

ON $H^*(BPU_n; \mathbb{Z})$ AND WEYL GROUP INVARIANTS

DIARMUID CROWLEY AND XING GU

ABSTRACT. For the projective unitary group PU_n with a maximal torus T_{PU_n} and Weyl group W , we show that the integral restriction homomorphism

$$\rho_{PU_n} : H^*(BPU_n; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(BT_{PU_n}; \mathbb{Z})^W$$

to the integral invariants of the Weyl group action is onto. We also present several rings naturally isomorphic to $H^*(BT_{PU_n}; \mathbb{Z})^W$.

In addition we give general sufficient conditions for the restriction homomorphism ρ_G to be onto for a connected compact Lie group G .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a compact connected Lie group. Its maximal tori are determined up to conjugation. Let T_G be one of the maximal tori and N_G its normalizer. Then the Weyl group $W_G := N_G/T_G$ acts by conjugation on T_G . When the group G is clear from the context, we shall often omit the subscript G from the notations.

Let BH denote the classifying space of a topological group H , $H^*(BH; R)$ cohomology with coefficients in a commutative ring R . The conjugation action of W on T induces an action of W on the cohomology ring $H^*(BT; R)$ and the inclusion $T \hookrightarrow G$ induces a homomorphism of cohomology rings

$$H^*(BG; R) \rightarrow H^*(BT; R),$$

whose image lies in $H^*(BT; R)^W$, the sub-ring of invariants under the W -action. Hence we obtain the restriction homomorphism

$$(1.1) \quad \rho_{G;R} : H^*(BG; R) \rightarrow H^*(BT; R)^W.$$

The study of $H^*(BG; R)$ via the homomorphism $\rho_{G;R}$ was pioneered by Borel and Hirzebruch [5] and determining the image of $\rho_{G;R}$ remains an important problem in algebraic topology. It is well known that $\rho_{G;\mathbb{Q}}$ is onto for all G : there are numerous proofs, such as the one in Chapter III of [11]. It is also well known for $G = U_n$, the unitary group, that $\rho_{U_n;R}$ is onto for all co-efficient groups R . For the projective unitary group $G = PU_n = U_n/S^1$, where $S^1 \subseteq U_n$ is the center, the situation is less clear: Toda proved that $\rho_{PU_n; \mathbb{Z}/2}$ is onto for $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ or $n = 4$ [14] and we discuss the integral case below.

In this paper we will focus on integral Weyl group invariants and set

$$H^*(-) := H^*(-; \mathbb{Z}), \quad \rho_G := \rho_{G; \mathbb{Z}}.$$

Feshbach [7] proved that ρ_{SO_n} is onto for all n but that $\rho_{Spin_{12}}$ is not onto. Later Benson and Wood [4] proved for all $n \geq 6$ that ρ_{Spin_n} is onto if and only if n is not congruent to 3, 4 or 5 modulo 8. Kameko and Mimura [13] studied more cases. For $G = PU_2$, we have $PU_2 \cong SO_3$ and so ρ_{PU_2} is onto. Vezzosi showed that ρ_{PU_3} is

onto [15] and this was later generalized by Vistoli to ρ_{PU_p} for all odd primes p [16]. The second author also proved for degrees $* \leq 12$, that ρ_{PU_n} is onto for all n [8].

Despite the progress discussed above, the question of whether ρ_{PU_n} was onto remained opened in general. Recently, the work of Antieau and Williams [1] and the second author [9], [10] showed that the determination of $H^*(BPU_n)$ is an important input for studying the Topological Period-Index Problem [1] and this has renewed interest in the map ρ_{PU_n} . Our main result is the following

Theorem 1.1. *For all n , the restriction homomorphism*

$$\rho_{PU_n}: H^*(BPU_n) \rightarrow H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W$$

is onto.

We present the outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 1.1, after first discussing some of its consequences. Let $q_n: U_n \rightarrow PU_n := U_n/S^1$ denote quotient map defining PU_n and consider the related fibration of classifying spaces

$$(1.2) \quad BU_n \xrightarrow{Bq_n} BPU_n \rightarrow B^2S^1.$$

As we explain in Section 4, Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to the following

Corollary 1.2. *The image of $(Bq_n)^*: H^*(BPU_n) \rightarrow H^*(BU_n)$ is a summand, which is naturally isomorphic to $H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W$.*

We define four further rings naturally isomorphic to $H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W$.

(i) For $0 \leq j \leq n$, let $c_j \in H^{2j}(BU_n)$ be j th Chern class. Define the derivation

$$\nabla_n: H^*(BU_n) \rightarrow H^{*-2}(BU_n)$$

by $\nabla_n(c_j) := (n-j+1)c_{j-1}$ and extending by the Leibnitz rule. Then we have $\text{Ker}(\nabla_n) \subset H^*(BU_n)$.

(ii) Let $\mu: BU_n \times BS^1 \rightarrow BU_n$ be the map which classifies the homomorphism $U_n \times S^1 \rightarrow U_n$, where $S^1 \subset U_n$ is the centre and define the subring of primitive elements

$$PH^*(BU_n) := \{c \mid \mu^*(c) = c \otimes 1\} \subset H^*(BU_n).$$

(iii) Let $d_3^{0,*}: H^*(BU_n) \rightarrow H^{*-2}(BU_n)$ denote the d_3 -differential from the 0-column of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the fibration (1.2): $\text{Ker}(d_3^{0,*}) \subset H^*(BU_n)$.

(iv) For a space X , set $FH^*(X) := H^*(X)/TH^*(X)$, where $TH^*(X) \subseteq H^*(X)$ is the torsion ideal and consider $FH^*(BPU_n)$.

Theorem 1.3. *There are natural isomorphisms*

$$FH^*(BPU_n) \cong H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W \cong \text{Im}((Bq_n)^*) = \text{Ker}(\nabla_n) = PH^*(BU_n) = \text{Ker}(d_3^{0,*}).$$

We briefly discuss the groups and maps in Theorem 1.3. The Weyl group invariants $H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W$ are described algebraically by $\text{Ker}(\nabla_n)$ and topologically by $PH^*(BU_n)$. The equality $\text{Im}((Bq_n)^*) = \text{Ker}(d_3^{0,*})$ entails that all higher differentials, $d_r^{0,*}$ for $r > 3$, vanish in the Serre spectral sequence of the fibration in (1.2). As $FH^*(BPU_n) \cong H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W$, there is a split short exact sequence of graded groups

$$(1.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow TH^*(BPU_n) \rightarrow H^*(BPU_n) \xrightarrow{\rho_{PU_n}} H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W \rightarrow 0$$

and Vistoli [16] has constructed a splitting of the above sequence as graded rings in the case that n is an odd prime. However, the situation for general n is open.

Hence finding natural splittings of ρ_{PU_n} ; i.e. finding natural constructions of characteristic classes in $H^*(BPU_n)$ which map onto the Weyl group invariants, remains an interesting open problem; see Remark 4.10.

1.1. General sufficient conditions for ρ_G to be onto. We will prove Theorem 1.1 by establishing general sufficient conditions for ρ_G to be onto and verifying that they hold for $G = PU_n$. Recall that N is the normaliser of a maximal torus T of G and consider the inclusion $N \rightarrow G$. As G and N have the same maximal torus and Weyl group, the natural map $\nu: BN \rightarrow BG$ induces an isomorphism $\nu^*: H^*(BG; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(BN; \mathbb{Q})$. Since G/N has Euler characteristic 1 (see [2, §6]), the composition

$$H^*(BG) \xrightarrow{\nu^*} H^*(BN) \xrightarrow{\tau^*} H^*(BG)$$

is the identity, where τ^* denotes the transfer in cohomology [2, Theorem 5.5]. Hence $\nu^*: H^*(BG) \rightarrow H^*(BN)$ is a split injection; see, for example, [6, Proposition A.1] and its proof. It follows that the induced map

$$FH^*(BG) \rightarrow FH^*(BN)$$

is an isomorphism. Since $H^*(BT)$ is torsion free, so is $H^*(BT)^W$ and so the natural map $H^*(BN) \rightarrow H^*(BT)^W$ factors over the induced map $FH^*(BN) \rightarrow H^*(BT)^W$. Hence we have proven

Lemma 1.4. *The restriction homomorphism $\rho_G: H^*(BG) \rightarrow H^*(BT)^W$ is onto if and only if $\rho_N: H^*(BN) \rightarrow H^*(BT)^W$ is onto.* \square

By definition, there is a short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow N \rightarrow W \rightarrow 1$ and to investigate when ρ_N is onto, we consider the more general situation, where we have a short exact sequence of topological groups,

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\varphi} V \rightarrow 1,$$

with $A \subseteq M$ a closed normal subgroup and V a finite discrete group. Standard arguments give that $\pi: BA \rightarrow BM$, the map classifying the inclusion $A \rightarrow M$, has a model which is a V -covering space where BM is a CW -complex. (We will make the meaning of a model more precise in Section 2.) This induces a V - CW -structure on BA where $BA//V := EV \times_V BA \rightarrow BV$ is a model for $BM \rightarrow BV$ and the induced map

$$\pi^*: Z^*(BM; R) \rightarrow Z^*(BA; R)$$

of cellular cocycles takes values in $Z^*(BA; R)^V$, the subgroup of V -invariant cocycles. Suppose, for argument's sake, that the induced map

$$\pi^*: H^*(BM; R) \rightarrow H^*(BA; R)^V$$

is onto. Then for all $x \in H^*(BA; R)^V$, $x = \pi^*(y)$ for some $y \in H^*(BM; R)$ and we let $c_y \in Z^*(BM; R)$ be a cocycle representing y . Now $x = \pi^*(y)$ is represented by the V -invariant cocycle $\pi^*(c_y)$. Thus, we have shown that if the induced map $\pi^*: H^*(BM; R) \rightarrow H^*(BA; R)^V$ is onto, then BA admits a V - CW structure such that the natural map $BA//V \rightarrow BV$ is a model for $BM \rightarrow BV$ and the canonical map $Z^*(BA; R)^V \rightarrow H^*(BA; R)^V$ is onto. The following theorem states that after weakening the conditions on the V -action on a model for BA , the converse holds. Specifically, we define a *weak V - CW -complex* to be a CW -complex with a cellular V action (but no further requirement that the action is trivial on cells with are fixed set-wise by the action).

Theorem 1.5. *Let*

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\varphi} V \rightarrow 1$$

be a short exact sequence of topological groups, where A is a closed subgroup of M and V is discrete. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) *The natural map $H^*(BM; R) \rightarrow H^*(BA; R)^V$ is onto;*
- (2) *There is a model for BA which is a weak V -CW-complex such that the natural map $BA//V \rightarrow BV$ is a model for $BM \rightarrow BV$ and the induced map of V -invariants*

$$Z^*(BA; R)^V \rightarrow H^*(BA; R)^V$$

is onto.

Hence the restriction homomorphism $H^*(BM; R) \rightarrow H^*(BA; R)^V$ is onto if and only if BA has a V -CW-structure where $BA//V \rightarrow BV$ models $BM \rightarrow BV$ and every V -invariant class in $H^*(BA; R)$ is represented by a V -invariant cocycle.

As a direct consequence of Lemma 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 we have

Corollary 1.6. *Let G be a connected compact Lie group with N the normaliser of the maximal torus T and Weyl group $W = N/T$. Then the restriction homomorphism $\rho_G: H^*(BG) \rightarrow H^*(BT)^W$ is onto if there is a model for BT which is a weak W -CW-complex such that $BT//W \rightarrow BW$ is a model for $BN \rightarrow BW$ and the induced map of W -invariants*

$$Z^*(BT)^W \rightarrow H^*(BT)^W$$

is onto. □

We will prove Theorem 1.1 by showing that BT_{PU_n} has a model satisfying the condition of Corollary 1.6; see Section 3.

As mentioned earlier, Benson and Wood [4] proved that Condition (1) of Theorem 1.5 fails for $G = BSpin_n$, when $n > 6$ and $n \equiv 3, 4, 5 \pmod{8}$. Therefore, Theorem 1.5 imposes restrictions on possible W -equivariant CW-decompositions of the maximal torus of G . More precisely, we have the following

Corollary 1.7. *Let $G = Spin_n$ for $n > 6$, $n \equiv 3, 4, 5 \pmod{8}$. There is no W -CW-complex model of BT such that $BT//W \rightarrow BW$ is a model for $BN \rightarrow BW$ and the homomorphism*

$$Z^*(BT)^W \rightarrow H^*(BT)^W$$

is surjective.

Curtis, Wiederhold and Williams [6] showed that for $G = Spin_n$, the canonical surjection $N \rightarrow W$ does not split. On the other hand, in all known cases where G is a connected compact Lie group and $\rho_G: H^*(BG) \rightarrow H^*(BT)^W$ is surjective, including the case $G = PU_n$, the canonical surjection $N \rightarrow W$ does split; equivalently, $N \cong T \rtimes W$. Hence we ask the following

Question 1.8. *If G is a connected compact Lie Group and $N \cong T \rtimes W$, is ρ_G onto? Equivalently, does BT admit a weak W -CW-structure where $BT//W \rightarrow BW$ models $BN \rightarrow BW$ and $Z^*(BT)^W \rightarrow H^*(BT)^W$ is onto?*

When G is not a connected compact Lie group, there are examples of Benson and Feshbach [3], where the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\varphi} V \rightarrow 1$$

splits and the conditions of Theorem 1.5 are not satisfied. Specifically, for each positive integer n , Benson and Feshbach constructed a finite group M_n with a split extension

$$1 \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow M_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 1,$$

where A_n is a non-abelian group and where (for the discrete topologies on all groups) the canonical homomorphism

$$H^*(BM_n; \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^*(BA_n; \mathbb{F}_2)^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

is not surjective. As a consequence of Theorem 1.5, we have

Corollary 1.9. *For the Benson-Feshbach groups $M_n \cong A_n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$, there is no weak $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -CW-complex structure on BA_n such that $BA_n/(\mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is a model for $BM_n \rightarrow B(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ and the canonical homomorphism*

$$Z^*(BA_n; \mathbb{F}_2)^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \rightarrow H^*(BA_n; \mathbb{F}_2)^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

is surjective.

Organisation. In Section 2 we complete the proof of Theorem 1.5 by studying the Serre spectral sequence of the fibration $BA \rightarrow BM \rightarrow BV$ and related spectral sequences. In Section 3 we present a W_{PU_n} -equivariant CW-complex structure on the classifying space BT_{PU_n} and prove Theorem 1.1. Section 4 discusses the Weyl group invariants $H^*(T_{PU_n})^W$, proving Corollary 1.2 and Theorem 1.3. Finally, in Section 5 we briefly discuss the examples of Benson and Feshbach and prove Corollary 1.9.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Dave Benson and Adrian Hen-drawan for helpful comments on earlier versions of this paper. The second author would like to thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for its hospitality and support.

2. THE SERRE SPECTRAL SEQUENCE FOR A FIBRATION OF CLASSIFYING SPACES

In this section we prove Theorem 1.5. Fix an epimorphism of topological groups $\varphi : M \rightarrow V$, where V is a finite discrete group V , and suppose that the kernel A is a closed subgroup of M .

By definition, there is a short exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow M \rightarrow V \rightarrow 1$$

and the corresponding fibration sequence of classifying spaces

$$(2.2) \quad BA \rightarrow BM \rightarrow BV.$$

Let $H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of topological groups. We begin by defining what we mean for a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to be a model for $BH \rightarrow BG$: there should be a universal principal G -bundle γ over Y , a universal principal H -bundle δ over X and an isomorphism of principal G -bundles $F_G(\delta) \rightarrow p^*(\gamma)$, where $F_G(\delta)$ is the principal G -bundle associated to δ .

If $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is a fibration and a model for $BH \rightarrow BG$ then if $W := p^{-1}(y)$ is a fibre of p then the inclusion $W \rightarrow X$ is a model for $BA \rightarrow BM$. This is an exercise in the universal properties of classifying spaces, which we leave to the reader.

We now consider the problem of determining when a V -action on X , some model for BA , is such that $X//V \rightarrow BV$ is a model for $BM \rightarrow BV$. We first make the following simple observation.

Lemma 2.1. *If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a V -equivariant map between CW -complexes, which is a homotopy equivalence, then $X//V \rightarrow BV$ is a model for $BM \rightarrow BV$ if and only if $Y//V \rightarrow BV$ is as well.*

Proof. Since f is a W -equivariant map it induces a map of homotopy quotients $f//W: X//W \rightarrow Y//W$, which fits into the following map of fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X//W & \xrightarrow{f//W} & Y//W \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BW & \xrightarrow{=} & BW \end{array}$$

The 5-Lemma shows that $(f//W)_*: \pi_*(X//W) \rightarrow \pi_*(Y//W)$ is an isomorphism. Since both spaces have the homotopy type of CW -complexes, $f//W$ is a homotopy equivalence, which commutes with the maps to BV , and the lemma follows. \square

Next we give a construction of V -actions on X to model $BM \rightarrow BV$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that the free right action of A on EA extends to a (not necessarily free) M -action. Then the induced V -action on $BA = EA/A$ is such that $BA//V \rightarrow BV$ is a model for $BM \rightarrow BV$. Furthermore, the map $BM \rightarrow BV$ is a fiber bundle with structural group V and fiber $X \simeq BA = EA/A$ with the V -action being the one passing from the M -action on EA .*

Proof. By definition, $BA//V = BA \times_V EV = (BA \times EV)/V$ where V acts on $BA \times EV$ by

$$(BA \times EV) \times V \rightarrow BA \times EV, \quad ((b, z), v) \mapsto (bv, zv).$$

where the V -action on BA is the one passing from the M -action on EA .

Now the V -action on BA is the quotient of a V -action on EA and we can define the following free right M -action on $EA \times EV$,

$$(EA \times EV) \times M \rightarrow EA \times EV, \quad ((e, z), m) \mapsto (em, z\varphi(m)).$$

Then the map

$$(EA \times EV) \rightarrow (EA \times EV)/M = (BA \times EV)/V = BA//V$$

is a universal principal M -bundle such that the induced V -bundle is identified with the pull-back of $EV \rightarrow BV$ along $BA//V \rightarrow BV$. Indeed, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} EV \times_{BV} (BA//V) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (EA \times EV) \times_M V \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & BA//V & \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrow is a homeomorphism given by

$$\begin{aligned} EV \times_{BV} (BA \times_V EV) &\rightarrow (EA \times EV) \times_M V, \\ (e_V, [e_A], e_V v) &\mapsto (e_A, e_V, v) \end{aligned}$$

with $e_V \in EV$ and $e_A \in EA$, and $[e_A]$ denotes the orbit of e_A in $BA = EA/A$.

Hence $BA//V \rightarrow BV$ is a model for $BM \rightarrow BV$, and is a fiber bundle with structural group V and fiber $X \simeq BA = EA/A$ with the V -action being the one passing from the G -action on EA . \square

We next show that $BM \rightarrow BV$ is always modelled by $X//V \rightarrow BV$ for some V -action on X , a model for BA . Let $EM \rightarrow BM$ be a universal principal M -bundle. In other words, we have a contractible space EM with a free M -action ϕ on it. The action ϕ restricts to $A \subset M$ and we take EM/A as a model for BA . The action of M on EM passes to a right action of $V = M/A$ on $BA = EM/A$ which we also denote by ϕ . Hence by Lemma 2.2 we have

Lemma 2.3. *With the above defined model of $X = EM/A$ and the right action ϕ , $X//V \rightarrow BV$ is a model for $BM \rightarrow BV$. Furthermore, the map $BM \rightarrow BV$ is a fiber bundle with structural group V and fiber $X \simeq BA$ with the V -action ϕ . \square*

Suppose there is a V -CW-complex X and a V -equivariant homotopy equivalence $X \rightarrow BA$. Then for every $k \geq 1$, V acts on $X^{(k-1)}$, the $(k-1)$ -skeleton of X and hence also on the quotient CW-complex $X_k := X/X^{(k-1)}$ and we have

Lemma 2.4. *There is a homotopy equivalence*

$$EV \times_V X \rightarrow EV \times_V BA \simeq BM$$

and for all $k \geq 1$, there are V -equivariant quotient maps

$$q_k: EV \times_V X \rightarrow EV \times_V X_k,$$

which fit into the following commutative diagram of fibrations over BV

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longrightarrow & EV \times_V X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & BV \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow q_k & & \downarrow = \\ X_k & \longrightarrow & EV \times_V X_k & \xrightarrow{\pi_k} & BV. \end{array}$$

Moreover, for $k \geq 1$, the V -action on X_k has a fixed point and so π_k has a section. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. In the discussion preceding Theorem 1.5, we have shown that Condition (1) implies Condition (2). It remains to show that Condition (2) implies Condition (1).

We consider the Serre spectral sequence associated to (2.2), which we denote by $E_*^{*,*}$:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} E_2^{s,t} &\cong H^s(BV; H^t(BA)_\phi) \Rightarrow H^{s+t}(BM), \\ d_r^{s,t} &: E_r^{s,t} \rightarrow E_r^{s+r, t-r+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $H^t(BA)_\phi$ is the local coefficient system given by the canonical action ϕ of $V = \pi_1(BV)$ on $H^*(BA)$.

On the E_2 -page we have the groups $E_2^{0,k} \cong H^k(BA; R)^V$ and on the E_∞ -page we have that $E_\infty^{0,k} = \text{Im}(H^k(BM; R) \rightarrow H^k(BA; R)^V)$. Hence it suffices to show that all differentials coming out of the 0-column consisting of the groups $E_r^{0,k}$ column are trivial for all r and all k .

For $k = 0$ all differentials leaving $E_*^{0,0}$ vanish for dimensional reasons. For $k \geq 1$, we consider the map of fibrations $q_{k+1}: EV \times_V X \rightarrow EV \times_V X_k$ and let ${}_k E_*^{*,*}$ be

the Serre spectral sequence associated to the homotopy fiber sequence

$$(2.5) \quad X_k \rightarrow EV \times_V X_k \rightarrow BV.$$

The commutative diagram (2.3) induces a morphism of spectral sequences

$$E^{*,*}(q_k): {}_k E_*^{*,*} \rightarrow E_*^{*,*},$$

which on the E_2 -page is given by the homomorphism

$$E^{s,t}(q_k): H^s(BV; H^t(X_k)_\phi) \rightarrow H^s(BV; H^t(X)_\phi)$$

In particular, for $(s, t) = (0, k)$, we have

$$(2.6) \quad E^{0,k}(q_k) = (q_k)^*: {}_k E_2^{0,k} = H^k(X_k)^V \rightarrow H^k(X)^V = E_2^{0,t},$$

and by Condition (2) of Theorem 1.5, the homomorphism (2.6) is a surjection. By construction, X_k is $(k-1)$ -connected. Hence in the spectral sequence ${}_k E_*^{*,*}$ we have

$${}_k E_k^{0,k} = {}_k E_2^{0,k}.$$

On the other hand, the homotopy fiber sequence (2.5) has a section by Lemma 2.4. Therefore the differential

$${}_{k+1} d_k^{0,k}: {}_k E_2^{0,k} = {}_k E_k^{0,k} \rightarrow {}_k E_k^{k+1,0}$$

is trivial and so

$$(2.7) \quad {}_k E_2^{0,k} = {}_k E_{k+1}^{0,k} = {}_k E_\infty^{0,k}.$$

Since the homomorphism of (2.6) is surjective, (2.7) entails that

$$E_2^{0,k} = E_\infty^{0,k},$$

which completes the proof that Condition (2) implies Condition (1). \square

Remark 2.5. We conclude this section with a discussion of the second equivalent condition of Theorem 1.5, which requires that BA has a weak V -CW model X in which the natural map $Z^*(X; R)^V \rightarrow H^*(X; R)^V$ is onto. By definition, there is a short exact sequence of RV -modules

$$(2.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow B^i(X; R) \rightarrow Z^i(X; R) \rightarrow H^i(X; R) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $B^i(X; R) = \partial(C^{i-1}(X; R)) \subseteq Z^i(X; R)$ is the subgroup of coboundaries. Writing $B^i = B^i(X; R)$, $Z^i = Z^i(X; R)$ and $H^i = H^i(X; R)$ and regarding (2.8) as a sequence of coefficient $R[V]$ -modules, we have the exact sequence

$$H^0(V; Z^i) \rightarrow H^0(V; H^i) \xrightarrow{\delta_X} H^1(V; B^i) \xrightarrow{i_X} H^1(V; Z^i).$$

Using the identifications $(Z^i)^V = H^0(V; Z^i)$ and $(H^i)^V = H^0(V; H^i)$ we see the second condition of Theorem 1.5 holds whenever there is weak V -CW model X for BA where $\delta_X = 0$, or equivalently where i_X is injective.

3. AN EQUIVARIANT CW-DECOMPOSITION OF BT_{PU_n}

In this section we construct a CW-complex model for BT_{PU_n} in such a way that Condition (2) of Theorem 1.5 is satisfied in the case $M = N_{PU_n}$, $A = T_{PU_n}$ and $V = W_{PU_n}$. Let $W = N_{PU_n}/T_{PU_n}$ be the Weyl group of the normalizer N_{PU_n} . Then W is isomorphic to W_{U_n} , which is the symmetric group S_n . Recall that a weak W -complex is a CW-complex with a cellular W -action.

Proposition 3.1. There is a weak W -CW-structure on $BT_{PU_n} \cong BT^{n-1}$ such that $BT_{PU_n}/W \rightarrow BW$ is a model for $BN_{PU_n} \rightarrow BW$ and the induced homomorphism of W -invariants

$$Z^*(BT_{PU_n})^W \rightarrow H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W$$

is surjective. Here $Z^*(BT_{PU_n})$ is the graded abelian group of cocycles of the cellular cochain complex.

The proof of Proposition 3.1 requires the following three preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. Let $f_i : E_i \rightarrow B$, $i = 0, 1$ be fiber bundles such that there is a map $h : E_0 \rightarrow E_1$ satisfying $f_0 \simeq f_1 h$. Then h is homotopic to some $h' : E_0 \rightarrow E_1$ satisfying $f_0 = f_1 h'$. In other words, h is homotopy equivalent to a bundle map.

Proof. Use the homotopy lifting property with respect to the homotopy $f_0 \simeq f_1 \cdot h$ and the fiber bundle $f_1 : E_1 \rightarrow B$. \square

Lemma 3.3. Let G be a discrete group. For $i = 0, 1$, let F_i be G -spaces, $p_i : E_i \rightarrow BG$ the fiber bundles with $E_i = EG \times_G F_i$, and p_i the canonical projection. Let $f : E_0 \rightarrow E_1$ be a fiber map over BG , i.e., we have $p_0 = p_1 f$. Then there is a G -equivariant map $h : F_0 \rightarrow F_1$ such that we have the following commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_0 & \hookrightarrow & E_0 \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow f \\ F_1 & \hookrightarrow & E_1, \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are inclusions of fibers.

Proof. Let $p_G : EG \rightarrow BG$ be the universal covering space/universal G -bundle over G . For any G -space F and the fiber bundle $p : E \rightarrow BG$ over BG with $E = EG \times_G F$ and p the canonical projection, we define the following action of $\pi_1(BG, x) \cong G$ on $p^{-1}(x) \cong F$.

Let I be the unit interval $[0, 1]$,

$$\sigma : (I, 0, 1) \rightarrow (BG, x, x)$$

a loop in BG at the base point x and e a point in $p_G^{-1}(x)$. By the theory of universal coverings, there is a unique path

$$\tilde{\sigma}_e : I \rightarrow EG$$

satisfying $p_G \tilde{\sigma} = \sigma$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_e(0) = e$. Furthermore, $\tilde{\sigma}_e(1)$ depends only on the homotopy class $[\sigma] \in \pi_1(BG, x)$. We define

$$(3.1) \quad [\sigma] : EG \times_G F \rightarrow EG \times_G F, (e, z) \mapsto (\tilde{\sigma}_e(1), z)$$

and the above action restricts to $F \cong p_G^{-1}(x)$. It is elementary to check that the action given by (3.1) is well-defined and compatible with the given G -action on F .

Restricting $f : E_0 \rightarrow E_1$ to the fiber over a base point $x \in BG$, we obtain a map

$$h : F_1 \cong p_0^{-1}(x) \rightarrow p_1^{-1}(x) \cong F_2$$

and it is straightforward to check that the above defined G -actions on $p_0^{-1}(x)$ and $p_1^{-1}(x)$ are compatible with h . \square

For the next lemma, consider the space $BT^n := (BS^1)^n$ with the action of $W = S_n$ by permuting the coordinates.

Lemma 3.4. *There is a model for BT^{n-1} with a W action such that*

- (1) BT^{n-1}/W is of the homotopy type BN_{PU_n} , and
- (2) there is a W -equivariant map $Bq_n^T : BT^n \rightarrow BT^{n-1}$ which is a model for the map induced by the quotient homomorphism $q_n^T : T^n \rightarrow T^{n-1}$, where T^{n-1} is identified as T^n modulo the diagonal.

In particular, regarding the homology of BT^{n-1} as

$$H_*(BT^{n-1}) \cong P[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n] / \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i \right)$$

where $P[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n]$ is the divided power algebra in t_1, \dots, t_n over \mathbb{Z} , the W -action is determined by permuting t_1, \dots, t_n . The induced homomorphism

$$(Bq_n^T)_* : H_*(BT^n) \cong P[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n] \rightarrow H_*(BT^{n-1}) \cong P[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n] / \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i \right)$$

is the quotient homomorphism.

Proof. Let ES^1 be contractible space with a free S^1 -action and consider the product $ET^n := (ES^1)^n$. Then the T^n action on ET^n -extends to $N_{U_n} = T^n \times W$ by permuting the coordinates. By Lemma 2.2, there is a model for $BN_{U_n} \rightarrow BW$ which is a fiber bundle with structure group W and fiber BT^n with W permuting the coordinates. In the rest of the proof, we let $BN_{U_n} \rightarrow BW$ denote this particular model.

Consider the semi-direct product $N_{PU_n} = T^{n-1} \times W$. By Lemma 2.2, there is a model for $BN_{PU_n} \rightarrow BW$ which is a fiber bundle with structural group W and fiber of the homotopy type BT^{n-1} . We let $BN_{PU_n} \rightarrow BW$ denote this particular model.

The commutative diagram of Lie groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T^n & \longrightarrow & N_{U_n} & \longrightarrow & W \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ T^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & N_{PU_n} & \longrightarrow & W \end{array}$$

induces a homotopy commutative diagram

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} BT^n & \longrightarrow & BN_{U_n} & \longrightarrow & BW \\ \downarrow \text{dashed } Bq_n^T & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ BT^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & BN_{PU_n} & \longrightarrow & BW \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are the above mentioned models, which, in particular, are fiber bundles. By Lemma 3.2, we may assume that the diagram (3.2) without the dashed arrow is strictly commutative. Therefore, by Lemma 3.3 we have a

Let $SU_n \subset U_n$ denote the special unitary group. There is a \mathbb{Z}/n -covering space $\mathbb{Z}/n \rightarrow SU_n \xrightarrow{P_n} PU_n$ and a corresponding fibration sequence

$$B\mathbb{Z}/n \rightarrow BSU_n \xrightarrow{Bp_n} BPU_n.$$

Since the fibre $B\mathbb{Z}/n = K(\mathbb{Z}/n, 1)$ has trivial rational cohomology, the Serre spectral sequence of the above fibration shows that

$$(Bp_n)^* : H^*(BPU_n; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(BSU_n; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[c_2, \dots, c_n]$$

is an isomorphism. Since $H^*(BPU_n; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(BT_{PU_n}; \mathbb{Q})^W$ is an isomorphism, by, for example, [11, Chapter III, Lemma 1.1, Reduction 2], we have

Proposition 4.1. $H^*(BT_{PU_n}; \mathbb{Q})^W \cong H^*(BPU_n; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[c_2, \dots, c_n]$. \square

4.1. The isomorphism $FH^*(BPU_n) \rightarrow H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W$. For any space X , we let $TH^*(X) \subseteq H^*(X)$ denote the ideal of torsion classes and define the free quotient of $H^*(X)$ by $FH^*(X) := H^*(X)/TH^*(X)$. Since $H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W$ is torsion free, Theorem 1.1 implies that ρ_{PU_n} induces an isomorphism

$$(4.1) \quad \bar{\rho}_{PU_n} : FH^*(BPU_n) \rightarrow H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W.$$

Of course in each degree i there are splittings

$$H^i(BPU_n) \cong TH^i(BPU_n) \oplus FH^i(BPU_n)$$

but in general we do not know if there is a natural splitting of $\bar{\rho}_{PU_n}$ or if there is an algebra isomorphism $H^*(BPU_n) \cong TH^*(BPU_n) \oplus FH^*(BPU_n)$. When $n = 3$, Vistolli [16], constructs a natural splitting of $\bar{\rho}_{PU_3}$, completing the work of Vezzosi [15].

4.2. The isomorphism $H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\nabla_n)$. Recall the fibration sequence

$$BS^1 \rightarrow BU_n \xrightarrow{Bq_n} BPU_n,$$

which is obtained by applying the classifying space functor to the central extension

$$(4.2) \quad S^1 \rightarrow U_n \xrightarrow{q_n} PU_n.$$

We will investigate the image of $(Bq_n)^* : H^*(BPU_n) \rightarrow H^*(BU_n)$. Consider the restriction of q_n on the maximal torus of U_n of diagonal matrices

$$q_n^T : T_{U_n} \rightarrow T_{PU_n}$$

which may be identified with the quotient map $T^n \rightarrow T^{n-1} = T^n/S^1$, where $S^1 \subset T^n$ is the diagonal circle, that the central extension (4.2) induces an isomorphism $W_{U_n} \rightarrow W_{PU_n}$ of Weyl groups and that there is a canonical identification $W_{U_n} = S_n$. We consider the following commutative diagram:

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H^*(BU_n) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{U_n}} & H^*(BT_{U_n})^W & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_n]^{S_n} \\ \uparrow (Bq_n)^* & & \uparrow (Bq_n^T)^* & & \uparrow (Bq_n^T)^* \\ H^*(BPU_n) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{PU_n}} & H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathbb{Z}[w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}]^{S_n} \end{array}$$

Here $B(q_n^T)^*(w_i) = v_{i+1} - v_i$ and ρ_{U_n} is an isomorphism, which we shall regard as an identification. In order to characterise the image of $(Bq_n^T)^*$ we define the

differential operator

$$\nabla_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} : \mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_n].$$

Since ∇_n commutes with the action of S_n on $\mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$, it restricts to the symmetric polynomials and we use the same symbol to denote the restriction

$$\nabla_n : \mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_n]^{S_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_n]^{S_n}.$$

Equivalently, ∇_n can be regarded as a derivation,

$$(4.4) \quad \nabla_n : H^*(BU_n) \rightarrow H^{*-2}(BU_n),$$

which was investigated by the second author in [8]. If $c_k \in \mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_n]^{S_n}$ denotes the k th elementary symmetric polynomial, equivalently the k th Chern class, then an elementary calculation gives

$$\nabla_n(c_k) = (n-k+1)c_{k-1}.$$

Along with the Leibnitz rule, this identity determines ∇_n , and another elementary calculation gives

Lemma 4.2 (Proposition 3.3, [8]).

$$(Bq_n^T)^*(\mathbb{Z}[w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}]^{S_n}) = \text{Ker}(\nabla_n) \subset \mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_n]^{S_n}.$$

□

Since $(Bq_n^T)^*$ is injective, Lemma 4.2 gives

Lemma 4.3. $(Bq_n^T)^* : H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\nabla_n)$ is an isomorphism. □

Notice that $\text{Ker}(\nabla_n) \subset H^*(BU_n)$ is a summand, since it is the kernel of a homomorphism to the torsion free group $H^{*-2}(BU_n)$. From Lemma 4.3, Theorem 1.1 and the diagram (4.3), we obtain the following algebraic characterisation of $(Bq_n)^*(H^*(BPU_n)) \subset H^*(BU_n)$.

Proposition 4.4. $(Bq_n)^*(H^*(BPU_n)) = \text{Ker}(\nabla_n)$ is a summand of $H^*(BU_n)$.

Together, Proposition 4.4 and Lemma 4.3 prove Corollary 1.2.

Remark 4.5. From Proposition 4.1 and the preceding discussion, it was known that $(Bq_n)^*(H^*(BPU_n)) \subset \text{Ker}(\nabla_n)$ was a subgroup of finite index in each degree. With Theorem 1.5 we now know that equality holds.

Example 4.6. In degree 2, $\text{Ker}(\nabla_n) \subset H^2(BU_n)$ is trivial and in degree 4 we have the following generator for $(Bq_n)^*(H^4(BPU_n))$:

$$\begin{cases} 2nc_2 - (n-1)c_1^2 & n \text{ even,} \\ nc_2 - \lfloor n/2 \rfloor c_1^2 & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.7. We consider the ring structure of $\text{Ker}(\nabla_n)$. By Proposition 4.1, setting $c_1 = 0$ induces an isomorphism $\text{Ker}(\nabla_n) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}[c_2, \dots, c_n]$ but integrally the situation can be more complex. In the special case $n = 2$, $\text{Ker}(\nabla_2) = \mathbb{Z}[4c_2 - c_1^2]$ is a polynomial ring on one generator of degree 4. However, for $n = 3$, Vistoli has shown that $\text{Ker}(\nabla_3)$ is not a polynomial ring [16, Theorem 3.7]. Indeed, there is a class $\delta \in FH^{12}(BPU_3)$ which is not a polynomial in lower dimensional classes, but 27δ is. In general, it is not clear to the authors whether $\text{Ker}(\nabla_n)$ is even finitely generated as a graded ring.

Since we have a ring isomorphism $\text{Ker}(\nabla_n) \cong H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W$, the above amounts to discussing whether $H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W$ is a polynomial ring over \mathbb{Z} . For a general compact Lie group G and a commutative unital ring R , it is interesting to consider whether $H^*(BT; R)^W$ is a polynomial ring over R . Ishiguro, Koba, Miyauchi and Takigawa [12] studied many cases.

4.3. The equality $PH^*(BU_n) = \text{Ker}(\nabla_n)$. In the previous subsection we characterised

$$(Bq_n)^*(H^*(BPU_n)) \subset H^*(BU_n)$$

algebraically. In this subsection we characterise this subgroup topologically.

Recall the map $\mu : BS^1 \times BU_n \rightarrow BU_n$ corresponding to the tensor product of the universal complex line bundle over S^1 and the universal complex n -vector bundle over BU_n . Then μ induces a homomorphism

$$\mu^* : H^*(BU_n) \rightarrow H^*(BS^1) \otimes H^*(BU_n)$$

which is a coalgebra structure of $H^*(BU_n)$ over $H^*(BS^1)$. A primitive element of this coalgebra is an element $c \in H^*(BU_n)$ satisfying $\mu^*(c) = 1 \otimes c$. The primitive elements of $H^*(BU_n)$ form a subring

$$PH^*(BU_n) := \{c \in H^*(BU_n) \mid \mu^*(c) = 1 \otimes c\}.$$

Since the map $\mu : BS^1 \times BU_n \rightarrow BU_n$ also classifies the tensor product of the universal complex line bundle with the universal rank n complex bundle, it follows that the primitive subring of $H^*(BU_n)$ can be described as the subring of those characteristic classes of rank n complex vector bundles E which remain unchanged after tensor product with any line bundle L :

$$PH^*(BU_n) := \{c \in H^*(BU_n) \mid c(E) = c(L \otimes E)\}$$

Proposition 4.8. $PH^*(BU_n) = \text{Ker}(\nabla_n)$. □

Proof. By Proposition 3.7 of Toda [14], $\mu^* : H^*(BU_n) \rightarrow H^*(BS^1) \otimes H^*(BU_n)$ satisfies

$$(4.5) \quad \mu^*(c_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k v^i \otimes \frac{\nabla^i}{i!}(c_k),$$

where we have $H^*(BS^1) = \mathbb{Z}[v]$ with v of degree 2. We will show that (4.5) holds for any class $x \in H^*(BU_n)$, i.e., that we have

$$(4.6) \quad \mu^*(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k v^i \otimes \frac{\nabla^i}{i!}(x).$$

The proposition then follows directly.

For the proof of (4.6), without loss of generality, we assume that x is a monomial in the classes c_k , and we proceed by induction on the number of factors of the form

c_k in x . The base case is therefore (4.5). For the inductive argument, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.7) \quad \mu^*(xc_k) &= \mu^*(x)\mu^*(c_k) \\
&= \left(\sum_i v^i \otimes \frac{\nabla_n^i}{i!}(x) \right) \left(\sum_j v^j \otimes \frac{\nabla_n^j}{j!}(c_k) \right) \\
&= \sum_i \sum_j v^{i+j} \otimes \frac{\nabla_n^i}{i!}(x) \frac{\nabla_n^j}{j!}(c_k) \\
&= \sum_l v^l \otimes \left[\sum_{i+j=l} \frac{\nabla_n^i}{i!}(x) \frac{\nabla_n^j}{j!}(c_k) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since ∇_n is a differential operator, we have

$$\nabla_n^l(xc_k) = \sum_{i+j=l} \binom{l}{i} \nabla_n^i(x) \nabla_n^j(c_k).$$

We resume the computation (4.7) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.8) \quad \mu^*(xc_k) &= \mu^*(x)\mu^*(c_k) \\
&= \sum_l v^l \otimes \left(\sum_{i+j=l} \frac{\nabla_n^i}{i!}(x) \frac{\nabla_n^j}{j!}(c_k) \right) \\
&= \sum_l v^l \otimes \frac{\nabla_n^l}{l!}(xc_k)
\end{aligned}$$

and the inductive step is complete. \square

From Propositions 4.8 and Proposition 4.4 we obtain

Proposition 4.9. $(Bq_n)^*(H^*(BPU_n)) = PH^*(BU_n)$. \square

Remark 4.10. From the isomorphism $PH^*(BU_n) = \text{Ker}(\nabla_n)$ and Vistoli's theorem [16, Theorem 3.7] (see Remark 4.7), we know that $PH^*(BU_n)$ is not in general a polynomial algebra. Nonetheless, it would be interesting to identify a canonical minimal set of generators $\tilde{c}_{i,j} \in PH^{2i}(BU_n)$ of $PH^*(BU_n)$ and then find lifts $\bar{c}_{i,j} \in H^*(BPU_n)$ of the $\tilde{c}_{i,j}$. If a natural construction of such classes $\bar{c}_{i,j}$ could be found, it would be tempting to call them *projective Chern classes*. For example, $H^4(BPU_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for all $n \geq 2$ and so is generated by one projective Chern class $\bar{c}_{2,0}$ which, up to sign, is unique.

4.4. The equality $\text{Ker}(d_3^{0,*}) = \text{Ker}(\nabla_n)$. One approach to the computation of $H^*(BPU_n)$ is to use the Serre spectral sequence associated to the fiber sequence

$$BU_n \xrightarrow{Bq_n} BPU_n \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 3).$$

We denote the cohomological Serre spectral sequence of this fiber sequence by and $E_*^{*,*}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.9) \quad E_2^{s,t} &= H^s(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); H^t(BU_n)) \Rightarrow H^{s+t}(BPU_n), \\
d_r^{s,t} : E_r^{s,t} &\rightarrow E_r^{s+r, t-r+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $x_1 \in H^3(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3))$ be the fundamental class. In the spectral sequence $E_*^{*,*}$ of (4.9), by [8, Corollary 3.4] we have

$$d_3(\xi\eta) = \nabla_n(\xi)x_1\eta$$

for $\xi \in H^*(BU_n)$ and $\eta \in H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3))$. Hence $\text{Ker}(d_3^{0,*}) = \text{Ker}(\nabla_n)$ and so by Propositions 4.4 we have

Proposition 4.11. $\text{Ker}(d_3^{0,*}) = (Bq_n)^*(H^*(BPU_n))$.

Since $E_\infty^{0,*} = (Bq_n)^*(H^*(BPU_n))$, we obtain

Theorem 4.12. *The Serre spectral sequence $E_*^{*,*}$ above satisfies $d_r^{0,*} = 0$ for $r > 3$. Hence $\text{Ker}(\nabla_n) = E_3^{0,*} = E_\infty^{0,*} = (Bq_n)^*(H^*(BPU_n)) = PH^*(BU_n)$. \square*

4.5. Summary. We conclude this section with a diagram summarising the description of $H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W$ which we have presented. Each isomorphism is labelled with the result most relevant to its proof:

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{Im}((Bq_n)^*) & & \\ & & \cong \downarrow \text{Prop 4.4} & & \\ FH^*(BPU_n) & \xrightarrow[\text{Thm 1.1}]{\cong} & H^*(BT_{PU_n})^W & \xrightarrow[\text{Lem 4.3}]{\cong} & \text{Ker}(\nabla_n) \xleftarrow[\text{Prop 4.7}]{\cong} PH^*(BU_n) \\ & & \cong \uparrow [8, \text{Cor. 3.4}] & & \\ & & \text{Ker}(d_3^{0,*}) & & \end{array}$$

The isomorphisms in Diagram (4.10) prove Theorem 1.3.

5. THE EXAMPLES OF BENSON AND FESHBACH

In Section 3 of [3], Benson and Feshbach construct for each positive integer n a finite group M_n with a split extension

$$1 \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow M_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 1.$$

The groups M_n are such that, in the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{s,t} &= H^s(B\mathbb{Z}/2; H^t(BA_n; \mathbb{F}_2)_\phi) \Rightarrow H^{s+t}(BM_n; \mathbb{F}_2), \\ d_r^{s,t} : E_r^{s,t} &\rightarrow E_r^{s+r, t-r+1}, \end{aligned}$$

the differential $d_n^{0, n-1}$ is nontrivial. Here $H^t(BA_n; \mathbb{F}_2)_\phi$ denotes the local coefficient system given by the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action ϕ on BA_n . In the above spectral sequence, we have

$$E_2^{0,t} = H^0(B\mathbb{Z}/2; H^t(BA_n; \mathbb{F}_2)_\phi) = H^t(BA_n; \mathbb{F}_2)^{\mathbb{Z}/2},$$

where $H^t(BA_n; \mathbb{F}_2)^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ denotes the subgroup of invariants of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action. Since the differential $d_n^{0, n-1}$ is nontrivial, the induced homomorphism

$$H^*(BM_n; \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^*(BA_n; \mathbb{F}_2)^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

is not surjective. Corollary 1.9 now follows from Theorem 1.5.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Antieau and B. Williams. The topological period–index problem over 6-complexes. *Journal of Topology*, 7(3):617–640, 2014.
- [2] J. Becker and D. Gottlieb. The transfer map and fiber bundles. *Topology*, 14(1):1 – 12, 1975.
- [3] D. Benson and M. Feshbach. On the cohomology of split extensions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 121(3):687–690, 1994.
- [4] D. J. Benson and J. A. Wood. Integral invariants and cohomology of $B\text{Spin}(n)$. *Topology*, 34(1):13–28, 1995.
- [5] A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch. Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces, I. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 80(2):458–538, 1958.
- [6] M. Curtis, A. Wiederhold, and B. Williams. Normalizers of maximal tori. In *Localization in group theory and homotopy theory, and related topics (Sympos., Battelle Seattle Res. Center, Seattle, Wash., 1974)*, pages 31–47. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 418. 1974.
- [7] M. Feshbach. The image of $H^*(BG, Z)$ in $H^*(BT; Z)$ for G a compact Lie group with maximal torus T . *Topology*, 20(1):93–95, 1981.
- [8] X. Gu. On the cohomology of the classifying spaces of projective unitary groups. *Journal of Topology and Analysis*, pages 1–39, 2019.
- [9] X. Gu. The topological period–index problem over 8-complexes, I. *Journal of Topology*, 12(4):1368–1395, 2019.
- [10] X. Gu. The topological period-index problem over 8-complexes, II. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 148:4541–4545, 2020.
- [11] W. Y. Hsiang. *Cohomology theory of topological transformation groups*, volume 85. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [12] K. Ishiguro, T. Koba, T. Miyauchi, and E. Takigawa. Modular invariants under the actions of some reflection groups related to weyl groups. *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 57(1):207–218, 2020.
- [13] M. Kameko and M. Mimura. Weyl group invariants. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1202.6459*, 2012.
- [14] H. Toda et al. Cohomology of classifying spaces. In *Homotopy theory and related topics*, pages 75–108. Mathematical Society of Japan, 1987.
- [15] G. Vezzosi. On the Chow ring of the classifying stack of $p\text{gl}_{3,\mathbb{C}}$. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 2000(523):1–54, 2000.
- [16] A. Vistoli. On the cohomology and the Chow ring of the classifying space of PGL_p . *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2007(610):181–227, 2007.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, PARKVILLE VIC 3010, AUSTRALIA

Email address: dcrowley@unimelb.edu.au

THE MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111, BONN, GERMANY

Email address: gux2006@mpim-bonn.mpg.de