC: BookSurge, 2006.
- [NN] J. Nekovář, W. Nizioł, Syntomic cohomology and p-adic regulators for varieties over p-adic fields, *Algebra & Number Theory*. 2016 Oct 7;10(8):1695-790.
- [Qin1] Y. Qin, On the Brauer groups of fibrations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.01324.
- [Qin2] Y. Qin, Comparison of different Tate conjectures. arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.01337.

- [RZ] M. Rapoport, T. Zink, Über die lokale Zetafunktion von Shimuravarietäten. Monodromiefiltration und verschwindende Zyklen in ungleicher Charakteristik. *Invent. Math.* 68, 21–101 (1982).
- [Sat1] K. Sato, Logarithmic Hodge–Witt sheaves on normal crossing varieties. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 257, no. 4 (2007): 707–743.
- [Sat2] K. Sato, p-adic étale Tate twists and arithmetic duality (with an appendix by Hagihara, K.). *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 40, 519–588 (2007)
- [SS1] S. Saito, K. Sato, Zero-cycles on varieties over p-adic fields and Brauer groups, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* 47(3) (2014), 505–537.
- [SS2] S. Saito, K. Sato, and U. Jannsen, A finiteness theorem for zero-cycles over p-adic fields, *Annals of mathematics* (2010): 1593–1639.
- [Ts1] T. Tsuji, p-adic étale and crystalline cohomology in the semistable reduction case. *Invent. math.* 137, 233–411 (1999).
- [Ts2] T. Tsuji, On p-adic nearby cycles of log smooth families. *Bull. SMF* 128, 529–575 (2000).
- [Vid] I. Vidal, Théorie de Brauer et conducteur de Swan. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry* 13.2 (2004): 349–391.
- [Wu] Y. Wu, On the p-adic local invariant cycle theorem. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 2017, 285(3-4): 1125–1139.
- [Yua] X. Yuan, Comparison of arithmetic Brauer groups with geometric Brauer group. arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.12897v2.