

AN OPTIMAL YOCOZ INEQUALITY FOR NEAR-PARABOLIC QUADRATIC POLYNOMIALS

ALEX KAPIAMBA

Abstract: The Pommerenke-Levin-Yoccoz inequality gives a $O(1/q)$ bound on the size of the p/q -limbs of the Mandelbrot set. For over thirty years it has been conjectured that this bound can be improved to $O(1/q^2)$. By studying the Hausdorff limits of external rays when a periodic cycle becomes parabolic, we provide the first examples of limbs satisfying the $O(1/q^2)$ bound. We also show that $O(1/q^2)$ is optimal for these examples.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the quadratic polynomials

$$Q_\alpha(z) := e^{2\pi i \alpha} z + z^2$$

with $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and let \mathcal{M} be the set of all α so that the orbit under Q_α of the critical point $z = -e^{2\pi i \alpha}/2$ stays bounded. Understanding the geometry of the Mandelbrot set, or equivalently \mathcal{M} , has been a central pillar of holomorphic dynamics since the seminal work of Douady and Hubbard in [DH84] and [DH85]. While much of the structure of \mathcal{M} is now understood there is one fundamental problem which remains unanswered: is \mathcal{M} locally connected (MLC)?

One of the first major breakthroughs towards MLC was made by Yoccoz, who reduced the problem to understanding the self-similarity of the Mandelbrot set. A point $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$ is called *parabolic* if Q_α has a parabolic periodic cycle. For any parabolic parameter α there is a unique bounded component \mathcal{L}_α of $\mathcal{M} \setminus \{\alpha\}$, called the α -limb of \mathcal{M} . Inside the limb there is a *small Mandelbrot copy* $\mathcal{M}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ which admits a dynamically defined homeomorphism $\tau_\alpha : \overline{\mathcal{M}_0} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}_\alpha}$. For any set $X \subset \mathbb{C}$, let $\text{Diam } X$ denote the Euclidean diameter of X . Yoccoz showed that to prove MLC it suffices to control the sizes of nested small Mandelbrot copies.

Theorem 1.1 (Yoccoz [Hub93]). *If $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$ is not contained in an infinite nested sequence*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha_1} \supsetneq \mathcal{M}_{\alpha_2} \supsetneq \cdots$$

of small Mandelbrot copies, then \mathcal{M} is locally connected at α . If α is contained in an infinite nested sequence of small Mandelbrot copies as above and if $\text{Diam } \mathcal{M}_{\alpha_n} \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, then \mathcal{M} is locally connected at α .

One of the key tools in proving Theorem 1.1 is the Pommerenke-Levin-Yoccoz (PLY) inequality, which bounds the multipliers of periodic points of polynomials. For the family of maps Q_α , the PLY inequality bounds the size of rational limbs of \mathcal{M} :

Theorem 1.2 (Pommerenke [Pom86], Levin [Lev91], Yoccoz [Hub93]). *For any reduced rational number $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$,*

$$\text{Diam } \mathcal{L}_{p/q} \leq \frac{\log 2}{\pi q}.$$




FIGURE 1. The boundary of \mathcal{M} with some limbs shaded.

In [Mil94], Milnor conjectured that the $O(1/q)$ bound in Theorem 1.2 can be improved to $O(1/q^2)$. While there has been some improvement on controlling the size of limbs of \mathcal{M} , see for example [Lev09], [Lev11], and [DL18], there have been no success in proving an $O(1/q^2)$ PLY inequality for *any* infinite subset of \mathbb{Q} . In this paper, we provide the first such examples. Before stating the main result, we need a few definitions.

For any integer $N \geq 1$ we denote by \mathbb{Q}_N the set of all reduced rational numbers p/q that can be written as a continued fraction

$$(1) \quad p/q = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{a_1 + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\dots + \frac{\varepsilon_N}{a_N}}},$$

where $\varepsilon_n = \pm 1$ and $a_n \geq 2$ is an integer for all $1 \leq n \leq N$. Our main result on the PLY inequality is the following

Theorem 1.3. *For any $N \geq 1$, there exists a constant $C_N > 1$ such that*

$$\frac{C_N^{-1}}{q^2} < \text{Diam } \mathcal{L}_{p/q} < \frac{C_N}{q^2}$$

for all $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}_N$.

Note that Theorem 1.3 also shows that $O(1/q^2)$ is the optimal bound in these cases. Our proof of Theorem 1.3 will allow us to control the size of even more limbs of \mathcal{M} . We will say that a parabolic parameter α has *combinatorics* $\langle \alpha_j \rangle_{j=1}^N$ if

$$\mathcal{M}_\alpha = \tau_{\alpha_1} \circ \dots \circ \tau_{\alpha_N}(\mathcal{M}_0).$$

We will prove the following generalization of Theorem 1.3:

Theorem 1.4. *For any positive integers N_1 and N_2 there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and $C > 1$ such that if α has combinatorics $\langle p_n/q_n \rangle_{n=1}^{N_1}$ with $p_n/q_n \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{N_2} \mathbb{Q}_m$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $|p_n/q_n| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq 2$, then*

$$\frac{C^{-1}}{\prod_{n=1}^{N_1} q_n^2} < \text{Diam } \mathcal{L}_\alpha < \frac{C}{\prod_{n=1}^{N_1} q_n^2}.$$

Note that when $N_1 = 1$, Theorem 1.4 reduces to Theorem 1.3. When $N_1 > 1$, Theorem 1.4 bounds the size of nested small satellite Mandelbrot copies in \mathcal{M} . The precise control given by Theorem 1.4 provides a powerful tool in studying the geometry of \mathcal{M} ; these bounds have recently been used by Lomonaco and Petersen [LP24] to complete a program on the quasiconformal compatibility of small Mandelbrot copies.

Our approach to proving Theorem 1.4 relies on careful analysis of bifurcation of parabolic cycles. Let f_0 be a holomorphic function with a parabolic periodic cycle and let h_0 be a perturbation of f_0 . When h_0 is close to f_0 , high iterates of h_0 may approximate certain transcendental functions which are called *Lavaurs maps* for f . This phenomenon, called *parabolic implosion*, was first studied by Douady, Hubbard, and Lavaurs in [DH85] and [Lav89] and has had numerous applications in holomorphic dynamics, see for example [Dou94], [Shi98],[BC12], [CC15], and [CS15]. In [Shi98] and [Shi00], Shishikura introduced an alternative description of parabolic implosion in terms of two renormalization operators. Instead of a Lavaurs map L_1 for f_0 , there is a *parabolic renormalization* of f_0 : a function f_1 which is semi-conjugate to L_1 . Instead of high iterates of h_0 approximating L_1 there is a *near-parabolic renormalization* of h_0 : a function h_1 which is semi-conjugate to iterates of h_0 and which approximates f_1 . Working with these renormalization operators has a notable upshot: the parabolic renormalization f_1 is a simpler function than the Lavaurs map L_1 . In particular, there are classes of maps which are invariant under these renormalization operators, see for example [IS08], [Yan15], [Ché22], and [Kap22].

If f_1 is a near-parabolic renormalization of f_0 and f_1 also has a parabolic periodic cycle, then there similarly exist parabolic renormalizations of f_1 and near-parabolic renormalizations of h_1 . A *parabolic tower* is a (possibly infinite) sequence $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=0}^N$ such that f_n is a parabolic renormalization of f_{n-1} for all $n > 0$. We can similarly construct *near-parabolic towers*, sequences $\langle h_n \rangle_{n=0}^N$ such that h_n is close to f_n for all $n \geq 0$ and h_n is a near-parabolic renormalization of h_{n-1} for all $n \geq 1$. Parabolic towers were first introduced, with a slightly different definition, by Epstein in [Eps93].

Lavaurs and Douady showed in [Lav89] and [Dou94] respectively that for polynomials, if h is a perturbation of a parabolic map f and if high iterates of h approximate a Lavaurs map L of f , then the filled Julia set of h approximates the analog of the filled Julia set for L ; see for example Figure 2. Our main analysis here is to push this control further: when $f = Q_0$ and $h = Q_\alpha$, the external rays of h approximate analogues of external rays for L . To prove Theorem 1.4, we first extend this control on the geometry of external rays to towers. More precisely, let $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=0}^N$ be a parabolic tower with $f = Q_{\alpha_0}$ and let $\langle h_n \rangle_{n=0}^N$ be a near-parabolic tower with $h_0 = Q_\alpha$. While h_N is not a polynomial and has no canonical definition of external rays, the semi-conjugacy from iterates of h_0 to h_N induces analogues of external rays for h_N . We show that if the towers have finite height, that is N is finite, then the external rays of h_N approximate the external rays of Lavaurs maps for f_N . This bound on the asymptotic geometry of the external rays of h_N then induces a bound on the geometry of the external rays of \mathcal{M} near α_0 . Using these external rays we produce curves which surround limbs of \mathcal{M} and which have controlled size, leading to Theorem 1.4 (see Figure 3).

It is important in our argument that we only consider finite height parabolic towers; the integers N_1 and N_2 in Theorem 1.4 bound the height of parabolic towers under consideration. However, we expect that our analysis can be generalized to some infinite height parabolic




FIGURE 2. *Left:* The filled Julia set for Q_α for α close to one with an external ray drawn in red. *Right:* The analogue of the filled Julia set for a Lavaurs map of Q_1 with an analogue of an external ray drawn in red.

towers. If possible, then we could remove the dependence on N_1 and N_2 for some combinatorics in Theorem 1.4. For any $M \geq 2$, the *high-type numbers* HT_M are the rational numbers p/q with continued fraction expansion as in (1) with $a_n \geq M$ for all n . Successfully applying our methods to infinite height towers would prove the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.5. *There exists some $M \gg 2$ and $C > 1$ such that if α has combinatorics $\langle p_n/q_n \rangle_{n=1}^N$ with $p_n/q_n \in HT_M$ for all n , then*

$$\frac{C^{-1} \cdot 2^{-N}}{\prod_{n=1}^N q_n^2} < \text{Diam } \mathcal{L}_\alpha < \frac{C \cdot 2^N}{\prod_{n=1}^N q_n^2}.$$

Conjecture 1.5 represents the unification of a quadratic PLY inequality and MLC for satellite combinatorics in the high-type setting. While Conjecture 1.5 does not hold without the high-type assumption, indeed the size of the limbs with combinatorics $\langle 1/2, \dots, 1/2 \rangle$ can be uniformly bounded away from zero, we may wonder if Conjecture 1.5 holds in general for small Mandelbrot copies instead of limbs. We can compare Conjecture 1.5 with Theorem D in [CS15], where it is shown that there exists $M \geq 2$, $C > 0$, and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that if α has combinatorics $\langle 1/q_n \rangle_{n=1}^N$ for some $N \geq 1$ with $q_1 \geq M$ and $q_{n+1} \geq q_n^2$ for all $n \geq 1$, then

$$\text{Diam } \mathcal{M}_\alpha < C \cdot \lambda^N.$$

While the analysis in [CS15] is related to ours, both rely on near-parabolic renormalization, Cheraghi and Shishikura use the PLY inequality to prove Theorem D in [CS15]; it is the suboptimality of the PLY inequality which forces the quadratic growth of denominators there. Our approach does not make use of the PLY inequality, it instead produces a version of the PLY inequality with optimal asymptotics, so no such growth condition appears in Conjecture 1.5.




FIGURE 3. A zoomed-in subset of the boundary of \mathcal{M} near $1/n$ for some large n (top) and the boundary of the analogue of \mathcal{M} in the space of Lavaurs maps for Q_1 (bottom). In red, certain subsets of external rays of \mathcal{M} which approximate analogues of external rays in the space of Lavaurs maps are drawn in. The bound on the size of these subsets of external rays of \mathcal{M} induces the bound on the size of limbs in Theorem 1.4.

This paper is organized as follows. In §2 we review the theory of parabolic implosion. In §3 we study the geometry and dynamics of Lavaurs maps and their parameter spaces. In §4 we show how the asymptotic geometry of external rays for maps near a parabolic map are controlled by Lavaurs maps. In §5 we prove Theorem 1.4 and discuss possible generalizations such as Conjecture 1.5.

Acknowledgments: I am grateful to Xavier Buff, Dzmitry Dudko, Adam Epstein, Sarah Koch, Luna Lomonaco, Mikhail Lyubich, John Hubbard, and Carsten Petersen for many insightful discussions of elephants and parabolic implosion. Dudko, Lyubich, and Petersen have recently conducted a study of families of horn maps in [DLP23] which is closely related to §3 of this paper. While this research has been conducted independently, the definition of escaping sets in §3 is very much inspired by the definition of “Lagoas” in [DLP23]. Part of

this project was carried out during Spring 2022 special semester in Complex Dynamics at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, and parts of this project were supported by the Ford Foundation and an NSF postdoctoral fellowship (DMS-2303168). The results in this paper also appear in the PhD thesis [Kap23].

2. PARABOLIC IMPLOSION

A holomorphic function f has a $(p/q-)$ parabolic $(k-)$ periodic point at zero if $k \geq 1$ is the minimal integer such that $f^k(0) = 0$ and if $(f^k)'(0) = e^{2\pi i p/q}$ for some reduced rational number p/q . In this section we will review some of the theory on the local dynamics near parabolic periodic points, for more details see [Shi00], [Oud02], or [Kap22].

We denote by \mathcal{F} the class of holomorphic functions $f : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \dashrightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that:

- (1) $f(0) = 0$ and $f(\infty) = \infty$.
- (2) The restriction $f : f^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is a branched covering map with a unique critical value cv^f and all critical points are of local degree 2.

For example, \mathcal{F} contains the quadratic polynomial $Q_\alpha(z) = e^{2\pi i \alpha} z + z^2$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. We denote by \mathcal{F}^{\otimes} the class of functions $f : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \dashrightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that:

- (1) f is Möbius conjugate to an element of \mathcal{F} .
- (2) 0 is a p/q -parabolic k -periodic point of f for some p/q and k .
- (3) $f^{nk}(cv^f) \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, where cv^f is the unique critical value of f .

For example, if Q_α has a parabolic periodic cycle then $Q_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}^{\otimes}$. We will usually focus on the case where $f \in \mathcal{F}^{\otimes}$ has a parabolic *fixed* point, that is where $k = 1$ above. The general case of periodic points can be studied similarly by replacing f with f^k .

2.1. Modified continued fractions. For any reduced rational number $p/q \in (-1/2, 1/2]$ there is a unique finite sequence $\langle (a_n, \varepsilon_n) \rangle_{n=1}^N$, called the *modified continued fraction expansion* of p/q , such that

$$p/q = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{a_1 + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\dots + \frac{\varepsilon_N}{a_N}}}$$

and $\varepsilon_n = \pm 1$, $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ for all n . Moreover if $a_n = 2$, then $\varepsilon_n = +1$. The modified continued fraction of p/q plays an important role for perturbations of p/q -parabolic fixed points; let us record some facts about these sequences.

We denote by \mathbb{Q}_N the set of all rational numbers whose modified continued fraction expansion has length N ; we will take the empty sequence as the modified continued fraction expansion of $0/1$ and set $\mathbb{Q}_0 = \{0/1\}$. For any $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}_N$ with $N > 0$, we will say that the *parent* of p/q is the rational number with modified continued fraction expansion $\langle (a_n, \varepsilon_n) \rangle_{n=1}^{N-1}$. We define the parent of $0/1$ to be $1/0$; here we will abuse notation and not distinguish between the fraction $1/0$ and the pair $(1, 0)$. For $p/q \neq 0/1$, we can recursively compute p/q from the modified continued fraction expansion using the formula

$$p = a_N p' + \varepsilon_N p'' \quad \text{and} \quad q = a_N q' + \varepsilon_N q'',$$

where p''/q'' is the parent of p'/q' .

Proposition 2.1. $q \geq 2q'$

Proof. If $p/q = 0/1$ then $q' = 0$ so the proposition holds. So we assume that $p/q \neq 0/1$ and that the proposition holds for p'/q' . If $a_N = 2$, so $\varepsilon_N = +1$, then

$$q \geq 2q' + q'' \geq 2q'.$$

If $a_N > 2$, then $q \geq 3q' - q'' \geq \frac{5}{2}q' > 2q'$. \square

For any sequences $\langle s_n \rangle_{n=1}^N$ and $\langle t_m \rangle_{m=1}^M$ with $N_1 \neq \infty$, we denote the concatenation of the sequences by

$$\langle s_n \rangle_{n=1}^N \oplus \langle t_m \rangle_{m=1}^M := \langle s_1, \dots, s_{N_1}, t_1, \dots, t_M \rangle.$$

For any p_1/q_1 and p_2/q_2 with modified continued fractions expansions ω_1 and ω_2 respectively, we define $p_1/q_1 \oplus p_2/q_2$ to be the rational number with modified continued fraction expansion $\omega_1 \oplus \omega_2$.

For any p/q with modified continued fraction $\langle (a_n, \varepsilon_n) \rangle_{n=1}^N$. We define the *signature* of p/q to be the value

$$\mathfrak{S}(p/q) := (-1)^N \prod_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_n,$$

and we define the Möbius transformation

$$(2) \quad \mu_{p/q}(z) := \frac{p + \mathfrak{S}(p/q) \cdot p' \cdot z}{q + \mathfrak{S}(p/q) \cdot q' \cdot z} = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{a_1 + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\dots + \frac{\varepsilon_N}{a_N + \mathfrak{S}(p/q) \cdot z}}}.$$

From this definition, we make the following two observations.

Proposition 2.2. *For any rational $p_1/q_1, p_2/q_2$ in $(-1/2, 1/2]$, if $p/q = p_1/q_1 \oplus p_2/q_2$ then*

$$\mu_{p/q}(z) = \mu_{p_1/q_1}(\mathfrak{S}(p_1/q_1) \cdot \mu_{p_2/q_2}(\mathfrak{S}(p_1/q_1) \cdot z)).$$

Proposition 2.3.

$$|\mu_{p/q}(z) - \mu_{p/q}(w)| = \left| \frac{z - w}{(q + \mathfrak{S}(p/q)q'z)(q + \mathfrak{S}(p/q)q'w)} \right|.$$

2.2. Petals for parabolic maps. For any $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\operatorname{Re}(z_2 - z_1) > \operatorname{Im}(z_2 - z_1)$ we set

$$\Omega(z_1, z_2) := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z_1 - |\operatorname{Im}(w - z_1)| < \operatorname{Re} w < \operatorname{Re} z_2 + |\operatorname{Im}(w - z_2)|\}.$$

We also allow z_1 or z_2 to be ∞ , in those cases we ignore the inequality containing z_1 or z_2 respectively. We will say that a *strip* in \mathbb{C} is a connected set bounded by two parallel lines, and we will say that a strip contained in $\Omega(z_1, z_2)$ is *maximal* if both components of its boundary non-trivially intersect $\partial\Omega(z_1, z_2)$. For any $w \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$ we set $T_\delta(w) = w + \delta$.

Fixing now some map $f \in \mathcal{F}^{\otimes}$ with a p/q -parabolic fixed point at zero, the local dynamics of f near zero are well-understood:

Theorem 2.4. *For any sufficiently large $\mathfrak{M} > 0$, there exist Jordan domains P_{att}^f and P_{rep}^f inside $\operatorname{Dom}(f^q)$ and analytic isomorphisms*

$$\varphi_{\text{att}}^f : \Omega(-1, \infty) \rightarrow P_{\text{att}}^f \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{\text{rep}}^f : \Omega(-\infty, -\mathfrak{M}) \rightarrow P_{\text{rep}}^f$$

which satisfy:

(1) The following geometric conditions:

(a) $\varphi_{att}^f(0) = cv^f \notin P_{rep}^f$.

(b) $\varphi_{att}^f(w) \rightarrow 0$ and $\varphi_{rep}^f(w) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$ when $w \rightarrow \infty$.

(2) The following dynamical conditions:

(a) Up to post-composition by a translation, $(\varphi_{att}^f)^{-1}$ and $(\varphi_{rep}^f)^{-1}$ are the unique univalent maps on P_{att}^f and P_{rep}^f respectively which conjugate f^q to T_1 .

(b) For any $w \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\operatorname{Re} w| < 1$, if $|\operatorname{Im} w|$ is sufficiently large then $f^m \circ \varphi_{rep}^f(w) \in P_{att}^f$, where $0 \leq m < q$ is an integer satisfying

$$mp \equiv \begin{cases} -1 \pmod{q} & \text{if } \operatorname{Im} w > 0, \\ 0 \pmod{q} & \text{if } \operatorname{Im} w < 0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$(\varphi_{rep}^f)^{-1} \circ \varphi_{rep}^f(w) - w \rightarrow \frac{1 - \mathfrak{S}(p/q)}{2} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathfrak{S}(p/q) = +1, \\ 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{S}(p/q) = -1. \end{cases}$$

when $\operatorname{Im} w \rightarrow +\infty$.

We will fix some choice of $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}^f$ for f and denote $\Omega_{att}^f = \operatorname{Dom}(\varphi_{att}^f)$, $\Omega_{rep}^f = \operatorname{Dom}(\varphi_{rep}^f)$. It follows from Theorem 2.4 that there is a unique critical point of f^q on ∂P_{att}^f , we will call this critical point cp^f . We define the *lower component* of $P_{att}^f \cap P_{rep}^f$ to be the component containing $\varphi_{rep}^f(w)$ for $|\operatorname{Re} w| < 1$ and $-\operatorname{Im} w > 0$ sufficiently large.

For any z such that $f^{mq+j}(z) \in P_{att}^f$ for some integers $m \geq 0$ and $0 \leq j < q$, we can define

$$\rho^f(z) := (\varphi_{att}^f)^{-1} \circ f^{mq+j}(z) - m.$$

The *parabolic basin* U^f of f is defined to be the domain of ρ^f , and the *immediate basin* U_0^f of f is the component of U^f containing cv^f . The dynamics of f on the immediate basin are well understood.

Proposition 2.5. *The restriction of f^q to U_0^f is analytically conjugate to the restriction of Q_0 to $U_0^{Q_0}$.*

For any $w \in \Omega_{att}^f$ such that $z = \varphi_{rep}^f(w) \in \operatorname{Dom}(h^{mq})$ for some $m \geq 0$, we can define

$$\chi^f(w + m) := f^{mq}(z).$$

The *horn map* for f is defined to be the map

$$H^f(w) := \rho^f \circ \chi^f.$$

Proposition 2.6. *The horn map H^f is analytic, its domain contains both an upper and a lower half-plane, and*

$$H^f \circ T_1 = T_1 \circ H^f.$$

There exist constants \mathfrak{c}_{\pm}^f such that $H^f(w) - w \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_{\pm}^f$ when $\operatorname{Im} w \rightarrow \pm\infty$ respectively, and

$$\mathfrak{c}_+^f = \frac{1 - \mathfrak{S}(p/q)}{2}.$$

Setting $\text{Exp}^\pm(w) = e^{\pm 2\pi i w}$, a *parabolic renormalization* of f is defined to be a function of the form

$$\mathcal{R}_\delta^\pm f := \text{Exp}^\pm \circ T_{\pm\delta - \mathbf{c}_\pm^f} \circ H^f \circ (\text{Exp}^\pm)^{-1} = \text{Exp}(\delta) \cdot \mathcal{R}_0^\pm f$$

with $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$. It follows from Proposition 2.6 that the domain of $\mathcal{R}_\delta^\pm f$ contains punctured neighborhoods of 0 and ∞ , and we can analytically extend $\mathcal{R}_\delta^\pm f$ by setting $\mathcal{R}_\delta^\pm f(0) = 0$ and $\mathcal{R}_\delta^\pm f(\infty) = \infty$. Moreover, this extension satisfies $(\mathcal{R}_\delta^\pm f)'(0) = \text{Exp}(\delta)$.

Proposition 2.7. $\mathcal{R}_\delta^\pm f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $cv^{\mathcal{R}_\delta^\pm f} = \text{Exp}^\pm(\pm\delta - \mathbf{c}_\pm^f)$ for all $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$. The connected components of $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{R}_\delta^\pm f)$ containing 0 and ∞ are simply connected subsets of \mathbb{C} and $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}$ respectively; the restriction of $\mathcal{R}_\delta^\pm f$ to either component also belongs to \mathcal{F} .

The parabolic renormalizations $\mathcal{R}_\delta^+ f$ and $\mathcal{R}_\delta^- f$ are called *top* and *bottom* parabolic renormalizations of f respectively. We will focus our attention on the top parabolic renormalizations and denote $\text{Exp} = \text{Exp}^+$, $\mathcal{R}_\delta f = \mathcal{R}_\delta^+ f$.

2.3. Petals for near-parabolic maps. Let us keep f as in the previous subsection. Throughout this article we will consider holomorphic maps in the compact-open topology with domains, so a neighborhood of f is of the form

$$\left\{ h : \text{Dom}(h) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \begin{array}{l} h \text{ is analytic on } \text{Dom}(h) \supset K, \text{ and} \\ |f(z) - h(z)| < \epsilon \text{ for all } z \in K \end{array} \right\}$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$ and compact set $K \subset \text{Dom}(f)$.

Fixing some $\mathfrak{C} \gg 1$ we set

$$A = A(\mathfrak{C}) := \left\{ x + iy : 0 < x < \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{C}}, |y| < \mathfrak{C}x^2 \right\}.$$

Let h be a holomorphic function satisfying $h(0) = 0$ and $h'(0) = e^{2\pi i \mu_p/q(\alpha)}$ for some $\alpha \in A$. If h is sufficiently close to f then there is a unique critical point of h^q close to cp^f ; we denote this critical point by $cp^{h,f}$ and define $cv^{h,f} = h^q(cp^{h,f})$. When h is close to f , the local dynamics of h near zero mimics that of f .

Theorem 2.8. *If h is sufficiently close to f , then there exists a holomorphic function*

$$\varphi_{\text{att}}^{h,f} : \Omega \left(-1, \frac{1}{\alpha} - \mathfrak{M}^f \right) \rightarrow \text{Dom}(h^q)$$

which satisfies:

(1) *The following geometric conditions:*

(a) $\varphi_{\text{att}}^{h,f}(0) = cv^{h,f}$.

(b) $\varphi_{\text{att}}^{h,f}(w)$ tends to 0 or to a non-zero fixed point $x^{h,f}$ of h^q when $\text{Im } w \rightarrow +\infty$ or $\text{Im } w \rightarrow -\infty$ respectively.

(c) $\varphi_{\text{att}}^{h,f}$ univalently maps any maximal strip in its domain onto a Jordan domain.

(2) *The following dynamical condition:*

(a) $\varphi_{\text{att}}^{h,f} \circ T_1 = h^q \circ \varphi_{\text{att}}^{h,f}$.

(3) *The following continuity conditions:*

(a) $\varphi_{\text{att}}^{h,f}$ depends continuously and holomorphically on h .

(b) $\varphi_{\text{att}}^{h,f} \rightarrow \varphi_{\text{att}}^f$ and $\varphi_{\text{rep}}^{h,f} := \varphi_{\text{att}}^{h,f} \circ T_{1/\alpha} \rightarrow \varphi_{\text{att}}^f$ when $h \rightarrow f$.

(c) if $w^h \in \text{Dom}(\varphi^{h,f})$ satisfies $w^h \rightarrow \infty$ and $w^h - \frac{1}{\alpha} \rightarrow \infty$ when $h \rightarrow f$, then $\varphi^{h,f}(w^h) \rightarrow 0$.

We denote $\Omega_{att}^{h,f} = \text{Dom}(\varphi_{att}^{h,f})$ and $\Omega_{rep}^{h,f} = \text{Dom}(\varphi_{rep}^{h,f})$. Just as for f , we can define partial extensions of $(\varphi_{att}^{h,f})^{-1}$ and $\varphi_{rep}^{h,f}$. For any open set X compactly contained in $\text{Dom}(\rho^f)$ there exist integers $m \geq 0$ and $0 \leq j < q$ such that $f^{mq+j}(X) \subset P_{att}^f$; if h is sufficiently close to f then

$$\rho^{h,f}(z) := (\varphi_{att}^{h,f})^{-1} \circ h^{mq+j}(z) - m$$

is defined for all $z \in X$. Similarly, for any open set Y compactly contained in $\text{Dom}(\chi^f)$ there exists an integer $m \geq 0$ such that $T_{-m}(Y) \subset \Omega_{rep}^f$; if h is sufficiently close to f then

$$\chi^{h,f}(w) := h^{mq} \circ \varphi_{rep}^{h,f}(w)$$

is defined for all $w \in Y$.

Proposition 2.9. *The maps $\rho^{h,f}$ and $\chi^{h,f}$ are analytic and converge to ρ^f and χ^f respectively when $h \rightarrow f$.*

As a consequence of Proposition 2.9, we can control the dynamics of high iterates of h .

Corollary 2.10. *For any $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$, $h^{nq} \rightarrow \chi^f \circ T_\delta \circ \rho^f$ when $h \rightarrow f$ and $n - \frac{1}{\alpha} \rightarrow \delta$.*

Maps of the form $\chi^f \circ T_\delta \circ \rho^f$ for some $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$ are called *Lavaurs maps*; they are the primary subject of Section 3 below.

The *horn map* for h relative to f is defined by $H^{h,f} := \rho^{h,f} \circ \chi^{h,f}$ and extended using the function equation

$$H^{h,f} \circ T_1 = T_1 \circ H^{h,f}.$$

Proposition 2.11. *The horn map $H^{h,f}$ is well-defined and analytic, depends continuously and holomorphically on h , converges H^f when $h \rightarrow f$, and its domain contains both an upper and lower half-plane. There exist constants $\mathbf{c}_\pm^{h,f}$ such that $H^{h,f}(w) - w \rightarrow \mathbf{c}_\pm^{h,f}$ when $\text{Im } w \rightarrow \pm\infty$ respectively. Moreover $\mathbf{c}_+^{h,f} = \mathbf{c}_+^f$ and*

$$\mathbf{c}_-^{h,f} - \frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{2\pi i}{\log(h^q)'(x^{h,f})},$$

taking the branch of \log with imaginary part in $(-\pi/2, \pi/2]$.

For $\alpha \in A_+$, a *near-parabolic renormalization* of h relative to f is defined to be a function of the form

$$\mathcal{R}_f^\pm h := \text{Exp}^\pm \circ T_{-1/\alpha} \circ H^{h,f} \circ (\text{Exp}^\pm)^{-1}.$$

Just as the parabolic renormalization, we can analytically extend $\mathcal{R}_f^\pm h$ to 0 and ∞ by setting $\mathcal{R}_f^\pm h(0) = 0$ and $\mathcal{R}_f^\pm h(\infty) = \infty$; we can compute the derivative at zero as

$$(\mathcal{R}_f^\pm h)'(0) = \text{Exp}^\pm(\mathbf{c}_\pm^{h,f} - 1/\alpha).$$

Proposition 2.12. *The near-parabolic renormalization $\mathcal{R}_f^\pm h$ depends continuously and holomorphically on h . Moreover, $\mathcal{R}_f^\pm h \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f^\pm f$ when $h \rightarrow f$ and $\mathbf{c}_\pm^{h,f} - 1/\alpha \rightarrow \pm\delta \pmod{1}$.*

One of the key features of the near-parabolic renormalization of h is its semi-conjugacy to high iterates of h .

Proposition 2.13. Fix some $\zeta, \zeta' \in \mathbb{C}$, let w, w' be elements of $\text{Exp}^{-1}(\zeta)$ and $\text{Exp}^{-1}(\zeta')$ respectively inside $\Omega_{rep}^{h,f}$, and set $z = \varphi_{rep}^{h,f}(w), z' = \varphi_{rep}^{h,f}(w'), \zeta = \text{Exp}(w)$. If $\mathcal{R}_f^\pm h(\zeta) = \zeta'$, then there exist non-negative integers m, m' such that $h^m(w) = h^{m'}(w')$. If additionally ζ is sufficiently close to either zero or infinity, then we can pick w and w' so that $m' = 0$.

The maps $\mathcal{R}_f^+ h$ and $\mathcal{R}_f^- h$ are called the *top* and *bottom* near-parabolic renormalizations of h relative to f respectively. Just as for the parabolic renormalizations, we will focus on the top near-parabolic renormalization and denote $\mathcal{R}_f h = \mathcal{R}_f^+ h$.

While we have only considered so far perturbations with $\alpha \in A$, similar implosive phenomena occur when $\alpha \in -A$. Let us denote by f^* and h^* the conjugates of f and h respectively by $z \mapsto \bar{z}$, so

$$(f^*)'(0) = \text{Exp}(-p/q) \text{ and } (h^*)'(0) = \text{Exp} \circ \mu_{-p/q}(-\bar{\alpha}).$$

When $\alpha \in -A$ and h is close enough to f , we can therefore define the near-parabolic renormalization $\mathcal{R}_f^\pm h := \mathcal{R}_{f^*}^\pm h^*$. Thus all of our analysis in the $\alpha \in A$ case applies to the $\alpha \in -A$ case; however we note that h^* and $\mathcal{R}_{f^*}^\pm h^*$ depend anti-holomorphically on α when h depends holomorphically on α .

3. LAVAURS MAPS

Let us fix a map f in $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes 3}$. As in the previous section we will assume that 0 is a fixed point of f , so there is some rational $p/q \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ such that $f'(0) = e^{2\pi ip/q}$. We will say that f has *Jordan basin* if U_0^f is a Jordan domain.

Proposition 3.1. *If f has Jordan basin, then the components of $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{R}_0 f)$ containing 0 and ∞ are both Jordan domains.*

Proof. This proposition is proved in [LY14, Theorem 2.31] for $p/q = 0/1$, the same argument can be applied in the general case. \square

Let us now assume that f has Jordan basin. We define W_+^f to be the component of $\text{Dom}(H^f)$ which contains an upper half-plane, so $\text{Exp}(W_+^f) \cup \{0\}$ is the component of $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{R}_0 f)$ containing zero. It follows from Proposition 3.1 that ∂W_+^f is T_1 -invariant and homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} . Let $0 \leq k_+^f < q$ be the integer such that $k_+^f p \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$, it follows from part (2b) of Theorem 2.4 that $f^{k_+^f} \circ \chi^f(W_+^f) = U_0^f$. Denoting $\chi_+^f := f^{k_+^f} \circ \chi^f$, we define the (*upper*) δ -Lavaurs map for f to be

$$L_\delta^f := \chi_+^f \circ T_\delta \circ \rho^f.$$

As $0 \leq k_+^f < q$, it follows from the definition of ρ^f that we have the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_0^f & \overset{L_\delta^f}{\dashrightarrow} & U_0^f \\ \downarrow T_\delta \circ \rho^f & & \downarrow T_\delta \circ \rho^f \\ \mathbb{C} & \overset{T_\delta \circ H^f}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathbb{C} \\ \downarrow \text{Exp} & & \downarrow \text{Exp} \\ \mathbb{C} & \overset{\mathcal{R}_\delta f}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathbb{C} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} W_+^f & \overset{T_\delta \circ H^f}{\dashrightarrow} & W_+^f \\ \downarrow \chi_+^f & & \downarrow \chi_+^f \\ U_0^f & \overset{L_\delta^f}{\dashrightarrow} & U_0^f \end{array}$$

Let us note that we could instead define lower δ -Lavaurs map for f to be $\chi^f \circ T_{-\delta-c_-^f} \circ \rho^f$, so the Lavaurs map is semi-conjugate to both $\mathcal{R}_\delta^- f$ and the restriction of $T_{-\delta-c_-^f} \circ H^f$ to the component of $\text{Dom}(H^f)$ which contains a lower half-plane. All of our analysis in this section can be applied similarly to these lower Lavaurs maps.

For the rest of this section we will usually suppress dependence on f and δ in the notation when the choices are clear, so for example $\chi_+ = \chi_+^f$ and $L = L_\delta^f$. It follows from the definition that

$$L_\delta \circ f^q = L_{\delta+1} = f^q \circ L_\delta.$$

So for any (possibly negative) integer m , we can define

$$L_\delta \circ f^{qm} := L_{\delta+m}.$$

We equip \mathbb{Z}^2 with the lexicographic ordering; so $(m, n) > (m', n')$ if and only if either $m > m'$ or $m = m'$ and $n > n'$. The function $L_\delta^d \circ f^{qm}$ is therefore defined if and only $(d, m) \geq (0, 0)$. While L_δ is not necessarily defined on all of U_0^f , as $\text{Dom}(\chi_+)$ contains a left half-plane any $z \in U_0^f$ lies in the domain of $L_\delta \circ f^{-qm}$ when $m \geq 0$ is sufficiently large.

3.1. Escaping sets. We define $\mathcal{E}_1^f := \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{W_+^f}$. As ∂W_+ is locally connected and $\chi_+(W_+) = U_0$, we can continuously extend χ_+ to $\partial W_+ = \partial \mathcal{E}_1$ such that

$$\chi_+(\partial \mathcal{E}_1) = \partial U_0.$$

We will say that a point in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is *0-nonescaping*, *0-Julia*, or *0-escaping* for L if it belongs to U_0 , ∂U_0 , or $\mathbb{C} \setminus U_0$ respectively. For any $d \geq 1$, we inductively define a point z to be *d-nonescaping*, *d-Julia*, or *d-escaping* for L if it is $(d-1)$ -nonescaping and

$$T_\delta \circ \rho \circ L^{d-1}(z)$$

belongs to W_+ , ∂W_+ , or \mathcal{E}_1 respectively. For all $d \geq 0$, we denote by K_d^L , J_d^L , and E_d^L the set of all *d-nonescaping*, *d-Julia*, and *d-escaping* points respectively. We will say that L is *d-nonescaping*, *d-Julia*, or *d-escaping* when cv^f is the same respectively for L .

To simplify our notation, we will usually suppress the dependence on L when the choice is clear; for example we will write $K_d = K_d^L$ and $E_d = E_d^L$. For nonescaping points we can completely characterize the critical points of L .

Proposition 3.2. *For all $d \geq 0$, $z \in K_d$ is a critical point of $L^d \circ f^{mq}$ for some $(d, m) > (0, 0)$ if and only if there exists some $(0, 0) < (d', m') < (d, m)$ such that $L^{d'} \circ f^{m'q}(z) = cv^f$.*

Proof. If $L^{d'} \circ f^{m'q}(z) = cv^f$ for some $z \in K_d$, then $L^{d'} \circ f^{(m'-1)q}(z) = cp^f$; thus z is a critical point of $L^{d'} \circ f^{m'q}$. If $z \in K_d$ is a critical point of $L^d \circ f^{mq}$, then either there exists some maximal $(0, 0) \leq (d', m') < (d, m)$ such that z is not a critical point of $L^{d'} \circ f^{m'q}$, so in particular $L^{d'} \circ f^{m'q}(z) \in U_0$ is a critical point of f^q . Hence $L^{d'} \circ f^{(m'+1)q}(z) = cv^f$. \square

Remark. Our definition of the escaping sets above ignores some of the dynamics of L as some points in E_1^L are mapped into U_0^f by L . The upshot of the definition we use here is that it significantly simplifies the topology of the escaping sets and still allows us to completely describe the dynamics of L that are conjugate to \mathcal{R}_δ^f near zero. If we wanted to describe the dynamics of L which are conjugate to $\mathcal{R}_\delta f$ near infinity, or similarly the dynamics of L which are conjugate to a lower parabolic renormalization of f near zero, then we would instead define the escaping sets using the the component of $\text{Dom}(H^f)$ which contains a




FIGURE 4. The sets E_1 (in light gray) and E_2 (in dark gray) for a 2-nonescaping Lavaurs map of Q_1 .

lower half-plane; with this adjustment the rest of our analysis in this section proceeds with minimal change. If we wanted to study the dynamics of $\mathcal{R}_\delta f$ away from zero or infinity, then we could define the escaping sets of L to be the iterated pre-images of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{U^f}$. With such an approach, our arguments in the next section would control the Hausdorff limits of even more general collections of external rays. However we do not need that much control to produce an optimal PLY inequality; we keep with the current definition of escaping sets for simplicity.

Proposition 3.3. *There exists a unique homeomorphism*

$$\Upsilon_0^f : \overline{\mathbb{H}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{E}_1^f}$$

which satisfies:

- (1) Υ_0 is univalent on \mathcal{E}_1 .
- (2) $\Upsilon_0 \circ T_1 = T_1 \circ \Upsilon_0$.
- (3) $t = 0$ is the minimal real number satisfying $\chi_+ \circ \Upsilon_0(t) = 0$.

Proof. As $Dom_0(\mathcal{R}_\delta f)$ is a Jordan domain in \mathbb{C} containing 0, there exists an analytic isomorphism from \mathbb{D} to $Dom_0(\mathcal{R}_\delta f)$ which is unique up to pre-composition by a rotation. This isomorphism lifts by Exp to an analytic isomorphism $\Upsilon_0 : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$ which is unique up to pre-composition by a translation and which commutes with T_1 . As $\partial\mathcal{E}_1$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} , Υ_0 extends continuously to a homeomorphism from $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ to $\overline{\mathcal{E}_1}$. As χ_+ maps a left half-plane to P_{rep}^f and $\chi_+(\partial\mathcal{E}_1) = \partial U_0$, there exists some minimal $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\chi_+ \circ \Upsilon_0(t) = 0$. We can uniquely post-compose Υ_0 by a real translation so that $t = 0$. \square

For any $d \geq 0$, we will say that a point $z \in J_d$ is *d-asymptotic* for L if there is some $(d, m) \geq (0, 0)$ such that $L^d \circ f^{mq}(z) = 0$, using the continuous extension of f^q to $\overline{U_0}$. We have the following description of escaping sets (see Figure 4).

Proposition 3.4. *If L is d -nonescaping for some $d \geq 1$, then:*

- (1) E_d is a countable union of pairwise disjoint Jordan domains, and $T_\delta \circ \rho \circ L^{d-1}$ maps each component univalently onto \mathcal{E}_1 .
- (2) Every component of E_d has a $(d-1)$ -asymptotic point on its boundary, moreover that point is the unique point on the boundary which is not $(d-1)$ -nonescaping.
- (3) Every $(d-1)$ -asymptotic point lies on the boundary of a component of E_d .

Proof. This proposition essentially follows from the following lemma:

Lemma 3.5. *For any Jordan domain X in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ which has ∞ on its boundary and which avoids the negative integers, there exists a unique branch of ρ^{-1} defined on X such that $\rho^{-1}(w) \rightarrow 0$ when $w \rightarrow \infty$, moreover the image of X is a Jordan domain in U_0 whose boundary intersects ∂U_0 only at 0. If $0 \in X$, then this branch sends 0 to cv^f .*

Proof. For all integers n we define

$$\tilde{Y}_n := \{x + iy : x > -n\} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\leq 1}.$$

Up to a homotopy rel \mathbb{Z} we may assume that X is contained in $\tilde{Y} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{Y}_n$. For all $n \leq 1$ we can define $Y_n := \varphi_{att}(\tilde{Y}_n)$. For any $n > 1$ we define Y_n to be the unique component of $\rho^{-1}(\tilde{Y}_n)$ which contains Y_{n-1} . Thus Y_n is the unique component of $f^{-q}(Y_{n-1})$ containing Y_{n-1} . It follows that $Y := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Y_n$ is the unique f^q -invariant component of $\rho^{-1}(\tilde{Y})$, $0 \in \partial Y$, and $cv^f \in Y$. As every other branch of ρ^{-1} defined on Y corresponds to a component of $f^{-kq} \circ f^{kq}(Y)$, to finish proving the lemma it suffices to show that zero is the unique point in $\partial Y \cap \partial U_0$.

As Y and ∂U_0 are f^q -invariant, $\partial Y \cap \partial U_0$ must also be f^q -invariant. Additionally, $\partial Y \cap \partial U_0$ is connected; indeed if $\langle z_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\langle z'_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ are sequences in Y which tend towards ∂U_0 then for all n we can find a curve γ_n in Y connecting z_n to z'_n such that any sequence of points in γ_n tends to ∂U_0 when $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is shown in [DH84] that the dynamics of the polynomial Q_0 on $\partial U_0^{Q_0}$ are topologically conjugate to the doubling map on the circle, so Proposition 2.5 implies that the only f^q -invariant connected subsets of $\partial Y \cap \partial U_0$ are $\{0\}$ and ∂U_0 . Let z_{-1} be the non-zero pre-image under f^q of 0 in ∂U_0 . As $\gamma := \varphi_{att}((1, +\infty))$ is a curve in Y whose closure connects $f^q(cv^f)$ and zero, there are two components γ_+ and γ_- of $f^{-2q}(\gamma)$ which each connect one of the two pre-images of z_{-1} in ∂U_0 to cv^f . The union $\gamma_+ \cup \gamma_-$ is a curve in U_0 which avoids Y and whose closure connects two distinct points in ∂U_0 , hence $\partial Y \cap \partial U_0 \neq \partial U_0$. \square

If L is 1-nonescaping, then by definition $\delta \in W_+$. As \mathcal{E}_1 is a Jordan domain in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, it follows from Lemma 3.5 that there is a unique component B of E_1 which has zero on its boundary, and 0 is the point in J_0 on the boundary of B . Pulling back by f^q completes the proof in the $d = 1$ case. For $d > 1$, we observe that pulling back E_{d-1} by χ_+ produces a countable union of pairwise disjoint Jordan domains in \mathbb{C} . As L is d -nonescaping these domains all avoid δ . As ρ is a covering map branched over the negative integers we can pull back by $T_\delta \circ \rho$ and apply Lemma 3.5 to complete the proof by induction. \square

When L is d -nonescaping for some $d \geq 1$, we will call the unique $(d-1)$ -asymptotic point on the boundary of a component of E_d the *parent* of that component. We will say that a component B of E_d is a *descendant* of a component B' of $E_{d'}$ with $1 \leq d' \leq d$ if either $B = B'$ or the parent of B belongs to the closure of a descendant of B' .

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^f$ denote the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\chi_+ \circ \Upsilon_0(x)$ is 0-asymptotic. For $d \geq 0$ we define an *enriched angle of depth d* for f to be a sequence $\Theta = \langle \theta_n \rangle_{n=0}^d$ with $\theta_n \in \mathcal{A}$ for all n . By abuse of notation, when $d = 0$ we will not differentiate between $\langle \theta_0 \rangle$ and θ_0 . We will say that another enriched angle $\Theta' = \langle \theta'_n \rangle_{n=0}^{d'}$ is *equivalent* to Θ , and write $\Theta \sim \Theta'$, if and only if

- (1) $d = d'$,
- (2) $\theta_n = \theta'_n$ for all $0 < n \leq d$, and
- (3) $\chi_+ \circ \Upsilon_1^{-1}(\theta_0) = \chi_+ \circ \Upsilon_1^{-1}(\theta'_0)$.

For any integer m , we also define the following operations on enriched angles:

- (1) $\Theta \oplus \Theta' := \langle \theta_0, \dots, \theta_d, \theta'_0, \dots, \theta'_{d'} \rangle$.
- (2) $\Theta + m := \langle \theta_n + m \rangle_{n=0}^d$.
- (3) $\Theta * m := \langle \theta_n + m \cdot n \rangle_{n=0}^d$.
- (4) $\lfloor \Theta \rfloor := \langle \theta_n \rangle_{n=0}^{d-1}$ if $d > 0$.
- (5) $\lceil \Theta \rceil := \langle \theta_n \rangle_{n=1}^d$ if $d > 0$.

We will say that Θ is *basic* if $\theta_1 \sim 0$. We define the *principle integer* of Θ to be the minimal integer j so that $(d, j) \geq (0, 0)$ and $\theta_d + j \sim 0$.

For all $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$, we set $z_\theta^f = z_\theta^L := \chi_+ \circ \Upsilon_0(\theta)$. If L is d -nonescaping for some $d \geq 1$, then for any enriched angle $\Theta = \langle \theta_n \rangle_{n=0}^d$ we define $B_{[\Theta]}^L$ to be the unique component of \mathcal{E}_d which has $z_{[\Theta]}^L$ as its parent and we define $v_{[\Theta]}^L : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow B_{[\Theta]}^L$ to be the corresponding branch of $(T_\delta \circ \rho \circ L^{d-1})^{-1} \circ \Upsilon_0$. It follows from Proposition 3.4 that $v_{[\Theta]}^L$ extends continuously to a homeomorphism from $\overline{\mathbb{H}} \cup \{\infty\}$ to $\overline{B_{[\Theta]}^L}$, we define

$$z_\Theta^L = v_{[\Theta]}^L(\theta_d).$$

For an enriched angle Θ of depth 0, while $\lfloor \Theta \rfloor$ is not defined we will nevertheless denote $B_{[\Theta]}^L := \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus U_0^f$, $v_{[\Theta]} := \chi_+ \circ \Upsilon_0$, and $z_{[\Theta]}^L := 0$ to simplify some statements.

The two following propositions show how our labeling respects the dynamics of f and L .

Proposition 3.6. *Assume that L is d -nonescaping for some $d \geq 0$. For any enriched angle Θ of depth d , $f^q(z_\Theta) = z_{\Theta+1}$. If $d > 0$, then $L(z_\Theta) = z_{\lceil \Theta \rceil}$.*

Proof. Set $\Theta = \langle \theta_n \rangle_{n=0}^d$. If $d = 0$, then

$$f^q(z_\Theta) = f^q \circ \chi_+ \circ \Upsilon_0(\theta_0) = \chi_+ \circ \Upsilon_0 \circ T_1(\theta_0) = z_{\Theta+1}.$$

If $d > 0$ and the proposition holds for smaller values of d , then it follows from the definition that $f^q \circ v_{[\Theta]} = v_{[\Theta]+1} \circ T_1$. Hence

$$f^q(z_\Theta) = f^q \circ v_{[\Theta]}(\theta_d) = v_{[\Theta]+1}(\theta_d + 1) = z_{\Theta+1}.$$

If $d = 1$, then

$$L(z_\Theta) = \chi_+ \circ \Upsilon_0(\theta_1) = z_{\lceil \Theta \rceil}.$$

If $d > 1$ and the proposition holds for smaller values of d , then it follows from the definition that $L \circ v_{[\Theta]} = v_{\lceil \Theta \rceil} = v_{\lceil \lceil \Theta \rceil \rceil}$. Hence

$$L(z_\Theta) = L \circ v_{[\Theta]}(\theta_d) = v_{\lceil \Theta \rceil}(\theta_d) = z_{\lceil \Theta \rceil}.$$

□

Proposition 3.7. *Assume that L is 1-nonescaping and fix some $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$. For any sufficiently large integers $m, m' \geq 0$,*

$$f^{k_+^f} \circ \varphi_{rep}^f \circ T_{\delta-m'} \circ (\varphi_{att}^f)^{-1}(z_{(0,\theta+m)}) = z_{\theta+m-m'}$$

Proof. It follows from the definitions that $\chi = \varphi_{rep}$ on Ω_{rep} and φ_{att} is a branch of ρ^{-1} defined on Ω_{att} . As $\varphi_{att}(w) \rightarrow 0$ when $w \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that φ_{att} maps $T_{-\delta}(\mathcal{E}_1) \cap \Omega_{att}$ into B_0 .

Let $m \geq 0$ be a large enough integer so that $z_{(0,\theta+m)} = f^{mq}(z_{(0,\theta)}) \in P_{att}$. It follows from Proposition 3.6 and the definitions of ρ and χ_+ that for any sufficiently integer $m' \geq 0$,

$$z_{\theta+m-m'} = \chi_+ \circ T_{\delta-m} \circ \rho(z_{\theta+m-m'}) = f^{k_+^f} \circ \varphi_{rep}^f \circ T_{\delta-m'} \circ (\varphi_{att}^f)^{-1}(z_{(0,\theta+m)}).$$

□

When we modify δ modulo \mathbb{Z} , the following propositions show how the labeling changes.

Proposition 3.8. *If L_δ is d -nonescaping for some $d \geq 0$, then $L_{\delta+1}$ is also d -nonescaping and $K_d^{L_\delta} = K_d^{L_{\delta+1}}$, $J_d^{L_\delta} = J_d^{L_{\delta+1}}$, and $E_d^{L_\delta} = E_d^{L_{\delta+1}}$. Moreover,*

$$z_\Theta^{L_\delta} = z_{\Theta^*1}^{L_{\delta+1}}$$

for any enriched angle Θ of depth d .

Proof. The first part of the proposition follows immediately from $L_{\delta+1} = L_\delta \circ f^q$. It then follows the definition of v_d that

$$v_d^{L_{\delta+1}} = T_d \circ v_d^{L_\delta}.$$

The rest of the proposition then follows immediately. □

Proposition 3.9. *If L_{δ_0} is $(d+1)$ -nonescaping, then for any enriched angle Θ of depth d there exists a neighborhood Δ of δ_0 such that the function*

$$(3) \quad (\delta, w) \mapsto v_\Theta^{L_\delta}(w)$$

is holomorphic on $\Delta \times \mathbb{H}$ and continuous on $\Delta \times \overline{\mathbb{H}} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Proof. First we consider $\Theta = 0$. As

$$v_0^{L_\delta} = \rho^{-1} \circ T_{-\delta} \circ \Upsilon_0$$

for the unique branch of ρ^{-1} which agrees with φ_{att} , the proposition follows immediately. Pulling back by specific branches of f^{-1} , we can see that the proposition holds for $d = 0$.

Now let us assume that $d > 0$ and that the proposition holds for smaller values of d . The inductive hypothesis implies that the map

$$\delta \mapsto v_\Theta^{L_\delta}(\infty)$$

is continuous on a neighborhood of δ_0 . The branch of $(T_\delta \circ \rho \circ L_\delta^d)^{-1}$ used to define $v_\Theta^{L_\delta}$ therefore depends continuously and hence holomorphically on δ , so the function (3) is holomorphic on $\Delta \times \mathbb{H}$ for some neighborhood Δ of δ_0 . To extend continuously to $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$, we observe that as L_δ is $(d+1)$ -nonescaping any continuous branch of $(T_\delta \circ \rho \circ L_\delta^d)^{-1}$ on \mathcal{E}_1 can be analytically extended to a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathcal{E}_1}$. □

3.2. Pre-petals. In the next section it will be important to consider certain pre-images of the attracting and repelling petals for f .

Proposition 3.10. *Fix some $d \geq 0$ and assume that L is d -nonescaping. There exist $R, R' \geq 0$ such that for any enriched angle Θ of depth d with principle integer j , there exist univalent functions $\varphi_{\Theta,att}^L$ and $\varphi_{\Theta,rep}^L$ satisfying:*

- (1) *The domains of $\varphi_{\Theta,att}^L$ and $\varphi_{\Theta,rep}^L$ are $T_{R-j}(\Omega_{att}^f)$ and $T_{-R'-j}(\Omega_{rep}^f)$ respectively.*
- (2) *On the domain of $\varphi_{\Theta,\iota}^L$,*

$$L^d \circ f^{jq} \circ \varphi_{\Theta,\iota}^L = \varphi_{\iota}^f \circ T_j.$$

- (3) *$\varphi_{\Theta,\iota}^L(z) \rightarrow z_{\Theta}^L$ when $w \rightarrow \infty$.*
- (4) *The image of $\varphi_{\Theta,att}^L$ is contained in K_{d-1} .*
- (5) *For any w with $|\operatorname{Re} w| < 1$ and $-\operatorname{Im} w > 0$ sufficiently large, $\varphi_{\Theta,rep}^L(w)$ is contained in the image of $\varphi_{\Theta,att}^L$.*
- (6) *If $d = 0$, then $R = 1$ and $R' = 0$.*

Proof. If $\theta \sim 0$, then we define $\varphi_{\theta,\iota}^L := \varphi_{\iota}^f$. As cv^f avoids the Jordan domain $\varphi_{att} \circ T_1(\Omega_{att})$ and U_0^f is a Jordan domain, there exists a unique continuous branch of f^{-q} defined on $\varphi_{att} \circ T_1(\Omega_{att})$ whose image lies in U_0^f and such that $f^{-q} \circ \varphi_{att}(w) \rightarrow z_{-1}^L$ when $w \rightarrow \infty$. Using this branch, we define

$$\varphi_{-1,att}^L := f^{-q} \circ \varphi_{att}^f \circ T_1$$

on Ω_{att} . Let X be the lower component of $P_{att} \cap P_{rep}$. It follows from Theorem 2.4 that cv^f avoids P_{rep} , so there exists a continuous branch of f^{-q} on P_{rep} which agrees with the above branch on X . Using this new branch of f^{-q} , we define

$$\varphi_{-1,rep}^L := f^{-q} \circ \varphi_{rep}^f \circ T_1$$

on $T_{-1}(\Omega_{rep})$.

It follows from Proposition 3.2 that for any integer ℓ , the critical values of $L^d \circ f^{\ell q}$ are contained in the set

$$\bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq s < q \\ 0 \leq n \leq d \\ (n,m) \geq (0,0)}} L^n \circ f^{mq+s}(cv^f).$$

As L is d -nonescaping, it follows that $L^n \circ f^{mq}(cv^f) \rightarrow 0$ when $m \rightarrow \pm\infty$ for any $1 \leq n \leq d$ and $(n, m) \geq (0, 0)$. Moreover, $L^n \circ f^{mq} \notin J_0^L$ for any $(n, m) \geq (0, 0)$. Hence $L^d \circ f^{\ell q}$ is a covering map over a neighborhood D of z_{-1}^L for any integer ℓ . It follows from Theorem 2.4 that we can choose $R, R' \geq 0$ so that

$$\varphi_{-1,att}^L \circ T_{R-1}(\Omega_{att}) \cup \varphi_{-1,rep}^L \circ T_{-R'-1}(\Omega_{rep}) \subset D$$

Thus for any enriched angle Θ of depth d with principle integer $j > 0$, it follows from Proposition 3.6 that there is a unique continuous branch of $(L^d \circ f^{(j-1)q})^{-1}$ defined on D which sends z_{-1}^L to z_{Θ}^L . Using this branch, we define

$$\varphi_{\Theta,\iota}^L := (L^d \circ f^{(j-1)q})^{-1} \circ \varphi_{-1,\iota}^L \circ T_{j-1}.$$

If $d = 0$, then the above implies that the image of $\varphi_{-1,\iota}^L$ avoids the critical values of $f^{\ell q}$ for all $\ell > 0$, so we can choose $R = 1$ and $R' = 0$. \square

We will call the functions $\varphi_{\Theta, \text{att}}^L$ and $\varphi_{\Theta, \text{rep}}^L$ attracting and repelling *pre-petal parameters* respectively. We will call the images

$$P_{\Theta, \iota}^L := \varphi_{\Theta, \iota}^L(\text{Dom}(\varphi_{\Theta, \iota}^L))$$

attracting and repelling *pre-petals* for L . It follows from the proof of Proposition 3.10 that for Θ an enriched angle of depth 0, the pre-petal parameter $\varphi_{\Theta, \iota}^L$ does not depend on L ; in this case we will also denote $\varphi_{\Theta, \iota}^f := \varphi_{\Theta, \iota}^L$.

3.3. Virtually parabolic Lavaurs maps. Let us denote $\tilde{f} := \mathcal{R}_\delta f$. We will assume in this subsection that δ is equal to some rational $\tilde{p}/\tilde{q} \in [-1/2, 1/2]$, so \tilde{f} has a \tilde{p}/\tilde{q} parabolic fixed point at 0. Our assumption f has Jordan basin induces the same for \tilde{f} .

Proposition 3.11. *\tilde{f} has Jordan basin.*

Proof. When $\tilde{p}/\tilde{q} = 0/1$ this fact is proved in [LY14, Theorem 4.6], the same argument can be applied in the general case. \square

The Lavaurs map L is said to have a *virtually parabolic* fixed point at 0 in this case. We can lift the parabolic dynamics of \tilde{f} to L .

Proposition 3.12. *There exist and univalent maps*

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{att}}^L : \Omega_{\text{att}}^{\tilde{f}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{rep}}^L : \Omega_{\text{rep}}^{\tilde{f}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that:

- (1) $\text{Exp} \circ T_\delta \circ \rho^f \circ \tilde{\varphi}_\iota^L = \varphi_\iota^{\tilde{f}}$.
- (2) $\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{att}}^L(0) = cv^f$.
- (3) The image of $\tilde{\varphi}_\iota^L$ is a Jordan domain inside U_0^f whose boundary intersects ∂U_0^f only at 0.
- (4) $\tilde{\varphi}_\iota^L(w) \rightarrow 0$ when $w \rightarrow \infty$.
- (5) $\tilde{\varphi}_\iota^L \circ T_1 = L^{\tilde{q}} \circ f^{-(\tilde{p} + \epsilon_+^f \tilde{q})} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_\iota^L$.

Proof. As $cv^{\tilde{f}} = \text{Exp}(\delta)$, there exists a unique branch of $T_{-\delta} \circ \text{Exp}^{-1}$ on $P_{\text{att}}^{\tilde{f}}$ which sends $cv^{\tilde{f}}$ to 0. As $P_{\text{att}}^{\tilde{f}}$ is a Jordan domain, it follows from Lemma 3.5 that there is a continuous branch of $(\text{Exp} \circ T_\delta \circ \rho^f)^{-1}$ on $P_{\text{att}}^{\tilde{f}}$ so that

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{att}}^L := (\text{Exp} \circ T_\delta \circ \rho^f)^{-1} \circ \varphi_{\text{att}}^{\tilde{f}}$$

satisfies the first four desired properties. We can extend the restriction of this branch to the lower component of $P_{\text{att}}^{\tilde{f}} \cap P_{\text{rep}}^{\tilde{f}}$ to all of $P_{\text{rep}}^{\tilde{f}}$ to similarly define $\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{rep}}^L$.

Let P be the image of $\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{att}}^L$ and let $\tilde{P} = T_\delta \circ \rho^f(P)$. The definition of \tilde{f} implies that there is some integer \tilde{m} so that Exp conjugates $T_{\tilde{m}} \circ (T_\delta \circ H^f)^{\tilde{q}}$ on \tilde{P} to $\tilde{f}^{\tilde{q}}$ on $P_{\text{att}}^{\tilde{f}}$. Thus $L^{\tilde{q}} \circ f^{\tilde{m}\tilde{q}}(P)$ is contained in a component of $(T_\delta \circ \rho^f)^{-1}(\tilde{P})$. It follows from the definition of L , more specifically the definition of χ_+ , that this component is contained in U_0^f and has 0 on its boundary; this component is therefore P . This proves the last property for $\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{att}}^L$ and the same argument can be applied for $\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{rep}}^L$; the non-empty intersection of the images of $\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{att}}^L$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{rep}}^L$ implies that we can use the same integer \tilde{m} for both functions. As $H^f(w) - w \rightarrow \mathbf{c}_+^f$ when $\text{Im } w \rightarrow +\infty$, we can compute $\tilde{m} + \tilde{q}(\delta + \mathbf{c}_+^f) = 0$. \square

We denote \tilde{P}_v^L to be the image of $\tilde{\varphi}_v^L$. We also define

$$U^L := (\text{Exp} \circ T_\delta \circ \rho^f)^{-1}(U^{\tilde{f}})$$

and define U_0^L to be the unique component of U^L containing cv^f . It follows from Lemma 3.5 that U_0^L is a Jordan domain in $U_0^{\tilde{f}}$ with zero on its boundary and $\text{Exp} \circ T_\delta \circ \rho^f$ conjugates $L^{\tilde{q}} \circ f^{-(\tilde{p} + \tilde{q}c_+^f)q}$ on U_0^L to $\tilde{f}^{\tilde{q}}$ on $U_0^{\tilde{f}}$. Using the virtually parabolic dynamics of L , we have the following control of the escaping bubbles of L .

Proposition 3.13. *There is a unique sequence $(\Theta_d)_{d=0}^\infty$, where Θ_d is a basic enriched angle of depth d for all $d \geq 0$, such that:*

- (1) For all $d \geq 1$, $[\Theta_d] = \Theta_{d-1}$.
- (2) For all $d \geq \tilde{q}$,

$$L^{\tilde{q}} \circ f^{\tilde{m}q}(B_{\Theta_d}) = B_{\Theta_{d-\tilde{q}}}.$$

- (3) For any sufficiently large $d_0 \geq 0$,
 - (a) $\overline{B_{\Theta_d}} \subset \tilde{\varphi}_{rep}^L(\Omega_{rep}^{\tilde{f}})$ for all $d \geq d_0$.
 - (b) If

$$z \in \tilde{P}_{rep}^L \cap \left(\bigcup_{d \geq 0} E_d \right)$$

is sufficiently close to 0, then z belongs to a descendant of $B_{\Theta_{d_0}}$.

Proof. For all $0 \leq n < \tilde{q}$ we set $U_n^{\tilde{f}} := \tilde{f}^n(U_0^{\tilde{f}})$. It follows from Proposition 3.11 that $U_n^{\tilde{f}}$ is a Jordan domain for all n . As $cv^{\tilde{f}} \in U_0^{\tilde{f}}$ is the unique critical value of \tilde{f} , the restriction of \tilde{f}^n to $U_0^{\tilde{f}}$ extends to a homeomorphism from $\overline{U_0^{\tilde{f}}}$ to $\overline{U_n^{\tilde{f}}}$. As $U_0^{\tilde{f}}$ is a Jordan domain, Proposition 2.5 implies that there is a homeomorphism $\xi : U_0^{Q_1} \rightarrow U_0^{\tilde{f}}$ which conjugates Q_1 to $\tilde{f}^{\tilde{q}}$ and which is analytic on $U_0^{Q_1}$. For all $0 \leq n < \tilde{q}$ we define γ_n to be the arc $\tilde{f}^n \circ \xi([-1, 0])$. As 0 and ∞ are the only possible asymptotic values for \tilde{f} and \tilde{f}^n is univalent on $U_0^{\tilde{f}}$ for all $1 \leq n < \tilde{q}$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -1} \tilde{f}^n \circ \xi(t) = 0$$

if and only if $n = \tilde{q}$ for $0 \leq n \leq \tilde{q}$. Hence the curve γ_n contains a point on the boundary of $Dom_0(\tilde{f})$ if and only if $n = \tilde{q}$, and this point is unique when it exists. As $Dom_0(\tilde{f})$ is a Jordan domain, we can define Γ to be the union of $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\tilde{q}-1} \gamma_n$ and a simple curve which connects the point in $\gamma_{\tilde{q}-1} \cap \partial Dom_0(\tilde{f})$ to ∞ , avoids $Dom_0(\tilde{f})$, and which is contained in \mathbb{R} near ∞ . It follows from the construction that the the image of $\Gamma \cap Dom_0(\tilde{f})$ under \tilde{f} is contained in Γ . We define $\mathcal{V} := \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$.

Lemma 3.14. *\mathcal{V} is simply connected.*

Proof. By construction, if \mathcal{V} is not simply connected, then there must be a point $z \in \mathbb{C}$ which is contained in both γ_{n_1} and γ_{n_2} for some $0 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \tilde{q}$. Thus there exists some $t_1, t_2 \in [-1, 0)$ such that

$$z = \tilde{f}^{n_1} \circ \xi(t_1) = \tilde{f}^{n_2} \circ \xi(t_2).$$

If $t_1 \neq -1$ or $t_2 \neq -1$, so $z \in U_{n_1}^{\tilde{f}}$ or $z \in U_{n_2}^{\tilde{f}}$, then it would follow that $n_1 = n_2$ which is a contradiction. As γ_n contains a point in $\partial Dom_0(\tilde{f})$ if and only if $n = \tilde{q} - 1$, we must have $\tilde{n}_2 < \tilde{q} - 1$. As $\tilde{f}^{\tilde{n}} \circ \xi(-1)$ belongs to $Dom_0(\tilde{f})$ for all $0 \leq \tilde{n} < \tilde{q} - 1$, we have

$$\tilde{f}^{\tilde{n}_2 - n_1}(\tilde{f}^{n_1} \circ \xi(-1)) = \tilde{f}^{\tilde{n}_2} \circ \xi(-1).$$

Hence $\tilde{f}^{n_1} \circ \xi(-1)$ is periodic under \tilde{f} , but this is a contradiction as

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -1} \tilde{f}^{\tilde{q} - n_1}(\tilde{f}^{n_1} \circ \xi(t)) = 0.$$

□

It follows from our construction that the intersection of any small neighborhood of zero with \mathcal{V} has exactly \tilde{q} components which zero on their boundary. As \mathcal{V} avoids all the critical values of $\tilde{f}^{\tilde{q}}$ and $\tilde{f}^{\tilde{q}}$ is injective in a neighborhood of 0, there are exactly \tilde{q} components of $\tilde{f}^{-\tilde{q}}(\mathcal{V})$ which have 0 on their boundary. Let us fix one such component \mathcal{U} and let $\zeta : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be the corresponding branch of $\tilde{f}^{-\tilde{q}}$. As 0 is on the boundary of \mathcal{U} , the Denjoy-Wolff theorem implies that the iterates of ζ converge locally uniformly to 0. As \mathcal{V} is connected, there exists some integer $0 \leq n < \tilde{q}$ such that for any $z \in \mathcal{V}$, $\zeta^j(z)$ lies in $\tilde{f}^n(P_{rep}^{\tilde{f}})$ for all large $j > 0$. Replacing \mathcal{U} with another component of $\tilde{f}^{-\tilde{q}}(\mathcal{V})$, we can assume that $n = 0$; in particular $P_{rep}^{\tilde{f}} \subset \mathcal{V}$. We define $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ to be the component of $(\text{Exp} \circ T_\delta \circ \rho^f)^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ which contains \tilde{P}_{rep}^L and we define $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ to be the component of $(\text{Exp} \circ T_\delta \circ \rho^f)^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ which contains $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$. Setting

$$\tilde{m} = -\tilde{q}(\delta + \mathbf{c}_+^f),$$

it follows from Proposition 3.12 that $\text{Exp} \circ T_\delta \circ \rho^f$ conjugates the restriction $L^{\tilde{q}} \circ f^{\tilde{m}q} : \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ to the restriction $\tilde{f}^{\tilde{q}} : \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$. Let $\tilde{\zeta} : \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ be the corresponding inverse map, so

$$\text{Exp} \circ T_\delta \circ \rho^f \circ \tilde{\zeta} = \zeta \circ \text{Exp} \circ T_\delta \circ \rho^f.$$

As \mathcal{V} intersects the compliment of $Dom_0(\tilde{f})$ in a single component, it follows that $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ intersects E_1 in a single connected component. For ever integer $s \geq 0$, let $B_{s\tilde{q}}$ be the unique component of $E_{1+s\tilde{q}}$ which contains $\tilde{\zeta}^s(\tilde{\mathcal{V}} \cap E_1^L)$.

Lemma 3.15. *Fix some integers $s, s' \geq 0$ and a component B of $E_{s'}$ with parent z_B . If $\overline{B} \cap \tilde{\zeta}^s(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ is non-empty, then one of the following holds:*

- (1) $s' = s\tilde{q}$ and $B = B_{s\tilde{q}}$.
- (2) $s' > 1 + s\tilde{q}$ and $\overline{B} \subset \tilde{\zeta}^s(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$.
- (3) $s' = 1 + s\tilde{q}$, $\overline{B} \setminus \{z_B\} \subset \tilde{\zeta}^s(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$, and $z_B \in \partial B_{s\tilde{q}}$.

Proof. As B and $\tilde{\zeta}^s(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ are Jordan domains, if $\overline{B} \cap \tilde{\zeta}^s(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ is non-empty, then $B \cap \tilde{\zeta}^s(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ is also non-empty. As $L^{\tilde{q}} \circ f^{\tilde{m}q} = \tilde{\zeta}^{-1}$, we must have $s' \geq s\tilde{q}$. Moreover, the uniqueness in the definition of $B_{s\tilde{q}}$ implies that $B = B_{s\tilde{q}}$ if $s' = s\tilde{q}$. Thus we can restrict to the case $s' > s\tilde{q}$.

Assume that there is some point

$$x' \in \overline{B} \subset J_{s'} \cup E_{s'} \cup J_{s'-1}$$

which belongs to the boundary of $\tilde{\zeta}^s(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$. As $s' > s\tilde{q}$, the point $x := (L^{\tilde{q}} \circ f^{\tilde{m}q})^s(x')$ is defined and belongs to $\partial \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$. We have four possible cases:

- (1) $x \notin U_0^f$,

- (2) $\text{Exp} \circ T_\delta \circ \rho^f(x) \notin \overline{\text{Dom}_0(\tilde{f})}$,
- (3) $\text{Exp} \circ T_\delta \circ \rho^f(x) \in \Gamma \cap \partial \text{Dom}_0(\tilde{f})$, or
- (4) $\text{Exp} \circ T_\delta \circ \rho^f(x) \in \Gamma \cap \text{Dom}_0(\tilde{f})$.

The first case above implies that $x \in J_{s\tilde{q}}^L$, and the second case implies that $x' \in E_{1+s\tilde{q}}$. Both are impossible as $s' > s\tilde{q}$. The third case above implies that $x \in J_1 \cap \partial B_0$, so $x' \in J_{1+s\tilde{q}} \cap \partial B_{s\tilde{q}}$. Hence $x' = z_B$ and $s' = 1 + s\tilde{q}$. The fourth case above is impossible as every point in $\Gamma \cap \text{Dom}_0(\tilde{f})$ has infinite forward orbit under \tilde{f} .

Thus we have shown that if $s > s\tilde{q}$, then \overline{B} can intersect the boundary of $\zeta^s(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ only at the parent of B and only when $s = 1 + s\tilde{q}$, which completes the proof. \square

For all integers $d \geq 0$ not equivalent to 0 modulo \tilde{q} , we inductively define B_d to be the unique component of E_{d+1} whose closure contains the parent of B_{d+1} . If $d > 0$ is equivalent to 0 modulo \tilde{q} , Lemma 3.15 guarantees that B_{d+1} is a descendant of B_d . Lemma 3.15 also ensures that $\overline{B_d} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ for all $d > 2$, so $\zeta^n(\overline{B_d})$ converges to 0 inside \tilde{P}_{rep}^L when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\overline{B_d} \subset \tilde{P}_{rep}^L$ for all sufficiently large $d \geq 0$. Now let us fix any $s \geq 0$ and let z be a point in $\tilde{P}_{rep}^L \cap (\bigcup_{d \geq 0} E_d)$. As $\tilde{P}_{rep}^L \subset \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$, if z is sufficiently close to zero then $z \in \zeta^s(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$. If B is the component of $\bigcup_{d \geq 1} E_d$ which contains z , then Lemma 3.15 implies that B is a descendant of $B_{s\tilde{q}}$. \square

We will call the sequence $\langle \Theta_d \rangle_{d=0}^\infty$ in Proposition 3.13 the *parabolic enriched angles* for L .

3.4. Parameter spaces. Let us fix some f as in the previous section. For any $d \geq 0$, we define the *d-nonescaping parameter set*, *d-Julia parameter set*, and the *d-escaping parameter set* to be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_d^f &:= \left\{ \delta \in \mathbb{C} : L_\delta^f \text{ is } d\text{-nonescaping} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{J}_d^f &:= \left\{ \delta \in \mathbb{C} : L_\delta^f \text{ is } d\text{-Julia} \right\}, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{E}_d^f &:= \left\{ \delta \in \mathbb{C} : L_\delta^f \text{ is } d\text{-escaping} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

respectively. The following proposition shows that for $d = 0$, the definition of \mathcal{E}_0^f agrees with $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{W_+^f}$.

Proposition 3.16. *For any $w \in \mathbb{H}$, $\delta = \Upsilon_0^f(w)$ if and only if $T_\delta \circ \rho^f(cv^f) = \Upsilon_0^f(w)$.*

Proof. As $\rho^f(cv^f) = 0$, we have $T_\delta \circ \rho^f(cv^f) = \delta$. \square

We set $\mathcal{B}_0^f := \mathcal{E}_1^f$, and for any basic enriched angle Θ of depth $d \geq 1$ we define

$$\mathcal{B}_\Theta^f := \left\{ \delta \in \mathcal{E}_{d+1}^f : L_\delta^f \circ f^{-jq}(cv^f) \in B_{|\Theta|_j}^{L_\delta^f} \text{ for all large integers } j \geq 0 \right\}.$$

We will say that a parameter $\delta \in \mathcal{J}_d^f$ is *d-asymptotic* if cv^f is *d-asymptotic* for L_δ^f . The structure of the escaping parameter sets mimics that of the dynamical escaping sets.

Proposition 3.17. *For any basic enriched angle Θ of depth $d \geq 1$, \mathcal{B}_Θ is a Jordan domain and there is an analytic isomorphism $\Upsilon_\Theta^f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\Theta$ such that $\delta = \Upsilon_\Theta^f(w)$ if and only if*

$$L_\delta^f \circ f^{-jq}(cv^f) = v_{|\Theta|_j}^{L_\delta^f} \circ T_{-j}(w)$$




FIGURE 5. The parameter space of Lavaurs maps of Q_1 . The d -escaping parameter set is shown in light gray for $d = 1$, dark gray for $d = 2$, and white for $d > 2$.

for all sufficiently large integers $j \geq 0$. Moreover, the components of \mathcal{E}_d are all pairwise disjoint.

Proof. This proposition is proved in [DLP23] for the family of horn maps $T_\delta \circ H$. The semi-conjugacies between $T_\delta \circ H$ and L_δ on W_+ implies that the same holds for our family of Lavaurs maps. We will only show here the construction of the map Ψ_Θ , where our argument differs slightly from that in [DLP23]; we can then prove that \mathcal{B}_Θ is a Jordan domain by identical argument to the one given in [DLP23]. Our argument relies on a quasiconformal surgery, for an introduction to the use of quasiconformal maps in holomorphic dynamics we refer the reader to [BF14].

Let us fix some enriched angle Θ of depth $d \geq 1$ and assume that there is a non-empty connected component \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{B}_Θ , so \mathcal{B} is a connected component of \mathcal{E}_{d+1} . Fix some $\delta \in \mathcal{B}$ and set

$$\zeta = T_\delta \circ \rho \circ L_\delta^d(cv^f).$$

For all $w \in \mathbb{H}$ we define the quasiconformal map

$$\Xi_w : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$$

by

$$\Xi_w(x - iy) = x - y \cdot \frac{w - \operatorname{Re} \zeta}{\operatorname{Im} \zeta}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y > 0$. It follows from this definition that Ξ_w commutes with T_1 , extends continuously to the identity on \mathbb{R} , and satisfies $\xi_w(\zeta) = w$. We set $\xi_w := \Upsilon_0 \circ \Xi_w \circ \Upsilon_0^{-1}$.

Let us denote by Λ the trivial Beltrami differential on \mathbb{C} . We define a $(T_\delta \circ H)$ -invariant Beltrami differential on \mathbb{C} by

$$\tilde{\lambda}_w := \begin{cases} (\xi_w \circ (T_\delta \circ H)^d)^* \Lambda & \text{on } (T_\delta \circ H)^{-d}(\mathcal{E}_1) \text{ for all } d \geq 0, \\ \Lambda & \text{on } \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{d \geq 0} (T_\delta \circ H)^{-d}(\mathcal{E}_1), \end{cases}$$

where $*$ denotes the pull-back operation on Beltrami differentials. Note that $\tilde{\lambda}_w$ is T_1 -invariant as $T_\delta \circ H$ commutes with T_1 .

We define the Beltrami differential $\lambda_w := (T_\delta \circ \rho)^* \tilde{\lambda}_w$ on U_0 ; it follows from the semi-conjugacy of f^q to T_1 that λ_w is invariant under f^q . By the measurable Riemann mapping theorem, there exists a quasiconformal homeomorphism $\phi_w : U_0 \rightarrow U_0$ satisfying $\phi_w^* \Lambda = \lambda_w$. The map

$$\tilde{f}_w := \phi_w \circ f^q \circ \phi_w^{-1} : U_0 \rightarrow U_0$$

is therefore holomorphic and quasiconformally conjugate to f^q . We have the following classical fact:

Lemma 3.18. *If $g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a holomorphic function satisfying:*

- (1) *zero is the unique critical point of g counting multiplicity; and*
- (2) *there is a bi-infinite sequence $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that $g(z_n) = z_{n+1}$ for all n and $z_n \rightarrow 1$ when $n \rightarrow \pm\infty$;*

then

$$g(z) = \frac{3z^2 + 1}{z^2 + 3}.$$

Proof. See for example [LY14, Theorem 2.33]. □

It follows from Lemma 3.18 that \tilde{f}_w is conformally conjugate to f^q . Hence we can choose ϕ_w so that it depends continuously on w and $\tilde{f}_w = f^q$. As f^q has a unique critical point in U_0 and ϕ_w is orientation-preserving, it follows that ϕ_w is the identity on the entire grand orbit of cv^f under f^q . As U_0 is a Jordan domain, ϕ_w therefore extends continuously to the identity on ∂U_0 .

As $T_\delta \circ H = T_\delta \circ \rho \circ \chi_+$ on W_+ , the Beltrami differential $\chi_+^* \lambda_w$ is equal to $\tilde{\lambda}_w$ on W_+ . We can lift ϕ_w by χ_+ to a quasiconformal homeomorphism $\tilde{\phi}_w : W_+ \rightarrow W_+$; it follows from the above that $\tilde{\phi}_w^* \Lambda = \tilde{\lambda}_w$ on W_+ and $\tilde{\phi}_w$ extends continuously to the identity on ∂W_+ . Thus we can extend $\tilde{\phi}_w$ to a quasiconformal automorphism of \mathbb{C} by

$$\tilde{\phi}_w(z) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\phi}_w(z) & \text{if } z \in \overline{W_+}, \\ \xi_w(z) & \text{if } z \in \overline{\mathcal{E}_1}. \end{cases}$$

It follows from this construction that $\tilde{\phi}_w^* \Lambda = \tilde{\lambda}_w$. Thus

$$\rho_w := \tilde{\phi}_w \circ T_\delta \circ \rho \circ \phi_w^{-1}$$

is holomorphic and semi-conjugates f^q to T_1 . It follows from the uniqueness in part (2a) of Theorem 2.4 that there exists some $\delta_w \in \mathbb{C}$ so that

$$\rho_w = T_{\delta_w} \circ \rho.$$

Similarly, the function

$$\chi_w(z) := \begin{cases} \phi_w \circ \chi_+ \circ \tilde{\phi}_w^{-1}(z) & \text{if } z \in \overline{W_+} \\ \chi_+(z) & \text{if } z \in \overline{\mathcal{E}_1} \cap \text{Dom}(\chi_+) \end{cases}$$

is holomorphic and there exists some $\delta'_w \in \mathbb{C}$ so that

$$\chi_w = \chi_+ \circ T_{\delta'_w}.$$

As $\chi_w = \chi_+$ on $\mathcal{E}_1 \cap \text{Dom}(\chi_+)$, we must have $\delta'_w = 0$. Thus

$$\phi_w \circ L_\delta \circ \phi_w^{-1} = \phi_w \circ \chi_+ \circ \tilde{\phi}_w^{-1} \circ \tilde{\phi}_w \circ T_\delta \circ \rho \circ \phi_w^{-1} = \chi_+ \circ T_{\delta_w} \circ \rho = L_{\delta_w}$$

on $\phi_w(K_1^{L_\delta}) = K_1^{L_{\delta_w}}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\delta_w} \circ \rho \circ L_{\delta_w}^d(cv^f) &= \tilde{\phi}_w \circ T_\delta \circ \rho \circ \phi_w^{-1} \circ L_{\delta_w}^d(cv^f) \\ &= \xi_w \circ T_\delta \circ \rho \circ L_\delta^d \circ \phi_w^{-1}(cv^f) \\ &= \xi_w(\delta) \\ &= w. \end{aligned}$$

As ϕ_w depends continuously on w , the mapping $\Psi(w) := \delta_w$ is continuous. Moreover, Ψ is biholomorphic as it is a continuous inverse branch of the holomorphic function

$$z \mapsto T_z \circ \rho \circ L_z^d(cv^f).$$

It follows from this construction that $\Psi(\mathbb{H}) \subset \mathcal{E}_{d+1}$, hence $\Psi(\mathbb{H}) \subset \mathcal{B}$. As any point on the boundary of the image of Ψ cannot belong to \mathcal{E}_{d+1} , Ψ is in fact an analytic isomorphism from \mathbb{H} to \mathcal{B} . \square

For any basic enriched angle Θ of depth larger than 1, we denote by $\mathcal{Z}_\Theta^f = \mathcal{Z}_\Theta$ the unique parameter in $\partial\mathcal{B}_\Theta$ such that $cv^f = z_\Theta^{L_{z_\Theta}}$; we call \mathcal{Z}_Θ the *parent* of \mathcal{B}_Θ .

4. LIMITS OF EXTERNAL RAYS

For any $N \geq 0$ and $\sigma = (k_n)_{n=1}^N \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ we denote

$$\ell(\sigma) := N \text{ and } |\sigma| := \inf_{1 \leq n \leq N} |k_n|,$$

setting $|\sigma| := \infty$ when $N = 0$.

For any map $f \in \mathcal{F}^{\otimes}$ with a p/q -parabolic k -periodic point at zero and any $\Sigma \subset \bigcup_{N \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}^N$, we define a *Log-multiplier family near f indexed by Σ* to be a collection of holomorphic maps $\{h_{\sigma,\alpha}\} = \{h_{\sigma,\alpha}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma, \alpha \in \mathbb{D}}$ such that:

- (1) $h_{\sigma,\alpha}$ depends continuously on σ and holomorphically on $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$.
- (2) $h_{\sigma,\alpha}$ has a k -periodic point at zero with multiplier $e^{2\pi i \mu_{p/q}(\alpha)}$.
- (3) $h_{\sigma,\alpha} \rightarrow f$ when $|\sigma| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\ell(\sigma) \rightarrow \sup_{\sigma' \in \Sigma} \ell(\sigma')$.

Let us now fix some $f \in \mathcal{F}^{\otimes}$ with a p/q -parabolic fixed point at zero with $\mathfrak{S}(p/q) = +1$ and a Log-multiplier family $\{h_{\sigma,\alpha}\}$ indexed by some Σ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$; the cases where zero is a periodic point of f or $\mathfrak{S}(p/q) = -1$ can be studied similarly. To simplify our notation we will suppress the dependence on σ and α and write $h = h_{\sigma,\alpha}$.

We will assume that for all $\mathfrak{C} > 1$, if $\alpha \in A(\mathfrak{C})$ is sufficiently close to 0 and if h is sufficiently close to f , then there exists an analytic function

$$\varphi_{-1,att}^{h,f} : \text{Dom}(\varphi_{-1,att}^f) \cap T_{1/\alpha}(\text{Dom}(\varphi_{-1,rep}^f)) \rightarrow \text{Dom}(h^q)$$

which satisfies:

- (1) $h^q \circ \varphi_{-1,att}^{h,f} = \varphi_{att}^{h,f} \circ T_1$ on $\text{Dom}(\varphi_{-1,att}^{h,f})$.
- (2) $\varphi_{-1,att}^{h,f}$ depends continuously and holomorphically on h and converges to $\varphi_{-1,att}^f$ when $h \rightarrow f$.
- (3) $\varphi_{-1,rep}^{h,f} := T_{-1/\alpha} \circ \varphi_{-1,att}^{h,f}$ converges to $\varphi_{-1,rep}^f$ when $h \rightarrow f$.

We will say that the Log-multiplier family has *pre-petals* in this case. Note that this condition holds automatically if the immediate basin U_0^f is compactly contained in the domain of f^q , for example if f is a polynomial.

Let us now fix some Lavaurs map $L = L_\delta^f$ for f which is d -nonescaping for some $d \geq 0$. Note that we can choose $\mathfrak{C} > 1$ sufficiently large so that if $n - \frac{1}{\alpha}$ is close to δ for some large integer n then $\alpha \in A(\mathfrak{C})$. Using the approximations of $\varphi_{-1,\iota}^L$, we can construct approximations for all of the pre-petal parameters for L .

Proposition 4.1. *Fix some enriched angle Θ of depth d with principle integer j . If h is sufficiently close to f and if $n - 1/\alpha$ is sufficiently close to δ for some integer $n \geq 0$, then there exists an analytic function*

$$\varphi_{\Theta,att}^{h,L} : \text{Dom}(\varphi_{\Theta,att}^f) \cap T_{1/\alpha}(\text{Dom}(\varphi_{\Theta,rep}^f)) \rightarrow \text{Dom}(h)$$

such that:

- (1) $h^{d(nq+k_+^f)+jq} \circ \varphi_{\Theta,att}^{h,f} = \varphi_{att}^{h,f} \circ T_j$ on the domain of $\varphi_{\Theta,att}^{h,f}$.
- (2) $\varphi_{\Theta,att}^{h,f}$ depends continuously and holomorphically on h and converges locally uniformly to $\varphi_{\Theta,att}^f$ when $h \rightarrow f$ and $n - 1/\alpha \rightarrow \delta$.
- (3) $\varphi_{\Theta,rep}^{h,f} := T_{-1/\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\Theta,att}^{h,f}$ converges to $\varphi_{\Theta,rep}^f$ when $h \rightarrow f$ and $n - 1/\alpha \rightarrow \delta$.

Proof. For $\Theta \sim 0$, we can define $\varphi_{\Theta,\iota}^{h,L} := \varphi_{\iota}^{h,f}$. If instead $j > 0$, then it follows from the proof of Proposition 3.10 that $L^d \circ f^{(j-1)q}$ univalently maps a neighborhood of z_Θ^L containing the images of $\varphi_{\Theta,att}^L$ and $\varphi_{\Theta,rep}^L$ to a neighborhood of z_{-1}^L containing the images of $\varphi_{-1,att}^L$ and $\varphi_{-1,rep}^L$. As $h^{nq+k_+^f}$ converges to L when $h \rightarrow f$ and $n - 1/\alpha \rightarrow \delta$, we can use the corresponding branches of $(h^{d(nq+k_+^f)+(j-1)q})^{-1}$ to define

$$\varphi_{\Theta,\iota}^{h,L} := (h^{d(nq+k_+^f)+(j-1)q})^{-1} \circ \varphi_{-1,\iota}^{h,f} \circ T_{j-1}.$$

□

As a consequence of the above and Proposition 3.6, we have the following relationship between our labeling of the pre-petal parameters and the dynamics of h :

Corollary 4.2. *For any enriched angle Θ of depth d , if h is sufficiently close to f and $n - 1/\alpha$ is close to δ then*

$$\begin{aligned} h^q \circ \varphi_{\Theta,\iota}^{h,L}(w) &= \varphi_{\Theta+1,\iota}^{h,L} \circ T_1(w) \text{ and} \\ h^{nq+k_+^f} \circ \varphi_{\Theta,\iota}^{h,f}(w) &= \varphi_{[\Theta]}^{h,L}(w) \end{aligned}$$

for any w where both sides of the respective equations are defined.

For any topological space S , sets $Y_s \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ parameterized by $s \in S$, and any compact set $X \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, we will say that $Y_s \xrightarrow{\subset} X$ when $s \rightarrow s_0 \in \Lambda$ if

$$\sup_{y \in \overline{Y_s}} \inf_{x \in X} d_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}}(x, y) \rightarrow 0$$

when $s \rightarrow s_0$, where $d_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}}$ is the spherical metric.

Let $x_0^\sigma > 0$ and $\lambda^\sigma \geq 2$ be real numbers which depend only on σ and set

$$X_m^\sigma := \{(\lambda^\sigma)^m(x - iy) : |x| < x_0^\sigma, |\log y| < \log \lambda^\sigma\}$$

for all integers m . We will now assume that if h is sufficiently close to f , then there exists an analytic function ψ_0^h defined on of X_0^σ such that:

- (1) ψ_0^h depends continuously and holomorphically h .
- (2)

$$h^q \circ \psi_0^h(w) = \psi_0^h(\lambda^\sigma w)$$

for all w where both sides of the equation are defined.

- (3) There exists a compact set $\hat{X}_{0,0}^f \subset P_{rep}^f$ which avoids U_f such that

$$\psi_0^h(X_0^\sigma) \xrightarrow{\subset} \hat{X}_{0,0}^f$$

when $h \rightarrow f$.

We will say that the log-multiplier family has *external rays* in this case, we will call ψ_0^h the *external coordinate* of h , and we will call any image of a vertical line under ψ_0^h an *external ray* for h . To simplify our notation, we will suppress the dependence on σ and write $\lambda = \lambda^\sigma$, $x_0 = x_0^\sigma$, and $X_m = X_m^\sigma$. We will also write $\psi_\theta^{h,L} = \psi_0^h$ for any $\theta \in \mathcal{A}^f$ equivalent to 0.

Proposition 4.3. *Fix some enriched angle Θ of depth d . There exists an integer M' such that for any $M \leq M'$, if h and $n - 1/\alpha$ are sufficiently close to f and δ respectively then there exists an analytic function $\psi_\Theta^{h,L}$ defined on $\bigcup_{m=M}^{M'} X_m$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} h^q \circ \psi_\Theta^{h,L}(w) &= \psi_{\Theta+1}^{h,L}(\lambda w) \text{ and} \\ h^{nq+k_+^f} \circ \psi_\Theta^{h,L}(w) &= \psi_{[\Theta]}^{h,L}(w) \end{aligned}$$

for any w where both sides of the respective equations are defined. For any $M \leq m \leq M'$ there exists a compact set $\hat{X}_{\Theta,m}^L \subset P_{\Theta,rep}^L$ such that $\psi_\Theta^{h,L}(X_m) \xrightarrow{\subset} \hat{X}_{\Theta,m}^L$ when $h \rightarrow f$ and $n - 1/\alpha \rightarrow \delta$.

Proof. Let us denote by g^h the continuous branch of $(\varphi_{rep}^{h,f})^{-1}$ defined on a neighborhood of $\hat{X}_{0,0}$ so

$$g^h \circ \psi_0^{h,f}(X_0) \xrightarrow{\subset} (\varphi_{rep}^f)^{-1}(\hat{X}_{0,0})$$

when $h \rightarrow f$. Let j be the principle integer of Θ . Proposition 3.10 implies that if M' is a negative integer with large magnitude, then

$$T_{M'}(\varphi_{rep}^f)^{-1}(\hat{X}_{0,0}^f) \subset Dom(\varphi_{\Theta,rep}^L).$$

Thus for any $M < M'$, if h is close to f and $n - \frac{1}{\alpha}$ is close to δ then we can define

$$\psi_\Theta^{h,L}(w) := \varphi_{\Theta,rep}^{h,L} \circ T_m \circ g^h \circ \psi_0^h(\lambda^{-m}w)$$

for all $w \in X_m$ and $M \leq m \leq M'$. The desired properties all follow immediately. \square

Note that in the functions $\psi_\theta^{h,L}$ do not depend on L when for any $\theta \in \mathcal{A}^f$; in this case we will denote $\psi_\theta^h := \psi_\theta^{h,L}$. It follows from Proposition 3.10 and the proof of Proposition 4.3 that if j is principle integer of θ , then ψ_θ^h is defined on X_{-j} .

We will now assume that for any sufficiently large negative $\theta \in \mathcal{A}^f$ with principle integer $j > 0$ there exists some $b_\theta = b_\theta^\sigma$ such that if h is sufficiently close to f then:

- (1) ψ_θ^h can be analytically extended to $\bigcup_{m=0}^j X_{-m}$.
- (2) For any w in the non-empty set $X_0 \cap T_{-b_\theta}(X_0)$,

$$\psi_\theta^h(w) = h^{k_+^f} \circ \psi_0^h \circ T_{b_\theta}(w).$$

- (3) For all $0 \leq m \leq j$, there exists a compact set $\hat{X}_{\theta,-m} \subset f^{k_+^f}(P_{rep}^f)$ which avoids U^f such that $\psi_\theta^h(X_{-m}) \xrightarrow{\subset} \hat{X}_{\theta,-m}$.

In this case we will say that the external rays and pre-petals for the log-multiplier family are *compatible*.

Proposition 4.4. *If b_θ and $b_{\theta+1}$ are defined for some $\theta \in \mathcal{A}^f$, then $\lambda b_\theta = b_{\theta+1}$.*

Proof. Let j be the principle integer of θ , so $j - 1$ is the principle integer of $\theta + 1$. For $w \in X_{-j}$, we have

$$h^{k_+^f} \circ \psi_0^h(\lambda w + \lambda b_\theta) = h^q \circ \psi_\theta^h(w) = \psi_{\theta+1}^h(\lambda w) = h^{k_+^f} \circ \psi_0^h(\lambda w + b_{\theta+1}).$$

\square

Using Proposition 4.4 we inductively define $b_{\theta+1} := \lambda b_\theta$ for all $\theta \in \mathcal{A}^f$; to simplify our notation we will write $\xi_\theta = T_{b_\theta}$. Using compatibility we can extend Proposition 4.3.

Proposition 4.5. *If $d > 0$, then for any enriched angle Θ of depth d and any integers $M \leq M'$, if h and $n - 1/\alpha$ are sufficiently close to f and δ respectively for some integer $n \geq 0$, then $\psi_\Theta^{h,L}$ can be analytically extended to $\bigcup_{m=M}^{M'}(X_m)$. For any $M \leq m \leq M'$ there exists a compact set $\hat{X}_{\Theta,m}^L \subset B_{[\Theta]} \setminus \{z_{[\Theta]}\}$ such that $\psi_\Theta^{h,L}(X_m) \xrightarrow{\subset} \hat{X}_{\Theta,m}^L$ when $h \rightarrow f$ and $n - 1/\alpha \rightarrow \delta$.*

Proof. Let us first assume that $d = 1$ and fix some $\theta \in \mathcal{A}_f$ and integers $M \leq M'$. Thus there is some integer s so that $\psi_{(0,\theta)}^{h,L}$ is defined on X_s when h and $n - 1/\alpha$ are sufficiently close to f and δ respectively. Let $M' \geq s$ be a sufficiently large positive integer so that $\hat{X}_{\theta-M',-m} \subset f^{k_+^f}(P_{rep}^f)$ for all $0 \leq m \leq M' - s$. Let $\ell \geq 0$ be a sufficiently large integer so that

$$T_{\ell-\delta} \circ (\varphi_{rep}^f)^{-1} \circ f^{-k_+^f}(\hat{X}_{\theta-M',-m}) \subset \Omega_{att}^f$$

for all $0 \leq m \leq M' - s$. It follow Proposition 3.7 and the proof of Proposition 4.3 that if h and $n - 1/\alpha$ are sufficiently close to f and δ respectively then

$$\psi_{(0,\theta+\ell-M')}^{h,L}(\lambda^\ell w) = \varphi_{att}^{h,f} \circ T_{\ell-n} \circ (\varphi_{att}^{h,f})^{-1} \circ h^{-k_+^f} \circ \psi_{\theta-M'}(w)$$

for all $w \in X_{-m}$ and $0 \leq m \leq M' - s$. Applying $h^{(M'-\ell)q}$, it follows that we can analytically extend $\varphi_{(0,\theta)}^{h,L}$ to X_m for all $s \leq m \leq M'$. As $P_{att}^f \cap E_1$ has a unique connected component, the corresponding sets $\hat{X}_{(0,\theta),m}^L$ for $s \leq m \leq M'$ must belong to B_0^L . This completes the proof

for basic enriched angles when $d = 1$. As L is d -nonescaping, B_Θ is mapped univalently onto $B_{\langle 0, \theta \rangle}$ by $L^{d-1} \circ f^{mq}$ for some $(d-1, m) \geq (0, 0)$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{A}^f$. This allows us to extend the above to the general case. \square

Proposition 4.6. *Fix some enriched angle Θ of depth d , some $\theta \in \mathcal{A}^f$, and some neighborhood D of z_Θ^L . There exists an integer M such that if h and $n - 1/\alpha$ are sufficiently close to f and δ respectively, then $\psi_\Theta^{h,L}$ can be analytically extended so that it maps*

$$\xi_{\theta-n} \left(\bigcup_{m=M}^{n-M} X_{-m} \right)$$

into D . If L is $(d+1)$ -nonescaping, then

$$\psi_{\Theta \oplus \theta}^{h,L}(w) = \psi_\Theta^{h,L}(\lambda^{-n} \xi_\theta(w))$$

for all w where both sides of the equation are defined.

Proof. As $b_{\theta-m} \rightarrow 0$ when $m \rightarrow \infty$, there exists some $M \geq 0$ such that

$$X := \bigcup_{m \geq M} \xi_{\theta-m}(X_0) \subset X_0 \cup \xi_{\theta-M}(X_0).$$

It therefore follows from the definition of compatible external rays and pre-petals that, after increasing M if necessary, ψ_0^h is defined on X when h is close to f and there is a compact set $\hat{X} \subset \varphi_{rep}^f(\Omega_{rep}^f(0))$ such that $\psi_0^h(X) \rightarrow \hat{X}$ when $h \rightarrow f$. For some $R > 0$, let $M' \geq 0$ be an integer sufficiently large so that

$$T_{M'-\delta} \circ (\varphi_{rep}^f)^{-1}(\hat{X}) \subset T_R(\Omega_{att}^f).$$

Note that if we fix M then the above inclusion still holds when we increase M' , and conversely if we fix M' then the above inclusion still holds when we increase M . Hence we may take $M' = M$. As φ_{rep}^f is univalent, when h is sufficiently close to f there exists a unique continuous branch of $(\varphi_{rep}^{h,f})^{-1}$ defined on a neighborhood of X which converges to $(\varphi_{rep}^f)^{-1}$ when $h \rightarrow f$. It follows that

$$T_{M-n} \circ (\varphi_{att}^{h,f})^{-1} \circ \psi_0^h(X) = T_{M-n+1/\alpha} \circ (\varphi_{rep}^{h,f})^{-1} \circ \psi_0^h(X) \subset T_R(\Omega_{att}^{h,f})$$

when h is close to f and $n - 1/\alpha$ is close to δ , using the continuous branch of $(\varphi_{rep}^{h,f})^{-1}$ which converges to $(\varphi_{rep}^f)^{-1}$ locally uniformly on a neighborhood of \hat{X} when $h \rightarrow f$. Thus we can define

$$\psi_0^{h,L}(\lambda^{-m}w) := \varphi_{att}^{h,f} \circ T_{-m} \circ (\varphi_{att}^{h,f})^{-1} \circ \psi_0^h(w)$$

for all $0 \leq m \leq n - M$ and w in a neighborhood of X . In particular, this gives us an analytic extension of $\psi_0^{h,L}$ to

$$\xi_{\theta-n} \left(\bigcup_{m=0}^{n-M} X_{-m} \right).$$

As $\varphi_{att}^f(w) \rightarrow 0$ when $w \rightarrow \infty$, we can choose R sufficiently large so that

$$\overline{\varphi_{att}^f \circ T_R(\Omega_{att}^f) \cup \varphi_{att}^f \circ T_{-R}(\Omega_{rep}^f)} \subset D.$$

Increasing M if necessary, it follows that

$$\psi_0^{h,L} \circ \xi_{\theta-n} \left(\bigcup_{m=M}^{n-M} X_{-m} \right) \subset \overline{\varphi_{att}^{h,f} \circ T_R(\Omega_{att}^{h,f}) \cup \varphi_{att}^{h,f} \circ T_{-R}(\Omega_{rep}^{h,f})} \subset D.$$

If L is 1-nonescaping, then it follows from the proof of proposition 4.5 that

$$\psi_{\theta-m}^{h,L}(\lambda^{-m}w) = h^{k_+^f} \circ \varphi_{att}^{h,f} \circ T_{n-m} \circ (\varphi_{att}^{h,f})^{-1} \circ \psi_{0 \oplus \theta}^{h,L}(w)$$

for any w where both sided of the equation are defined. As

$$h^{k_+^f} \circ \varphi_{att}^{h,f} \circ T_{n-m} \circ (\varphi_{att}^{h,f})^{-1} \circ \psi_0^{h,L}(\xi_{\theta-n}(w)) = h^{k_+^f} \circ \psi_0^{h,L}(\lambda^{n-m}\xi_{\theta-n}(w)) = \psi_{\theta-m}^{h,L}(\lambda^{n-m}w)$$

by the definition of compatible external rays and pre-petal coordinates, we can conclude that

$$\psi_{0 \oplus \theta}^{h,L}(w) = \psi_0^{h,L} \circ \xi_{\theta-n}(\lambda^{-n}w) = \psi_0^{h,L}(\lambda^{-n}\xi_{\theta}(w))$$

for any w where both sided of the equation are defined.

The above proves the proposition for $\Theta \sim 0$, for general choices of Θ the proposition follows by considering further pre-images under h^q and $h^{nq+k_+^f}$. \square

For any $\theta \in \mathcal{A}^f$, we define $\psi_{\theta,n}^h := \psi_{\theta}^h$ for all integers n . If $d > 0$, then for any enriched angle $\Theta = \langle \theta_m \rangle_{m=0}^d$ of depth d and any integer n , we define the function

$$\psi_{\Theta,n}^{h,f}(w) := \psi_{[\Theta],n}^{h,f}(\lambda^{-n}\xi_{\theta_d}(w)).$$

Proposition 4.6 implies that $\psi_{\Theta,n}^{h,f} = \psi_{\Theta}^{h,L}$ wherever both sets are defined when h and $n - 1/\alpha$ are close to f and δ respectively.

4.1. Parameter rays. Now we will lift the external rays in the previous subsection to the parameter space of the Log-multiplier family. Our main tool in this task is Lemma 4.7 below, which follows directly from the argument principle. Given a simple closed curve $\gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$, sets $Y_t \subset \mathbb{C}$ which depend on $t \in \gamma$, and a continuous function $g_1 : \gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we will say that $g_1(t)$ winds once around Y_t when t winds once around γ if

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{dt}{g_1(t) - g_2(t)} = 1$$

for any continuous function $g_2 : \gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $g_2(t) \in Y_t$.

Lemma 4.7. *Fix some Jordan domain D and some $X \subset \mathbb{C}$. Let $g_1 : \overline{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function which is holomorphic on D and let $g_2 : X \times \overline{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a map such that $t \mapsto g_2(z, t)$ is continuous on \overline{D} and holomorphic on D for all $z \in X$. If $g_1(t)$ winds once around $g_2(X, t)$ when t winds once around ∂D , then there exists a function $\phi : X \rightarrow D$ such that $\phi(z)$ is the unique point in D satisfying*

$$g_1(\phi(z)) = g_2(z, \phi(z)).$$

If the function $z \mapsto g_2(z, t)$ is injective, continuous, or holomorphic for all $t \in D$, then ϕ is injective, continuous, or holomorphic respectively.

Using Lemma 4.7, we can construct analogues of the external coordinates in parameter space.

Proposition 4.8. *For any sufficiently small neighborhood \mathcal{D} of $(\varphi_{rep}^f)^{-1}(\hat{X}_{0,0}^f)$ there exists some $n_0 \geq 0$ such that if $|\sigma|$ and $\ell(\sigma)$ are sufficiently large then there exists a holomorphic function*

$$\Psi^\sigma : \bigcup_{n \geq n_0} X_{-n}^\sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$$

such that for any $w \in X_0$ and $n \geq n_0$, $\alpha = \Psi^\sigma(\lambda^{-n}w)$ is the unique choice of $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfying $n - \frac{1}{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}$ and

$$\varphi_{rep}^{h,f} \left(n - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) = h^{nq}(cv^{h,f}) = \psi_0^{h,f}(\lambda^n w).$$

For any large $R > 0$, if both $M \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$ are sufficiently large then for any $w \in X_{-M}$, $\alpha = \Psi^\sigma(\lambda^{-n}w)$ is the unique choice of $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfying (4.8) and

$$\left| n + M - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right| < R.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \Omega_{rep}^f$ be a Jordan domain containing $(\varphi_{rep}^f)^{-1}(\hat{X}_{0,0}^f)$. Thus $\varphi_{rep}^f(\delta)$ winds once around $\hat{X}_{0,0}^f$ when δ winds once around the $\partial\mathcal{D}$. For all $n \geq 0$ we set

$$\mathcal{D}_n := \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} : n - \frac{1}{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D} \right\}.$$

As $\varphi_{rep}^{h,f} \rightarrow \varphi_{rep}^f$ and $\psi_0^h(X_0) \xrightarrow{c} \hat{X}_{0,0}^f$ when $h \rightarrow f$, if $|\sigma|$, $\ell(\sigma)$, and $n \geq 0$ are sufficiently large then

$$\varphi_{rep}^{h,f} \left(n - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) = h^{nq}(cv^{h,f})$$

winds once around $\psi_0^{h,f}(X_0^\sigma)$ when α winds once around $\partial\mathcal{D}_n$. As the function

$$(\alpha, w) \mapsto \psi_0^{h,f}(w)$$

is holomorphic on $\mathcal{D}_n \times X_0$, it follows from Lemma 4.7 that there is some $n_0 \geq 0$ and a holomorphic function $\Psi_n^\sigma : X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ for all $n \geq n_0$ such that $\alpha = \Psi_n^\sigma(w)$ is the unique choice of $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_n$ satisfying

$$\psi_0^{h,f}(w) = h^{nq}(cv^{h,f})$$

for any $w \in X_0$. Setting $\Psi^\sigma(\lambda^{-n}w) = \Psi_n^\sigma(w)$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and $w \in X_0$, it follows from the uniqueness above that Ψ^σ is a holomorphic function on $\bigcup_{n \geq n_0} X_{-n}$.

Let $R > 0$ be large enough so that $(\varphi_{rep}^f)^{-1}(\hat{X}_{0,0}^f) \subset \mathbb{D}_R$, and let $M \geq 0$ be large enough so that

$$(\varphi_{rep}^f)^{-1}(\hat{X}_{0,-M}^f) \subset T_{-M}(\mathbb{D}_R) \subset \Omega_{rep}^f.$$

Thus $\varphi_{rep}^f(\delta)$ winds once around $\hat{X}_{0,-M}^f$ when δ winds once around the boundary of $T_{-M}(\mathbb{D}_R)$. Setting

$$\mathcal{D}_n := \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} : n + M - \frac{1}{\alpha} \in \mathbb{D}_R \right\}$$

for all $n \geq 0$, we can repeat the above argument to complete the proof. \square

We will call the map Ψ^σ an *external parameter* for the Log-multiplier family. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we will call

$$\Psi^\sigma(\{t - iy : y > 0\} \cap \text{Dom}(\Psi^\sigma))$$

a *parameter ray at angle t* ; we will say that the parameter ray *lands* at some $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$ when $\Psi^\sigma(t - iy)$ is defined for all small $y > 0$ and converges to α when $t \rightarrow 0$. We note that it follows from Proposition 4.8 that the parameter ray at angle 0 lands at 0 when $|\sigma|$ and $\ell(\sigma)$ are large. Our goal for the remainder of this section is to analytically extend the external parameters Ψ^σ and control how some of the parameter rays land.

For any basic enriched angle $\Theta = \langle \theta_n \rangle_{n=0}^d$ of depth $d \geq 1$, integer M , and large integer $j \geq 0$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{X}}_{\Theta, M}^f &:= \{\delta \in \mathcal{K}_d^f : L_\delta^f \circ f^{-jq}(cv^f) \in \hat{X}_{[\Theta]^{-j}, M-j}^{L_\delta^f}\} \\ &= \{\delta \in \mathcal{K}_d^f : f^{k_+^f} \circ \varphi_{rep}^f \circ T_{-j}(\delta) \in \hat{X}_{[\Theta]^{-j}, M-j}^{L_\delta^f}\} \\ &= \Upsilon_{[\Theta]} \circ T_j \circ \Upsilon_0^{-1} \circ (\varphi_{rep}^f)^{-1} \circ f^{-k_+^f}(\hat{X}_{\theta_{d-j}, M-j}^f); \end{aligned}$$

it follows from Proposition 4.3 that for any Θ and M this set does not depend on j when j is sufficiently large. Applying Lemma 4.7, we have the following parameter analogues of Propositions 4.3 and 4.6.

Proposition 4.9. *For any basic enriched angle Θ of depth $d \geq 1$, integer M , and any sufficiently large integer $j \geq 0$, there exists an integer $n_0 \geq 0$ such that if $|\sigma|$ and $\ell(\sigma)$ are sufficiently large then there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{D} of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_{\Theta, M}^f$ and a holomorphic map*

$$\Psi_{\Theta, n}^\sigma : X_M \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$$

for all $n \geq n_0$ such that for any $w \in X_M$, $\alpha = \Psi_{\Theta, n}^\sigma(w)$ is the unique choice of α with $n - \frac{1}{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}$ satisfying

$$h^{(n-j)q+k_+^f}(cv^{h,f}) = \psi_{[\Theta]^{-j}, n}^{h,f}(\lambda^{-j}w).$$

Proof. For $\Theta = \langle \theta_m \rangle_{m=0}^d$ and a large integer $j \geq 0$ we set

$$Y := T_j \circ \Upsilon_1 \circ (\varphi_{rep}^f)^{-1} \circ f^{-k_+^f}(\hat{X}_{\theta_{d-j}, M-j}^{L_\delta^f}),$$

so $\Upsilon_d(\mathcal{X}_{\Theta, M}) = Y$ and

$$T_j \circ v_{d-1}^{L_\delta^f}(\hat{X}_{[\Theta]^{-j}, M-j}^{L_\delta^f}) = Y.$$

Let us suppose for now that $Y \subset \mathbb{H}$, and let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{B}_{[\Theta]}$ be a Jordan domain so that $Y \subset \Upsilon_d(\mathcal{D})$. Thus $\Upsilon_d(\delta)$ winds once around Y when δ winds once around $\partial\mathcal{D}$. As $v_{d-1}^{L_\delta^f}$ is injective and does not depend on δ when $d = 1$, it follows that in this case $L_\delta \circ f^{-jq}(cv^f)$ winds once around $\hat{X}_{[\Theta]^{-j}, M-j}^f$ when δ winds once around $\partial\mathcal{D}$. When $d > 1$, the fact that v_{d-1} is injective on $B_{[\Theta]}^{L_\delta^f}$ allows us to reach the same conclusion; we can inductively use the fact that $z_\theta^{L_\delta^f}$ does not depend on δ for any $\theta \in \mathcal{A}^f$ to conclude that composing by $(T_j \circ v_{d-1}^{L_\delta^f})^{-1}$ does not affect the winding number.

For all $n \geq 0$, set

$$\mathcal{D}_n := \left\{ \frac{1}{n - \delta} : \delta \in \mathcal{D} \right\}.$$

It follows from the above that there exists some $n_0 \geq 0$ such that if $|\sigma|$ and $\ell(\sigma)$ are sufficiently large and $n \geq n_0$ then $h^{(n-j)q+k_+^f}(cv^{h,f})$ winds once around $\psi_{[\Theta]^{-j},n}^{h,f}(X_{M-j})$ when α winds once around $\partial\mathcal{D}_n$. As the functions

$$\alpha \mapsto h^{(n-j)q+k_+^f}(cv^{h,f}) \text{ and } (\alpha, w) \mapsto \psi_{[\Theta]^{-j},n}^{h,f}(\lambda^{-j}w)$$

are holomorphic on \mathcal{D}_n and $\mathcal{D}_n \times X_M$ respectively for any σ and n , it follows from Lemma 4.7 that when $|\sigma|$ and $\ell(\sigma)$ are large and $n \geq n_0$ there exists a holomorphic function $\Psi_{\Theta,n}^\sigma : X_M^\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ such that $\alpha = \Psi_{\Theta,n}^\sigma(w)$ is the unique choice of $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_n$ satisfying

$$h^{(n-j)q+k_+^f}(cv^{h,f}) = \psi_{[\Theta]^{-j},n}^{h,f}(\lambda^{-j}w).$$

In the case where $Y \cap \partial\mathbb{H}$ is non-empty, we can instead take \mathcal{D} to be a Jordan domain which compactly contains $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_{\Theta,M}$. If \mathcal{D} is chosen in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_{\Theta,M}$, then we can still guarantee that $L_\delta \circ f^{-jq}(cv^f)$ winds once around $\hat{X}_{[\Theta]^{-j},M-j}^{L_\delta}$ when δ winds once around $\partial\mathcal{D}$; indeed otherwise $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_{\Theta,M}$ would not be homeomorphic to Y . The rest of the argument proceeds identically. \square

Proposition 4.10. *Let Θ be a basic enriched angle of depth $d \geq 1$ and fix some neighborhood \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{Z}_Θ . For any $\theta \in \mathcal{A}^f$ there exist integers M and $n_0 \geq 0$ such that if $|\sigma|$ and $\ell(\sigma)$ are sufficiently large then for all $n \geq n_0$, $\Psi_{\Theta,n}^\sigma$ can be analytically extended so that it maps*

$$\xi_{\theta-n} \left(\bigcup_{m=M}^{n-M} X_{-m} \right)$$

into

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{n-\delta} : \delta \in \mathcal{D} \right\}.$$

Moreover,

$$\Psi_{\Theta \oplus \theta, n}^\sigma(w) = \Psi_{\Theta, n}^\sigma(\lambda^{-n} \xi_\theta(w))$$

for all any w where both sides of the equation are defined.

Proof. First we observe that for all sufficiently large integers $j \geq 0$, the holomorphic function

$$\delta \mapsto L_\delta \circ f^{-jq}(cv^f) - z_{[\Theta]^{-j}}^{L_\delta}$$

is defined all δ close to \mathcal{Z}_Θ and has a unique zero at \mathcal{Z}_Θ . Moreover, this zero is simple as otherwise there would be more than one component of \mathcal{E}_d^f attached at \mathcal{Z}_Θ . Thus for any sufficiently small Jordan domain \mathcal{D} which contains \mathcal{Z}_Θ , $L_\delta \circ f^{-jq}(cv^f)$ winds once around $z_{[\Theta]^{-j}}^{L_\delta}$ when δ winds once around $\partial\mathcal{D}$ by the argument principle. For some $s > 0$, let D_δ be the disk centered at $z_{[\Theta]^{-j}}^{L_\delta}$ of radius s . By the compactness of $\partial\mathcal{D}$, we can choose s small enough so that $L_\delta \circ f^{-jq}(cv^f)$ winds once around D_δ when δ winds once around $\partial\mathcal{D}$.

For all $n \geq 0$, we set

$$\mathcal{D}_n := \left\{ \frac{1}{n-\delta} : \delta \in \mathcal{D} \right\}.$$

Thus there exists some $n_0 \geq 0$ such that $h^{(n-j)q+k_+^f}(cv^f)$ winds once around $D_{n-1/\alpha}$ when α winds once around $\partial\mathcal{D}_n$ for all $n \geq n_0$ when $|\sigma|$ and $\ell(\sigma)$ are large. By the compactness of

$\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ and Proposition 4.6, there exists some integer M so that

$$\psi_{[\Theta],n}^{h,f} \circ \xi_{\theta-n} \left(\bigcup_{m=M}^{n-M} X_{-m} \right) \subset D_{n-1/\alpha}$$

for all $\alpha \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_n}$ when $|\sigma|$ and n are large. As the functions

$$\alpha \mapsto h^{(n-j)q+k_+^f}(cv^f) \text{ and } (\alpha, w) \mapsto \psi_{[\Theta]^{-j},n}^{h,f}(w)$$

are holomorphic on \mathcal{D}_n and $\mathcal{D}_n \times \xi_{\theta-n} \left(\bigcup_{m=M}^{n-M} X_{-m} \right)$ respectively for any σ and n , it follows from Lemma 4.7 that for all $n \geq n_0$ there exists a holomorphic function

$$\Psi : \xi_{\theta-n} \left(\bigcup_{m=M}^{n-M} X_{-m} \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$$

when $|\sigma|$ and $\ell(\sigma)$ are large such that if $\alpha = \Psi(w)$ for some $w \in \xi_{\theta-n} \left(\bigcup_{m=M}^{n-M} X_{-m} \right)$, then

$$h^{(n-j)q+k_+^f}(cv^f) = \psi_{[\Theta]^{-j},n}^{h,f}(w).$$

It follows from Proposition 4.6 and the uniqueness in Lemma 4.7 that

$$\Psi(w) = \Psi_{\Theta,n}^\sigma(w) \text{ and } \Psi_{\Theta \oplus \theta,n}^\sigma(w) = \Psi_{\Theta,n}^\sigma(\lambda^{-n} \xi_\theta(w))$$

for any w where both sides of the respective equations are defined. \square

Just as the external coordinates $\psi_\theta^{h,f}$ can be related to $\psi_0^{h,f}$ for $\theta \in \mathcal{A}^f$, it follows from the uniqueness in Proposition 4.8 that we can relate $\Psi_{\Theta,n}^\sigma$ to Ψ_n^σ .

Corollary 4.11. *For any sufficiently large negative $\theta \in \mathcal{A}^f$, if n , $|\sigma|$, and $\ell(\sigma)$ are sufficiently large then*

$$\Psi_{\langle 0,\theta \rangle,n}^\sigma(w) = \Psi_n^\sigma \circ \xi_\theta^\sigma(w)$$

for all $w \in X_0^\sigma \cap (\xi_\theta^\sigma)^{-1}(X_0^\sigma)$.

The maps $\Psi_{\Theta,n}^\sigma$ therefore provide analytic extensions of the external parameters Ψ^σ . In order to control the landing of parameter rays we need more than Propositions 4.9 and 4.10; we need to consider Log-multiplier families induced by near-parabolic renormalization.

4.2. Induced Log-multiplier families. Let us fix some rational $\tilde{p}/\tilde{q} \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ and set $\tilde{f} = \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{p}/\tilde{q}} f$. For any $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{D}$, if $|\sigma|$ and $n \geq 0$ are sufficiently large then we can define

$$\tilde{h}_{\tilde{\sigma},\tilde{\alpha}} := \mathcal{R}_f h_{\sigma,\alpha},$$

where $\tilde{\sigma} = (\sigma, n)$ and

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{n - \mu_{\tilde{p}/\tilde{q}}(\tilde{\alpha})}.$$

Note that we can choose large n in the definition above independent of σ and $\tilde{\alpha}$. We define $\tilde{\Sigma}$ to be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^{N+1} such that $\tilde{h}_{\tilde{\sigma},\tilde{\alpha}}$ is defined for all $\tilde{\sigma} \in \tilde{\Sigma}$ and $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{D}$. We will simplify our notation by writing $L = L_{\tilde{p}/\tilde{q}}^f$ and $\tilde{h} = \tilde{h}_{\tilde{\sigma},\tilde{\alpha}}$, so $\tilde{h} = \mathcal{R}_f h$. Also, we denote

$$\mathbf{p}/\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{p}_n/\mathbf{q}_n := \mu_{p/q} \left(\frac{1}{n - \tilde{p}/\tilde{q}} \right).$$

We can compute

$$\mathbf{q} = \tilde{q}(nq + k_+^f) - q(\tilde{p} + \tilde{q}c_+^f),$$

so our assumption that $\mathfrak{S}(p/q) = +1$ implies that $\tilde{h}^{\mathbf{q}} \rightarrow L^{\tilde{q}} \circ f^{-\tilde{p}q}$ when $h \rightarrow f$ and $\tilde{h} \rightarrow \tilde{f}$.

Proposition 4.12. *The maps \tilde{h} form a log-multiplier family near \tilde{f} indexed by $\tilde{\Sigma}$.*

Proof. It follows from the definition that \tilde{h} depends continuously on $\tilde{\sigma} \in \tilde{\Sigma}^*$ and holomorphically on $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{D}$. It follows from the definition of near-parabolic renormalization that 0 is a fixed point of \tilde{h} and $\tilde{h}'(0) = e^{2\pi i \mu_{\tilde{p}/\tilde{q}}(\tilde{\alpha})}$, and it follows from Proposition 2.12 that $\tilde{h} \rightarrow \tilde{f}$ when $|\tilde{\sigma}| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\tilde{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$. \square

We will say that the Log-multiplier family $\{\tilde{h}\}$ is *induced* by the Log-multiplier family $\{h\}$. Just as we can lift the parabolic dynamics of \tilde{f} to L , it is shown in [Kap22] that we can lift the near-parabolic dynamics of \tilde{h} to h .

Theorem 4.13. *For any $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}} > 0$, there is a compact set $Y \subset \mathbb{C}$ and integers $M, M' \geq 0$ such that if \tilde{h} is sufficiently close to \tilde{f} and $\tilde{\alpha} \in A(\tilde{\mathfrak{C}})$, then there exist functions*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{att}^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{L}} : \Omega_{att}^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}} \cap T_{-M'}(\Omega_{att}^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}}) &\rightarrow \Omega_{att}^{h, f} \cup Y \\ \tilde{\varphi}_{att}^{h, L} : \Omega_{att}^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}} \cap T_{-M'}(\Omega_{att}^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}}) &\rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{C}} \end{aligned}$$

such that:

(1) $\tilde{\varphi}_{att}^{h, L}$ is analytic and

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{att}^{h, L}(w) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{att}^{h, f} \circ \Phi_{att}^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}}(w) & \text{if } \Phi_{att}^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}}(w) \in \Omega_{att}^{h, f}, \\ h^{-Mq} \circ \varphi_{att}^{h, f} \circ T_M \circ \Phi_{att}^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}}(w) & \text{if } \Phi_{att}^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}}(w) \in Y, \end{cases}$$

for some continuous branch of h^{-Mq} .

(2) $\tilde{\varphi}_{att}^{h, L}(0) = cv^{h, f}$.

(3) $\tilde{\varphi}_{att}^{h, L} \circ T_1 = h^{\mathbf{q}} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{att}^{h, L}$.

(4) $\tilde{\varphi}_{att}^{h, L} \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}_{att}^L$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{rep}^{h, L} := \tilde{\varphi}_{att}^{h, L} \circ T_{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}_{att}^L$ when $h \rightarrow f$ and $\tilde{h} \rightarrow \tilde{f}$.

(5) For any $w_0 \in \Omega_{att}^{\tilde{f}}$ or $w'_0 \in \Omega_{rep}^{\tilde{f}}$, if \tilde{h} is sufficiently close to \tilde{f} then

$$\text{Exp} \circ T_{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \circ \rho^{h, f} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{att}^{h, L}(w) = \varphi_{att}^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}}(w) \text{ or } \text{Exp} \circ T_{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \circ \rho^{h, f} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{rep}^{h, L}(w) = \varphi_{rep}^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}}(w)$$

for all w or w' close to w_0 or w'_0 respectively.

Proof. See [Kap22, Theorem 4.1]. \square

As a consequence of the Theorem 4.13, we can make the following observation:

Proposition 4.14. *The Log-multiplier family $\{\tilde{h}_{\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\alpha}}\}$ has pre-petals.*

Proof. While we defined $\varphi_{-1, \iota}^{\tilde{f}}$ to be the $\varphi_{\iota}^{\tilde{f}}$ post-composed with a specific branch of $\tilde{f}^{-\tilde{q}}$, let us now show that we can instead define $\varphi_{-1, \iota}^{\tilde{f}}$ using the pre-petal parameters of L . We will only consider $\varphi_{-1, att}^{\tilde{f}}$, the repelling case is similar.

Let us denote $g = \underline{\text{Exp}} \circ T_\delta \circ \rho$. Using the branch of g^{-1} which, after continuous extension to the closures, maps $\overline{U_0^f}$ to $\overline{U_0^L}$, for any $\theta \in \mathcal{A}^f$ we define the points

$$\tilde{z}_\theta^L := g^{-1}(z_\theta^f)$$

and Jordan domains

$$\tilde{P}_{\theta, \text{att}}^L := g^{-1}(P_{\theta, \text{att}}^f).$$

Let U_{-1}^L be the unique component of $f^{-q}(U_0^L)$ contained in U_0^f . As $cv^f \in U_0^L$ and $L^{\tilde{q}} \circ f^{-\tilde{p}q}$ maps U_0^L to itself as a branched double covering, $L^{\tilde{q}} \circ f^{(-\tilde{p}-1)q}$ must map U_0^L univalently onto U_{-1}^L . It follows from definition that the continuous extension of $L^{\tilde{q}} \circ f^{(-\tilde{p}-1)q}$ to $\overline{U_0^L}$ fixes zero. Hence

$$L^{\tilde{q}} \circ f^{(-\tilde{p}-1)q}(\tilde{z}_{-1}^L) = z_{-1}^f.$$

Similarly, $L^{\tilde{q}} \circ f^{(-\tilde{p}-1)q}$ must map $\tilde{P}_{-1, \text{att}}^L$ into the unique component of $f^{-q}(\tilde{P}_{0, \text{att}}^L)$ contained in U_0^f which has z_{-1}^f on its boundary. As $L^{\tilde{q}} \circ f^{(-\tilde{p}-1)q}$ is univalent in a neighborhood of z_{-1}^L , it follows that $\tilde{P}_{-1, \text{att}}^L$ is part of the unique component of $(L^{\tilde{q}} \circ f^{-\tilde{p}q})^{-1}(\tilde{P}_{0, \text{att}}^L)$ contained in $K_{\tilde{q}}^L$ which has z_Θ^L on its boundary.

It follows from the above that there is some enriched angle Θ for f of depth \tilde{q} and with principle integer $-\tilde{p}$ such that $\tilde{z}_{-1}^L = z_\Theta^L$. Let us assume for now that the image of

$$\tilde{P}_{0, \text{att}}^L \subset L^{\tilde{q}} \circ f^{-\tilde{p}q}(P_{\Theta, \text{att}}^L) \subset P_{\text{att}}^f,$$

so it follows from the above that so we can define

$$\tilde{P}_{\text{att}}^L = \varphi_{\Theta, \text{att}}^L \circ T_{\tilde{p}} \circ (\varphi_{\text{att}}^f)^{-1}(\tilde{P}_{\text{att}}^L).$$

Thus for an integer $m \geq 0$ sufficiently large so that $f^{mq}(\tilde{P}_{\text{att}}^L) \subset P_{\text{att}}^f$, we have

$$\varphi_{-1, \text{att}}^{\tilde{f}} = \text{Exp} \circ T_\delta \circ f^{mq} \circ (\varphi_{\text{att}}^f)^{-1} \circ \varphi_{\Theta, \text{att}}^L \circ T_{\tilde{p}} \circ (\varphi_{\text{att}}^f)^{-1} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{att}}^L.$$

Thus we can similarly define

$$\varphi_{-1, \text{att}}^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}} := \text{Exp} \circ T_{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \circ (\varphi_{\text{att}}^{h, f})^{-1} \circ h^{mq} \circ \varphi_{\Theta, \text{att}}^{h, L} \circ T_{\tilde{p}} \circ \Phi_{\text{att}}^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}},$$

and this map satisfies all of the desired properties when $h \rightarrow f$ and $\tilde{h} \rightarrow \tilde{f}$. In the general case, where the image of $T_{\tilde{p}} \circ \Phi_{\text{att}}^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}}$ is not contained in the domain of $\varphi_{\Theta, \text{att}}^{h, L}$, we need to analytically extend first $\varphi_{\text{att}}^{h, f}$ and then $\varphi_{\Theta, \text{att}}^{h, L}$ by applying branches of iterates of h^{-q} ; Theorem 4.13 ensures that we need to do this extension only on a uniform compact subset of \mathbb{C} and only using a uniform number of iterates of h^{-q} . \square

We set $\tilde{\lambda}^{\tilde{\sigma}} := (\lambda^\sigma)^{n\tilde{q}-\tilde{p}}$ and $\tilde{x}^{\tilde{\sigma}} := x_0^\sigma / (2\tilde{\lambda}^{\tilde{\sigma}})$; for all integers m we define

$$\tilde{X}_m^{\tilde{\sigma}} := \{(\tilde{\lambda}^{\tilde{\sigma}})^m(x - iy) \in \mathbb{H} : |x| < \tilde{x}^{\tilde{\sigma}}, |\log y| < \log \tilde{\lambda}^{\tilde{\sigma}}\}.$$

We will usually suppress the dependence on $\tilde{\sigma}$ in our notation; for example we will write $\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\lambda}^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. Let $\Theta_\infty = \langle \theta_m \rangle_{m=0}^\infty$ be the parabolic enriched angle for L and set $\Theta_d = \langle \theta_m \rangle_{m=0}^d$ for all $d \geq 0$. By Proposition 3.13 there exists some $d_0 \gg 0$ such that $\overline{B_{\Theta_d}} \subset \tilde{P}_{\text{rep}}^L$ for all $d \geq (d_0 - 2)\tilde{q}$; we define the map

$$\xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}}(w) := w + \frac{\lambda^{n\tilde{q}} \sum_{m=0}^{\tilde{q}-1} \lambda^{n(m-\tilde{q})} b_{\theta_{d_0+m}}}{\tilde{\lambda} - 1}.$$

Proposition 4.15. *If h and \tilde{f} are close to f and \tilde{f} respectively, then $\psi_{\Theta_{d_0},n}^{h,f} \circ \xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is defined on \tilde{X}_0 and satisfies*

$$h^{\mathbf{q}} \circ \psi_{\Theta_{d_0},n}^{h,f} \circ \xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}}(w) = \psi_{\Theta_{d_0},n}^{h,f} \circ \xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}}(\tilde{\lambda}w)$$

wherever both sides of the equation are defined. There is a compact set $\widehat{X}_{0,0}^L \subset \tilde{P}_{rep}^L$ which avoids U^L such that $\psi_{\Theta_{d_0},n}^{h,f} \circ \xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}}(\tilde{X}_0) \xrightarrow{\subset} \widehat{X}_{0,0}^L$ when $h \rightarrow f$ and $\tilde{h} \rightarrow \tilde{f}$.

Proof. Proposition 3.13 implies that $L^{\tilde{q}} \circ f^{-\tilde{p}q}(B_{\Theta_{d_0+\tilde{q}}}) = B_{\Theta_{d_0}}$. Proposition 4.3 therefore implies if h is close to f and \tilde{h} is close to \tilde{f} then

$$h^{\mathbf{q}} \circ \psi_{\Theta_{d_0},n}^{h,f}(w) = \psi_{\Theta_{d_0-\tilde{q},n}}(\lambda^{-\tilde{p}}w)$$

for all $w \in X_0$. Additionally, it follows from Propositions 3.13 and 4.6 that

$$\psi_{\Theta_{d,n}}^{h,f}(w) = \psi_{\Theta_{d-1,n}}(\lambda^{-n}\xi_{\theta_d}(w))$$

for all $w \in X_0$ and $d \geq 1$. Setting

$$\tilde{b}_n := \sum_{m=0}^{\tilde{q}-1} \lambda^{n(m-\tilde{q})} b_{\theta_{d_0+m}}$$

and proceeding inductively, as $\xi_{\theta_d}(w) = w + b_\theta^h$ it follows that

$$\psi_{\Theta_{d_0},n}^{h,f}(w) = \psi_{\Theta_{d_0-\tilde{q},n}}^{h,f}(\lambda^{-n\tilde{q}}w + \tilde{b}_n)$$

for all $w \in X_0$. It follows by a straightforward computation that

$$h^{\mathbf{q}} \circ \psi_{\Theta_{d_0},n}^{h,f} \circ \xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}}(w) = \psi_{\Theta_{d_0},n}^{h,f} \circ \xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}}(\tilde{\lambda}w)$$

for all $w \in (\xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}})^{-1}(X_0)$. Additionally, it follows from the above construction that $\psi_{\Theta_{d_0},n}^{h,f}$ is defined on the set

$$Y_n := \{w \in \mathbb{H} : w + it \in T_{\lambda^{\tilde{p}}\tilde{b}_n}(X_{-n\tilde{q}+\tilde{p}}) \text{ and } x - it' \in T_{\tilde{\lambda}\tilde{b}_n}(X_{n\tilde{q}-\tilde{p}}) \text{ for some } t, t' \geq 0\}.$$

As $\tilde{b}_n \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, another straightforward computation implies

$$\xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}}(\tilde{X}_0) \subset Y$$

when h and \tilde{h} are close to f and \tilde{f} respectively. Hence $\psi_{\Theta_{d_0},n} \circ \xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is defined on \tilde{X}_0 and Propositions 4.3 and 4.6 imply that

$$\psi_{\Theta_{d_0},n}^{h,f} \circ \xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}} \xrightarrow{\subset} \bigcup_{d=d_0-\tilde{q}}^{d+\tilde{q}} \left(\overline{\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{X}_{\Theta_d,m}^L} \right) \subset \bigcup_{d=d_0-\tilde{q}}^{d+\tilde{q}} \overline{B_{\Theta_d}^L} \subset (P_{rep}^L \setminus U^L)$$

when $h \rightarrow f$ and $\tilde{h} \rightarrow \tilde{f}$. □

Corollary 4.16. *The Log-multiplier family $\{\tilde{h}_{\tilde{\sigma},\tilde{\alpha}}\}$ has compatible external rays and pre-petals.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.13 that when \tilde{h} is sufficiently close to \tilde{f} we can define the external coordinate of \tilde{h} by

$$\psi_0^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}} := \text{Exp} \circ T_{-1/\alpha} \circ \rho^{h, f} \circ \psi_{\Theta_{d_0}, n}^{h, f} \circ \xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}} : \tilde{X}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

It follows from Proposition 4.15 that these external coordinates satisfy the hypotheses so that the Log-multiplier family has pre-petals.

We observe that for any $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{A}^{\tilde{f}}$ with principle integer $j \geq 0$, there exists some enriched angle Θ for f of depth $d_0 + j\tilde{q}$ so that

$$\psi_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}}(w) = \tilde{h}^{k^{\tilde{f}}} \circ \text{Exp} \circ T_{-1/\alpha} \circ \rho^{h, f} \circ \psi_{\Theta, n}^{h, f} \circ \xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}}(\lambda)$$

when \tilde{h} is sufficiently close to \tilde{f} . It follows from Proposition 3.13 that if $\tilde{\theta}$ is sufficiently large and negative, which forces B_Θ to be close to zero, then B_Θ is a descendant of $B_{\Theta_{d_0}}$. We can therefore extend $\psi_0^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}}$ using Propositions 4.5 and 4.6 so that the hypotheses of compatible external rays and pre-petals are satisfied. \square

Thus there is some $\tilde{n}_0 > 0$ such that we can define the parameter coordinate

$$\Psi^{\tilde{\sigma}} : \bigcup_{\tilde{n} \geq \tilde{n}_0} \tilde{X}_{-\tilde{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$$

when $|\tilde{\sigma}|$ and $\ell(\tilde{\sigma})$ are sufficiently large. Just as the external coordinates of \tilde{h} are defined in terms of the external coordinates for h , we can define $\Psi^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ in terms of Ψ^σ .

Proposition 4.17. *If $|\tilde{\sigma}|$ and $\ell(\tilde{\sigma})$ are sufficiently large, then*

$$\frac{1}{n - \mu_{\tilde{p}/\tilde{q}} \circ \Psi^{\tilde{\sigma}}(\tilde{\lambda}^{-\tilde{n}_0} w)} = \Psi_{\Theta_{d_0 + \tilde{n}_0 \tilde{q}}, n}^\sigma(\lambda^{\tilde{n}_0 \tilde{p}} \cdot \xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}}(w))$$

for all $w \in \tilde{X}_0 \cap (\xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}})^{-1}(X_0)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \text{Dom}(\tilde{\varphi}_{rep}^L)$ be a Jordan domain containing

$$\bigcup_{d=d_0 - \tilde{q}}^{d_0 + \tilde{q}} (\tilde{\varphi}_{rep}^L)^{-1}(\overline{B_{\Theta_d}^L}).$$

It follows from the definition of the external rays of \tilde{h} and the proof of Proposition 4.8 that for any $w \in \tilde{X}_0$, when $|\tilde{\sigma}|$ and $\ell(\tilde{\sigma})$ are sufficiently large $\tilde{\alpha} = \Psi^{\tilde{\sigma}}(\tilde{\lambda}^{-\tilde{n}_0} w)$ is the unique choice of $\tilde{\alpha}$ with $\tilde{n}_0 - \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{D}$ satisfying

$$\tilde{h}^{\tilde{n}_0 \tilde{q}}(c v^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}}) = \varphi_{rep}^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}}\left(\tilde{n}_0 - \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}}\right) = \psi_0^{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}}(w),$$

or equivalently

$$h^{\tilde{n}_0 \mathbf{q}}(c v^{h, f}) = \tilde{\varphi}_{rep}^{h, L}\left(\tilde{n}_0 - \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}}\right) = \psi_{\Theta_{d_0}, n}^{h, f} \circ \xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}}(w).$$

For all $z \in \tilde{X}_0$ and $0 \leq m \leq \tilde{n}_0$, let us define

$$\phi(\tilde{\lambda}^{-m} z) = T_{-m+1/\tilde{\alpha}} \circ (\tilde{\varphi}_{rep}^{h, L})^{-1} \circ \psi_{\Theta_{d_0}, n}^{h, f} \circ \xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}}(z),$$

taking the branch of $(\tilde{\varphi}_{rep}^{h,L})^{-1}$ which approximates $(\tilde{\varphi}_{rep}^L)^{-1}$. The map ϕ is holomorphic on \tilde{X}_{-m} for all $0 \leq m \leq \tilde{n}_0$.

Let us suppose for now that the image of ϕ is contained in the domain of $\tilde{\varphi}_{att}^{h,f}$, so

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{att}^{h,f} \circ \phi = \psi_{\Theta_{d_0}, n}^{h,f} \circ \xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}}.$$

Thus for any integer $j \geq 0$, if $|\tilde{\sigma}|$ and $\ell(\tilde{\sigma})$ are sufficiently large then

$$h^{(n-j)q+k_+^f}(cv^{h,f}) = \psi_{[\Theta_{d_0+\tilde{n}_0\tilde{q}}]^{-j}}(\lambda^{\tilde{n}_0\tilde{p}-j}\xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}}(w)).$$

Keeping w fixed, $n - \frac{1}{\alpha}$ converges to a parameter δ when $|\sigma| \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$L_\delta \circ f^{-jq}(cv^f) \in \hat{X}_{[\Theta_{d_0+\tilde{n}_0\tilde{q}}^{-j}, \tilde{n}_0\tilde{p}]^{-j}}^{L_\delta},$$

so $\delta \in \mathcal{X}_{\Theta_{d_0+\tilde{n}_0\tilde{q}}, \tilde{n}_0\tilde{p}}$. It therefore follows from the uniqueness in Proposition 4.9 that when $|\tilde{\sigma}|$ and $\ell(\tilde{\sigma})$ are sufficiently large,

$$\frac{1}{n - \mu_{\tilde{p}/\tilde{q}}(\tilde{\alpha})} = \alpha = \Psi_{\Theta_{d_0+\tilde{n}_0\tilde{q}}, n}^\sigma(\lambda^{\tilde{n}_0\tilde{p}}\xi_{\Theta_\infty}^{\tilde{\sigma}}(w)).$$

The general case follows similarly by the following lemma:

Lemma 4.18. *If $|\tilde{\sigma}|$ and $\ell(\tilde{\sigma})$ are sufficiently large, then there exists a simply connected set $Y \subset \text{Dom}(\phi)$ containing both w and $\tilde{\lambda}^{-\tilde{n}_0}(w)$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}_{rep}^{h,L}$ can be analytically extended to $\phi(Y)$.*

Proof. Fixing some $z \in \overline{B_{\Theta_{d_0}}^L} \setminus \{z_{\Theta_{d_0}}^L\}$, let us first show that the set

$$V := T_{-z} \left(\bigcup_{d=d_0-\tilde{q}}^{d_0} (\tilde{\varphi}_{rep}^L)^{-1} \left(\overline{B_{\Theta_d}^L} \setminus \{z_{\Theta_d}^L\} \right) \right)$$

is homotopic in \mathbb{C} rel \mathbb{Z} to the line segment $[0, 1]$. As $B_{\Theta_d}^L$ is a Jordan domain whose closure intersects the closure of $B_{\Theta_{d'}}^L$ only when $d' = d \pm 1$ and only at $z_{\Theta_{d'}}^L$ for all $d \geq 1$, it is clear that V is homotopic in \mathbb{C} to simple curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies $\gamma(0) = 0$ and $\gamma(1) = 1$. If the image of γ is not homotopic rel \mathbb{Z} to $[0, 1]$ then there is some $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ so that either $\gamma(t_0) \geq 2$ or $\gamma(t_0) \leq -1$. Let us assume that both x and $x + j$ belong to V for some $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $\tilde{\varphi}_{rep}^L(x) \in E_d^L$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{rep}^L(x) \in E_{d-j\tilde{q}}^L$ for some $d \geq j\tilde{q}$, it follows from the definition of V that $|j| \leq 1$. Thus we can choose γ so that if $\gamma(t) - \gamma(t') = j \in \mathbb{Z}$ for some $t, t' \in [0, 1]$, then $|j| = 1$. Moreover, setting $x = z$ above it follows that we can choose γ so that the image of γ avoids -1 . It therefore follows from the intermediate value theorem that the image of γ is homotopic $[0, 1]$.

For any integer m , we set

$$Y_m = \{\tilde{\lambda}^{-m}(x - iy) \in \tilde{X} : y > \lambda^{-1}\}.$$

Thus $w \in Y_0$ and $\phi(Y_0) \xrightarrow{\subset} V$ when $|\tilde{\sigma}| \rightarrow \infty$. Setting $Y = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\tilde{n}_0} Y_{-m}$, it follows from the above that $\phi(Y)$ is homotopic rel \mathbb{Z} to the line segment $[0, \tilde{n}_0 + 1]$. Similarly to using $(\rho^{h,f})^{-1}$ to extend $\varphi_{att}^{h,f}$, we can analytically extend $\tilde{\varphi}_{att}^{h,L}$ to $\phi(Y)$; it follows from our homotopy condition on $\phi(Y)$ that this extension is well-defined. \square

\square




FIGURE 6. The curves which define $\gamma^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.

The analysis in this section gives us precise control on the geometry of parameter rays when $|\sigma| \rightarrow \infty$. As a consequence of that control we have the following proposition which will be one of the main tools we use in proving the Theorem 1.4.

Proposition 4.19. *There exists $C > 0$ such that if $|\tilde{\sigma}|$ and $\ell(\tilde{\sigma})$ are sufficiently large then there exists a curve*

$$\gamma^{\tilde{\sigma}} : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$$

satisfying:

- (1) $\gamma^{\tilde{\sigma}}(t)$ is contained in the image of Ψ^σ for all $t \in (-1, 1)$.
- (2) $\gamma^{\tilde{\sigma}}(\pm 1) = \frac{1}{n \pm 1}$
- (3) For all $t \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\left| n - \frac{1}{\gamma^{\tilde{\sigma}}(t)} \right| < C.$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 4.17 that when $|\tilde{\sigma}|$ and $\ell(\tilde{\sigma})$ are sufficiently large we can analytically extend $\Psi_{\Theta_{d_0 + \tilde{n}_0 \tilde{q}, n}}^\sigma$ so that there exists a curve $\gamma_{n,0}^\sigma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying:

- (1) $\gamma_{n,0}^\sigma(t)$ is contained in the image of $\Psi_{\Theta_{d_0 + \tilde{n}_0 \tilde{q}, n}}^\sigma$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$
- (2) $\gamma_{n,0}^\sigma(0) = \frac{1}{n}$ and $\gamma_{n,0}^\sigma(1) \in \Psi_{\Theta_{d_0 + \tilde{n}_0 \tilde{q}, n}}^\sigma(X_0)$.
- (3) For all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$n - \frac{1}{\gamma_{n,0}^\sigma(t)} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

It follows from finitely many applications of Propositions 4.9 and 4.10 that there is some $R_1 > 0$ so that when $|\tilde{\sigma}|$ and $\ell(\tilde{\sigma})$ are sufficiently large there is a curve $\gamma_{n,1}^\sigma : [1, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying:

- (1) $\gamma_{n,1}^\sigma(t)$ is contained in the image of $\Psi_{\Theta_{1,n}}^\sigma$ for all $t \in [1, 2]$.
- (2) $\gamma_{n,1}^\sigma(1) = \gamma_{n,0}^\sigma(1)$ and $\gamma_{n,1}^\sigma(2) \in \Psi_{\Theta_{1,n}}^\sigma(X_0)$.
- (3) For all $t \in [1, 2]$,

$$n - \frac{1}{\gamma_{n,1}^\sigma(2)} \in \mathbb{D}_{R_1}.$$

It follows from Proposition 4.8 and Corollary 4.11 that there is some $R_2 > 0$ so that when $|\tilde{\sigma}|$ and $\ell(\tilde{\sigma})$ are sufficiently large there is a curve $\gamma_{n,2}^\sigma : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying:

- (1) $\gamma_{n,2}^\sigma(t)$ is contained in the image of Ψ^σ for all $t \in [-1, 1]$.

- (2) $\gamma_{n,2}^\sigma(\pm 1) = \gamma_{n\pm 1,1}^\sigma(2)$.
- (3) For all $t \in [-1, 1]$,

$$n - \frac{1}{\gamma_{n,2}^\sigma(t)} \in \mathbb{D}_{R_2}.$$

We form $\gamma^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ by composing $\gamma_{n\pm 1,0}^\sigma$, $\gamma_{n\pm 1,1}^\sigma$, and $\gamma_{n,2}^\sigma$. □

Let us now note that while in this section we have only considered upper renormalizations, we can repeat all of the above with lower renormalizations. The only distinction is that for $\tilde{f} = \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{p}/\tilde{q}}^- f$ and $\tilde{h}_{\tilde{\sigma},\tilde{\alpha}} = \mathcal{R}_f^- h_{\sigma,\alpha}$, we need to slightly adjust the relationship between $\tilde{\alpha}$ and α . In particular, we would like to have

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{n + \mathbf{c}_-^{h_{\sigma,\alpha},f} + \mu_{\tilde{p}/\tilde{q}}(\tilde{\alpha})},$$

so $\tilde{h}'_{\tilde{\sigma},\tilde{\alpha}}(0) = \text{Exp} \circ \mu_{\tilde{p}/\tilde{q}}(\tilde{\alpha})$ by Proposition 2.11. As $\mathbf{c}_-^{h_{\sigma,\alpha},f} \rightarrow \mathbf{c}_-^f$, the above equation implicitly defines α in terms of $\tilde{\alpha}$; for details see the proof of Proposition 5.1 below. Equipped with the functions $\tilde{h}_{\tilde{\sigma},\tilde{\alpha}}$, the rest of the analysis above can be done with minimal change. In particular, we have the following version of Proposition 4.19 in the lower renormalization case:

Proposition 4.20. *There exists $C > 0$ such that if $|\tilde{\sigma}|$ and $\ell(\tilde{\sigma})$ are sufficiently large then there exists a curve*

$$\gamma^{\tilde{\sigma}} : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$$

satisfying:

- (1) $\gamma^{\tilde{\sigma}}(t)$ is contained in the image of Ψ^σ for all $t \in (-1, 1)$.
- (2) $\gamma^{\tilde{\sigma}}(\pm 1) = \frac{1}{n \pm 1 + \mathbf{c}_-^{h_{\sigma,\gamma^{\tilde{\sigma}}(\pm 1)}}$, where $h = h_{\sigma,\gamma^{\tilde{\sigma}}(\pm 1)}$
- (3) For all $t \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\left| n - \frac{1}{\gamma^{\tilde{\sigma}}(t)} \right| < C.$$

5. BOUNDING LIMBS IN \mathcal{M}

We are now ready to apply the analysis of the previous sections to quadratic polynomials. First let us recall some classical facts about quadratic polynomials; for more details on the iteration of polynomials we refer the reader to [DH84] and [DH85].

We consider the family of quadratic polynomials

$$Q_\zeta(z) := \text{Exp}(\zeta) \cdot z + z^2$$

with $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$. The set \mathcal{M} is all the parameters ζ such that $Q_\zeta^n(cv^{Q_\zeta}) \not\rightarrow \infty$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. A parameter $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}$ is *parabolic* when $Q_\zeta \in \mathcal{F}^{\text{orb}}$. The set $\mathcal{M} \setminus \{\zeta\}$ has exactly two connected components in this case; we denote by \mathcal{L}_ζ the unique bounded component. Moreover there is a unique component of the interior of \mathcal{L}_ζ which has ζ on its boundary; this component is called the *main hyperbolic component* of \mathcal{L}_ζ and we will denote it by \mathcal{H}_ζ . For any parabolic parameter ζ , there exists a set $\mathcal{M}_\zeta \subset \mathcal{L}_\zeta$ and a covering map $\tau_\zeta : \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\zeta$ such that $\tau_\zeta(w) \rightarrow \zeta$ when w converges to ∞ or an integer. The tuning map τ_ζ is dynamical in the following sense: τ_ζ restricts to an analytic covering map from the upper half-plane $-\mathbb{H}$ to \mathcal{H}_ζ and for $\alpha = \tau_\zeta(w)$ is the unique parameter in \mathcal{H}_ζ such that Q_α has an attracting periodic cycle with multiplier $\text{Exp}(w)$. We will also set $\tau_\infty(z) = z$.

We define *combinatorics* to be sequences $\omega = \langle \alpha_n \rangle_{n=1}^N$ of parabolic parameters in $\mathcal{M} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. We define the *depth* of the combinatorics to be the length of the sequence. As for other sequences, we will use \oplus to denote the concatenation operation on combinatorics. We will say that ω is the combinatorics of a parabolic parameter $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}$ if \mathcal{M}_ζ is the image of $\tau_{\alpha_1} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{\alpha_N}$, or equivalently $\tau_{\alpha_1} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{\alpha_N}(0) = \zeta$. We will also say that the combinatorics of ∞ is the empty sequence. If $\alpha_n = p_n/q_n$ is a reduced rational number for all n , then the combinatorics ω are called *satellite* and we denote

$$\|\omega\| = \prod_{n=1}^N q_n^2.$$

As any satellite combinatorics ω of depth d never include the parameter $0/1$, we note that $\|\omega\| \geq 2^{2d}$.

5.1. Satellite towers. For any integer $N \geq 0$ or $N = \infty$, we define a *height N quadratic parabolic tower* \mathcal{T} to be a sequence $(f_n)_{n=0}^N$ such that

- (1) $f_0 = Q_\zeta$ for some $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$,
- (2) $f_n \in \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 3}$ for all $0 \leq n \leq N$, and
- (3) f_{n+1} is a parabolic renormalization of either f_n or f_n^* for all $0 \leq n < N$.

We will say that \mathcal{T} is a *satellite tower* if f_n has a parabolic fixed point at zero for all $0 \leq n \leq N$.

Let us now fix a satellite tower $\mathcal{T} = (f_n)_{n=0}^N$ with $0 \leq N < \infty$, so there is a sequence $(p_n/q_n)_{n=0}^N$ of rational numbers in $(-1/2, 1/2]$ so that f_n has a p_n/q_n -parabolic fixed point at zero for all n .

Proposition 5.1. *There exists $K^{\mathcal{T}} \geq 2$ and such that for $\{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^N : |\sigma| \geq K^{\mathcal{T}}\} := \Sigma^{\mathcal{T}}$ and any $\sigma = \langle k_n \rangle_{n=1}^N \in \Sigma^{\mathcal{T}}$, there exists an injective holomorphic or anti-holomorphic univalent function $\mu_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying:*

- (1) *The collection of maps*

$$h_{\sigma, \alpha}^{\mathcal{T}} := \mathcal{R}_{f_{N-1}}^\pm \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{R}_{f_1}^\pm Q_{\mu_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}}(\alpha)},$$

where the upper or lower near-parabolic renormalization relative to f_n is taken when f_{n+1} is an upper or lower parabolic renormalization respectively of f_n or f_n^* for all n , form a Log-multiplier family near f_N parameterized by $\Sigma^{\mathcal{T}}$.

- (2) *There exists some $p_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}}/q_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\alpha_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}}$ with combinatorics $\omega_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}}$ such that either*

$$\mu_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}}(z) = \tau_{\alpha_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}}} \circ \mu_{p_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}}/q_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}}}(z) \text{ or } \mu_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}}(z) = \tau_{\alpha_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}}} \circ \mu_{p_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}}/q_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}}}(-\bar{z})$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{H} \cap \mathbb{D}$. If $N = 0$ then $\alpha_\sigma = \infty$ and $p_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}}/q_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}} = p_0/q_0$, otherwise

$$\omega_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}} = \begin{cases} \omega_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'} & \text{if } f_N = \mathcal{R}_{p_N/q_N}^+ f_{N-1} \text{ or } f_N = \mathcal{R}_{p_N/q_N}^+ f_{N-1}^*, \\ \omega_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'} \oplus \langle p_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}/q_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle & \text{if } f_N = \mathcal{R}_{p_N/q_N}^- f_{N-1} \text{ or } f_N = \mathcal{R}_{p_N/q_N}^- f_{N-1}^*, \end{cases}$$

and

$$p_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}}/q_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}} = \begin{cases} p_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}/q_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'} \oplus \mathfrak{S}(p_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}/q_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'})/k_N \oplus -p_N/q_N & \text{if } f_N = \mathcal{R}_{p_N/q_N}^+ f_{N-1}, \\ p_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}/q_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'} \oplus -\mathfrak{S}(p_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}/q_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'})/k_N \oplus -p_N/q_N & \text{if } f_N = \mathcal{R}_{p_N/q_N}^+ f_{N-1}^*, \\ -1/k_N \oplus p_N/q_N & \text{if } f_N = \mathcal{R}_{p_N/q_N}^- f_{N-1}, \\ 1/k_N \oplus p_N/q_N & \text{if } f_N = \mathcal{R}_{p_N/q_N}^- f_{N-1}^*. \end{cases}$$

(3) For all $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathcal{T}}$ and $z, w \in \mu_{p_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}/q_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}}(\mathbb{D})$ we have

$$\frac{2^{-d}|z-w|}{\|\omega_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}\|} \leq |\mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}} \circ (\mu_{p_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}/q_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}})^{-1}(z) - \mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}} \circ (\mu_{p_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}/q_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}})^{-1}(w)| \leq \frac{2^d|z-w|}{\|\omega_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}\|},$$

where d is the depth of $\omega_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}$.

Proof. If $N = 0$, so $\sigma = \infty \in \mathbb{Z}^0$, then we can take $\mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}} = \mu_{p_0/q_0}$. So we now assume that $N > 0$ and that the proposition holds for smaller values of N . Denoting $\mathcal{T}' = (f_n)_{n=0}^{N-1}$, it follows from the inductive hypothesis that there is some $\Sigma^{\mathcal{T}'} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{N-1}$ so that the univalent function $\mu_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined for all $\sigma' \in \Sigma^{\mathcal{T}'}$. Let us fix some $\sigma = \langle k_n \rangle_{n=1}^N$ with $\sigma' := \langle k_n \rangle_{n=1}^{N-1} \in \Sigma^{\mathcal{T}'}$.

We assume for now that f_N is an upper parabolic renormalization of f_{N-1} . For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$ and $k_N \geq 2$ we set

$$\alpha' = \frac{1}{k_N - \mu_{p_N/q_N}(\alpha)} = \mu_{1/k_N} \circ \mu_{p_N/q_N}(\alpha)$$

and we define $\mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}(\alpha) := \mu_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}(\alpha')$. Thus there exists some $K \geq 2$ so that $\mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}$ is defined on \mathbb{D} for all $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathcal{T}'} \times \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k \geq K\}$, and the functions $h_{\sigma, \alpha}^{\mathcal{T}} := \mathcal{R}_{f_{N-1}}^+ h_{\sigma', \alpha'}^{\mathcal{T}'}$ form a Log-multiplier family near f_N . We set $\alpha_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}} = \alpha_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}$ and

$$p_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}/q_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}} = p_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}/q_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'} \oplus \mathfrak{S}(p_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}/q_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'})/k_N \oplus -p_N/q_N,$$

so it follows from Proposition 2.2 that $\mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}} = \mu_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'} \circ (\mu_{p_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}/q_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}})^{-1} \circ \mu_{p_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}/q_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}}$. We note that even when $\mu_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}$ is anti-holomorphic, our inductive hypothesis that $h_{\sigma', \alpha'}^{\mathcal{T}'}$ depends holomorphically on α ensures that $h_{\sigma, \alpha}^{\mathcal{T}}$ depends holomorphically on α .

If instead f_N is an upper parabolic renormalization of f_{N-1}^* , then for $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$ and $k_N \geq 2$ we can define

$$\alpha' = \frac{-1}{k_N + \mu_{-p_N/q_N}(-\bar{\alpha})} = \mu_{-1/k_N} \circ \mu_{-p_N/q_N}(-\bar{\alpha})$$

and $\mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}(\alpha) = \mu_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}(\alpha')$. Thus there exists some $K \geq 2$ so that $\mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}$ is defined on \mathbb{D} for all $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathcal{T}'} \times \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k \geq K\}$ and the functions $h_{\sigma, \alpha}^{\mathcal{T}} := \mathcal{R}_{f_{N-1}}^+ h_{\sigma', \alpha'}^{\mathcal{T}'}$ form a Log-multiplier family near f_N . We set $\alpha_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}} = \alpha_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}$ and

$$p_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}/q_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}} = p_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}/q_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'} \oplus -\mathfrak{S}(p_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}/q_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'})/k_N \oplus -p_N/q_N,$$

so it follows from Proposition 2.2 that $\mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}(z) = \mu_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'} \circ (\mu_{p_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}/q_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}})^{-1} \circ \mu_{p_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}/q_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}}(-\bar{z})$. Note that as $h_{\sigma, \alpha}^{\mathcal{T}}$ depends anti-holomorphically on $h_{\sigma', \alpha'}^{\mathcal{T}'}$ in this case, our definitions ensure that $h_{\sigma, \alpha}^{\mathcal{T}}$ depends holomorphically on α regardless of whether $\mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}$ is holomorphic or anti-holomorphic.

If f_N is a lower parabolic renormalization of f_{N-1} , then the situation is slightly more complicated. For $k_N \geq 2$ we would like to define $\mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}$ so that

$$\mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}(\alpha) = \mu_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}(\alpha'),$$

where α' satisfies the equation

$$(4) \quad \alpha' = \frac{1}{k_N + \mathfrak{c}_{-}^{h_{\sigma', \alpha'}, f_{N-1}} + \mu_{p_N/q_N}(\alpha)}.$$

Fix some $R > 1$. As the equation

$$z' = \frac{1}{k_N + \mathbf{c}_-^{f_{N-1}} + \mu_{p_N/q_N}(z)}$$

has a unique solution in \mathbb{D}_R for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and any large $k_N > 0$, and as $\mathbf{c}_-^{h_{\sigma',\alpha'}^{T'}, f_{N-1}}$ depends holomorphically on α' and tends to $\mathbf{c}_-^{f_{N-1}}$ when $k_N \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$, it follows from Rouché's theorem that there is some $K > 0$ so that the equation (4) has a unique solution in \mathbb{D}_R for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$ when $k_N \geq K$. It follows from the implicit function theorem that the resulting map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha'$ is univalent on \mathbb{D}_R , allowing us to define μ_σ^T . We set $p_\sigma^T/q_\sigma^T := -1/k_N \oplus p_N/q_N$, so

$$\mu_{p_\sigma^T/q_\sigma^T}^T(z) = \frac{-1}{k_N + \mu_{p_N/q_N}(z)}.$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\mu_\sigma^T(\alpha) = \mu_{\sigma'}^{T'}(\alpha')$, the maps $h_{\sigma,\alpha}^T := \mathcal{R}_{f_{N-1}}^- h_{\sigma',\alpha'}^{T'}$ form a Log-multiplier family near f_N . It follows from Proposition 2.11 that the map $h_{\sigma',\alpha'}^{T'}$ has a periodic cycle in \mathbb{C}^* whose multiplier is $\text{Exp} \circ \mu_{p_{\sigma'}^{T'}/q_{\sigma'}^{T'}}(\alpha')$. Proposition 2.13 therefore implies that $\mathcal{Q}_{\mu_\sigma^T(\alpha)}$ has a periodic cycle with either the same multiplier or complex conjugate multiplier. Thus μ_σ^T maps $\mathbb{H} \cap \mathbb{D}_R$ into a component $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_\sigma^T}$ for some parabolic parameter α_σ^T and either

$$\mu_\sigma^T(z) = \tau_{\alpha_\sigma^T} \circ \mu_{p_\sigma^T/q_\sigma^T}^T \text{ or } \mu_\sigma^T(z) = \tau_{\alpha_\sigma^T} \circ \mu_{p_\sigma^T/q_\sigma^T}^T(-\bar{z})$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{H} \cap \mathbb{D}_R$. By taking R sufficiently large, it follows from the uniqueness in the definition of μ_σ^T that α_σ^T depends only σ' . For fixed σ' , as $\mu_\sigma^T(0) \rightarrow \mu_{\sigma'}^{T'}(0)$ when $k_N \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\alpha_\sigma^T = \mu_{\sigma'}^{T'}(0)$. It follows from the inductive hypothesis that the combinatorics of α_σ^T are therefore $\omega_{\sigma'}^{T'} \oplus (p_{\sigma'}^{T'}/q_{\sigma'}^{T'})$. As

$$\mu_\sigma^T \circ (\mu_{p_\sigma^T/q_\sigma^T}^T)^{-1}(z) = \mu_{\sigma'}^{T'} \left(\frac{-z}{1 - z \cdot \mathbf{c}_-^{h_{\sigma',\alpha'}^{T'}, f_{N-1}}} \right)$$

and $\mu_{p_\sigma^T/q_\sigma^T}^T(\mathbb{D}_R)$ converges uniformly to zero when $k_N \rightarrow \infty$ for any $R > 0$, it follows from the inductive hypothesis and Proposition 2.3 that

$$|\mu_\sigma^T \circ (\mu_{p_\sigma^T/q_\sigma^T}^T)^{-1}(z) - \mu_\sigma^T \circ (\mu_{p_\sigma^T/q_\sigma^T}^T)^{-1}(w)| \leq \frac{2^{d-1}}{\|\omega_{\sigma'}^{T'}\|} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(q_{\sigma'}^{T'})^2} \cdot \sqrt{2}|z - w| = \frac{2^d|z - w|}{\|\omega_{\sigma'}^{T'}\|}$$

and

$$|\mu_\sigma^T \circ (\mu_{p_\sigma^T/q_\sigma^T}^T)^{-1}(z) - \mu_\sigma^T \circ (\mu_{p_\sigma^T/q_\sigma^T}^T)^{-1}(w)| \geq \frac{2^{-d+1}}{\|\omega_{\sigma'}^{T'}\|} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(q_{\sigma'}^{T'})^2} \cdot \frac{|z - w|}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{2^{-d}|z - w|}{\|\omega_{\sigma'}^{T'}\|}$$

when k_N is sufficiently large, where d is the depth of ω_σ^T . Note that our choice of constant 2^d is arbitrary, we could instead inductively choose λ^d for any $\lambda > 1$ by making K^T larger.

If f_N is a lower parabolic renormalization of f_{N-1}^* , then we want to define $\mu_\sigma^T(\alpha) = \mu_{\sigma'}^{T'}(\alpha')$ for

$$\alpha' = \frac{-1}{k_N + \mathbf{c}_-^{(h_{\sigma',\alpha'}^{T'})^*, f_{N-1}^*} + \mu_{p_N/q_N}(\bar{\alpha})}.$$

By the same reasoning above, we can define the map μ_σ^T and Log-multiplier family of maps $h_{\sigma,\alpha}^T = \mathcal{R}_{f_{N-1}}^- h_{\sigma',\alpha'}^{T'}$. Setting $p_\sigma^T/q_\sigma^T := 1/k_N \oplus p_N/q_N$, in this case it follows that $h_{\sigma',\alpha'}^{T'}$ has a

periodic cycle whose multiplier is $\text{Exp} \circ \mu_{p_{\mathcal{T}}/q_{\mathcal{T}}}(-\bar{\alpha})$. The rest of the proof follows similarly. \square

For any $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathcal{T}}$, we will say that a parameter ζ is σ -close to \mathcal{T} if $(\mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}})^{-1}(\zeta) \in (-1/2, 1/2]$. We will also call $\mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}(0)$ the σ -approximation of \mathcal{T} . It follows from Corollary 4.16 that the Log-multiplier family $\{h_{\sigma, \alpha}^{\mathcal{T}}\}$ has compatible pre-petals and external rays. Applying Proposition 4.19 yields:

Theorem 5.2. *Assume $N > 0$. There is a constant $C > 1$ which depends only on f_{N-1} such that if ζ is a parabolic parameter which is σ -close to \mathcal{T} for some $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathcal{T}}$ with $|\sigma|$ sufficiently large, then*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{L}_{\zeta}} |\mu_{p_N/q_N} \circ (\mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}})^{-1}(z)| < C.$$

Moreover if α is the σ -approximation of \mathcal{T} , then there exists some $\tilde{C} > 1$ which depends only on f_N such that

$$\inf_{z \in \mathcal{L}_{\zeta}} |(\mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}})^{-1}(z)| > \tilde{C}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we will assume that f_N is an upper satellite parabolic renormalization of f_{N-1} ; the other cases can be handled similarly.

We set $\sigma = \langle k_n \rangle_{n=1}^N$, $\sigma' = \langle k_n \rangle_{n=1}^{N-1}$, and $\mathcal{T}' = \langle f_n \rangle_{n=0}^{N-1}$. We also set $\mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}(\alpha) = \mu_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}(\alpha') = \zeta$, so the proof of Proposition 5.1 implies that

$$\alpha' = \frac{1}{k_N - \mu_{p_N/q_N}(\alpha)}.$$

Let $\Psi^{\sigma'}$ be the external parameter as in Proposition 4.8 for the Log-multiplier family $h_{\sigma', \alpha'}^{\mathcal{T}'}$ near f_{N-1} and let $\gamma_{\sigma} : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be the curve as in Proposition 4.19. We define the set

$$\Gamma := \left[\frac{1}{k_N + 1}, \frac{1}{k_N - 1} \right] \cup \gamma^{\sigma}([-1, 1]).$$

It follows from Proposition 4.19 that Γ is a closed curve in \mathbb{C} , we denote by X the union of the bounded components of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. Proposition 4.19 and the maximum modulus principle imply that there is a constant $C > 1$ which depends only on f_{N-1} such that

$$\left| k_N - \frac{1}{z} \right| < C$$

for all $z \in X$.

As α is σ -close to \mathcal{T} , we have $\alpha \in (-1/2, 1/2]$, so $\alpha' \in (\frac{1}{k_N+1}, \frac{1}{k_N-1})$. As every point in $\mu_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}(-\mathbb{H})$ is contained in \mathcal{M} , thus there must be some component \mathcal{L} of $\mathcal{M} \setminus \{\zeta\}$ which avoids $\mu_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}(-\mathbb{H})$. Proposition 4.17 implies that \mathcal{M} avoids $\mu_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}(\Gamma)$, hence $\mathcal{L} \subset \mu_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'}(X)$. Thus \mathcal{L} is bounded, so $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\zeta}$. It therefore follows from the above that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{L}_{\zeta}} |\mu_{p_N/q_N} \circ (\mu_{\sigma}^{\mathcal{T}})^{-1}(z)| = \sup_{z \in \mathcal{L}_{\zeta}} \left| k_N - \frac{1}{(\mu_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}'})^{-1}(z)} \right| < C$$

when $|\sigma|$ is sufficiently large.

We now consider the satellite tower $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} := \mathcal{T} \oplus \langle \mathcal{R}_0^- f_N \rangle$ and set $\tilde{\sigma} := \sigma \oplus \langle k \rangle$ for some $k \gg 0$. Choosing $\tilde{C} > 1$ so that $C^{-1} \ll \frac{1}{k + \mathfrak{c}_{-}^{f_N}}$, it follows from Proposition 5.1 that $\mu_{\tilde{\sigma}}^{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}}(0) \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ and

$$(\mu_{\tilde{\sigma}}^{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}})^{-1} \circ \mu_{\tilde{\sigma}}^{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}}(0) \approx \frac{1}{k + \mathfrak{c}_{-}^{f_N}} > \tilde{C}^{-1}.$$

□

We now observe that Theorem 1.4 from the introduction follows from Theorem 5.2.

Corollary 5.3. *For any positive integers N and M there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and $C > 1$ such that if α has combinatorics $\omega = \langle p_n/q_n \rangle_{n=1}^N$ with $p_n/q_n \in \bigcup_{m=1}^M \mathbb{Q}_m$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $|p_n/q_n| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq 2$, then*

$$\frac{C^{-1}}{\|\omega\|} < \text{Diam } \mathcal{L}_\alpha < \frac{C}{\|\omega\|}.$$

Proof. If the proposition does not hold, then there is a sequence of parabolic parameters $\langle \alpha_j \rangle_{j=1}^\infty$ such that α_j has combinatorics $\omega_j := \langle p_{n,j}/q_{n,j} \rangle_{n=1}^N$, where $p_{n,j}/q_{n,j} \in \bigcup_{m=1}^M \mathbb{Q}_m$, and either

$$\|\omega_j\| \cdot \text{Diam } \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_j} \rightarrow \infty \text{ or } \|\omega_j\| \cdot \text{Diam } \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_j} \rightarrow 0$$

when $j \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $p_{n,j}/q_{n,j} \rightarrow 0$ when $j \rightarrow \infty$ for all $2 \leq n \leq N$.

For any $1 \leq n \leq N$, up to a subsequence we can write express $p_{n,j}/q_{n,j}$ as

$$\frac{p_{n,j}}{q_{n,j}} = \frac{\tilde{p}_{n,1}}{\tilde{q}_{n,1}} \oplus \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_{n,1}}{k_{n,1,j}} \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{\tilde{p}_{n,M_n}}{\tilde{q}_{n,M_n}} \oplus \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_{n,M_n}}{k_{n,M_n,j}} \oplus \frac{\tilde{p}_{n,M_n+1}}{\tilde{q}_{n,M_n+1}},$$

where $\tilde{p}_{n,m}/\tilde{q}_{n,m}$ are rational numbers in $(-1/2, 1/2]$, $\varepsilon_{n,m} = \pm 1$, and $k_{n,m,j}$ are positive integers which tend to ∞ when $j \rightarrow \infty$ for all m . Note that $p_{n,j}/q_{n,j} \rightarrow 0$ when $j \rightarrow \infty$ implies that $\tilde{p}_{n,1}/\tilde{q}_{n,1} = 0/1$ for all $n \geq 2$. We observe that part (2) of Proposition 5.1 gives us an explicit algorithm for defining a satellite tower $\mathcal{T} = \langle f_s \rangle_{s=0}^S$ so that for all large j there exists $\sigma_j \in \Sigma^{\mathcal{T}}$ such that $\alpha_j = \mu_{\sigma_j}^{\mathcal{T}}(0)$ and $|\sigma_j| \rightarrow \infty$ when $j \rightarrow \infty$. Theorem 5.2 therefore implies that there is a constant $C > 1$ such that

$$C^{-1} < \inf_{z \in \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_j}} |(\mu_{\sigma_j}^{\mathcal{T}})^{-1}(z)| \leq \sup_{z \in \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_j}} |(\mu_{\sigma_j}^{\mathcal{T}})^{-1}(z)| < C$$

for infinitely many j . Part (3) of Proposition 5.1 therefore implies that, after increasing C , we have

$$\frac{C^{-1}}{\|\omega_j\|} < \text{Diam } \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_j} < \frac{C}{\|\omega_j\|}$$

for infinitely many j , but this is a contradiction. □

Let us note that while Corollary 5.3 is the main result of this paper, all of the real work is done by Theorem 5.2. For some applications other consequences of Theorem 5.2 may prove more useful, the following is one such example which is used in [DLP23]:

Corollary 5.4. *For any rational p_0/q_0 there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that if α has combinatorics $\langle p_0/q_0, p_1/q_1 \rangle$ for any non-zero rational $p_1/q_1 \in (-1/2, 1/2]$, then*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha} \left| \frac{1}{q_0 z - p_0} - \frac{1}{q_0 \alpha - p_0} \right| < C.$$

Proof. First we observe that the PLY inequality implies that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood Δ of p_0/q_0 such that if $|p_1/q_1| > \epsilon$ then \mathcal{L}_α avoids Δ . In this case it follows that there exists $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha} \left| \frac{1}{q_0 z - p_0} \right| < C_\epsilon.$$

Let us now assume that $0 < -p_1/q_1 < \epsilon$, so $p_1/q_1 = \frac{-1}{n+\theta}$ for some integer $n \gg 0$ and rational $\theta \in (-1/2, 1/2]$. Thus α is $\langle n \rangle$ -close to the satellite tower $\mathcal{T} = \langle Q_{p_0/q_0}, \mathcal{R}_0^- Q_{p_0/q_0} \rangle$ by Proposition 5.1, so Theorem implies that there is a constant $C > 0$ which depends only on Q_{p_0/q_0} such that if ϵ is sufficiently small then

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha} |(\mu_{\langle n \rangle}^\mathcal{T})^{-1}(z)| < C.$$

The definition of $\mu_{\langle n \rangle}^\mathcal{T}$ in the proof of Proposition 5.1 implies that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha} \left| n + \mathfrak{c}_-^{Q_{p_0/q_0}} - \frac{1}{\mu_{p_0/q_0}^{-1}(z)} \right| \leq \sup_{z \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha} |(\mu_{\langle n \rangle}^\mathcal{T})^{-1}(z)| + 1$$

when n is sufficiently large, so

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha} \left| \frac{1}{\mu_{p_0/q_0}^{-1}(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{\mu_{p_0/q_0}^{-1}(z)} \right| < 2(C + 1)$$

It follows from the definition of μ_{p_0/q_0} that

$$\frac{1}{q_0 w - p_0} = \frac{q_0}{\mu_{p_0/q_0}^{-1}(w)} + \mathfrak{S}(p_0/q_0)q'_0$$

for any $w \in \mathbb{C}$, where p'_0/q'_0 is the parent of p_0/q_0 . Thus

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha} \left| \frac{1}{q_0 z - p_0} - \frac{1}{q_0 \alpha - p_0} \right| < 2(C + 1)q_0.$$

The argument for the case where $0 < p_1/q_1 < \epsilon$ is the same, using instead the tower $\langle Q_{p_0/q_0}, \mathcal{R}_0^- Q_{p_0/q_0}^* \rangle$. \square

5.2. Generalizations of Theorem 5.2. We conclude with some remarks on possible extensions of Theorem 5.2 to more general parabolic towers.

First let us consider the case where $\mathcal{T} = \langle f_n \rangle_{n=0}^\infty$ is an infinite height satellite tower. For all $N \geq 0$ we denote $\mathcal{T}_N = \langle f_n \rangle_{n=0}^N$, and for all $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathcal{T}_N}$ we set $\mu_\sigma^\mathcal{T} := \mu_\sigma^{\mathcal{T}_N}$ and $h_{\sigma,\alpha}^\mathcal{T} := h_{\sigma,\alpha}^{\mathcal{T}_N}$. Theorem 5.2 provides us with constants C_N and \tilde{C}_N for \mathcal{T}_N which depend only on f_{N-1} and f_N respectively. To generalize Theorem 5.2 to \mathcal{T} , we need to control these constants for when N tends to ∞ . Let us say that the tower \mathcal{T} is *convergent* when there exists some $f_\infty \in \mathcal{F}^{\otimes\otimes}$ such that $f_N \rightarrow f_\infty$ when $N \rightarrow \infty$. As $\mathcal{R}_{f_N} h = \mathcal{R}_{f_\infty} h$ when N is sufficiently large, the convergence \mathcal{T} implies that the maps $h_{\sigma,\alpha}^\mathcal{T}$ form a Log-multiplier family near f_∞ . If f_∞ has Jordan basin and this Log-multiplier family has compatible external rays and pre-petals, then we can apply Proposition 4.19 to this family and get uniform control over the constants C_N and \tilde{C}_N . Some examples of convergent towers are given in [IS08] and [Kap22], such as when $f_N = \mathcal{R}_0^+ f_{N-1}$ for all N or $f_N = \mathcal{R}_0^- f_{N-1}$ for all N . By Proposition 5.1, uniform control for these two examples would have the following consequences respectively:

Conjecture 5.5. *There is an $M \geq 2$ and $C > 1$ such that if p/q has modified continued fraction $\langle (a_n, \varepsilon_n) \rangle_{n=1}^N$ with $a_n \geq M$ for all n , then*

$$\frac{C^{-1}}{q^2} < \text{Diam } \mathcal{L}_{p/q} < \frac{C}{q^2}.$$

Conjecture 5.6. *There is an $M \geq 2$ and $C > 1$ such that if ζ has combinatorics $\langle 1/q_n \rangle_{n=1}^N$ with $q_n \geq M$ for all n , then*

$$\frac{2^{-N}C^{-1}}{\prod_{n=1}^N q_n^2} < \text{Diam } \mathcal{L}_\zeta < \frac{2^N C}{\prod_{n=1}^N q_n^2}.$$

We may also expect uniform control of the constants C_N and \tilde{C}_N when $f_N = \mathcal{R}_0^\pm f_{N-1}$ for all N , this would imply Conjecture 1.5 from the introduction.

Now let us consider when $\mathcal{T} = \langle f_n \rangle_{n=0}^N$ is a quadratic parabolic tower but is not a satellite tower. We will say that \mathcal{T} is *persistently parabolic* if the parabolic periodic cycle for some f_n does not bifurcate under perturbation. More precisely, if there exists some $0 < n \leq N$ such that $f_n = \mathcal{R}_{\delta_n}^\pm f_{n-1}$ has a p/q -parabolic k -periodic cycle $\langle z_j \rangle_{j=0}^{q-1}$ and $\mathcal{R}_\delta^\pm f_{n-1}$ has a p/q -parabolic k -periodic cycle close to $\langle z_j \rangle_{j=0}^{q-1}$ for all δ close to δ_n . As an example, there are no persistently parabolic satellite towers; we conjecture that in fact \mathcal{T} is never persistently parabolic. If \mathcal{T} has finite height and is not persistently parabolic, then we can recreate Proposition 5.1 and similarly construct $\Sigma^\mathcal{T}$, $\mu_\sigma^\mathcal{T}$, and $h_{\sigma,\alpha}^\mathcal{T}$. However some minor modifications may be necessary: we may need to conjugate by a Möbius transformation and consider an iterate so that $h_{\sigma,\alpha}^\mathcal{T}$ has a fixed point at zero, and if the multiplier of the parabolic cycle of f_N is one then $\mu_\sigma^\mathcal{T}$ may not be injective. After these modifications, if f_N has Jordan basin and $h_{\sigma,\alpha}^\mathcal{T}$ has compatible external rays and pre-petals which are induced by the external rays of $Q_{\mu_\sigma^\mathcal{T}(\alpha)}$ as in the satellite tower case, then an analogous version of Theorem 5.2 holds by identical argument. We conjecture that these conditions are always satisfied, so we expect that Theorem 5.2 can be generalized to all quadratic parabolic towers.

Finally, we observe that in our analysis we make no use of the fact that all the critical points of maps in \mathcal{F} have local degree two; we only need a unique critical value. By modifying the definition of \mathcal{F} , namely allowing the critical points to have arbitrary degree, we can produce similar results for more general classes of maps. In particular, the analogues of Theorem 5.2 and Corollary 5.3 hold for the family of unicritical polynomials

$$z \mapsto \left(\frac{\text{Exp}(\alpha) \cdot z + d}{d} \right)^d - 1$$

for any degree $d \geq 2$.

REFERENCES

- [BC12] Xavier Buff and Arnaud Chéritat. Quadratic Julia sets with positive area. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 176(2):673–746, 2012.
- [BF14] Bodil Branner and Núria Fagella. *Quasiconformal Surgery in Holomorphic Dynamics*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [CC15] Davoud Cheraghi and Arnaud Chéritat. A proof of the Marmi-Moussa-Yoccoz conjecture for rotation numbers of high type. *Invent. Math.*, 202(2):677–742, 2015.
- [Ché22] Arnaud Chéritat. Near parabolic renormalization for unicritical holomorphic maps. *Arnold Math. J.*, 8(2):169–270, 2022.

- [CS15] Davoud Cheraghi and Mitsuhiro Shishikura. Satellite renormalization of quadratic polynomials. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv.1509.07843, September 2015.
- [DH84] A. Douady and J. H. Hubbard. *Étude dynamique des polynômes complexes. Partie I*, volume 84 of *Publications Mathématiques d’Orsay [Mathematical Publications of Orsay]*. Université de Paris-Sud, Département de Mathématiques, Orsay, 1984.
- [DH85] A. Douady and J. H. Hubbard. *Étude dynamique des polynômes complexes. Partie II*, volume 85 of *Publications Mathématiques d’Orsay [Mathematical Publications of Orsay]*. Université de Paris-Sud, Département de Mathématiques, Orsay, 1985. With the collaboration of P. Lavaurs, Tan Lei and P. Sentenac.
- [DL18] Dzmityry Dudko and Mikhail Lyubich. Local connectivity of the Mandelbrot set at some satellite parameters of bounded type. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1808.10425, August 2018.
- [DLP23] D. Dudko, M. Lyubich, and C. Petersen. Taming elephants in the mandelbrot set. *Manuscript*, 2023.
- [Dou94] Adrien Douady. Does a Julia set depend continuously on the polynomial? In *Complex dynamical systems (Cincinnati, OH, 1994)*, volume 49 of *Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math.*, pages 91–138. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [Eps93] Adam Epstein. *Towers of Finite Type Complex Analytic Maps*. PhD thesis, The City University of New York, 1993.
- [Hub93] J. H. Hubbard. Local connectivity of Julia sets and bifurcation loci: three theorems of J.-C. Yoccoz. In *Topological methods in modern mathematics (Stony Brook, NY, 1991)*, pages 467–511. Publish or Perish, Houston, TX, 1993.
- [IS08] H. Inou and M. Shishikura. The renormalization for parabolic fixed points and their perturbation. *Manuscript*, 2008.
- [Kap22] Alex Kapiamba. Non-degenerate near-parabolic renormalization, 2022.
- [Kap23] Alex Kapiamba. *Parabolic Towers and the Asymptotic Geometry of the Mandelbrot set*. PhD thesis, University of Michigan, 2023.
- [Lav89] Pierre Lavaurs. *Systemes dynamiques holomorphes: explosion de points periodiques paraboliques*. PhD thesis, These de doctrat de l’Universite de Paris-Sud, Orsay, France, 1989.
- [Lev91] G. M. Levin. On Pommerenke’s inequality for the eigenvalues of fixed points. *Colloq. Math.*, 62(1):167–177, 1991.
- [Lev09] Genadi Levin. Multipliers of periodic orbits of quadratic polynomials and the parameter plane. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 170(1):285–315, 2009.
- [Lev11] Genadi Levin. Rigidity and non-local connectivity of julia sets of some quadratic polynomials. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 304(2):295–328, 2011.
- [LP24] L. Lomonaco and C. Petersen. On qc compatibility of satellite copies of the mandelbrot set. *Manuscript*, 2024.
- [LY14] Oscar E. Lanford, III and Michael Yampolsky. *Fixed point of the parabolic renormalization operator*. SpringerBriefs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [Mil94] John Milnor. Problems on local connectivity. In V. P. Havin and N. K. Nikolski, editors, *Linear and complex analysis. Problem book 3. Part II*, volume 1574 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 443–446. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [Oud02] Richard Oudkerk. The parabolic implosion: Lavaurs maps and strong convergence for rational maps. In *Value distribution theory and complex dynamics (Hong Kong, 2000)*, volume 303 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 79–105. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [Pom86] Ch. Pommerenke. On conformal mapping and iteration of rational functions. *Complex Variables Theory Appl.*, 5(2-4):117–126, 1986.
- [Shi98] Mitsuhiro Shishikura. The Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of the Mandelbrot set and Julia sets. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 147(2):225–267, 1998.
- [Shi00] Mitsuhiro Shishikura. Bifurcation of parabolic fixed points. In *The Mandelbrot set, theme and variations*, volume 274 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 325–363. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [Yan15] Fei Yang. Parabolic and near-parabolic renormalizations for local degree three, 2015.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS
E-mail address: `kapiamba@math.harvard.edu`