

Bifurcation from Infinity of the Schrödinger Equation via Invariant Manifolds

Chunqiu Li ^{*}, Jintao Wang [†]

*Department of Mathematics, Wenzhou University,
Wenzhou, Zhejiang Province, 325035, P. R. China*

March 9, 2021

Abstract

This paper is concerned with the bifurcation from infinity of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = \lambda u + f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We treat this problem in the framework of dynamical systems by considering the corresponding parabolic equation on unbounded domains. Firstly, we establish a global invariant manifold for the parabolic equation on \mathbb{R}^N . Then, we restrict the parabolic equation to this invariant manifold, which generates a system of finite dimension. Finally, we use the Conley index theory and the shape theory of attractors to establish some new results on bifurcations from infinity and multiplicity of solutions of the Schrödinger equation under an appropriate Landesman-Lazer type condition.

Keywords: Conley index; Bifurcation from infinity; Invariant manifold; Schrödinger equation; Parabolic equation

MSC2010: 37B30, 35B32, 35K55, 58J55

1 Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the following nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = \lambda u + f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.1)$$

^{*}E-mail: lichunqiu@wzu.edu.cn

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail: wangjt@wzu.edu.cn

where the potential $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $N \geq 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is the bifurcation parameter, and f is bounded and satisfies the following Landesman-Lazer type condition

$$\liminf_{s \rightarrow +\infty} f(x, s) \geq \bar{f} > 0, \quad \limsup_{s \rightarrow -\infty} f(x, s) \leq -\underline{f} < 0 \quad (1.2)$$

uniformly with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ (where \bar{f} and \underline{f} are independent of x). We are basically interested in the bifurcation from infinity and multiplicity of solutions of the equation.

The bifurcation and multiplicity of elliptic equations near resonance have aroused much interest in the past decades. This topic can be traced back to the earlier work of Mawhin and Schmitt [19], where the authors studied the problem of elliptic equations of the following form

$$-\Delta u = \lambda u + f(x, u) \quad (1.3)$$

on a bounded domain, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and f satisfies an appropriate Landesman-Lazer type condition. It was shown that if μ_k is an eigenvalue of odd multiplicity, then (1.3) has at least two distinct solutions for λ on one side of μ_k but close to μ_k , and at least one solution for λ on the other side. Later Schmitt and Wang [30] extended this result to the case when λ crosses each eigenvalue μ_k on bifurcations from infinity for operator equations to cover the case of even multiplicity. Recently, Li, Li and Zhang [15] presented a dynamical argument for Schmitt and Wang's result on (1.3). The interested reader is referred to [1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 17, 20, 22, 23, 29, 32], etc., on bifurcations from infinity and multiplicity for elliptic equations under various boundary conditions.

The bifurcation from infinity of the equation (1.1) on unbounded domains is also widely investigated; see [3, 10, 11, 14, 27, 28] and the references therein. However, comparing to the case of bounded domains, the problem on unbounded domains is more difficult. The main reason is that the spectrum of the operator $A := -\Delta + V(x)$ is not discrete in general, and may be quite complicated, which depends on the potential. Under some additional conditions on the potential V and f , Kryszewski and Szulkin [14], using the degree theory and a variational approach, considered the asymptotic linear Schrödinger equation (1.1). They showed that if λ_0 is an isolated eigenvalue for the linearization at infinity, then there exists a sequence (u_n, λ_n) of solutions of (1.1) such that

$$\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_0, \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which extends the results of Stuart [27]. Later, by using degree theory and Conley index theory, wiszewski and Kryszewski [3] studied the bifurcation from infinity of elliptic equations on \mathbb{R}^N , and proved that if the bifurcation parameter is an eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian, then the bifurcation from infinity also occurs under some suitable conditions. Motivated by these works mentioned above, in this paper we further study the bifurcation from infinity

and multiplicity of the Schrödinger equation (1.1) near an isolated eigenvalue λ_* of the operator $A = \Delta + V(x)$. We will show that under some appropriate conditions on f and the potential V , there exists $\theta > 0$ such that for each $\lambda \in [\lambda_* - \theta, \lambda_*)$, (1.1) has at least three distinct solutions e_λ^1, e_λ^2 and e_λ^3 with

$$\|e_\lambda^i\| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \lambda_*^-, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (1.4)$$

whereas e_λ^3 remains bounded on $[\lambda_* - \theta, \lambda_*)$. The “dual” version of our results also holds true if, instead of (1.2), we assume that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} f(x, s) \leq -\bar{f} < 0, \quad \liminf_{s \rightarrow -\infty} f(x, s) \geq \underline{f} > 0 \quad (1.5)$$

uniformly with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Our method in this work is arranged as follows. Instead of transforming (1.1) into an operator equation and using the topological degree or variational methods, we regard the problem as the stationary one of the following parabolic equation

$$u_t - \Delta u + V(x)u = \lambda u + f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.6)$$

Specifically, let Φ_λ be the semiflow generated by (1.6) and λ_* be an isolated eigenvalue of the operator $A = -\Delta + V(x)$. Assume that the Lipschitz constant L_f of f satisfies

$$F_\mu L_f < 1$$

for $\mu \in (\frac{1}{4}\beta, \frac{3}{4}\beta)$ fixed, where F_μ and $\beta > 0$ are given by (4.4) and (3.2), respectively. We first present an existence result on a global invariant manifold \mathcal{M} of the equation (1.6) for λ near λ_* . Then the equation (1.6) can be restricted to this invariant manifold \mathcal{M} , and therefore it is reduced to a system of finite dimension. This allows us to apply the Conley index theory to the reduced system. By virtue of the shape theory of attractors [13], it can be shown that there exists $\theta > 0$ such that for each $\lambda \in [\lambda_* - \theta, \lambda_*)$, the system (1.6) can bifurcate from infinity a compact invariant set $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^\infty$, which takes the shape of a sphere \mathbb{S}^{m-1} , where m denotes the algebraic multiplicity of λ_* . Based on this fact, one can further verify that $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^\infty$ contains at least two distinct equilibria e_λ^1 and e_λ^2 of Φ_λ , which are actually solutions of (1.1) satisfying (1.4).

Besides, using the Landesman-Lazer type condition (1.2), we show a fundamental result on f (see Lemma 4.1), which plays a crucial role in establishing our main results for (1.1). Then we prove that (1.1) has a solution e_λ^3 for λ belonging to a two-sided neighborhood Λ of λ_* , which remains bounded on Λ . We remark that this lemma extends the result established in [15, 16] (see [15, Lemma 5.2] or [16, Lemma 6.7]) to the case of unbounded domains, and can be seen as a nontrivial extension. This is because it is very hard to verify this result by directly using the techniques given in [15, 16]. To avoid the difficulty caused by unbounded domains, we establish this lemma on some

finite dimensional subspace of the phase space, which is inspired by the finite-dimension property of the invariant manifold.

It is worth mentioning that we treat the problem (1.1) in the framework of dynamical systems, and deal with it via the approach of a pure dynamical nature, which is different from those in the literature. Moreover, the method that we restrict the system (1.6) to the global invariant manifold can help us avoid the verification of the compactness for the semiflow Φ_λ . In general, it is not easy to verify that the semiflow is asymptotically compact for a parabolic equation on unbounded domains.

This work is organized as follows. Section 2 is concerned with some preliminaries. In Section 3 we first establish a global invariant manifold of the equation (1.6) on \mathbb{R}^N , and then we reduce (1.6) to this invariant manifold. In Section 4, we present a detailed discussion on dynamical behaviors of the reduced system, and establish our main results on bifurcations from infinity and multiplicity of solutions of the Schrödinger equation (1.1).

2 Preliminaries

In this section we first make some preliminaries.

2.1 Local semiflows

Let X be a complete metric space.

A *local semiflow* Φ on X is a continuous mapping from an open set $\mathcal{D}(\Phi) \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \times X$ to X that satisfies the following properties

- (1) For every $x \in X$, there is $0 < T_x \leq \infty$, such that

$$(t, x) \in \mathcal{D}(\Phi) \iff t \in [0, T_x);$$

- (2) $\Phi(0, \cdot) = \text{id}_X$, and

$$\Phi(t + s, x) = \Phi(t, \Phi(s, x))$$

for any $x \in X$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $t + s \leq T_x$.

Here, the number T_x is called the *escape time* of $\Phi(t, x)$. For simplicity, we rewrite $\Phi(t, x)$ as $\Phi(t)x$.

Given an interval $J \subset \mathbb{R}$. A continuous mapping $\gamma : J \rightarrow X$ is called a *trajectory* (or *solution*) of Φ on J if

$$\gamma(t) = \Phi(t - s)\gamma(s), \quad \forall t, s \in J, t \geq s.$$

We call a trajectory γ on \mathbb{R} a *full trajectory*. The orbit of a full trajectory γ is the set

$$\text{orb}(\gamma) = \{\gamma(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

which is simply called a *full orbit*.

The ω -*limit set* $\omega(\gamma)$ and ω^* -*limit set* $\omega^*(\gamma)$ of a full trajectory γ are defined, respectively, by

$$\omega(\gamma) = \{y \in X : \text{there exists } t_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ such that } \gamma(t_n) \rightarrow y\},$$

$$\omega^*(\gamma) = \{y \in X : \text{there exists } t_n \rightarrow -\infty \text{ such that } \gamma(t_n) \rightarrow y\}.$$

Let N be a subset of X . We say that Φ *does not explode* in N , if $\Phi([0, T_x])x \subset N$ implies that $T_x = \infty$.

Definition 2.1. [24] *A set $N \subset X$ is said to be admissible, if for every sequences $x_n \in N$ and $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ satisfying $\Phi([0, t_n])x_n \subset N$ for all n , then the sequence $\Phi(t_n)x_n$ has a convergent subsequence.*

A set N is said to be strongly admissible, if it is admissible and moreover, Φ does not explode in N .

Definition 2.2. *Φ is said to be asymptotically compact on X , if every bounded set $B \subset X$ is strongly admissible.*

A set $S \subset X$ is said to be *positively invariant* (resp., *invariant*), if $\Phi(t)S \subset S$ (resp., $\Phi(t)S = S$) for any $t \geq 0$.

A compact invariant set $\mathcal{A} \subset X$ is called an *attractor* of Φ , if it attracts a neighborhood U of itself, that is,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d_H(\Phi(t)U, \mathcal{A}) = 0.$$

2.2 Sectorial operators and spectral sets

Let X be a Banach space. A closed densely defined linear operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is called a *sectorial operator*, if there are real numbers $\phi \in (0, \pi/2)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $M \geq 1$ such that the sector

$$S_{a,\phi} = \{\lambda : \phi \leq |\arg(\lambda - a)| \leq \pi, \quad \lambda \neq a\}$$

is contained in the resolvent set of A , and

$$\|(\lambda I - A)^{-1}\| \leq M/|\lambda - a|$$

for each $\lambda \in S_{a,\phi}$, where I denotes the identity on X .

Let A be a sectorial operator on X . By $\sigma(A)$ we denote the spectrum of A . If $\min_{z \in \sigma(A)} \operatorname{Re} z > 0$, then one can define the fractional powers of A as follows: for each $\alpha > 0$,

$$A^{-\alpha} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} e^{-At} dt,$$

where $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt$ is the Gamma function. Let A^α be the inverse of $A^{-\alpha}$ with $D(A^\alpha) = R(A^{-\alpha})$.

Let $\alpha \geq 0$. Denote $X^\alpha = D(A_1^\alpha)$ and equip X^α with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ defined by

$$\|u\|_\alpha = \|A_1^\alpha u\|, \quad u \in X^\alpha,$$

where $A_1 = A + aI$, and a is a real number such that $\min_{z \in \sigma(A_1)} \operatorname{Re} z > 0$. It is easy to see that X^α is a Banach space, which is called the *fractional power* of X . For more notions and results on sectorial operators, the interested reader is referred to Henry [12, Chapter I] for details.

Now let us briefly recall some notions on spectral sets; see [14] and [26], etc., for details.

Let X, Y be (real) Banach spaces. A closed densely defined linear operator $L : D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *Fredholm operator* if the range $R(L)$ is closed and $\dim N(L) < \infty$, $\operatorname{codim} R(L) < \infty$, where $N(L)$ is the kernel of L .

Definition 2.3. *Let E be a real Hilbert space and $L : D(L) \subset E \rightarrow E$ be a self-adjoint linear operator. The essential spectrum $\sigma_e(L)$ of L is defined by the set*

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : L - \lambda I \text{ is not a Fredholm operator}\},$$

Remark 2.1. *One may immediately deduce from the definition that $\sigma_e(L) \subset \sigma(L)$ and that $\sigma(L) \setminus \sigma_e(L)$ consists of isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity; see also [14].*

2.3 Conley index

For the readers' convenience, we finally recall the definition of Conley index; see [7, 18] and [24], etc., for details.

Let Φ be a local semiflow on X . From now on we will always assume Φ is asymptotically compact.

A compact invariant set S is said to be *isolated*, if there exists a neighborhood N of S such that S is the maximal compact invariant set of Φ in \overline{N} . Correspondingly, the set N is called an *isolating neighborhood* of S .

Let B be a bounded closed subset of X . $x \in \partial B$ is called a *strict ingress* (resp., *strict egress*, *bounce-off*) point of B , if for each trajectory $\gamma : [-\tau, s] \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma(0) = x$, where $\tau \geq 0$, $s > 0$, the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) there exists $0 < \varepsilon < s$ such that

$$\gamma(t) \in \operatorname{int} B \quad (\text{resp., } \gamma(t) \notin B, \quad \text{resp., } \gamma(t) \notin B), \quad \forall t \in (0, \varepsilon);$$

(2) if $\tau > 0$, then there is a number $\delta \in (0, \tau)$ such that

$$\gamma(t) \notin B \quad (\text{resp., } \gamma(t) \in \operatorname{int} B, \quad \text{resp., } \gamma(t) \notin B), \quad \forall t \in (-\delta, 0).$$

By B^i (resp., B^e , B^b), we denote the set of all strict ingress (resp., strict egress, bounce-off) points of the closed set B , and write $B^- = B^e \cup B^b$.

A set $B \subset X$ is called an *isolating block* [24] if B^- is closed and $\partial B = B^i \cup B^-$.

Let N, E be two closed subsets of X . E is called an *exit set* of N , if the following properties hold:

- (1) E is *N -positively invariant*, that is, for each $x \in E$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$\Phi([0, t]x) \subset N \implies \Phi([0, t]x) \subset E;$$

- (2) for every $x \in N$, if $\Phi(t_1)x \notin N$ for some $t_1 > 0$, then there is $t_0 \in [0, t_1]$ such that $\Phi(t_0)x \in E$.

Let S be a compact isolated invariant set of Φ . A pair of bounded closed subsets (N, E) is said to be an *index pair* of S , if (1) $N \setminus E$ is an isolating neighborhood of S ; (2) E is an exit set of N .

By [24], one can deduce that if B is a bounded isolating block, then (B, B^-) is an index pair of the maximal compact invariant set S in B .

Definition 2.4. Let (N, E) be an index pair of S . The *homotopy Conley index* of S is defined to be the homotopy type $[(N/E, [E])]$ of the pointed space $(N/E, [E])$, denoted by $h(\Phi, S)$.

3 Invariant manifolds of nonlinear evolution equations

In this section, we establish an existence result on global invariant manifolds of the following nonlinear evolution equation

$$u_t + Au = \lambda u + f(u) \tag{3.1}$$

on a Banach space X , where A is a sectorial operator on X , $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and f is a globally Lipschitz mapping from X^α to X for some $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

Let $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ denote the norms of X and X^α , respectively.

3.1 Preliminaries

Denote $\sigma(A)$ the spectrum of A and

$$\operatorname{Re} \sigma(A) := \{\operatorname{Re} z : z \in \sigma(A)\}.$$

Suppose λ_0 is an isolated eigenvalue of A with finite multiplicity, and that the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ has a decomposition $\sigma(A) = \sigma^1 \cup \sigma^2 \cup \sigma^3$ with

$$\sigma^1 = \sigma(A) \cap \{\operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq \beta_1\}, \quad \sigma^2 = \{\lambda_0\}, \quad \sigma^3 = \sigma(A) \cap \{\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq \beta_2\},$$

where β_1, β_2 are real numbers. It can be easily seen that

$$\operatorname{Re} \sigma(A) \cap (\beta_1, \beta_2) = \{\operatorname{Re} \lambda_0\}.$$

Correspondingly, the space X can be decomposed as

$$X = X^1 \oplus X^2 \oplus X^3,$$

and the space X^2 is finite dimensional. In addition, we assume the space X^1 is also finite dimensional. Denote

$$P_i : X \rightarrow X^i, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

the projection from X to X_i .

Pick a positive number β with

$$\beta < \min\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda_0 - \beta_1, \beta_2 - \operatorname{Re} \lambda_0\}, \quad (3.2)$$

and write $J = (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_0 - \frac{1}{4}\beta, \operatorname{Re} \lambda_0 + \frac{1}{4}\beta)$. Let $A_\lambda = A - \lambda I, \lambda \in J$ and denote $A^i = A_\lambda|_{X^i}$. Then by the basic knowledge on sectorial operators (see e.g., [12, Theorems 1.5.3, 1.5.4]), one deduces that there exists $M > 0$ (depending on A) such that for each $\alpha \in [0, 1)$,

$$\|\Lambda^\alpha e^{-A^1 t}\| \leq M e^{\frac{3}{4}\beta t}, \quad \|e^{-A^1 t}\| \leq M e^{\frac{3}{4}\beta t}, \quad t \leq 0, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\|\Lambda^\alpha e^{-A^2 t}\| \leq M e^{\frac{1}{4}\beta|t|}, \quad \|e^{-A^2 t}\| \leq M e^{\frac{1}{4}\beta|t|}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\|\Lambda^\alpha e^{-A^3 t} P_3 \Lambda^{-\alpha}\| \leq M e^{-\frac{3}{4}\beta t}, \quad \|\Lambda^\alpha e^{-A^3 t}\| \leq M t^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{3}{4}\beta t}, \quad t > 0, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\Lambda = A + aI$, and a is a positive number such that $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(\Lambda) > 0$. The first estimates in (3.3) and (3.4) hold true as the spaces X^1 and X^2 are finite dimensional.

For a given $\mu \geq 0$, we define a space by

$$\mathcal{X}_\mu = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; X^\alpha) : \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} e^{-\mu|t|} \|u(t)\|_\alpha < \infty \right\}, \quad (3.6)$$

which is equipped with the following norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}_\mu} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} e^{-\mu|t|} \|u(t)\|_\alpha < \infty.$$

Then it can be easily seen that \mathcal{X}_μ is a Banach space.

Now we rewrite the equation (3.1) as

$$u_t + A_\lambda u = f(u). \quad (3.7)$$

It is well-known that the Cauchy problem of (3.7) is well-posed in X^α ; see e.g., [12, Theorem 3.3.3]. Specifically, for each $u_0 \in X^\alpha$, there exist $T > 0$ and a (unique) continuous function $u : [0, T) \rightarrow X^\alpha$ with $u(0) = u_0$ such that the equation (3.7) is satisfied on $(0, T)$.

Denote Φ_λ the semiflow generated by the equation (3.7).

Let us start with a fundamental result on the solution of (3.7).

Lemma 3.1. Let $\mu \in (\frac{1}{4}\beta, \frac{3}{4}\beta)$. Assume $u \in \mathcal{X}_\mu$. Then u is a full solution of (3.7) if and only if u satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = & e^{-A^2 t} P_2 u(0) + \int_0^t e^{-A^2(t-s)} P_2 f(u(s)) ds + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-A^3(t-s)} P_3 f(u(s)) ds \\ & - \int_t^\infty e^{-A^1(t-s)} P_1 f(u(s)) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. Assume $u \in \mathcal{X}_\mu$. Let u be a full solution of (3.7). Then

$$u(t) = u^1(t) + u^2(t) + u^3(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $u^i(t) = P_i u(t)$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. It is easy to see that $u^i(t)$ can be expressed, respectively, as

$$u^2(t) = e^{-A^2 t} u^2(0) + \int_0^t e^{-A^2(t-s)} P_2 f(u(s)) ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$u^1(t) = e^{-A^1(t-t_0)} u^1(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{-A^1(t-s)} P_1 f(u(s)) ds, \quad t \leq t_0, \quad (3.11)$$

$$u^3(t) = e^{-A^3(t-t_0)} u^3(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{-A^3(t-s)} P_3 f(u(s)) ds, \quad t \geq t_0. \quad (3.12)$$

By (3.3) (note that $t \leq t_0$), one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-A^1(t-t_0)} u^1(t_0)\|_\alpha & \leq M e^{\frac{3}{4}\beta(t-t_0)} \|u(t_0)\|_\alpha \\ & = M e^{\frac{3}{4}\beta t} e^{-(\frac{3}{4}\beta - \mu)t_0} (e^{-\mu t_0} \|u(t_0)\|_\alpha) \\ & \leq M e^{\frac{3}{4}\beta t} e^{-(\frac{3}{4}\beta - \mu)t_0} \|u\|_{\mathcal{X}_\mu} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t_0 \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we infer from (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-A^3(t-t_0)} u^3(t_0)\|_\alpha & \leq M e^{-\frac{3}{4}\beta(t-t_0)} \|u(t_0)\|_\alpha \\ & = M e^{-\frac{3}{4}\beta t} e^{\frac{3}{4}\beta t_0} (e^{\mu t_0} \|u(t_0)\|_\alpha) \\ & \leq M e^{-\frac{3}{4}\beta t} e^{\frac{3}{4}\beta t_0} \|u\|_{\mathcal{X}_\mu} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t_0 \rightarrow -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $t_0 \rightarrow \infty$ and $t_0 \rightarrow -\infty$ in (3.11), (3.12), respectively, we conclude from (3.9)-(3.12) that the integral equation (3.8) holds.

On the other hand, if (3.8) holds, then one can easily check that $u(t)$ is a full solution of (3.7). \square

3.2 Existence of global invariant manifolds

In this subsection, we state and prove the existence result of global invariant manifolds for the equation (3.7). For this purpose, we consider the space \mathcal{X}_μ for $\mu \in (\frac{1}{4}\beta, \frac{3}{4}\beta)$ being fixed, which is defined by (3.6).

Henceforth we always assume that the following condition on f holds true.

(A) The Lipschitz constant L_f of f satisfies

$$F_\mu L_f < 1,$$

where

$$F_\mu = M \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-(\mu - \frac{1}{4}\beta)s} ds + \int_0^\infty (1 + s^{-\alpha}) e^{-(\frac{3}{4}\beta - \mu)s} ds \right].$$

Set

$$X_i^\alpha = X^i \cap X^\alpha, \quad X_{i,j}^\alpha = X_i^\alpha \oplus X_j^\alpha,$$

where $i, j = 1, 2, 3, i \neq j$. Then we have the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let the assumption (A) hold and $\mu \in (\frac{1}{4}\beta, \frac{3}{4}\beta)$ be fixed. Then there exists a Lipschitz continuous mapping ξ_λ from X_2^α to X_{13}^α for $\lambda \in J$, such that for each $\lambda \in J$, the system (3.7) has a global invariant manifold \mathcal{M}_λ , which is represented as*

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda = \{w + \xi_\lambda(w) : w \in X_2^\alpha\}.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in J$ and $w \in X_2^\alpha$. We use the integral equation (3.8) to define a mapping $G := G_{\lambda,w}$ on \mathcal{X}_μ by

$$\begin{aligned} G(u)(t) = & e^{-A^2 t} w + \int_0^t e^{-A^2(t-s)} P_2 f(u(s)) ds + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-A^3(t-s)} P_3 f(u(s)) ds \\ & - \int_t^\infty e^{-A^1(t-s)} P_1 f(u(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let us first verify that $G : \mathcal{X}_\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_\mu$. Assume $u \in \mathcal{X}_\mu$. Since $f(u)$ is globally Lipschitz continuous, there exists $C_f > 0$ such that

$$\|f(u)\| \leq C_f (\|u\|_\alpha + 1).$$

By (3.3)-(3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|G(u)\|_\alpha \leq & M e^{\frac{1}{4}\beta|t|} \|w\|_\alpha + M \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{\frac{1}{4}\beta|t-s|} C_f (\|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\ & + M \int_{-\infty}^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{3}{4}\beta(t-s)} C_f (\|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\ & + M \int_t^\infty e^{\frac{3}{4}\beta(t-s)} C_f (\|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$t_1 := \min\{t, 0\}, \quad t_2 := \max\{t, 0\}.$$

In what follows we consider the second term on the right-hand side of the above inequality. If $t \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\mu|t|} M \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{\frac{1}{4}\beta|t-s|} C_f(\|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\
&= e^{-\mu t} M \int_0^t e^{\frac{1}{4}\beta(t-s)} C_f(\|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\
&= M C_f \int_0^t e^{-(\mu-\frac{1}{4}\beta)(t-s)} e^{-\mu s} (\|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\
&\leq M C_f \int_0^t e^{-(\mu-\frac{1}{4}\beta)(t-s)} (e^{-\mu s} \|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds. \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $t \leq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\mu|t|} M \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{\frac{1}{4}\beta|t-s|} C_f(\|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\
&= e^{\mu t} M \int_t^0 e^{\frac{1}{4}\beta(s-t)} C_f(\|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\
&= M C_f \int_t^0 e^{-(\mu-\frac{1}{4}\beta)(s-t)} e^{\mu s} (\|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\
&\leq M C_f \int_t^0 e^{-(\mu-\frac{1}{4}\beta)(s-t)} (e^{\mu s} \|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds. \tag{3.15}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows from (3.14) and (3.15) that for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\mu|t|} M \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{\frac{1}{4}\beta|t-s|} C_f(\|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\
&\leq M C_f \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-(\mu-\frac{1}{4}\beta)|t-s|} (e^{-\mu|s|} \|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\
&\leq M C_f \int_0^\infty e^{-(\mu-\frac{1}{4}\beta)s} ds \cdot (\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}_\mu} + 1). \tag{3.16}
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$e^{-\mu|t|} = e^{-\mu|t-s+s|} \leq e^{-\mu|s|} e^{\mu|t-s|}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

from which it can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\mu|t|} M \int_{-\infty}^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{3}{4}\beta(t-s)} C_f(\|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\
&\leq M C_f \int_{-\infty}^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{\mu|t-s|} e^{-\frac{3}{4}\beta(t-s)} [e^{-\mu|s|} (\|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1)] ds \\
&\leq M C_f \int_{-\infty}^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-(\frac{3}{4}\beta-\mu)(t-s)} (e^{-\mu|s|} \|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\
&\leq M C_f \int_0^\infty s^{-\alpha} e^{-(\frac{3}{4}\beta-\mu)s} ds \cdot (\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}_\mu} + 1), \tag{3.17}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\mu|t|} M \int_t^\infty e^{\frac{3}{4}\beta(t-s)} C_f(\|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\
& \leq MC_f \int_t^\infty e^{\mu|t-s|} e^{\frac{3}{4}\beta(t-s)} e^{-\mu|s|} (\|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\
& \leq MC_f \int_t^\infty e^{(\frac{3}{4}\beta - \mu)(t-s)} (e^{-\mu|s|} \|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\
& \leq MC_f \int_0^\infty e^{-(\frac{3}{4}\beta - \mu)s} ds \cdot (\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}_\mu} + 1). \tag{3.18}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus one can conclude from (3.13), (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18) that

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\mu|t|} \|G(u)\|_\alpha & \leq M e^{-(\mu - \frac{1}{4}\beta)|t|} \|w\|_\alpha + e^{-\mu|t|} M \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{\frac{1}{4}\beta|t-s|} C_f(\|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\
& \quad + e^{-\mu|t|} M \int_{-\infty}^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{3}{4}\beta(t-s)} C_f(\|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\
& \quad + e^{-\mu|t|} M \int_t^\infty e^{\frac{3}{4}\beta(t-s)} C_f(\|u(s)\|_\alpha + 1) ds \\
& \leq M \|w\|_\alpha + MC_f \int_0^\infty e^{-(\mu - \frac{1}{4}\beta)s} ds \cdot (\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}_\mu} + 1) \\
& \quad + MC_f \int_0^\infty s^{-\alpha} e^{-(\frac{3}{4}\beta - \mu)s} ds \cdot (\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}_\mu} + 1) \\
& \quad + MC_f \int_0^\infty e^{-(\frac{3}{4}\beta - \mu)s} ds \cdot (\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}_\mu} + 1) \\
& \leq M \|w\|_\alpha + F_\mu C_f (\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}_\mu} + 1) < \infty, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

That is $\|Gu\|_{\mathcal{X}_\mu} < \infty$, which implies $Gu \in \mathcal{X}_\mu$.

Next we show that G is a contraction mapping on \mathcal{X}_μ . Indeed, for each $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{X}_\mu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\mu|t|} \|G(u_1) - G(u_2)\|_\alpha & \leq e^{-\mu|t|} \left\| \int_0^t e^{-A^2(t-s)} P_2(f(u_1) - f(u_2)) ds \right\|_\alpha \\
& \quad + e^{-\mu|t|} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-A^3(t-s)} P_3(f(u_1) - f(u_2)) ds \right\|_\alpha \\
& \quad + e^{-\mu|t|} \left\| \int_t^\infty e^{-A^1(t-s)} P_1(f(u_1) - f(u_2)) ds \right\|_\alpha. \tag{3.19}
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the derivation of (3.16), it can be easily shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\mu|t|} \left\| \int_0^t e^{-A^2(t-s)} P_2(f(u_1) - f(u_2)) ds \right\|_\alpha \\
& \leq ML_f \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-(\mu - \frac{1}{4}\beta)|t-s|} (e^{-\mu|s|} \|u_1 - u_2\|_\alpha) ds. \tag{3.20}
\end{aligned}$$

By some computations, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\mu|t|} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-A^3(t-s)} P_3(f(u_1) - f(u_2)) ds \right\|_{\alpha} \\
& \leq ML_f \int_{-\infty}^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-(\frac{3}{4}\beta-\mu)(t-s)} (e^{-\mu|s|} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\alpha}) ds, \quad (3.21)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\mu|t|} \left\| \int_t^{\infty} e^{-A^1(t-s)} P_1(f(u_1) - f(u_2)) ds \right\|_{\alpha} \\
& \leq ML_f \int_t^{\infty} e^{(\frac{3}{4}\beta-\mu)(t-s)} (e^{-\mu|s|} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\alpha}) ds. \quad (3.22)
\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from (3.19)-(3.22) that

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\mu|t|} \|Gu_1 - Gu_2\|_{\alpha} & \leq ML_f \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-(\mu-\frac{1}{4}\beta)|t-s|} (e^{-\mu|s|} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\alpha}) ds \\
& + ML_f \int_{-\infty}^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-(\frac{3}{4}\beta-\mu)(t-s)} (e^{-\mu|s|} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\alpha}) ds \\
& + ML_f \int_t^{\infty} e^{(\frac{3}{4}\beta-\mu)(t-s)} (e^{-\mu|s|} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\alpha}) ds \\
& \leq F_{\mu} L_f \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\mu}}. \quad (3.23)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|Gu_1 - Gu_2\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\mu}} \leq F_{\mu} L_f \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\mu}}.$$

That is, G is a contraction mapping on \mathcal{X}_{μ} .

Now by virtue of the Banach contraction mapping principle, G has a fixed point $u_w := u_{\lambda, w} \in \mathcal{X}_{\mu}$, which is a full solution of (3.7) with $P_2 u_w(0) = w$ and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
u_w(t) & = e^{-A^2 t} w + \int_0^t e^{-A^2(t-s)} P_2 f(u_w(s)) ds + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-A^3(t-s)} P_3 f(u_w(s)) ds \\
& - \int_t^{\infty} e^{-A^1(t-s)} P_1 f(u_w(s)) ds. \quad (3.24)
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\Gamma(w) = u_w(0), \quad w \in X_2^{\alpha}.$$

We claim that $\Gamma(w)$ is Lipschitz continuous with respect to w . Indeed, let $w_1, w_2 \in X_2^{\alpha}$. Then by (3.24) and using the same estimation of (3.19), we

obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\mu|t|} \|u_{w_1}(t) - u_{w_2}(t)\|_\alpha \\
& \leq M e^{-(\mu - \frac{1}{4}\beta)|t|} \|w_1 - w_2\|_\alpha + M L_f \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-(\mu - \frac{1}{4}\beta)|t-s|} (e^{-\mu|s|} \|u_{w_1} - u_{w_2}\|_\alpha) ds \\
& \quad + M L_f \int_{-\infty}^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-(\frac{3}{4}\beta - \mu)(t-s)} (e^{-\mu|s|} \|u_{w_1} - u_{w_2}\|_\alpha) ds \\
& \quad + M L_f \int_t^{\infty} e^{(\frac{3}{4}\beta - \mu)(t-s)} (e^{-\mu|s|} \|u_{w_1} - u_{w_2}\|_\alpha) ds \\
& \leq M \|w_1 - w_2\|_\alpha + F_\mu L_f \|u_{w_1} - u_{w_2}\|_{\mathcal{X}_\mu}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
\end{aligned}$$

from which it can be seen that

$$\|u_{w_1} - u_{w_2}\|_{\mathcal{X}_\mu} \leq M \|w_1 - w_2\|_\alpha + F_\mu L_f \|u_{w_1} - u_{w_2}\|_{\mathcal{X}_\mu}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Gamma(w_1) - \Gamma(w_2)\|_\alpha &= \|u_{w_1}(0) - u_{w_2}(0)\|_\alpha \leq \|u_{w_1}(t) - u_{w_2}(t)\|_{\mathcal{X}_\mu} \\
&\leq M(1 - F_\mu L_f)^{-1} \|w_1 - w_2\|_\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\Gamma(w)$ is Lipschitz continuous in w .

Define a mapping $\xi_\lambda : X_2^\alpha \rightarrow X_{13}^\alpha$ by

$$\xi_\lambda(w) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{A^3 s} P_3 f(u_w(s)) ds - \int_0^\infty e^{A^1 s} P_1 f(u_w(s)) ds, \quad w \in X_2^\alpha. \quad (3.25)$$

Let $t = 0$ in (3.24). Then we have

$$\Gamma(w) = u_w(0) = w + \xi_\lambda(w), \quad w \in X_2^\alpha. \quad (3.26)$$

Clearly, one can deduce from (3.26) that $\xi_\lambda : X_2^\alpha \rightarrow X_{13}^\alpha$ is Lipschitz continuous in w .

Set

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda = \{w + \xi_\lambda(w) : w \in X_2^\alpha\}.$$

Then \mathcal{M}_λ is a global invariant manifold for the semiflow generated by (3.7). \square

Remark 3.1. By (3.25), one can easily deduce that if f is bounded, then there exists $M_f > 0$ depending on f such that

$$\|\xi_\lambda(w)\|_\alpha \leq M M_f \int_0^\infty (1 + s^{-\alpha}) e^{-\frac{3}{4}\beta s} ds, \quad w \in X_2^\alpha,$$

that is, ξ_λ is bounded.

4 Bifurcation from infinity of Schrödinger equations

In this section we study the bifurcation from infinity of the Schrödinger equation (1.1). For this purpose, let us first consider the following parabolic equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u + V(x)u = \lambda u + f(x, u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N; \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $N \geq 1$, and f is bounded and satisfies the Landesman-Lazer type condition (1.2). We will apply the global invariant manifold established in Section 3 to discuss the dynamic bifurcation from infinity of (4.1).

4.1 Mathematical setting

Let $X = L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $Y = H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Denote (\cdot, \cdot) and $|\cdot|$ the usual inner product and norm on X , respectively. The norm $\|\cdot\|$ on Y is defined by

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}, \quad u \in Y.$$

For simplicity, from now on we use $B_X(R)$ and $B_Y(R)$ to denote the balls in X and Y , centered at 0 with radius R , respectively.

In the following we assume that the conditions on the potential V and nonlinearity f hold true.

(A1) There exist positive numbers a_1, a_2 and V_∞ such that

$$0 < a_1 \leq V(x) \leq a_2, \quad 0 < V_\infty := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) < \infty.$$

(A2) The nonlinear term $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$|f(x, s) - f(x, t)| \leq l(x)|s - t|, \quad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $l(x) = l_1(x) + l_2(x)$, $l_1 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and l_2 satisfies

$$l_2 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad p \geq 2 \text{ if } N = 1, \quad p > 2 \text{ if } N = 2, \quad p \geq N \text{ if } N \geq 3.$$

(A3) The function f satisfies the Landesman-Lazer type condition (1.3) in Section 1, and

$$|f(x, s)| \leq g(x), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

for some function $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Denote by A the operator $-\Delta + V(x)$. Then under the assumptions on $V(x)$, the Schrödinger operator $A = -\Delta + V(x) : H^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is selfadjoint and bounded from below. Furthermore, it follows from [2, 21, 35] that the interval $[V_\infty, \infty)$ is the essential spectrum of A and the discrete spectrum of A on the interval $(-\infty, V_\infty)$ appears. Namely, for each $a < V_\infty$, $\sigma(A) \cap (-\infty, a)$ consists of at most finitely many eigenvalues of A . Hence

$$\sigma(A) = \sigma_p \cup \sigma_e,$$

where σ_p consists of isolated eigenvalues with finite multiplicity, and $\sigma_e = [V_\infty, \infty)$ is the essential spectrum.

Now we convert (4.1) into an abstract form on Y

$$u_t + Au = \lambda u + \tilde{f}(u), \quad (4.2)$$

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad (4.3)$$

where $\tilde{f}(u)$ is the Nemitski operator from Y to X defined by

$$\tilde{f}(u)(x) = f(x, u), \quad u \in Y.$$

It is well known that the Nemitski operator \tilde{f} is well defined. Moreover, by **(A2)**, one can easily verify that \tilde{f} is Lipschitz continuous with a chosen Lipschitz constant denoted by L_f . Note that A is a sectorial operator. Then the Cauchy problem of (4.2)-(4.3) is well-posed; see [12, Theorem 3.3.3]. Denote Φ_λ the semiflow generated by (4.2)-(4.3). Then Φ_λ is a global semiflow on Y .

Let $A_{\lambda_*} = A - \lambda_*$, where $\lambda_* \in \sigma_p$. Then the space X can be decomposed into the orthogonal direct sum of its subspaces X^1, X^2, X^3 corresponding to the negative, zero and positive eigenvalues of A_{λ_*} , respectively. Denote P_σ ($\sigma \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) the projection from X to X^σ . It can be easily seen that X^1 and X^2 are finite-dimensional.

Set

$$Y^\sigma = Y \cap X^\sigma, \quad \sigma \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Then from the finite dimensionality of X^1 and X^2 , one concludes that Y^1 and Y^2 coincide with X^1 and X^2 , respectively. Also, it holds that

$$Y = Y^1 \oplus Y^2 \oplus Y^3,$$

and $m := \dim Y^2 \geq 1$. Since λ_* is an isolated eigenvalue of A , one can take the same positive number β as that in Section 3.1. Let $J = (\lambda_* - \frac{1}{4}\beta, \lambda_* + \frac{1}{4}\beta)$.

Henceforth we always assume that the Lipschitz constant L_f of f satisfies the following condition.

(F) For each fixed $\mu \in (\frac{1}{4}\beta, \frac{3}{4}\beta)$,

$$F_\mu L_f < 1,$$

where

$$F_\mu = M \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-(\mu - \frac{1}{4}\beta)s} ds + \int_0^\infty (1 + s^{-\frac{1}{2}}) e^{-(\frac{3}{4}\beta - \mu)s} ds \right), \quad (4.4)$$

and M is a positive number depending on A . Then one can immediately conclude from Theorem 3.1 that the following result holds true.

Theorem 4.1. *Let the assumptions **(A1)**-**(A3)** and **(F)** hold. Then there exists a Lipschitz continuous mapping ξ_λ from Y^2 to Y^{13} for $\lambda \in J$, such that for each $\lambda \in J$, the system (4.2)-(4.3) has a global invariant manifold \mathcal{M}_λ , which is represented as*

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda = \{w + \xi_\lambda(w) : w \in Y^2\},$$

where $Y^{13} = Y^1 \oplus Y^3$.

4.2 Preliminaries

Given a function w on \mathbb{R}^N , we use w_\pm to denote the positive and negative parts of w , respectively. Specifically,

$$w_\pm = \max\{\pm w(x), 0\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then $w = w_+ - w_-$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let the assumption **(A3)** hold. Then for any $R > 0, \varepsilon > 0$, there exists $s_0 > 0$ such that if $s \geq s_0$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, v + sw) w dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\bar{f} w_+ + \underline{f} w_-) dx - \varepsilon.$$

for all $v \in \bar{B}_X(R)$ and $w \in \bar{B}_{X^2}(1)$, where X^2 is a finite-dimensional Banach space.

Proof. Fix a positive integer n sufficiently large. Denote Ω_n a ball in \mathbb{R}^N centered at 0 with radius n . Let

$$I = \int_{\Omega_n} f(x, v + sw) w dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_n} (\bar{f} w_+ + \underline{f} w_-) dx.$$

Noticing that $w = w_+ - w_-$, we can rewrite I as $I = I_+ - I_-$, where

$$I_+ = \int_{\Omega_n} (f(x, v + sw) - \bar{f}/2) w_+ dx, \quad I_- = \int_{\Omega_n} (f(x, v + sw) + \underline{f}/2) w_- dx.$$

In what follows we first estimate I_+ for $v \in \bar{B}_X(R)$ and $w \in \bar{B}_{X^2}(1)$.

Write $\{|v| \geq \sigma\} = \{x \in \Omega_n : |v| \geq \sigma\}$. Since

$$R^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 dx \geq \int_{\Omega_n} |v|^2 dx \geq \int_{\{|v| \geq \sigma\}} |v|^2 dx \geq \sigma^2 |\{|v| \geq \sigma\}|,$$

one can deduce that $|\{|v| \geq \sigma\}| \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly with respect to $v \in \bar{B}_X(R)$. Thus we can pick a $\sigma > 0$ sufficiently large so that

$$|\{|v| \geq \sigma\}|^{1/2} < \delta := \varepsilon / (8f_\infty(|\Omega_n| + 1)),$$

where $f_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N, s \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x, s)|$.

For each $v \in \bar{B}_X(R)$ and $w \in \bar{B}_{X^2}(1)$, let $D_n = \{|v| < \sigma\} \cap \{w_+ > \delta\}$. Then

$$\Omega_n = D_n \cup \{|v| \geq \sigma\} \cup \{w_+ \leq \delta\}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I_+ &\geq \int_{D_n} (f(x, v + sw) - \bar{f}/2)w_+ dx - \int_{\{|v| \geq \sigma\}} |f(x, v + sw) - \bar{f}/2|w_+ dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\{w_+ \leq \delta\}} |f(x, v + sw) - \bar{f}/2|w_+ dx \\ &\geq \int_{D_n} (f(x, v + sw) - \bar{f}/2)w_+ dx - 2f_\infty \left(\int_{\{|v| \geq \sigma\}} w_+ dx + \int_{\{w_+ \leq \delta\}} w_+ dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\int_{\{|v| \geq \sigma\}} w_+ dx \leq \left(\int_{\{|v| \geq \sigma\}} w_+^2 dx \right)^{1/2} |\{|v| \geq \sigma\}|^{1/2} \leq |w|\delta \leq \delta.$$

Note that

$$\int_{\{w_+ \leq \delta\}} w_+ dx \leq |\Omega_n|\delta.$$

Thereby

$$\begin{aligned} I_+ &\geq \int_{D_n} (f(x, v + sw) - \bar{f}/2)w_+ dx - 2f_\infty(|\Omega_n| + 1)\delta \\ &= \int_{D_n} (f(x, v + sw) - \bar{f}/2)w_+ dx - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Since $z + s\eta \rightarrow +\infty$ (as $s \rightarrow +\infty$) uniformly with respect to $z \in [-\sigma, \sigma]$ and $\eta \geq \delta$, there exists $s_1 > 0$ (independent of n) such that if $s > s_1$,

$$f(x, z + s\eta) - \bar{f}/2 \geq -\frac{\varepsilon}{4|\Omega_n|^{1/2}}, \quad z \in [-\sigma, \sigma], \quad \eta \geq \delta.$$

Let $s > s_1$. Then by the definition of D_n (note that $w = w_+$ on D_n), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_n} (f(x, v + sw) - \bar{f}/2)w_+ dx &\geq -\frac{\varepsilon}{4|\Omega_n|^{1/2}} \int_{D_n} w_+ dx \\ &\geq -\frac{\varepsilon}{4|\Omega_n|^{1/2}} |D_n|^{1/2} \left(\int_{D_n} |w|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \geq -\frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (4.5) that if $s > s_1$,

$$I_+ \geq \int_{D_n} (f(x, v + sw) - \bar{f}/2)w_+ dx - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \geq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad (4.6)$$

uniformly with respect to the positive integer n .

Define $g_n(x) = \chi_n(x)[(f(x, v + sw) - \bar{f}/2)w_+]$, where

$$\chi_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \Omega_n; \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_n. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = (f(x, v + sw) - \bar{f}/2)w_+.$$

Noticing that

$$I_+ = \int_{\Omega_n} (f(x, v + sw) - \bar{f}/2)w_+ dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi_n(x)[(f(x, v + sw) - \bar{f}/2)w_+] dx,$$

by (4.6) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi_n(x)[(f(x, v + sw) - \bar{f}/2)w_+] dx \geq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad (4.7)$$

provided $s > s_1$, uniformly with respect to n . Since the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ and that of $X = L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are equivalent on X^2 , one easily sees that

$$\sup\{\|w\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} : w \in X^2, |w| = 1\} < \infty.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\chi_n(x)[(f(x, v + sw) - \bar{f}/2)w_+]| dx &\leq 2f_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w| dx \\ &\leq 2f_\infty \|w\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.7), we conclude that if $s > s_1$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, v + sw) - \bar{f}/2)w_+ dx \geq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Similarly, it can be shown that there exists $s_2 > 0$ (independent of n) such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, v + sw) + \bar{f}/2)w_- dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

as long as $s > s_2$.

Set $s_0 = \max\{s_1, s_2\}$. Then if $s > s_0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, v + sw) - \bar{f}/2)w_+ dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, v + sw) + \bar{f}/2)w_- dx \geq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = -\varepsilon$$

for all $v \in \bar{B}_X(R)$ and $w \in \bar{B}_{X^2}(1)$. The proof of the Lemma is complete. \square

Remark 4.1. In [15], the authors used the Landesman-Lazer type condition to give a fundamental result on f (see [15, Lemma 5.2]), which plays an important role in establishing their main results on bifurcations from infinity and multiplicity of solutions of parabolic equations on bounded domains. Lemma 4.1 significantly extends this result to the case of unbounded domains.

Now we restrict the system (4.2)-(4.3) to the global invariant manifold \mathcal{M}_λ given by Theorem 4.1. Then

$$w_t + A^2 w = P_2 \tilde{f}(w + \xi_\lambda(w)), \quad w \in Y^2, \quad (4.8)$$

where $w = P_2 u$, $A^2 = A_\lambda|_{X^2}$, $\lambda \in J$. Denote ϕ_λ the semiflow generated by (4.8). For each $0 < a \leq b \leq \infty$, write

$$B_2[a, b] = \{w \in Y^2, a \leq |w| \leq b\}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let the assumptions (A1)-(A3) and (F) hold true. Then there exist $R_0 > 0, c_0 > 0$ such that the following assertions hold.*

(1) For $\lambda \in [\lambda_*, \lambda_* + \frac{1}{4}\beta)$, if $w(t)$ is a solution of (4.8) in $B_2[R_0, \infty]$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}|w(t)|^2 \geq c_0|w(t)|. \quad (4.9)$$

(2) If $R > R_0$, then there exists $0 < \eta \leq \frac{1}{4}\beta$ such that for each $\lambda \in [\lambda_* - \eta, \lambda_*)$, (4.9) holds true for any solution $w(t)$ of (4.8) in $B_2[R_0, R]$.

(3) There exists $\theta_1 > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in [\lambda_* - \theta_1, \lambda_*)$, then the equation (4.8) has a positively invariant set $B_2[r_\lambda, R_\lambda]$ with $r_\lambda, R_\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_*^-$.

Proof. Taking the inner product of (4.8) with w in X , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt}|w|^2 + \|w\|^2 = \lambda|w|^2 + (\tilde{f}(w + \xi_\lambda(w)), w). \quad (4.10)$$

Note that $\|w\|^2 = \lambda_*|w|^2$. Then it follows from (4.10) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt}|w|^2 = (\lambda - \lambda_*)|w|^2 + (\tilde{f}(w + \xi_\lambda(w)), w). \quad (4.11)$$

We first estimate the last term in (4.11). Since the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and that of $X = L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are equivalent in Y^2 , one easily sees that

$$\min\{\|v\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} : v \in Y^2, |v| = 1\} := m > 0. \quad (4.12)$$

Choose a positive number δ with $\delta < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\bar{f}, \underline{f}\}$. By virtue of Remark 3.1, we see that $\|\xi_\lambda(w)\| \leq R_1$ for some $R_1 > 0$. Thanks to Lemma 4.1, there exists $s_0 > 0$ such that if $s > s_0$,

$$(\tilde{f}(h + sv), v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, h + sv)v \, dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\bar{f}v_+ + \underline{f}v_-) \, dx - \frac{1}{2}m\delta \quad (4.13)$$

for all $h \in \overline{B}_X(R_1)$ and $v \in \overline{B}_{X^2}(1)$. Now we rewrite

$$w = sv, \quad \text{where } s = |w|.$$

Clearly $v \in \partial B_{X^2}(1)$. Hence if $s \geq s_0$, we deduce from (4.13) that

$$(\tilde{f}(w + \xi_\lambda(w)), w) = s(f(x, sv + \xi_\lambda(w)), v) \geq s \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\overline{f}v_+ + \underline{f}v_-) dx - \frac{1}{2}m\delta \right).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\overline{f}v_+ + \underline{f}v_-) dx - \frac{1}{2}m\delta &\geq \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v| dx - \frac{1}{2}m\delta \\ &\geq (\text{by (4.12)}) \geq \frac{1}{2}m\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thereby

$$(\tilde{f}(w + \xi_\lambda(w)), w) \geq \frac{1}{2}m\delta s = \frac{1}{2}m\delta |w|. \quad (4.14)$$

It follows from (4.11) and (4.14) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}|w(t)|^2 \geq 2(\lambda - \lambda_*)|w|^2 + m\delta|w(t)| \quad (4.15)$$

as long as $|w(t)| \geq s_0$.

Now we pick $R_0 = s_0$, $c_0 = m\delta/2$. Then if $\lambda \in [\lambda_*, \lambda_* + \frac{1}{4}\beta)$, we infer from (4.15) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}|w(t)|^2 \geq m\delta|w(t)| > c_0|w(t)|$$

at any point t where $|w(t)| \geq R_0$. Therefore the assertion (1) holds.

Assume $R > R_0$ and let $\lambda < \lambda_*$. Take an $\eta > 0$ such that $\eta R^2 < m\delta s_0/4$. Then for each $\lambda \in [\lambda_* - \eta, \lambda_*)$, if $w(t)$ is a solution of (4.8) in $B_2[R_0, R]$, we conclude from (4.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}|w(t)|^2 &\geq -2|\lambda - \lambda_*| R^2 + m\delta|w(t)| \\ &\geq c_0|w(t)| + (c_0|w(t)| - 2\eta R^2) \\ &\geq c_0|w(t)| + (c_0 s_0 - 2\eta R^2) \geq c_0|w(t)|, \end{aligned}$$

which justifies the second assertion (2).

Finally, we verify that the assertion (3) holds. Take a sequence $R_m > R_0$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) such that $R_0 < R_1 < R_2 < \dots < R_m < \dots$ and $R_m \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then for each fixed m , we conclude from assertion (2) that there exists a sequence $\eta_m > 0$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) with

$$\eta_1 > \eta_2 > \dots > \eta_m > \dots, \quad \eta_m \rightarrow 0 \ (m \rightarrow \infty),$$

such that if $\lambda \in [\lambda_* - \eta_m, \lambda_*)$, (4.9) holds for any solution $w(t)$ of (4.8) in $B_2[R_0, R_m]$. Furthermore, by the choice of η_m and (4.9), we deduce that if $\lambda \in [\lambda_* - \eta_m, \lambda_*)$, the set $B_2[R_m, \infty]$ is positively invariant for the semiflow ϕ generated by (4.8).

On the other hand, let $\lambda < \lambda_*$. As the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and that of $X = L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are equivalent in Y^2 , one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{f}(w + \xi_\lambda(w)), w) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, w + \xi_\lambda(w)) w dx \leq f_\infty \|w\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_1 |w| \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_* - \lambda}{2} |w|^2 + \frac{1}{2(\lambda_* - \lambda)} C_1^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

where $C_1 > 0$ depends on f_∞ . Combining (4.11) and (4.16), it yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} |w(t)|^2 \leq (\lambda - \lambda_*) |w|^2 + \frac{C_1^2}{\lambda_* - \lambda}.$$

Hence it follows from the Gronwall's inequality that

$$|w(t)|^2 \leq e^{-(\lambda_* - \lambda)t} |w(0)|^2 + (1 - e^{-(\lambda_* - \lambda)t}) \frac{C_1^2}{(\lambda_* - \lambda)^2}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (4.17)$$

Set

$$R_\lambda = \frac{C_1}{\lambda_* - \lambda}.$$

Then one can deduce from (4.17) that the set $\{w \in Y^2, |w| \leq R_\lambda\}$ is positively invariant for ϕ .

Recalling that $\eta_m \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, one can deduce that

$$[\lambda_* - \eta_1, \lambda_*) = \bigcup_{m \geq 1} [\lambda_* - \eta_m, \lambda_* - \eta_{m+1}).$$

We pick $\theta_1 = \eta_1$. Then for each $\lambda \in [\lambda_* - \theta_1, \lambda_*)$, there exists some $m \geq 1$ such that $\lambda \in [\lambda_* - \eta_m, \lambda_* - \eta_{m+1})$. It is easy to see that

$$R_m \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \rightarrow \lambda_*^-.$$

Hence if we choose $r_\lambda = R_m$, then one can conclude from the above argument that $B_2[r_\lambda, R_\lambda]$ is the desired positively invariant set of the semiflow ϕ for $\lambda \in [\lambda_* - \theta_1, \lambda_*)$. The proof of this lemma is complete. \square

4.3 dynamic bifurcation from infinity

Now we study the bifurcation from infinity of (4.2)-(4.3) near λ_* .

Definition 4.1. [15] We say that the system (4.2)-(4.3) bifurcates from infinity at $\lambda = \lambda_*$ (or, (∞, λ_*) is a bifurcation point), if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\lambda - \lambda_*| < \varepsilon$ and a bounded full solution $u_\lambda = u_\lambda(t)$ of (4.2)-(4.3) such that

$$\|u_\lambda\|_\infty > 1/\varepsilon,$$

where $\|u_\lambda\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|u_\lambda(t)\|$.

Let $U \subset Y^2$ and denote $K_\infty(\phi_\lambda, U)$ the union of all bounded full orbits in U . For simplicity, if $U = Y^2$, we write $K_\infty(\phi_\lambda, Y^2) = K_\infty(\phi_\lambda)$. Given a set $K \subset Y^2 \times J$ and $\lambda \in J$, write

$$K[\lambda] = \{x : (x, \lambda) \in Y^2 \times J\}.$$

$K[\lambda]$ is called the λ -section of K .

In the following we first give a result on bifurcations from infinity of the reduced system (4.8).

Theorem 4.2. Let the assumptions **(A1)**-**(A3)** and **(F)** hold true, and $\lambda_* \in \sigma_p$. Then (∞, λ_*) is a bifurcation point of (4.8). Specifically, there exist a sequence λ_n with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_*$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) and a bounded full solution $u_n = u_{\lambda_n}(t)$ of (4.8) such that

$$\|u_n\|_\infty \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, there exists a neighborhood Λ of λ_* such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda_*\}$, (4.8) has a bounded full solution v_λ , which remains bounded on Λ .

Proof. Consider the system

$$w_t + A^2 w = P_2 \tilde{f}(w + \xi_\lambda(w)), \quad w \in Y^2, \quad (4.18)$$

where $A^2 = P_2 A_\lambda = P_2(A - \lambda I)$, $\lambda \in J = (\lambda_* - \frac{\beta}{4}, \lambda_* + \frac{\beta}{4})$. Let us study the following linear equation

$$\frac{dw}{dt} + A^2 w = 0. \quad (4.19)$$

Pick two numbers $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ with $\varepsilon_1 \in (\lambda_* - \frac{\beta}{4}, \lambda_*)$ and $\varepsilon_2 \in (\lambda_*, \lambda_* + \frac{\beta}{4})$, respectively. Then if $\lambda = \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$, the trivial solution $\{0\}$ is an isolated invariant set for the semiflow ψ_λ generated by equation (4.19) in Y^2 . By [24] (see Chapter I, Corollary 11.2) we know that there is a positive integer p such that

$$h(\psi_{\varepsilon_1}, \{0\}) = \Sigma^0, \quad h(\psi_{\varepsilon_2}, \{0\}) = \Sigma^p. \quad (4.20)$$

(p is actually the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalue λ_* of A^2 .)

Now we consider the nonlinear equation

$$\frac{dw}{dt} + A^2 w - \nu P_2 \tilde{f}(w + \xi_\lambda(w)) = 0, \quad (4.21)$$

where $\nu \in [0, 1]$ is the homotopy parameter. By appropriately modifying the argument in the proof of [24, Chapter II, Theorem 5.1] (see also the proof of [33, Theorem 3.2]), one can verify that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ with

$$\varepsilon_1 < \lambda_* - \varepsilon < \lambda_* + \varepsilon < \varepsilon_2,$$

there exists $R_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $u_\lambda(t)$ is a bounded full solution of (4.21) for $\lambda \in [\varepsilon_1, \lambda_* - \varepsilon] \cup [\lambda_* + \varepsilon, \varepsilon_2]$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$, then

$$\|u_\lambda\|_\infty < R_\varepsilon. \quad (4.22)$$

Denote ψ_λ^ν the semiflow generated by the equation (4.21). By the continuation property of the Conley index, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} h(\phi_\lambda, K_\infty(\phi_\lambda)) &= h(\psi_\lambda^1, K_\infty(\psi_\lambda^1)) \\ &= h(\psi_\lambda^0, K_\infty(\psi_\lambda^0)) = h(\psi_{\varepsilon_1}, \{0\}) = \Sigma^0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

for $\lambda \in [\varepsilon_1, \lambda_* - \varepsilon]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} h(\phi_\lambda, K_\infty(\phi_\lambda)) &= h(\psi_\lambda^1, K_\infty(\psi_\lambda^1)) \\ &= h(\psi_\lambda^0, K_\infty(\psi_\lambda^0)) = h(\psi_{\varepsilon_2}, \{0\}) = \Sigma^p \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

for $\lambda \in [\lambda_* + \varepsilon, \varepsilon_2]$. Moreover, we infer from (4.22) that for the $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$K_\infty(\phi_\lambda)[\lambda] \subset B_{Y^2}(R_\varepsilon), \quad \lambda \in [\varepsilon_1, \lambda_* - \varepsilon] \cup [\lambda_* + \varepsilon, \varepsilon_2],$$

where $B_{Y^2}(R_\varepsilon)$ denotes a ball in Y^2 centered at 0 with radius R_ε . Hence, we conclude from [15, Theorem 3.5] that there is a sequence $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_*$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) and a sequence of bounded full solutions $u_n = u_{\lambda_n}(t)$ of (4.8) for $\lambda = \lambda_n$ such that

$$\|u_n\|_\infty \rightarrow \infty, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.25)$$

On the other hand, we infer from Lemma 4.2 that there are $R_0, c_0 > 0$ such that for each $\lambda \in [\lambda_*, \lambda_* + \frac{1}{4}\beta)$, if $w(t)$ is a bounded full solution of (4.8) in $B_2[R_0, \infty]$, then (4.9) holds true. Therefore

$$K_\infty(\phi_\lambda) \subset B_2[0, R_0], \quad \lambda \in [\lambda_*, \lambda_* + \frac{1}{4}\beta). \quad (4.26)$$

Since $\varepsilon_1 \in (\lambda_* - \frac{\beta}{4}, \lambda_*)$, $\varepsilon_2 \in (\lambda_*, \lambda_* + \frac{\beta}{4})$ and ε can be arbitrary, we deduce from (4.23) and (4.24) that

$$h(\phi_\lambda, K_\infty(\phi_\lambda)) = \begin{cases} \Sigma^0, & \lambda \in (\lambda_* - \frac{\beta}{4}, \lambda_*); \\ \Sigma^p, & \lambda \in (\lambda_*, \lambda_* + \frac{\beta}{4}). \end{cases} \quad (4.27)$$

Thus it follows from (4.26) that

$$h(\phi_{\lambda_*}, K_\infty(\phi_{\lambda_*})) = \Sigma^p.$$

Now we pick a bounded isolating neighborhood N of $K_\infty(\phi_{\lambda_*})$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that N is an isolating neighborhood of ϕ_λ for $\lambda \in [\lambda_* - \delta, \lambda_* + \delta]$. Thus

$$h(\phi_\lambda, K_\lambda) = \Sigma^p, \quad \lambda \in [\lambda_* - \delta, \lambda_* + \delta].$$

where $K_\lambda = K_\infty(\phi_\lambda, N)$. Choose a full solution v_λ in K_λ and write $\Lambda = [\lambda_* - \delta, \lambda_* + \delta]$. Then $\|v_\lambda\|_\infty$ remains bounded on Λ . \square

By virtue of Lemma 4.2, we can present our dynamic bifurcation results from infinity for the system (4.2)-(4.3).

Theorem 4.3. *Let the assumptions (A1)-(A3) hold. Assume the Lipschitz constant L_f of f satisfies (F). Then there exists $\theta_1 \in (0, \frac{1}{4}\beta)$ such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_1 := [\lambda_* - \theta_1, \lambda_*)$, the system Φ_λ has a compact invariant set $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^\infty$, which takes the shape of $(m-1)$ -dimensional topological sphere \mathbb{S}^{m-1} , and*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_*^-} \min\{\|w\| : w \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^\infty\} = \infty. \quad (4.28)$$

Moreover, there exists a two-sided neighborhood Λ of λ_* such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, Φ_λ has a compact invariant set \mathcal{K}_λ , which remains bounded on Λ .

Proof. Let θ_1 be given in Lemma 4.2. By virtue of Lemma 4.2 (3), we see that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$, the semiflow ϕ_λ generated by (4.8) has a positively invariant set $B_\lambda := B_2[r_\lambda, R_\lambda]$. Note that Y_2 is finite dimensional. Then one can conclude from the attractor theory (see e.g., [6, 31]) that the set

$$\mathcal{A}_\lambda^\infty = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq s} \phi_\lambda(t) B_\lambda}$$

is a global attractor of the semiflow ϕ_λ restricted on B_λ .

By the basic knowledge on algebraic topology, it can be easily seen that B_λ has the homotopy type of an $(m-1)$ -dimensional topological sphere. Thus B_λ enjoys the same shape of \mathbb{S}^{m-1} . Thanks to the shape theory of attractors (see [13, Theorem 3.6] or [25, 34]), we conclude that $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^\infty \subset B_\lambda$ has the shape of \mathbb{S}^{m-1} .

Set

$$\mathcal{S}_\lambda^\infty = \{w + \xi_\lambda(w) : w \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda^\infty\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ is a compact invariant set of the system Φ_λ generated by (4.2)-(4.3), which takes the shape of an $(m-1)$ -dimensional sphere. By the construction of B_λ , we see that $r_\lambda, R_\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_*^-$, from which one can conclude that (4.28) holds true.

Let Λ be obtained in Theorem 4.2. Then we infer from the proof of Theorem 4.2 that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, ϕ_λ has a compact invariant set K_λ , which remains bounded on Λ . Similarly, set

$$\mathcal{K}_\lambda = \{w + \xi_\lambda(w) : w \in K_\lambda\}.$$

Clearly, \mathcal{K}_λ is the desired compact invariant set of Φ_λ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 4.3, we can obtain our main results on bifurcations from infinity and multiplicity of the Schrödinger equation (1.1).

Theorem 4.4. *Let the assumptions (A1)-(A3) hold and $\lambda_* \in \sigma_p$. Assume the Lipschitz constant L_f of f satisfies (F). Then there exists $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{4}\beta)$ such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_2 := [\lambda_* - \theta, \lambda_*)$, the Schrödinger equation (1.1) has at least three distinct nontrivial solutions $e_\lambda^1, e_\lambda^2, e_\lambda^3$ with*

$$\|e_\lambda^i\| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \lambda_*^-, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

whereas e_λ^3 remains bounded on Λ_2 .

Proof. Let θ_1 and Λ be given in Theorem 4.3. Pick a positive number θ such that $\theta < \min\{\theta_1, \delta\}$, where $\delta > 0$ is chosen in the proof of Theorem 4.2. By virtue of Theorem 4.3, we deduce that for each $\lambda \in [\lambda_* - \theta, \lambda_*)$, the system Φ_λ has a compact invariant set $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^\infty$. In what follows we show that $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^\infty$ has at least two distinct nontrivial stationary solutions e_λ^1 and e_λ^2 , which are actually the solutions of (1.1).

Observing that $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^\infty$ has the shape of \mathbb{S}^{m-1} and $m \geq 1$, we deduce that $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^\infty$ has at least two distinct points u and v . If u and v are equilibria, then the result holds true. Now we suppose that u is not an equilibrium. Then there exists a full solution $\gamma = \gamma(t)$ of Φ_λ in $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^\infty$ such that $\gamma(0) = u$. Since Φ_λ is a gradient system, we conclude that

$$\omega(\gamma) \cap \omega^*(\gamma) = \emptyset.$$

Noticing that $\omega(\gamma)$ and $\omega^*(\gamma)$ consist of equilibrium points, one can see that Φ_λ has two distinct equilibria in $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^\infty$. Recalling that $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^\infty$ satisfies (4.28), we conclude that the equation (1.1) has two distinct nontrivial solutions e_λ^1 and e_λ^2 with

$$\|e_\lambda^i\| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \lambda_*^-, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Theorem 4.3 also asserts that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda = [\lambda_* - \delta, \lambda_* + \delta]$, the system Φ_λ has a compact invariant set \mathcal{K}_λ , which remains bounded on Λ . Thus Φ_λ has at least one equilibrium e_λ^3 in \mathcal{K}_λ . Therefore e_λ^3 is the solution of the Schrödinger equation (1.1) fulfilling the requirements of the theorem for $\lambda \in \Lambda_2$. The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

Remark 4.2. *The “dual” version of all our results holds true if, instead of (1.2), we assume that (1.5) is fulfilled.*

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11871368, 11801190).

References

- [1] J. Bai, D. S. Li, C. Q. Li, A note on multiplicity of solutions near resonance of semilinear elliptic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 18(6) (2019), 3351-3365.
- [2] F. A. Berezin, M. A. Shubin, *The Schrödinger Equation*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [3] A. wiszewski, W. Kryszewski, Bifurcation from infinity for elliptic problems on \mathbb{R}^N , *Calc. Var.*, 58(13) (2019).
- [4] X. Chang, Y. Li, Existence and multiplicity of nontrivial solutions for semilinear elliptic Dirichlet problems across resonance, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 36 (2010), 285-310.
- [5] R. Chiappinelli, J. Mawhin, R. Nugari, Bifurcation from infinity and multiple solutions for some Dirichlet problems with unbounded nonlinearities, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, 18 (1992), 1099-1112.
- [6] V. V. Chepyzhov, M. I. Vishik, *Attractors for Equations of Mathematical Physics*, Colloquium Publications 49, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [7] C. Conley, *Isolated Invariant Sets and the Morse Index*, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1978.
- [8] Y. Du, T. Ouyang, Bifurcation from infinity induced by a degeneracy in semilinear equations, *Advanced Nonlinear Studies*, 2(2) (2002), 117-132.
- [9] M. Filippakis, L. Gasiski, N. S. Papageorgiou, A multiplicity result for semilinear resonant elliptic problems with nonsmooth potential, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, 61 (2005), 61-75.
- [10] F. Genoud, Bifurcation from infinity for an asymptotically linear problem on the half-line, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, 74(13) (2011), 4533-4543.
- [11] F. Genoud, Global bifurcation for asymptotically linear Schrödinger equations, *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.*, 20 (2013), 23-35.
- [12] D. Henry, *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*, Lecture Notes in Math. 840, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- [13] L. Kapitanski, I. Rodnianski, Shape and Morse theory of attractors, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 53(2) (2000), 218-242.

- [14] W. Kryszewski, A. Szulkin, Bifurcation from infinity for an asymptotically linear Schrödinger equation, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 16 (2014), 411-435.
- [15] C. Q. Li, D. S. Li, Z. J. Zhang, Dynamic bifurcation from infinity of nonlinear evolution equations, *SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst.*, 16 (2017), 1831-1868.
- [16] D. S. Li, G. L. Shi, X. F. Song, A linking theory for dynamical systems with applications to PDEs, arXiv:1312.1868, 2015.
- [17] D. S. Li, Z. Q. Wang, Local and global dynamic bifurcations of nonlinear evolution equations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 67(2), (2018), 583-621.
- [18] K. Mischaikow, M. Mrozek, Conley Index, in *Handb. Dynam. Syst.* 2, Elsevier, New York, 2002, pp. 393-460.
- [19] J. Mawhin, K. Schmitt, Landesman-Lazer type problems at an eigenvalue of odd multiplicity, *Results Math.*, 14 (1988), 138-146.
- [20] J. Mawhin, K. Schmitt, Nonlinear eigenvalue problems with the parameter near resonance, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 51 (1990), 241-248.
- [21] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics, Analysis of operators*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [22] F. O. de Paiva, E. Massa, Semilinear elliptic problems near resonance with a nonprincipal eigenvalue, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 342 (2008), 638-650.
- [23] N. S. Papageorgiou, F. Papalini, Multiple solutions for nearly resonant nonlinear Dirichlet problems, *Potential Anal.*, 37 (2012), 247-279.
- [24] K. P. Rybakowski, *The Homotopy Index and Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [25] J. M. R. Sanjurjo, Global topological properties of the Hopf bifurcation, *J. Differential Equations*, 243 (2007), 238-255.
- [26] M. Schechter, *Spectra of Partial Differential Operators*, North-Holland, 1986.
- [27] C. A. Stuart, Asymptotic bifurcation and second order elliptic equations on \mathbb{R}^N , *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 32(6) (2015), 1259-1281.

- [28] C. A. Stuart, H. S. Zhou, Global branch of solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with deepening potential well, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 92(3) (2006), 655-681.
- [29] J. Su, C. Tang, Multiplicity results for semilinear elliptic equations with resonance at higher eigenvalues, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, 44 (2001), 311-321.
- [30] K. Schmitt, Z. Q. Wang, On bifurcation from infinity for potential operators, *Differential Integral Equations*, 4 (1991), 933-943.
- [31] R. Temam, *Infinite Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*, second edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [32] K. Teng, X. Wu, Multiplicity results for semilinear resonant elliptic problems with discontinuous nonlinearities, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, 68(6) (2008), 1652-1667.
- [33] J. R. Ward, Jr., A global continuation theorem and bifurcation from infinity for infinite-dimensional dynamical systems, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 126 (1996), 725-738.
- [34] J. T. Wang, D. S. Li, J. Q. Duan, On the shape Conley index theory of semiflows on complete metric spaces, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. -A*, 36(3) (2016), 1629-1647.
- [35] Z. Q. Wang, J. Xia, Ground states for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with a sign-changing potential well, *Advanced Nonlinear Studies*, 15 (2015), 749-762.