

Generalized bases of finite groups

Benjamin Sambale*

November 27, 2024

Abstract

Motivated by recent results on the minimal base of a permutation group, we introduce a new local invariant attached to arbitrary finite groups. More precisely, a subset Δ of a finite group G is called a p -base (where p is a prime) if $\langle \Delta \rangle$ is a p -group and $C_G(\Delta)$ is p -nilpotent. Building on results of Halasi–Maróti, we prove that p -solvable groups possess p -bases of size 3 for every prime p . For other prominent groups we exhibit p -bases of size 2. In fact, we conjecture the existence of p -bases of size 2 for every finite group. Finally, the notion of p -bases is generalized to blocks and fusion systems.

Keywords: base, p -nilpotent centralizer, fusion

AMS classification: 20D20, 20B05

1 Introduction

Many algorithms in computational group theory depend on the existence of small bases. Here, a *base* of a permutation group G acting on a set Ω is a subset $\Delta \subseteq \Omega$ such that the pointwise stabilizer G_Δ is trivial (i. e. if $g \in G$ fixes every $\delta \in \Delta$, then $g = 1$). The aim of this short note is to introduce a generalized base without the presence of a group action. To this end let us first consider a finite group G acting faithfully by automorphisms on a p -group P . If p does not divide $|G|$, then G always admits a base of size 2 by a theorem of Halasi–Podoski [5]. Now suppose that G is p -solvable, P is elementary abelian and G acts completely reducibly on P . Then G has a base of size 3 (2 if $p \geq 5$) by Halasi–Maróti [4]. In those situations we may form the semidirect product $H := P \rtimes G$. Now there exists $\Delta \subseteq P$ such that $|\Delta| \leq 3$ and $C_H(\Delta) = C_H(\langle \Delta \rangle) \leq P$. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 1. Let G be a finite group with Sylow p -subgroup P . A subset $\Delta \subseteq P$ is called a p -base of G if $C_G(\Delta)$ is p -nilpotent, i. e. $C_G(\Delta)$ has a normal p -complement.

Clearly, any generating set of P is a p -base of G since $C_G(P) = Z(P) \times O_{p'}(C_G(P))$ (this observation is generalized in Lemma 7 below).

Our main theorem extends the work of Halasi–Maróti as follows.

Theorem 2. *Every p -solvable group has a p -base of size 3 (2 if $p \geq 5$).*

*Institut für Algebra, Zahlentheorie und Diskrete Mathematik, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Welfengarten 1, 30167 Hannover, Germany, sambale@math.uni-hannover.de

Although Halasi–Maróti’s Theorem does not extend to non- p -solvable groups, the situation for p -bases seems more fortunate. For instance, if V is a finite vector space in characteristic p , then every base of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ (under the natural action) contains a *basis* of V , so its size is at least $\dim V$. On the other hand, $G = \mathrm{AGL}(V) = V \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(V)$ possesses a p -base of size 2. To see this, let P be the Sylow p -subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ consisting of the upper unitriangular matrices. Let $x \in P$ be a Jordan block of size $\dim V$. Then $C_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(x) \leq \mathrm{PZ}(\mathrm{GL}(V))$. For any $y \in C_V(x) \setminus \{1\}$ we obtain a p -base $\Delta := \{x, y\}$ such that $C_G(\Delta) \leq VP$. We have even found a p -base consisting of *commuting* elements. After checking many more cases, we believe that the following might hold.

Conjecture 3. *Every finite group has a (commutative) p -base of size 2 for every prime p .*

The role of the number 2 in Conjecture 3 appears somewhat arbitrary at first. There is, however, an elementary dual theorem: A finite group is p -nilpotent if and only if every 2-generated subgroup is p -nilpotent. This can be deduced from the structure of minimal non- p -nilpotent groups (see [6, Satz IV.5.4]). It is a much deeper theorem of Thompson [8] that the same result holds when “ p -nilpotent” is replaced by “solvable”. Similarly, 2-generated subgroups play a role in the Baer–Suzuki Theorem and its variations.

Apart from Theorem 2 we give some more evidence of Conjecture 3.

Theorem 4. *Let G be a finite group with Sylow p -subgroup P . Then Conjecture 3 holds for G in the following cases:*

- (i) P is abelian.
- (ii) G is a symmetric group or an alternating group.
- (iii) G is a general linear group, a special linear group or a projective special linear group.
- (iv) G is a sporadic simple group or an automorphism group thereof.

Our results on (almost) simple groups carry over to the corresponding quasisimple groups by Lemma 8 below. The notion of p -bases generalizes to blocks of finite groups and even to fusion systems.

Definition 5.

- Let B be a p -block of a finite group G with defect group D . A subset $\Delta \subseteq D$ is called *base* of B if B has a nilpotent Brauer correspondent in $C_G(\Delta)$ (see [1, Definition IV.5.38]).
- Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated fusion system on a finite p -group P . A subset $\Delta \subseteq P$ is called *base* of \mathcal{F} if there exists a morphism φ in \mathcal{F} such that $\varphi(\langle \Delta \rangle)$ is fully \mathcal{F} -centralized and the centralizer fusion system $\mathcal{C} := C_{\mathcal{F}}(\varphi(\langle \Delta \rangle))$ is trivial, i.e. $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}_{C_P(\Delta)}(C_P(\Delta))$ (see [1, Definition I.5.3, Theorem I.5.5]).

By Brauer’s third main theorem, the bases of the principal p -block of G are the p -bases of G (see [1, Theorem IV.5.9]). Moreover, if \mathcal{F} is the fusion system attached to an arbitrary block B , then the bases of B are the bases of \mathcal{F} (see [1, Theorem IV.3.19]). By the existence of exotic fusion systems, the following conjecture strengthens Conjecture 3.

Conjecture 6. *Every saturated fusion system has a base of size 2.*

We show that Conjecture 6 holds for p -groups of order at most p^4 .

2 Results

Proof of Theorem 2. Let G be a p -solvable group with Sylow p -subgroup P . Let $N := O_{p'}(G)$. For $Q \subseteq P$, $C_G(Q)N/N$ is contained in $C_{G/N}(QN/Q)$. Hence, $C_G(Q)$ is p -nilpotent whenever $C_{G/N}(QN/Q)$ is p -nilpotent. Thus, we may assume that $N = 1$. Instead we consider $N := O_p(G)$. Since G is p -solvable, $N \neq 1$. We show by induction on $|N|$ that there exists a p -base $\Delta \subseteq N$ such that $C_G(\Delta) \leq N$. By the Hall–Higman lemma (see [6, Hilfssatz VI.6.5]), $C_{G/N}(N/\Phi(N)) = N/\Phi(N)$ where $\Phi(N)$ denotes the Frattini subgroup of N . It follows that $O_{p'}(G/\Phi(N)) = 1$. Hence, by induction we may assume that N is elementary abelian. Then $\overline{G} := G/N$ acts faithfully on N and it suffices to find a p -base $\Delta \subseteq N$ such that $C_{\overline{G}}(\Delta) = 1$. Thus, we may assume that $G = N \rtimes H$ where $C_G(N) = N$ and $O_p(H) = 1$.

Note that $\Phi(G) \leq F(G) = N$ where $F(G)$ is the Fitting subgroup of G . Since H is contained in a maximal subgroup of G , we even have $\Phi(G) < N$. Let $K \trianglelefteq H$ be the kernel of the action of H on $N/\Phi(G)$. By way of contradiction, suppose that $K \neq 1$. Since K is p -solvable and $O_p(K) \leq O_p(H) = 1$, also $K_0 := O_{p'}(K) \neq 1$. Now K_0 acts coprimely on N and we obtain

$$N = [K_0, N]C_N(K_0) = \Phi(G)C_N(K_0)$$

as is well-known. Both $\Phi(G)$ and $C_N(K_0)H$ lie in a maximal subgroup M of G . But then $G = NH = \Phi(G)C_N(K_0)H \leq M$, a contradiction. Therefore, H acts faithfully on $N/\Phi(G)$ and we may assume that $\Phi(G) = 1$. Then there exist maximal subgroups M_1, \dots, M_n of G such that $N_i := M_i \cap N < N$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^n N_i = 1$. Since $G = M_i N$, the quotients N/N_i are simple $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -modules and N embeds into $N/N_1 \times \dots \times N/N_n$. Hence, the action of H on N is faithful and completely reducible. Now by the main result of Halasi–Maróti [4] there exists a p -base with the desired properties. \square

Next we work towards Theorem 4.

Lemma 7. *Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Let $Q \trianglelefteq P$ such that $C_P(Q) \leq Q$. Then every generating set of Q is a p -base of G .*

Proof. Since $P \in \text{Syl}_p(N_G(Q))$, we have $Z(Q) = C_P(Q) \in \text{Syl}_p(C_G(Q))$ and therefore $C_G(Q) = Z(Q) \times O_{p'}(C_G(Q))$ by the Schur–Zassenhaus Theorem. \square

Lemma 8. *Let Δ be a p -base of G and let $N \leq Z(G)$. Then $\overline{\Delta} := \{xN : x \in \Delta\}$ is a p -base of G/N .*

Proof. Let $gN \in C_{G/N}(\overline{\Delta})$. Then g normalizes the nilpotent group $\langle \Delta \rangle N$. Hence, g acts on the unique Sylow p -subgroup P of $\langle \Delta \rangle N$. Since g centralizes

$$\langle \overline{\Delta} \rangle = \langle \Delta \rangle N / N = PN / N \cong P / P \cap N$$

and $P \cap N \leq N \leq Z(G)$, g induces a p -element in $\text{Aut}(P)$ and also in $\text{Aut}(\langle \Delta \rangle N)$. Consequently, there exists a p -subgroup $Q \leq N_G(\langle \Delta \rangle N)$ such that $C_{G/N}(\overline{\Delta}) = QC_G(\langle \Delta \rangle N) / N = QC_G(\Delta) / N$. Since $C_G(\Delta)$ is p -nilpotent, so is $QC_G(\Delta)$ and the claim follows. \square

The following implies the first part of Theorem 4.

Proposition 9. *Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G with nilpotency class c . Then G has a p -base of size $2c$.*

Proof. The p' -group $N_G(Z(P))/C_G(Z(P))$ acts faithfully on $Z(P)$. By Halasi–Podoski [5] there exists $\Delta_0 = \{x, y\} \subseteq Z(P)$ such that $N_H(Z(P)) \leq C_H(Z(P))$ where $H := C_G(\Delta_0)$. If $c = 1$, then $P = Z(P)$ is abelian and Burnside’s transfer theorem implies that H is p -nilpotent. Hence, let $c > 1$. By a well-known fusion argument of Burnside, elements of $Z(P)$ are conjugate in H if and only if they are conjugate in $N_H(Z(P))$. Consequently, all elements of $Z(P)$ are isolated in our situation. By the Z^* -Theorem (assuming the classification of finite simple groups), we obtain

$$Z(H/O_{p'}(H)) = Z(P)O_{p'}(H)/O_{p'}(H).$$

The group $\overline{H} := H/Z(P)O_{p'}(H)$ has Sylow p -subgroup $\overline{P} \cong P/Z(P)$ of nilpotency class $c - 1$. By induction on c there exists a p -base $\overline{\Delta}_1 \subseteq \overline{P}$ of \overline{H} of size $2(c - 1)$. We may choose $\Delta_1 \subseteq P$ such that $\overline{\Delta}_1 = \{\overline{x} : x \in \Delta_1\}$. Since $\overline{C_H(\Delta_1)} \leq \overline{C_{\overline{H}}(\overline{\Delta}_1)}$ is p -nilpotent, so is

$$(C_H(\Delta_1)Z(P)O_{p'}(H)/O_{p'}(H))/Z(H/O_{p'}(H)).$$

It follows that $C_H(\Delta_1)Z(P)O_{p'}(H)/O_{p'}(H)$ and $C_H(\Delta_1) = C_G(\Delta_0 \cup \Delta_1)$ are p -nilpotent as well. Hence, $\Delta := \Delta_0 \cup \Delta_1$ is a p -base of G of size (at most) $2c$. \square

Proposition 10. *The symmetric and alternating groups S_n and A_n have commutative p -bases of size 2 for every prime p .*

Proof. Let $n = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i p^i$ be the p -adic expansion of n . Suppose first that $G = S_n$. Let

$$x = \prod_{i=0}^k \prod_{j=1}^{a_i} x_{ij} \in G$$

be a product of disjoint cycles x_{ij} where x_{ij} has length p^i for $j = 1, \dots, a_i$. Then x is a p -element and

$$C_G(x) \cong \prod_{i=0}^k C_{p^i} \wr S_{a_i}.$$

Since $a_i < p$, $P := \langle x_{ij} : i = 0, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, a_i \rangle$ is an abelian Sylow p -subgroup of $C_G(x)$. Let $y := \prod_{i=0}^k \prod_{j=1}^{a_i} x_{ij}^j \in P$. It is easy to see that $\Delta := \{x, y\}$ is a commutative p -base of G with $C_G(\Delta) = P$.

Now let $G = A_n$. If $p > 2$, then x, y lie in A_n as constructed above and the claim follows from $C_{A_n}(\Delta) \leq C_{S_n}(\Delta)$. Hence, let $p = 2$. If $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then we still have $x \in A_n$ and $C_G(x) = \langle x_{ij} : i, j \rangle$ is already a 2-group. Thus, we have a 2-base of size 1 in this case. In the remaining case, let $m \geq 1$ be minimal such that $a_m = 1$. We adjust our definition of x by replacing x_{m1} with a disjoint product of two cycles of length 2^{m-1} . Then $x \in A_n$ and $C_G(x)$ is a 2-group or a direct product of a 2-group and S_3 (the latter case happens if and only if $m = 1 = a_0$). We clearly find a 2-element $y \in C_G(x)$ such that $C_G(x, y)$ is a 2-group. \square

The following elementary facts are well-known, but we provide proofs for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 11. *Let p be a prime and let q be a prime power such that $p \nmid q$. Let $e \mid p - 1$ be the multiplicative order of q modulo p . Let p^s be the p -part of $q^e - 1$. Then for every $n \geq 1$ the polynomial $X^{p^n} - 1$ decomposes as*

$$X^{p^n} - 1 = (X - 1) \prod_{k=1}^{(p^s-1)/e} \gamma_{0,k} \prod_{i=1}^{n-s} \prod_{k=1}^{\varphi(p^s)/e} \gamma_{i,k}$$

where the $\gamma_{i,k}$ are pairwise coprime polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degree ep^i for $i = 0, \dots, n - s$.

Proof. Let ζ be a primitive root of $X^{p^n} - 1$ in some finite field extension of \mathbb{F}_q . Then

$$X^{p^n} - 1 = \prod_{k=0}^{p^n-1} (X - \zeta^k).$$

Recall that \mathbb{F}_q is the fixed field under the Frobenius automorphism $c \mapsto c^q$. Hence, the irreducible divisors of $X^{p^n} - 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ correspond to the orbits of $\langle q + p^n\mathbb{Z} \rangle$ on $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ via multiplication. The trivial orbit corresponds to $X - 1$. For $i = 1, \dots, s$ the order of q modulo p^i is e by the definition of s . This yields $(p^s - 1)/e$ non-trivial orbits of length e in $p^{n-s}\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$. The corresponding irreducible factors are denoted $\gamma_{0,k}$ for $k = 1, \dots, (p^s - 1)/e$.

For $i \geq 1$ the order of q modulo p^{s+i} divides ep^i (it can be smaller if $p = 2$ and $s = 1$). We partition $(p^{n-s-i}\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ into $\varphi(p^{s+i})/(ep^i) = \varphi(p^s)/e$ unions of orbits under $\langle q + p^n\mathbb{Z} \rangle$ such that each union has size ep^i . The corresponding polynomials $\gamma_{i,1}, \dots, \gamma_{i,\varphi(p^s)/e}$ are pairwise coprime (but not necessarily irreducible). \square

Lemma 12. *Let A be an $n \times n$ -matrix over an arbitrary field F such that the minimal polynomial of A has degree n . Then every matrix commuting with A is a polynomial in A .*

Proof. By hypothesis, A is similar to a companion matrix. Hence, there exists a vector $v \in F^n$ such that $\{v, Av, \dots, A^{n-1}v\}$ is a basis of F^n . Let $B \in F^{n \times n}$ such that $AB = BA$. There exist $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in F$ such that $Bv = a_0v + \dots + a_{n-1}A^{n-1}v$. Set $\gamma := a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_{n-1}X^{n-1}$. Then

$$BA^i v = A^i Bv = a_0 A^i v + \dots + a_{n-1} A^{n-1} A^i v = \gamma(A) A^i v$$

for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. Since $\{v, Av, \dots, A^{n-1}v\}$ is a basis, we obtain $B = \gamma(A)$ as desired. \square

Proposition 13. *The groups $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$, $\mathrm{SL}(n, q)$ and $\mathrm{PSL}(n, q)$ possess commutative p -bases of size 2 for every prime p .*

Proof. Let q be a prime power. By Lemma 8, it suffices to consider $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ and $\mathrm{SL}(n, q)$. Suppose first that $p \mid q$. Let $x \in G := \mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ be a Jordan block of size $n \times n$ with eigenvalue 1. Then x is a p -element since $x^{p^n} - 1 = (x - 1)^{p^n} = 0$. Moreover, $C_G(x)$ consists of polynomials in x by Lemma 12. In particular, $C_G(x)$ is abelian and therefore p -nilpotent. Hence, we found a p -base of size 1. Since $(q - 1, p) = 1$, this is also a p -base of $\mathrm{SL}(n, q)$.

Now let $p \nmid q$. We “linearize” the argument from Proposition 10. Let e and s be as in Lemma 11. Let $0 \leq a_0 \leq e - 1$ such that $n \equiv a_0 \pmod{e}$. Let

$$\frac{n - a_0}{e} = \sum_{i=0}^r a_{i+1} p^i$$

be the p -adic expansion. Let $M_i \in \mathrm{GL}(ep^i, q)$ be the companion matrix of the polynomial $\gamma_{i,1}$ from Lemma 11 for $i = 0, \dots, r$. Let $G_i := \mathrm{GL}(ea_{i+1}p^i, q)$ and $x_i := \mathrm{diag}(M_i, \dots, M_i) \in G_i$. Then the minimal polynomial of

$$x := \mathrm{diag}(1_{a_0}, x_0, \dots, x_r) \in G$$

divides $X^{p^{r+s}} - 1$ by Lemma 11. In particular, x is a p -element. Since the $\gamma_{i,1}$ are pairwise coprime, it follows that

$$C_G(x) = \mathrm{GL}(a_0, q) \times \prod_{i=0}^r C_{G_i}(x_i).$$

Since $a_0 < e$, $\text{GL}(a_0, q)$ is a p' -group. By Lemma 12, every matrix commuting with M_i is a polynomial in M_i . Hence, the elements of $\text{C}_{G_i}(x_i)$ have the form $A = (A_{kl})_{1 \leq k, l \leq a_{i+1}}$ where each block A_{kl} is a polynomial in M_i . We define

$$y_i := \text{diag}(M_i, M_i^2, \dots, M_i^{a_{i+1}}) \in \text{C}_{G_i}(x_i)$$

and $y := \text{diag}(1_{a_0}, y_0, \dots, y_r) \in \text{C}_G(x)$. Let $A = (A_{kl}) \in \text{C}_{G_i}(x_i, y_i)$. We want to show that $A_{kl} = 0$ for $k \neq l$. To this end, we may assume that $k < l$ and $A_{kl} = \rho(M_i)$ where $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ with $\deg(\rho) < \deg(\gamma_{i,1}) = ep^i$. Since $A \in \text{C}_{G_i}(x_i, y_i)$, we have $M_i^k A_{kl} = M_i^l A_{kl}$ and $(M_i^{l-k} - 1)A_{kl} = 0$. It follows that the minimal polynomial $\gamma_{i,1}$ of M_i divides $(X^{l-k} - 1)\rho$. By way of contradiction, we assume that $\rho \neq 0$. Then $\gamma_{i,1}$ divides $X^{l-k} - 1$ and $X^{p^{r+s}} - 1$. However, $l - k \leq a_{i+1} < p$ and $\gamma_{i,1}$ must divide $X - 1$. This contradicts the definition of $\gamma_{i,1}$ in Lemma 11. Hence, $A_{kl} = 0$ for $k \neq l$. We have shown that the elements of $\text{C}_G(x, y)$ have the form

$$L \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^r \bigoplus_{j=1}^{a_{i+1}} L_{ij}$$

where $L \in \text{GL}(a_0, q)$ and each L_{ij} is a polynomial in M_i . In particular, $\text{C}_G(x, y)$ is a direct product of a p' -group and an abelian group. Consequently, $\text{C}_G(x, y)$ is p -nilpotent.

Now let $G := \text{SL}(n, q)$. If $p \nmid q - 1$, then the p -base of $\text{GL}(n, q)$ constructed above already lies in G . Thus, we may assume that $p \mid q - 1$. Then $e = 1$ and $a_0 = 0$ with the notation above. We now have the polynomials $\gamma_{i,k}$ with $i = 0, \dots, r$ and $k = 1, \dots, p - 1 \leq \varphi(p^s)$ at our disposal. Let $M_{i,k}$ be the companion matrix of $\gamma_{i,k}$. Define

$$x_i := \text{diag}(M_{i,1}, \dots, M_{i,a_{i+1}})$$

for $i = 0, \dots, r$. Then the minimal polynomial of $x := \text{diag}(x_0, \dots, x_r) \in \text{GL}(n, q)$ has degree n and therefore $\text{C}_{\text{GL}(n, q)}(x)$ is abelian by Lemma 12. Let $i \geq 0$ be minimal such that $a_{i+1} > 0$. We replace the block $M_{i,1}$ of x by the companion matrix of $X^{p^i} - 1$. Then by Lemma 11, the minimal polynomial of x still has degree n . Moreover, x has at least one block B of size 1×1 . We may modify B such that $\det(x) = 1$. After doing so, it may happen that B occurs twice in x . In this case, $\text{C}_G(x) \leq \text{GL}(2, q) \times H$ where H is abelian. Then the matrix

$$y := \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 1_{n-2} & \text{if } p = 2, \\ \text{diag}(M_{0,1}, M_{0,1}^{-1}, 1_{n-2}) & \text{if } p > 2 \end{cases}$$

lies in $\text{C}_G(x)$ and $\text{C}_G(x, y)$ is abelian. Hence, $\{x, y\}$ is a p -base of G . \square

Proposition 13 can probably be generalized to classical groups. The next result completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Proposition 14. *Let S be a sporadic simple group and $G \in \{S, S.2\}$. Then G has a commutative p -base of size 2 for every prime p .*

Proof. If p^4 does not divide $|G|$, then the claim follows from Lemma 7. So we may assume that p^4 divides $|G|$. From the character tables in the Atlas [2] we often find p -elements $x \in G$ such that $\text{C}_G(x)$ is already a p -group. In this case we found a p -base of size 1 and we are done. If G admits a permutation representation of ‘‘moderate’’ degree (including Co_1), then the claim can be shown directly in GAP [3]. In the remaining cases we use the Atlas to find p -elements with small centralizers:

- $G = Ly$, $p = 2$: There exists an involution $x \in G$ such that $C_G(x) = 2.A_{11}$. By the proof of Proposition 10, there exists $y \in A_{11}$ such that $C_{A_{11}}(y)$ is a 2-group. We identify y with a preimage in $C_G(x)$. Then $C_G(x, y)$ is a 2-group.
- $G = Ly$, $p = 3$: Here we find $x \in G$ of order 3 such that $C_G(x) = 3.McL$. Since McL contains a 3-element y such that $C_{McL}(y)$ is a 3-group, the claim follows.
- $G = Th$, $p = 2$: There exists an involution $x \in G$ such that $C_G(x) = 2_+^{1+8}.A_9$. As before we find $y \in C_G(x)$ such that $C_G(x, y)$ is a 2-group.
- $G = M$, $p = 5$: There exists a 5-element $x \in G$ such that $C_G(x) = C_5 \times HN$. Since there is also a 5-element $y \in HN$ such that $C_{HN}(y)$ is a 5-group, the claim follows.
- $G = M$, $p = 7$: In this case there exists a radical subgroup $Q \leq G$ such that $C_G(Q) = Q \cong C_7 \times C_7$ by Wilson [9, Theorem 7] (this group was missing in the list of local subgroups in the Atlas). Any generating set of Q of size 2 is a desired p -base of G .
- $G = HN.2$, $p = 3$: There exists an element $x \in G$ of order 9 such that $|C_G(x)| = 54$. Clearly, we find $y \in C_G(x)$ such that $C_G(x, y)$ is 3-nilpotent. \square

Finally, we consider a special case of Conjecture 6.

Proposition 15. *Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated fusion system on a p -group P of order at most p^4 . Then \mathcal{F} has a base of size 2.*

Proof. Recall that $A := \text{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$ is a p' -group and there is a well-defined action of A on P by the Schur–Zassenhaus Theorem. If \mathcal{F} is the fusion system of the group $P \rtimes A$, then the claim follows from Halasi–Podoski [5] as before. We may therefore assume that P contains an \mathcal{F} -essential subgroup. In particular, P is non-abelian. Let $Q < P$ be a maximal subgroup of P containing $Z(P)$. The fusion system $C_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$ on $C_P(Q) = Z(Q)$ is trivial by definition. Hence, we are done whenever Q is generated by two elements.

It remains to deal with the case where $|P| = p^4$ and all maximal subgroups containing $Z(P)$ are elementary abelian of rank 3. Since two such maximal subgroups intersect in $Z(P)$, we obtain that $|Z(P)| = p^2$ and $|P'| = p$ by [7, Lemma 1.9], for instance. By the first part of the proof, we may choose an \mathcal{F} -essential subgroup Q such that $Z(P) < Q < P$. Let $A := \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$. Since Q is essential, P/Q is a non-normal Sylow p -subgroup of A (see [1, Proposition I.2.5]). Moreover, $[P, Q] = P'$ has order p . By [7, Lemma 1.11], there exists an A -invariant decomposition

$$Q = \langle x, y \rangle \times \langle z \rangle.$$

We may choose those elements such that $\Delta := \{xz, y\} \not\subseteq Z(P)$. Then $C_P(\Delta) = Q$ and $C_A(\Delta) = 1$. Let $\varphi : S \rightarrow T$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{C} := C_{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta)$ where $S, T \leq Q$. Then φ extends to a morphism $\hat{\varphi} : S\langle \Delta \rangle \rightarrow T\langle \Delta \rangle$ in \mathcal{F} such that $\hat{\varphi}(x) = x$ for all $x \in \langle \Delta \rangle$. Hence, if $S \leq \langle \Delta \rangle$, then $\varphi = \text{id}$. Otherwise, $S\langle \Delta \rangle = Q$ and $\hat{\varphi} \in C_A(\Delta) = 1$ since morphisms are always injective. In any case, \mathcal{C} is the trivial fusion system and Δ is a base of \mathcal{F} . \square

Acknowledgment

The author is supported by the German Research Foundation (SA 2864/1-2 and SA 2864/3-1).

References

- [1] M. Aschbacher, R. Kessar and B. Oliver, *Fusion systems in algebra and topology*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Vol. 391, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [2] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, *ATLAS of finite groups*, Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985.
- [3] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.11.0*; 2020, (<http://www.gap-system.org>).
- [4] Z. Halasi and A. Maróti, *The minimal base size for a p -solvable linear group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (2016), 3231–3242.
- [5] Z. Halasi and K. Podoski, *Every coprime linear group admits a base of size two*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), 5857–5887.
- [6] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen. I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [7] B. Oliver, *Simple fusion systems over p -groups with abelian subgroup of index p : I*, J. Algebra **398** (2014), 527–541.
- [8] J. G. Thompson, *Nonsolvable finite groups all of whose local subgroups are solvable*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 383–437.
- [9] R. A. Wilson, *The odd-local subgroups of the Monster*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **44** (1988), 1–16.