

REWRITING THE ELEMENTS IN THE INTERSECTION OF THE KERNELS OF TWO MORPHISMS BETWEEN FREE GROUPS

FRANÇOIS RENAUD

ABSTRACT. Let F_g be the free group functor, left adjoint to the forgetful functor between the category of groups Grp and the category of sets Set . Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $h: A \rightarrow C$ be two functions in Set and let $\text{Ker}(F_g(f))$ and $\text{Ker}(F_g(h))$ be the kernels of the induced morphisms between free groups. Provided that the kernel pairs $\text{Eq}(f)$ and $\text{Eq}(h)$ of f and h permute (such as it is the case when the pushout of f and h is a double extension in Set), this short article describes a method to rewrite a general element in the intersection $\text{Ker}(F_g(f)) \cap \text{Ker}(F_g(g))$ as a product of generators in A which is $\langle f, h \rangle$ -symmetric in the sense of the higher covering theory of racks and quandles.

1. MOTIVATIONS AND CONTEXT OF STUDY

Our interest in the “shape” of a general element in the intersection of the kernels of two morphisms between free groups arises from research about the concept of a double covering of racks and quandles [28, 29]. Understanding this research, its context and its motivations is not strictly necessary for understanding this paper’s result. However, the reader might appreciate some details about the context in which our result arises.

Quandles [22], and the more general *racks* (J.C. Conway and G.C. Wraith in 1959, see [14]), are algebraic structures that capture a certain notion of symmetry, which is used for instance in knot theory [23, 4, 14, 9, 15, 8, 7, 24, 11], geometry [25, 1, 16], and outside of mathematics in computer science and physics (see for instance [14] for references). One of the original motivations for the study of such structures comes from the interest in the algebraic structure obtained from a group when the operation of multiplication is “forgotten”, and the only operation that remains is *group conjugation*. The links between the theory of racks and quandles on the one hand, and the theory of groups on the other, are tight. In particular, the development of a higher covering theory of racks and quandles started in [28, 29] is to be viewed in parallel with corresponding breakthroughs in group theory. Based on the development and applications of the categorical Galois theory of group, [17, 19, 18, 21], the classical and higher *Hopf formulae* [10, 5] were rediscovered (see [20]) and generalized as in [13]. As it is explained in the introduction of [28] and in the conclusions of [29], drawing the links between the homology of racks and quandles and the higher covering theory of these, via the study of generalized Hopf formulae [13, 20] is one of the possible outcomes of such developments. Besides the links with group theory, categorical Galois theory also explains the parallels between the covering theory of racks and quandles and the covering theory of topological spaces. By extension, the development of a homotopy theory in racks and quandles is an interesting potential application.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 20F10; 20J15; 20E05; 57K12; 18E50.

Key words and phrases. Groups, intersection of kernels, homomorphisms between free groups, double coverings of racks and quandles.

The author is a Ph.D. student funded by *Formation à la Recherche dans l’Industrie et dans l’Agriculture (FRIA)* as part of *Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique - FNRS*.

The first step which we took towards these outcomes, was the definition and study of *double coverings* of racks and quandles [28]. These are special commutative squares of surjective morphisms of racks (or quandles) which we characterized (roughly speaking) by the “trivial action” of certain “related” *double extensions* of groups.

More precisely, given a commutative square of surjective group homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & H_1 \\
 \downarrow f_G & \swarrow p \nearrow P & \downarrow f_H \\
 G_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & H_0
 \end{array}
 \quad (1)$$

where P is the subgroup of the product $G_0 \times H_1$ given by the pairs (g, h) such that $f_0(g) = f_H(h)$ (i.e. P is the *pullback* [26] of f_0 and f_H), then the square (1) is said to be a *double extension of groups* if the induced map $p: G_1 \rightarrow P: g \mapsto (f_G(g), f_1(g))$ is a surjection – here π_1 and π_2 are the usual product projections. Define double extensions in **Set** similarly by replacing all surjective group homomorphisms in the above by surjective functions between sets – double extensions of racks and quandles are defined in the same way. This concept of double extension is used (for instance in the covering theories of interest –see also [12]) as a two-dimensional equivalent of a surjection (or more precisely *regular epimorphism* [26]).

Then, given a double extension of racks (or quandles), say α , we may study its image in **Grp** under a certain *functor*, i.e. we look at an “induced” double extension $\text{Pth}(\alpha)$ of the form (1), where each group G_1 , H_1 , G_0 and H_0 is generated (but not necessarily freely generated) by the elements in the underlying sets of α . We then identify “special products of such generators” in G_1 , which are called $\langle f_1, f_G \rangle$ -*symmetric* (see Definition 2.8), and which are required to “act trivially on α ” for α to be a double covering. The set of such $\langle f_1, f_G \rangle$ -*symmetric* products of generators in G_1 form a normal subgroup of G_1 . We are interested in characterizations of this subgroup, for instance in terms of the intersection of the kernels of f_1 and f_G .

Now we observe that $\text{Pth}(\alpha)$ can be presented as a canonical quotient ($F_g(\alpha) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Pth}(\alpha)$) of the double extension $F_g(\alpha)$ of the form (1), obtained by taking *free* groups on the underlying sets of α , i.e. such that G_1 , H_1 , G_0 and H_0 are free groups (on the underlying sets of α). The result of this paper mainly consists in establishing that, in such a double extension of free groups $F_g(\alpha)$, the elements in $\text{Ker}(p)$, the kernel of p , which coincides with the intersection $\text{Ker}(f_1) \cap \text{Ker}(f_G)$ of the kernels of f_1 and f_G , are exactly those elements which are $\langle f_1, f_G \rangle$ -*symmetric* in G_1 . In order to extend this result to the double extension $\text{Pth}(\alpha)$, it remains to describe what is preserved by the canonical presentation $F_g(\alpha) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Pth}(\alpha)$ (which goes beyond the scope of this article).

2. RESULTS

2.1. Preliminaries. Recall that given two groups G and H (written multiplicatively), the kernel $\text{Ker}(h)$ of a group homomorphism $h: G \rightarrow H$ is the set of elements $a \in G$ which are sent by h to the neutral element e in H . Then $\text{Ker}(h)$ is always a normal subgroup of G , i.e. it contains the neutral element e in G , it is closed under products and inverses, and it is closed under conjugation by elements of G : for $a \in \text{Ker}(h)$ and $x \in G$ we have $xax^{-1} \in \text{Ker}(h)$.

Given a set A , the free group on A is characterised as the group $F_g(A)$ whose elements are equivalence classes of words on the alphabet $A \cup A^{-1}$ – i.e. sequences of elements $a_1^{\delta_1} \cdots a_n^{\delta_n}$ of length n , where n is a natural number, $a_i \in A$ and δ_i is an exponent 1 or -1 , for each index i with $1 \leq i \leq n$ (we equivalently write a for a^1). Two such words are identified if one can be obtained from the other by removing or adding pairs of letters aa^{-1} or $a^{-1}a$ anywhere in the words, see also Observation 2.5 below. The product of two equivalence classes of words is

defined as the equivalence class of the juxtaposition of any two words representing the factors. The neutral element is given by the equivalence class of the empty word \emptyset . A function $f: A \rightarrow B$ between two sets induces a group homomorphism $F_g(f)$ between the free groups $F_g(A)$ and $F_g(B)$ which sends an element of $F_g(A)$, represented by the word $a_1^{\delta_1} \cdots a_n^{\delta_n}$ to the element of $F_g(B)$, represented by the word $f(a_1)^{\delta_1} \cdots f(a_n)^{\delta_n}$.

The kernel pair of f in **Set** is the equivalence relation $\text{Eq}(f) \subseteq A \times A$ defined as the subset of those pairs $(a, b) \in A \times A$ such that $f(a) = f(b)$. Similarly, the kernel pair of the group homomorphism $f: G \rightarrow H$ in **Grp** is given by the kernel pair of the function f in **Set**, equipped with the usual group structure induced by the group structure in each component.

In order to understand the hypotheses of our result (Lemma 2.10) we further recall that given two functions $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $h: A \rightarrow C$, the composite $\text{Eq}(f) \circ \text{Eq}(h)$ is defined as the subset of $A \times A$ given by the pairs (a, b) such that there exists $c \in A$ for which $(a, c) \in \text{Eq}(f)$ and $(c, b) \in \text{Eq}(h)$. In general, $\text{Eq}(f) \circ \text{Eq}(h) \neq \text{Eq}(h) \circ \text{Eq}(f)$. However if f and h are the *initial maps* of a double extension, such as f_1 and f_G in (1), then we have $\text{Eq}(f) \circ \text{Eq}(h) = \text{Eq}(h) \circ \text{Eq}(f)$ (see [6, Proposition 5.4] and [3, Lemma 1.2]).

More explicitly, the requirement $\text{Eq}(f) \circ \text{Eq}(h) = \text{Eq}(h) \circ \text{Eq}(f)$ means that for each a, b and c as above, there exists $d \in A$ such that $(a, d) \in \text{Eq}(h)$ and $(d, b) \in \text{Eq}(f)$, and conversely given such a, b and d , one must be able to find some c satisfying the above (i.e. $f(a) = f(c)$ and $h(b) = h(c)$). In other words, one must always be able to “complete” triples such as

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} a \text{ --- } f \text{ --- } c \\ \dot{h} \\ b \end{array} & \text{and} & \begin{array}{c} a \\ \dot{h} \\ d \text{ --- } f \text{ --- } b \end{array} & \text{into a full square} & \begin{array}{c} a \text{ --- } f \text{ --- } c \\ \dot{h} \quad \dot{h} \\ d \text{ --- } f \text{ --- } b \end{array} & (2)
 \end{array}$$

where vertical lines (labelled by h) link elements which are identified by h and horizontal lines (labelled by f) link elements which are identified by f . The equivalence relations $\text{Eq}(f)$ and $\text{Eq}(h)$ are then said to *commute* [27, 6].

Note that in the category of groups, two congruences on a group always commute in this sense (see *Mal'tsev categories* [6]). As a consequence ([6, Proposition 5.4]), the double extensions of groups coincide with *pushout* [26] squares of surjective group homomorphisms. In the category of sets, however, equivalence relations do not commute in general. Still, the kernel pairs of initial maps in a double extension of sets do commute. Considering the context of study described in Section 1, this is why the requirement on commuting kernel pairs arises naturally in the hypotheses of Lemma 2.10.

In the following two sections, we recall the concept of *symmetric paths*, which are the “products of generators with specific symmetric features” of interest to us. We start with the one-dimensional version of these ideas and move to the two-dimensional context in Subsection 2.7.

2.2. One dimensional symmetric paths. In [28], we introduce the following concepts and results (see also [2]).

Definition 2.3. *Given a group homomorphism $f: G \rightarrow H$, and a chosen subset $A \subseteq G$, we define (implicitly with respect to A)*

- (i) *two elements g_a and g_b in G are f -symmetric (to each other) if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a sequence of pairs $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)$ in $(A \times A)$, such that*

$$f(a_i) = f(b_i), \quad g_a = a_1^{\delta_1} \cdots a_n^{\delta_n}, \quad \text{and} \quad g_b = b_1^{\delta_1} \cdots b_n^{\delta_n},$$

for some $\delta_i \in \{-1, 1\}$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Alternatively say that g_a and g_b are an f -symmetric pair.

- (ii) *K_f is the set of f -symmetric paths defined as the elements $g \in G$ such that $g = g_a g_b^{-1}$ for some g_a and $g_b \in G$ which are f -symmetric to each other.*


Observe that the elements of K_f are in the kernel of f . The idea is to understand when K_f actually describes all the elements in the kernel of f . For instance if the chosen subset A is the whole group, or if it contains $\text{Ker}(f)$ (the kernel of f), then we easily derive that $K_f = \text{Ker}(f)$. For a general $f: G \rightarrow H$ as in Definition 2.3, the condition $K_f = \text{Ker}(f)$ expresses the fact that the kernel of f in Grp is entirely described by the restriction $f: A \rightarrow f(A)$ of the underlying function f in the category Set . This is for instance the case when $f: G \rightarrow H = F_g(h): F_g(A) \rightarrow F_g(B)$ is the group homomorphism induced by a function $h: A \rightarrow B$ in Set as in Subsection 2.1 (where the chosen subset of $G = F_g(A)$ is A – see Proposition 2.6). Even though in the examples of interest, the chosen subset A is a generating set of G (i.e. such that the subgroup $\langle a \mid a \in A \rangle_G$ of G generated by the elements of A is equal to G), it is neither sufficient, nor necessary, for A to be such a generating set of G in general. For instance, consider the quotient map $f: F_g(\{a\}) \rightarrow \{e, a\}$ where $f(a^n) = e$ if n is even and $f(a^n) = a$ if n is odd. The element a^2 is in $\text{Ker}(f)$. However, if $\{a\}$ is our chosen set (of generators) of $F_g(\{a\})$, the element a^2 is not an f -symmetric path. Conversely, Definition 2.3 and the condition $K_f = \text{Ker}(f)$ still make sense when A is merely a subset of G which is not generating. For instance, consider the product $F_g(h) \times \text{id}_{G'}: F_g(A) \times G' \rightarrow F_g(B) \times G'$ of $F_g(h): F_g(A) \rightarrow F_g(B)$ with the identity function on some other group G' . Then $\text{Ker}(F_g(h) \times \text{id}_{G'}) = \text{Ker}(F_g(h)) \times \{e\} = K_{F_g(h) \times \text{id}_{G'}}$ with chosen subset $A \times \{e\}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Given the hypotheses of Definition 2.3, the set of f -symmetric paths $K_f \subseteq G$ defines a normal subgroup in G . More precisely it is the normal subgroup generated by the elements of the form ab^{-1} such that $a, b \in A$, and $f(a) = f(b)$:*

$$K_f = G_f := \langle \langle ab^{-1} \mid (a, b) \in A \times A, f(a) = f(b) \rangle \rangle_G.$$

Observation 2.5. *Consider a function $f: A \rightarrow B$, and a word $\nu = a_1^{\delta_1} \cdots a_n^{\delta_n}$ with $a_i \in A$ and $\delta_i \in \{-1, 1\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. This word represents an element g in the free group $F_g(A)$. As usual, a reduction of ν consists in eliminating, in the word ν , an adjacent pair $a_i^{\delta_i} a_{i+1}^{\delta_{i+1}}$ such that $\delta_i = -\delta_{i+1}$ and $a_i = a_{i+1}$. Every element $g \in F_g(A)$ represented by a word ν admits a unique normal form i.e. a word ν' obtained from ν after a sequence of reductions, such that there is no reduction possible in ν' , but ν' still represents the same element g in $F_g(A)$.*

Suppose that ν represents an element g which is in the kernel $\text{Ker}(F_g(f))$. The normal form of the word $f[\nu] := f(a_1)^{\delta_1} \cdots f(a_n)^{\delta_n}$ (which represents $F_g(f)(g) = e \in F_g(B)$) is the empty word \emptyset , and thus there is a sequence of reductions of $f[\nu]$ such that the end result is \emptyset . From this sequence of reductions, we may deduce that $n = 2m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and the letters in the word (or sequence) ν organize themselves in m pairs $(a_i^{\delta_i}, a_j^{\delta_j})$ (the pre-images of those pairs that are reduced at some point in the aforementioned sequence of reductions) such that $i < j$, $f(a_i) = f(a_j)$, $\delta_i = -\delta_j$, each letter of the word ν appears in only one such pair and finally given any two such pairs $(a_i^{\delta_i}, a_j^{\delta_j})$ and $(a_l^{\delta_l}, a_m^{\delta_m})$, then $l < i$ (respectively $l > i$) if and only if $m > j$ (respectively $m < j$), i.e. drawing lines which link those letters of the word ν that are identified by the pairing, none of these lines can cross.



Given such a pairing of the letters of ν , for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we write $(a_{i_k}^{\delta_{i_k}}, a_{j_k}^{\delta_{j_k}})$ for the unique pair such that either $i_k = k$ or $j_k = k$. Note that, conversely, any element g in $F_g(A)$ which is represented by a word ν which admits such a pairing of its letters, is necessarily in $\text{Ker}(F_g(f))$.

Using this observation, we characterize the kernels of maps between free groups.

Proposition 2.6. *Given a function $f: A \rightarrow B$, the kernel $\text{Ker}(F_g(f))$ of the induced group homomorphism $F_g(f): F_g(A) \rightarrow F_g(B)$ is given by the normal subgroup $K_{F_g(f)}$ of $F_g(f)$ -symmetric paths (as in Definition 2.3): $\text{Ker}(F_g(f)) = K_{F_g(f)}$.*

Proof. The inclusion $\text{Ker}(F_g(f)) \supseteq K_{F_g(f)}$ is obvious. Consider a reduced word $\nu = a_1^{\delta_1} \cdots a_n^{\delta_n}$ of length $n \in \mathbb{N}$ which represents an element g in $F_g(A)$ with $\delta_i \in \{-1, 1\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and suppose that $g \in \text{Ker}(F_g(f))$. Then the letters $a_k^{\delta_k}$ of the sequence (or word) $\nu := (a_k^{\delta_k})_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ organize themselves in pairs $(a_{i_k}^{\delta_{i_k}}, a_{j_k}^{\delta_{j_k}})$ as in Observation 2.5. Define the word $\nu' = b_1^{\delta_1} \cdots b_n^{\delta_n}$ such that for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, $b_k := a_{i_k}$. Then by construction ν' represents an element h which reduces to the empty word in $F_g(A)$, so that $g = gh^{-1}$. Moreover, g and h form an f -symmetric pair, which shows that $g \in K_{F_g(f)}$. \square

Note that in [28] we provide another family of examples of group homomorphisms $f: G \rightarrow H$ such that $K_f = \text{Ker}(f)$ where f is the group homomorphism induced by a morphism of racks between the corresponding groups of paths.

2.7. Two-dimensional symmetric paths. In [29], we extend the concept of symmetric path as follows.

Definition 2.8. *Given a pair of morphisms $f: G \rightarrow H$, $h: G \rightarrow K$ in Grp , and a generating set $A \subseteq G$ (i.e. such that $G = \langle a \mid a \in A \rangle_G$), we define (implicitly with respect to A):*

- (i) *four elements g_a, g_b, g_c and g_d in G are $\langle f, h \rangle$ -symmetric (to each other) if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a sequence of quadruples $(a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n)$ in A^4 such that for each index i ,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a_i & -f- & b_i \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ d_i & -f- & c_i \end{array} \quad \text{i.e. } f(a_i) = f(b_i), f(d_i) = f(c_i), h(a_i) = h(d_i), h(b_i) = h(c_i);$$

and finally, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there is $\delta_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that:

$$g_a = a_1^{\delta_1} \cdots a_n^{\delta_n}, \quad g_b = b_1^{\delta_1} \cdots b_n^{\delta_n}, \quad g_c = c_1^{\delta_1} \cdots c_n^{\delta_n}, \quad g_d = d_1^{\delta_1} \cdots d_n^{\delta_n}. \quad (3)$$

We often call such g_a, g_b, g_c and g_d an $\langle f, h \rangle$ -symmetric quadruple.

- (ii) $K_{\langle f, h \rangle}$ is the set of $\langle f, h \rangle$ -symmetric paths, i.e. the elements $g \in G$ such that $g = g_a g_b^{-1} g_c g_d^{-1}$ for some $\langle f, h \rangle$ -symmetric quadruple g_a, g_b, g_c and $g_d \in G$.

Lemma 2.9. *Given the hypotheses of Definition 2.8, the set of $\langle f, h \rangle$ -symmetric paths $K_{\langle f, h \rangle}$ defines a normal subgroup of G .* \square

Finally we state and prove the result we are interested in.

Theorem 2.10. *Given two surjective functions $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $h: A \rightarrow C$ such that $\text{Eq}(f) \circ \text{Eq}(h) = \text{Eq}(h) \circ \text{Eq}(f)$, the intersection $\text{Ker}(F_g(f)) \cap \text{Ker}(F_g(h))$ of the kernels of the induced group homomorphisms $F_g(f): F_g(A) \rightarrow F_g(B)$ and $F_g(h): F_g(A) \rightarrow F_g(C)$ is given by $K_{\langle F_g(f), F_g(h) \rangle}$ (with respect to A) as in Definition 2.8.*


Proof. Given an element $g \in \text{Ker}(F_g(f)) \cap \text{Ker}(F_g(h)) \subseteq F_g(A)$, and following the proof of Lemma 2.6 based on Observation 2.5, we may identify an $F_g(f)$ -symmetric pair $g_a = a_1^{\delta_1} \cdots a_n^{\delta_n}$, $g_b = b_1^{\delta_1} \cdots b_n^{\delta_n}$ in $F_g(A)$, such that $g = g_a g_b^{-1}$. Moreover, since $g \in \text{Ker}(F_g(h))$, by Observation 2.5, the elements in the sequence (or word)

$$(x_i^{\gamma_i})_{1 \leq i \leq 2n} := a_1^{\delta_1}, \dots, a_n^{\delta_n}, b_n^{-\delta_n}, \dots, b_1^{-\delta_1}$$

organize themselves in n pairs $(x_i^{\gamma_i}, x_j^{\gamma_j})$ such that $i < j$, $(x_i, x_j) \in \text{Eq}(h)$, $\gamma_i = -\gamma_j$, each element of the sequence $(x_i^{\gamma_i})_{1 \leq i \leq 2n}$ appears in only one such pair, and given any two such pairs $(x_i^{\gamma_i}, x_j^{\gamma_j})$ and $(x_l^{\gamma_l}, x_m^{\gamma_m})$, $l < i$ (respectively $l > i$) if and only if $m > j$ (respectively $m < j$). Let us fix such a choice of pairs. For each $k \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$, we write $(x_{i_k}^{\gamma_{i_k}}, x_{j_k}^{\gamma_{j_k}})$ for the unique pair such that either $i_k = k$ or $j_k = k$.

For what follows, consider the ‘‘paired index’’ operation p defined by $p(i_k) := j_k$ and $p(j_k) := i_k$ for any $k \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$ – of course $p(p(k)) = k$ for all such k . Define the operation ‘‘opposite index’’ $o(i) = 2n + 1 - i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2n$.


We give an example of such a word representing $g \in F_g(A)$ below, where $n = 7$, the lower lines link elements which are sent to opposites by f , and the upper lines link the elements which are paired – and thus sent to opposites by h . We have for instance $(x_{i_6}^{\gamma_{i_6}}, x_{j_6}^{\gamma_{j_6}}) = (x_1^{\gamma_1}, x_6^{\gamma_6}) = (x_{i_1}^{\gamma_{i_1}}, x_{j_1}^{\gamma_{j_1}})$ and similarly $(x_{i_7}^{\gamma_{i_7}}, x_{j_7}^{\gamma_{j_7}}) = (x_7^{\gamma_7}, x_{14}^{\gamma_{14}}) = (x_{i_{14}}^{\gamma_{i_{14}}}, x_{j_{14}}^{\gamma_{j_{14}}})$, moreover $o(n) = 8$, $p(n) = 14$ and $p(o(n)) = 9$, $o(p(n)) = 1$:



We may rewrite the word representing g as the word


$$a_1^{\delta_1} \dots a_n^{\delta_n} b_n^{-\delta_n} \dots b_1^{-\delta_1} y_{2n}^{\delta_1} \dots y_{n+1}^{\delta_n} y_n^{-\delta_n} \dots y_1^{-\delta_1},$$

where the y_k are systematically chosen to be x_{i_k} as in the pair $(x_{i_k}^{\gamma_{i_k}}, x_{j_k}^{\gamma_{j_k}})$. If we define $\sigma_k := -\delta_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $\sigma_k := \delta_{2n+1-k}$ for $n+1 \leq k \leq 2n$, then $y_{p(k)} = y_k$ and $\sigma_{p(k)} = -\sigma_k$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$, and the sequence (or word) $(y_k^{-\sigma_k})_{1 \leq k \leq 2n}$ reduces to the empty word, i.e. it represents the neutral element e in $F_g(A)$. In our example



Observe moreover that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$, $(x_i, y_i) \in \text{Eq}(h)$, $\gamma_i = -\sigma_i$ and $\sigma_i = -\sigma_{2n+1-i}$. In order for g to be in $K_2(F_g(f), F_g(h))$, it then suffices to check that $(y_i, y_{2n+1-i}) \in \text{Eq}(f)$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since this is not the case in general, we describe how to ‘‘algorithmically’’ replace the value of each y_i ($y_i \mapsto d_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $y_i \mapsto c_{2n+1-i}$ for $n+1 \leq i \leq 2n$) in such a way that for each index $1 \leq i \leq 2n$, it is still the case that $y_{p(i)} = y_i$, moreover, the previous and new value of y_i are identified by h and finally $(c_k, d_k) \in \text{Eq}(f)$ for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. The resulting word below still represents g which thus satisfies all the conditions for being an

element of $K_2(F_g(f), F_g(h))$.



Let us illustrate this rewriting method on our example. The general method is then described below in Algorithm 2.11. We start by looking at the pair $(y_7, y_8) = (a_7, b_7)$ and we observe that it is in $\text{Eq}(f)$. Hence we do not change the values of y_7 and y_8 , i.e. define $d_7 := a_7$ and $c_7 := b_7$ respectively. Now once we have set the values of d_7 and c_7 , we do not want to modify these anymore. But remember that $y_{p(7)} = y_{14}$ has to be equal to $y_7 = d_7$ and similarly $y_{p(o(7))} = y_{p(8)} = y_9$ has to be equal to $y_8 = c_7$. We thus fix $y_9 = c_6 := c_7 = b_7$ and $y_{14} = c_1 := d_7 = a_7$. We then proceed by looking at the pair $(y_{p(o(7))}, y_{o(p(o(7)))}) = (y_9, y_6) = (b_7, a_1)$ which is not known to be in $\text{Eq}(f)$. We are then going to modify the value of y_6 accordingly, since the value of y_9 is set. Observe that since

$$y_9 = c_6 = b_7 \quad x_9 = b_6 \quad x_6 = a_6 \quad y_6 = a_1, \quad \text{there is } z \in A \text{ such that } y_9 \overset{h}{\curvearrowright} z \overset{h}{\curvearrowright} x_6 \overset{h}{\curvearrowright} y_6, \quad (4)$$

where we link two elements with a line labelled by h if these are identified by h ; similarly for f .

We then let $d_6 := z$ be the new value of y_6 . Then $y_{p(6)} = y_1$ has to be set to $d_1 := d_6$. We should then look at the pair (y_1, y_{14}) , but both values d_1 and c_1 have been defined already. This is not a problem since $(y_1, y_{14}) \in \text{Eq}(f)$ by construction ($f(y_1) = f(d_6) = f(c_6) = f(c_7) = f(c_1) = f(y_{14})$).

We have just completed an *Inner loop* in our method. We may then start over by choosing any of the remaining indices i , such that the value of y_i has not been set yet. Take for instance $i = 2$, we look at the pair $(y_2, y_{13}) = (a_2, b_5)$ which is not known to be in $\text{Eq}(f)$. We thus modify the value of y_{13} accordingly: since

$$y_2 = a_2 \quad x_2 = a_2 \quad x_{13} = b_2 \quad y_{13} = b_5, \quad \text{there is } z' \in A \text{ such that } y_2 \overset{h}{\curvearrowright} z' \overset{h}{\curvearrowright} x_{13} \overset{h}{\curvearrowright} y_{13},$$

we choose $y_{13} = c_2 := z'$ and keep $y_2 = d_2 := a_2$. As a consequence we define $y_{p(2)} = y_3 = d_3 := a_2$ and $y_{p(13)} = y_{10} = c_5 := c_2$. We must then look at $(y_{10}, y_5) = (c_2, a_4)$ which is not known to be in $\text{Eq}(f)$. Then since

$$y_{10} = c_2 \quad x_{10} = b_5 \quad x_5 = a_5 \quad y_5 = a_4, \quad \text{there is } z'' \in A \text{ such that } y_{10} \overset{h}{\curvearrowright} z'' \overset{h}{\curvearrowright} x_5 \overset{h}{\curvearrowright} y_5,$$

we define $y_5 = d_5 := z''$ and thus $y_{p(5)} = y_4 = d_4 := d_5$. Similarly, we define $y_{11} = c_4$ such that $(y_4, y_{11}) \in \text{Eq}(f)$ and let $y_{12} = c_3 := c_4$. Now observe that $y_3 = d_3 = a_2$ was defined in such a way that $(y_{12}, y_3) \in \text{Eq}(f)$. This ends a second *Inner loop* in the method. The values of all the y_i have been set in the appropriate way, which ends the *Outer loop* of our method. In general this method can be implemented as in Algorithm 2.11 below.

Algorithm 2.11. Declare two variables m and l , which range over the indices $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$, and which we use to run the two embedded loops of the algorithm. Define the variable I which is the set of “indices not yet visited”. We use the symbol $:=$ to change the value of these variables.

Start by setting $m := n$ and $I := \{1, \dots, 2n\}$.

Outer loop. Define $I := I \setminus \{n, o(m)\}$ and start by running $\text{TestPair}(m)$ defined below. Then:

- (1) If $p(m) \neq o(m)$, replace the values of $y_{p(m)}$ and $y_{p(o(m))}$ by those of y_m and $y_{o(m)}$ respectively. Define $l := p(o(m))$ and proceed to the Inner loop.
- (2) Otherwise (if $p(m) = o(m)$), proceed to Switch.

Inner loop. Define $I := I \setminus \{l, o(l)\}$ and then:

- (1) If $o(l) \neq p(m)$, then the value of $y_{o(l)}$ has not been modified yet. Run $\text{TestPair}(l)$, and replace the value of $y_{p(o(l))}$ by that of $y_{o(l)}$. Observe that $y_{p(m)} = y_m$, $y_{o(m)} = y_{p(o(m))}$, y_l , $y_{o(l)} = y_{p(o(l))}$ are all identified by f by construction. Finally, redefine $l := p(o(l))$ and proceed to the Inner loop.
- (2) Otherwise ($o(l) = p(m)$), proceed to Switch.

Switch.

- (1) If I is not empty, choose any $i \in I$ and define $m := i$. Proceed to the Outer loop.
- (2) Otherwise stop the algorithm.

TestPair. Given an index $j \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$, define the method $\text{TestPair}(j)$ as follows.

- (1) If $(y_j, y_{o(j)}) \in \text{Eq}(f)$, keep these as they are;
- (2) otherwise, since

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{cccc}
 & \overset{h}{\curvearrowright} & & \overset{h}{\curvearrowright} \\
 y_j & x_j & x_{o(j)} & y_{o(j)} \\
 & \underset{f}{\curvearrowleft} & & \underset{f}{\curvearrowleft}
 \end{array}
 \end{array}
 , \quad \text{there exists } z \in A \text{ such that }
 \begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{cccc}
 & \overset{h}{\curvearrowright} & & \overset{h}{\curvearrowright} \\
 y_j & z & x_{o(j)} & y_{o(j)} \\
 & \underset{f}{\curvearrowleft} & & \underset{f}{\curvearrowleft}
 \end{array}
 \end{array}
 .$$

Then replace the value of $y_{o(j)}$ by z .

Note that l and m keep visiting new indices, which have not yet been visited by l , $o(l)$, m or $o(m)$. Since there is a finite amount of such indices and $o(p(m))$ is one of those, the *Inner loop* always reaches an end: $o(l) = p(m)$. When this happens, by construction y_l and $y_{p(m)} = y_{o(l)}$ are in relation by $\text{Eq}(f)$. We can thus proceed to the *Outer loop* after redefining m to be any of the remaining indices in I . No value of any y_i is changed twice, but all values are visited once so that the resulting sequence is as required. \square

Remark 2.12. Note that the existence of a z as in Equation (4) does not mean that there is a workable algorithm to find this z . However, assuming that such a procedure exists, one can implement the whole method on a computer.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bertram, *Differential geometry, Lie groups and symmetric spaces over general base fields and rings*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 900, AMS, Providence, R.I., 2008.
- [2] M. Bonatto and D. Stanovský, *Commutator theory for racks and quandles*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **73** (2021), no. 1, 41–75.
- [3] D. Bourn, *The denormalized 3×3 lemma*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **177** (2003), 113–129.
- [4] E. Brieskorn, *Automorphic sets and braids and singularities*, Braids (Providence, RI), Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 78, Amer. Math. Soc., 1988, pp. 45–115.
- [5] R. Brown and G. Ellis, *Hopf formulae for the higher homology of a group*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society **20** (1988).
- [6] A. Carboni, G. M. Kelly, and M. C. Pedicchio, *Some remarks on Maltsev and Goursat categories*, Appl. Categ. Struct. **1** (1993), 385–421.

- [7] J. S. Carter, D. Jelsovsky, S. Kamada, L. Langford, and M. Saito, *Quandle cohomology and state-sum invariants of knotted curves and surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 4, 3947–3989.
- [8] J. S. Carter, S. Kamada, and M. Saito, *Geometric interpretations of quandle homology*, Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications **10** (2001), no. 03, 345–386.
- [9] V. G. Drinfeld, *On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory*, Quantum Groups (Berlin, Heidelberg) (Petr P. Kulish, ed.), Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1992, pp. 1–8.
- [10] S. Eilenberg and S. Mac Lane, *Relations between homology and homotopy groups*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci USA, vol. 29, 1943, pp. 155–195.
- [11] M. Eisermann, *Quandle coverings and their Galois correspondence*, Fund. Math. **225(1)** (2014), 103–168.
- [12] T. Everaert, *Higher central extensions and Hopf formulae*, Journal of Algebra **324** (2010), no. 8, 1771 – 1789.
- [13] T. Everaert, M. Gran, and T. Van der Linden, *Higher Hopf formulae for homology via Galois theory*, Advances in Mathematics **217** (2008), no. 5, 2231 – 2267.
- [14] R. Fenn and C. Rourke, *Racks and links in codimension two*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **1(4)** (1992), 343–406.
- [15] R. Fenn, C. Rourke, and B. Sanderson, *Trunks and classifying spaces*, Applied Categorical Structures **3** (1995), no. 4, 321–356.
- [16] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Graduate studies in mathematics, vol. 34, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [17] G. Janelidze, *Pure Galois theory in categories*, Journal of Algebra **132** (1990), no. 2, 270 – 286.
- [18] G. Janelidze, *Precategories and Galois theory*, Category Theory, Proceedings Como 1990 (A. Carboni, M. C. Pedicchio, and G. Rosolini, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1488, Springer, 1991, pp. 157–173.
- [19] G. Janelidze, *What is a double central extension ? (the question was asked by Ronald Brown)*, Cah. de Top. et Géom. Diff. Cat. **32** (1991), no. 3, 191–201 (eng).
- [20] G. Janelidze, *Galois groups, abstract commutators, and Hopf formula*, Applied Categorical Structures **16** (2008), 653–668.
- [21] G. Janelidze and G.M. Kelly, *Galois theory and a general notion of central extension*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **97** (1994), no. 2, 135 – 161.
- [22] D. Joyce, *An algebraic approach to symmetry and applications in knot theory*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 1979.
- [23] D. Joyce, *A classifying invariant of knots, the knot quandle*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **23(1)** (1982), 37–65.
- [24] L.H. Kauffman, *Knots and physics (fourth edition)*, Series On Knots And Everything, World Scientific Publishing Company, 2012.
- [25] O. Loos, *Symmetric spaces: General theory*, Mathematics Lecture Note Series, W. A. Benjamin, 1969.
- [26] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, second edition ed., Springer, Chicago, 1997.
- [27] A. I. Mal'tsev, *On the general theory of algebraic systems*, Mat. Sbornik N. S. **35** (1954), no. 6, 3–20.
- [28] F. Renaud, *Higher coverings of racks and quandles – Part I*, 2020, Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.03385>.
- [29] F. Renaud, *Higher coverings of racks and quandles – Part II*, 2021, Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.01957>.

Email address, François Renaud: francois.renaud@uclouvain.be

INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE EN MATHÉMATIQUE ET PHYSIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ CATHOLIQUE DE LOUVAIN, CHEMIN DU CYCLOTRON 2 BTE L7.01.02, B-1348 LOUVAIN-LA-NEUVE, BELGIUM