

# An arithmetic criterion for graphs being determined by their generalized $A_\alpha$ -spectrum\*

Shuchao Li<sup>†</sup>, Wanting Sun

Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, P.R. China

**Abstract:** Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices, its adjacency matrix and degree diagonal matrix are denoted by  $A(G)$  and  $D(G)$ , respectively. In 2017, Nikiforov [19] introduced the matrix  $A_\alpha(G) = \alpha D(G) + (1 - \alpha)A(G)$  for  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . The  $A_\alpha$ -spectrum of a graph  $G$  consists of all the eigenvalues (including the multiplicities) of  $A_\alpha(G)$ . A graph  $G$  is said to be determined by the generalized  $A_\alpha$ -spectrum (or,  $DGA_\alpha S$  for short) if whenever  $H$  is a graph such that  $H$  and  $G$  share the same  $A_\alpha$ -spectrum and so do their complements, then  $H$  is isomorphic to  $G$ . In this paper, when  $\alpha$  is rational, we present a simple arithmetic condition for a graph being  $DGA_\alpha S$ . More precisely, put  $A_{c_\alpha} := c_\alpha A_\alpha(G)$ , here  $c_\alpha$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $A_{c_\alpha}$  is an integral matrix. Let  $\tilde{W}_\alpha(G) = \left[ \mathbf{1}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} \right]$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  denotes the all-ones vector. We prove that if  $\frac{\det \tilde{W}_\alpha(G)}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}}$  is an odd and square-free integer and the rank of  $\tilde{W}_\alpha(G)$  is full over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  for each odd prime divisor  $p$  of  $c_\alpha$ , then  $G$  is  $DGA_\alpha S$  except for even  $n$  and odd  $c_\alpha (\geq 3)$ . By our obtained results in this paper we may deduce the main results in [23] and [28].

*Keywords:* Generalized  $A_\alpha$ -spectrum; Cospectral;  $A_\alpha$ -matrix; Walk matrix

AMS subject classification: 05C50

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume  $G$  is a simple (i.e., a finite, undirected, loopless and without multiple edges) connected graph, whose vertex set is  $V_G = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and edge set is  $E_G$ . The *order* of  $G$  is the number  $n = |V_G|$  of its vertices and its *size* is the number  $|E_G|$  of its edges. Denote by  $\bar{G}$  the complement of  $G$ . Unless otherwise stated, we follow the traditional notation and terminology (see [2]).

Given a graph  $G$ , its *adjacency matrix*  $A(G)$  is an  $n \times n$  0-1 matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -entry is 1 if and only if  $v_i$  is adjacent to  $v_j$  in  $G$ . Let  $D(G) = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  be the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees in a graph  $G$ . The matrix  $Q(G) = D(G) + A(G)$  is called the *signless Laplacian matrix* of  $G$  (see [7]). To track the gradual change of  $A(G)$  into  $Q(G)$ , Nikiforov [19] introduced the  $A_\alpha$ -matrix of a graph  $G$ , which is a convex combination of  $D(G)$  and  $A(G)$ , that is,

$$A_\alpha(G) = \alpha D(G) + (1 - \alpha)A(G), \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

One attractive feature of  $A_\alpha$ -matrices is that we can determine many of their properties from those of adjacency matrices or signless Laplacian matrices. Notice that  $A_\alpha(G)$  is real and symmetric. Hence its eigenvalues are real. For short, the  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius of  $G$  (i.e., the largest eigenvalue of  $A_\alpha(G)$ ) is called the  $A_\alpha$ -index of  $G$ . Note that

$$A(G) = A_0(G), \quad Q(G) = 2A_{\frac{1}{2}}(G) \quad \text{and} \quad D(G) = A_1(G).$$

\*Financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11671164) and the Graduate Education Innovation Grant from Central China Normal University (Grant No. 2019CXPY001)

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author.

*Email addresses:* lscmath@mail.ccnu.edu.cn (S.C. Li), wtsun2018@sina.com (W.T. Sun).

Recently, more and more people focused on the  $A_\alpha$ -matrix of a graph. Nikiforov et al. [20] gave some bounds on the  $A_\alpha$ -index of graphs, and they determined the unique tree with maximum (resp. minimum)  $A_\alpha$ -index among  $n$ -vertex trees. Nikiforov et al. [22] and Xue et al. [36], independently, gave three edge graft transformations on  $A_\alpha$ -index. As applications, Xue et al. [36] determined the graphs with maximum (resp. minimum)  $A_\alpha$ -index among all connected graphs with given diameter (resp. clique number). For more advances on the  $A_\alpha$ -spectra, we refer the reader to [3, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 21, 25, 26, 35] and references cited in.

It is well-known that the spectrum of a graph  $G$  consists of all the eigenvalues (including the multiplicities) of the corresponding matrix associated with  $G$ . In the literature, one usually studies the adjacency spectrum, Laplacian spectrum, signless Laplacian spectrum and  $A_\alpha$ -spectrum of a graph  $G$ , which are denoted by  $\text{Spec}_A(G)$ ,  $\text{Spec}_L(G)$ ,  $\text{Spec}_Q(G)$  and  $\text{Spec}_\alpha(G)$ , respectively. We say two graphs are *cospectral* if they share the same spectrum.

A graph  $G$  is said to be *determined by the spectrum* (DS for short) if, whenever  $H$  is a graph such that  $H$  and  $G$  are cospectral, then  $H$  is isomorphic to  $G$  (here the matrix associated with  $G$  should be clear in the context). In particular, for  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , a graph  $G$  is said to be *determined by the generalized  $A_\alpha$ -spectrum* (or,  $\text{DGA}_\alpha\text{S}$  for short) if whenever  $H$  is a graph such that  $\text{Spec}_\alpha(G) = \text{Spec}_\alpha(H)$  and  $\text{Spec}_\alpha(\bar{G}) = \text{Spec}_\alpha(\bar{H})$ , then  $H$  is isomorphic to  $G$ .

"Which kinds of graphs are DS?" is a classical problem in spectral graph theory. The problem originates from chemistry and goes back to more than 60 years ago. In 1956, Günthard and Primas [9] raised the question in a paper that relates the theory of graph spectra to Hückel's theory from chemistry. Kac [11] asked a similar question: "Can one hear the shape of a drum?". Fisher [8] used the graph to model the shape of a drum. Then the sound of the drum can be identified by the eigenvalues of the corresponding graph. However, it turns out that determining whether a graph is DS is usually a difficult problem. We refer the reader to van Dam and Haemers [5, 6] for some background and known results.

In the literature, many researchers studied the above problem in the context of the generalized  $A_0$ -spectrum and generalized  $A_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -spectrum (i.e., generalized adjacency spectrum and generalized  $Q$ -spectrum). Liu, Siemons and Wang [16] have constructed infinite families of graphs that are  $\text{DGA}_0\text{S}$ . Mao, Liu and Wang [18] gave a simple way to construct large  $\text{DGA}_0\text{S}$  graphs from small ones. Wang and Mao [29] presented a simple sufficient condition, under which they showed that  $G \cup H$  is  $\text{DGA}_0\text{S}$  if and only if both  $G$  and  $H$  are  $\text{DGA}_0\text{S}$ . We make no attempt here to survey more important early contributions but instead refer the reader to [1, 17, 23, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33] and references cited in.

Assume that  $G$  is a graph with  $A_\alpha$ -matrix  $A_\alpha(G)$  for  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . In our whole context, we only consider that  $\alpha$  is rational. Then let  $c_\alpha$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $A_{c_\alpha}(G) := c_\alpha A_\alpha(G)$  is an integral matrix, that is,  $c_\alpha$  is the smallest integer such that  $c_\alpha \alpha$  and  $c_\alpha(1 - \alpha)$  are nonnegative integers. Define  $W_\alpha(G) = [\mathbf{1}, A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}, \dots, A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}]$  to be the  $A_\alpha$ -walk matrix of  $G$  for  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  denotes the all-ones vector and we always abbreviate  $A_{c_\alpha}(G)$  to  $A_{c_\alpha}$ .

It is easy to see that  $2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} c_\alpha^{n-1}$  is of course a factor of  $\det W_\alpha(G)$  (based on Lemma 3.5 below). Furthermore, we shall notice that the rank of the  $A_\alpha$ -walk matrix  $W_\alpha(G)$  is 1 over  $\mathbb{F}_{c_\alpha}$  if  $c_\alpha > 1$ . So, for  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , we define the modified  $A_\alpha$ -walk matrix of  $G$ , written as  $\tilde{W}_\alpha(G)$ , to be  $[\mathbf{1}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}]$ . Recall that  $A_{c_\alpha}(G) \mathbf{1} = c_\alpha A_\alpha(G) \mathbf{1}$ . It follows that  $\tilde{W}_\alpha(G)$  is an integral matrix.

Recently, Wang et al. [27, 28, 31, 32] and Qiu et al. [23] gave a simple arithmetic condition for a graph being  $\text{DGA}_0\text{S}$  and  $\text{DGA}_{\frac{1}{2}}\text{S}$ , respectively. In this paper, we generalize their results to  $A_\alpha$ -matrix for  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ . Our main result is given as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with order  $n$  ( $n \geq 5$ ) and let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . If  $\frac{\det \tilde{W}_\alpha(G)}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}}$  is odd and square-free, and the rank of  $\tilde{W}_\alpha(G)$  is full over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  for each odd prime  $p \mid c_\alpha$ , then  $G$  is  $DGA_\alpha S$  except for even  $n$  and odd  $c_\alpha$  ( $\geq 3$ ).*

The main idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 follows from Qiu [23] and Wang [27, 28]. Together with some new idea we make the proof work.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give some preliminary results that will be needed in the sequel. In Section 3, we present the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we give examples of  $DGA_\alpha S$  graphs for some  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . In Section 5, we give some conclusion remarks and some further research problems.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some preliminary results which will be needed in the subsequent sections.

If we focus on an integral matrix, its Smith Normal Form (SNF for short) is a very useful tool in our study. An integral matrix  $V$  is said to be *unimodular* if  $\det V = \pm 1$ . We firstly introduce the following well-known theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** ([4]). *Let  $M$  be an integral matrix of order  $n$ . Then there exist unimodular matrices  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  such that  $M = V_1 S V_2$ , where  $S = \text{diag}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  is the SNF of  $M$  with  $s_i \mid s_{i+1}$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ , and  $s_i$  is called the  $i$ -th elementary divisor of  $M$ .*

Notice that the SNF of a matrix can be computed efficiently (see [24, P50]). The following lemma is the key result in the proof of our main result.

**Lemma 2.2** ([27]). *With the above notations, the system of congruence equations  $M\mathbf{x} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p^2}$  has a solution  $\mathbf{x} \not\equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$  if and only if  $p^2 \mid s_n$ .*

Next, we will illustrate the main strategy to prove a graph to be  $DGA_\alpha S$  for  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . The following lemma is the groundwork of our method, which gives a simple characterization of two graphs having the same generalized  $A_\alpha$ -spectrum. It is an analogous result of adjacency matrix in [32] and signless Laplacian matrix in [23]. For convenience, we always set  $a := c_\alpha \alpha$  and  $b := c_\alpha(1 - \alpha)$  and denote by  $O_n(\mathbb{Q})$  the set of all  $n \times n$  rational orthogonal matrices.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  such that  $\det W_\alpha(G) \neq 0$  for  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . Then there exists a graph  $H$  such that  $G$  and  $H$  share the same generalized  $A_\alpha$ -spectrum if and only if there exists a unique matrix  $U \in O_n(\mathbb{Q})$  satisfying that*

$$U^T A_{c_\alpha}(G) U = A_{c_\alpha}(H) \quad \text{and} \quad U\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}. \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof. Sufficiency.* Assume that there exists a matrix  $U \in O_n(\mathbb{Q})$  satisfying (2.1). It is routine to check that

$$A_{c_\alpha}(\bar{G}) = aD(\bar{G}) + bA(\bar{G}) = bJ + (a(n-1) - b)I - A_{c_\alpha}(G), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $I$  and  $J$  denote the identity matrix and the all one's matrix, respectively. Notice that  $U\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$  and  $U \in O_n(\mathbb{Q})$ . Therefore,

$$U^T A_{c_\alpha}(\bar{G}) U = U^T (bJ + (a(n-1) - b)I - A_{c_\alpha}(G)) U = bJ + (a(n-1) - b)I - A_{c_\alpha}(H) = A_{c_\alpha}(\bar{H}).$$

Hence  $G$  and  $H$  are cospectral with respect to the generalized  $A_\alpha$ -spectrum.

*Necessity.* Note that  $\text{Spec}_\alpha(G) = \text{Spec}_\alpha(H)$  and  $\text{Spec}_\alpha(\bar{G}) = \text{Spec}_\alpha(\bar{H})$ . Together with (2.2), one obtains that

$$\det(\lambda I - A_{c_\alpha}(G)) = \det(\lambda I - A_{c_\alpha}(H)) \text{ and } \det(\lambda I + bJ - A_{c_\alpha}(G)) = \det(\lambda I + bJ - A_{c_\alpha}(H)) \quad (2.3)$$

hold for all real  $\lambda$ . Furthermore, it is routine to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\lambda I + bJ - A_{c_\alpha}(G)) &= \det(\lambda I - A_{c_\alpha}(G) + b\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T) \\ &= \det(\lambda I - A_{c_\alpha}(G)) \det(I + b(\lambda I - A_{c_\alpha}(G))^{-1}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T) \\ &= (1 + b\mathbf{1}^T(\lambda I - A_{c_\alpha}(G))^{-1}\mathbf{1}) \det(\lambda I - A_{c_\alpha}(G)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $\lambda \notin \sigma(A_{c_\alpha}(G))$ , where  $\sigma(M)$  denotes the set of all distinct eigenvalues of the matrix  $M$ . Similarly, for all  $\lambda \notin \sigma(A_{c_\alpha}(H))$ , we have

$$\det(\lambda I + bJ - A_{c_\alpha}(G)) = (1 + b\mathbf{1}^T(\lambda I - A_{c_\alpha}(H))^{-1}\mathbf{1}) \det(\lambda I - A_{c_\alpha}(H)). \quad (2.5)$$

Combining (2.3)-(2.5), we have

$$\mathbf{1}^T(\lambda I - A_{c_\alpha}(G))^{-1}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}^T(\lambda I - A_{c_\alpha}(H))^{-1}\mathbf{1}. \quad (2.6)$$

Notice that  $A_{c_\alpha}(G)$  is a real symmetric matrix. Hence all the linearly independent eigenvectors of  $A_{c_\alpha}(G)$  form an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}_n$ . Group the eigenvectors with respect to the eigenvalue  $\mu$  into matrix  $P_\mu$  for each  $\mu \in \sigma(A_{c_\alpha}(G))$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $\sigma(A_{c_\alpha}(G)) = \sigma(A_{c_\alpha}(H)) = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_s\}$ . Therefore,

$$A_{c_\alpha}(G) [P_{\mu_1}, P_{\mu_2}, \dots, P_{\mu_s}] = [P_{\mu_1}, P_{\mu_2}, \dots, P_{\mu_s}] \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 I_1 & & & \\ & \mu_2 I_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \mu_s I_s \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $I_i$  denotes the identity matrix whose order equals the multiplicity of  $\mu_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Hence, for each  $\lambda \notin \sigma(A_{c_\alpha}(G))$ , we obtain

$$(\lambda I - A_{c_\alpha}(G))^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu_i} P_{\mu_i} P_{\mu_i}^T.$$

By a similar discussion, one has, for each  $\lambda \notin \sigma(A_{c_\alpha}(H))$ , that

$$(\lambda I - A_{c_\alpha}(H))^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu_i} R_{\mu_i} R_{\mu_i}^T,$$

here  $R_{\mu_i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) denotes the matrix for  $H$  corresponding to  $P_{\mu_i}$  for  $G$ . In view of (2.6), we obtain, for each  $\lambda \notin \sigma(A_{c_\alpha}(G))$ , that

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\|P_{\mu_i}^T \mathbf{1}\|}{\lambda - \mu_i} = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\|R_{\mu_i}^T \mathbf{1}\|}{\lambda - \mu_i}.$$

This implies that  $\|P_{\mu_i}^T \mathbf{1}\| = \|R_{\mu_i}^T \mathbf{1}\|$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ . Hence, there exists an orthogonal matrix  $H_{\mu_i}$  such that  $P_{\mu_i}^T \mathbf{1} = H_{\mu_i} R_{\mu_i}^T \mathbf{1}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ . Let

$$U = [P_{\mu_1}, P_{\mu_2}, \dots, P_{\mu_s}] [R_{\mu_1} H_{\mu_1}^T, R_{\mu_2} H_{\mu_2}^T, \dots, R_{\mu_s} H_{\mu_s}^T]^T.$$

It is straightforward to check that  $U$  is an orthogonal matrix such that  $U\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$  and  $U^T A_{c_\alpha}(G)U = A_{c_\alpha}(H)$ . Therefore,  $U^T A_{c_\alpha}^k(G)\mathbf{1} = A_{c_\alpha}^k(H)\mathbf{1}$  for each  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ , which yields that  $U^T W_\alpha(G) = W_\alpha(H)$ . It follows from  $\det W_\alpha(G) \neq 0$  that  $\det W_\alpha(H) \neq 0$ , and thus  $U = W_\alpha(G)W_\alpha(H)^{-1}$  is a rational orthogonal matrix satisfying (2.1).

Now, we show the uniqueness of  $U$ . Suppose to the contrary that there exist two distinct matrices  $U_1, U_2 \in O_n(\mathbb{Q})$  satisfying (2.1). Then we obtain  $U_1^T W_\alpha(G) = U_2^T W_\alpha(G) = W_\alpha(H)$ . Notice that  $\det W_\alpha(G) \neq 0$ . Hence  $U_1 = U_2$ , a contradiction.

This completes the proof.  $\square$

For  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , we now define the following notation that will be used frequently in the sequel:

$$\Gamma_\alpha(G) = \{U : U \in O_n(\mathbb{Q}), U^T A_{c_\alpha}(G)U = A_{c_\alpha}(H) \text{ for some graph } H \text{ and } U\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}\}.$$

The next lemma extends the results for the adjacency matrix and signless Laplacian matrix found in standard texts like [23, 32] to  $A_\alpha$ -matrix.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be a graph and let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . If  $\det W_\alpha(G) \neq 0$ , then  $G$  is  $DGA_\alpha S$  if and only if each matrix in  $\Gamma_\alpha(G)$  is a permutation matrix.*

*Proof. Sufficiency.* Suppose to the contrary that  $G$  is not  $DGA_\alpha S$ . Then there exists a graph  $H \not\cong G$  such that  $G$  and  $H$  share the same generalized  $A_\alpha$ -spectrum. According to Lemma 2.3, there is a unique matrix  $U \in O_n(\mathbb{Q})$  satisfying (2.1). Therefore,  $U \in \Gamma_\alpha(G)$ . Notice that  $H \not\cong G$ . Hence  $U$  is not a permutation matrix, which contradicts the assumption that each matrix in  $\Gamma_\alpha(G)$  is a permutation matrix.

*Necessity.* Suppose that there exists a matrix  $U \in \Gamma_\alpha(G)$  and  $U$  is not a permutation matrix. Assume that  $H$  is a graph satisfying  $U^T A_{c_\alpha}(G)U = A_{c_\alpha}(H)$ . Based on the uniqueness of  $U$ , we obtain that  $H \not\cong G$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

Further on we need the following definition.

**Definition 1.** *Let  $U$  be a rational orthogonal matrix. The level of  $U$ , denoted by  $l(U)$  (or simply by  $l$  if there is no danger of ambiguity), is the smallest positive integer  $k$  such that  $kU$  is an integral matrix.*

Obviously,  $l(U)$  is the least common denominator of all the entries of the matrix  $U$ . It is routine to check that a matrix  $U \in O_n(\mathbb{Q})$  with  $U\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$  is a permutation matrix if and only if  $l(U) = 1$ . In view of Lemma 2.4, for a given graph  $G$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , our main strategy in proving that  $G$  is  $DGA_\alpha S$  is equivalent to show that  $l(U) = 1$  for all  $U \in \Gamma_\alpha(G)$ .

In the remaining part of this section, we give a technical lemma, which also plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.1. In what follows, when there is no scope for ambiguity, we always put  $A := A(G)$ ,  $D := D(G)$ ,  $A_{c_\alpha} := A_{c_\alpha}(G)$  and  $\tilde{W}_\alpha := \tilde{W}_\alpha(G)$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices and let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . Then  $\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2c_\alpha}$  and  $\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \mathbf{1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2c_\alpha^2}$  for each integer  $k \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Notice that  $D\mathbf{1} = A\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{d}$ , here  $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)^T$  and  $d_i$  denotes the degree of the  $i$ -th vertex of  $G$ . Hence,  $A_{c_\alpha}\mathbf{1} = (aA + bD)\mathbf{1} = c_\alpha\mathbf{d}$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}\mathbf{1} = c_\alpha \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{d} = c_\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 2c_\alpha |E_G| \equiv 0 \pmod{2c_\alpha}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^2 \mathbf{1} = (A_{c_\alpha}\mathbf{1})^T (A_{c_\alpha}\mathbf{1}) = c_\alpha^2 \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 \equiv c_\alpha^2 \sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 2c_\alpha^2 |E_G| \equiv 0 \pmod{2c_\alpha^2}.$$

In what follows, we prove that  $\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \mathbf{1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2c_\alpha^2}$  for each integer  $k \geq 3$ . Recall that  $A_{c_\alpha}\mathbf{1} = c_\alpha\mathbf{d} = c_\alpha D\mathbf{1}$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \mathbf{1} = c_\alpha^2 \mathbf{1}^T D(aA + bD)^{k-2} D\mathbf{1} \equiv c_\alpha^2 \text{Tr}(D(aA + bD)^{k-2} D) \pmod{2c_\alpha^2},$$

here  $\text{Tr}(D(aA + bD)^{k-2} D)$  denotes the trace of  $D(aA + bD)^{k-2} D$ . Hence, it suffices to show that  $\text{Tr}(D(aA + bD)^{k-2} D) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  for each integer  $k \geq 3$ .

For the ease of expression, we define  $\mathfrak{X}$  as the free monoid generated by  $\{x, y\}$ , and

$$\mathfrak{X}_m = \{X \in \mathfrak{X} : \text{the length of } X \text{ is } m\}.$$

Define a mapping  $\tau$  on  $\mathfrak{X}_m$  such that  $\tau(X) = M_m M_{m-1} \dots M_1 := X^\tau$ , where  $M_i$  is the  $i$ -th character of  $X$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Denote by  $\underline{X} = M_1 M_2 \dots M_m$  the product of the string of matrices in  $X$ , where  $M_i = aA$  if the  $i$ -th character of  $X$  is  $x$ , and  $M_i = bD$  if the  $i$ -th character of  $X$  is  $y$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . It is routine to check that  $\underline{X}^T = \underline{X}^\tau$  and  $X^\tau \in \mathfrak{X}_m$  is uniquely determined by  $X$ .

Using the above notations, one has

$$\text{Tr}(D(aA + bD)^{k-2} D) = \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{X}_{k-2}} \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}D).$$

Notice that  $\text{Tr}(D\underline{X}D) = \text{Tr}((D\underline{X}D)^T) = \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}^\tau D)$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{X \in \mathfrak{X}_{k-2}, X \neq X^\tau} \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}D) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Hence,

$$\text{Tr}(D(aA + bD)^{k-2} D) \equiv \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{X}_{k-2}, X = X^\tau} \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}D) \pmod{2}.$$

Now, we proceed by distinguishing the parity on  $k$ .

**Case 1.**  $k$  is even. Recall that  $A\mathbf{1} = D\mathbf{1}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}^\tau A \underline{X} D) &= \text{Tr}(A \underline{X} D D \underline{X}^\tau A) \equiv \mathbf{1}^T A \underline{X} D D \underline{X}^\tau A \mathbf{1} \\ &= \mathbf{1}^T D \underline{X} D D \underline{X}^\tau D \mathbf{1} \equiv \text{Tr}(D \underline{X} D D \underline{X}^\tau D) \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}(D(aA + bD)^{k-2}D) &\equiv \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{X}_{k-2}, X=X^\tau} \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}D) = \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\frac{k}{2}-2}} \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}^\tau(aAaA + bDbD)\underline{X}D) \\
&= a^2 \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\frac{k}{2}-2}} \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}^\tau A A \underline{X}D) + b^2 \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\frac{k}{2}-2}} \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}^\tau D D \underline{X}D) \\
&= (a^2 + b^2) \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\frac{k}{2}-2}} \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}^\tau D D \underline{X}D) \\
&\equiv (a + b) \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\frac{k}{2}-2}} \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}^\tau D D \underline{X}D) \pmod{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last congruence equation follows from the fact that  $t^2 \equiv t \pmod{2}$  for each positive integer  $t$ .

If  $a + b$  is even, then  $\text{Tr}(D(aA + bD)^{k-2}D) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , as desired. If  $a$  is even and  $b$  is odd, then

$$\text{Tr}(D(aA + bD)^{k-2}D) \equiv \text{Tr}(b^{k-2}D^k) = b^{k-2} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^k \equiv b^{k-2} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 2b^{k-2}|E_G| \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

as desired. If  $a$  is odd and  $b$  is even, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}(D(aA + bD)^{k-2}D) &\equiv a^{k-2} \text{Tr}(DA^{k-2}D) \equiv a \mathbf{1}^T DA^{k-2}D \mathbf{1} = a \mathbf{1}^T A^k \mathbf{1} \\
&= a \sum_{i,j} (A^{k-1})_{ij} (A)_{ij} = 2a \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (A^{k-1})_{ij} (A)_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{2},
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

**Case 2.**  $k$  is odd. It is straightforward to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}(D\underline{X}^\tau A \underline{X}D) &= \text{Tr}(\underline{X} D D \underline{X}^\tau A) = \sum_{i,j} (\underline{X} D D \underline{X}^\tau)_{ij} (A)_{ij} \\
&= 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\underline{X} D D \underline{X}^\tau)_{ij} (A)_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}(D(aA + bD)^{k-2}D) &\equiv \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{X}_{k-2}, X=X^\tau} \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}D) = \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\frac{k-3}{2}}} \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}^\tau(aA + bD)\underline{X}D) \\
&\equiv b \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\frac{k-3}{2}}} \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}^\tau D \underline{X}D) \pmod{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\text{Tr}(D\underline{X}^\tau D \underline{X}D) \equiv \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}^\tau D D \underline{X}D) = \text{Tr}(D \underline{X} D D \underline{X}^\tau D) \equiv \text{Tr}(D \underline{X} D \underline{X}^\tau D) \pmod{2}.$$

Then, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}(D(aA + bD)^{k-2}D) &\equiv b \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\frac{k-3}{2}}} \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}^\tau D\underline{X}D) \equiv b \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\frac{k-3}{2}}, X=X^\tau} \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}^\tau D\underline{X}D) \\
&\equiv b \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\frac{k-3}{2}}, X=X^\tau} \text{Tr}(D\underline{X}^\tau D D \underline{X}D) \equiv b \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\frac{k-3}{2}}, X=X^\tau} (\mathbf{1}^T D \underline{X}^\tau D)(D \underline{X} D \mathbf{1}) \\
&\equiv b \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\frac{k-3}{2}}, X=X^\tau} \mathbf{1}^T D \underline{X} D \mathbf{1} \equiv b \text{Tr}(D(aA + bD)^{\frac{k-3}{2}}D) \pmod{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by induction on  $k$ , we can show that  $\text{Tr}(D(aA + bD)^{k-2}D) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .

Combining Cases 1-2, we obtain that  $\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \mathbf{1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2c_\alpha^2}$  for each integer  $k \geq 3$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. In view of Lemma 2.4, it suffices to prove that for each  $U \in \Gamma_\alpha(G)$ , the conditions of Theorem 1.1 mean that the level  $l(U) = 1$ , which is equivalent to show that any prime  $p$  is not a divisor of  $l(U)$ .

In what follows, we will use the finite field notation  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and mod  $p$  (for a prime  $p$ ) interchangeably, and denote by  $\text{rank}_p(M)$  the *rank* of an integral matrix  $M$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{F}_n$  be the set of all graphs  $G$  on  $n$  vertices satisfying that  $\frac{\det \tilde{W}_\alpha(G)}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}}$  is odd and square-free, and  $\text{rank}_p(\tilde{W}_\alpha(G)) = n$  for each odd prime  $p \mid c_\alpha$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need the following key results.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G \in \mathfrak{F}_n$  and let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . If  $U \in \Gamma_\alpha(G)$  with level  $l$  and  $p$  is an odd prime, then  $p \nmid l$ .*

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $G \in \mathfrak{F}_n$  ( $n \geq 5$ ) and let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . If  $U \in \Gamma_\alpha(G)$  with level  $l$ , then  $l$  is odd except for even  $n$  and odd  $c_\alpha$  ( $\geq 3$ ).*

We postpone the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 to the subsequent of this section. As a corollary, we present the proof of Theorem 1.1:

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Combining Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, our results follows immediately.  $\square$

In Theorem 1.1, put  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $c_\alpha = 1$  and we may obtain the following corollary immediately. It gives a simple arithmetic condition for determining whether a graph is determined by the generalized adjacency spectrum, which was obtained by Wang [28].

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ . If  $\det \frac{\tilde{W}_0(G)}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}}$  is odd and square-free, then  $G$  is  $DGA_0 S$ .*

In Theorem 1.1, put  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $c_\alpha = 2$  and we may obtain the following corollary immediately. It gives a simple arithmetic condition for determining whether a graph is determined by the generalized  $Q$ -spectrum, which was obtained by Qiu, Ji and Wang [23].

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ . If  $\det \frac{\tilde{W}_{\frac{1}{2}}(G)}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}}$  is odd and square-free, then  $G$  is  $DGA_{\frac{1}{2}} S$ .*



### 3.1. Proof of Theorem 3.1

In this subsection, we are devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.1. Before giving the proof of Theorem 3.1, we present the following needed lemmas.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a graph and let  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Assume that  $U \in \Gamma_\alpha(G)$  with level  $l$  and  $p$  is a prime division of  $l$ . Then for each integer  $k \geq 0$ , there exists an integral column vector  $\mathbf{v} \not\equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$  satisfying that*

$$\mathbf{v}^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \mathbf{v} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}. \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be a graph such that  $U^T A_{c_\alpha}(G)U = A_{c_\alpha}(H)$  and let  $\bar{U} = lU$ . Based on Definition 1, there exists a column  $\mathbf{v}$  of  $\bar{U}$  such that  $\mathbf{v} \not\equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ . It is easy to check that  $\bar{U}^T A_{c_\alpha}^k(G)\bar{U} = l^2 A_{c_\alpha}^k(H) \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p^2}$ . It follows that  $\mathbf{v}^T A_{c_\alpha}^k(G)\mathbf{v} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$  for each integer  $k \geq 0$ .

Notice that  $U\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ . Hence,  $U^T A_{c_\alpha}^k(G)\mathbf{1} = A_{c_\alpha}^k(H)\mathbf{1}$  for each integer  $k \geq 0$ . It follows that  $U^T \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^k(G)\mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} = \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^k(H)\mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}$  for each integer  $k \geq 1$ , and therefore  $U^T \tilde{W}_\alpha(G) = \tilde{W}_\alpha(H)$  is an integral matrix. Hence,  $\tilde{W}_\alpha(G)^T \mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $\det \tilde{W}_\alpha(G) \neq 0$  and let  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . If  $U \in \Gamma_\alpha(G)$  with level  $l$ , then  $l \mid s_n$ , where  $s_n$  is the  $n$ -th elementary division of  $\tilde{W}_\alpha(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be a graph such that  $U^T A_{c_\alpha}(G)U = A_{c_\alpha}(H)$ . In view of the proof of Lemma 3.3, one has  $U^T \tilde{W}_\alpha(G) = \tilde{W}_\alpha(H)$ . That is to say,  $U^T = \tilde{W}_\alpha(H)\tilde{W}_\alpha(G)^{-1}$ .

By Theorem 2.1, there exist unimodular matrices  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  such that  $\tilde{W}_\alpha(G) = V_1 S V_2$ , where  $S = \text{diag}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  and  $s_i \mid s_{i+1}$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Thus,

$$s_n U^T = \tilde{W}_\alpha(H) V_2^{-1} \text{diag} \left( \frac{s_n}{s_1}, \frac{s_n}{s_2}, \dots, \frac{s_n}{s_n} \right) V_1^{-1}.$$

Notice that  $V_1^{-1}$  and  $V_2^{-1}$  are integral matrices. Hence,  $s_n U^T$  is an integral matrix. Therefore,  $l \mid s_n$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 3.1.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Firstly, we consider  $p \mid c_\alpha$ . It follows from Lemma 3.4 that  $p \nmid l$ . Otherwise,  $p \mid \det \tilde{W}_\alpha$  and therefore  $\text{rank}_p(\tilde{W}_\alpha) \neq n$ , which contradicts the definition of  $\mathfrak{F}_n$ . So, in what follows, it suffices to assume that  $p \nmid c_\alpha$ .

Suppose, to the contrary, that  $p \mid l$ . In view of Theorem 2.1, there exist unimodular matrices  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  such that  $\tilde{W}_\alpha = V_1 S V_2$ , where  $S = \text{diag}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  and  $s_i \mid s_{i+1}$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . By Lemma 3.4, one has  $p \mid s_n$ .

Notice that  $\det \tilde{W}_\alpha = \pm \det S$ . Therefore  $p \mid \det \tilde{W}_\alpha$ . Based on the definition of  $\mathfrak{F}_n$ , one has  $p^2 \nmid \det \tilde{W}_\alpha$ . Hence,  $\text{rank}_p(\tilde{W}_\alpha) = n-1$ . In view of the proof Lemma 3.3, we know that each column of  $\bar{U}$  satisfies (3.1). Thus,  $\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \bar{U} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$  and so  $\text{rank}_p(\bar{U}) = 1$ . It follows that there exists an integral vector  $\gamma$  such that  $\mathbf{v} \gamma^T \equiv \bar{U} \pmod{p}$ , where  $\mathbf{v}$  is the  $j$ -th column of  $\bar{U}$  satisfying both  $\mathbf{v} \not\equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$  and (3.1). Let  $H$  be a graph such that  $U^T A_{c_\alpha}(G)U = A_{c_\alpha}(H)$ . Thus,

$$A_{c_\alpha}(G)\mathbf{v} = \bar{U} A_{c_\alpha}(H)_j \equiv \mathbf{v}(\gamma^T A_{c_\alpha}(H)_j) = \lambda_0 \mathbf{v} \pmod{p},$$

here  $A_{c_\alpha}(H)_j$  denotes the  $j$ -th column of  $A_{c_\alpha}(H)$  and  $\lambda_0 = \gamma^T A_{c_\alpha}(H)_j$ . Hence,  $\text{rank}_p(A_{c_\alpha}(G) - \lambda_0 I) \neq n$ . We proceed by considering the following three cases.

**Case 1.**  $\text{rank}_p(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) = n - 1$ . Notice that  $\mathbf{v}^T(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$  and  $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Hence, there exist integral vectors  $\mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  satisfying  $\mathbf{v} \equiv (A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)\mathbf{y} \pmod{p}$  and  $\mathbf{1} \equiv (A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)\mathbf{u} \pmod{p}$ . That is to say,  $\mathbf{1} = (A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)\mathbf{u} + p\beta$  for some integral vector  $\beta$ . It follows that

$$\tilde{W}_\alpha = \left[ \mathbf{1}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} \right] = (A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)X + p \left[ \beta, \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \beta}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \beta}{c_\alpha} \right], \quad (3.2)$$

here  $X = \left[ \mathbf{u}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{u}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{u}}{c_\alpha} \right]$ . Notice that  $X$  does not need to be an integral matrix, but  $X \pmod{p}$  is meaningful since  $p$  is an odd prime and  $p \nmid c_\alpha$ . Therefore,

$$c_\alpha \frac{\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{v}}{p} = c_\alpha X^T \frac{(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)\mathbf{v}}{p} + [c_\alpha \beta, A_{c_\alpha} \beta, \dots, A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \beta]^T \mathbf{v}.$$

It is straightforward to check that there exists an integer  $s$  such that  $\frac{p+s}{c_\alpha} \times c_\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $c_\alpha \mid (p+s)$ . Hence,  $\frac{p+s}{c_\alpha} \equiv \frac{1}{c_\alpha} \pmod{p}$ . Notice that  $\frac{\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{v}}{p}$  is an integral vector. It follows that

$$\frac{\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{v}}{p} \equiv X^T \frac{(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)\mathbf{v}}{p} + \mathbf{v}^T \beta \left[ 1, \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0^{n-1}}{c_\alpha} \right]^T \pmod{p}. \quad (3.3)$$

By Lemma 3.3 and  $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ , one has  $\mathbf{v}^T \frac{(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)\mathbf{v}}{p} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Together with  $\mathbf{v}^T(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$  and  $\text{rank}_p(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) = n - 1$ , we obtain that there exists an integral vector  $\mathbf{x}$  such that  $\frac{(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)\mathbf{v}}{p} \equiv (A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)\mathbf{x} \pmod{p}$ .

In view of (3.2), one has

$$\tilde{W}_\alpha \equiv (A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)X + p \left[ \beta, \frac{(p+s)A_{c_\alpha} \beta}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{(p+s)A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \beta}{c_\alpha} \right] \equiv (A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)X \pmod{p}.$$

Thus,

$$X^T \frac{(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)\mathbf{v}}{p} \equiv X^T (A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)\mathbf{x} \equiv \tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{x} \pmod{p}. \quad (3.4)$$

Recall that  $\mathbf{v} \equiv (A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)\mathbf{y} \pmod{p}$ . It is routine to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{y}}{c_\alpha} &\equiv \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0}{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} + \frac{p+s}{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{v} \equiv \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0}{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} \pmod{p}, \\ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^2 \mathbf{y}}{c_\alpha} &\equiv \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0}{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{y} + \frac{p+s}{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{v} \equiv \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0^2}{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} \pmod{p}, \\ &\vdots \\ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{y}}{c_\alpha} &\equiv \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0^{n-1}}{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{y} \equiv \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} \left[ 1, \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0^{n-1}}{c_\alpha} \right]^T \pmod{p}. \quad (3.5)$$

Next, we show that  $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Otherwise,  $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then  $\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{y} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ . Recall that  $\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$  and  $\text{rank}_p(\tilde{W}_\alpha) = n - 1$ . It follows that  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

That is, there exist not all zero integers  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  such that  $m_1\mathbf{v} + m_2\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Left multiplying both sides by  $A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I$  yields that  $m_2\mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ . Notice that  $\mathbf{v} \not\equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ . So,  $m_2 = 0$  and therefore  $m_1 = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Then there exists an integer  $t$  such that  $\mathbf{v}^T \beta \equiv t \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} \pmod{p}$ .

Together with (3.3)-(3.5), we obtain that

$$\frac{\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{v}}{p} \equiv \tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{x} + t \tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{y} \pmod{p},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\tilde{W}_\alpha^T (\mathbf{v} - p\mathbf{x} - t p\mathbf{y}) \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p^2}.$$

In view of Lemma 2.2, one has  $p^2 \mid \det \tilde{W}_\alpha$ , a contradiction.

**Case 2.**  $\text{rank}_p(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) = n - 2$ . In this case, we proceed by considering the following claim.

**Claim 1.**  $\text{rank}_p([A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I, \mathbf{v}]) = n - 1$ .

**Proof of Claim 1.** Notice that  $\text{rank}_p([A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I, \mathbf{v}]) \geq \text{rank}_p(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) = n - 2$ . Suppose that  $\text{rank}_p([A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I, \mathbf{v}]) = n - 2$ . Then  $\mathbf{v}$  can be written as a linear combination of the column vectors of  $A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . That is, there exist an integral vector  $\mathbf{w}$  ( $\mathbf{w} \not\equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ ) such that  $\mathbf{v} \equiv (A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)\mathbf{w} \pmod{p}$ . Recall that  $A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{v} \equiv \lambda_0 \mathbf{v} \pmod{p}$  and  $\bar{U}\mathbf{1} = lU\mathbf{1} = l\mathbf{1}$ . Hence, for each positive integer  $k$ ,

$$\frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \mathbf{w}}{c_\alpha} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{k-1} \mathbf{v}}{c_\alpha} + \frac{\lambda_0 \mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{k-1} \mathbf{w}}{c_\alpha} \equiv \frac{\lambda_0^k \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{w}}{c_\alpha} \pmod{p}.$$

Since  $\text{rank}_p(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) = n - 2$ , there exists an integral vector  $\mathbf{y}$  which is linearly independent with  $\mathbf{v}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , such that  $(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)\mathbf{y} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ . It is routine to check that  $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . In fact, if  $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then  $\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{y} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ , a contradiction to  $\text{rank}_p(\tilde{W}_\alpha) = n - 1$ .

Now, we show that  $\mathbf{v}$ ,  $\mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Otherwise, there exist not all zeros integers  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  and  $m_3$  such that  $m_1\mathbf{v} + m_2\mathbf{y} + m_3\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Left multiplying both sides by  $A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I$  gives us  $m_3\mathbf{w} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ . Notice that  $\mathbf{w} \not\equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ . Hence,  $m_3 = 0$  and so  $m_1\mathbf{v} + m_2\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , a contradiction.

Let  $\eta = (\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y})\mathbf{w} - (\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{w})\mathbf{y}$ . Then  $\eta \not\equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$  and  $\mathbf{1}^T \eta \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Moreover, for each integer  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \eta}{c_\alpha} \equiv (\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y}) \frac{\lambda_0^k \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{w}}{c_\alpha} - (\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{w}) \frac{\lambda_0^k \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y}}{c_\alpha} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

It follows that  $\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \eta \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ , a contradiction to the fact that  $\text{rank}_p(\tilde{W}_\alpha) = n - 1$ . This completes the proof of Claim 1.  $\square$

Notice that  $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $\mathbf{v}^T [A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I, \mathbf{v}] \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ . By Claim 1, there exist integral vectors  $\mathbf{u}$ ,  $\beta$  and integer  $f$  such that  $\mathbf{1} = (A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)\mathbf{u} + f\mathbf{v} + p\beta$ . Therefore,

$$\tilde{W}_\alpha = (A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)X + f \left[ \mathbf{v}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{v}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{v}}{c_\alpha} \right] + p \left[ \beta, \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \beta}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \beta}{c_\alpha} \right], \quad (3.6)$$

where  $X = \left[ \mathbf{u}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{u}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{u}}{c_\alpha} \right]$ . Thus,

$$c_\alpha \frac{\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{v}}{p} = c_\alpha X^T \frac{(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I)\mathbf{v}}{p} + \frac{f}{p} [c_\alpha \mathbf{v}, A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{v}, \dots, A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{v}]^T \mathbf{v} + [c_\alpha \beta, A_{c_\alpha} \beta, \dots, A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \beta]^T \mathbf{v}.$$

Notice that  $\frac{\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{v}}{p}$  is an integral vector. It follows that

$$\frac{\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{v}}{p} \equiv X^T \frac{(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) \mathbf{v}}{p} + \left( \frac{f}{p} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^T \beta \right) \left[ 1, \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0^{n-1}}{c_\alpha} \right]^T \pmod{p}.$$

By Lemma 3.3 and  $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ , one has  $\mathbf{v}^T \frac{(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) \mathbf{v}}{p} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Together with Claim 1 and  $\mathbf{v}^T [A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I, \mathbf{v}] \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ , there exists an integral vector  $\mathbf{x}$  and an integer  $m$  such that  $\frac{(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) \mathbf{v}}{p} \equiv (A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) \mathbf{x} + m \mathbf{v} \pmod{p}$ .

Note that  $(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) \mathbf{y} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ , here  $\mathbf{y}$  is defined in the proof of Claim 1. Thus,

$$\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{y} = \left[ \mathbf{1}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} \right]^T \mathbf{y} \equiv \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} \left[ 1, \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0^{n-1}}{c_\alpha} \right]^T \pmod{p}.$$

Recall that  $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Hence, there exists an integer  $t$  satisfying  $\frac{f}{p} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^T \beta + m \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v} - f \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x} \equiv t \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} \pmod{p}$ . It follows from (3.6) that  $\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \equiv X^T (A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) + f \left[ \mathbf{v}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{v}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{v}}{c_\alpha} \right] \pmod{p}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{v}}{p} &\equiv X^T \frac{(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) \mathbf{v}}{p} + \left( \frac{f}{p} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^T \beta \right) \left[ 1, \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0^{n-1}}{c_\alpha} \right]^T \\ &\equiv X^T (A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) \mathbf{x} + m X^T \mathbf{v} + \left( \frac{f}{p} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^T \beta \right) \left[ 1, \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0^{n-1}}{c_\alpha} \right]^T \\ &\equiv \tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{x} + \left( \frac{f}{p} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^T \beta + m \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v} - f \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x} \right) \left[ 1, \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0^{n-1}}{c_\alpha} \right]^T \\ &\equiv \tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{x} + t \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} \left[ 1, \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{(p+s)\lambda_0^{n-1}}{c_\alpha} \right]^T \\ &\equiv \tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{x} + t \tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{y} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{W}_\alpha^T (\mathbf{v} - p \mathbf{x} - t p \mathbf{y}) \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p^2}.$$

In view of Lemma 2.2, one has  $p^2 \mid \det \tilde{W}_\alpha$ , a contradiction.

**Case 3.**  $\text{rank}_p(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) \leq n - 3$ . In this case, there exists three linearly independent vectors, say  $\mathbf{v}$ ,  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ , such that  $(A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) \mathbf{v} = (A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) \mathbf{w} = (A_{c_\alpha} - \lambda_0 I) \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . It is straightforward to check that  $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{w} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Let  $\zeta = (\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{w} - (\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{y}$ . Then  $\zeta \not\equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$  and  $\mathbf{1}^T \zeta \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Moreover, for each integer  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \zeta}{c_\alpha} \equiv (\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y}) \frac{\lambda_0^k \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{w}}{c_\alpha} - (\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{w}) \frac{\lambda_0^k \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y}}{c_\alpha} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

It follows that  $\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \zeta \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p}$ , a contradiction to the fact that  $\text{rank}_p(\tilde{W}_\alpha) = n - 1$ .

Combining Cases 1-3, Theorem 3.1 follows immediately.  $\square$

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.2

In this subsection, we present the proof of Theorem 3.2. Before doing so, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $G$  be a graph and let  $\alpha$  be in  $[0, 1)$ . Then  $\text{rank}_2(\tilde{W}_\alpha) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by distinguishing the parity on  $n$ .

**Case 1.**  $n$  is even. In view of Lemma 2.5, one obtains that

$$\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \tilde{W}_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} & \dots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} \\ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^2 \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha^2} & \dots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^n \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha^n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^n \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha^n} & \dots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{2n-2} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha^{2n-2}} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{2}. \quad (3.7)$$

Therefore,  $2 \operatorname{rank}_2(\tilde{W}_\alpha) = \operatorname{rank}_2(\tilde{W}_\alpha^T) + \operatorname{rank}_2(\tilde{W}_\alpha) \leq n$ . It follows that  $\operatorname{rank}_2(\tilde{W}_\alpha) \leq \frac{n}{2} = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ .

**Case 2.**  $n$  is odd. Let  $\bar{W}_\alpha := \bar{W}_\alpha(G) = [2 \times \mathbf{1}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}]$ . Based on Lemma 2.5, we obtain that

$$\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \bar{W}_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \times \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} & \dots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} \\ \frac{2 \times \mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^2 \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha^2} & \dots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^n \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha^n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{2 \times \mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^n \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha^n} & \dots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{2n-2} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha^{2n-2}} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{2}. \quad (3.8)$$

It is easy to see that  $\operatorname{rank}_2(\bar{W}_\alpha) \geq \operatorname{rank}_2(\tilde{W}_\alpha) - 1$  and  $\operatorname{rank}_2(\tilde{W}_\alpha^T) + \operatorname{rank}_2(\bar{W}_\alpha) \leq n$ . Hence,  $\operatorname{rank}_2(\tilde{W}_\alpha) \leq \frac{n+1}{2} = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ .

Combining Cases 1-2, we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $G \in \mathfrak{F}_n$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . Then the SNF of  $\tilde{W}_\alpha$  is

$$S = \operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}, 2B),$$

where  $B$  is an odd and square-free integer. Furthermore,  $\operatorname{rank}_2(\tilde{W}_\alpha) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ .

*Proof.* Notice that  $\frac{\det \tilde{W}_\alpha}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}}$  is odd and square-free. Then  $\det \tilde{W}_\alpha = \pm 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} p_1 p_2 \dots p_s$ , here  $p_i$  denotes an odd prime and  $p_i \neq p_j$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq s$ . Therefore, the SNF of  $\tilde{W}_\alpha$  is

$$S = \operatorname{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 2^{l_1}, \dots, 2^{l_{t-1}}, 2^{l_t} B),$$

where  $B = p_1 p_2 \dots p_s$ . In view of Lemma 3.5, one has  $\operatorname{rank}_2(\tilde{W}_\alpha) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ , which is equivalent to  $n - t \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ . It follows that  $t \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ . Note that  $\det(\tilde{W}_\alpha) = \pm \det(S)$ . Hence,  $l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_t = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . Thus,  $l_1 = l_2 = \dots = l_t = 1$  and  $t = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . So,  $\operatorname{rank}_2(\tilde{W}_\alpha) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

For the ease of presentation, we need the following notations. For a graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices, let  $\hat{W}_\alpha(G)$  be the matrix defined as follows:

$$\hat{W}_\alpha := \hat{W}_\alpha(G) = \begin{cases} \left[ \mathbf{1}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} \right], & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ \left[ \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^2 \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} \right], & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $G \in \mathfrak{F}_n$ . Then  $\operatorname{rank}_2(\hat{W}_\alpha) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  for  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.6, one has  $\text{rank}_2(\tilde{W}_\alpha) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ . Let  $t := \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ . In order to prove our result, it suffices to show that the first  $t$  columns of  $\tilde{W}_\alpha$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\mathbf{1}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{t-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}$  are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . That is to say, there exist not all zero integers  $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{t-1} \in \mathbb{F}_2$  such that

$$b_0 \mathbf{1} + b_1 \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} + \dots + b_{t-1} \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{t-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{2}.$$

Let  $m = \max\{i : 0 \leq i \leq t-1, b_i \neq 0\}$ . Clearly,  $0 < m \leq t-1$ . Hence

$$\frac{A_{c_\alpha}^m \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} = -b_m^{-1} b_0 \mathbf{1} - b_m^{-1} b_1 \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} - \dots - b_m^{-1} b_{m-1} \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{m-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_2.$$

It follows that  $\frac{A_{c_\alpha}^m \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}$  can be written as a linear combination of  $\mathbf{1}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{m-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Then

$$\frac{A_{c_\alpha}^m \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} = -b_m^{-1} b_0 \mathbf{1} - b_m^{-1} b_1 \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} - \dots - b_m^{-1} b_{m-1} \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{m-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} + 2\beta \text{ over } \mathbb{Z}$$

for some integral vector  $\beta$ . Moreover,

$$\frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{m+1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} = -c_\alpha b_m^{-1} b_0 \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} - b_m^{-1} b_1 \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^2 \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} - \dots - b_m^{-1} b_{m-1} \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^m \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} + 2A_{c_\alpha} \beta \text{ over } \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{m+1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} = -c_\alpha b_m^{-1} b_0 \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} - b_m^{-1} b_1 \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^2 \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} - \dots - b_m^{-1} b_{m-1} \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^m \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_2,$$

i.e.,  $\frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{m+1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}$  can be written as a linear combination of  $\mathbf{1}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{m-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

By a similar discussion, we can show that for each integer  $i \geq 0$ , the vector  $\frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{m+i} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}$  can be written as a linear combination of  $\mathbf{1}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{m-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Therefore,  $\text{rank}_2(\tilde{W}_\alpha) \leq m \leq t-1$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\square$

For a graph  $G$ , let  $\tilde{W}'_\alpha := \tilde{W}'_\alpha(G) = \left[ \mathbf{1}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^2 \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{2n-2} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} \right]$ , and let

$$\hat{W}'_\alpha := \hat{W}'_\alpha(G) = \begin{cases} \left[ \mathbf{1}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^2 \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{n-2} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} \right], & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ \left[ \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^2 \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^4 \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} \right], & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $G \in \mathfrak{F}_n$ . Then  $\text{rank}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{W}'_\alpha{}^T \hat{W}'_\alpha}{2} \right) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  for  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ .*

*Proof.* For even  $n$ , in view of Lemma 3.6, one has  $\det \left( \frac{\tilde{W}'_\alpha{}^T \tilde{W}'_\alpha}{2} \right) = \frac{(2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} B)^2}{2^n} = B^2$ , where  $B$  is defined in Lemma 3.6. Notice that  $B$  is odd. Hence,  $\text{rank}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{W}'_\alpha{}^T \tilde{W}'_\alpha}{2} \right) = n$ . Therefore,  $\text{rank}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{W}'_\alpha{}^T \hat{W}'_\alpha}{2} \right)$  equals the number of columns of  $\hat{W}'_\alpha$ , as desired.

For odd  $n$ , let  $\bar{W}_\alpha := \bar{W}_\alpha(G) = [2 \times \mathbf{1}, \frac{A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha}]$  be the matrix defined as Lemma 3.5. In view of Lemma 3.6, one has  $\det \left( \frac{\bar{W}_\alpha{}^T \bar{W}_\alpha}{2} \right) = B^2$ . It follows that  $\text{rank}_2 \left( \frac{\bar{W}_\alpha{}^T \bar{W}_\alpha}{2} \right) = n$ . Hence,  $\text{rank}_2 \left( \frac{\bar{W}_\alpha{}^T \hat{W}'_\alpha}{2} \right)$  is equal to the number of columns of  $\hat{W}'_\alpha$ , as desired. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now, we are ready to present the proof Theorem 3.2.

**Proof of Theorem 3.2.** Suppose on the contrary that  $l$  is even. By Lemma 3.3, there exists a column  $\mathbf{v}$  ( $\mathbf{v} \not\equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{2}$ ) of  $lU$  satisfying that  $\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{2}$  and  $\mathbf{v}^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \mathbf{v} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  for each integer  $k \geq 0$ . In view of (3.7), (3.8) and Lemma 3.7, we obtain that  $\mathbf{v}$  can be written as a linear combination of the column vectors of  $\hat{W}_\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , that is, there exist integral vectors  $\mathbf{u}$  ( $\mathbf{u} \not\equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{2}$ ) and  $\beta$  such that  $\mathbf{v} = \hat{W}_\alpha \mathbf{u} + 2\beta$ . Therefore, for each integer  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{v}^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \mathbf{v} = (\hat{W}_\alpha \mathbf{u} + 2\beta)^T A_{c_\alpha}^k (\hat{W}_\alpha \mathbf{u} + 2\beta) \equiv \mathbf{u}^T \hat{W}_\alpha^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \hat{W}_\alpha \mathbf{u} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

We proceed by distinguishing the parity of  $n$ .

**Case 1.**  $n$  is even. In view of Lemma 2.5, one has for each integer  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$\hat{W}_\alpha^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \hat{W}_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{1+k} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{\frac{n}{2}-1+k} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} \\ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{1+k} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{2+k} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha^2} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{\frac{n}{2}+k} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha^2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{\frac{n}{2}-1+k} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{\frac{n}{2}+k} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha^2} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-2+k} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha^2} \end{bmatrix} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{2}.$$

Put  $M := (M_{ij})_{\frac{n}{2} \times \frac{n}{2}} = \hat{W}_\alpha^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \hat{W}_\alpha$  and  $\mathbf{u} = [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{\frac{n}{2}}]^T$ . Hence, for each integer  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}^T \hat{W}_\alpha^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \hat{W}_\alpha \mathbf{u} &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq \frac{n}{2}} M_{ij} u_i u_j = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}} M_{ii} u_i^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq \frac{n}{2}} M_{ij} u_i u_j \\ &\equiv (\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \mathbf{1}) u_1 + \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{2+k} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha^2} u_2 + \cdots + \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-2+k} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha^2} u_{\frac{n}{2}} \\ &= \left[ \mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \mathbf{1}, \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{2+k} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha^2}, \dots, \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-2+k} \mathbf{1}}{c_\alpha^2} \right] \mathbf{u} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

the congruence equation in (3.9) follows from the fact that  $u_i^2 \equiv u_i \pmod{2}$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}$ . Therefore,

$$\left[ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^k \mathbf{1}}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{2+k} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2}, \dots, \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-2+k} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \right] \mathbf{u} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \tag{3.10}$$

If  $c_\alpha$  is even, then we define

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 &:= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1}}{2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^2 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^4 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-2} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \\ 0 & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^3 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^5 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \\ 0 & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^4 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^6 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^n \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n+1} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n+3} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{2n-3} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \end{bmatrix} \\
&\equiv \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1}}{2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^2 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^4 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-2} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \\ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^3 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^5 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \\ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^2 \mathbf{1}}{2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^4 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^6 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^n \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n+1} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n+3} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{2n-3} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \end{bmatrix} \pmod{2},
\end{aligned}$$

the second congruence equation follows from Lemma 2.5. In view of (3.10), one has  $M_1 \mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{2}$ . Furthermore, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
M_2 &:= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1}}{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^3 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^5 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \\ 0 & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^4 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^6 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^n \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n+1} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n+3} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{2n-3} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \end{bmatrix} \\
&\equiv \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1}}{2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^2 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^4 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-2} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha} \\ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^3 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^5 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \\ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^2 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^4 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^6 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^n \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n+1} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n+3} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{2n-3} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \hat{W}'_\alpha}{2} \pmod{2},
\end{aligned}$$

the second congruence equation follows from Lemma 2.5.

By Lemma 3.7, we obtain that  $\text{rank}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \hat{W}'_\alpha}{2} \right) = \frac{n}{2}$ , that is, the column rank of  $M_2$  is full over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Hence, the last  $\frac{n}{2} - 1$  columns of  $M_2$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Therefore, the last  $\frac{n}{2} - 1$  columns of  $M_1$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . It follows that  $\text{rank}_2(M_1) \geq \frac{n}{2} - 1$ .

By applying (3.10) with  $k = 0$ , we have  $\frac{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1}}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Therefore,  $\text{rank}_2(M_1) = \frac{n}{2} - 1$ . Then the solution space of  $M_1 \mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{2}$  has dimension 1. It is routine to check that it is spanned by  $\mathbf{u} \equiv [1, 0, \dots, 0]^T \pmod{2}$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{v} \equiv \hat{W}_\alpha \mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{1} \pmod{2}$ . In view of Lemmas 3.4 and 3.6, one has  $l \mid 2B$ . It follows from Theorem 3.1 that  $l \mid 2$  and therefore  $l = 2$ . Recall that  $U$  is orthogonal and  $U\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ . Based on the choice of  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\frac{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1}}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , we know that  $n = 4$ . However, there are only six connected graphs on 4 vertices. It is routine to check that each of them is  $\text{DGA}_\alpha\text{S}$ . Hence  $l = 1$ , a contradiction.

If  $c_\alpha = 1$ , then by (3.10), one has  $M_2 \mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{2}$ . Together with Lemma 3.7, we obtain  $\mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{2}$ , a contradiction.



**Case 2.**  $n$  is odd. By a similar discussion as the proof for even  $n$ , we can show that for each integer  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$\left[ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{2+k} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2}, \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{4+k} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2}, \dots, \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1+k} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \right] \mathbf{u} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \quad (3.11)$$

Define

$$M_3 := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^2 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^4 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^6 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \dots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \\ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^3 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^5 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^7 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \dots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^n \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \\ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^4 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^6 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^8 \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \dots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n+1} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n+1} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n+3} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{n+5} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} & \dots & \frac{\mathbf{1}^T A_{c_\alpha}^{2n-2} \mathbf{1}}{2c_\alpha^2} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \hat{W}'_\alpha}{2}.$$

In view of (3.11), one has  $M_3 \mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{2}$ . By Lemma 3.7, we obtain that  $\text{rank}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{W}_\alpha^T \hat{W}'_\alpha}{2} \right) = \frac{n-1}{2}$ , that is, the column rank of  $M_3$  is full over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{2}$ , a contradiction.

Combining Cases 1-2, one obtains that  $l$  is odd. This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. Examples

In this section, we give two specific examples of  $\text{DGA}_\alpha \text{S}$  graphs which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.1 for some  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ .

**Example 4.1.** Let the adjacency matrix of graph  $G$  be given as follows:

$$A(G) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{14 \times 14}.$$

Hence,  $D(G) = \text{diag}(4, 8, 3, 3, 5, 7, 5, 9, 7, 5, 6, 7, 7, 4)$ . It can be computed directly using *Mathematica* [34] that

$$\det(\tilde{W}_{\frac{3}{4}}(G)) = 2^7 \times 5 \times 331 \times 143807 \times 545912603 \times 30283875584713 \times 778268539694081846899$$

and

$$\det(\tilde{W}_{\frac{5}{6}}(G)) = 2^7 \times 13 \times 31 \times 37 \times 327773499972443320387744582054393134299875049186710656493725761.$$

By Theorem 1.1, we know that  $G$  is  $\text{DGA}_{\frac{3}{4}} \text{S}$  and  $\text{DGA}_{\frac{5}{6}} \text{S}$ .

**Example 4.2.** Let the adjacency matrix of graph  $G$  be given as follows:

$$A(G) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{13 \times 13}.$$

Clearly,  $D(G) = \text{diag}(7, 3, 3, 5, 7, 4, 9, 6, 5, 6, 7, 7, 3)$ . It can be computed directly using *Mathematica* [34] that

$$\det(\tilde{W}_{\frac{2}{3}}(G)) = 2^6 \times 5 \times 97 \times 1367 \times 10067 \times 118189 \times 132430201 \times 145112609,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\tilde{W}_{\frac{10}{11}}(G)) &= 2^6 \times 3 \times 2567 \times 3251 \times 18593 \times 110574553 \\ &\quad \times 19912837250380292202346041446775471026303813. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.1, we know that  $G$  is  $\text{DGA}_{\frac{2}{3}}S$  and  $\text{DGA}_{\frac{10}{11}}S$ .

## 5. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we give a simple arithmetic criterion for determining whether a graph  $G$  is  $\text{DGA}_{\alpha}S$ . Obviously, the main results in [23] and [28] (i.e., Corollary 1 and Corollary 2) are the direct consequences of our results in this paper.

Notice that we do not consider the case that  $n$  is even and  $c_{\alpha} (\geq 3)$  is odd in Theorem 1.1. Now, we pose the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 5.1.** *Let  $G$  be in  $\mathfrak{F}_n$  and let  $\alpha$  be in  $[0, 1)$  with even  $n$  and odd  $c_{\alpha} (\geq 3)$ . Then  $G$  is  $\text{DGA}_{\alpha}S$ .*

In view of Lemma 2.4, we know that in order to prove this conjecture, it suffices to show that the condition in Conjecture 5.1 implies  $l(U) = 1$  for all matrices  $U \in \Gamma_{\alpha}(G)$ , which is equivalent to prove that any prime  $p$  is not a divisor of  $l(U)$ . Based on Theorem 3.1, one obtains that any odd prime  $p \nmid l(U)$  for all matrices  $U \in \Gamma_{\alpha}(G)$ . Hence, in order to prove Conjecture 5.1, it is sufficient to show that  $l(U)$  is odd for each matrix  $U \in \Gamma_{\alpha}(G)$ .

As it turns out in our paper, the arithmetic properties of  $\det \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(G)$  are closely related to whether a given graph is  $\text{DGA}_{\alpha}S$  for  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . By comparing Theorem 1.1 with Corollaries 1-2, we pose the following open problem:

**Problem 5.2.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with order  $n$  and let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . If  $\frac{\det \tilde{W}_{\alpha}(G)}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}}$  is an odd and square-free integer, determining whether  $G$  is  $\text{DGA}_{\alpha}S$  or not?*

## References

- [1] A. Abiad, W.H. Haemers, Cospectral graphs and regular orthogonal matrices of level 2, *Electron. J. Combin.* 19 (3) (2012) #P13.
- [2] A.E. Brouwer, W.H. Haemers, *Spectra of Graphs*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [3] Y.Y. Chen, D. Li, J.X. Meng, On the second largest  $A_\alpha$ -eigenvalues of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 580 (2019) 343-358.
- [4] H. Cohen, *A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory*, in: Graduate Texts in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [5] E.R. van Dam, W.H. Haemers, Which graphs are determined by their spectrum? *Linear Algebra Appl.* 373 (2003) 241-272.
- [6] E.R. van Dam, W.H. Haemers, Developments on spectral characterizations of graphs, *Discrete Math.* 309 (2009) 576-586.
- [7] M. Desai, V. Rao, A characterization of the smallest eigenvalue of a graph, *J. Graph Theory* 18 (2) (1994) 181-194.
- [8] M. Fisher, On hearing the shape of a drum, *J. Combin. Theory* 1 (1966) 105-125.
- [9] Hs.H. Günthard, H. Primas, Zusammenhang von Graphentheorie und MO-Theorie von Molekeln mit Systemen konjugierter Bindungen, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 39 (1956) 1645-1653.
- [10] X. Huang, H.Q. Lin, J. Xue, The Nordhaus-Gaddum type inequalities of  $A_\alpha$ -matrix, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 365 (2020) 124716.
- [11] M. Kac, Can one hear the shape of a drum? *Amer. Math. Monthly* 73 (1966) 1-23.
- [12] D. Li, Y.Y. Chen, J.X. Meng, The  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius of trees and unicyclic graphs with given degree sequence, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 363 (2019) 124622.
- [13] S.C. Li, S.J. Wang, The  $A_\alpha$ -spectrum of graph product, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 35 (2019) 473-481.
- [14] S.C. Li, W. Wei, The multiplicity of an  $A_\alpha$ -eigenvalue: A unified approach for mixed graphs and complex unit gain graphs, *Discrete Math.* 343 (2020) no. 8, 111916, 19 pp.
- [15] H.Q. Lin, X. Huang, J. Xue, A note on the  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 557 (2018) 430-437.
- [16] F.J. Liu, J. Siemons, W. Wang, New families of graphs determined by their generalized spectrum, *Discrete Math.* 342 (2019) 1108-1112.
- [17] F.J. Liu, W. Wang, T. Yu, H.-J. Lai, Generalized cospectral graphs with and without Hamiltonian cycles, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 585 (2020) 199-208.
- [18] L.H. Mao, F.J. Liu, W. Wang, A new method for constructing graphs determined by their generalized spectrum, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 477 (2015) 112-127.
- [19] V. Nikiforov, Merging the  $A$ - and  $Q$ -spectral theories, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* 11 (2017) 81-107.
- [20] V. Nikiforov, G. Pastén, O. Rojo, R.L. Soto, On the  $A_\alpha$ -spectra of trees, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 520 (2017) 286-305.
- [21] V. Nikiforov, O. Rojo, A note on the positive semidefiniteness of  $A_\alpha(G)$ , *Linear Algebra Appl.* 519 (2017) 156-163.
- [22] V. Nikiforov, O. Rojo, On the  $\alpha$ -index of graphs with pendent paths, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 550 (2018) 87-104.
- [23] L.H. Qiu, Y.Z. Ji, W. Wang, A new arithmetic criterion for graphs being determined by their generalized  $Q$ -spectrum, *Discrete Math.* 342 (2019) 2770-2782.
- [24] A. Schrijver, *The Theory of Linear and Integer Programming*, John Wiley & Sons, 1998.
- [25] J.F. Wang, J. Wang, X.G. Liu, F. Belardo, Graphs whose  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius does not exceed 2, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory* 40 (2020) 677-690.
- [26] S. Wang, D. Wong, F.L. Tian, Bounds for the largest and the smallest  $A_\alpha$  eigenvalues of a graph in terms of vertex degrees, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 590 (2020) 210-223.
- [27] W. Wang, Generalized spectral characterization revisited, *Electron. J. Combin.* 20 (4) (2013) # P4.
- [28] W. Wang, A simple arithmetic criterion for graphs being determined by their generalized spectra, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* 122 (2017) 438-451.

- [29] W. Wang, L.H. Mao, A remark on the generalized spectral characterization of the disjoint union of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 518 (2017) 1-13.
- [30] W. Wang, L.H. Qiu, Y.L. Hu, Cospectral graphs, GM-switching and regular rational orthogonal matrices of level  $p$ , *Linear Algebra Appl.* 563 (2019) 154-177.
- [31] W. Wang, C.X. Xu, An excluding algorithm for testing whether a family of graphs are determined by their generalized spectra, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 418 (2006) 62-74.
- [32] W. Wang, C.X. Xu, A sufficient condition for a family of graphs being determined by their generalized spectra, *European J. Combin.* 27 (2006) 826-840.
- [33] W. Wang, C.X. Xu, Note: on the generalized spectral characterization of graphs having an isolated vertex, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 425 (2007) 210-215.
- [34] Wolfram Research, Inc. *Mathematica*, Version 9.0 (Wolfram Research Inc., Champaign, 2012).
- [35] F. Xu, D. Wong, F.L. Tian, On the multiplicity of  $\alpha$  as an eigenvalue of the  $A_\alpha$  matrix of a graph in terms of the number of pendant vertices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 594 (2020) 193-204.
- [36] J. Xue, H.Q. Lin, S.T. Liu, J.L. Shu, On the  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius of a graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 550 (2018) 105-120.