HYPERMAPS OVER NON-ABELIAN SIMPLE GROUPS AND STRONGLY SYMMETRIC GENERATING SETS

ANDREA LUCCHINI AND PABLO SPIGA

ABSTRACT. A generating pair x, y for a group G is said to be *symmetric* if there exists an automorphism $\varphi_{x,y}$ of G inverting both x and y, that is, $x^{\varphi_{x,y}} = x^{-1}$ and $y^{\varphi_{x,y}} = y^{-1}$. Similarly, a group G is said to be *strongly symmetric* if G can be generated with two elements and if all generating pairs of G are symmetric.

In this paper we classify the finite strongly symmetric non-abelian simple groups. Combinatorially, these are the finite non-abelian simple groups G such that every orientably regular hypermap with monodromy group G is reflexible.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this note is to classify the finite strongly symmetric non-abelian simple groups.

Theorem 1. Let S be a finite non-abelian simple group. Then S is strongly symmetric if and only if $S \cong PSL(2,q)$ for some prime power q.

Interest on strongly symmetric groups stems from maps and hypermaps, which (roughly speaking) are embeddings of graphs on surfaces, see [5]. We give a brief account on this connection, for more details see [3, 7].

A map on a surface is a decomposition of a closed connected surface into vertices, edges and faces. The vertices and edges of this decomposition form the underlying graph of the map. An automorphism of a map is an automorphism of the underlying graph which can be extended to a homeomorphism of the whole surface. For the definition of hypermaps, which bring us closer to strongly symmetric groups, we need to take a more combinatorial point of view.

Each map on a orientable surface can be described by two permutations, usually denoted by R and L, acting on the set of directed edges (that is, ordered pairs of adjacent vertices) of the underlying graph. The permutation R permutes cyclically the directed edges starting from a given vertex and preserving a chosen orientation of the surface. The permutation L interchanges the end vertices of a given directed edge. The monodromy group of the surface is the group generated by R and L and the map is said to be regular if the monodromy group acts regularly, that is, the identity is the only permutation fixing some element.

Observe that in a map, we have $L^2 = 1$. A hypermap is simply given by the combinatorial data R and L, where L is not necessarily an involution. Inspired by the topological and geometrical counterpart for maps, a hypermap is said to be

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. primary 05C10, 05C25; secondary 20B25.

Key words and phrases. chirality, hypermap, strongly symmetric, reflexible.

reflexible if the assignment $R \mapsto R^{-1}$ and $L \mapsto L^{-1}$ extends to a group automorphism of $\langle R, L \rangle$; otherwise the hypermap is said to be chiral.

It was shown in [3, Lemma 7], that a finite group G is strongly symmetric if and only if every orientably regular hypermap with monodromy group G is reflexible. In particular, Theorem 1 classify the finite non-abelian simple groups G with the property that every orientably regular hypermap with monodromy group G is reflexible.

In our opinion, Theorem 1 suggests a natural problem, which in principle should give a measure of how chirality is abundant among regular hypermaps. Let S be a non-abelian simple group and let $\delta(S)$ be the proportion of strongly symmetric generating sets of S, that is,

$$\delta(S) := \frac{|\{(x,y) \in S \times S \mid x, y \text{ symmetric generating set}\}|}{|\{(x,y) \in S \times S \mid S = \langle x, y \rangle\}|}$$

The closer $\delta(S)$ is to 1, the more abundant reflexible hypermaps are among orientably regular hypermaps with monodromy group S. Indeed, Theorem 1 classifies the groups S attaining 1. We do not have any "running conjecture", but we wonder whether statistically it is frequent the case that $\delta(S) < 1/2$. Moreover, we wonder whether it is statistically significant the case that $\delta(S) \to 0$ as $|S| \to \infty$, as S runs through a certain family of non-abelian simple groups.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

We start with a preliminary lemma.

Lemma 2. Let n be an integer with $n \ge 3$, let $g \in \operatorname{GL}(n,q)$ be a Singer cycle of order $q^n - 1$, let $x := g^{\operatorname{gcd}(n,q-1)}$ and let $a \in \Gamma L(n,q)$ such that $x^a = zx^{\varepsilon}$, for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}(\operatorname{GL}(n,q))$ and $\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}$. Then z = 1, $\varepsilon = 1$ and $a \in \langle g \rangle$.

Proof. Let e_1, \ldots, e_n be the canonical basis of the *n*-dimensional vector space \mathbb{F}_q^n of row vectors over the finite field of cardinality q. Set $v := e_1$ and let P_1 be the stabilizer in $\operatorname{GL}(n,q)$ of the vector v. As $\langle g \rangle$ acts transitively on the set of non-zero vectors of \mathbb{F}_q^n , we may suppose that a := bc, where $b \in P_1$ and c lies in the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of the field \mathbb{F}_q .

As $\mathbf{Z}(\operatorname{GL}(n,q))$ consists of scalar matrices, we may identify the matrix z with an element in the field \mathbb{F}_q . We show that, for every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $(vx^{\ell})^a = z^{\ell}vx^{\varepsilon\ell}$. When $\ell = 0$, $v^a = v^{bc} = v$, because b and c fix the vector $v = e_1$. When $\ell > 0$, we have

$$(vx^{\ell})^{a} = v^{a}(x^{\ell})^{a} = v(x^{\ell})^{a} = v(x^{a})^{\ell} = v(zx^{\varepsilon})^{\ell} = v(z^{\ell}x^{\varepsilon\ell}) = z^{\ell}vx^{\varepsilon\ell}.$$

Observe that v, vx, \ldots, vx^{n-1} is a basis of \mathbb{F}_q and hence there exists $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with

(2.1)
$$vx^{n} = a_{0}v + a_{1}vx + \dots + a_{n-1}vx^{n-1}.$$

Now, by applying a on both sides of this equality and using the previous paragraph, we obtain

(2.2)
$$z^n v x^{\varepsilon n} = a_0 v + a_1 z v x^{\varepsilon} + \dots + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} v x^{\varepsilon(n-1)}.$$

We let $f(T) := T^n - a_{n-1}T^{n-1} - a_{n-2}T^{n-2} - \cdots - a_1T - a_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ be the characteristic polynomial of the matrix x. Observe that f(T) is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$

because $x = g^{\text{gcd}(n,q-1)}$ acts irreducibly on \mathbb{F}_q^n . Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ be a root of f(T) and observe that λ generates the field extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q$.

We now distinguish the cases, depending on whether $\varepsilon = 1$ or $\varepsilon = -1$. Assume $\varepsilon = 1$. Using (2.1) and (2.2), we get f(T) = f(zT). Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ be a root of f(T) and observe that λ generates \mathbb{F}_{q^n} the field extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q$. Then $z\lambda$ is also a root of f(T) and hence, there exists $i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, with $\lambda^{q^i} = z\lambda$. This gives $\lambda^{q^i-1} = z \in \mathbb{F}_q$, which implies i = 1 and z = 1.

Assume $\varepsilon = -1$. Using (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain that $z\lambda^{-1}$ is also a root of f(T) and hence, there exists $i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, with $\lambda^{q^i} = z\lambda^{-1}$. This gives $\lambda^{q^i+1} = z \in \mathbb{F}_q$, which has no solutions because $n \geq 3$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Macbeath has proved in [8] that, for every prime power q, PSL(2,q) is strongly symmetric; see also [3, Proposition 8]. In particular, for the rest of the proof, we let S be a finite strongly symmetric non-abelian simple group and our task is to show that $S \cong PSL(2,q)$, for some prime power q.

Observe that, if $S = \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle$ and $\alpha \in Aut(S)$ inverts both s_1 and s_2 , then

$$\alpha^{2} \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Aut}(S)}(s_{1}) \cap \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Aut}(S)}(s_{2}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Aut}(S)}(\langle s_{1}, s_{2} \rangle) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Aut}(S)}(S) = 1.$$

If α is the identity automorphism, then s_1, s_2 are involutions and hence $S = \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle$ is a dihedral group, contradicting the fact that S is a non-abelian simple group. Therefore α has order 2, that is, α is an involution of Aut(S).

In [7, Theorem 1.1], Leemans and Liebeck have proved that, if T is a finite nonabelian simple group that is not isomorphic to Alt(7), to PSL(2, q), to PSL(3, q) or to PSU(3, q), then there exist $x, s \in S$ such that the following hold:

- (i) $T = \langle x, s \rangle;$
- (ii) s is an involution;
- (iii) there is no involution $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(T)$ such that $x^{\alpha} = x^{-1}$, $s^{\alpha} = s$.

In particular, if S is not isomorphic to Alt(7), to PSL(2,q), to PSL(3,q) or to PSU(3,q), then S is not strongly symmetric. In the rest of this proof, we deal with each of these cases separately.

Assume S = Alt(7); in particular, Aut(S) = Sym(7). Let $s_1 := (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)$ and $s_2 := (1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 5)$ and, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let $\Delta_i := \{\alpha \in Sym(7) \mid s_i^{\alpha} = s_i^{-1}\}$. It can be easily checked that $S = \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle$ and

$$\Delta_1 = \{(2,7)(3,6)(2,4), (1,7)(2,6)(3,5), (1,6)(2,5)(3,4), (1,5)(2,4)(6,7), (1,4)(2,3)(5,7), (1,3)(4,7)(5,6), (1,2)(3,7)(4,6)\},\$$

$$\Delta_2 = \{(2,5)(3,7)(4,6), (1,5)(2,7)(3,6), (1,7)(2,6)(3,4), (1,6)(2,4)(5,7), (1,4)(2,3)(5,6), (1,3)(4,5)(6,7), (1,2)(3,5)(4,7)\}.$$

Since $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 = \emptyset$, the generating pair s_1, s_2 of Alt(7) witnesses that Alt(7) is not strongly symmetric.

Assume S = PSL(3, q). Since PSL(3, 2) = PSL(2, 7), we may assume q > 2.

Let $A := \operatorname{Aut}(S)$, let $d := \operatorname{gcd}(3, q - 1)$ and let ι be the graph automorphism of $\operatorname{PSL}(3, q)$ defined via the inverse-transpose mapping $x \mapsto x^{\iota} = (x^{-1})^T$, for every $x \in \operatorname{PSL}(3, q)$, where x^T denotes the transpose of the element x of $\operatorname{PSL}(3, q)$. Since $x \in \operatorname{PSL}(3, q)$ is not a single matrix, but a coset of the center $\mathbf{Z}(\operatorname{SL}(3, q))$ in $\operatorname{SL}(3, q)$, there is a slight abuse of notation when we talk about the transpose of the coset

x. However, since $\mathbf{Z}(\mathrm{SL}(3,q))$ consists of diagonal matrices, this should cause no confusion.

Next, let Ω_1 be the set of cyclic subgroups of S generated by a Singer cycle of order $(q^2 + q + 1)/d$ and, for any $K \in \Omega_1$, let

$$\Delta_K := \{ \alpha \in A \mid \alpha^2 = 1, \, k^\alpha = k^{-1} \, \forall k \in K \}.$$

Observe that the set Ω_1 consists of a single S-conjugacy class.

Let $K \in \Omega_1$, let $k \in K$ be a generator of K and let $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_K$. Then $k^{\alpha} = k^{-1} = k^{\beta}$ and hence $\beta^{-1}\alpha \in \mathbf{C}_{\operatorname{Aut}(S)}(k)$. This shows that $\Delta_K \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{\operatorname{Aut}(S)}(k)\alpha$ and that Δ_K consists of the involutions in $\mathbf{C}_{\operatorname{Aut}(S)}(k)\alpha$.

It is not hard to see that there exists a symmetric matrix in GL(3,q) having order $q^3 - 1$. Let g be one of these matrices and let \bar{g} be its projection in PGL(3, q). The element $h := \bar{g}^d$ generates a subgroup $H \in \Omega_1$. Since g is symmetric, $g = g^T$ and hence $h^{\iota} = h^{-1}$, that is, $\iota \in \Delta_H$ and Δ_H consists of the involutions contained in $\mathbf{C}_{\operatorname{Aut}(S)}(h)\iota$. From Lemma 2, we deduce that, if $a \in \operatorname{P\Gamma L}(3,q)$ and $h^a = h^{\varepsilon}$ with $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$, then $\varepsilon = 1$ and $a \in \langle \bar{g} \rangle$. As $\bar{g}^{\iota} = \bar{g}^{-1}$, we deduce $\mathbf{C}_{\operatorname{Aut}(S)}(h) = \langle \bar{g} \rangle$ and that $\langle \bar{g}, \iota \rangle$ is a dihedral group of order $2(q^2 + q + 1)$. Thus

(2.3)
$$|\Delta_H| = q^2 + q + 1.$$

Let Ω_2 be the set of the conjugates of ι in A. Given $y \in \Omega_2$, we want to determine the number δ_y of subgroups $K \in \Omega_1$ with the property that $y \in \Delta_K$. Consider the bipartite graph having vertex set $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$ and having edge set consisting of the pairs $\{K, y\}$ with $K \in \Omega_1, y \in \Omega_2$ and $y \in \Delta_K$. Since Ω_1 and Ω_2 both consist of a single A-conjugacy class, the group A acts as a group of automorphisms on our bipartite graph with orbits Ω_1 and Ω_2 . Thus, the number of edges of the bipartite graph is $|\Omega_1||\Delta_H| = |\Omega_2|\delta_y$. Therefore, for every $y \in \Omega_1$, we have

(2.4)
$$\delta_y = \frac{|\Omega_1||\Delta_H|}{|\Omega_2|}$$

Let ω_H be the number of $K \in \Omega_1$ with $\Delta_H \cap \Delta_K \neq \emptyset$. Clearly

(2.5)
$$\omega_H \le \delta_y |\Delta_H|.$$

We claim that there exists $K \in \Omega_1$ with $\Delta_H \cap \Delta_K = \emptyset$. From (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), it suffices to show that

$$|\Omega_1| > \omega_H \ge \delta_y |\Delta_H| = \frac{|\Omega_1| |\Delta_H|^2}{|\Omega_2|}$$

or, equivalently, that

$$|A: \mathbf{C}_A(\iota)| = |\Omega_2| > |\Delta_H|^2 = (q^2 + q + 1)^2.$$

Let G = InnDiag(S) = PGL(3, q). From [6, Chapter 4] or [4, Proposition 3.2.11], we have $\mathbf{C}_G(\iota) = \text{Sp}(2, q)$. Thus

$$|A: \mathbf{C}_A(\iota)| \ge |G: \mathbf{C}_G(\iota)| = \frac{(q^3 - 1)(q^2 - 1)q^3}{(q^2 - 1)q} = (q^3 - 1)q^2.$$

As q > 2, it follows $|A: \mathbf{C}_A(\iota)| > (q^2 + q + 1)^2$ and our claim is now proved.

Now choose $K = \langle y \rangle \in \Omega_2$ such that $\Delta_H \cap \Delta_K = \emptyset$. We use the list of the maximal subgroups of S = PSL(3, q), see [2, Table 8.3]. When $q \neq 4$, $\mathbf{N}_S(H)$ is a maximal subgroup of S isomorphic to H : 3 and hence $\langle h, y \rangle = S$. In particular, h, y is a generating pair of S witnessing that S is not strongly symmetric. When

q = 4, we have used the computer algebra system magma [1] to show that PSL(3,4) is not strongly symmetric.

Let S = PSU(3, q) and A = Aut(S). Since PSU(3, 2) is solvable, q > 2. Let A := Aut(S), let d := gcd(3, q + 1) and let Ω_1 be the set of cyclic subgroups of S generated by a Singer cycle of order $(q^2 - q + 1)/d$ and, for any $K \in \Omega_1$, let

$$\Delta_K := \{ \alpha \in A \mid \alpha^2 = 1, \, k^\alpha = k^{-1} \, \forall k \in K \}.$$

Observe that the set Ω_1 consists of a single S-conjugacy class.

Let ϕ be the automorphism of S induced by the Frobenius automorphism $x \mapsto x^q$ of the underlying finite field \mathbb{F}_{q^2} of order q^2 . It is not hard to see that there exists $g \in \mathrm{GU}(3,q)$ with $g^{\phi} = g^{-1}$. Let \bar{g} be the projection of g in PGU(3, q) and let $h := \bar{g}^d$. Thus $H := \langle h \rangle \in \Omega_1$ and $\phi \in \Delta_H$. Since $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{PGU}(3,q)}(\langle \bar{g} \rangle) = \langle \bar{g} \rangle$ and since no field automorphism centralizes H, we deduce that Δ_H is the set of the $q^2 - q + 1$ involutions in the dihedral group $\langle \bar{g}, \phi \rangle$ of order $2(q^2 - q + 1)$ (we are omitting some details here, but these are similar to the arguments in the case of $\mathrm{PSL}(3,q)$). In particular, $|\Delta_H| = q^2 - q + 1$.

Let Ω_2 be the set of the conjugates of ϕ in A. Given $y \in \Omega_2$, we want to determine the number δ_y of subgroups $K \in \Omega_1$ with $y \in \Delta_K$. Arguing as in the previous paragraph, we deduce that

$$\delta_y = \frac{|\Omega_1||\Delta_H|}{|\Omega_2|}.$$

Let ω_H be the number of $K \in \Omega_1$ with $\Delta_H \cap \Delta_K \neq \emptyset$. Clearly

$$\omega_H \le \delta_y |\Delta_H|.$$

We claim that there exists $K \in \Omega_1$ with $\Delta_H \cap \Delta_K = \emptyset$. It suffices to show that

$$|\Omega_1| > \omega_H \ge \delta_y |\Delta(H)| = \frac{|\Omega_1| |\Delta_H|^2}{|\Omega_2|}$$

or, equivalently, that

$$|A: \mathbf{C}_A(\phi)| = |\Omega_2| > |\Delta_H|^2 = (q^2 - q + 1)^2.$$

Let G = InnDiag(S) = PGU(3, q). From [6, Chapter 4] or [4, Proposition 3.3.15], we have $\mathbf{C}_G(\phi) = \text{Sp}(2, q)$. It follows

$$|A: \mathbf{C}_A(\phi)| \ge |G: \mathbf{C}_G(\phi)| = \frac{(q^3+1)(q^2-1)q^3}{(q^2-1)q} = (q^3+1)q^2.$$

It follows $|A: \mathbf{C}_A(\phi)| > (q^2 - q + 1)^2$ and our claim is now proved.

Now choose $K = \langle y \rangle \in \Omega_2$ such that $\Delta_H \cap \Delta_K = \emptyset$. We use the list of the maximal subgroups of S = PSU(3, q), see [2, Table 8.3]. When $q \notin \{3, 5\}$, $\mathbf{N}_S(H)$ is a maximal subgroup of S isomorphic to H : 3 and hence $\langle h, y \rangle = S$. In particular, h, y is a generating pair of S witnessing that S is not strongly symmetric. When $q \in \{3, 4\}$, we have used the computer algebra system magma [1] to show that PSU(3, 3) and PSU(3, 5) are not strongly symmetric. \Box

References

- 1. W. Bosma, J. Cannon, C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system. I. The user language, J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (3-4) (1997), 235–265.
- J. N. Bray, D. F. Holt, C. M. Roney-Dougal, *The maximal subgroups of the low dimensional classical groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **407**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.

A. LUCCHINI AND P. SPIGA

- A. Breda D'Azevedo, G. Jones, R. Nedela and M. Škoviera, Chirality groups of maps and hypermaps, J. Algebraic Combin. 29 (2009), no. 3, 337–355.
- T. C. Burness, M. Giudici, *Derangements and Primes*, Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series 25, Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- H. S. M. Coxeter, W. O. J. Moser, Generators and Relations for Discrete Groups, 3rd edn. Springer, New York (1972).
- D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, R. Solomon, *The classification of the finite simple groups*, Number 3. Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys and Monographs 40, 3 (1998).
- D. Leemans, M. W. Liebeck, Chiral polyhedra and finite simple groups, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 49 (2017), no. 4, 581–592.
- 8. A. M. Macbeath, On a theorem of Hurwitz, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. 5 (1961), 90-96.

ANDREA LUCCHINI, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA "TULLIO LEVI-CIVITA", UNIVERSITY OF PADOVA, VIA TRIESTE 63, 35121 PADOVA, ITALY *Email address*: lucchini@math.unipd.it

PABLO SPIGA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA E APPLICATA, UNIVERSITY OF MILANO-BICOCCA, VIA COZZI 55, 20126 MILANO, ITALY Email address: pablo.spiga@unimib.it

6