

TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON THE FOCK SPACE VIA THE FOURIER TRANSFORM

SHENGKUN WU¹ AND DECHAO ZHENG²

ABSTRACT. In sprite by Berger-Coburn theorems and their conjecture in [5], we use the Fourier transform to decompose T_g as an infinite sum of Toeplitz operators with symbols which have compact support in the frequency domain. As a consequence, we obtain a sufficient condition for T_g to be bounded in terms of the Carleson measure conditions defined by the heat transform of the symbol g . Moreover the decomposition of a Toeplitz operator leads us to get easily understanding that for a bounded function g , if its Berezin transform vanishes at infinity, then the Toeplitz operator T_g is compact [9] and the Toeplitz algebra generated by Toeplitz operators with symbols in L^∞ is indeed generated by Toeplitz operators with symbols which on uniformly continuous on \mathbb{C}^n [2].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $dv(x)$ denote the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^n . For any positive parameter α , let

$$d\lambda_\alpha(x) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^n e^{-\alpha|x|^2} dv(x)$$

denote the Gaussian measure on \mathbb{C}^n . Let $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\lambda_\alpha)$ be the Hilbert space consisting of all square integrable functions with respect to the Gaussian measure. The Fock space F_α^2 is a subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\lambda_\alpha)$ which consists all holomorphic functions f on \mathbb{C}^n . Let P be the projection from $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\lambda_\alpha)$ onto the Fock space. The inner product of two functions $f, g \in F_\alpha^2$ is given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f \bar{g} d\lambda_\alpha.$$

For $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$, on one hand the inner product $\langle z, w \rangle$ is defined by

$$\langle z, w \rangle = z_1 \bar{w}_1 + z_2 \bar{w}_2 + \cdots + z_n \bar{w}_n$$

where $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ and $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$. On the other hand, z and w can be viewed as two vectors in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . We denote the inner product of z and w in \mathbb{R}^{2n} as

$$z \cdot w = \Re z \cdot \Re w + \Im z \cdot \Im w = \Re z_1 \Re w_1 + \cdots + \Re z_n \Re w_n + \Im z_1 \Im w_1 + \cdots + \Im z_n \Im w_n$$

where

$$\Re z = (\Re z_1, \Re z_2, \dots, \Re z_n), \quad \text{and} \quad \Im z = (\Im z_1, \Im z_2, \dots, \Im z_n),$$

$\Re z_i$ and $\Im z_i$ denote the real part and the imagery part of the complex number z_i respectively. Thus

$$z \cdot w = \Re \langle z, w \rangle.$$

For a multi-index $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the order $|\sigma|$ of the multi-index σ is defined by

$$|\sigma| = \sigma_1 + \cdots + \sigma_n.$$

Key words and phrases. Toeplitz operators, Fock space, boundedness.

The first author is supported by CSC201906050022. This work is partially supported by NFSC.

The authors thank R for his useful suggestion.

The monomial z^σ is defined by

$$z^\sigma = z_1^{\sigma_1} z_2^{\sigma_2} \cdots z_n^{\sigma_n}.$$

Similarly, we define the differential operators $\partial_{\Re z}^\sigma$ and $\partial_{\Im z}^\sigma$ by

$$\partial_{\Re z}^\sigma = \partial_{\Re z_1}^{\sigma_1} \cdots \partial_{\Re z_n}^{\sigma_n} \text{ and } \partial_{\Im z}^\sigma = \partial_{\Im z_1}^{\sigma_1} \cdots \partial_{\Im z_n}^{\sigma_n}.$$

The Fock space is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space and for any $w, z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with the reproducing kernel $K_w(z)$ given by

$$K_w(z) = e^{\alpha \langle z, w \rangle}.$$

The normalized reproducing kernel $k_w(z)$ is giving by

$$k_w(z) = e^{\alpha \langle z, w \rangle - \frac{\alpha}{2} |w|^2}.$$

Since the linear expansion of $\{k_w\}$ is dense in the Fock space, for a measurable function g on \mathbb{C}^n , if for any $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have $gk_w \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\lambda_\alpha)$, then we define the Toeplitz operator T_g with symbol g on the linear combinations of $\{k_w\}$ by

$$T_g \sum_{j=1}^n c_j k_{w_j} = P \left(g \sum_{j=1}^n c_j k_{w_j} \right).$$

A natural problem is what necessary and sufficient conditions are for T_g to be bounded. In [5], Berger and Coburn use some trace formula to obtain some necessary conditions for T_g to be bounded and use the Bargman transform and Calderon-Vaillancourt pseudo-differential estimates to obtain some sufficient conditions for T_g to be bounded in terms of the heat transform of the symbol g . These conditions lead them to make conjecture on the problem [5].

In sprite by Berger-Coburn theorems and their conjecture in [5], we use the Fourier transform to decompose T_g as an infinite sum of Toeplitz operators with symbols which have compact support in the frequency domain.

To state our results precisely we need to introduce notations. For any positive parameter t , the heat transform of g is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_t g(z) = \left(\frac{1}{t\pi} \right)^n \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} g(w) e^{-\frac{|z-w|^2}{t}} dv(w), \text{ for any } z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

In fact, if $t = \frac{1}{\alpha}$, a simple calculation gives that the heat transform of g is equal to the Berezin transform of the Toeplitz operator T_g :

$$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} g(z) = \langle T_g k_z, k_z \rangle.$$

For two functions f and g in $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, dv)$, the convolution $f * g$ of f and g is defined by

$$f * g(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(z-w) g(w) dv(w)$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

For any $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$, τ_y is a translation operator:

$$\tau_y f(x) = f(x-y).$$

For a Lebesgue integrable function f on \mathbb{C}^n , the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f$ of f is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} f(w) e^{-2\pi i z \cdot w} dv(w).$$

Let $\gamma_t(z)$ be $2n$ -dimension heat kernel $(\frac{1}{t\pi})^n e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{t}}$ and let $a_t(z)$ be the Gaussian function $e^{-\pi^2 t |z|^2}$. Then the heat transform of g is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_t g(z) = g * \gamma_t(z)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} \gamma_t(z) = \mathcal{F} \gamma_t(z) = a_t(z)$$

as the Gaussian function $e^{-\pi |z|^2}$ is fixed by the Fourier transform.

In the case of $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, in [5], Berger and Coburn proved that if $\mathcal{H}_t g$ is bounded for some $t \in (0, \frac{1}{2\alpha})$, then T_g is bounded. In fact, they showed that if

$$\partial_{\Re y}^a \partial_{\Im y}^b \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)$$

is bounded for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $|a| + |b| \leq 2n + 1$, then T_g is bounded. In this paper, we will improve the above conditions replacing the boundedness by the Carleson measure on the Fock space.

Let $d\mu$ be a positive measure on \mathbb{C}^n . For any $r > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, let $B(x, r)$ denote the ball in \mathbb{C}^n with center x and radius r . If there is a positive constant C_r such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{C}^n} \mu(B(x, r)) < C_r,$$

then we say that $d\mu$ is a Carleson measure on the Fock space. It is shown in [15, Theorem 3.29] that $d\mu$ is a Carleson measure on the Fock space if and only if

$$\sup_{w \in \mathbb{C}^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\frac{p\alpha}{2}|z-w|^2} d\mu(z) < \infty,$$

for some $0 < p < \infty$. Thus, for a nonnegative function f on \mathbb{C}^n , $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(f) \in L^\infty$ if and only if $f(y)dv(y)$ is a Carleson measure on the Fock space. Moreover, for any $r > 0$, there is a C_r such that

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{C_r} \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(f)\|_\infty \leq \sup_x \int_{B(x, r)} f(y) dv(y) \leq C_r \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(f)\|_\infty.$$

We will obtain a sufficient condition for a Toeplitz operator to be bounded and show that how the heat transformation of the symbol of a Toeplitz operator is related to the operator itself. In fact, a Toeplitz operator T_g can be represented by the weighted integral of "translates" of $T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g}$. To do so, we introduce the partition of unity.

Let $Q_0 = \{\xi + i\eta : \xi, \eta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^n\}$ be a rectangle in \mathbb{C}^n and $x_0 = (0, \dots, 0)$ be the center of Q_0 . Let Γ be the lattice \mathbb{Z}^{2n} , i.e.,

$$\Gamma = \{z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \Re z_1, \Im z_1, \dots, \Re z_n, \Im z_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

For any $x \in \Gamma$, let Q_x denote the rectangle with center x , which is a translate of Q_0 , i.e.,

$$Q_x = Q_0 + x.$$

Then the collection $\{Q_x : x \in \Gamma\}$ of rectangles tiles \mathbb{C}^n .

Let ϕ be a smooth function such that

$$\phi(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & z \in Q_0 \\ 0 & z \notin 2Q_0. \end{cases}$$

where $2Q_0 = (-1, 1]^{2n}$.

For any $x \in \Gamma$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, let $\phi_x(z) = \phi(z - x)$. Since

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{x \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{(1 + |\Re x| + |\Im x|)^{2n+1}} \leq \sum_{x \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{(1 + |x|)^{2n+1}} < \infty,$$

the series $\sum_{x \in \Gamma} \phi_x$ of smooth functions converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^n and satisfies

$$\frac{1}{c} \leq \left| \sum_{x \in \Gamma} \phi_x(z) \right| \leq c$$

for z in \mathbb{C}^n and for some positive constant c . Letting

$$\varphi_x = \frac{\phi_x}{\sum_{x \in \Gamma} \phi_x},$$

we obtain

$$\varphi_x(z + x) = \varphi_{x_0}(z),$$

and

$$\sum_{x \in \Gamma} \varphi_x = 1.$$

The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let g be a measurable function on \mathbb{C}^n such that $gk_w \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\lambda_\alpha)$ for any $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. For each x in $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$, let*

$$g_x = (\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}).$$

If for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $|a| + |b| \leq 2n + 1$, $|\partial_{\Re y}^a \partial_{\Im y}^b \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)| dv(y)$ is a Carleson measure on the Fock space, then the Toeplitz operator T_g is decomposed as a sum of Toeplitz operators with symbols which have compact support in the frequency domain:

$$T_g = \sum_{x \in \Gamma} T_{g_x}$$

and for any $t \geq 0$,

$$T_{g_x} = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}^{-1})(y) T_{\tau_y \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g} dv(y),$$

where the summation and the integral are both convergent in the operator norm topology and hence T_g is bounded. Moreover

$$\|T_g\| \leq C_{n,\alpha} \sum_{|a|+|b| \leq 2n+1} \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(|\partial_{\Re y}^a \partial_{\Im y}^b \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)|)\|_\infty,$$

where $C_{n,\alpha}$ is a positive constant depend only on n and α .

Remarks. Let us make some remarks about the above theorem. First as

$$g_x = (\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}),$$

its Fourier inverse transform

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(g_x)(z) = [\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g)](z) \varphi_x(z) a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}(z)$$

has support in $2Q_x = 2Q_0 + x$ and hence g_x has compact support in the frequency domain.

Since

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{|a|+|b| \leq 2n+1} \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(|\partial_{\Re y}^a \partial_{\Im y}^b \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)|)\|_\infty \lesssim \sum_{|a|+|b| \leq 2n+1} \|\partial_{\Re y}^a \partial_{\Im y}^b \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)\|_\infty \lesssim \|\mathcal{H}_t g\|_\infty,$$

where $t \in (0, \frac{1}{2\alpha})$, the above theorem improves [15, Theorem 6.18].

The decomposition of a Toeplitz operator in the above theorem is useful for us to understand two results on Toeplitz operators and Toeplitz algebras in [2] and [9]. If \mathcal{A} is a set of functions that satisfies the condition in Theorem 1.1, let $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the C^* -algebra generated by $\{T_u : u \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and $\mathfrak{T}^1(\mathcal{A})$ denote the closed space which is generated by $\{T_u : u \in \mathcal{A}\}$ in the norm topology.

Corollary 1.2. *Let g be a measurable function on \mathbb{C}^n such that $gk_w \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\lambda_\alpha)$ for any $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Suppose that for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $|a| + |b| \leq 2n + 1$, $|\partial_{\Re y}^a \partial_{\Im y}^b \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)| dv(y)$ is a Carleson measure on the Fock space. If for a fixed $t \geq 0$, $\tau_y \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g$ is in \mathcal{A} for any $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then $T_g \in \mathfrak{T}^1(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. Suppose that for a fixed $t \geq 0$, $\tau_y \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g$ is in \mathcal{A} for any $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Thus $T_{\tau_y \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g}$ is in $\mathfrak{T}^1(\mathcal{A})$. By Theorem 1.1, we have

$$T_{g_x} = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}^{-1})(y) T_{\tau_y \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g} dv(y).$$

Since the above integral converges to T_{g_x} in the operator norm topology, T_{g_x} is in $\mathfrak{T}^1(\mathcal{A})$. By Theorem 1.1 again, we have

$$T_g = \sum_{x \in \Gamma} T_{g_x}$$

to conclude that T_g is in $\mathfrak{T}^1(\mathcal{A})$. □

Corollary 1.2 generalizes [13, Proposition 7.4.1] in the case $p = 2$ and immediately leads to the two results on the Toeplitz algebras and compact Toeplitz operators in [2] and [9].

Let $C_{buc}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the set of bounded uniformly continuous function ([2, page 1345]). In [2], on Toeplitz algebras, Bauer and Isralowitz showed that

$$\mathfrak{T}(L^\infty) = \mathfrak{T}(C_{buc}(\mathbb{C}^n)).$$

We will show how one gets easily

$$(1.4) \quad \mathfrak{T}^1(L^\infty) = \mathfrak{T}^1(C_{buc}(\mathbb{C}^n)) \text{ and } \mathfrak{T}(L^\infty) = \mathfrak{T}(C_{buc}(\mathbb{C}^n)).$$

To do so, we notice that for any $g \in L^\infty$ and y , $\tau_y \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g$ is in $C_{buc}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Thus T_g is in $\mathfrak{T}^1(C_{buc}(\mathbb{C}^n))$, and so the above corollary gives (1.4). By [14], we have

$$\mathfrak{T}^1(L^\infty) = \mathfrak{T}(L^\infty).$$

Thus, we actually get

$$\mathfrak{T}^1(L^\infty) = \mathfrak{T}^1(C_{buc}(\mathbb{C}^n)) = \mathfrak{T}(L^\infty) = \mathfrak{T}(C_{buc}(\mathbb{C}^n)).$$

On compact Toeplitz operators, in [9], Engliš showed that for $g \in L^\infty$, if the Berezin transform of T_g vanishes at infinity, that is,

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} g(z) = 0,$$

then the Toeplitz operator T_g is compact.

To get the above result, we observe that if for $g \in L^\infty$,

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} g(z) = 0,$$

then for each y in \mathbb{C}^n ,

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \tau_y \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} g(z) = \lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} g(z - y) = \lim_{|w| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} g(w) = 0.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n) : \lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} g(z) = 0\}.$$

Then $\tau_y \mathcal{H}_\alpha g$ is in \mathcal{A} for any y . So we have that $T_g \in \mathfrak{T}^1(\mathcal{A})$. Noting that it is not difficult to show that $\mathfrak{T}^1(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{K}$, we conclude that T_g is compact.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, using the partition of unity to decompose the symbol g of the Toeplitz operator T_g , we will show that each part in the decomposition is bounded and has compact support in frequency domain. In Section 3, we will obtain the norm estimation of the Toeplitz operator with symbol equal to each part of the decomposition to establish a norm estimation of T_g .

2. DECOMPOSITION

In this section, first we will establish some decomposition of a symbol g of a Toeplitz operator T_g . Even if g is a measurable function on \mathbb{C}^n such that $gk_w \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\lambda_\alpha)$ for any $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$, the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(f)$ may be a tempered distribution. So we need to recall some facts on tempered distributions and the Fourier transform. Next using the decomposition of the symbol we will obtain a decomposition of the symbol g of the Toeplitz operator T_g .

We now introduce the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. A smooth complex-valued function f on \mathbb{R}^{2n} is called a Schwartz function if for every pair of multi-indices α and β there exists a positive constant $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ such that

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(f) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}} |\partial^\alpha (x^\beta f(x))| = C_{\alpha,\beta} < \infty.$$

The set of all Schwartz functions on \mathbb{R}^{2n} is called the Schwartz space and denoted by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. The Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ is a locally convex topological vector space equipped with the family of seminorms $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Elements of the dual space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ of the Schwartz space are called tempered distributions. A function g is said to be a tempered distribution if for any f in the Schwartz space, the pair (f, g) defined by

$$(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(x)g(x)dv(x)$$

gives a continuous linear functional on the Schwartz space.

Next, we need to recall some facts about the tempered distribution. If $f, h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and $G \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. The Fourier transformation can be extend to the dual of the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ such that $\mathcal{F}(G)$ is a tempered distribution with

$$(\mathcal{F}(G), f) = (G, \mathcal{F}(f)).$$

Gh is a tempered distribution given by

$$(Gh, f) = (G, hf),$$

and $G * h$ is a tempered distribution such that

$$(G * h, f) = (G, \tilde{h} * f),$$

where $\tilde{h}(x) = h(-x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. \tilde{G} is also a tempered distribution such that

$$(\tilde{G}, h) = (G, \tilde{h}).$$

For any $y \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, τ_y is a translation operator on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ such that $\tau_y f(x) = f(x - y)$. τ_y can be extended on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ such that

$$(\tau_y G, f) = (G, \tau_y^{-1} f).$$

The following lemma says that a Carleson measure on the Fock space induces a tempered distribution.

Lemma 2.1. *Let h be a positive function on \mathbb{C}^n such that $h(y)dv(y)$ is a Carleson measure on the Fock space, then h is a tempered distribution. Moreover, if f is a Schwartz function, then $h * f$ is in $L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$.*

Proof. Since $h(y)dv(y)$ is a Carleson measure on the Fock space, we have

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{C}^n} \int_{B(x, 2)} |h(y)| dv(y) = C_2 < \infty.$$

To show that h is a tempered distribution, by [11, Proposition 2.3.4], it is sufficient to show

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} h(y) f(y) dv(y) \right| \leq C_3 \sup_y (1 + |\Re y| + |\Im y|)^{2n+1} |f(y)|$$

for all f in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and for some positive constant C_3 . As we pointed out in the introduction that $\{Q_x\}_{x \in \Gamma}$ tiles \mathbb{C}^n , for any f in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} h(y) f(y) dv(y) \right| &= \left| \int_{\cup_{x \in \Gamma} Q_x} h(y) f(y) dv(y) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{x \in \Gamma} \left| \int_{Q_x} h(y) f(y) dv(y) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{x \in \Gamma} \left| \int_{Q_x} \frac{1}{(1 + |\Re y| + |\Im y|)^{2n+1}} h(y) (1 + |\Re y| + |\Im y|)^{2n+1} f(y) dv(y) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since for any $y \in Q_x$ with $x \in \Gamma$, there is a positive constant C such that

$$\frac{1}{(1 + |\Re y| + |\Im y|)^{2n+1}} \leq C \frac{1}{(1 + |\Re x| + |\Im x|)^{2n+1}}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} h(y) f(y) dv(y) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{x \in \Gamma} \left| \int_{Q_x} \frac{1}{(1 + |\Re y| + |\Im y|)^{2n+1}} h(y) (1 + |\Re y| + |\Im y|)^{2n+1} f(y) dv(y) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{x \in \Gamma} C \frac{1}{(1 + |\Re x| + |\Im x|)^{2n+1}} \int_{Q_x} |h(y) (1 + |\Re y| + |\Im y|)^{2n+1} f(y)| dv(y) \\ &\leq \sum_{x \in \Gamma} C \frac{1}{(1 + |\Re x| + |\Im x|)^{2n+1}} \int_{Q_x} h(y) dv(y) \sup_y (1 + |\Re y| + |\Im y|)^{2n+1} |f(y)| \\ &\leq \sum_{x \in \Gamma} C \frac{1}{(1 + |\Re x| + |\Im x|)^{2n+1}} \int_{B(x, 2)} h(y) dv(y) \sup_y (1 + |\Re y| + |\Im y|)^{2n+1} |f(y)| \\ &\leq C_3 \sup_y (1 + |\Re y| + |\Im y|)^{2n+1} |f(y)| \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C_3 . The last inequality follows from (1.2). Thus h is a tempered distribution.

In fact, the above argument gives that for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} h(z-y)f(y)dv(y) \right| \leq C_3 \sup_y (1 + |\Re y| + |\Im y|)^{2n+1} |f(y)|.$$

This implies that $h * f$ is in $L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let g be a measurable function on \mathbb{C}^n such that $gk_w \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\lambda_\alpha)$ for any $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. If $|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}g(y)|dv(y)$ is a Carleson measure on the Fock space, then $(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}g) * \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for any $x \in \Gamma$ and*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}g(z) = \sum_{x \in \Gamma} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left[(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}g) * \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}) \right](z),$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Proof. Since for each $x \in \Gamma$, $\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}$ is a smooth function with compact support, $\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}$ is in the Schwartz space. Thus $\mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1})$ is a Schwartz function as the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} maps the Schwartz space onto the Schwartz space. So Lemma 2.1 gives that

$$(\mathcal{H}_t g) * \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

as $|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}g(y)|dv(y)$ is a Carleson measure on the Fock space.

Since $gk_w \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\lambda_\alpha)$ for any $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}g(z) = \langle gk_z, k_z \rangle = g * \gamma_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(z)$$

is finite for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then by the Fubini theorem, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}g = (g * \gamma_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}) * \gamma_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} = (\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}g) * \gamma_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} = (\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}g) * \mathcal{F}(a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}).$$

By Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}g$ is a tempered distribution, thus $\tau_y \widetilde{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}g}$ is also a tempered distribution. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}g(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}g(z-x) \mathcal{F}(a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}})(x) dv(x) \\ &= (\tau_z \widetilde{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}g}, \mathcal{F}(a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}})) \\ &= (\mathcal{F}[\tau_z \widetilde{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}g}], a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using properties of the convolution, the Fourier transform and the heat transform, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left[(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}) \right] (z) &= (\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}) * \gamma_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(z) \\
&= (\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * [\mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}) * \gamma_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}](z) \\
&= (\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * [\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}) * \gamma_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}]](z) \\
&= (\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\gamma_{\frac{1}{\alpha}})\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}](z) \\
&= (\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}](z) \\
&= (\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}](z) \\
&= (\tau_z \widetilde{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g}, \mathcal{F}[\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}]) \\
&= (\mathcal{F}[\tau_z \widetilde{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g}], \varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{F}[\tau_z \widetilde{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g}]$ is a tempered distribution and $\sum_{x \in \Gamma} \varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$ converges to $a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$ in the Schwartz space, we have

$$\sum_{x \in \Gamma} (\mathcal{F}[\tau_z \widetilde{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g}], \varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}) = (\mathcal{F}[\tau_z \widetilde{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g}], a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}).$$

Thus we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} g(z) &= (\mathcal{F}[\tau_z \widetilde{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g}], a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}) \\
&= \sum_{x \in \Gamma} (\mathcal{F}[\tau_z \widetilde{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g}], \varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}) \\
&= \sum_{x \in \Gamma} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left[(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}) \right] (z),
\end{aligned}$$

to complete the proof. \square

For x in Γ , let

$$g_x = (\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}).$$

The above lemma tells us that the summation of the Berezin transform of T_{g_x} is equal to the Berezin transform of T_g . In fact, we will show that $\sum_{x \in \Gamma} T_{g_x}$ converges to T_g in operator norm topology in the last section.

For any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we define a unitary operator W_z on the Fock space such that

$$W_z f(w) = f(w - z) k_z(w)$$

for any f is in the Fock space. We have

$$W_z^* = W_{-z}.$$

Let

$$b_x(w) = e^{2\pi i w \cdot x}$$

for any $w, x \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let g be a measurable function on \mathbb{C}^n such that $gk_w \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\lambda_\alpha)$ for any $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. If $|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)| dv(y)$ is a Carleson measure on the Fock space, then*

$$(b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}] \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

and

$$W_{\frac{-i\pi x}{2\alpha}} T_{g_x} W_{\frac{-i\pi x}{2\alpha}} = T_{(b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}]}$$

Proof. Since $a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}$ is a smooth function with compact support, we have

$$\mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}] \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}).$$

Since $|b_x(z)| = 1$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}] \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have $g_x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and hence T_{g_x} is a bounded operator. Because the Berezin transform is injective, to get

$$W_{\frac{-i\pi x}{2\alpha}} T_{g_x} W_{\frac{-i\pi x}{2\alpha}} = T_{(b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}]},$$

we only need to show that

$$\langle [W_{\frac{-i\pi x}{2\alpha}} T_{g_x} W_{\frac{-i\pi x}{2\alpha}}] k_z, k_z \rangle = \langle T_{(b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}]} k_z, k_z \rangle.$$

On one hand, since $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(g)$ is the Berezin transform of the Toeplitz operator T_g , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{(b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}]} k_z, k_z \rangle &= \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left[(b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}] \right] \\ &= (b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}] * \gamma_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ &= (b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}] * \mathcal{F} a_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ &= (b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \varphi_{x_0}]. \end{aligned}$$

By properties of the Fourier transform and noting that $\mathcal{F}\tau_{-x} = b_x \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \varphi_{x_0}] &= \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} (\tau_{-x} \varphi_x)] \\ &= \mathcal{F}[\tau_{-x} (\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}) \times \tau_{-x} (a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \tau_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}})] \\ &= \mathcal{F}[\tau_{-x} (\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1})] * \mathcal{F}[\tau_{-x} (a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \tau_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}})] \\ &= b_x \mathcal{F}[(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1})] * b_x \mathcal{F}[(a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \tau_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}})]. \end{aligned}$$

For any $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$, a simple calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \tau_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}](w) &= \int_{C^n} e^{\frac{-\pi^2}{2\alpha} |z-x|^2} e^{\frac{-\pi^2}{2\alpha} |z|^2} e^{-2\pi i z \cdot w} dv(z) \\ &= e^{\frac{-\pi^2}{4\alpha} |x|^2} \int_{C^n} e^{\frac{-\pi^2}{\alpha} |z-\frac{x}{2}|^2} e^{-2\pi i z \cdot w} dv(z) \\ &= e^{\frac{-\pi^2}{4\alpha} |x|^2} e^{-\pi i x \cdot w} \int_{C^n} e^{\frac{-\pi^2}{\alpha} |z|^2} e^{-2\pi i z \cdot w} dv(z) \\ &= e^{\frac{-\pi^2}{4\alpha} |x|^2} e^{-\pi i x \cdot w} \int_{C^n} e^{\frac{-\pi^2}{\alpha} |z|^2} e^{-2\pi i z \cdot w} dv(z) \\ &= e^{\frac{-\pi^2}{4\alpha} |x|^2} e^{-\pi i x \cdot w} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^n e^{-\alpha |w|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle T_{(b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}]} k_z, k_z \rangle \\
&= (b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * [b_x (\mathcal{F} \varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1})] * b_x \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \tau_x(a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}})] \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} b_x(y) \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y) b_x(w-y) (\mathcal{F} \varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1})(w-y) dv(y) b_x(z-w) \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \tau_x(a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}})](z-w) dv(w) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y) (\mathcal{F} \varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1})(w-y) dv(y) e^{2\pi i z \cdot x} \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \tau_x(a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}})](z-w) dv(w) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} g_x(w) e^{\frac{-\pi^2}{4\alpha} |x|^2} e^{\pi i x \cdot (z+w)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^n e^{-\alpha |z-w|^2} dv(w).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle [W_{\frac{-i\pi x}{2\alpha}} T_{g_x} W_{\frac{-i\pi x}{2\alpha}}] k_z, k_z \rangle \\
&= \langle T_{g_x} W_{\frac{-i\pi x}{2\alpha}} k_z, W_{\frac{i\pi x}{2\alpha}} k_z \rangle \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} g_x k_z \left(w + \frac{i\pi x}{2\alpha}\right) k_{\frac{-i\pi x}{2\alpha}}(w) \overline{k_{\frac{i\pi x}{2\alpha}}(w - \frac{i\pi x}{2\alpha})} d\lambda_\alpha(w) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} g_x e^{\alpha \langle w + \frac{i\pi x}{2\alpha}, z \rangle - \frac{\alpha |z|^2}{2} - \alpha \langle w, \frac{i\pi x}{2\alpha} \rangle - \frac{\pi^2 |x|^2}{8\alpha}} e^{\alpha \langle z, w - \frac{i\pi x}{2\alpha} \rangle - \frac{\alpha |z|^2}{2} + \alpha \langle \frac{i\pi x}{2\alpha}, w \rangle - \frac{\pi^2 |x|^2}{8}} d\lambda_\alpha(w) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} g_x(w) e^{\frac{-\pi^2}{4\alpha} |x|^2} e^{\alpha \langle \frac{i\pi x}{2\alpha}, z \rangle - \alpha \langle w, \frac{i\pi x}{2\alpha} \rangle + \alpha \langle z, -\frac{i\pi x}{2\alpha} \rangle + \alpha \langle \frac{i\pi x}{2\alpha}, w \rangle} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^n e^{-\alpha |z-w|^2} dv(w).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha \langle \frac{i\pi x}{2\alpha}, z \rangle - \alpha \langle w, \frac{i\pi x}{2\alpha} \rangle + \alpha \langle z, -\frac{i\pi x}{2\alpha} \rangle + \alpha \langle \frac{i\pi x}{2\alpha}, w \rangle \\
&= \frac{i\pi}{2} \langle x, z \rangle + \frac{i\pi}{2} \langle w, x \rangle + \frac{i\pi}{2} \langle z, x \rangle + \frac{i\pi}{2} \langle x, w \rangle \\
&= i\pi \Re \langle x, z + w \rangle \\
&= i\pi x \cdot (z + w),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle [W_{\frac{-i\pi x}{2\alpha}} T_{g_x} W_{\frac{-i\pi x}{2\alpha}}] k_z, k_z \rangle \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} g_x(w) e^{\frac{-\pi^2}{4\alpha} |x|^2} e^{\pi i x \cdot (z+w)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^n e^{-\alpha |z-w|^2} dv(w) \\
&= \langle T_{(b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}]} k_z, k_z \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

to complete the proof. \square

3. ESTIMATION

In this section, we will present the proof of our main theorem. In Section 2, we have obtained that T_g is decomposed as a sum $\sum_{x \in \Gamma} T_{g_x}$ of the Toeplitz operators via the Berezin transform (Lemma 2.2). To show that $T_g = \sum_{x \in \Gamma} T_{g_x}$, we need estimate the norm of a Toeplitz operator on the Fock space and show that the series of operators converges in operator norm topology. The following lemma is a generalization of [3, Lemma 4.9].

Lemma 3.1. *Let T be a densely defined operator on the Fock space and the linear span of reproducing kernels is contained in the domain of T and T^* . If*

$$[\sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| dv(w)] [\sup_w \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| dv(z)] < \infty,$$

then T is bounded and

$$\|T\| \leq \left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^n \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| dv(w) \right) \left(\sup_w \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| dv(z) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Let f and h be in the linear span of reproducing kernels, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Tf, h \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} (Tf)(w) \overline{h(w)} d\lambda_\alpha(w) \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^n \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle Tf, k_w \rangle \langle k_w, h \rangle dv(w) \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^n \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle f, T^* k_w \rangle \langle k_w, h \rangle dv(w) \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle f, k_z \rangle \langle k_z, T^* k_w \rangle dv(z) \langle k_w, h \rangle dv(w) \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle f, k_z \rangle \langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle dv(z) \langle k_w, h \rangle dv(w) \end{aligned}$$

Thus applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Tf, h \rangle| &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| |\langle f, k_z \rangle| dv(z) |\langle k_w, h \rangle| dv(w) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^{2n} \left[\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| |\langle f, k_z \rangle| dv(z) \right)^2 dv(w) \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle k_w, h \rangle|^2 dv(w) \right]^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^{2n} \left[\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| |\langle f, k_z \rangle| dv(z) \right)^2 dv(w) \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |h(w)|^2 d\lambda_\alpha(w) \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| |\langle f, k_z \rangle| dv(z) \right)^2 dv(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle|^{1/2} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle|^{1/2} |\langle f, k_z \rangle| dv(z) \right)^2 dv(w) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| dv(z) \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| |\langle f, k_z \rangle|^2 dv(z) dv(w) \\ &\leq \left[\sup_w \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| dv(z) \right] \left[\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| |\langle f, k_z \rangle|^2 dv(z) dv(w) \right] \\ &\leq \left[\sup_w \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| dv(z) \right] \left[\sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| dv(w) \right] \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle f, k_z \rangle|^2 dv(z) \\ &= \left[\sup_w \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| dv(z) \right] \left[\sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| dv(w) \right] \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(z)|^2 d\lambda_\alpha(z), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle Tf, h \rangle| \\
& \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{2n} \left[\left[\sup_w \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| dv(z) \right] \left[\sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| dv(w) \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(z)|^2 d\lambda_\alpha(z) \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |h(w)|^2 d\lambda_\alpha(w) \right]^{1/2} \\
& = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^n \left[\left[\sup_w \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| dv(z) \right] \left[\sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle Tk_z, k_w \rangle| dv(w) \right] \right]^{1/2} \|f\|_{F_\alpha^2} \|h\|_{F_\alpha^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

We introduce two-variable Berezin transform. Let g be a measurable function on \mathbb{C}^n such that $gk_w \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\lambda_\alpha)$ for any $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The two-variable Berezin transform $\tilde{g}(z, w)$ of g is defined by

$$\tilde{g}(z, w) = \langle gk_z, k_w \rangle$$

for z, w in \mathbb{C}^n . By Lemma 3.1, we immediately obtain the following proposition which will give an estimation of the norm of the Toeplitz operator T_g in terms of the two-variable Berezin transform $\tilde{g}(z, w)$ of g .

Proposition 3.2. *Let g be a measurable function on \mathbb{C}^n such that $gk_w \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\lambda_\alpha)$ for any $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. If*

$$\left[\sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\tilde{g}(z, w)| dv(w) \right] \left[\sup_w \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\tilde{g}(z, w)| dv(z) \right] < \infty,$$

then T_g is bounded and

$$\|T_g\| \leq \left(\left[\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^n \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\tilde{g}(z, w)| dv(w) \right] \left[\sup_w \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\tilde{g}(z, w)| dv(z) \right] \right)^{1/2}.$$

For any $x \in \Gamma$, Lemma 2.2 tells us that $g_x = (\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1})$ is in L^∞ . Thus T_{g_x} is a bounded operator. Next, we will obtain a better estimation on the norm of T_{g_x} than $\|g_x\|_\infty$ to guarantee that the series $\sum_{x \in \Gamma} T_{g_x}$ converges in the operator norm topology.

Proposition 3.3. *Let g be a measurable function on \mathbb{C}^n such that $gk_w \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\lambda_\alpha)$ for any $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. If for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $|a| + |b| \leq 2n+1$, $|\partial_{\Re y}^a \partial_{\Im y}^b \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)| dv(y)$ is a Carleson measure on the Fock space. Then there is a constant $C_{n,\alpha}$ such that*

$$\|T_{g_x}\| \leq C_{n,\alpha} \frac{\sum_{|a|+|b| \leq 2n+1} \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(|\partial_{\Re y}^a \partial_{\Im y}^b \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)|)\|_{L^\infty}}{(1 + |\Re x| + |\Im x|)^{2n+1}}.$$

Proof. Since $W_{\frac{-i\pi x}{2\alpha}}$ is a unitary operator and $g_x \in L^\infty$, we have

$$\|T_{g_x}\| = \|W_{\frac{-i\pi x}{2\alpha}} T_g W_{\frac{-i\pi x}{2\alpha}}\|.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\|T_{g_x}\| = \|T_{(b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}]}\|.$$

Let $\psi_x = (b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}]$. Proposition 3.2 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_{g_x}\| &= \|T_{\psi_x}\| \\
&\lesssim \left(\left[\sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\widetilde{\psi_x}(z, w)| dv(w) \right] \left[\sup_w \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\widetilde{\psi_x}(z, w)| dv(z) \right] \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $M_{z,w}(y) = k_z(y)\overline{k_w(y)}(\frac{\alpha}{\pi})^n e^{-\alpha|y|^2}$. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $|a| + |b| \leq 2n + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(\Re x)^a (\Im x)^b \widetilde{\psi}_x(z, w)| \\
&= |(\Re x)^a (\Im x)^b \langle (b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}] k_z, k_w \rangle| \\
&= \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} (\Re x)^a (\Im x)^b (b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}](y) k_z(y) \overline{k_w(y)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^n e^{-\alpha|y|^2} dv(y) \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} (\Re x)^a (\Im x)^b (b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}](y) M_{z,w}(y) dv(y) \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} (\Re x)^a (\Im x)^b \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{2\pi i x \cdot (y-\eta)} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y-\eta) \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}](\eta) dv(\eta) M_{z,w}(y) dv(y) \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} (\Re x)^a (\Im x)^b e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \eta} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y-\eta) \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}](\eta) dv(\eta) e^{2\pi i x \cdot y} M_{z,w}(y) dv(y) \right|
\end{aligned}$$

Since for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $|a| + |b| \leq 2n + 1$, $\partial_{\Re \eta}^a \partial_{\Im \eta}^b \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(\eta)$ is a Carleson measure on the Fock space and $\mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}]$ is in the Schwartz space, by the proof of Lemma 2.1 we have $\partial_{\Re \eta}^a \partial_{\Im \eta}^b [\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y-\eta) \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}](\eta)]$ is integrable with respect to η . By the properties of Fourier transform [8, page 12], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} (\Re x)^a (\Im x)^b e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \eta} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y-\eta) \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}](\eta) dv(\eta) \\
&= \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi i}\right)^{|a|+|b|} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \eta} \partial_{\Re \eta}^a \partial_{\Im \eta}^b [\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y-\eta) \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}](\eta)] dv(\eta) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \eta} \sum_{a' \leq a, b' \leq b} C_{a,b,a',b'} [\partial_{\Re \eta}^{a'} \partial_{\Im \eta}^{b'} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y-\eta)] \partial_{\Re \eta}^{a-a'} \partial_{\Im \eta}^{b-b'} \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}](\eta) dv(\eta),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{C_{a,b,a',b'}\}$ are constants and $a' \leq a, b' \leq b$ means for any $j \leq n$ we have $a'_j \leq a_j, b'_j \leq b_j$. Since $|M_{z,w}(y)| = (\frac{\alpha}{\pi})^n e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|y-z|^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}|y-w|^2}$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |M_{z,w}(y)| dv(w) \approx e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|y-z|^2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(\Re x)^a (\Im x)^b| \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\widetilde{\psi}_x(z, w)| dv(w) \\
&= \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \eta} \sum_{a' \leq a, b' \leq b} C_{a,b,a',b'} [\partial_{\Re \eta}^{a'} \partial_{\Im \eta}^{b'} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y-\eta)] \right. \\
&\quad \times \partial_{\Re \eta}^{a-a'} \partial_{\Im \eta}^{b-b'} \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}](\eta) dv(\eta) e^{2\pi i x \cdot y} M_{z,w}(y) dv(y) \left. \right| dv(w) \\
&\leq C \sum_{a' \leq a, b' \leq b} \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\partial_{\Re \eta}^{a'} \partial_{\Im \eta}^{b'} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y-\eta)|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times |\partial_{\Re\eta}^{a-a'} \partial_{\Im\eta}^{b-b'} \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}(\eta)]| dv(\eta) |M_{z,w}(y)| dv(y) dv(w) \\
& \leq C \sum_{a' \leq a, b' \leq b} \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\partial_{\Re\eta}^{a'} \partial_{\Im\eta}^{b'} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y-\eta)| \\
& \times \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |M_{z,w}(y)| dv(w) dv(y) |\partial_{\Re\eta}^{a-a'} \partial_{\Im\eta}^{b-b'} \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}(\eta)]| dv(\eta) \\
& \leq C \sum_{a' \leq a, b' \leq b} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\partial_{\Re\eta}^{a'} \partial_{\Im\eta}^{b'} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y-\eta)| e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|y-z|^2} dv(y) |\partial_{\Re\eta}^{a-a'} \partial_{\Im\eta}^{b-b'} \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}(\eta)]| dv(\eta).
\end{aligned}$$

Since for any η ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\partial_{\Re\eta}^{a'} \partial_{\Im\eta}^{b'} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y-\eta)| e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|y-z|^2} dv(y) \\
& = \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\partial_{\Re y}^{a'} \partial_{\Im y}^{b'} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y-\eta)| e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|y-z|^2} dv(y) \\
& = \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\partial_{\Re y}^{a'} \partial_{\Im y}^{b'} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)| e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|y+\eta-z|^2} dv(y) \\
& = (\frac{\pi}{\alpha})^n \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(|\partial_{\Re y}^{a'} \partial_{\Im y}^{b'} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g|)\|_{\infty},
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(\Re x)^a (\Im x)^b| \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\widetilde{\psi}_x(z, w)| dv(w) \\
& \leq C \sum_{a' \leq a, b' \leq b} (\frac{\pi}{\alpha})^n \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(|\partial_{\Re y}^{a'} \partial_{\Im y}^{b'} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)|)\|_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\partial_{\Re\eta}^{a-a'} \partial_{\Im\eta}^{b-b'} \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}(\eta)]| dv(\eta) \\
& \leq C' \sum_{a' \leq a, b' \leq b} \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(|\partial_{\Re y}^{a'} \partial_{\Im y}^{b'} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g|)\|_{\infty},
\end{aligned}$$

where C' is a constant and the last inequality follows from the fact that $\mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}(\eta)]$ is a Schwartz function. The binomial expansion gives that there is a constant c such that

$$(1 + |\Re x| + |\Im x|)^{2n+1} \leq c \sum_{|a|+|b| \leq 2n+1} |(\Re x)^a| |(\Im x)^b|.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\widetilde{\psi}_x(z, w)| dv(w) \\
& \leq \frac{c \sum_{|a|+|b| \leq 2n+1} |(\Re x)^a| |(\Im x)^b|}{(1 + |\Re x| + |\Im x|)^{2n+1}} \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\langle (b_x \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}[a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1} \varphi_{x_0}] k_z, k_w \rangle| dv(w) \\
& \leq C_{n,\alpha} \frac{\sum_{|a|+|b| \leq 2n+1} \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(|\partial_{\Re y}^a \partial_{\Im y}^b \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)|)\|_{\infty}}{(1 + |\Re x| + |\Im x|)^{2n+1}}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_w \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\widetilde{\psi}_x(z, w)| dv(z) \\
& \leq C_{n,\alpha} \frac{\sum_{|a|+|b| \leq 2n+1} \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(|\partial_{\Re y}^a \partial_{\Im y}^b \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)|)\|_{\infty}}{(1 + |\Re x| + |\Im x|)^{2n+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{g_x}\| &= \|T_{\psi_x}\| \\ &\lesssim C_{n,\alpha} \frac{\sum_{|a|+|b|\leq 2n+1} \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(|\partial_{\Re y}^a \partial_{\Im y}^b \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)|)\|_{L^\infty}}{(1 + |\Re x| + |\Im x|)^{2n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

to complete the proof. \square

Now we are ready to present the proof of the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $|\partial_{\Re y}^a \partial_{\Im y}^b \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)| dv(y)$ is a Carleson measure, it means

$$\sum_{|a|+|b|\leq 2n+1} \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(|\partial_{\Re y}^a \partial_{\Im y}^b \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)|)\|_{L^\infty} < \infty.$$

For $x \in \Gamma$, let $g_x = (\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1})$. By Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\|T_{g_x}\| \leq C_{n,\alpha} \frac{\sum_{|a|+|b|\leq 2n+1} \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(|\partial_{\Re y}^a \partial_{\Im y}^b \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)|)\|_{L^\infty}}{(1 + |\Re x| + |\Im x|)^{2n+1}}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{x \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{(1 + |\Re x| + |\Im x|)^{2n+1}} < C < \infty,$$

the series $\sum_{x \in \Gamma} T_{g_x}$ of bounded operators converges to a bounded operator X in the operator norm topology and

$$\|X\| \leq C_{n,\alpha} C \sum_{|a|+|b|\leq 2n+1} \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(|\partial_{\Re y}^a \partial_{\Im y}^b \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)|)\|_{L^\infty}.$$

We will show that X is the bounded extension of T_g . To do so, by Lemma 2.2, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_g k_z, k_z \rangle &= \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} g(z) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \Gamma} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left[(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}) \right] (z) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \Gamma} \langle T_{(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g) * \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1})} k_z, k_z \rangle \\ &= \sum_{x \in \Gamma} \langle T_{g_x} k_z, k_z \rangle \\ &= \langle X k_z, k_z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\langle T_g K_z, K_z \rangle = \langle X K_z, K_z \rangle.$$

Since $\langle T_g K_z, K_w \rangle$ and $\langle X K_z, K_w \rangle$ are both analytic with respect to w and anti-analytic with respect to z , we have

$$\langle T_g K_z, K_w \rangle = \langle X K_z, K_w \rangle$$

for any $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Thus this implies

$$T_g K_z = X K_z$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$. So X is the extension of T_g and hence $\sum_{x \in \Gamma} T_{g_x}$ converges to T_g in the operator norm topology.

To finish the proof of the main theorem, we need only show that T_{g_x} has the following integral representation: for any $t \geq 0$,

$$T_{g_x} = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}^{-1})(y) T_{\tau_y \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g} dv(y),$$

To do so, first we show that the map

$$y \rightarrow W_y T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g} W_y^* = T_{\tau_y \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g}$$

is uniformly continuous from \mathbb{C}^n to the space of bounded linear operator with respect to the operator norm topology.

Since $|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g(y)| dv(y)$ is a Carleson measure, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{4\alpha}}(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g) = \gamma_{t+\frac{1}{4\alpha}} * \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} g$$

is bounded for any $t \geq 0$. Thus (1.3) and the norm estimation above to replace g by $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g$ give that

$$\|T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g}\| \lesssim \sum_{|a|+|b| \leq 2n+1} \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(|\partial_{\Re y}^a \partial_{\Im y}^b \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g(y)|)\|_{\infty} \lesssim \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{4\alpha}}(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g)\|_{\infty} < \infty.$$

So $T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g}$ is bounded. Direct calculation shows

$$W_y^* W_{y'} = e^{-i\alpha \Im \langle -y, y' \rangle} W_{y'-y}$$

for any $y, y' \in \mathbb{C}^n$. As W_y is a unitary operator, the following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_y T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g} W_y^* - W_{y'} T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g} W_{y'}^*\| &= \|T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g} - W_{y'-y} T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g} W_{y'-y}^*\| \\ &= \|T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g} - T_{\tau_{y'-y} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g}\| \\ &= \|T(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g) - \tau_{y'-y}(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g)\|. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.3) and the norm estimation above, for some $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2\alpha})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|T(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g) - \tau_{y'-y}(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g)\| \\ &\lesssim \sup_z |[(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g) - \tau_{y'-y}(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g)] * \gamma_s(z)| \\ &\leq \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g(w)| |\gamma_s(z-w) - \gamma_s(z-w+y-y')| dv(w) \\ &= (\frac{1}{s\pi})^n \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g(w)| |e^{-\frac{|z-w|^2}{s}} - e^{-\frac{|z-w+y-y'|^2}{s}}| dv(w) \\ &= (\frac{1}{s\pi})^n \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g(w)| e^{-\frac{|z-w|^2}{s}} |1 - e^{\frac{|z-w|^2}{s} - \frac{|z-w+y-y'|^2}{s}}| dv(w) \\ &= (\frac{1}{s\pi})^n \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g(w)| e^{-\frac{|z-w|^2}{s}} |1 - e^{-\frac{2\Re \langle z-w, y-y' \rangle + |y-y'|^2}{s}}| dv(w) \\ &\leq (\frac{1}{s\pi})^n \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g(w)| e^{-\frac{|z-w|^2}{s}} |\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (-\frac{2\Re \langle z-w, y-y' \rangle + |y-y'|^2}{s})^n| dv(w) \\ &\leq (\frac{1}{s\pi})^n \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t} g(w)| e^{-\frac{|z-w|^2}{s}} |\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (\frac{2|z-w||y-y'| + |y-y'|^2}{s})^n| dv(w). \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we can suppose $|y - y'| \leq 1$. The above estimations give

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|T_{(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g)-\tau_{y'-y}(\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g)}\| \\
& \leq \left(\frac{1}{s\pi}\right)^n \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g(w)e^{-\frac{|z-w|^2}{s}}| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{2|z-w|+|y-y'|}{s}\right)^n |y-y'|^n dv(w) \\
& \leq |y-y'| \left(\frac{1}{s\pi}\right)^n \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g(w)e^{-\frac{|z-w|^2}{s}}| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{2|z-w|+1}{s}\right)^n dv(w) \\
& \leq |y-y'| \left(\frac{1}{s\pi}\right)^n \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g(w)| e^{-\frac{|z-w|^2}{s}} e^{\frac{2|z-w|+1}{s}} dv(w) \\
& \leq |y-y'| \left(\frac{1}{s\pi}\right)^n \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g(w)| e^{\frac{-|z-w|^2+4^2+\frac{|z-w|^2}{4}+1}{s}} dv(w) \\
& = |y-y'| \left(\frac{1}{s\pi}\right)^n \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g(w) * f\|_{\infty},
\end{aligned}$$

where $f(w) = e^{\frac{-\frac{3}{4}|w|^2+17}{s}}$ is a Schwartz function. As Lemma 2.1 gives

$$\left(\frac{1}{s\pi}\right)^n \|\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g(w) * f\|_{\infty} < \infty,$$

the above estimations imply

$$\|W_y T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g} W_y^* - W_{y'} T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g} W_{y'}^*\| \lesssim |y - y'|.$$

Thus the map

$$y \rightarrow W_y T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g} W_y^*$$

is uniformly continuous from \mathbb{C}^n to the space of bounded linear operator with respect to the norm topology. Since $\mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}^{-1})$ is a Schwartz function and

$$\|W_y T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g} W_y^*\| \leq \|T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g}\|,$$

the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}^{-1})(y) W_y T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g} W_y^* dv(y),$$

converges in the operator norm topology.

To establish the integral representation of T_{g_x} , as the Berezin transform is injective, next we calculate the Berezin transform of $\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} W_y T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g} W_y^* \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}^{-1})(y) dv(y)$ and T_{g_x} to

get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle [\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} W_y T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g} W_y^* \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}^{-1})(y) dv(y)] k_z, k_z \rangle \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}^{-1})(y) \langle [W_y T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g} W_y^*] k_z, k_z \rangle dv(y) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}^{-1})(y) \langle [T_{\tau_y} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g] k_z, k_z \rangle dv(y) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}^{-1})(y) \tau_y \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g * \gamma_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(z) dv(y) \\
&= \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g * \gamma_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} * \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}^{-1})(z) \\
&= \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}g * \gamma_t * \gamma_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} * \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}^{-1})(z) \\
&= \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}g * \mathcal{F}(a_t) * \gamma_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} * \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}^{-1})(z) \\
&= \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}g * \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^{-1}) * \gamma_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(z) \\
&= \langle T_{g_x} k_z, k_z \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from

$$W_y T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g} W_y^* = T_{\tau_y} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g;$$

the third equality follows from the relation between the Berezin transform and the heat transform; the fourth and fifth equalities follows from the semigroup property of the heat transform. As the Berezin transform is injective, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{g_x} &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}^{-1})(y) W_y T_{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g} W_y^* dv(y) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_x a_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}^{-1})(y) T_{\tau_y} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}+t}g dv(y)
\end{aligned}$$

to complete the proof. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is our pleasure to thank Robert Fulsche for useful comments.

REFERENCES

1. W. Bauer, L.A. Coburn and J. Isralowitz, *Heat flow, BMO, and the compactness of Toeplitz operators*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **259** (2010), 57–78.
2. W. Bauer and J. Isralowitz, *Compactness characterization of operators in the Toeplitz algebra of the Fock space F_{α}^p* , *J. Funct. Anal.* **263** (2012), 1323–1355.
3. W. Bauer and R. Fulsche, *Berger-Coburn Theorem, Localized Operators, and the Toeplitz Algebra, Operator Algebras: Toeplitz Operators and Related Topics*. **279** (2020), 53–77.
4. C. Berger and L.A. Coburn, *Toeplitz operators and quantum mechanics*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **68** (1986), 273–299.
5. C. Berger and L.A. Coburn, *Heat flow and Berezine-Toeplitz Estimates*, *American Journal of Mathematics*. **116** (1994), 563–590.
6. C. Berger and L.A. Coburn, *Toeplitz operators on the Segal-Bargmann space*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **310** (1987), 813–829.
7. A. Boulkhemair, *L^2 estimates for Weyl Quantization*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **165** (1999), 173–204.
8. Javier Duoandikoetxea, *Fourier Analysis*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. **29**, American Mathematical Society.

9. M. Engliš, *Compact Toeplitz operators via the Berezin transform on bounded symmetric domains*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **33** (1999), 426–455.
10. Gerald B. Folland, *Harmonic analysis in phase space*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1989.
11. Loukas. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier Analysis*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **249**, Second Edition, Springer.
12. R. Fulsche, *Correspondence theory on p -Fock spaces with applications to Toeplitz algebras*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **279** (2020), no. 7.
13. R. Fulsche, *Toeplitz operators and generated algebras on non-Hilbertian spaces*, PhD thesis, Leibniz University Hannover (2020).
14. J. Xia, *Localization and the Toeplitz algebra on the Bergman space*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **269** (2015), 781–814.
15. K. Zhu, *Analysis on Fock Spaces*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. **263**, Springer, New York, 2012.

¹ COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHONGQING UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING, 401331, PR CHINA

Email address: shengkunwu@foxmail.com

² DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, NASHVILLE, TN 37240 AND CENTER OF MATHEMATICS, CHONGQING UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING, 401331, PR CHINA

Email address: dechao.zheng@vanderbilt.edu