

# SEMIGROUPS OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS AND INTEGRAL OPERATORS IN BMOA-TYPE SPACES

V. DASKALOGIANNIS AND P. GALANOPOULOS

ABSTRACT. The aim of this article is to study semigroups of composition operators  $T_t = f \circ \phi_t$  on the BMOA-type spaces  $BMOA_p$ , and on their "little oh" analogues  $VMOA_p$ . The spaces  $BMOA_p$  were introduced by R. Zhao as part of the large family of  $F(p, q, s)$  spaces, and are the Möbius invariant subspaces of the Dirichlet spaces  $D_{p-1}^p$ .

We study the maximal subspace  $[\phi_t, BMOA_p]$  of strong continuity, providing a sufficient condition on the infinitesimal generator of  $\{\phi_t\}$ , under which  $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$ , and a related necessary condition in the case where the Denjoy - Wolff point of the semigroup is in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Further, we characterize those semigroups, for which  $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$ , in terms of the resolvent operator of the infinitesimal generator of  $(T_t|_{VMOA_p})$ . In addition we provide a connection between the maximal subspace of strong continuity and the Volterra-type operators  $T_g$ . We characterize the symbols  $g$  for which  $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$  is bounded or compact, thus extending a related result to the case  $p = 1$ . We also prove that for  $1 < p < 2$  compactness of  $T_g$  on  $BMOA_p$  is equivalent to weak compactness.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be the unit disc of the complex plane and  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  its boundary. We will denote by  $H(\mathbb{D})$  the space of all analytic functions on the disc and by  $H^\infty$  the subspace of bounded analytic functions. A family  $\{\phi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  of analytic self-maps of the disc is a semigroup of functions if:

- (1)  $\phi_0(z) = z$
- (2)  $\phi_t \circ \phi_s = \phi_{t+s}$ , for  $t, s \geq 0$
- (3)  $\phi_t \rightarrow \phi_0$ , uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ , as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

The identity maps  $\phi_t(z) = z$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , form the trivial semigroup. In any other case we say  $\{\phi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  is nontrivial. Each family  $\{\phi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  induces a semigroup of composition operators on  $H(\mathbb{D})$ ,

$$T_t(f) = f \circ \phi_t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

If  $X$  is a Banach space of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  on which the composition operators  $T_t : X \rightarrow X$  are bounded, there arises the question whether  $(T_t)$  is strongly continuous, that is if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|T_t(f) - f\|_X = 0, \quad f \in X.$$

This question of strong continuity was first studied by E. Berkson and H. Porta [7] on Hardy spaces. They proved that each  $\{\phi_t\}$  induces a strongly

---

*Date:* March 9, 2021.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46E15, 47B33, 47D06, 47G10 ; Secondary: 30H25, 30H30, 30H35, 32A37.

*Key words and phrases.* Semigroups, composition operators, Möbius invariant, BMOA, Volterra operator, compact, weakly compact.

continuous composition semigroup  $(T_t)$  on each Hardy space  $H^p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Several other authors studied the question on classical spaces of analytic functions, in particular on Bergman spaces, the Dirichlet space, the space  $BMOA$  and the Bloch space among others.

This article studies strong continuity of composition semigroups in the family of spaces  $BMOA_p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . When  $p \geq 2$  it is immediate that every semigroup  $\{\phi_t\}$  induces a semigroup  $(T_t)$  of bounded composition operators on  $BMOA_p$ . We show that the same is true in the non-obvious case  $1 \leq p < 2$  and also for  $VMOA_p$ . We then study the maximal subspace  $[\phi_t, BMOA_p]$  of strong continuity on these spaces. We show that  $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] \subsetneq BMOA_p$  for nontrivial  $\{\phi_t\}$  and provide conditions under which  $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$ . We also consider Volterra-type operators  $T_g$  on the above spaces and characterize the symbols  $g$  for which  $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$  is bounded or compact, extending a result from [38] to the case  $p = 1$ . The key point for proving this extension is the use of the Garsia norm for functions in  $BMOA$ . Finally we prove that for  $1 < p < 2$  compactness of  $T_g$  on  $BMOA_p$  is equivalent to its weak compactness, and find a connection between the maximal subspace of strong continuity and the mapping properties of  $T_\gamma$  for a specific symbol  $\gamma$  determined by the inducing semigroup.

## 2. BACKGROUND AND MAIN RESULTS

**2.1. The spaces  $BMOA_p$ .** Let  $0 < p < \infty$ . A function  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$  belongs to the space  $BMOA_p$  if

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) dm(z) < \infty,$$

where  $\varphi_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ , are the Möbius automorphisms of the disc and  $dm(z) = r dr d\theta / \pi$  is the normalized Lebesgue area measure of  $\mathbb{D}$ . The subspace  $VMOA_p$  contains those  $f$  for which

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) dm(z) = 0.$$

The spaces  $BMOA_p$  are part of the large family of spaces  $F(p, q, s)$  introduced by R. Zhao in [39]. An  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$  is in  $F(p, q, s)$  if

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2)^s dm(z) < \infty,$$

and  $f \in F_0(p, q, s)$  if the above integral tends to 0 as  $|a| \rightarrow 1$ . The parameters are  $p > 0$ ,  $q > -2$ ,  $s > 0$ , and the spaces are nontrivial when  $q + s > -1$ . These spaces were further studied by J. Rättyä in [26].

Clearly

$$BMOA_p \equiv F(p, p-2, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad VMOA_p \equiv F_0(p, p-2, 1),$$

and the value  $p = 2$  corresponds to the classical spaces  $BMOA$  and  $VMOA$ .

We recount some basic properties of  $BMOA_p$  most of which can be found in [39]. For  $p \geq 1$ ,  $BMOA_p$  equipped with the norm defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p = |f(0)|^p + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) dm(z)$$

is a Banach space, with  $VMOA_p$  being the closure of the polynomials in  $BMOA_p$ . The identity

$$1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2 = |\varphi'_a(z)|(1 - |z|^2), \quad a, z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and a change of variables  $z = \varphi_a(w)$  gives

$$(2.4) \quad \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p = |f(0)|^p + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f \circ \varphi_a - f(a)\|_{D_{p-1}^p}^p.$$

where

$$\|f\|_{D_{p-1}^p}^p = |f(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z)$$

is a norm defining the Dirichlet spaces  $D_{p-1}^p := \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{D_{p-1}^p} < \infty\}$ . Thus (2.4) says that  $f \in BMOA_p$  if and only if the set of hyperbolic translates

$$\{f \circ \varphi_a - f(a) : a \in \mathbb{D}\}$$

is bounded in  $D_{p-1}^p$ , i.e.  $BMOA_p$  is the Möbius invariant version of  $D_{p-1}^p$ . For more information on  $D_{p-1}^p$  see [14], [33].

It is to be noticed at this point that the spaces  $D_{p-1}^p$  and  $BMOA_p$  are part of the larger families of spaces  $D_\mu^p$  and their Möbius invariant subspaces  $M(D_\mu^p)$  respectively, which were studied by M. D. Contreras, S. Díaz-Madrigal and D. Vukotić in [11]. Specifically, given a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  consider the Dirichlet-type spaces

$$D_\mu^p = \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p d\mu(z) < \infty\}$$

and their Möbius invariant versions

$$M(D_\mu^p) = \{f \in D_\mu^p : \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_a)'(z)|^p d\mu(z) < \infty\},$$

along with the little-oh spaces  $M_0(D_\mu^p)$  containing those  $f$  for which

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_a)'(z)|^p d\mu(z) = 0.$$

The choice  $d\mu(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z)$  gives  $D_{p-1}^p = D_\mu^p$ , and then

$$BMOA_p = M(D_\mu^p) \text{ and } VMOA_p = M_0(D_\mu^p).$$

Even though there is no inclusion relation between  $D_{p-1}^p$  and  $D_{q-1}^q$  for  $p \neq q$ , their Möbius invariant subspaces  $BMOA_p$  form a chain that increases in size with  $p$ , [39]. If  $0 < p < q < \infty$  then

$$BMOA_p \subsetneq BMOA_q \subsetneq \mathcal{B},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  is the Bloch space of  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$  with  $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| < \infty$ . An analogous containment relation is valid for  $VMOA_p$ . For the sake of completeness we prove the following lemma which can also be proved using the closed graph theorem.

**Lemma 1.** (1) For each  $0 < q < \infty$  there is a constant  $C = C_q$  such that  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C\|f\|_{BMOA_q}$ , for all  $f \in BMOA_q$ .

(2) For each pair  $(p, q)$  with  $0 < p < q < \infty$  there is a constant  $C = C_{p,q}$  such that  $\|f\|_{BMOA_q} \leq C\|f\|_{BMOA_p}$ , for all  $f \in BMOA_p$ .

*Proof.* (1) For  $f \in BMOA_q$  and  $a \in \mathbb{D}$  let

$$I(f, q, a) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^q (1 - |z|^2)^{q-2} (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) dm(z).$$

According to [39, Lemma 2.9] there is a constant  $K_q$  such that

$$|f'(a)|^q (1 - |a|^2)^q \leq K_q I(f, q, a),$$

for all  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} (|f(0)| + |f'(a)|(1 - |a|^2))^q &\leq 2^q (|f(0)|^q + |f'(a)|^q (1 - |a|^2)^q) \\ &\leq 2^q (|f(0)|^q + K_q I(f, q, a)) \\ &\leq 2^q \max\{1, K_q\} (|f(0)|^q + I(f, q, a)) \\ &\leq K'_q \|f\|_{BMOA_q}^q, \end{aligned}$$

and taking the sup on  $a$  of the quantity in left hand side, we have the desired inequality with  $C_q = (K'_q)^{1/q}$ .

(2) Let  $f \in BMOA_p$  then for  $I(f, q, a)$  as defined above we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(f, q, a) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} ((1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)|)^p ((1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)|)^{q-p} \frac{(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} dm(z) \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} ((1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)|)^{q-p} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^p \frac{(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} dm(z) \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{q-p} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) dm(z) \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{q-p} \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p \\ &\leq C^{q-p} \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^{q-p} \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p, \quad (\text{by using (i)}) \\ &= C^{q-p} \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{BMOA_q}^p &= \left( |f(0)|^q + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} I(f, q, a) \right)^{p/q} \\ &\leq 2^{p/q} \left( |f(0)|^p + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} I(f, q, a)^{p/q} \right) \\ &\leq 2^{p/q} \left( \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p + \left( C^{q-p} \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^q \right)^{p/q} \right) \\ &= 2^{p/q} (1 + C^{\frac{(q-p)p}{q}}) \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p, \end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows with  $C_{p,q} = (2^{p/q} (1 + C^{\frac{(q-p)p}{q}}))^{1/q}$ .  $\square$

Since  $H^\infty \subset BMOA = BMOA_2$  it follows that  $H^\infty \subset BMOA_p$  for  $p \geq 2$ , but this containment is no longer true for  $1 \leq p < 2$ . In fact it is shown in [20, Proposition A (7)] and [26, Theorem 2.3.4] that the disc algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is not contained in  $BMOA_p$  for  $p < 2$ .

Yet another description of the spaces  $BMOA_p$  is in terms of Carleson measures. Recall that a positive measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  is a Carleson measure if

$$(2.5) \quad \|\mu\|_{CM} = \sup_{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|} < \infty,$$

where  $I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$  is an arc with length  $|I|$ , and

$$S(I) = \{re^{it} : e^{it} \in I, \text{ and } 1 - |I| < r < 1\}$$

is the Carleson square for  $I$ . Further,  $\mu$  is a vanishing Carleson measure if  $\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|} = 0$ . The defining property (2.5) is equivalent to that the Hardy space  $H^2$  is boundedly embedded in  $L^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$ , i.e. there is a constant  $C_\mu$  such that

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \leq C_\mu \|f\|_{H^2}^2$$

for all  $f \in H^2$ . Vanishing Carleson measures are those for which the embedding  $i : H^2 \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$  is a compact operator. It is shown in [39] that  $f \in BMOA_p$  if and only if

$$\sup_{I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) < \infty,$$

equivalently the measure  $d\mu(z) = |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z)$  is a Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ .  $VMOA_p$  then consists of those  $f$  for which  $d\mu$  is vanishing Carleson. A consequence is that

$$|f(0)|^p + \sup_{I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|} \simeq \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p.$$

Using the above description, Z. Wu [35] (see also [33]) gave a characterization of pointwise multipliers of  $D_{p-1}^p$ , i.e. of functions in the space

$$\mathcal{M}(D_{p-1}^p) = \{g \in H(\mathbb{D}) : gf \in D_{p-1}^p \text{ for each } f \in D_{p-1}^p\}.$$

This characterization for  $0 < p \leq 2$  can be restated as follows

$$g \in \mathcal{M}(D_{p-1}^p) \Leftrightarrow g \in H^\infty \cap BMOA_p.$$

Another important class of spaces contained in family  $F(p, q, s)$  are the  $Q_s$  spaces, obtained as  $Q_s = F(2, 0, s)$  and  $Q_{s,0} = F_0(2, 0, s)$ . They were introduced by Aulaskari, Xiao and Zhao in [6], see also [4]. For  $s = 1$  we have  $Q_1 = BMOA$ , while for all  $s > 1$ ,  $Q_s = \mathcal{B}$ . For  $0 < s < 1$  these spaces are distinct and they increase in size with  $s$ . In [5], Aulaskari and Tovar proved that

$$\bigcup_{s \in (0,1)} Q_s \subsetneq \bigcap_{0 < p \leq 2} BMOA_p,$$

which indicates that  $BMOA_p$  are considerably larger spaces than  $Q_s$ . A good reference on  $Q_s$  spaces is Xiao's monographs [36] and [37].

We note that in the literature, the spaces  $BMOA_p$  are also referred to as Besov-type spaces, see for example [5] or [21].

**2.2. Semigroups of composition operators.** If  $\{\phi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a semigroup then each  $\phi_t$  is univalent and the limit

$$G(z) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi_t(z) - z}{t}$$

exists uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ . The analytic function  $G(z)$  is the *infinitesimal generator* of  $\{\phi_t\}$  and uniquely determines the semigroup. Moreover  $G$  satisfies

$$(2.7) \quad G(\phi_t(z)) = \frac{\partial \phi_t(z)}{\partial t} = G(z) \frac{\partial \phi_t(z)}{\partial z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The infinitesimal generator  $G$  can be uniquely represented in terms of the Denjoy-Wolff point  $b$  of the semigroup (see [7]) as

$$(2.8) \quad G(z) = (\bar{b}z - 1)(z - b)P(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where  $b \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and  $P \in H(\mathbb{D})$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(P(z)) \geq 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

If  $X$  is a Banach space consisting of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  we denote by  $[\phi_t, X]$  the maximal closed subspace of  $X$  on which  $\{\phi_t\}$  generates a strongly continuous composition semigroup  $(T_t)$ , that is,

$$[\phi_t, X] := \{f \in X : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|f \circ \phi_t - f\|_X = 0\}.$$

It is shown in [9] that if  $X$  contains the constants and  $\sup_{0 < t < 1} \|T_t\| < \infty$ , then

$$(2.9) \quad [\phi_t, X] = \overline{\{f \in X : Gf' \in X\}}$$

with  $G$  the generator of  $\{\phi_t\}$ . It is well known that for every semigroup  $\{\phi_t\}$ ,

$$[\phi_t, X] = X$$

when  $X$  is any of the following spaces: the Hardy spaces  $H^p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  [7], the Bergman spaces  $A_a^p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $a > -1$  [29], the Dirichlet space  $\mathcal{D}$  [30], the space VMOA and the little Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}_0$  [9], and  $Q_{s,0}$  [34]. Note that in each of the above spaces, polynomials are dense in  $X$ , a property that plays a key role in proving strong continuity.

This is no longer true when  $X = H^\infty$  or  $X = \mathcal{B}$ . For these spaces and for nontrivial  $\{\phi_t\}$  we have,

$$[\phi_t, H^\infty] \subsetneq H^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad [\phi_t, \mathcal{B}] \subsetneq \mathcal{B},$$

see for example [9],[28]. Extending this result, A. Anderson, M. Jovovic and W. Smith proved in [3] that whenever  $X$  is a space such that

$$H^\infty \subseteq X \subseteq \mathcal{B}$$

then  $[\phi_t, X] \subsetneq X$ . In particular for  $X = BMOA_p$ ,  $p \geq 2$ ,

$$[\phi_t, BMOA_p] \subsetneq BMOA_p$$

for all nontrivial  $\{\phi_t\}$ , since for such  $p$  we have  $H^\infty \subset BMOA_p \subset \mathcal{B}$ . However if  $1 \leq p < 2$  then  $H^\infty \not\subset BMOA_p$ , so the result of Anderson, Jovovic and Smith does not apply for this range of  $p$  and the question of strong continuity needs a different treatment.

On the other hand using the fact that the closure of the polynomials in  $BMOA_p$  is  $VMOA_p$  we will show that each  $\{\phi_t\}$  induces a strongly continuous composition semigroup on  $VMOA_p$ , so we have

$$VMOA_p \subseteq [\phi_t, BMOA_p]$$

for  $p \geq 1$ . This containment can be proper as the following example shows. Let

$$f(z) = \log(1 - z) \in BMOA_p \setminus VMOA_p$$

and

$$\phi_t(z) = e^{-t}z + 1 - e^{-t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|f \circ \phi_t - f\|_{BMOA_p} = 0$ , thus  $f \in [\phi_t, BMOA_p]$  so, in this case,

$$VMOA_p \subsetneq [\phi_t, BMOA_p].$$

Thus for a general semigroup  $\{\phi_t\}$  the question arises to relate function-theoretic properties of  $\{\phi_t\}$  to the size of the maximal subspace of strong continuity of  $(T_t)$ .

**2.3. Main results.** In section 3 we study the boundedness of composition operators

$$C_\psi(f)(z) = f(\psi(z)),$$

on  $BMOA_p$  and  $VMOA_p$ , where  $\psi$  is an analytic self-map of the disc. It is well known that  $C_\psi$  is bounded on  $BMOA$  [10] and on  $\mathcal{B}$  for all  $\psi$ , and it is not difficult to check that this remains true for  $BMOA_p$  for  $p > 2$ . When  $1 \leq p < 2$  we prove that if  $\psi$  is univalent then  $C_\psi$  is bounded on  $BMOA_p$  and on  $VMOA_p$ , and this is sufficient for our work with semigroups.

In section 4, we study semigroups of composition operators on  $BMOA_p$  and  $VMOA_p$ ,  $p \in [1, 2)$ . It is easy to see that for the dilations  $\phi_t(z) = e^{-t}z$  or the rotations  $\phi_t = e^{it}z$ , we have a proper inclusion

$$[\phi_t, BMOA_p] \subsetneq BMOA_p.$$

Indeed taking any function  $g \in BMOA_p \setminus VMOA$ , if we assume that  $g \in [\phi_t, BMOA_p]$  then  $g \in [\phi_t, BMOA]$ , since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|g \circ \phi_t - g\|_{BMOA} \leq C \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|g \circ \phi_t - g\|_{BMOA_p}, \quad 1 \leq p < 2.$$

Then, by Sarason's theorem [27],  $g \in VMOA$  which is a contradiction. Examples of such functions are  $g(z) = \log(1 - z)$ , and the class of inner functions

$$g(z) = \exp\left(\gamma \frac{z + w}{z - w}\right),$$

where  $0 < \gamma < \infty$  and  $w \in \partial\mathbb{D}$  (see [20, Theorem 1.1]). More generally using an argument similar to that in [3] we will show that for any nontrivial  $\{\phi_t\}$  we always have

$$[\phi_t, BMOA_p] \subsetneq BMOA_p, \quad 1 \leq p < 2.$$

On the other hand we will show that if the infinitesimal generator  $G$  of  $\{\phi_t\}$  satisfies the condition

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^p}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{p-1}}{|G(z)|^p} dm(z) = 0,$$

then  $VMOA_p = [\phi_t, BMOA_p]$ , and will observe that this condition is satisfied for a large family of semigroups. We also prove a (different) necessary condition for this equality, for semigroups with Denjoy-Wolff point inside  $\mathbb{D}$ .

We finish the section by observing that a characterization of the equality  $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$  may be given in terms of the resolvent operator  $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, \Gamma) = (\lambda - \Gamma)^{-1}$  of the infinitesimal generator  $\Gamma$  of the semigroup  $(T_t)$  acting on  $VMOA_p$  when  $1 < p \leq 2$ , and that this is equivalent to the weak compactness of  $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, \Gamma)$  on  $VMOA_p$ .

In section 5, we study the compactness and weak compactness of the Volterra-type operators

$$T_g = \int_0^z f(\zeta)g'(\zeta) d\zeta$$

on  $BMOA_p$  and  $VMOA_p$ . We give a condition on  $g$  that characterizes when  $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$  is bounded or compact thus extending [38, Theorem 14 and Corollary 16 (3)] to the case  $p = 1$ . To prove this extension, we consider the Garsia norm ([13]) for functions in  $BMOA$ . In addition we give equivalent conditions for  $T_g$  to be compact or weakly compact when it acts between the spaces  $BMOA_p$  and  $VMOA_p$  for  $1 < p < 2$ , and relate this to a Carleson type condition on  $g$ .

Finally, we show a connection between the mapping properties of specific Volterra-type operators with the size of the maximal subspace of strong continuity  $[\phi_t, BMOA_p]$ , extending a related result on BMOA from [9, Corollary 2].

We will use the notation  $C, C', C_1 \dots$  to denote constants, the values of which may change from one step to the next. The notation  $X \simeq Y$  means that the two quantities  $X, Y$  are comparable.

### 3. COMPOSITION OPERATORS

In this section we discuss boundedness of composition operators induced by univalent symbols on  $BMOA_p$  and  $VMOA_p$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $1 \leq p < 2$ , and  $\psi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  analytic and univalent. Then:*

(1) *the composition operator  $C_\psi : BMOA_p \rightarrow BMOA_p$  is bounded, and*

$$(3.1) \quad \|C_\psi\|_{BMOA_p \rightarrow BMOA_p} \leq C \left( 1 + \log \frac{1 + |\psi(0)|}{1 - |\psi(0)|} \right),$$

*where  $C$  is a constant depending only on  $p$ .*

(2)  *$C_\psi : VMOA_p \rightarrow VMOA_p$  is also bounded.*

*Proof.* (1) For  $f \in BMOA_p$  and  $a \in \mathbb{D}$  we write

$$I(f, p, a) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_a)'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z),$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(f \circ \psi, p, a) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \psi \circ \phi_a)'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)} \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)} \circ \psi \circ \varphi_a)'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)})'(\sigma_a(z))|^p |\sigma_a'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z). \end{aligned}$$

where the function

$$\sigma_a = \varphi_{\psi(a)} \circ \psi \circ \varphi_a,$$



is a self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\sigma_a(0) = 0$ . Applying Hölder's inequality with exponents  $2/p$  and  $2/(2-p)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} I(f \circ \psi, p, a) &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)})'(\sigma_a(z))|^p |\sigma_a'(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\quad \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)})'(\sigma_a(z))|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\ &= I_1^{\frac{p}{2}} \cdot I_2^{\frac{2-p}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the first integral  $I_1$  we use the inequality  $1 - |z|^2 \leq 1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2$ , which is a consequence of Schwarz's Lemma on  $\sigma_a$ , and make the change of variables  $w = \sigma_a(z)$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \int_{\sigma_a(\mathbb{D})} |(f \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)})'(w)|^p (1 - |w|^2)^{p-1} dm(w) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)})'(w)|^p (1 - |w|^2)^{p-1} dm(w) \\ &= I(f, p, \psi(a)). \end{aligned}$$

The second integral  $I_2$  can be viewed as a weighted area integral of the composite function  $g \circ \sigma_a$ , where  $g = (f \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)})'$ , against the weight  $(1 - |z|^2)^{p-1}$ . Using known estimates for composition operators on weighted Bergman spaces (see for example [29, Lemma 1]) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(\sigma_a(z))|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\|\sigma_a\|_{\infty} + |\sigma_a(0)|}{\|\sigma_a\|_{\infty} - |\sigma_a(0)|} \right)^{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f \circ \varphi_{\psi(a)})'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \quad (\text{since } \sigma_a(0) = 0) \\ &= I(f, p, \psi(a)) \end{aligned}$$

Putting these together we obtain

$$I(f \circ \psi, p, a) \leq I(f, p, \psi(a))$$

for each  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ , so

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} I(f \circ \psi, p, a) \leq \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} I(f, p, \psi(a)) \leq \sup_{b \in \mathbb{D}} I(f, p, b) \leq \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p.$$

Next using the growth estimate

$$|f(z)| \leq C_1 \left( 1 + \log \frac{1 + |z|}{1 - |z|} \right) \|f\|_{BMOA_p}$$

for functions in  $BMOA_p$  which is implicitly proved in [39] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f \circ \psi\|_{BMOA_p}^p &= |f(\psi(0))|^p + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} I(f \circ \psi, p, a) \\ &\leq C_2 \left( 1 + \log \frac{1 + |\psi(0)|}{1 - |\psi(0)|} \right)^p \|f\|_{BMOA_p}^p, \end{aligned}$$

from which (3.1) follows.

(2) We are going to use the fact that polynomials are dense in  $VMOA_p$ , and also the fact that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|f - f_r\|_{BMOA_p} = 0$  for each  $f \in VMOA_p$ , where  $f_r(z) = f(rz)$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ , are the dilations of  $f$ . These two properties are in fact equivalent and each of them characterizes membership of functions in  $VMOA_p$ , see [11, Theorem 3] or [17, Proposition 2.3].

For  $f \in VMOA_p$ , we need to show that  $f \circ \psi \in VMOA_p$ . Equivalently we will show that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|(f \circ \psi)_r - f \circ \psi\|_{BMOA_p} = 0.$$

Note that  $(f \circ \psi)_r = f \circ \psi_r$ , and  $\psi_r(0) = \psi(0)$  for each  $r$ . For a polynomial  $P$  we have (dropping the subscript of the norm)

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f \circ \psi)_r - f \circ \psi\| &= \|f \circ \psi_r - f \circ \psi\| \\ &\leq \|f \circ \psi_r - P \circ \psi_r\| + \|P \circ \psi_r - P \circ \psi\| + \|P \circ \psi - f \circ \psi\| \\ &= \|(f - P) \circ \psi_r\| + \|P \circ \psi_r - P \circ \psi\| + \|(f - P) \circ \psi\| \\ &\leq 2C \left( 1 + \log \frac{1 + |\psi(0)|}{1 - |\psi(0)|} \right) \|f - P\| + \|P \circ \psi_r - P \circ \psi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $\psi$  is univalent and bounded an easy argument through the integrability of  $|\psi'(z)|^2$  over  $\mathbb{D}$  gives that  $\psi \in VMOA_p$ , and subsequently that  $\psi(z)^n \in VMOA_p$  for each positive integer  $n$ . Therefore  $P \circ \psi \in VMOA_p$  for every polynomial  $P$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can choose a polynomial  $P$  such that  $2C(1 + \log \frac{1 + |\psi(0)|}{1 - |\psi(0)|}) \|f - P\| < \varepsilon/2$ . Then we can find  $r < 1$  such that  $\|P \circ \psi_r - P \circ \psi\| < \varepsilon/2$ , and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

#### 4. SEMIGROUPS OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON $BMOA_p$

First we show that all semigroups  $\{\phi_t\}$  induce strongly continuous composition semigroups  $(T_t)$  on  $VMOA_p$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\{\phi_t\}$  be a semigroup of functions and  $p \geq 1$ . Then the induced composition semigroup  $(T_t)$  is strongly continuous on  $VMOA_p$ .*

*Proof.* We need to show that if  $f \in VMOA_p$  then,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|T_t(f) - f\| = 0,$$

(dropping again the subscript of the  $BMOA_p$ -norm). For a polynomial  $P$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_t(f) - f\| &\leq \|T_t(f) - T_t(P)\| + \|T_t(P) - P\| + \|P - f\| \\ &\leq \|T_t\| \|f - P\| + \|T_t(P) - P\| + \|P - f\| \\ &\leq (1 + \|T_t\|) \|P - f\| + \|T_t(P) - P\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the polynomials are dense in  $VMOA_p$  and  $\sup_{t < 1} \|T_t\| < \infty$ , it suffices to prove the claim for  $f = P$ , a polynomial. Now the set of polynomials is contained in the classical Dirichlet space  $\mathcal{D}$  consisting of those  $f$  for which

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 = |f(0)|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 dm(z) < \infty.$$

An application of Hölder's inequality shows that  $\mathcal{D} \subset VMOA_p$  and there is a constant  $C = C_p$ , such that

$$\|f\| \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{D}.$$

In particular for a polynomial  $P$  we have  $P \circ \phi_t - P \in \mathcal{D}$  since  $\phi_t$  is univalent, and

$$\|T_t(P) - P\| \leq C \|T_t(P) - P\|_{\mathcal{D}}, \quad t > 0.$$

But composition semigroups are strongly continuous on  $\mathcal{D}$  [30], so the last inequality implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|T_t(P) - P\| = 0$$

for each polynomial  $P$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose  $\{\phi_t\}$  is a nontrivial semigroup and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] \subsetneq BMOA_p$ .*

*Proof.* The result is obtained by observing that the proof of [3, Theorem 3.1], whose conclusion is used to prove the analogous result [3, Theorem 1.1], in fact applies here. More precisely, in the proof of [3, Theorem 3.1] the authors use appropriate test functions  $f \in H^\infty$  which satisfy

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \|f \circ \phi_t - f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \geq \delta > 0,$$

for each nontrivial  $\{\phi_t\}$ . Those test functions  $f$  are infinite interpolating Blaschke products whose zeros lie on a radius  $\{r\gamma_0 : 0 < r < 1\}$  for some  $\gamma_0 \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ . On the other hand from [15, Theorem 3.12] (see also [12]), we know that for such Blaschke products  $B(z)$ ,

$$B(z) \in \bigcap_{s \in (0,1)} Q_s.$$

Since  $\bigcup_{0 < s < 1} Q_s \subset BMOA_p$  for all  $p > 0$ , these test functions can be used in our context, and the proof can be completed by following the lines of the proof in [3].  $\square$

*Remark 1.* From the above it follows that the hypothesis  $H^\infty \subset X \subset \mathcal{B}$  in the theorem of Anderson, Jovovic and Smith [3, Theorem 1.1] can be replaced by

$$\bigcap_{s \in (0,1)} Q_s \subset X \subset \mathcal{B}$$

or by some similar condition which will imply that interpolating Blaschke products belong to  $X$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $1 \leq p < 2$  and let  $\{\phi_t\}$  be a semigroup with infinitesimal generator  $G$ . If*

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^p}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{p-1}}{|G(z)|^p} dm(z) = 0,$$

*then  $VMOA_p = [\phi_t, BMOA_p]$ .*

*Proof.* Using the description (2.9) of the maximal subspace of strong continuity, it suffices to show that if  $h \in BMOA_p$  is such that  $Gh' \in BMOA_p$  then  $h \in VMOA_p$ . Set  $f = Gh'$  for such an  $h$  and select  $r$  sufficiently close to 1 so that  $G$  has no zeros in the ring  $D_r = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : r < |z| < 1\}$ . Then  $1/G$  is analytic on  $D_r$ . Let  $I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$  be an arc sufficiently small so that  $S(I) \subset D_r$ , write  $z_I = (1 - |I|)\xi$  where  $\xi \in \partial\mathbb{D}$  is the center of  $I$ , and set

$$d\mu(z) = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{p-1}}{|G(z)|^p} dm(z).$$

Then  $h' = f/G$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |h'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) &= \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) \\ &\leq 2^p \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f(z) - f(z_I)|^p d\mu(z) + 2^p \frac{|f(z_I)|^p}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} d\mu(z) \\ &\leq 2^p \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^p |1 - \bar{z}_I z|^p d\mu(z) + 2^p C^p \frac{(\log \frac{2}{|I|})^p}{|I|} \mu(S(I)) \\ &= 2^p A_I + 2^p C B_I \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the inequality  $(x + y)^p \leq 2^p(x^p + y^p)$  and the growth estimate  $|g(z)| \leq C \log \frac{2}{1-|z|}$  for functions  $g \in BMOA_p$ . By hypothesis (4.1),

$$B_I = \frac{(\log \frac{2}{|I|})^p}{|I|} \mu(S(I)) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |I| \rightarrow 0.$$

We will show that the same is true for  $A_I$ . Using the estimate  $|1 - \bar{z}_I z| \simeq |I|$  for  $z \in S(I)$ , and applying Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_I &\leq C |I|^{p-1} \int_{S(I)} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^p d\mu(z) \\ &\leq C |I|^{p-1} \left( \int_{S(I)} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left( \int_{S(I)} d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\ &= C |I|^{p-1} \mu(S(I))^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The hypothesis (4.1) implies that for  $|I|$  sufficiently small  $\mu(S(I)) \leq |I| \left( \log \frac{e}{|I|} \right)^{-p}$ , and that  $\mu$  is a Carleson measure, i.e. (2.6) holds. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_I &\leq C|I|^{p-1} \left( |I| \left( \log \frac{2}{|I|} \right)^{-p} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\leq CC_\mu^{p/2} \frac{|I|^{\frac{p}{2}}}{\left( \log \frac{2}{|I|} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}p}} \left( \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I \zeta} \right|^2 |d\zeta| \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\simeq \frac{1}{\left( \log \frac{2}{|I|} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}p}} \left( (1 - |z_I|) \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I \zeta} \right|^2 |d\zeta| \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\left( \log \frac{2}{|I|} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}p}} \left( \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |a|^2) \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f(\zeta) - f(a)}{1 - \bar{a} \zeta} \right|^2 |d\zeta| \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now the quantity inside the parenthesis is the square of the Garsia norm of  $f \in BMOA_p \subset BMOA$ . Since the Garsia norm is comparable to the BMOA norm ([13]), i.e.

$$(4.2) \quad \|f\|_{BMOA}^2 \simeq \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta) - f(a)|^2 \frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - \bar{a}\zeta|^2} |d\zeta|,$$

we get

$$A_I \leq \frac{1}{\left( \log \frac{2}{|I|} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}p}} \|f\|_{BMOA}^p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |I| \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |h'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) = 0$$

which means that  $h$  is in  $VMOA_p$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

The next Corollary says that there are plenty of semigroups for which  $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$ .

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $1 \leq p < 2$  and  $a \in (0, 1)$ . If*

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{(1 - |z|)^a}{G(z)} = O(1), \quad |z| \rightarrow 1,$$

then  $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$ .

*Proof.* The hypothesis (4.3) implies

$$\frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{p-1}}{|G(z)|^p} \leq C(1 - |z|)^{p(1-a)-1}, \quad |z| \rightarrow 1,$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{S(I)} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{p-1}}{|G(z)|^p} dm(z) &\leq C \int_{S(I)} (1 - |z|)^{p(1-a)-1} dm(z) \\
&\leq C|I| \int_{1-|I|}^1 (1 - r)^{p(1-a)-1} dr \\
&= C \frac{|I|^{p(1-a)+1}}{p(1-a)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now since

$$\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^p \frac{|I|^{p(1-a)}}{p(1-a)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |I| \rightarrow 0,$$

the condition (4.1) is satisfied and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

Next we prove a necessary condition for  $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$ , for semi-groups with Denjoy-Wolff point in the open disc.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\{\phi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a semigroup with infinitesimal generator  $G$  and Denjoy-Wolff point  $b \in \mathbb{D}$ . If  $VMOA_p = [\phi_t, BMOA_p]$ , then*

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{p-1}}{|G(z)|^p} dm(z) = 0.$$

*Proof.* We assume, without loss of generality, that  $b = 0$ . Then, from (2.8)

$$G(z) = -zP(z), \quad \operatorname{Re}(P) \geq 0.$$

Next, we consider the function

$$g(z) = \int_0^z \frac{u}{G(u)} du = - \int_0^z \frac{1}{P(u)} du,$$

Since  $\operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{P}) \geq 0$  the function  $g$  is univalent [24, Proposition 1.10], and from the growth estimate  $|1/P(z)| = O(\frac{1}{1-|z|})$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , for functions of non-negative real part it follows that  $(1-|z|)|g'(z)|$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{D}$ , so  $g \in \mathcal{B}$ . But univalent functions of the Bloch space are the same as those in  $BMOA_p$  [21, p. 134], hence  $g \in BMOA_p$ .

In addition  $G(z)g'(z) = z \in BMOA_p$ . This means that  $g \in [\phi_t, BMOA_p]$  and by hypothesis  $g \in VMOA_p$ . That is

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} \frac{|z|^p}{|G(z)|^p} (1-|z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) = 0$$

and (4.4) follows since  $|z| \simeq 1$  for  $z \in S(I)$  when  $I$  is small.  $\square$

Note that there is a gap between the conditions (4.1) and (4.4), and finding a characterization of the equality  $VMOA_p = [\phi_t, BMOA_p]$  in terms of the generator  $G$  seems to be difficult. Such a characterization however can be given, following [9], in terms of the resolvent operator

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda, \Gamma) = (\lambda - \Gamma)^{-1}$$

of the infinitesimal generator  $\Gamma(f) = Gf'$  of the semigroup  $(T_t)$  acting on  $VMOA_p$  when  $1 < p < 2$ . For those values of  $p$  it follows from the work of K.M. Perfekt [22] that we have the duality

$$(4.5) \quad VMOA_p^{**} = BMOA_p.$$

Indeed Perfekt shows that if  $X$  is a reflexive and separable Banach space, then its Möbius invariant subspace

$$M(X) = \{f \in X : \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f \circ \phi_a - f\|_X < \infty\}$$

and the little-o version

$$M_0(X) = \{f \in X : \lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|f \circ \phi_a - f\|_X = 0\}$$

have the duality property

$$M_0(X)^{**} = M(X).$$

More details on the results from [22] will be given in Section 5. If  $X = D_{p-1}^p$ ,  $1 < p < 2$ , then  $M(X) = BMOA_p$  and  $M_0(X) = VMOA_p$  and  $D_{p-1}^p$  is reflexive, as it can be seen by adjusting Luecking's result on the dual spaces of weighted Bergman spaces [18, Theorem 2.1]. Thus we get the duality (4.5).

A characterization of the semigroups for which  $[\phi_t, BMOA] = VMOA$  was given in [9] in terms of properties of the resolvent operator. Following the same steps the result is seen to hold for  $BMOA_p$  for all  $1 < p < 2$  as the following proposition describes.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\{\phi_t\}$  be a semigroup and let  $\Gamma$  be the infinitesimal generator of the composition semigroup  $(T_t)$  acting on  $VMOA_p$ ,  $1 < p < 2$ . Let  $\rho(\Gamma) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \mathcal{R}(\lambda, \Gamma) = (\lambda - \Gamma)^{-1} : VMOA_p \rightarrow VMOA_p \text{ is bounded}\}$ , the resolvent set. Then for  $\lambda \in \rho(\Gamma)$  the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, \Gamma)^{**}(BMOA_p) \subset VMOA_p$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, \Gamma)$  is weakly compact on  $VMOA_p$ .

As mentioned above the proof follows the lines of [9, Theorem 4] and we omit the details.

## 5. VOLTERRA-TYPE OPERATORS ON $BMOA_p$

In this last section we study Volterra-type operators  $T_g$  and point out a connection between the maximal subspace  $[\phi_t, BMOA_p]$  and some properties of these operators. The operators  $T_g$  are defined by

$$T_g(f)(z) = \int_0^z f(\zeta)g'(\zeta) d\zeta \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}),$$

where  $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$  is the inducing symbol. They were first considered by Ch. Pommerenke [25]. He proved that  $T_g$  is bounded on the Hardy space  $H^2$  if and only if  $g \in BMOA$ . This characterization of boundedness was extended to all Hardy spaces  $H^p$  by A. Aleman and A. Siskakis [1] and the operator was subsequently studied on several spaces of analytic functions by many authors.

On the spaces of our concern,  $T_g$  were studied by J. Pau and R. Zhao [19]. They considered  $T_g$  on the more general family of the spaces  $F(p, q, s)$  and in particular they proved for  $BMOA_p = F(p, p-2, 1)$  and for  $1 \leq p < 2$  that  $T_g : BMOA_p \rightarrow BMOA_p$  is bounded if and only if  $g$  satisfies

$$(5.1) \quad \sup_{I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^p}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \right) < \infty$$

and is compact if and only if the corresponding little-oh condition is valid, i.e. the quantity inside the parenthesis goes to 0 as  $|I| \rightarrow 0$ .

These results were extended by C. Yuan and C. Tong who proved that for  $1 < p < 2$ , the operator  $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_p$  is bounded if and only if the same above condition (5.1) holds for  $g$ , and is compact if and only if the corresponding little-oh condition is valid [38, Theorem 14 & Corollary 16, (3)]

In the next theorem we show that for the missing case  $p = 1$  in the results of [38], an analogous characterization of boundedness and compactness holds.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $g$  be an analytic function on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then:*

(1) *The operator  $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$  is bounded if and only if*

$$(5.2) \quad \sup_{I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{\log \frac{2}{|I|}}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) \right) < \infty.$$

(2) *The following are equivalent:*

(i)  *$T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$  is compact.*

(ii) *The function  $g$  satisfies,*

$$(5.3) \quad \lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\log \frac{2}{|I|}}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) \right) = 0.$$

(iii)  *$T_g(BMOA) \subset VMOA_1$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Suppose (5.2) holds and let  $f \in BMOA$ . Let  $z_I = (1 - |I|)\xi$ , where  $\xi \in \partial\mathbb{D}$  is the center of  $I$ . Then

$$(5.4) \quad \|T_g(f)\|_{BMOA_1} \simeq \sup_{I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f(z)g'(z)| dm(z).$$

Setting

$$d\mu(z) = d\mu_g(z) := |g'(z)|dm(z),$$

(this notation will be used throughout the proof) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f(z)g'(z)| dm(z) &= \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f(z)| d\mu(z) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f(z) - f(z_I)| d\mu(z) + |f(z_I)| \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f(z) - f(z_I)| d\mu(z) + C \left( \frac{\log \frac{2}{|I|}}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) \right) \|f\|_{BMOA} \\ &\leq A_I(f) + C' \|f\|_{BMOA}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the growth estimate for  $BMOA$  functions and the hypothesis (5.2). Using the estimate  $|1 - \bar{z}_I z| \simeq |I|$  for  $z \in S(I)$ , the quantity  $A_I(f)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} A_I(f) &= \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right| |1 - \bar{z}_I z| d\mu(z) \\ &\leq C \int_{S(I)} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right| d\mu(z) \end{aligned}$$

We can then continue with the last integral by repeating the steps in the proof of Theorem 4. In specific, apply a Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, use (5.2) and its implication that  $d\mu(z)$  is a Carleson measure, and change to polar coordinates to obtain

$$\int_{S(I)} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right| d\mu(z) \leq \frac{C}{(\log \frac{2}{|I|})^{1/2}} \|f\|_G$$

where  $\|f\|_G$  is the Garsia norm of  $f$ , which is equivalent to  $\|f\|_{BMOA}$ . It follows then from (5.4) that  $\|T_g(f)\|_{BMOA_1} \leq C \|f\|_{BMOA}$  for some constant  $C$  and for all  $f \in BMOA$ , i.e.  $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$  is bounded.



For the converse, assume that  $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$  is bounded and use the test functions

$$f_a(z) = \log \frac{1}{1 - \bar{a}z}, \quad a \in \mathbb{D},$$

which form a bounded set in  $BMOA$ . If  $a = z_I$  then  $|f_a(z)| \simeq \log \frac{2}{|I|}$  for  $z \in S(I)$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log \frac{2}{|I|}}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) &\leq C \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f_a(z)| |g'(z)| dm(z) \\ &\leq C' \|T_g(f_a)\|_{BMOA_1} \\ &\leq C' \|T_g\| \|f_a\|_{BMOA} \\ &\leq C'' \|T_g\| \end{aligned}$$

so (5.2) holds.

(2) We first show that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. First assume (ii) holds, then we will show that  $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$  is compact. Using a well known characterization of compactness of operators on function spaces, see for example [32, Lemma 3.7], it suffices to show that if  $(f_n)$  is a bounded sequence in  $BMOA$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on each compact subset of  $\mathbb{D}$  then  $\|T_g(f_n)\|_{BMOA_1} \rightarrow 0$ . Equivalently we want to show that

$$(5.5) \quad \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f_n(z)| |g'(z)| dm(z) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

To show this we write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f_n(z)| |g'(z)| dm(z) &\leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)| |g'(z)| dm(z) \\ &\quad + |f_n(z_I)| \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) \\ &= A_n(I) + B_n(I), \end{aligned}$$

where  $z_I$  is as before.

We first treat  $B_n(I)$ . Using the assumptions on  $(f_n)$  we have

$$|f_n(z_I)| \leq C \|f_n\|_{BMOA} \log \frac{2}{1 - |z_I|} \leq C' \log \frac{2}{|I|},$$

for all  $I$  and  $n$ , so that

$$B_n(I) \leq C' \frac{\log \frac{2}{|I|}}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z)$$

for all  $n$ . Now given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by the hypothesis (5.3) and the above inequality, there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $|I| < \delta$  then  $B_n(I) < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $n$ , thus,  $\sup_{|I| < \delta} B_n(I) \leq \varepsilon/2$  for all  $n$ .

On the other hand if  $I$  is an arc with  $|I| \geq \delta$  then  $|z_I| = 1 - |I| \leq 1 - \delta$ , so  $z_I$  is inside the closed disc  $\{|z| \leq 1 - \delta\}$ . This and the assumption of the convergence of  $(f_n)$  to 0, uniformly on compact sets, imply that there is an  $N_0$  such that for  $n \geq N_0$

$$|f_n(z_I)| \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) \leq \left( \sup_{|z| \leq 1 - \delta} |f_n(z)| \right) \|g\|_{BMOA_1} \leq \varepsilon/2,$$

thus  $\sup_{|I| \geq \delta} B_n(I) \leq \varepsilon/2$ , for  $n \geq N_0$ . It follows then that

$$\sup_I B_n(I) = \max \left\{ \sup_{|I| < \delta} B_n(I), \sup_{|I| \geq \delta} B_n(I) \right\} \leq \sup_{|I| < \delta} B_n(I) + \sup_{|I| \geq \delta} B_n(I) \leq \varepsilon,$$

for  $n \geq N_0$ , therefore

$$(5.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_I B_n(I) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_I |f_n(z_I)| \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) \right) = 0.$$

Now we consider  $A_n(I)$ . Using the estimate  $|1 - \bar{z}_I z| \simeq |I|$  for  $z \in S(I)$  and recalling that  $d\mu(z) = |g'(z)| dm(z)$  we have

$$(5.7) \quad A_n(I) \simeq \int_{S(I)} \frac{|f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)|}{|1 - \bar{z}_I z|} d\mu(z).$$

The hypothesis (5.3) implies

$$\mu(S(I)) \leq C \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \quad \text{for all } I,$$

and applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(I)^2 &\simeq \left( \int_{S(I)} \frac{|f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)|}{|1 - \bar{z}_I z|} d\mu(z) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \mu(S(I)) \int_{S(I)} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) \\ &\leq C \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) \\ &\simeq \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D_r} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) + \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \int_{D_r} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z), \end{aligned}$$

where  $D_r = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z| \leq r\}$  and  $r \in (0, 1)$  will be chosen later. We consider each term of this sum separately.

For the integral in the first term, putting  $d\mu_r = d\mu|_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D_r}$  and applying (2.6) in the first inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D_r} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu_r(z) \\ &\leq C \|\mu_r\|_{CM} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f_n(\zeta) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I \zeta} \right|^2 |d\zeta| \\ &= C \|\mu_r\|_{CM} \frac{1 - |z_I|}{|I|} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f_n(\zeta) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I \zeta} \right|^2 |d\zeta| \\ &\leq C' \|\mu_r\|_{CM} \frac{1}{|I|} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \left( (1 - |a|^2) \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f_n(\zeta) - f_n(a)}{1 - \bar{a} \zeta} \right|^2 |d\zeta| \right) \\ &\leq C'' \frac{1}{|I|} \|\mu_r\|_{CM} \|f_n\|_{BMOA}^2 \\ &\leq C''' \frac{1}{|I|} \|\mu_r\|_{CM}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $I$ . At this point, since  $\mu$  is a vanishing Carleson measure, we can use [38, Lemma 15] which says that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \|\mu_r\|_{CM} = 0$ . Thus given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find an  $r$  such that

$$(5.8) \quad \sup_I \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D_r} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Now we consider the second term, with  $r$  fixed as above. For  $|z| \leq r$  we have  $|1 - \bar{z}_I z|^2 > (1 - r)^2$  and  $\sup_{|z| \leq r} |g'(z)| < \infty$ , so there are constants such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_r} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) &\leq C_r \int_{D_r} |f_n(z)|^2 d\mu(z) + C_r |f_n(z_I)|^2 \int_{D_r} d\mu(z) \\ &\leq C'_r \sup_{|z| \leq r} |f_n(z)|^2 + C'_r |f_n(z_I)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \int_{D_r} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) &\leq C'_r \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \sup_{|z| \leq r} |f_n(z)|^2 + C'_r \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2 \\ &\leq C''_r \sup_{|z| \leq r} |f_n(z)|^2 + C'_r \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the hypothesis on  $(f_n)$ , the first term above tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In addition for each small positive  $\delta$  we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_I \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2 &\leq \sup_{|I| < \delta} \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2 + \sup_{|I| \geq \delta} \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2 \\ &\leq C \sup_{|I| < \delta} \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \left( \log \frac{2}{|I|} \right)^2 + \sup_{|I| \geq \delta} \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2 \\ &= C \sup_{|I| < \delta} |I| \log \frac{2}{|I|} + \sup_{|I| \geq \delta} \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now for the given  $\varepsilon$  we can choose  $\delta$  such that if  $|I| < \delta$ , then  $C|I| \log \frac{2}{|I|} < \varepsilon$ , so that

$$\sup_{|I| < \delta} \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2 < \varepsilon,$$

for all  $n$ . At the same time if  $|I| \geq \delta$  then  $|z_I| \leq 1 - \delta$  and by the hypothesis on  $(f_n)$  and the fact that  $\frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}}$  remains bounded, we can find  $N_1$  such that

$$\sup_{|I| \geq \delta} \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2 < \varepsilon$$

for  $n \geq N_1$ . It follows from the last two inequalities that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_I \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} |f_n(z_I)|^2 = 0.$$

Putting the above together gives

$$(5.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_I \frac{|I|}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}} \int_{D_r} \left| \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(z_I)}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) \right) = 0.$$

From this and (5.8) we obtain  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(I) = 0$ , and finally in combination with (5.6) the desired conclusion (5.5) is obtained.

To show the converse assume  $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_1$  is compact. Then, taking into account that there is a constant  $C$  such that for each  $f \in BMOA_1$ ,  $\|f\|_{BMOA} \leq C\|f\|_{BMOA_1}$ , we can easily verify that the restriction

$$T_g|_{BMOA_1} : BMOA_1 \rightarrow BMOA_1$$

is a compact operator. It then follows from [19, Theorem 5.2 (ii)], applied for the space  $BMOA_1 = F(1, -1, 1)$ , that

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \log \frac{2}{1 - |a|^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |g'(z)|(1 - |\phi_a(z)|^2) dm(z) = 0$$

and this condition on  $g$  is well known to be equivalent to (5.3).

Next we show that conditions (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. Suppose (ii) holds and  $f \in BMOA$ , we need to show that  $T_g(f) \in VMOA_1$  or equivalently that

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f(z)||g'(z)| dm(z) = 0.$$

To do this we can follow the steps in the proof of Theorem 4 for the value  $p = 1$ , setting  $d\mu(z) = |g'(z)|dm(z)$ . As in that proof the calculations lead to an inequality involving the Garsia norm of  $f$  and finally to the conclusion that the above limit is 0, so condition (5.3) implies that  $T_g(BMOA) \subset VMOA_1$ .

To show the converse we use the test functions

$$f_I(z) = \log \frac{1}{1 - \bar{z}_I z} \in BMOA,$$

thus  $T_g(f_I) \in VMOA_1$ . Using the standard estimate  $|f_I(z)| \simeq \log \frac{2}{|I|}$  for  $z \in S(I)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log \frac{2}{|I|}}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)| dm(z) &\leq \frac{C}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |f_I(z)||g'(z)| dm(z) \\ &= \frac{C}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |T_g(f_I)'(z)| dm(z) \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired conclusion.  $\square$

To continue the study of compactness and weak compactness of Volterra operators on  $BMOA_p$  we will need some results of K.M. Perfekt from [22],[23]. In these articles there is a general construction of pairs of spaces  $(M_0, M)$  obtained by little oh and big oh conditions respectively. More precisely let  $X, Y$  be two Banach spaces, where  $X$  is reflexive and separable, and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a family of bounded linear operators  $L : X \rightarrow Y$ , equipped with a  $\sigma$ -compact, locally compact Hausdorff topology  $\tau$ , such that for every  $x \in X$  the map  $T_x : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow Y$ ,  $T_x(L) = L(x)$  is continuous. A pair of spaces is then defined

$$M = M(X, \mathcal{L}) = \{x \in X : \sup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \|L(x)\|_Y < \infty\},$$

$$M_0 = M_0(X, \mathcal{L}) = \{x \in M : \limsup_{L \rightarrow \infty} \|L(x)\|_Y = 0\}$$

where  $L \rightarrow \infty$  in the definition of  $M_0$  means that  $L$  eventually escapes all compact subsets of the topological space  $(\mathcal{L}, \tau)$ . Under appropriate assumptions on  $\mathcal{L}$  the quantity  $\|x\|_M = \sup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \|L(x)\|_Y$  is a norm on  $M$  and, by

replacing  $X$  by the closure of  $M$  in  $X$  and repeating the construction, it may be assumed that  $M$  is dense in  $X$ . It is clear that  $M_0$  is a closed subspace of  $M$ . This construction puts in a very general frame classical pairs such as the mean oscillation pair  $(VMOA, BMOA)$ , the Bloch pair  $(\mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B})$ , other Möbius invariant pairs, Lipschitz-Hölder spaces, etc. Using functional analysis methods it is then proved under mild additional assumptions that the duality  $M_0^{**} = M$  holds in this general context and also the following theorem on weak compactness.

**Theorem A.** ([23, Theorem 3.2]). *Let  $Z$  a Banach space. A bounded linear operator  $T : M_0(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow Z$  is weakly compact if and only if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|T(x)\|_Z \leq C\|x\|_X + \varepsilon\|x\|_M, \quad \text{for each } x \in M_0(X, \mathcal{L})$$

In addition as a corollary, the same above characterization holds for weak compactness of operators  $T : M(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow Z$  provided that they are *weak\** – *weak* continuous with respect to the duality  $M_0^{**} = M$ .

To obtain the pair of spaces  $(VMOA_p, BMOA_p)$ , we apply the above construction with  $X = Y = D_{p-1}^p$ ,  $1 < p < 2$ , and

$$\mathcal{L} = \{L_a : a \in \mathbb{D}\}, \quad L_a(f) = f \circ \varphi_a - f(a),$$

with  $\varphi_a$  the Möbius automorphisms of  $\mathbb{D}$ . We can then apply the above theorem as in [23, Example 4] to obtain information about the Volterra operators  $T_g$  acting on the spaces, thus generalizing results from [31], [9], [16], concerning compactness and weak compactness of  $T_g$  on the pair  $(VMOA, BMOA)$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $1 < p < 2$  and  $g$  analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$  such that the Volterra operator  $T_g : BMOA_p \rightarrow BMOA_p$  is bounded. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T_g : VMOA_p \rightarrow VMOA_p$  is weakly compact
- (ii)  $T_g(BMOA_p) \subseteq VMOA_p$
- (iii)  $T_g : BMOA_p \rightarrow BMOA_p$  is weakly compact
- (iv) The function  $g$  satisfies

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^p}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Starting with the duality  $(VMOA_p)^{**} = BMOA_p$  it is easy to verify that  $(T_g|_{VMOA_p})^{**} = T_g$ . Hence, the equivalence of (i), (ii) and (iii) is immediate due to Gantmacher's Theorem [2, Theorem 5.23]. We will prove the equivalence between (iii), (iv).

Suppose (iii) holds. Consider a sequence of arcs  $\{I_n\}$  such that  $|I_n| \rightarrow 0$ , and let  $w_n = (1 - |I_n|)\zeta_n$  where  $\zeta_n \in \partial\mathbb{D}$  is the center of the arc  $I_n$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ . Consider the functions

$$f_n(z) = \log \frac{1}{1 - \bar{w}_n z}, \quad f_0(z) = \log \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\zeta} z}, \quad h_n(z) = \log \frac{1 - \bar{\zeta} z}{1 - \bar{w}_n z},$$

and notice that  $\|h_n\|_{BMOA_p} \leq C \|\log \frac{1}{1-z}\|_{BMOA_p}$ , and also that

$$(5.10) \quad \lim_{|I_n| \rightarrow 0} \|h_n\|_{D_{p-1}^p} = 0.$$

For  $z \in S(I_n)$  we have that  $|f_n(z)| \simeq \log \frac{2}{|I_n|}$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I_n|}\right)^p}{|I_n|} \int_{S(I_n)} |g'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \\ & \leq C \frac{1}{|I_n|} \int_{S(I_n)} |f_n(z)|^p |g'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \\ & \leq C \frac{1}{|I_n|} \int_{S(I_n)} |f_0(z)|^p |g'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \\ & \quad + C \frac{1}{|I_n|} \int_{S(I_n)} |f_n(z) - f_0(z)|^p |g'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) \\ & \leq C \frac{1}{|I_n|} \int_{S(I_n)} |(T_g(f_0)(z))'|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) + C \|T_g(h_n)\|_{BMOA_p}^p \\ & = A_n + B_n \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_0 \in BMOA_p$  from the equivalence of (ii) and (iii) we have that  $T_g(f_0) \in VMOA_p$  thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = 0$ . In addition by the hypothesis and the theorem mentioned above [23, Theorem 3.2], for  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_g(h_n)\|_{BMOA_p} & \leq C \|h_n\|_{D_{p-1}^p} + \varepsilon \|h_n\|_{BMOA_p} \\ & \leq C \|h_n\|_{D_{p-1}^p} + \varepsilon C' \|\log \frac{1}{1-z}\|_{BMOA_p}. \end{aligned}$$

In combination with (5.10) it follows then that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n = 0$  and the desired condition (iv) follows.

Conversely if (iv) holds then from [19, Theorem 5.2(ii)]  $T_g$  is compact on  $BMOA_p$  and (iii) follows.  $\square$

We collect in a corollary the information about Volterra operators on  $BMOA_p$ .

**Corollary 5.1.** *Let  $1 < p < 2$  and let  $g$  be analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$ , such that the operator  $T_g : BMOA_p \rightarrow BMOA_p$  is bounded. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T_g : BMOA \rightarrow BMOA_p$  is compact
- (ii)  $T_g : BMOA_p \rightarrow BMOA_p$  is compact
- (iii)  $T_g : BMOA_p \rightarrow BMOA_p$  is weakly compact
- (iv)  $T_g : VMOA_p \rightarrow VMOA_p$  is weakly compact
- (v)  $T_g(BMOA_p) \subseteq VMOA_p$
- (vi)  $T_g(BMOA) \subseteq VMOA_p$

(vii) The function  $g$  satisfies

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^p}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) = 0.$$

**5.1. An application to composition semigroups.** We will apply the above results on  $T_g$ , with a specific choice of the symbol  $g$ , to characterize the maximal subspace of strong continuity  $[\phi_t, BMOA_p]$  when the inducing semigroup of functions  $\{\phi_t\}$  has its Denjoy-Wolff point inside the disc, thus extending results in [9, Corollary 2].

Given a semigroup  $\{\phi_t\}$  with Denjoy-Wolff point  $b$  and infinitesimal generator  $G$ , define the *associated  $g$ -symbol*  $\gamma(z)$  as follows,

- (1) If  $b \in \mathbb{D}$  let  $\gamma(z) = \int_b^z \frac{\zeta - b}{G(\zeta)} d\zeta$
- (2) If  $b \in \partial\mathbb{D}$  let  $\gamma(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1}{G(\zeta)} d\zeta$ ,

and consider the Volterra operators  $T_\gamma$ . Notice that if  $b \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ , then  $\gamma$  coincides with the Koenigs function  $h$  associated to  $\{\phi_t\}$  [28, page 234]. The function  $h$  has the geometric property that for every  $w \in h(\mathbb{D})$ , the half-line  $\{w+t : t \geq 0\}$  is contained in  $h(\mathbb{D})$ . Thus the range of  $h$  contains an infinite strip, and an immediate consequence is that  $h$  does not belong to the little Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . This implies that  $T_\gamma$  cannot be bounded on  $BMOA_p$ . For if we assume that  $T_\gamma$  is bounded then condition (5.1) holds for  $g = \gamma$ . In particular, since  $(\log \frac{2}{|I|})^p \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|I| \rightarrow 0$  we must have

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |\gamma'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-1} dm(z) = 0,$$

thus  $h = \gamma \in VMOA_p \subset \mathcal{B}_0$  and this is a contradiction.

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $1 < p < 2$  and  $\{\phi_t\}$  a semigroup with Denjoy-Wolff point  $b \in \mathbb{D}$  and associated  $g$ -symbol  $\gamma(z)$ , such that  $T_\gamma$  is bounded on  $BMOA_p$ . Then  $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$  if and only if  $T_\gamma$  is (weakly) compact on  $BMOA_p$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $T_\gamma$  is (weakly) compact. From Corollary (5.1), condition (vii) holds with  $\frac{z-b}{G} = \gamma'$ , and consequently Theorem 4 gives the desired conclusion.

Conversely, suppose that  $[\phi_t, BMOA_p] = VMOA_p$ . Note that  $f'/\gamma' = Gf'/(z-b)$  and that, since  $G(b) = 0$ , we have  $Gf' \in BMOA_p$  if and only if  $Gf'/(z-b) \in BMOA_p$ . By the assumption and from (2.9), we have that

$$VMOA_p = \overline{\{f \in BMOA_p : Gf' \in BMOA_p\}}$$

Now if  $f \in BMOA_p$  is such that  $Gf' \in BMOA_p$ , this is equivalent to  $f'/\gamma' = m \in BMOA_p$  and further to

$$f(z) = \int_0^z m(\zeta)\gamma'(\zeta) d\zeta + c, \quad c \text{ a constant,}$$

for some  $m(z) \in BMOA_p$ . Thus  $f \in BMOA_p \cap (T_\gamma(BMOA_p) \oplus \mathbb{C})$ . But  $T_\gamma$  is bounded on  $BMOA_p$  so this intersection is just the image  $T_\gamma(BMOA_p)$  plus the constants. Since  $VMOA_p$  is closed, it follows that  $T_\gamma(BMOA_p) \subset VMOA_p$  and Corollary 5.1 then says that  $T_\gamma$  is (weakly) compact on  $BMOA_p$ .  $\square$

**5.2. Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank professor A.G. Siskakis for carefully reading this manuscript and for his useful suggestions that helped to improve this article.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Aleman and A. Siskakis, An integral operator on  $H^p$ , *Complex Variables*, 28 (1995), 140-158.
2. C. Aliprantis, O. Burkinshaw, *Positive operators*, Springer, Dordrecht, (2006)
3. A. Anderson, M. Jovovic, W. Smith, *Composition semigroups on BMOA and  $H^\infty$* , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 449(1) (2017), 843-852
4. R. Aulaskari, P. Lappan, *Criteria for an analytic function to be Bloch and a harmonic or meromorphic function to be normal*, *Complex analysis and its Applications*, Pittman Research notes in Mathematics, Series 305, Longman, Harlow (1994), 136-146
5. R. Aulaskari, L. Tovar, *On the function spaces  $B_p$  and  $Q_p$* , *Bull. Hong Kong Math. Soc.*, 1(1997), 203-208
6. R. Aulaskari, J. Xiao, R. Zhao, *On subspaces and subsets of BMOA and UBC*, *Analysis* 15(1995), 101-121
7. E. Berkson, H. Porta, *Semigroups of analytic functions and composition operators*, *Michigan Math. J.*, 25(1978), 101-115
8. O. Blasco, M. Contreras, S. Díaz-Madrigal, J. Martinez, A. Siskakis, *Semigroups of composition operators in BMOA and the extension of a theorem of Sarason*, *Integr. equ. oper. theory*, 61(2008), 45-62
9. O. Blasco, M. Contreras, S. Díaz-Madrigal, J. Martinez, M. Papadimitrakis, A. Siskakis, *Semigroups of composition operators and integral operators in spaces of analytic functions*, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 38(2013), 1-23
10. P. Bourdon, J. Cima, A. Matheson, *Compact composition operators on BMOA*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 351(1999), 2183-2196
11. M. D. Contreras, S. Díaz-Madrigal, D. Vukotić, *Compact and weakly compact composition operators from the Bloch space into Möbius invariant spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Volume 415, (2)(2014), 713-735
12. N. Danikas, C. Mouratides, *Blaschke products in  $Q_p$  spaces*, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* 43 (2000), 199-209.
13. D. Girela, *Analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation*, *Complex function spaces*, 61-170, Univ. Joensuu Dept. Math. Rep. Ser., 4(2001)
14. D. Girela and J. A. Peláez, *Carleson measures, multipliers and integration operators for spaces of Dirichlet type* *J. Funct. Anal.* 241 (2006), 334-358.
15. D. Girela, J.A. Peláez and D. Vukotic, *Integrability of the derivative of a Blaschke product*, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (2) 50 (2007), 673-687.
16. J. Laitila, S. Miihkinen and P. Nieminen, *Essential norms and weak compactness of integration operators* *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 97 (2011), 39-48.
17. M. Lindström and N. Palmberg, *Duality of a large family of analytic function spaces*, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 32 (2007), 251-267.
18. D. Luecking, *Representation and Duality in Weighted Spaces of Analytic Functions*, *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 34(2) (1985), 319-336
19. J. Pau, R. Zhao *Carleson Measures, Riemann Stieltjes and Multiplication operators on a general family of function spaces*, *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 78(2014), 483-514
20. F. Perez-Gonzalez, J. Rättyä, *Inner functions in the Möbius invariant Besov-type spaces*, *Proc. Edin. Math. Soc.*, 52(2009), 751-770
21. F. Perez-Gonzalez, J. Rättyä, *Univalent functions in Hardy, Bergman and related spaces*, *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, 105(1)(2008), 125-148
22. K. M. Perfekt, *Duality and Distance Formulas in Spaces Defined by Means of Oscillation*, *Arkiv för Matematik* 51 (2013), 345-361.
23. K. M. Perfekt, *Weak Compactness of Operators Acting on  $o$ - $O$  Type Spaces*, *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* 47 (2015), 677-685



24. Ch. Pommerenke, *Boundary Behaviour of Conformal Maps*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992
25. C. Pommerenke, Schlichte funktionen und analytische funktionen von beschränkter mittlerer oszillation, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 52 (1977), 591-602
26. J. Rättyä, *On some complex function spaces and classes*, *Annales Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss.*, 124(2001), 1-73
27. D. Sarason, *Functions of vanishing mean oscillation*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 207(1975), 391-405
28. A. Siskakis, *Semigroups of composition operators on spaces of analytic functions, a review*, *Contemp. Math.* 213(1998), 229-252
29. A. Siskakis, *Semigroups of composition operators in Bergman spaces*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 35(1987), 397-406
30. A. Siskakis, *Semigroups of composition operators on the Dirichlet space*, *Results Math.*, 30(1996), 165-173.
31. A.Siskakis, R. Zhao *Voltera type operators on spaces of analytic functions*, *Contemp. Math.*, 232(1999), 299-312
32. M. Tjani, *Compact composition operators on Besov spaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 355 (2003), 4683-4698.
33. S. Vinogradov, *Multiplication and division in the space of analytic functions with area integrable derivative, and in some related spaces*, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 87 (5) (1997), 3806-3827
34. K. Wirths, J. Xiao, *Recognizing  $Q_{p,0}$  functions per Dirichlet space structure*, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.* 8(2001), 47-59
35. Z. Wu, *Carleson measures and multipliers of Dirichlet spaces*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 169(1999), 148-163
36. J. Xiao, *Holomorphic  $Q$  classes*, Springer - Verlag, Lecture notes in Mathematics, (2001)
37. J. Xiao, *Geometric  $Q_p$  functions*, Birkhauser Verlag, (2006)
38. C. Yuan, C. Tong, *On analytic Campanato and  $F(p,q,s)$  spaces*, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, 12 (2018), 1845-1875
39. R. Zhao, *On a general family of function spaces* , *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss.*, 105(1996), 1-56

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, ARISTOTLE UNIVERSITY OF THESSALONIKI, 54124, GREECE  
*Email address:* V. Daskalogiannis: [vdaskalo@math.auth.gr](mailto:vdaskalo@math.auth.gr)

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, ARISTOTLE UNIVERSITY OF THESSALONIKI, 54124, GREECE  
*Email address:* P. Galanopoulos: [petros gala@math.auth.gr](mailto:petros gala@math.auth.gr)