

Comment to: “Generalized hyperideals in locally associative left almost semihypergroups”

Niovi Kehayopulu

This paper has been submitted to New York Journal of Mathematics on Sun, January 31, 2021.

Abstract

This note is written to show that the definition of the $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -hypergroupoids in [5] should be corrected and that it is not enough to replace the multiplication “.” of an $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -groupoid by the hyperoperation “ \circ ” to pass from an $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -groupoid to an $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -hypergroupoid.

2020 AMS Subject Classification. 20N99

Key words and phrases. $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -hypergroupoid, $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -groupoid.

According to the introduction, “a left almost semigroup ($\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semigroup) is a groupoid S whose elements satisfy the following left inversive law $(ab)c = (cb)a$ for all $a, b, c \in S$ ”. This structure being a groupoid and not a semigroup, the term $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semigroup is wrong and it should be replaced by $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -groupoid also called Abel-Grassmann’s groupoid. Some authors use the concept “Non associative ordered semigroup” that is certainly wrong as an ordered semigroup cannot be non associative. Again by the introduction, an $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -groupoid is a structure between a groupoid and a commutative semigroup. In what sense? And an $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -groupoid is a generalization of semigroup. How is it possible?

For a nonempty set H , denote by $\mathcal{P}^*(H)$ the set of nonempty subsets of H .

An hypergroupoid is a nonempty set H with a mapping

$$\circ : H \times H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^*(H) \mid (a, b) \rightarrow a \circ b$$

(called hyperoperation as it assigns to each couple (a, b) of elements of H a subset -instead of an element- of H).

For two nonempty subsets A, B of H , the authors denote

$$A \circ B = \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a \circ b, \quad a \circ A = \{a\} \circ A \text{ and } a \circ B = \{a\} \circ B.$$

Then, they define the $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semihypergroup as an hypergroupoid such that

$$(x \circ y) \circ z = (z \circ y) \circ z \text{ for all } x, y, z \in H.$$

First of all, we cannot use the term “ $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semihypergroup” (left almost semihypergroup) exactly as we cannot use the term $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semigroup. $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -hypergroupoid is the correct one and this is what we will use in the present note.

We cannot write $A \circ B$, as “ \circ ” is an “operation” between elements. We cannot use the same symbol both for elements and sets; if we do that, a great confusion erases (see also [2]).

We cannot write $(x \circ y) \circ z$ as $x \circ y$ is a subset of H , z an element of H and “ \circ ” is an “operation” between elements. Even if we identify the z by the singleton $\{z\}$, we cannot write $(x \circ y) \circ \{z\}$ for the reason mentioned above.

It is very difficult (not to say impossible) to check the examples of \mathcal{LA} -hypergroupoids by hand, so we should know the way they have been constructed. Or should be given a method to check their validity. There is nothing like that in the bibliography.

According to Example 4, the set $H = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with the hyperoperation \circ given by Table 1, is an \mathcal{LA} -hypergroupoid.

\circ	a	b	c	d	e
a	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$
b	$\{a\}$	$\{a, e\}$	$\{a, e\}$	$\{a, c\}$	$\{a, e\}$
c	$\{a\}$	$\{a, e\}$	$\{a, e\}$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{a, e\}$
d	$\{a\}$	$\{b\}$	$\{c\}$	$\{d\}$	$\{e\}$
e	$\{a\}$	$\{a, e\}$	$\{a, e\}$	$\{a, e\}$	$\{a, e\}$

Table 1

We wrote $\{a\}$ in the table instead of the a in [5]. According to [5], to show that this is an \mathcal{LA} -hypergroupoid we have to show that $(x \circ y) \circ z = (z \circ y) \circ x$ for all $x, y, z \in H$; as so the $(a \circ b) \circ d$, for example, should be equal to $(d \circ b) \circ a$. We have $(a \circ b) \circ d = \{a\} \circ d$ and $(d \circ b) \circ a = \{b\} \circ a$, while both the $\{a\} \circ d$ and the $\{b\} \circ a$ are without meaning.

Throughout the paper strange symbols like

$$[(H \circ R) \circ (H \circ R^{(m+n-2)})] \circ (H \circ L) \circ (H \circ L^{(m+n-2)})]$$

have been used (see, for example, p. 1068, l. 5). Symbols without any sense.

What is the A^n ? We are not in a semigroup where $A^n = A \cdot A \cdots A$. In an \mathcal{LA} -hypergroupoid can we write $A * A * \cdots * A$ without using parentheses? Certainly not. So, for an \mathcal{LA} -hypergroupoid the concept of the (m, n) -hyperideal, for arbitrary m, n cannot be defined. The concept of (m, n) -regularity of this structure cannot be defined for the same reason. What is the a^m for an arbitrary natural number m ?

Let us first correct the definitions in [5].

Let H be a nonempty set and “ \circ ” be an hyperoperation on H . For two nonempty subsets A, B of H denote by “ $*$ ” the operation on $\mathcal{P}^*(H)$ (induced by \circ) defined by

$$*: \mathcal{P}^*(H) \times \mathcal{P}^*(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^*(H) \mid (A, B) \rightarrow A * B := \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a \circ b.$$

An \mathcal{LA} -hypergroupoid is a nonempty set H with an hyperoperation “ \circ ” such that

$$(a \circ b) * \{c\} = (c \circ b) * \{a\} \text{ for all } a, b, c \in H.$$

As one can easily see, for any a, b we have $\{a\} * \{b\} = a \circ b$ (see, for example [1–3]).

With this definition, let us check if the Example 4 in [5] (given by Table 1 above) is correct: It is wrong as, for example,

$$\begin{aligned} (d \circ d) * \{b\} &= \{d\} * \{b\} = d \circ b = \{b\}, \\ (b \circ d) * \{d\} &= \{a, c\} * \{d\} = \bigcup_{x \in \{a, c\}} x \circ d = (a \circ d) \cup (c \circ d) = \{a\} \cup \{a, b\} = \{a, b\} \text{ and} \\ (d \circ d) * \{b\} &\neq (b \circ d) * \{d\}. \end{aligned}$$

According to Example 5 of the paper, the set $H = \{a, b, c, d\}$ defined by Table 2 is an \mathcal{LA} -hypergroupoid.

\circ	a	b	c	d
a	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$
b	$\{a\}$	$\{a, b, c, d\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$
c	$\{a\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$
d	$\{a\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$

Table 2

This is also wrong as

$$\begin{aligned}
(c \circ d) * \{b\} &= \{a, b, c\} * \{b\} = \bigcup_{x \in \{a, b, c\}} x \circ b = (a \circ b) \cup (b \circ b) \cup (c \circ b) = \{a, b, c, d\}, \\
(b \circ d) * \{c\} &= \{a, b, c\} * \{c\} = \bigcup_{x \in \{a, b, c\}} x \circ c = (a \circ c) \cup (b \circ c) \cup (c \circ c) = \{a, b, c\} \text{ but} \\
(c \circ d) * \{b\} &\neq (b \circ d) * \{c\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In what follows, the aim is to show that is not enough to pass from an \mathcal{LA} -groupoid to an \mathcal{LA} -hypergroupoid by replacing the multiplication “ \cdot ” of the \mathcal{LA} -groupoid by the hyperoperation “ \circ ” of the \mathcal{LA} -hypergroupoid.

The paper in [5] is the paper in [4] with the only difference that the multiplication “ \cdot ” in [4] has been replaced by “ \circ ” in [5].

In fact,

- Lemma 1 in [5] is the Lemma 7 in [4];
- Theorem 1 in [5] is the Theorem 6 in [4];
- Theorem 2 in [5] is the Theorem 7 in [4];
- Theorem 3 in [5] is the Theorem 8 in [4];
- Lemma in [5] is the Lemma 8 in [4];
- Theorem 2 in [5] is the Theorem 7 in [4];
- Theorem 4 in [5] is the Theorem 9 in [4];
- Corollary 1 in [5] is the Corollary 5 in [4];
- Theorem 5 in [5] is the Theorem 10 in [4];
- Lemma 3 in [5] is the Lemma 9 in [4];
- Corollary 2 in [5] is the Corollary 6 in [4];
- Theorem 6 in [5] is the Theorem 11 in [4];
- Theorem 7 in [5] is the Theorem 12 in [4];
- Theorem 8 in [5] is the Theorem 13 in [4].

Finally, 29 papers have been cited in References while the paper is based only on [4] not cited in References.

It might be mentioned here, though it is out of the scope of the present note, that the paper in [6] is an exact copy (word by word) by the paper in [4] with the only difference that 17 papers have been cited in References in [6] instead of 13 in [4].

As far as the fourth section (Conclusions) is concerned, it is obvious that an \mathcal{LA} -groupoid is not \mathcal{LA} -hypergroupoid in general (no Reference to this was needed). From this the authors conclude that the results of their paper generalize the results of \mathcal{LA} -groupoids. But to put “ \circ ” instead of the multiplication “ \cdot ” of the \mathcal{LA} -groupoid and use strange symbols without any sense, we can never say that the results of the \mathcal{LA} -groupoids have been generalized. On the other hand, the results in [4] should be checked as well since some lemmas were needed on which they were based. How we prove the results in [4] using the A^n ? What is the A^n ?

According to this section, the results of the paper extend the result by M. Akram, N. Yaqoob and M. Khan [On (m, n) -ideals in LA-semigroups, Appl. Math. Sci. (Ruse) 7, no. 41–44 (2013), 2187–2191] ([1] in References of [5]) and by Q. Mushtaq and S.M. Yusuf [On locally associative LA-semigroups, J. Natur, Sci. Math. 19, no. 1 (1979), 57–62] ([21] in References of [5]). The results in [1] have nothing to do with this paper while [21] is an old paper for which we have only the review MR0596763 (82a:20081) by M. Friedberg in MathSciNet; does not seem to be related to [5].

References

1. N. Kehayopulu. On hypersemigroups. Pure Math. Appl. (PU.M.A.) **25**, no. 2 (2015), 151–156. MR3514907, Zbl 1374.20073, doi: 10.1515/puma-2015-0015.
2. N. Kehayopulu. How we pass from semigroups to hypersemigroups. Lobachevskii J. Math. **39**, no. 1 (2018), 121–128. MR3767331, Zbl 1387.20053, doi: 10.1134/S199508021801016X.
3. N. Kehayopulu. Lattice ordered semigroups and hypersemigroups. Turkish J. Math. **43**, no. 5 (2019), 2592–2601. MR4020414, Zbl 07164332, doi:10.3906/mat-1907-86.
4. W. Khan, F. Yousafzai, W. Guo, M. Khan. On (m, n) -ideals of left almost semigroups. J. Semigroup Theory Appl. 2014, 2014:1, 1–20. ISSN 2051-2937.
5. V. Amjad, K. Hila, F. Yousafzai. Generalized hyperideals in locally associative left almost semihypergroups. New York J. Math. **20** (2014), 1063–1076. MR3291612, Zbl 1312.20059, doi: 10.1080/00927872.2010.521932.
6. W. Khan, F. Yousafzai, M. Khan. On generalized ideals of left almost semigroups. Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math. **9**, no. 3 (2016), 277–291. MR3522837, Zbl 1358.20054, ISSN 1307-5543.

(Niovi Kehayopulu) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS,
15784 PANEPISTIMIOPOLIS, GREECE
nkehayop@math.uoa.gr