A NOTE ON THE HIT PROBLEM FOR THE STEENROD ALGEBRA AND ITS APPLICATIONS

NGUYEN KHAC TIN

ABSTRACT. Let $P_k = H^*((\mathbb{R}P^{\infty})^k)$ be the modulo-2 cohomology algebra of the direct product of k copies of infinite dimensional real projective spaces $\mathbb{R}P^{\infty}$. Then, P_k is isomorphic to the graded polynomial algebra $\mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$ of k variables, in which each x_j is of degree 1, and let GL_k be the general linear group over the prime field \mathbb{F}_2 which acts naturally on P_k . Here the cohomology is taken with coefficients in the prime field \mathbb{F}_2 of two elements. We study the *hit problem*, set up by Frank Peterson, of finding a minimal set of generators for the polynomial algebra P_k as a module over the mod-2 Steenrod algebra, \mathcal{A} .

In this Note, we explicitly compute the hit problem for k = 5 and the degree $5(2^s - 1) + 24.2^s$ with s an arbitrary non-negative integer. These results are used to study the Singer algebraic transfer which is a homomorphism from the homology of the mod-2 Steenrod algebra, $\operatorname{Tor}_{k,k+n}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{F}_2,\mathbb{F}_2)$, to the subspace of $\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_k$ consisting of all the GL_k -invariant classes of degree n. We show that Singer's conjecture for the algebraic transfer is true in the case k = 5 and the above degrees. This method is different from that of Singer in studying the image of the algebraic transfer. Moreover, as a consequence, we get the dimension results for polynomial algebra in some generic degrees in the case k = 6.

1. Introduction

Denote by $P_k = H^*((\mathbb{R}P^{\infty})^k)$ the modulo-2 cohomology algebra of the direct product of k copies of infinite dimensional real projective spaces $\mathbb{R}P^{\infty}$. Then, P_k is isomorphic to the graded polynomial algebra $\mathbb{F}_2[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k]$ of k variables, in which each x_j is of degree 1. Here the cohomology is taken with coefficients in the prime field \mathbb{F}_2 of two elements.

Being the cohomology of a group, P_k is a module over the mod-2 Steenrod algebra, \mathcal{A} . The action of \mathcal{A} on P_k is determined by the elementary properties of the Steenrod squares Sq^i and the Cartan formula (see Steenrod and Epstein [18]).

A polynomial f in P_k is called *hit* if it can be written as a finite sum $f = \sum_{u \ge 0} Sq^{2^u}(h_u)$ for suitable polynomials h_u . That means f belongs to \mathcal{A}^+P_k , where \mathcal{A}^+ denotes the augmentation ideal in \mathcal{A} .

Let GL_k be the general linear group over the field \mathbb{F}_2 . This group acts naturally on P_k by matrix substitution. Since the two actions of \mathcal{A} and GL_k upon P_k commute with each other, there is an action of GL_k on $\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_k$.

Many authors study the *hit problem* of determination of a minimal set of generators for P_k as a module over the Steenrod algebra, or equivalently, a basis of $\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_k$. This problem has first been studied by Peterson [11], Wood [32], Singer

¹2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 55S10; 55S05, 55T15.

²Keywords and phrases: Polynomial algebra, Steenrod squares, hit problem, Algebraic transfer.

[16], Priddy [15], who show its relationship to several classical problems in homotopy theory. Then, this problem was investigated by Nam [10], Silverman [17], Wood [32], Sum [19, 21, 22], Tin-Sum [24], Tin [26, 27, 29] and others.

For a positive integer n, by $\mu(n)$ one means the smallest number r for which it is possible to write $n = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} (2^{u_i} - 1)$, where $u_i > 0$. This result implies a result of Wood, which originally is a conjecture of Peterson [11].

Theorem 1.1 (Wood [32]). If $\mu(n) > k$, then $(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_k)_n = 0$.

From the above result of Wood, the hit problem is reduced to the case of degree n with $\mu(n) \leq k$.

Let GL_k be the general linear group over the field \mathbb{F}_2 . This group acts naturally on P_k by matrix substitution. Since the two actions of \mathcal{A} and GL_k upon P_k commute with each other, there is an action of GL_k on $\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_k$.

For a nonnegative integer d, denote by $(P_k)_d$ the subspace of P_k consisting of all the homogeneous polynomials of degree d in P_k and by $(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_k)_d$ the subspace of QP_k consisting of all the classes represented by the elements in $(P_k)_d$. One of the major applications of hit problem is in surveying a homomorphism introduced by Singer. In [16], Singer defined the algebraic transfer, which is a homomorphism

$$\varphi_k : \operatorname{Tor}_{k,k+d}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{F}_2,\mathbb{F}_2) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_k)_d^{GL_k}$$

from the homology of the Steenrod algebra to the subspace of $(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_k)_d$ consisting of all the GL_k -invariant classes. It is a useful tool in describing the homology groups of the Steenrod algebra, $\operatorname{Tor}_{k,k+d}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{F}_2,\mathbb{F}_2)$. The hit problem and the algebraic transfer were studied by many authors (see Boardman [1], Hung [5], Chon and Hà [2], Nam [10], Phuc [14], Phuc and Sum [12], Sum [19, 20, 21], Sum and Tín [23] and others).

Singer showed in [16] that φ_k is an isomorphism for k = 1, 2. Boardman showed in [1] that φ_3 is also an isomorphism. However, for any $k \ge 4$, φ_k is not a monomorphism in infinitely many degrees (see Singer [16], Hung [5].) Singer made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.2 (Singer [16]). The algebraic transfer φ_k is an epimorphism for any $k \ge 0$.

The conjecture is true for $k \leq 3$. However, for k > 3, it is still open. Recently, the hit problem and its applications to representations of general linear groups have been presented in the books of Walker and Wood [30, 31].

One of the extremely useful tools for computing the hit problem and studying Singer's transfer is the Kameko squaring operation

$$\widetilde{Sq}^{0}_{*} := (\widetilde{Sq}^{0}_{*})_{(k,d)} : (\mathbb{F}_{2} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_{k})_{2k+d} \to (\mathbb{F}_{2} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_{k})_{d},$$

which is induced by an \mathbb{F}_2 -linear map $\varphi_k : P_k \to P_k$, given by

$$\varphi_k(x) = \begin{cases} y, & \text{if } x = x_1 x_2 \dots x_k y^2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for any monomial $x \in P_k$. The map φ_k is not an \mathcal{A} -homomorphism. However, $\varphi_k Sq^{2i} = Sq^i\varphi_k$ and $\varphi_k Sq^{2i+1} = 0$ for any non-negative integer *i*.

 $\mathbf{2}$

Theorem 1.3 (Kameko [6]). Let d be a non-negative integer. If $\mu(2d + k) = k$, then

$$(\widetilde{Sq}^0_*)_{(k,d)} : (\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_k)_{2d+k} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_k)_d$$

is an isomorphism of GL_k -modules.

Thus, the hit problem is reduced to the case of degree n of the form

$$n = a(2^s - 1) + 2^s b_s$$

where a, b, m are non-negative intergers such that $0 \leq \mu(b) < a \leq k$.

Now, the \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space $\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_k$ was explicitly calculated by Peterson [11] for k = 1, 2, by Kameko [6] for k = 3 and by Sum [21] for k = 4. However, for k > 4, it is still unsolved, even in the case of k = 5 with the help of computers.

For a = k - 1 = 4 and b = 0, the vector space $(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_5)_n$ is explicitly computed by Phuc and Sum [12], and in the case a = k - 2 = 3, b = 1 by Phuc [13] and by the present author [28] in the case $a = k - 1 = 4, b \in \{8; 10; 11\}$ with s an arbitrary non-negative integer.

In this Note, we explicitly compute the hit problem for k = 5 and the degree $5(2^s - 1) + 24.2^s$ with s an arbitrary non-negative integer. Using this result, we show that Singer's conjecture for the algebraic transfer is true in the case k = 5 and the above degrees. Moreover, as a consequence, we get the dimension results for polynomial algebra in some generic degrees in the case k = 6.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some needed information from Kameko [6], Singer [16] and Sum [19], which will be used in the next section.

Notation 2.1. We denote $\mathbb{N}_k = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and

$$X_{\mathbb{J}} = X_{\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s\}} = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}_k \setminus \mathbb{J}} x_j, \quad \mathbb{J} = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s\} \subset \mathbb{N}_k,$$

Let $\alpha_i(a)$ denote the *i*-th coefficient in dyadic expansion of a non-negative integer *a*. That means $a = \alpha_0(a)2^0 + \alpha_1(a)2^1 + \alpha_2(a)2^2 + \ldots$, for $\alpha_i(a) = 0$ or 1 with $i \ge 0$. Let $x = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \ldots x_k^{a_k} \in P_k$. Denote $\nu_j(x) = a_j, 1 \le j \le k$. Set

$$\mathbb{J}_t(x) = \{ j \in \mathbb{N}_k : \alpha_t(\nu_j(x)) = 0 \},\$$

for $t \ge 0$. Then, we have $x = \prod_{t \ge 0} X_{\mathbb{J}_t(x)}^{2^t}$.

Definition 2.2. For a monomial x in P_k , define two sequences associated with x by

$$\omega(x) = (\omega_1(x), \omega_2(x), \dots, \omega_i(x), \dots), \quad \sigma(x) = (\nu_1(x), \nu_2(x), \dots, \nu_k(x)),$$

where $\omega_i(x) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \alpha_{i-1}(\nu_j(x)) = \deg X_{\mathbb{J}_{i-1}(x)}, \ i \geq 1$. The sequence $\omega(x)$ is called the weight vector of x.

Let $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_i, \dots)$ be a sequence of non-negative integers. The sequence ω is called the weight vector if $\omega_i = 0$ for $i \gg 0$.

The sets of all the weight vectors and the exponent vectors are given the left lexicographical order.

For a weight vector ω , we define $\deg \omega = \sum_{i>0} 2^{i-1} \omega_i$. Denote by $P_k(\omega)$ the subspace of P_k spanned by all monomials y such that $\deg y = \deg \omega$, $\omega(y) \leq \omega$,

and by $P_k^-(\omega)$ the subspace of P_k spanned by all monomials $y \in P_k(\omega)$ such that $\omega(y) < \omega$.

Definition 2.3. Let ω be a weight vector and f, g two polynomials of the same degree in P_k .

i) $f \equiv g$ if and only if $f - g \in \mathcal{A}^+ P_k$. If $f \equiv 0$ then f is called *hit*.

ii) $f \equiv_{\omega} g$ if and only if $f - g \in \mathcal{A}^+ P_k + P_k^-(\omega)$.

Obviously, the relations \equiv and \equiv_{ω} are equivalence ones. Denote by $QP_k(\omega)$ the quotient of $P_k(\omega)$ by the equivalence relation \equiv_{ω} . Then, we have

$$QP_k(\omega) = P_k(\omega) / ((\mathcal{A}^+ P_k \cap P_k(\omega)) + P_k^-(\omega)).$$

For a polynomial $f \in P_k$, we denote by [f] the class in QP_k represented by f. If ω is a weight vector, then denote by $[f]_{\omega}$ the class represented by f. Denote by |S| the cardinal of a set S.

Definition 2.4. Let x, y be monomials of the same degree in P_k . We say that x < y if and only if one of the following holds:

i) $\omega(x) < \omega(y);$

ii) $\omega(x) = \omega(y)$ and $\sigma(x) < \sigma(y)$.

Definition 2.5. A monomial x is said to be inadmissible if there exist monomials y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_m such that $y_t < x$ for $t = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ and $x - \sum_{t=1}^m y_t \in \mathcal{A}^+ P_k$.

A monomial x is said to be admissible if it is not inadmissible.

Obviously, the set of all the admissible monomials of degree n in P_k is a minimal set of \mathcal{A} -generators for P_k in degree n.

Now, we recall some notations and definitions in [21], which will be used in the next sections. We set

$$P_k^0 = \langle \{x = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \dots x_k^{a_k} : a_1 a_2 \dots a_k = 0\} \rangle,$$

$$P_k^+ = \langle \{x = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \dots x_k^{a_k} : a_1 a_2 \dots a_k > 0\} \rangle.$$

It is easy to see that P_k^0 and P_k^+ are the \mathcal{A} -submodules of P_k . Furthermore, we have the following.

Proposition 2.6. We have a direct summand decomposition of the \mathbb{F}_2 -vector spaces $\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_k = QP_k^0 \oplus QP_k^+$. Here $QP_k^0 = \mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_k^0$ and $QP_k^+ = \mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_k^+$.

Definition 2.7. For any $1 \leq i \leq k$, define the homomorphism $f_i : P_{k-1} \to P_k$ of algebras by substituting

$$f_i(x_j) = \begin{cases} x_j, & \text{if } 1 \leq j < i, \\ x_{j+1}, & \text{if } i \leq j < k. \end{cases}$$

Then, f_i is a homomorphism of \mathcal{A} -modules.

For a subset $B \subset P_k$, we denote $[B] = \{[f] : f \in B\}$. Obviously, we have

Proposition 2.8. It is easy to see that if B is a minimal set of generators for \mathcal{A} -module P_{k-1} in degree n, then $f(B) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} f_i(B)$ is a minimal set of generators for \mathcal{A} -module P_k^0 in degree n.

From now on, we denote by $B_k(n)$ the set of all admissible monomials of degree n in P_k , $B_k^0(n) = B_k(n) \cap P_k^0$, $B_k^+(n) = B_k(n) \cap P_k^+$. For a weight vector ω of degree n, we set $B_k(\omega) = B_k(n) \cap P_k(\omega)$, $B_k^+(\omega) = B_k^+(n) \cap P_k(\omega)$.

4

Then, $[B_k(\omega)]_{\omega}$ and $[B_k^+(\omega)]_{\omega}$, are respectively the basses of the \mathbb{F}_2 -vector spaces $QP_k(\omega)$ and $QP_k^+(\omega) := QP_k(\omega) \cap QP_k^+$.

3. The Main Results

We first recall a result in [24] the following: Let d be an arbitrary non-negative integer. Set $t(k, d) = \max\{0, k - \alpha(d + k) - \zeta(d + k)\}$, where $\zeta(n)$ the greatest integer u such that n is divisible by 2^u , that means $n = 2^{\zeta(n)}m$, with m an odd integer.

Theorem 3.1 (Tin-Sum [24]). Let d be an arbitrary non-negative integer. Then

$$(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)^{s-t} : (\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_k)_{k(2^s-1)+2^s d} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_k)_{k(2^t-1)+2^t d}$$

is an isomorphism of GL_k -modules for every $s \ge t$ if and only if $t \ge t(k, d)$.

It is easy to check that for k = 5 and d = 53 then

 $t(k,d) = \max\{0, k - \alpha(d+k) - \zeta(d+k)\} = 0.$

Using the above theorem, we get $(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_5)_{5(2^s-1)+2^s53} \cong (\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_5)_{5(2^0-1)+2^053}$ for all $s \ge 0$.

Therefore, we need only to study $(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_5)_{5(2^s-1)+24.2^s}$ for s = 0 and s = 1.

Case s=0.

Denote $\omega_{(1)} = (4, 4, 3), \ \omega_{(2)} = (4, 4, 1, 1), \ \omega_{(3)} = (4, 2, 2, 1), \ \omega_{(4)} = (4, 2, 4).$ We give a direct summand decomosition of the \mathbb{F}_2 -vector spaces $(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_5)_{5(2^0-1)+24.2^0}$ as follows:

Theorem 3.2. We have a direct summand decomposition of the \mathbb{F}_2 -vector spaces

$$(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_5)_{24} = (QP_5^0)_{24} \oplus QP_5^+(\omega_{(1)}) \oplus QP_5^+(\omega_{(2)}) \oplus QP_5^+(\omega_{(3)}) \oplus QP_5^+(\omega_{(4)}).$$

Recall that $(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_4)_{10}$ is an \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space of dimension 70 with a basis consisting of all the classes represented by the monomials w_j , $1 \leq j \leq 70$. Consequently, $|B_4(10)| = 70$, (see Sum [21]).

Since $\mu(24) = 4$, Theorem 1.3 implies that the squaring operation

$$\widetilde{Sq}_*^0: (\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_4)_{24} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_4)_{10}$$

is an isomorphism of GL_4 -module. Hence, $|B_4(24)| = |B_4(10)| = 70$, and therefore the set $[B_4(24)] = \{[\phi_4(w_j)] : w_j \in B_4(10)\}$ is a basis of the \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space $(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_4)_{24}$, where $\phi_4(u) = x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 u^2$.

Using Proposition 2.8, we obtain $[B_5^0(24)] = \{[a_t] : a_t \in \bigcup_{i=1}^5 f_i(B_4(24)), 1 \leq t \leq 350\}$ is a basis of the \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space $(QP_5^0)_{24}$. Consequently, $\dim(QP_5^0)_{24} = |\bigcup_{i=1}^5 f_i(B_4(24))| = 350$.

Remark 3.3. We recall a result in Mothebe-Kaelo-Ramatebele [9] as follows.

Set $\mathcal{M}_{(k,r)} = \{J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r) : 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq k\}, 1 \leq r < k$. For $J \in \mathcal{M}_{(k,r)}$, define the homomorphism $f_J : P_r \to P_k$ of algebras by substituting $f_J(x_t) = x_{j_t}$ with $1 \leq t \leq r$. Then, f_J is a monomorphism of \mathcal{A} -modules. We have a direct summand decomposition of the \mathbb{F}_2 -vector subspaces:

$$QP_k^0 = \bigoplus_{1 \leqslant r \leqslant k-1} \bigoplus_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{(k,r)}} (Qf_J(P_r^+)),$$

where, $Qf_J(P_r^+) = \mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} f_J(P_r^+)$.

In degree *n*, we have $\dim(Qf_J(P_r^+))_n = \dim(QP_r^+)_n$ and $|\mathcal{M}_{(k,r)}| = \binom{k}{r}$. Hence, combining with Theorem 1.1 we get

$$\dim(QP_k^0)_n = \sum_{\mu(n) \leqslant r \leqslant k-1} \binom{k}{r} \dim(QP_r^+)_n.$$

And therefore, using the results in Sum [21], one gets $\dim(QP_5^0)_{24} = 350$.

Theorem 3.4.

$$\dim(QP_5(\omega_{(d)})) = \begin{cases} 75, & \text{if } d = 1, \\ 145, & \text{if } d = 2, \\ 390, & \text{if } d = 3, \\ 1, & \text{if } d = 4. \end{cases}$$

The proof of the above theorem is too long and very technical. It is proved by explicitly determining all admissible monomials of $P_5(\omega_{(d)})$ for $d \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

From the above results, we get the corollary following.

Corollary 3.5. There exist exactly 961 admissible monomials of degree twenty-four in P_5 . Consequently, dim $(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_5)_{24} = 961$.

Case s=1.

Since Kameko's homomorphism $(\widetilde{Sq}^0_*)_{(5,24)}$ is an epimorphism, we have

$$(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_5)_{53} \cong \operatorname{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq}^0_*)_{(5,24)} \bigoplus \operatorname{Im}(\widetilde{Sq}^0_*)_{(5,24)}.$$

We have the following

Theorem 3.6. $Im(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,24)}$ is isomorphic to a subspace of $(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_5)_{53}$ generated by all the classes represented by the admissible monomials of the form $x_1x_2...x_5u^2$, for every $u \in B_5(24)$. Consequently, $\dim(Im(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,24)}) = 961$.

Next, we explicitly determine $\operatorname{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq}^0_*)_{(5,24)}$ by giving a direct summand decomposition of the \mathbb{F}_2 -vector spaces $\operatorname{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq}^0_*)_{(5,24)}$ as follows.

Theorem 3.7. We have a direct summand decomposition of the \mathbb{F}_2 -vector spaces

$$Ker(\widetilde{Sq}^0_*)_{(5,24)} = (QP^0_5)_{53} \oplus QP^+_5(3,3,3,2,1).$$

Remark 3.8. From the result in [9] we have

$$\dim(QP_k^0)_{53} = \sum_{\mu(53) \leqslant r \leqslant 4} {5 \choose r} \dim(QP_r^+)_{53}.$$

Since $\mu(53) = 3$, dim $(QP_3^+)_{53} = 8$ (see Kameko [6]) and dim $(QP_4^+)_{53} = 88$ (see Sum [21]), one gets

$$\dim(QP_5^0)_{53} = \binom{5}{3}.\dim(QP_3^+)_{53} + \binom{5}{4}.\dim(QP_4^+)_{53} = 520.$$

 $\mathbf{6}$

And therefore the set $\{[b_t]: b_t \in \bigcup_{i=1}^5 f_i(B_4(53)), 1 \leq t \leq 520\}$ is a basis of the \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space $(QP_5^0)_{53}$

We denote by $\mathbb{M}^d(n) = \{ [\bigcup_{i=1}^5 x_i^{2^d-1} f_i(x)] : x \in B_{k-1}(n-2^d+1) \}$ and set $\mathbb{M} = \text{Span}\{u : u \in \bigcup_{d=1}^5 \mathbb{M}^d(53) \text{ and } \omega(u) = (3,3,3,2,1) \}$. Hence, one gets the theorem following.

Theorem 3.9. The following statements are true:

i) M is the F₂-vector subspaces of QP⁺₅(ω), where ω = (3, 3, 3, 2, 1).
ii) Assume QP⁺₅(ω) = M ⊕ N. Then, dim(M) = 389 and dim(N).

The above theorem is proved by explicitly determining all admissible monomials in $P_5^+(\omega)$.

On the other hand, we see that $|B_5(25)| = 1240$ (see Sum [22]) and $|B_5(19)| =$ 905 (see Tin [25]). Since $\mu(25) = 3 = \alpha(25 + \mu(25))$, using the result in Sum [21], one gets

 $|B_6(5(2^v - 1) + 2^v 25)| = (2^6 - 1)|B_5(25)|$, for any integer $v \ge k - 1 = 5$.

So, we obtain the corollary following.

Corollary 3.10. There exist exactly 78120 admissible monomials of degree $m_1 =$ $5(2^v - 1) + 25.2^v$ in P_6 , for any v > 4. Consequently, $\dim(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_6)_{m_1} = 78120$.

Similarly, it is easy to check that $\mu(19) = 3 = \alpha(\mu(19) + 19)$, using the result in Sum [21] we get the following.

Corollary 3.11. There exist exactly 57015 admissible monomials of degree $m_2 =$ $5(2^r - 1) + 19.2^r$ in P_6 , for any $r \ge 5$. Consequently, $\dim(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_6)_{m_2} = 57015$.

Next, the aim of our paper is to verify this conjecture for the case k = 5 and the internal degree $5(2^s - 1) + 24.2^s$, with s an arbitrary non-negative integer. We get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.12. Singer's conjecture is true for k = 5 and the degree $5(2^s - 1) + 5$ 24.2^{s} , with s an arbitrary non-negative integer.

Remark 3.13. We prove the above theorem by using the admissible monomial basis of degree $5(2^s - 1) + 24.2^s$ in P_5 to explicitly compute the vector space ($\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}$ $P_5)_{5(2^s-1)+24.2^s}^{GL_5}$ and combining the computation of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{5,5+*}(\mathbb{F}_2,\mathbb{F}_2)$ by Lin [7] and Chen [4]. Our method is different from Boardman's method in [1] for k = 3. Recall that Boardman [1] computed the space $(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P_3)^{GL_3}$ by using a basis consisting of the all the classes represented by certain polynomials in P_3 . It is difficult to use his method for $k \ge 4$. Moreover, our approach can be applied for k = 4 by using the admissible monomial basis for P_4 (see Sum [21]). We hope that the conjecture is also true in this case.

The proofs of the results of this Note will be pulished in detail elsewhere.

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology and Education for supporting this work.

NGUYEN KHAC TIN

References

- J. M. Boardman, Modular representations on the homology of power of real projective space, in: M. C. Tangora (Ed.), Algebraic Topology, Oaxtepec, 1991, in: Contemp. Math., vol. 146, 1993, pp. 49-70, MR1224907.
- [2] P. H. Chon and L. M. Ha, On May spectral sequence and the algebraic transfer, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 86 (2010), no. 9, 159-164.
- [3] P. H. Chon and L. M. Hà, On the May spectral sequence and the algebraic transfer II, Topology Appl. 178 (2014), 372-383.
- [4] T. W. Chen, Determination of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{5,*}(\mathbb{Z}/2,\mathbb{Z}/2)$, Topology Appl., 158 (2011), 660-689, MR2774051.
- [5] N. H. V. Hung, The cohomology of the Steenrod algebra and representations of the general linear groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357 (2005), 4065-4089.
- [6] M. Kameko, Products of projective spaces as Steenrod modules, Ph.D. Thesis, The Johns Hopkins University, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1990. 29 pp.
- [7] W. H. Lin, Ext⁴_A*(ℤ/2,ℤ/2) and Ext⁵_A*(ℤ/2,ℤ/2), Topology Appl., 155 (2008), 459-496, MR2380930.
- [8] M. Mothebe, Dimensions of the polynomial algebra F₂[x₁,...,x_n] as a module over the Steenrod algebra, JP J. Algebra Number Theory Appl. 13 (2009), No. 2, 161-170.
- [9] M. F. Mothebe, P. Kaelo and O. Ramatebele, Dimension formulae for the polynomial algebra as a module over the Steenrod algebra in degrees less than or equal to 12, J. Math. Reasearch, 8, (2016), pp. 92-100.
- [10] T. N. Nam, A-générateurs génériques pour l'algébre polynomiale, Adv. Math., 186, (2004), pp. 334-362.
- [11] F. P. Peterson, Generators of H^{*}(ℝP[∞] × ℝP[∞]) as a module over the Steenrod algebra, Abstracts Amer. Math. Soc. No. 833, (1987), pp. 55-89.
- [12] D. V. Phuc and N. Sum, On a minimal set of generators for the polynomial algebra of five variables as a module over the Steenrod algebra, Acta Math. Vietnam. 42 (2017), no. 1, 149-162.
- [13] D. V. Phuc, A-generators for the polynomial algebra of five variables and the Singer transfer, Preprint, available online at http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.06061v10.
- [14] D. V. Phuc, A note on the epimorphism of the fifth algebraic transfer, JP J. Algebra, Number Theory Appl. 48, No.2, (2020) pp. 193-201.
- [15] S. Priddy, On characterizing summands in the classifying space of a group, I, Amer. Jour. Math. 112 (1990), 737-748.
- [16] W. M. Singer, The transfer in homological algebra, Math. Zeit. 202 (1989) 493-523.
- [17] J. H. Silverman (1995), "Hit polynomials and the canonical antiautomorphism of the Steenrod algebra", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 123, pp. 627-637.
- [18] N. E. Steenrod and D. B. A. Epstein, *Cohomology operations*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 50, Princeton University Press, Princeton N.J (1962).
- [19] N. Sum, The negative answer to Kameko's conjecture on the hit problem, Adv. Math. 225, (2010), 2365-2390.
- [20] N. Sum, On the hit problem for the polynomial algebra, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I, 351 (2013) 565-568.
- [21] N. Sum, On the Peterson hit problem, Adv. Math. 274 (2015) 432-489.
- [22] N. Sum, On a construction for the generators of the polynomial algebra as a module over the Steenrod algebra, Algebraic topology and related topics, 265–286, Trends Math., Birkh./Springer, Singapore, 2019.
- [23] N. Sum and N. K. Tin, The hit problem for the polynomial algebra in some weight vectors, Topology Appl. 290 (2021), 107579, 17pp.
- [24] N. K. Tin and N. Sum, Kameko's homomorphism and the algebraic transfer, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I, 354, (2016), 940-943.
- [25] N. K. Tin, The hit problem for the polynomial algebra in five variables and applications, PhD. thesis, Quy Nhon University 2017.
- [26] N. K. Tin, The admissible monomial basis for the polynomial algebra as a module over Steenrod algebra in some degrees, JP J. Algebra, Number Theory Appl. 46, No.1, (2020) pp. 55-68.

- [27] N. K. Tin, The hit problem for the polynomial algebra as a module over Steenrod algebra, I, JP J. Algebra, Number Theory Appl. 47, No.1, (2020) pp. 67-86.
- [28] N. K. Tin, A note on the Peterson hit problem for the Steenrod algebra, Preprint, 2021.
- [29] N. K. Tin, The hit problem for the polynomial algebra as a module over Steenrod algebra in some degrees, Asian-European J. Math. 18 pp. (2021). (accepted)
- [30] G. Walker and R. M. W. Wood, Polynomials and the mod 2 Steenrod algebra. Vol. 1. The Peterson hit problem, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 441. Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [31] G. Walker and R. M. W. Wood, Polynomials and the mod 2 Steenrod algebra. Vol. 2. Representations of GL(n, F₂), London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 442. Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [32] R. M. W. Wood, Steenrod squares of polynomials and the Peterson conjecture, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 105 (1989) 307-309.

Faculty of Applied Sciences, Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology and Education, 01 Võ Văn Ngân, Thủ Đức, Hồ Chí Minh city, Viet Nam.

Email address: tinnk@hcmute.edu.vn