

The alternating central extension of the q -Onsager algebra

Paul Terwilliger

Abstract

The q -Onsager algebra O_q is presented by two generators W_0, W_1 and two relations, called the q -Dolan/Grady relations. Recently Baseilhac and Koizumi introduced a current algebra \mathcal{A}_q for O_q . Soon afterwards, Baseilhac and Shigechi gave a presentation of \mathcal{A}_q by generators and relations. We show that these generators give a PBW basis for \mathcal{A}_q . Using this PBW basis, we show that the algebra \mathcal{A}_q is isomorphic to $O_q \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$, where \mathbb{F} is the ground field and $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are mutually commuting indeterminates. Recall the positive part U_q^+ of the quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. Our results show that O_q is related to \mathcal{A}_q in the same way that U_q^+ is related to the alternating central extension of U_q^+ . For this reason, we propose to call \mathcal{A}_q the alternating central extension of O_q .

Keywords. q -Onsager algebra; q -Dolan/Grady relations; PBW basis; tridiagonal pair.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 17B37. Secondary: 05E14, 81R50.

1 Introduction

Our topic involves the q -Onsager algebra O_q [2, 25]. This infinite-dimensional associative algebra is presented by two generators W_0, W_1 and two relations called the q -Dolan/Grady relations; see Definition 9.1 below.

The algebra O_q first appeared in algebraic combinatorics, in the study of Q -polynomial distance-regular graphs; to our knowledge the q -Dolan/Grady relations first appeared in [24, Lemma 5.4]. The algebra O_q belongs to a family of algebras called tridiagonal algebras [25, Definition 3.9]. One can think of O_q as the “most general” tridiagonal algebra [19, Section 1.2]. There is an object in linear algebra called a tridiagonal pair [16, Definition 1.1]. A finite-dimensional irreducible O_q -module is essentially the same thing as a tridiagonal pair of q -Racah type [25, Theorem 3.10]. These tridiagonal pairs are classified up to isomorphism in [18, Theorem 3.3]. See [15] for a survey about O_q and tridiagonal pairs.

Over the past two decades the algebra O_q has appeared in a wide variety of contexts. For instance, O_q is used to study boundary integrable systems [1–8, 10]. The algebra O_q can be realized as a left or right coideal subalgebra of the quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$;

see [3, 4, 20]. The algebra O_q is the simplest example of a quantum symmetric pair coideal subalgebra of affine type [20, Example 7.6]. A Drinfeld type presentation of O_q is obtained in [21], and this is used in [22] to realize O_q as an ι Hall algebra of the projective line. There is an algebra homomorphism from O_q into the universal Askey-Wilson algebra [26, Sections 9,10]. See [9, 27, 29, 30, 33] for more information about O_q .

In [6] Baseilhac and Koizumi introduce a current algebra \mathcal{A}_q for O_q , in order to solve boundary integrable systems with hidden symmetries. In [10, Definition 3.1] Baseilhac and Shigechi give a presentation of \mathcal{A}_q by generators and relations. The generators are denoted

$$\{\mathcal{W}_{-k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \{\mathcal{W}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \{\mathcal{G}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \quad (1)$$

and the relations are given in (3)–(13) below. The relationship between \mathcal{A}_q and O_q has been the subject of intense study. There are some partial results and conjectures in [5], and some conjectures in [30]. The results of [5] are summarized as follows. By [5, line (3.7)] the elements $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$ satisfy the q -Dolan/Grady relations. It is shown in [5, Section 3] that \mathcal{A}_q is generated by $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$ together with the central elements $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ defined in [5, Lemma 2.1]. In [5, Section 3] a quotient algebra of \mathcal{A}_q is obtained by sending Δ_n to a scalar for all $n \geq 1$. The construction yields an algebra homomorphism Ψ from O_q onto this quotient. According to [5, Conjecture 2] the map Ψ is an isomorphism. In [30, Conjecture 4.6] the above material is considered from a different point of view. We will state the conjecture after defining a few terms. Let $\langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle$ denote the subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_q generated by $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$. Let \mathcal{Z} denote the center of \mathcal{A}_q . Let $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ denote mutually commuting indeterminates. Consider the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$ where \mathbb{F} is the ground field. According to [30, Conjecture 4.6], (i) there exists an algebra isomorphism $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ that sends $z_n \mapsto \Delta_n$ for $n \geq 1$; (ii) there exists an algebra isomorphism $O_q \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle$ that sends $W_0 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_0$ and $W_1 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_1$; (iii) the multiplication map

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle \otimes \mathcal{Z} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_q \\ w \otimes z &\mapsto wz \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of algebras. As noted below [30, Conjecture 4.6], a proof of (i)–(iii) yields an algebra isomorphism $O_q \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_q$.

The results of the present paper imply that [5, Conjecture 2] and [30, Conjecture 4.6] are correct, provided that $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$. The difficulty with $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ is not serious; we overcome it by replacing the central elements $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with slightly different central elements $\{\mathcal{Z}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Below we list our main results, which hold without restriction on \mathbb{F} .

- a PBW basis for \mathcal{A}_q is obtained by the generators (1) in any linear order $<$ such that

$$\mathcal{G}_{i+1} < \mathcal{W}_{-j} < \mathcal{W}_{k+1} < \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell+1} \quad (i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}).$$

- There exists an algebra isomorphism $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ that sends $z_n \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_n$ for $n \geq 1$.
- There exists an algebra isomorphism $O_q \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle$ that sends $W_0 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_0$ and $W_1 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_1$.

- The multiplication map

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle \otimes \mathcal{Z} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_q \\ w \otimes z &\mapsto wz \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of algebras.

- There exists an algebra isomorphism $O_q \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_q$ that sends

$$W_0 \otimes 1 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_0, \quad W_1 \otimes 1 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_1, \quad 1 \otimes z_n \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

We will prove the above results in the order of bullets 1,5,2,3,4; see Theorems 6.1, 9.14, 10.2, 10.3, 10.4 in the main body of the paper.

Near the beginning of the paper, we mentioned that O_q is a tridiagonal algebra. There is another tridiagonal algebra of interest, denoted U_q^+ and called the positive part of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ [28]. The results listed above show that O_q is related to \mathcal{A}_q in the same way that U_q^+ is related to the alternating central extension of U_q^+ [31], [32]. For this reason, we propose to call \mathcal{A}_q the alternating central extension of O_q .

We believe that \mathcal{A}_q is a profound discovery and worthy of much further study. In the future we hope to investigate whether the generators (1) have a combinatorial interpretation for Q -polynomial distance-regular graphs.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminaries and the definition of \mathcal{A}_q . In Section 3 the algebra \mathcal{A}_q is described using generating functions. The results of Section 3 are used in Sections 4, 5 to obtain some equations called reduction rules. In Section 6 we use the reduction rules and the Bergman diamond lemma to show that the generators (1) give a PBW basis for \mathcal{A}_q . In Section 7 we obtain a filtration of \mathcal{A}_q that will help us understand how \mathcal{A}_q is related to O_q . In Section 8 we obtain some central elements $\{\mathcal{Z}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathcal{A}_q . The results of Sections 6, 7, 8 are used in Sections 9, 10 to describe how \mathcal{A}_q is related to O_q . In Appendix A we list many identities that hold in \mathcal{A}_q . Appendix B contains some data and examples that are meant to clarify Section 8. Appendix C contains some details from our application of the Bergman diamond lemma.

2 The algebra \mathcal{A}_q

We now begin our formal argument. Throughout the paper, the following notational conventions are in effect. Recall the natural numbers $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and integers $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$. Let \mathbb{F} denote a field. Every vector space and tensor product discussed in this paper is over \mathbb{F} . Every algebra discussed in this paper is associative, over \mathbb{F} , and has a multiplicative identity. Let \mathcal{A} denote an algebra. By an *automorphism* of \mathcal{A} we mean an algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. The algebra \mathcal{A}^{opp} consists of the vector space \mathcal{A} and the multiplication map $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $(a, b) \rightarrow ba$. By an *antiautomorphism* of \mathcal{A} we mean an algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\text{opp}}$.

Definition 2.1. (See [14, p. 299].) Let \mathcal{A} denote an algebra. A *Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt* (or *PBW*) basis for \mathcal{A} consists of a subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and a linear order $<$ on Ω such that the following is a basis for the vector space \mathcal{A} :

$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \Omega, \quad a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_n.$$

We interpret the empty product as the multiplicative identity in \mathcal{A} .

Definition 2.2. A *grading* of an algebra \mathcal{A} is a sequence $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of subspaces of \mathcal{A} such that (i) $1 \in \mathcal{A}_0$; (ii) the sum $\mathcal{A} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n$ is direct; (iii) $\mathcal{A}_r \mathcal{A}_s \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{r+s}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.3. (See [13, p. 202].) A *filtration* of an algebra \mathcal{A} is a sequence $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of subspaces of \mathcal{A} such that (i) $1 \in \mathcal{A}_0$; (ii) $\mathcal{A}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_n$ for $n \geq 1$; (iii) $\mathcal{A} = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n$; (iv) $\mathcal{A}_r \mathcal{A}_s \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{r+s}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Fix a nonzero $q \in \mathbb{F}$ that is not a root of unity. Recall the notation

$$[n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For elements X and Y in any algebra, their commutator and q -commutator are given by

$$[X, Y] = XY - YX, \quad [X, Y]_q = qXY - q^{-1}YX.$$

Definition 2.4. (See [6], [10, Definition 3.1].) Define the algebra \mathcal{A}_q by generators

$$\{\mathcal{W}_{-k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \{\mathcal{W}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \{\mathcal{G}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \quad (2)$$

and the following relations. For $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_{k+1}] = [\mathcal{W}_{-k}, \mathcal{W}_1] = (\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} - \mathcal{G}_{k+1})/(q + q^{-1}), \quad (3)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{G}_{k+1}]_q = [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_0]_q = \rho \mathcal{W}_{-k-1} - \rho \mathcal{W}_{k+1}, \quad (4)$$

$$[\mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_1]_q = [\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}]_q = \rho \mathcal{W}_{k+2} - \rho \mathcal{W}_{-k}, \quad (5)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_{-k}, \mathcal{W}_{-\ell}] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{W}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_{\ell+1}] = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_{-k}, \mathcal{W}_{\ell+1}] + [\mathcal{W}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_{-\ell}] = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_{-k}, \mathcal{G}_{\ell+1}] + [\mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_{-\ell}] = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_{-k}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell+1}] + [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_{-\ell}] = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_{k+1}, \mathcal{G}_{\ell+1}] + [\mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_{\ell+1}] = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_{k+1}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell+1}] + [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_{\ell+1}] = 0, \quad (11)$$

$$[\mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \mathcal{G}_{\ell+1}] = 0, \quad [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell+1}] = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{G}_{\ell+1}] + [\mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell+1}] = 0. \quad (13)$$

In the above equations $\rho = -(q^2 - q^{-2})^2$. The generators (2) are called *alternating*. For notational convenience define

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = -(q - q^{-1})[2]_q^2, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0 = -(q - q^{-1})[2]_q^2. \quad (14)$$

Our first main goal in this paper is to show that a PBW basis for \mathcal{A}_q is obtained by its alternating generators in any linear order $<$ such that

$$\mathcal{G}_{i+1} < \mathcal{W}_{-j} < \mathcal{W}_{k+1} < \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell+1} \quad (i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (15)$$

The above result is a variation on [30, Conjecture 4.5]. Both the above result and [30, Conjecture 4.5] are inspired by [5, Conjecture 1].

3 Generating functions

As we investigate the algebra \mathcal{A}_q , our first task is to express the defining relations (3)–(13) in terms of generating functions. Later in the paper, we will put these relations in a more useful form. As we go along, the following symmetries will be used to simplify some of our proofs.

Lemma 3.1. (See [5, Remark 1].) *There exists an automorphism σ of \mathcal{A}_q that sends*

$$\mathcal{W}_{-k} \mapsto \mathcal{W}_{k+1}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{k+1} \mapsto \mathcal{W}_{-k}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{k+1} \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} \mapsto \mathcal{G}_{k+1}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover $\sigma^2 = 1$.

Lemma 3.2. (See [30, Lemma 3.7].) *There exists an antiautomorphism \dagger of \mathcal{A}_q that sends*

$$\mathcal{W}_{-k} \mapsto \mathcal{W}_{-k}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{k+1} \mapsto \mathcal{W}_{k+1}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{k+1} \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} \mapsto \mathcal{G}_{k+1}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover $\dagger^2 = 1$.

Lemma 3.3. *The maps σ, \dagger commute.*

Proof. Routine. □

Definition 3.4. We define some generating functions in an indeterminate t :

$$\mathcal{W}^-(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{W}_{-n} t^n, \quad \mathcal{W}^+(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{W}_{n+1} t^n, \quad (16)$$

$$\mathcal{G}(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_n t^n, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n t^n. \quad (17)$$

We emphasize that throughout this paper all generating functions are formal; issues of convergence are not considered.

By (6) we have

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}^-(t)] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}^+(t)] = 0.$$

Lemma 3.5. *The automorphism σ sends*

$$\mathcal{W}^-(t) \mapsto \mathcal{W}^+(t), \quad \mathcal{W}^+(t) \mapsto \mathcal{W}^-(t), \quad \mathcal{G}(t) \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t) \mapsto \mathcal{G}(t).$$

The antiautomorphism \dagger sends

$$\mathcal{W}^-(t) \mapsto \mathcal{W}^-(t), \quad \mathcal{W}^+(t) \mapsto \mathcal{W}^+(t), \quad \mathcal{G}(t) \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t) \mapsto \mathcal{G}(t).$$

Proof. By Lemmas 3.1, 3.2. □

We now give the relations (3)–(13) in terms of the generating functions (16), (17). Let s denote an indeterminate that commutes with t . The following result is a variation on [10, Definition 2.2].

Lemma 3.6. *For the algebra \mathcal{A}_q we have*

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}^+(t)] = [\mathcal{W}^-(t), \mathcal{W}_1] = t^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t) - \mathcal{G}(t))/(q + q^{-1}), \quad (18)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{G}(t)]_q = [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t), \mathcal{W}_0]_q = \rho\mathcal{W}^-(t) - \rho t\mathcal{W}^+(t), \quad (19)$$

$$[\mathcal{G}(t), \mathcal{W}_1]_q = [\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)]_q = \rho\mathcal{W}^+(t) - \rho t\mathcal{W}^-(t), \quad (20)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}^-(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{W}^+(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)] = 0, \quad (21)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}^-(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)] + [\mathcal{W}^+(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)] = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$s[\mathcal{W}^-(s), \mathcal{G}(t)] + t[\mathcal{G}(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)] = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$s[\mathcal{W}^-(s), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)] + t[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)] = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$s[\mathcal{W}^+(s), \mathcal{G}(t)] + t[\mathcal{G}(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)] = 0, \quad (25)$$

$$s[\mathcal{W}^+(s), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)] + t[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)] = 0, \quad (26)$$

$$[\mathcal{G}(s), \mathcal{G}(t)] = 0, \quad [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)] = 0, \quad (27)$$

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s), \mathcal{G}(t)] + [\mathcal{G}(s), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)] = 0. \quad (28)$$

Proof. Use (3)–(13) and Definition 3.4. □

We just displayed some relations involving the generating functions (16), (17). There are more relations of interest. We will show that for \mathcal{A}_q ,

$$[\mathcal{W}^-(s), \mathcal{G}(t)]_q - [\mathcal{W}^-(t), \mathcal{G}(s)]_q = s[\mathcal{W}^+(s), \mathcal{G}(t)]_q - t[\mathcal{W}^+(t), \mathcal{G}(s)]_q, \quad (29)$$

$$[\mathcal{G}(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)]_q - [\mathcal{G}(t), \mathcal{W}^+(s)]_q = t[\mathcal{G}(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)]_q - s[\mathcal{G}(t), \mathcal{W}^-(s)]_q, \quad (30)$$

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)]_q - [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t), \mathcal{W}^-(s)]_q = t[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)]_q - s[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t), \mathcal{W}^+(s)]_q, \quad (31)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}^+(s), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)]_q - [\mathcal{W}^+(t), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)]_q = s[\mathcal{W}^-(s), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)]_q - t[\mathcal{W}^-(t), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)]_q, \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t^{-1}[\mathcal{G}(s), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)] - s^{-1}[\mathcal{G}(t), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)]}{\rho(q + q^{-1})} &= [\mathcal{W}^-(t), \mathcal{W}^+(s)]_q - [\mathcal{W}^-(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)]_q \\ &+ st[\mathcal{W}^+(t), \mathcal{W}^-(s)]_q - st[\mathcal{W}^+(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)]_q + (q - q^{-1})(s - t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t) \\ &- (q - q^{-1})(s - t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t), \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t^{-1}[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s), \mathcal{G}(t)] - s^{-1}[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t), \mathcal{G}(s)]}{\rho(q + q^{-1})} &= [\mathcal{W}^+(t), \mathcal{W}^-(s)]_q - [\mathcal{W}^+(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)]_q \\ &+ st[\mathcal{W}^-(t), \mathcal{W}^+(s)]_q - st[\mathcal{W}^-(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)]_q + (q - q^{-1})(s - t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t) \\ &- (q - q^{-1})(s - t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{G}(s), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)]_q - [\mathcal{G}(t), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)]_q &= (q + q^{-1})\rho t[\mathcal{W}^-(t), \mathcal{W}^+(s)] \\ &- (q + q^{-1})\rho s[\mathcal{W}^-(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)], \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s), \mathcal{G}(t)]_q - [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t), \mathcal{G}(s)]_q &= (q + q^{-1})\rho t[\mathcal{W}^+(t), \mathcal{W}^-(s)] \\ &- (q + q^{-1})\rho s[\mathcal{W}^+(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)]. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

In Appendix A we display many equations involving the above expressions. We will invoke these equations as we go along.

The following result is a variation on [10, Proposition 3.1].

Proposition 3.7. *In the algebra \mathcal{A}_q the relations (29)–(36) hold.*

Proof. We refer to the generating functions $A(s, t), B(s, t), \dots, S(s, t)$ from Appendix A. By Lemma 3.6 the functions $A(s, t), B(s, t), \dots, J(s, t)$ are all zero in \mathcal{A}_q . The present lemma asserts that $K(s, t), L(s, t), \dots, S(s, t)$ are all zero in \mathcal{A}_q . To verify this assertion, we refer to the commutator relations in Appendix A. The commutator relation for $[\mathcal{W}_0, H(s, t)]_{q^2}$ implies $K(s, t) = 0$ in \mathcal{A}_q . Then the commutator relation for $[H(s, t), \mathcal{W}_1]_{q^2}$ implies $L(s, t) = 0$ in \mathcal{A}_q . Then the commutator relation for $[I(s, t), \mathcal{W}_0]_{q^2}$ implies $M(s, t) = 0$ in \mathcal{A}_q . Then the commutator relation for $[\mathcal{W}_1, I(s, t)]_{q^2}$ implies $N(s, t) = 0$ in \mathcal{A}_q . Then the commutator relation for $[\mathcal{W}_0, L(s, t)]_q$ implies $P(s, t) = 0$ in \mathcal{A}_q . Then the commutator relation for $[N(s, t), \mathcal{W}_0]_q$ implies $Q(s, t) = 0$ in \mathcal{A}_q . Then the commutator relation for $[D(s, t), \mathcal{W}_1]_q$ implies $R(s, t) = 0$ in \mathcal{A}_q . Then the commutator relation for $[\mathcal{W}_0, F(s, t)]_q$ implies $S(s, t) = 0$ in \mathcal{A}_q . We have shown that $K(s, t), L(s, t), \dots, S(s, t)$ are all zero in \mathcal{A}_q . \square

4 Another presentation for \mathcal{A}_q

In Definition 2.4 we gave a presentation of \mathcal{A}_q by generators and relations. We now give a second presentation of \mathcal{A}_q by generators and relations. The relations will be expressed using the generating functions (16), (17).

Proposition 4.1. *The algebra \mathcal{A}_q is presented by its alternating generators and the following relations:*

(i) *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{G}(s), \mathcal{G}(t)] &= 0, & [\mathcal{W}^-(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)] &= 0, \\ [\mathcal{W}^+(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)] &= 0, & [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)] &= 0; \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$ is a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient	coeff. name
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	1	1
$\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{1}{(q^2 - q^{-2})(q + q^{-1})^2(s-t)}$	$e_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{-1}{(q^2 - q^{-2})(q + q^{-1})^2(s-t)}$	$-e_{s,t}$

(iii) $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\mathcal{G}(t)$ is a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient	coeff. name
$\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	1	1
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{(q^2 - q^{-2})^3 st(st-1)}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^3 st(st-1)}$	$f_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$-\frac{(q^2 - q^{-2})^3 st(st-1)}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^3 st(st-1)}$	$-f_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$(q^2 - q^{-2})^3 st$	$F_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$-(q^2 - q^{-2})^3 st$	$-F_{s,t}$

(iv) $\mathcal{W}^+(t)\mathcal{G}(s)$ is a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient	coeff. name
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)$	$\frac{qs^2(q-q^{-1})}{s-t}$	$a'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$-\frac{qst(q-q^{-1})}{s-t}$	$A'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$-\frac{qs(q-q^{-1})}{s-t}$	$A_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{q(qs-q^{-1}t)}{s-t}$	$a_{s,t}$

(v) $\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{G}(s)$ is a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient	coeff. name
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)$	$\frac{q^{-1}s(q-q^{-1})}{s-t}$	$B_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$\frac{q^{-1}(q^{-1}s-qt)}{s-t}$	$b_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$-\frac{q^{-1}s^2(q-q^{-1})}{s-t}$	$b'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{q^{-1}st(q-q^{-1})}{s-t}$	$B'_{s,t}$

(vi) $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$ is a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient	coeff. name
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{qs^2(q-q^{-1})}{s-t}$	$a'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$-\frac{qst(q-q^{-1})}{s-t}$	$A'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$-\frac{qs(q-q^{-1})}{s-t}$	$A_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{q(qs-q^{-1}t)}{s-t}$	$a_{s,t}$

(vii) $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$ is a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient	coeff. name
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{q^{-1}s(q-q^{-1})}{s-t}$	$B_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{q^{-1}(q^{-1}s-qt)}{s-t}$	$b_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$-\frac{q^{-1}s^2(q-q^{-1})}{s-t}$	$b'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{q^{-1}st(q-q^{-1})}{s-t}$	$B'_{s,t}$

Proof. We first show that the above relations (i)–(vii) hold in \mathcal{A}_q . The relations (i) are from (21), (27). As we discuss the relations (ii)–(vii), we will use the fact that the generating functions $A(s, t), B(s, t), \dots, S(s, t)$ from Appendix A are all zero in \mathcal{A}_q .

(ii) $\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$ minus the given weighted sum is equal to

$$\frac{(q + q^{-1})\rho s C(s, t) + q^{-1}J(s, t) - R(s, t)}{(q + q^{-1})\rho(s - t)}.$$

(iii) $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\mathcal{G}(t)$ minus the given weighted sum is equal to

$$\frac{(q + q^{-1})\rho st(qst + q^{-1})C(s, t) + sJ(s, t) - (q + q^{-1})\rho stP(s, t)}{s - t}.$$

(iv) $\mathcal{W}^+(t)\mathcal{G}(s)$ minus the given weighted sum is equal to

$$\frac{F(s, t) - sD(s, t) - qsL(s, t)}{s - t}.$$

(v) $\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{G}(s)$ minus the given weighted sum is equal to

$$\frac{D(s, t) - sF(s, t) - q^{-1}sK(s, t)}{s - t}.$$

(vi) $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$ minus the given weighted sum is equal to

$$\frac{sE(s, t) - G(s, t) + qsN(s, t)}{s - t}.$$

(vii) $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$ minus the given weighted sum is equal to

$$\frac{sG(s, t) - E(s, t) + q^{-1}sM(s, t)}{s - t}.$$

We have shown that the relations (i)–(vii) hold in \mathcal{A}_q . Next we show that the relations (i)–(vii) imply the defining relations (3)–(13) for \mathcal{A}_q . It suffices to show that the relations (i)–(vii) imply the relations (18)–(28). The relations (18) are obtained from the relation (ii) by setting $s = 0$ or $t = 0$. The relations (19) are obtained from the relations (v), (vii) by setting $t = 0$. The relations (20) are obtained from the relations (iv), (vi) by setting $t = 0$. The relations (21), (27) are the relations (i). Concerning the remaining relations in Lemma 3.6, pick any one of them and let Δ denote the left-hand side minus the right-hand side. To obtain $\Delta = 0$ from the relations (i)–(vii), use these relations to put the terms of Δ in the order (15). This brief calculation is omitted. \square

5 The reduction rules for \mathcal{A}_q

In Proposition 4.1 we gave a presentation of \mathcal{A}_q by generators and relations. In this presentation we expressed the relations using generating functions. Next we show how the presentation looks if generating functions are not used.

Proposition 5.1. *The algebra \mathcal{A}_q is presented by its alternating generators and the following relations:*

(i) for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i > j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{i+1}\mathcal{G}_{j+1} &= \mathcal{G}_{j+1}\mathcal{G}_{i+1}, & \mathcal{W}_{-i}\mathcal{W}_{-j} &= \mathcal{W}_{-j}\mathcal{W}_{-i}, \\ \mathcal{W}_{i+1}\mathcal{W}_{j+1} &= \mathcal{W}_{j+1}\mathcal{W}_{i+1}, & \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{j+1} &= \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{j+1}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1}; \end{aligned}$$

(ii) for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{W}_{i+1}\mathcal{W}_{-j} = \mathcal{W}_{-j}\mathcal{W}_{i+1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min(i,j)} \frac{\mathcal{G}_\ell\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+j+1-\ell} - \mathcal{G}_{i+j+1-\ell}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_\ell}{(q^2 - q^{-2})(q + q^{-1})^2}, \quad (37)$$

(iii) for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1}\mathcal{G}_{j+1} &= \mathcal{G}_{j+1}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1} - (q^2 - q^{-2})^3\mathcal{W}_{-i}\mathcal{W}_{-j} + (q^2 - q^{-2})^3\mathcal{W}_{i+1}\mathcal{W}_{j+1} \\ &\quad + (q^2 - q^{-2})^3 \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min(i,j)} (\mathcal{W}_{-\ell}\mathcal{W}_{i+j+2-\ell} - \mathcal{W}_{\ell-1-i-j}\mathcal{W}_{\ell+1}) \\ &\quad - (q^2 - q^{-2})^3 \sum_{\ell=1}^{\min(i,j)} (\mathcal{W}_{1-\ell}\mathcal{W}_{i+j+1-\ell} - \mathcal{W}_{\ell-i-j}\mathcal{W}_{\ell});\end{aligned}$$

(iv) for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_{i+1}\mathcal{G}_{j+1} &= \mathcal{G}_{j+1}\mathcal{W}_{i+1} + q(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min(i,j)} \mathcal{G}_{\ell}\mathcal{W}_{\ell-i-j} \\ &\quad + q(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min(i,j)} (\mathcal{G}_{i+j+1-\ell}\mathcal{W}_{\ell+1} - \mathcal{G}_{\ell}\mathcal{W}_{i+j+2-\ell}) \\ &\quad - q(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\min(i,j)} \mathcal{G}_{i+j+1-\ell}\mathcal{W}_{1-\ell};\end{aligned}$$

(v) for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_{-i}\mathcal{G}_{j+1} &= \mathcal{G}_{j+1}\mathcal{W}_{-i} - q^{-1}(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min(i,j)} \mathcal{G}_{\ell}\mathcal{W}_{i+j+1-\ell} \\ &\quad + q^{-1}(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min(i,j)} (\mathcal{G}_{\ell}\mathcal{W}_{\ell-1-i-j} - \mathcal{G}_{i+j+1-\ell}\mathcal{W}_{-\ell}) \\ &\quad + q^{-1}(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\min(i,j)} \mathcal{G}_{i+j+1-\ell}\mathcal{W}_{\ell};\end{aligned}$$

(vi) for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1}\mathcal{W}_{j+1} &= \mathcal{W}_{j+1}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1} + q(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min(i,j)} \mathcal{W}_{\ell-i-j}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell} \\ &\quad + q(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min(i,j)} (\mathcal{W}_{\ell+1}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+j+1-\ell} - \mathcal{W}_{i+j+2-\ell}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell}) \\ &\quad - q(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\min(i,j)} \mathcal{W}_{1-\ell}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+j+1-\ell};\end{aligned}$$

(vii) for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1}\mathcal{W}_{-j} &= \mathcal{W}_{-j}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1} - q^{-1}(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min(i,j)} \mathcal{W}_{i+j+1-\ell}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell} \\ &\quad + q^{-1}(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min(i,j)} (\mathcal{W}_{\ell-1-i-j}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell} - \mathcal{W}_{-\ell}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+j+1-\ell}) \\ &\quad + q^{-1}(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\min(i,j)} \mathcal{W}_{\ell}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+j+1-\ell}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Pick any one of the relations (i)–(vii) in Proposition 4.1. Expand each side as a power series in s, t and then equate coefficients to obtain the corresponding relations in the present proposition. We illustrate using relation (ii) in Proposition 4.1. This relation asserts that

$$\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t) = \mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s) + \frac{\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s) - \mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)}{(q^2 - q^{-2})(q + q^{-1})^2(s - t)}. \quad (38)$$

By (16),

$$\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t) = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{W}_{i+1}\mathcal{W}_{-j}s^i t^j, \quad \mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s) = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{W}_{-j}\mathcal{W}_{i+1}s^i t^j. \quad (39)$$

Using (17),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s) - \mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)}{s - t} &= \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_n \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_m \frac{s^m t^n - s^n t^m}{s - t} \\ &= \sum_{n < m} (\mathcal{G}_n \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_m - \mathcal{G}_m \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n) \frac{s^m t^n - s^n t^m}{s - t} \\ &= \sum_{n < m} (\mathcal{G}_n \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_m - \mathcal{G}_m \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n) (s^{m-1} t^n + s^{m-2} t^{n+1} + \dots + s^n t^{m-1}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min(i,j)} (\mathcal{G}_{\ell}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+j+1-\ell} - \mathcal{G}_{i+j+1-\ell}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell}) s^i t^j.\end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Equation (37) is obtained from (38) by examining the coefficient of $s^i t^j$ in (39), (40). \square

Definition 5.2. The relations (i)–(vii) in Proposition 5.1 are called the *reduction rules* for \mathcal{A}_q .

The relations (i)–(vii) in Proposition 4.1 express the reduction rules for \mathcal{A}_q in terms of generating functions. Going forward, we will refer to these relations as follows.

Definition 5.3. The relations (i)–(vii) in Proposition 4.1 are called the *GF reduction rules* for \mathcal{A}_q .

6 The alternating generators form a PBW basis for \mathcal{A}_q

We now state our first main result, which is a variation on [30, Conjecture 4.5]. Both the result and [30, Conjecture 4.5] are inspired by [5, Conjecture 1].

Theorem 6.1. *A PBW basis for \mathcal{A}_q is obtained by its alternating generators in any linear order $<$ such that*

$$\mathcal{G}_{i+1} < \mathcal{W}_{-j} < \mathcal{W}_{k+1} < \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell+1} \quad (i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}).$$

We will prove Theorem 6.1 after a brief discussion.

We have some comments about the linear order $<$ in the theorem statement. By Proposition 5.1(i), we may assume without loss that the linear order $<$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{G}_{i+1} < \mathcal{G}_{j+1}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{-i} < \mathcal{W}_{-j}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{i+1} < \mathcal{W}_{j+1}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1} < \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{j+1}$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i < j$. This assumption is in force for the rest of the paper.

We discuss the notion of an \mathcal{A}_q -word. By an \mathcal{A}_q -letter we mean an alternating generator for \mathcal{A}_q . For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by an \mathcal{A}_q -word of length n we mean a sequence of \mathcal{A}_q -letters $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$. We interpret the \mathcal{A}_q -word of length zero to be the multiplicative identity $1 \in \mathcal{A}_q$. An \mathcal{A}_q -word $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is called *reducible* whenever there exists an integer i ($2 \leq i \leq n$) such that $a_{i-1} > a_i$. An \mathcal{A}_q -word is called *irreducible* whenever it is not reducible. An \mathcal{A}_q -word $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is irreducible if and only if $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_n$. Theorem 6.1 asserts that the irreducible \mathcal{A}_q -words give a basis for the vector space \mathcal{A}_q .

Let ξ denote an indeterminate. To each \mathcal{A}_q -letter we assign a weight, as follows. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{u}{\text{wt}(u)} \mid \begin{array}{cccc} \mathcal{G}_{k+1} & \mathcal{W}_{-k} & \mathcal{W}_{k+1} & \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} \\ \hline k+1 & \xi^2+k & \xi^2+\xi+k & 2\xi^2+k+1 \end{array}$$

To each \mathcal{A}_q -word w we assign a weight, as follows. Writing $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$,

$$\text{wt}(w) = \sum_{i=1}^n (n-i+1) \text{wt}(a_i).$$

For example, $\mathcal{W}_2 \mathcal{W}_{-1} \mathcal{G}_3 \mathcal{W}_4$ has weight $8\xi^2 + 5\xi + 16$ and $\mathcal{W}_3 \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_4 \mathcal{W}_{-1} \mathcal{W}_{-2}$ has weight $13\xi^2 + 4\xi + 24$. The weight of each \mathcal{A}_q -word has the form $a\xi^2 + b\xi + c$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$.

The abelian group $\mathbb{Z}\xi^2 + \mathbb{Z}\xi + \mathbb{Z}$ consists of the polynomials $a\xi^2 + b\xi + c$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. We endow $\mathbb{Z}\xi^2 + \mathbb{Z}\xi + \mathbb{Z}$ with a linear order $<$ as follows. For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a', b', c' \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $a\xi^2 + b\xi + c < a'\xi^2 + b'\xi + c'$ whenever (i) $a < a'$; or (ii) $a = a'$ and $b < b'$; or (iii) $a = a'$ and $b = b'$ and $c < c'$. The linear order $<$ on $\mathbb{Z}\xi^2 + \mathbb{Z}\xi + \mathbb{Z}$ has the following property: for $u, v, u', v' \in \mathbb{Z}\xi^2 + \mathbb{Z}\xi + \mathbb{Z}$ such that $u \leq u'$ and $v \leq v'$, we have $u + v \leq u' + v'$.

In Definition 5.2 we introduced the reduction rules for \mathcal{A}_q . These reduction rules are in bijection with the reducible \mathcal{A}_q -words of length 2. Let w denote a reducible \mathcal{A}_q -word of length 2, and consider the corresponding reduction rule. In this reduction rule, the left-hand side is w and the right-hand side is a linear combination of \mathcal{A}_q -words that have length 1 or 2.

An \mathcal{A}_q -word that appears on the right-hand side of this reduction rule is called a *descendent* of w .

Next we define a partial order \preceq on the set of \mathcal{A}_q -words. Let w, w' denote \mathcal{A}_q -words, and write $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$. We say that w *dominates* w' whenever there exists an integer i ($2 \leq i \leq n$) such that $a_{i-1} > a_i$, and w' is obtained from w by replacing $a_{i-1} a_i$ by one of its descendents. In this case, either (i) w' has length $n - 1$; or (ii) w' has length n and $\text{wt}(w') < \text{wt}(w)$. By these comments the transitive closure of the domination relation is a partial order on the set of \mathcal{A}_q -words; we denote this partial order by \preceq .

Proof of Theorem 6.1. We will use the Bergman diamond lemma [11, Theorem 1.2]. We refer to the above partial order \preceq on the set of \mathcal{A}_q -words. By construction \preceq is a semigroup partial order [11, p. 181] and satisfies the descending chain condition [11, p. 179]. We show that the reduction rules are compatible with \preceq in the sense of Bergman [11, p. 181]. Let $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ denote a reducible \mathcal{A}_q -word. There exists an integer i ($2 \leq i \leq n$) such that $a_{i-1} > a_i$. By construction $a_{i-1} a_i$ is a reducible \mathcal{A}_q -word of length 2. Using the corresponding reduction rule we eliminate $a_{i-1} a_i$ from w , and thereby express w as a linear combination of \mathcal{A}_q -words, each $\prec w$. Therefore, the reduction rules are compatible with \preceq in the sense of Bergman [11, p. 181].

In order to employ the diamond lemma, we must show that the ambiguities are resolvable in the sense of Bergman [11, p. 181]. There are potentially two kinds of ambiguities; inclusion ambiguities and overlap ambiguities [11, p. 181]. For the present example there are no inclusion ambiguities. There are four types of overlap ambiguities, and these are displayed below:

(i) For $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{W}_{i+1} \mathcal{W}_{-j} \mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1} \mathcal{W}_{-j} \mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1} \mathcal{W}_{j+1} \mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1} \mathcal{W}_{j+1} \mathcal{W}_{-k}.$$

(ii) For $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i > j$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W}_{-i} \mathcal{W}_{-j} \mathcal{G}_{k+1}, & \mathcal{W}_{i+1} \mathcal{W}_{j+1} \mathcal{G}_{k+1}, & \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{j+1} \mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \\ \mathcal{W}_{i+1} \mathcal{W}_{j+1} \mathcal{W}_{-k}, & \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{j+1} \mathcal{W}_{-k}, & \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{j+1} \mathcal{W}_{k+1}. \end{array}$$

(iii) For $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $j > k$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W}_{-i} \mathcal{G}_{j+1} \mathcal{G}_{k+1}, & \mathcal{W}_{i+1} \mathcal{G}_{j+1} \mathcal{G}_{k+1}, & \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1} \mathcal{G}_{j+1} \mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \\ \mathcal{W}_{i+1} \mathcal{W}_{-j} \mathcal{W}_{-k}, & \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1} \mathcal{W}_{-j} \mathcal{W}_{-k}, & \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1} \mathcal{W}_{j+1} \mathcal{W}_{k+1}. \end{array}$$

(iv) For $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i > j > k$,

$$\mathcal{G}_{i+1} \mathcal{G}_{j+1} \mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{-i} \mathcal{W}_{-j} \mathcal{W}_{-k}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{i+1} \mathcal{W}_{j+1} \mathcal{W}_{k+1}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{j+1} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}.$$

Take for instance the overlap ambiguity $\mathcal{W}_{i+1} \mathcal{W}_{-j} \mathcal{G}_{k+1}$. We use the reduction rules to express $\mathcal{W}_{i+1} \mathcal{W}_{-j} \mathcal{G}_{k+1}$ as a linear combination of irreducible \mathcal{A}_q -words. There are two ways to begin; we could eliminate $\mathcal{W}_{i+1} \mathcal{W}_{-j}$ first, or we could eliminate $\mathcal{W}_{-j} \mathcal{G}_{k+1}$ first. It turns out that the

two ways yield the same result, which means that the overlap ambiguity $\mathcal{W}_{i+1}\mathcal{W}_j\mathcal{G}_{k+1}$ is resolvable [11, p. 181]. In Appendix C we use generating functions to show that every overlap ambiguity is resolvable. Since every ambiguity is resolvable, by the diamond lemma [11, Theorem 1.2] the irreducible \mathcal{A}_q -words give a basis for the vector space \mathcal{A}_q . \square

The proof of Theorem 6.1 illustrates how to write a reducible \mathcal{A}_q -word as a linear combination of irreducible \mathcal{A}_q -words. Let $w = a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$ denote a reducible \mathcal{A}_q -word. There exists an integer i ($2 \leq i \leq n$) such that $a_{i-1} > a_i$. By construction $a_{i-1}a_i$ is a reducible \mathcal{A}_q -word of length 2. Using the corresponding reduction rule we eliminate $a_{i-1}a_i$ from w , and thereby express w as a linear combination of \mathcal{A}_q -words, each $\prec w$. We iterate this procedure and after a finite number of steps, w is expressed as a linear combination of irreducible \mathcal{A}_q -words, each $\prec w$.

7 A filtration of \mathcal{A}_q

Recall the filtration concept from Definition 2.3. In this section we obtain a filtration of \mathcal{A}_q that is related to the PBW basis from Theorem 6.1.

Definition 7.1. To each alternating generator for \mathcal{A}_q we assign a degree, as follows. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{u}{\deg(u)} \mid \begin{array}{cccc} \mathcal{G}_{k+1} & \mathcal{W}_{-k} & \mathcal{W}_{k+1} & \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} \\ 2k+2 & 2k+1 & 2k+1 & 2k+2 \end{array}$$

Definition 7.2. To each \mathcal{A}_q -word w we assign a degree, as follows. Writing $w = a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$,

$$\deg(w) = \sum_{i=1}^n \deg(a_i).$$

Definition 7.3. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ let A_d denote the subspace of \mathcal{A}_q spanned by the irreducible \mathcal{A}_q -words of degree d .

Lemma 7.4. We have $A_0 = \mathbb{F}1$. Moreover the sum $\mathcal{A}_q = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}} A_d$ is direct.

Proof. We have $A_0 = \mathbb{F}1$ since 1 is the unique irreducible \mathcal{A}_q -word that has degree 0. The sum $\mathcal{A}_q = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}} A_d$ is direct by Definition 7.3 and since the irreducible \mathcal{A}_q -words form a basis for the vector space \mathcal{A}_q . \square

Referring to Definition 7.3, we next consider the dimension of A_d .

Definition 7.5. We define a generating function in an indeterminate x :

$$\mathcal{H}(x) = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \dim(A_d)x^d.$$

Lemma 7.6. We have

$$\mathcal{H}(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-x^n)^2}. \quad (41)$$

Proof. Each alternating generator g contributes a factor $(1 - x^d)^{-1} = 1 + x^d + x^{2d} + \dots$ to $\mathcal{H}(x)$, where d is the degree of g . By this and Definition 7.1,

$$\mathcal{H}(x) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{(1 - x^{2k+1})^2} \frac{1}{(1 - x^{2k+2})^2} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - x^n)^2}.$$

□

Example 7.7. In the table below we display the dimension of A_d for $0 \leq d \leq 8$.

d	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\dim(A_d)$	1	2	5	10	20	36	65	110	185

Definition 7.8. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ define $B_d = A_0 + A_1 + \dots + A_d$. For notational convenience define $B_{-1} = 0$.

Lemma 7.9. *The following hold for $d \in \mathbb{N}$:*

- (i) *the sum $B_d = B_{d-1} + A_d$ is direct;*
- (ii) *the quotient vector space B_d/B_{d-1} is isomorphic to A_d .*

Proof. (i) By Lemma 7.4 and Definition 7.8.

(ii) By (i) above.

□

Lemma 7.10. *The following (i)–(iii) hold:*

- (i) $B_0 = \mathbb{F}1$;
- (ii) $B_{d-1} \subseteq B_d$ for $d \geq 1$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{A}_q = \cup_{d \in \mathbb{N}} B_d$;

Proof. By Lemma 7.4 and Definition 7.8.

□

Shortly we will show that $B_r B_s \subseteq B_{r+s}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. To prepare for this, we consider how the degree of an \mathcal{A}_q -word is affected by the reduction rules.

Lemma 7.11. *Let w denote a reducible \mathcal{A}_q -word of length 2, and let w' denote a descendent of w . Then $\deg(w') \leq \deg(w)$.*

Proof. There exists a reduction rule with w on the left and w' appearing on the right. The reduction rules are displayed in (i)–(vii) of Proposition 5.1. For each reduction rule, we examine the term on the left and all the terms on the right using Definitions 7.1, 7.2. The examination shows that $\deg(w') \leq \deg(w)$.

□

In the next result we refer to the partial order \preceq on \mathcal{A}_q -words defined above the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Lemma 7.12. *Let w and w' denote \mathcal{A}_q -words with $w' \preceq w$. Then $\deg(w') \leq \deg(w)$.*

Proof. The relation \preceq is the transitive closure of the domination relation. So without loss of generality, we may assume that w dominates w' . Write $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$. There exists an integer i ($2 \leq i \leq n$) such that $a_{i-1} > a_i$, and w' is obtained from w by replacing $a_{i-1} a_i$ by a descendent. We have $\deg(w') \leq \deg(w)$ in view of Definition 7.2 and Lemma 7.11. \square

Lemma 7.13. *For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the vector space B_d is spanned by the \mathcal{A}_q -words that have degree at most d .*

Proof. By Definition 7.8, B_d is spanned by the irreducible \mathcal{A}_q -words that have degree at most d . Let w denote a reducible \mathcal{A}_q -word that has degree at most d . We show that $w \in B_d$. By the discussion below Theorem 6.1, w is a linear combination of irreducible \mathcal{A}_q -words, each $\prec w$. For each irreducible \mathcal{A}_q -word w' in this linear combination, we have $\deg(w') \leq \deg(w) \leq d$ in view of Lemma 7.12, so $w' \in B_d$. The vector w is a linear combination of elements in B_d , so $w \in B_d$. The result follows. \square

Proposition 7.14. *We have $B_r B_s \subseteq B_{r+s}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.13 the subspace B_r (resp. B_s) is spanned by the \mathcal{A}_q -words that have degree at most r (resp. s). Let u (resp. v) denote an \mathcal{A}_q -word that has degree at most r (resp. s). The \mathcal{A}_q -word uv has degree $\deg(u) + \deg(v) \leq r + s$. Therefore $uv \in B_{r+s}$ in view of Lemma 7.13. The result follows. \square

By Lemma 7.10 and Proposition 7.14 the sequence $\{B_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a filtration of \mathcal{A}_q . By Definition 7.5 and Lemma 7.9(ii) we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}(x) = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \dim(B_d/B_{d-1}) x^d. \quad (42)$$

8 Some central elements $\{\mathcal{Z}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for \mathcal{A}_q

In [5] Baseilhac and Belliard introduce some central elements $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathcal{A}_q that together with $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$ generate \mathcal{A}_q . Their analysis requires the assumption that $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$; see Remark 8.17 below. In this section we modify their approach in order to remove the assumption on \mathbb{F} .

Recall the indeterminate t . For notational convenience define

$$S = \frac{q + q^{-1}}{q^{-1}t + qt^{-1}}, \quad T = \frac{q + q^{-1}}{qt + q^{-1}t^{-1}}. \quad (43)$$

We view S and T as power series

$$S = (q + q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^\ell q^{-2\ell-1} t^{2\ell+1} \quad T = (q + q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^\ell q^{2\ell+1} t^{2\ell+1}.$$

We will consider $\mathcal{W}^\pm(S)$, $\mathcal{G}(S)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(S)$ and also $\mathcal{W}^\pm(T)$, $\mathcal{G}(T)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(T)$. The following three results that will make it easier to evaluate these expressions.

Lemma 8.1. (See [33, Lemma 4.5].) Let $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ denote a sequence of elements in \mathcal{A}_q , and consider the generating function $a(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n t^n$. Then

$$a\left(\frac{q + q^{-1}}{t + t^{-1}}\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n^\downarrow [2]_q^n t^n,$$

where $a_0^\downarrow = a_0$ and

$$a_n^\downarrow = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} (-1)^\ell \binom{n-1-\ell}{\ell} [2]_q^{-2\ell} a_{n-2\ell}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Proof. This is routinely checked. □

Lemma 8.2. With reference to Lemma 8.1 we have

$$\frac{q + q^{-1}}{t + t^{-1}} a\left(\frac{q + q^{-1}}{t + t^{-1}}\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n^\Downarrow [2]_q^{n+1} t^{n+1},$$

where

$$a_n^\Downarrow = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^\ell \binom{n-\ell}{\ell} [2]_q^{-2\ell} a_{n-2\ell}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 8.1, with $a(t)$ replaced by $ta(t)$. □

Lemma 8.3. Let $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ denote a sequence of elements in \mathcal{A}_q , and consider the generating function $a(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n t^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a(S) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n^\downarrow q^{-n} [2]_q^n t^n, & Sa(S) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n^\Downarrow q^{-n-1} [2]_q^{n+1} t^{n+1}, \\ a(T) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n^\downarrow q^n [2]_q^n t^n, & Ta(T) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n^\Downarrow q^{n+1} [2]_q^{n+1} t^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Apply Lemmas 8.1, 8.2 with t replaced by $q^{-1}t$ or qt . □

The generating function $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ below is a variation on the generating function $\Delta(u)$ that appears in [5, Proposition 2.1].

Definition 8.4. For the algebra \mathcal{A}_q define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(t) &= t^{-1}STW^-(S)W^+(T) + tSTW^+(S)W^-(T) - q^2STW^-(S)W^-(T) \\ &\quad - q^{-2}STW^+(S)W^+(T) + (q^2 - q^{-2})^{-2} \mathcal{G}(S) \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(T). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 8.5. In Appendix B we describe $\{\mathcal{W}_{-n}^\Downarrow\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\mathcal{W}_{n+1}^\Downarrow\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\mathcal{G}_n^\downarrow\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n^\downarrow\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Definition 8.6. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define $\mathcal{Z}_n \in \mathcal{A}_q$ such that

$$\mathcal{Z}(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{Z}_n [2]_q^n t^n.$$

Later in this section we give an explicit formula for each \mathcal{Z}_n .

Shortly we will show that each \mathcal{Z}_n is central in \mathcal{A}_q . First we describe how $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ looks in the PBW basis for \mathcal{A}_q from Theorem 6.1.

Lemma 8.7. *The function $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ is equal to ST times*

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{-1}\mathcal{W}^-(S)\mathcal{W}^+(T) + t\mathcal{W}^-(T)\mathcal{W}^+(S) - q^2\mathcal{W}^-(S)\mathcal{W}^-(T) \\ & - q^{-2}\mathcal{W}^+(S)\mathcal{W}^+(T) + \frac{t\mathcal{G}(T)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(S) - t^{-1}\mathcal{G}(S)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(T)}{(q^2 - q^{-2})(q + q^{-1})^2(S - T)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Use the GF reduction rules to express $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ in the PBW basis for \mathcal{A}_q from Theorem 6.1. \square

We mention some alternative ways to express $\mathcal{Z}(t)$.

Lemma 8.8. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(t) &= t^{-1}ST\mathcal{W}^+(S)\mathcal{W}^-(T) + tST\mathcal{W}^-(S)\mathcal{W}^+(T) - q^2ST\mathcal{W}^+(S)\mathcal{W}^+(T) \\ &\quad - q^{-2}ST\mathcal{W}^-(S)\mathcal{W}^-(T) + (q^2 - q^{-2})^{-2}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(S)\mathcal{G}(T), \\ \mathcal{Z}(t) &= t^{-1}ST\mathcal{W}^+(T)\mathcal{W}^-(S) + tST\mathcal{W}^-(T)\mathcal{W}^+(S) - q^2ST\mathcal{W}^-(T)\mathcal{W}^-(S) \\ &\quad - q^{-2}ST\mathcal{W}^+(T)\mathcal{W}^+(S) + (q^2 - q^{-2})^{-2}\mathcal{G}(T)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(S), \\ \mathcal{Z}(t) &= t^{-1}ST\mathcal{W}^-(T)\mathcal{W}^+(S) + tST\mathcal{W}^+(T)\mathcal{W}^-(S) - q^2ST\mathcal{W}^+(T)\mathcal{W}^+(S) \\ &\quad - q^{-2}ST\mathcal{W}^-(T)\mathcal{W}^-(S) + (q^2 - q^{-2})^{-2}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(T)\mathcal{G}(S). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For each of the above three equations, use the GF reduction rules to show that the right-hand side is equal to the right-hand side of the equation in Lemma 8.7. \square

Lemma 8.9. *The function $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ is fixed by the automorphism σ from Lemma 3.1 and the antiautomorphism \dagger from Lemma 3.2.*

Proof. This is routinely checked using the formulas for $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ from Lemmas 8.4, 8.8 along with Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2. \square

Lemma 8.10. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the element \mathcal{Z}_n is fixed by the automorphism σ from Lemma 3.1 and the antiautomorphism \dagger from Lemma 3.2.*

Proof. By Lemma 8.9. \square

Let r denote an indeterminate that commutes with t .

Lemma 8.11. *For the algebra \mathcal{A}_q ,*

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{W}^-(r), \mathcal{Z}(t)] &= 0, & [\mathcal{W}^+(r), \mathcal{Z}(t)] &= 0, \\ [\mathcal{G}(r), \mathcal{Z}(t)] &= 0, & [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r), \mathcal{Z}(t)] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Working with the formula for $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ given in Lemma 8.7, use the GF reduction rules to express each of the above commutators in the PBW basis from Theorem 6.1. In each case the commutator is found to be zero. \square

The following result is a variation on [5, Proposition 2.1].

Proposition 8.12. *The elements $\{\mathcal{Z}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are central in \mathcal{A}_q .*

Proof. By Lemma 8.11. □

Our next goal is to interpret $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ using matrices. Strictly speaking this interpretation is not needed, but it helps to illuminate the nature of $\mathcal{Z}(t)$.

Lemma 8.13. *For the algebra \mathcal{A}_q ,*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= qT\mathcal{G}(S)\mathcal{W}^+(T) - q^{-1}t^{-1}T\mathcal{G}(S)\mathcal{W}^-(T) - q^{-1}S\mathcal{W}^+(S)\mathcal{G}(T) + qt^{-1}S\mathcal{W}^-(S)\mathcal{G}(T), \\ 0 &= qT\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(S)\mathcal{W}^-(T) - q^{-1}t^{-1}T\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(S)\mathcal{W}^+(T) - q^{-1}S\mathcal{W}^-(S)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(T) + qt^{-1}S\mathcal{W}^+(S)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(T), \\ 0 &= qT\mathcal{W}^+(T)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(S) - q^{-1}t^{-1}T\mathcal{W}^-(T)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(S) - q^{-1}S\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(T)\mathcal{W}^+(S) + qt^{-1}S\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(T)\mathcal{W}^-(S), \\ 0 &= qT\mathcal{W}^-(T)\mathcal{G}(S) - q^{-1}t^{-1}T\mathcal{W}^+(T)\mathcal{G}(S) - q^{-1}S\mathcal{G}(T)\mathcal{W}^-(S) + qt^{-1}S\mathcal{G}(T)\mathcal{W}^+(S). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For each of the above four equations, use the GF reduction rules to express the right-hand side in the PBW basis for \mathcal{A}_q from Theorem 6.1. In each case the right-hand side is found to be zero. □

Lemma 8.14. *The matrix $\mathcal{Z}(t)I$ is equal to the product of the following two matrices, in either order:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} q^{-1}tS\mathcal{W}^+(S) - qS\mathcal{W}^-(S) & (q^2 - q^{-2})^{-1}\mathcal{G}(S) \\ (q^2 - q^{-2})^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(S) & qt^{-1}S\mathcal{W}^+(S) - q^{-1}S\mathcal{W}^-(S) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} qT\mathcal{W}^-(T) - q^{-1}t^{-1}T\mathcal{W}^+(T) & (q^2 - q^{-2})^{-1}\mathcal{G}(T) \\ (q^2 - q^{-2})^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(T) & q^{-1}T\mathcal{W}^-(T) - qtT\mathcal{W}^+(T) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. For the product of the above two matrices, each diagonal entry is equal to $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ by Definition 8.4 and Lemma 8.8, and each off-diagonal entry is equal to zero by Lemma 8.13. □

Definition 8.15. Let \mathcal{Z} denote the subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_q generated by $\{\mathcal{Z}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

By Proposition 8.12 the algebra \mathcal{Z} is contained in the center of \mathcal{A}_q . Later in the paper we will show that \mathcal{Z} is equal to the center of \mathcal{A}_q .

Our next goal is to show that the algebra \mathcal{A}_q is generated by $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{Z}$. The following result is a variation on [5, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 8.16. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_n &= [2]_q \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{W}_{-k}^{\downarrow} \mathcal{W}_{n-k}^{\downarrow} q^{n-1-2k} + [2]_q^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} \mathcal{W}_{n-2-k}^{\downarrow} \mathcal{W}_{-k}^{\downarrow} q^{2k-n+3} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \mathcal{W}_{-k}^{\downarrow} \mathcal{W}_{k-n+2}^{\downarrow} q^{n-2k} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \mathcal{W}_{k+1}^{\downarrow} \mathcal{W}_{n-k-1}^{\downarrow} q^{n-2k-4} + (q^2 - q^{-2})^{-2} \sum_{k=0}^n \mathcal{G}_k^{\downarrow} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{n-k}^{\downarrow} q^{n-2k} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Evaluate the $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ formula in Definition 8.4 using Lemma 8.3. □

Remark 8.17. At the beginning of this section we mentioned some central elements $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathcal{A}_q . These central elements are computed in [5, Lemma 2.1]. Comparing Lemma 8.16 with [5, Lemma 2.1] we obtain

$$\Delta_n = -2 \frac{q - q^{-1}}{q^n + q^{-n}} \mathcal{Z}_n \quad n \geq 1.$$

If $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ then $\Delta_n = 0$ for $n \geq 1$.

Lemma 8.18. *We have*

$$\mathcal{Z}_0 = (q^2 - q^{-2})^{-2} \mathcal{G}_0 \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0 = [2]_q^2. \quad (44)$$

Proof. Set $n = 0$ in Lemma 8.16, and use (14). \square

Now consider \mathcal{Z}_n for $n \geq 1$. In the formula for \mathcal{Z}_n from Lemma 8.16, the alternating generators that show up are $\{\mathcal{W}_{-k}\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$, $\{\mathcal{W}_{k+1}\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$, $\{\mathcal{G}_{k+1}\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$, $\{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$. We now adjust \mathcal{Z}_n by subtracting off the terms that involve \mathcal{G}_n or $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n$.

Definition 8.19. For $n \geq 1$ define

$$\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_n = \mathcal{Z}_n - (q^2 - q^{-2})^{-2} (\mathcal{G}_0 \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n q^n + \mathcal{G}_n \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0 q^{-n}). \quad (45)$$

Lemma 8.20. *For $n \geq 1$,*

$$\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_n = \mathcal{Z}_n + \frac{\mathcal{G}_n q^{-n} + \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n q^n}{q - q^{-1}}. \quad (46)$$

Proof. Evaluate (45) using (14). \square

For $n \geq 1$ we now express $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_n$ in terms of $\{\mathcal{W}_{-k}\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$, $\{\mathcal{W}_{k+1}\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$, $\{\mathcal{G}_{k+1}\}_{k=0}^{n-2}$, $\{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}\}_{k=0}^{n-2}$.

Lemma 8.21. *For $n \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_n &= [2]_q \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{W}_{-k}^\downarrow \mathcal{W}_{n-k}^\downarrow q^{n-1-2k} + [2]_q^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} \mathcal{W}_{n-2-k}^\downarrow \mathcal{W}_{-k}^\downarrow q^{2k-n+3} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \mathcal{W}_{-k}^\downarrow \mathcal{W}_{k-n+2}^\downarrow q^{n-2k} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \mathcal{W}_{k+1}^\downarrow \mathcal{W}_{n-k-1}^\downarrow q^{n-2k-4} + (q^2 - q^{-2})^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{G}_k^\downarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{n-k}^\downarrow q^{n-2k} \\ &\quad - \frac{q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} (-1)^\ell \binom{n-1-\ell}{\ell} [2]_q^{-2\ell} \mathcal{G}_{n-2\ell} \\ &\quad - \frac{q^n}{q - q^{-1}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} (-1)^\ell \binom{n-1-\ell}{\ell} [2]_q^{-2\ell} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{n-2\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Evaluate (45) using Lemma 8.16 and (14), (70), (71). \square

The following result is a variation on [5, Proposition 3.1].

Lemma 8.22. *Using the equations below, the alternating generators of \mathcal{A}_q are recursively obtained from $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2, \dots$ in the following order:*

$$\mathcal{W}_0, \quad \mathcal{W}_1, \quad \mathcal{G}_1, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1, \quad \mathcal{W}_{-1}, \quad \mathcal{W}_2, \quad \mathcal{G}_2, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_2, \quad \mathcal{W}_{-2}, \quad \mathcal{W}_3, \quad \dots$$

For $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathcal{G}_n = \frac{(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n - \mathcal{Z}_n)(q - q^{-1}) - [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_n]q^n(q + q^{-1})}{q^n + q^{-n}}, \quad (47)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n = \mathcal{G}_n + (q + q^{-1})[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_n], \quad (48)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{-n} = \mathcal{W}_n - \frac{[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{G}_n]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}, \quad (49)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{n+1} = \mathcal{W}_{1-n} - \frac{[\mathcal{G}_n, \mathcal{W}_1]_q}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}. \quad (50)$$

Proof. Equation (48) is from (3). To get (47), eliminate $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n$ in (46) using (48) and solve the resulting equation for \mathcal{G}_n . The equations (49), (50) are from (4), (5). \square

The following result is a variation on [5, Corollary 3.1].

Corollary 8.23. *The algebra \mathcal{A}_q is generated by $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{Z}$.*

Proof. By Definition 8.15 and Lemma 8.22. \square

We now bring in the filtration $\{B_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ from Section 7.

Lemma 8.24. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$(i) \quad \mathcal{W}_{-n}^\downarrow, \mathcal{W}_{n+1}^\downarrow \in B_{2n+1};$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathcal{G}_n^\downarrow, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n^\downarrow \in B_{2n}.$$

Proof. (i) By Definition 7.1 and (68), (69).

(ii) By Definition 7.1 and (70), (71). \square

For a subset X of any vector space let $\text{Span}(X)$ denote the subspace spanned by X .

Lemma 8.25. *For $n \geq 1$,*

$$\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n \in B_{2n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} \text{Span}(B_k B_{2n-k}).$$

Proof. Use Lemmas 8.21, 8.24. \square

Lemma 8.26. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\mathcal{Z}_n \in B_{2n}$.*

Proof. For $n = 0$ the result holds by Lemma 8.18 and since $B_0 = \mathbb{F}I$. For $n \geq 1$ we use Lemma 8.20. We have $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_n \in B_{2n}$ by Lemma 8.25 along with Lemma 7.10(ii) and Proposition 7.14. By construction $\mathcal{G}_n \in B_{2n}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n \in B_{2n}$. The result holds by these comments and Lemma 8.20. \square

Lemma 8.27. *The following (i), (ii) hold:*

- (i) *the subspace B_1 has basis $1, \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$;*
- (ii) *for $d \geq 2$ we have*

$$B_d = E_d + B_{d-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \text{Span}(B_k B_{d-k}), \quad (51)$$

where $E_d = 0$ if d is odd and $E_d = \mathbb{F}\mathcal{Z}_n$ if $d = 2n$ is even.

Proof. (i) The elements $1, \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$ are the irreducible \mathcal{A}_q -words with degree at most 1.
(ii) Let B'_d denote the vector space on the right in (51). We show $B_d = B'_d$. We have $B_d \supseteq B'_d$ by Proposition 7.14 and Lemma 8.26. Next we show $B_d \subseteq B'_d$. The vector space B_d is spanned by the irreducible \mathcal{A}_q -words that have degree at most d . Let $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r$ denote an irreducible \mathcal{A}_q -word with degree at most d . We show $w \in B'_d$. We may assume that w has degree d ; otherwise $w \in B_{d-1} \subseteq B'_d$. Assume for the moment that $r \geq 2$. Write $w = w_1 w_2$ with $w_1 = a_1$ and $w_2 = a_2 \cdots a_r$. Let k denote the degree of w_1 . By construction w_2 has degree $d - k$. Also by construction $k \geq 1$ and $d - k \geq 1$, so $1 \leq k \leq d - 1$. We have $w \in B_k B_{d-k} \subseteq B'_d$. Next assume that $r = 1$, so $w = a_1$. Suppose that $d = 2n + 1$ is odd. Then $w = \mathcal{W}_{-n}$ or $w = \mathcal{W}_{n+1}$. In either case $w \in B_{d-1} + \text{Span}(B_1 B_{d-1}) + \text{Span}(B_{d-1} B_1) \subseteq B'_d$ in view of (49), (50). Next suppose that $d = 2n$ is even. Then $w = \mathcal{G}_n$ or $w = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n$. By (47), (48) we have $w \in \mathbb{F}\mathcal{Z}_n + B_{d-1} + \text{Span}(B_1 B_{d-1}) + \text{Span}(B_{d-1} B_1) \subseteq B'_d$. We have shown $B_d \subseteq B'_d$. By the above comments $B_d = B'_d$. \square

We saw earlier that \mathcal{A}_q is generated by $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{Z}$ with \mathcal{Z} central. Next we consider how $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$ are related.

Lemma 8.28. (See [5, line (3.7)].) *For the algebra \mathcal{A}_q ,*

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]_q]_{q^{-1}}] &= (q^2 - q^{-2})^2 [\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_0], \\ [\mathcal{W}_1, [\mathcal{W}_1, [\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_0]_q]_{q^{-1}}] &= (q^2 - q^{-2})^2 [\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1]. \end{aligned}$$

The above equations are the defining relations for the q -Onsager algebra. The relationship between \mathcal{A}_q and the q -Onsager algebra is investigated in the next section.

9 How \mathcal{A}_q is related to the q -Onsager algebra

In this section we describe how the algebra \mathcal{A}_q is related to the q -Onsager algebra.

Definition 9.1. (See [2, Section 2], [25, Definition 3.9].) Define the algebra O_q by generators W_0, W_1 and relations

$$[W_0, [W_0, [W_0, W_1]_q]_{q^{-1}}] = (q^2 - q^{-2})^2 [W_1, W_0], \quad (52)$$

$$[W_1, [W_1, [W_1, W_0]_q]_{q^{-1}}] = (q^2 - q^{-2})^2 [W_0, W_1]. \quad (53)$$

We call O_q the q -Onsager algebra. The relations (52), (53) are called the q -Dolan/Grady relations.

Definition 9.2. Let $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ denote mutually commuting indeterminates. Let $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$ denote the algebra consisting of the polynomials in z_1, z_2, \dots that have all coefficients in \mathbb{F} . For notational convenience define $z_0 = 1$.

We define the algebra

$$\mathcal{O}_q = O_q \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]. \quad (54)$$

The algebra \mathcal{O}_q is generated by

$$W_0 \otimes 1, \quad W_1 \otimes 1, \quad \{1 \otimes z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty.$$

Lemma 9.3. *There exists a unique algebra homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_q$ that sends*

$$W_0 \otimes 1 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_0, \quad W_1 \otimes 1 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_1, \quad 1 \otimes z_n \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Moreover ϕ is surjective.

Proof. The algebra homomorphism ϕ exists by Lemma 8.28 and since $\{\mathcal{Z}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are central in \mathcal{A}_q . The map ϕ is unique since \mathcal{O}_q is generated by $W_0 \otimes 1, W_1 \otimes 1, \{1 \otimes z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. The map ϕ is surjective by Lemma 8.15 and Corollary 8.23. \square

We are going to show that ϕ is an algebra isomorphism. Our strategy is to employ a filtration of \mathcal{O}_q that is mapped by ϕ to the filtration $\{B_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{A}_q . We will construct our filtration of \mathcal{O}_q using a filtration of O_q and a grading of $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$.

We recall from [28, Section 4] a filtration of O_q . For notational convenience abbreviate $O = O_q$. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ let O_d denote the subspace of O spanned by the products $g_1 g_2 \cdots g_r$ such that $0 \leq r \leq d$ and g_i is among W_0, W_1 for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Lemma 9.4. (See [28, Section 4].) *With the above notation, the following (i)–(iv) hold:*

- (i) $O_0 = \mathbb{F}1$;
- (ii) $O_{d-1} \subseteq O_d$ for $d \geq 1$;
- (iii) $O = \cup_{d \in \mathbb{N}} O_d$;
- (iv) $O_r O_s \subseteq O_{r+s}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Definition 2.3 and Lemma 9.4 the sequence $\{O_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a filtration of O_q .

We now consider the dimensions of the subspaces $\{O_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$. For notational convenience define $O_{-1} = 0$.

Definition 9.5. We define a generating function in the indeterminate x :

$$H(x) = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \dim(O_d/O_{d-1})x^d.$$

Lemma 9.6. (See [17, line (39)] and [28, Theorem 4.4]) *We have*

$$H(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-x^{2i-1})^2} \frac{1}{1-x^{2i}}. \quad (55)$$

We turn our attention to the algebra $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$. First we describe a basis for the vector space $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a *partition of n* is a sequence $\lambda = \{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of natural numbers such that $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$ for $i \geq 1$ and $n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i$. The set Λ_n consists of the partitions of n . Define $\Lambda = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_n$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ define $z_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} z_{\lambda_i}$. The elements $\{z_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ form a basis for the vector space $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$.

Next we construct a grading for the algebra $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$. For notational convenience abbreviate $Z = \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let Z_n denote the subspace of Z with basis $\{Z_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_n}$. For example $Z_0 = \mathbb{F}1$. The sum $Z = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n$ is direct. Moreover $Z_r Z_s \subseteq Z_{r+s}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. By these comments and Definition 2.2 the subspaces $\{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ form a grading of the algebra Z . Note that $z_n \in Z_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Next we consider the dimensions of the subspaces $\{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let p_n denote the number of partitions of n . By construction p_n is the dimension of the vector space Z_n . Define the generating function $P(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_n x^n$. The following result is well known; see for example [12, Theorem 8.3.4].

$$P(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-x^i}.$$

Shortly we will consider $P(x^2)$. Note that

$$P(x^2) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-x^{2i}}. \quad (56)$$

We just constructed a filtration of O_q and a grading of $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$. We now combine these constructions to get a filtration of $O_q \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$.

Definition 9.7. For notational convenience abbreviate $\mathcal{O} = O_q$. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$\mathcal{O}_d = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} O_{d-2k} \otimes Z_k. \quad (57)$$

Lemma 9.8. *With reference to Definition 9.7, The following (i)–(iv) hold:*

- (i) \mathcal{O}_0 has basis $1 \otimes 1$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{O}_{d-1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_d$ for $d \geq 1$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{O} = \cup_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_d$;
- (iv) $\mathcal{O}_r \mathcal{O}_s \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{r+s}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (i) Since $O_0 = \mathbb{F}1$ and $Z_0 = \mathbb{F}1$.
(ii) By Lemma 9.4(ii) and (57).
(iii) By Lemma 9.4(iii) and $Z = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n$.
(iv) By (57),

$$\mathcal{O}_r = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} O_{r-2k} \otimes Z_k, \quad \mathcal{O}_s = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} O_{s-2\ell} \otimes Z_\ell.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_r \mathcal{O}_s &\subseteq \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} \text{Span}((O_{r-2k} \otimes Z_k)(O_{s-2\ell} \otimes Z_\ell)) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} \text{Span}((O_{r-2k} O_{s-2\ell}) \otimes (Z_k Z_\ell)) \\ &\subseteq \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} O_{r+s-2k-2\ell} \otimes Z_{k+\ell} \\ &\subseteq \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor (r+s)/2 \rfloor} O_{r+s-2m} \otimes Z_m \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{r+s}. \end{aligned}$$

□

By Definition 2.3 and Lemma 9.8, the sequence $\{\mathcal{O}_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a filtration of \mathcal{O}_q .

We have a comment.

Lemma 9.9. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$1 \otimes z_n \in 1 \otimes Z_n \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{2n}. \quad (58)$$

Proof. Set $d = 2n$ in (57) and recall that $z_n \in Z_n$. □

The next result is reminiscent of Lemma 8.27.

Lemma 9.10. *With reference to Definition 9.7, the following (i), (ii) hold:*

- (i) *the subspace \mathcal{O}_1 has basis $1 \otimes 1, W_0 \otimes 1, W_1 \otimes 1$;*
- (ii) *for $d \geq 2$ we have*

$$\mathcal{O}_d = F_d + \mathcal{O}_{d-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \text{Span}(\mathcal{O}_k \mathcal{O}_{d-k}), \quad (59)$$

where $F_d = 0$ if d is odd and F_d is spanned by $1 \otimes z_n$ if $d = 2n$ is even.

Proof. (i) Setting $d = 1$ in (57) we obtain $\mathcal{O}_1 = \mathcal{O}_1 \otimes Z_0$. The subspace \mathcal{O}_1 has basis $1, W_0, W_1$ and the subspace Z_0 has basis 1 . The result follows.

(ii) Let \mathcal{O}'_d denote the vector space on the right in (59). We show that $\mathcal{O}_d = \mathcal{O}'_d$. We have $\mathcal{O}_d \supseteq \mathcal{O}'_d$ by Lemma 9.8(ii),(iv) and (58). Next we show that $\mathcal{O}_d \subseteq \mathcal{O}'_d$. In equation (57), \mathcal{O}_d is expressed as a sum. Each summand $O_{d-2k} \otimes Z_k$ is spanned by elements of the form $g_1 g_2 \cdots g_r \otimes z_\lambda$ such that $0 \leq r \leq d - 2k$ and g_i is among W_0, W_1 for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_k$. Let $w = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_r \otimes z_\lambda$ denote one of these elements. We show that $w \in \mathcal{O}'_d$. We may assume that $r = d - 2k$; otherwise $w \in O_{d-1-2k} \otimes Z_k \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{d-1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}'_d$. Assume for the moment that $r \geq 1$. Then $w = w_1 w_2$ with $w_1 = g_1 \otimes 1$ and $w_2 = g_2 \cdots g_r \otimes z_\lambda$. In this case $w_1 \in \mathcal{O}_1 \otimes Z_0 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_1$ and $w_2 \in O_{d-1-2k} \otimes Z_k \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{d-1}$, so $w = w_1 w_2 \in \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_{d-1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}'_d$. For the rest of the proof, assume that $r = 0$. The integer $d = 2k$ is even and $k = n$. Note that $n \geq 1$ since $d \geq 2$. By construction $w = 1 \otimes z_\lambda$ with $\lambda \in \Lambda_n$. Write $\lambda = \{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and note that $1 \leq \lambda_1 \leq n$. Assume for the moment that $\lambda_1 \leq n - 1$, and consider the partition $\mu = \{\lambda_{i+1}\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \Lambda_{n-\lambda_1}$. We have $w = w_1 w_2$ with $w_1 = 1 \otimes z_{\lambda_1}$ and $w_2 = 1 \otimes z_\mu$. By this and (58) we obtain $w_1 \in 1 \otimes Z_{\lambda_1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{2\lambda_1}$ and $w_2 \in 1 \otimes Z_{n-\lambda_1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{2(n-\lambda_1)} = \mathcal{O}_{d-2\lambda_1}$. Therefore $w = w_1 w_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{2\lambda_1} \mathcal{O}_{d-2\lambda_1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}'_d$. Next assume that $\lambda_1 = n$. Then $z_\lambda = z_n$, so $w = 1 \otimes z_n \in F_d \subseteq \mathcal{O}'_d$. We have shown that $w \in \mathcal{O}'_d$ in all cases, so $\mathcal{O}_d \subseteq \mathcal{O}'_d$. By the above comments $\mathcal{O}_d = \mathcal{O}'_d$. \square

Next we consider the dimensions of the subspaces $\{\mathcal{O}_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$. For notational convenience define $\mathcal{O}_{-1} = 0$.

Lemma 9.11. *We have*

$$H(x)P(x^2) = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \dim(\mathcal{O}_d / \mathcal{O}_{d-1}) x^d.$$

Proof. We have

$$H(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \dim(O_k / O_{k-1}) x^k, \quad P(x^2) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \dim(Z_\ell) x^{2\ell}.$$

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the coefficient of x^d in $H(x)P(x^2)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{k+2\ell=d, \\ k,\ell \geq 0}} \dim(\mathcal{O}_k/\mathcal{O}_{k-1})\dim(Z_\ell) \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \dim(\mathcal{O}_{d-2\ell}/\mathcal{O}_{d-1-2\ell})\dim(Z_\ell) \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} (\dim(\mathcal{O}_{d-2\ell}) - \dim(\mathcal{O}_{d-1-2\ell}))\dim(Z_\ell) \\
&= \dim \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \mathcal{O}_{d-2\ell} \otimes Z_\ell - \dim \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \mathcal{O}_{d-1-2\ell} \otimes Z_\ell \\
&= \dim \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \mathcal{O}_{d-2\ell} \otimes Z_\ell - \dim \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor} \mathcal{O}_{d-1-2\ell} \otimes Z_\ell \\
&= \dim(\mathcal{O}_d) - \dim(\mathcal{O}_{d-1}) \\
&= \dim(\mathcal{O}_d/\mathcal{O}_{d-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

The result follows. □

Lemma 9.12. *We have*

$$\mathcal{H}(x) = H(x)P(x^2).$$

Proof. Compute $H(x)P(x^2)$ using (55), (56) and compare the result with (41). □

Corollary 9.13. *For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the vector spaces B_d and \mathcal{O}_d have the same dimension.*

Proof. We use induction on d . The result holds for $d = 0$ since B_0 and \mathcal{O}_0 have dimension 1. Next assume that $d \geq 1$. By induction B_{d-1} and \mathcal{O}_{d-1} have the same dimension, so it suffices to show that the quotient vector spaces B_d/B_{d-1} and $\mathcal{O}_d/\mathcal{O}_{d-1}$ have the same dimension. This is the case by (42) and Lemmas 9.11, 9.12. □

Recall the algebra homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_q$ from Lemma 9.3.

We now come to our second main result.

Theorem 9.14. *The map ϕ is an algebra isomorphism.*

Proof. It suffices to show that ϕ is bijective. By Lemma 9.3, ϕ is surjective. In a moment we will show that ϕ is injective. We claim that $\phi(\mathcal{O}_d) = B_d$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}$. We now prove the claim by induction on d . The claim holds for $d = 0$ by Lemmas 7.10(i), 9.8(i). The claim holds for $d = 1$ by Lemmas 8.27(i), 9.3, 9.10(i). For $d \geq 2$ we use induction along with (51),

(59) and Lemma 9.3 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\mathcal{O}_d) &= \phi\left(F_d + \mathcal{O}_{d-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \text{Span}(\mathcal{O}_k \mathcal{O}_{d-k})\right) \\
&= \phi(F_d) + \phi(\mathcal{O}_{d-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \text{Span}(\phi(\mathcal{O}_k)\phi(\mathcal{O}_{d-k})) \\
&= E_d + B_{d-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \text{Span}(B_k B_{d-k}) \\
&= B_d.
\end{aligned}$$

The claim is proved. We can now easily show that ϕ is injective. Let K denote the kernel of ϕ . For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\phi(\mathcal{O}_d) = B_d$, so by Corollary 9.13 the restriction of ϕ to \mathcal{O}_d gives a bijection $\mathcal{O}_d \rightarrow B_d$, so $\mathcal{O}_d \cap K = 0$. By this and Lemma 9.8(iii) we obtain $K = 0$. Therefore ϕ is injective. We have shown that ϕ is bijective, and hence an algebra isomorphism. \square

10 Two subalgebras of \mathcal{A}_q

In this section we use Theorem 9.14 to investigate the following two subalgebras of \mathcal{A}_q : (i) the center of \mathcal{A}_q ; (ii) the subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_q generated by $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$.

Concerning (i), we will use the following fact.

Lemma 10.1. (See [20, Theorem 8.3].) *The center of O_q is equal to $\mathbb{F}1$.*

Recall the subalgebra \mathcal{Z} of \mathcal{A}_q from Definition 8.15.

Theorem 10.2. *The following (i)–(iii) hold:*

- (i) *the center of \mathcal{A}_q is equal to \mathcal{Z} ;*
- (ii) *there exists an algebra isomorphism $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ that sends $z_n \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_n$ for $n \geq 1$;*
- (iii) *the elements $\{\mathcal{Z}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are algebraically independent.*

Proof. (i) By Lemma 10.1 and the construction, the center of $O_q \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$ is equal to $1 \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$. Applying the algebra isomorphism ϕ we find that the center of \mathcal{A}_q is equal to \mathcal{Z} .

(ii) It suffices to display an injective algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_q$ that sends $z_n \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_n$ for $n \geq 1$. The following composition meets this description:

$$\mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \xrightarrow{z_n \mapsto 1 \otimes z_n} O_q \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{A}_q.$$

(iii) Immediate from (ii) above. \square

Let $\langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle$ denote the subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_q generated by $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1$.

Theorem 10.3. *There exists an algebra isomorphism $O_q \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle$ that sends $W_0 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_0$ and $W_1 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_1$.*

Proof. It suffices to display an injective algebra homomorphism $O_q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_q$ that sends $W_0 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_0$ and $W_1 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_1$. There exists an algebra homomorphism $\gamma : O_q \rightarrow O_q \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$ that sends $W_0 \mapsto W_0 \otimes 1$ and $W_1 \mapsto W_1 \otimes 1$. The map γ is injective. The following composition is an injective algebra homomorphism $O_q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_q$ that sends $W_0 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_0$ and $W_1 \mapsto \mathcal{W}_1$:

$$O_q \xrightarrow{\gamma} O_q \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{A}_q.$$

□

Next we describe how the subalgebras $\langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle$ and \mathcal{Z} are related.

Theorem 10.4. *The multiplication map*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle \otimes \mathcal{Z} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_q \\ w \otimes z &\mapsto wz \end{aligned}$$

is an algebra isomorphism.

Proof. Let m denote the above multiplication map. The map m is an algebra homomorphism since \mathcal{Z} is central in \mathcal{A}_q . Let $a : O_q \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle$ denote the algebra isomorphism from Theorem 10.3. Let $b : \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ denote the algebra isomorphism from Theorem 10.2(ii). The following composition is an algebra isomorphism:

$$\langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle \otimes \mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{a^{-1} \otimes b^{-1}} O_q \otimes \mathbb{F}[z_1, z_2, \dots] \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{A}_q.$$

The above map is equal to m since it agrees with m on the generators $\mathcal{W}_0 \otimes 1$, $\mathcal{W}_1 \otimes 1$, $\{1 \otimes \mathcal{Z}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of $\langle \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1 \rangle \otimes \mathcal{Z}$. It follows that m is an algebra isomorphism. □

11 Acknowledgment

The author thanks Pascal Baseilhac for many discussions about the algebras \mathcal{A}_q and O_q . The author thanks Stefan Kolb for helpful comments about the center of O_q . The author thanks Travis Scrimshaw for checking the relations in Proposition 5.1 using SageMath [23].

12 Appendix A

In this appendix we list some relations that hold in \mathcal{A}_q . We will define an algebra \mathcal{A}_q^\vee that is a homomorphic preimage of \mathcal{A}_q . All the results in this appendix are about \mathcal{A}_q^\vee .

Define the algebra \mathcal{A}_q^\vee by generators

$$\{\mathcal{W}_{-k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \{\mathcal{W}_{k+1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \{\mathcal{G}_{k+1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

and the following relations. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_{k+1}] = [\mathcal{W}_{-k}, \mathcal{W}_1] = (\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1} - \mathcal{G}_{k+1})/(q + q^{-1}), \quad (60)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{G}_{k+1}]_q = [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_0]_q = \rho \mathcal{W}_{-k-1} - \rho \mathcal{W}_{k+1}, \quad (61)$$

$$[\mathcal{G}_{k+1}, \mathcal{W}_1]_q = [\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k+1}]_q = \rho \mathcal{W}_{k+2} - \rho \mathcal{W}_{-k}, \quad (62)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_{-k}] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_{k+1}] = 0. \quad (63)$$

Recall that $\rho = -(q^2 - q^{-2})^2$, and define $\mathcal{G}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0$ as in (14).

The algebra \mathcal{A}_q^\vee has an automorphism σ and an antiautomorphism \dagger that satisfy Lemmas 3.1–3.3. For \mathcal{A}_q^\vee we define the generating functions $\mathcal{W}^+(t), \mathcal{W}^-(t), \mathcal{G}(t), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$ as in Definition 3.4. In terms of these generating functions the relations (60)–(63) look as follows:

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}^+(t)] = [\mathcal{W}^-(t), \mathcal{W}_1] = t^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t) - \mathcal{G}(t))/(q + q^{-1}), \quad (64)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{G}(t)]_q = [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t), \mathcal{W}_0]_q = \rho \mathcal{W}^-(t) - \rho t \mathcal{W}^+(t), \quad (65)$$

$$[\mathcal{G}(t), \mathcal{W}_1]_q = [\mathcal{W}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)]_q = \rho \mathcal{W}^+(t) - \rho t \mathcal{W}^-(t), \quad (66)$$

$$[\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}^-(t)] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}^+(t)] = 0. \quad (67)$$

Let s denote an indeterminate that commutes with t . Define the generating functions

$$\begin{aligned} A(s, t) &= [\mathcal{W}^-(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)], \\ B(s, t) &= [\mathcal{W}^+(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)], \\ C(s, t) &= [\mathcal{W}^-(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)] + [\mathcal{W}^+(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)], \\ D(s, t) &= s[\mathcal{W}^-(s), \mathcal{G}(t)] + t[\mathcal{G}(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)], \\ E(s, t) &= s[\mathcal{W}^-(s), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)] + t[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)], \\ F(s, t) &= s[\mathcal{W}^+(s), \mathcal{G}(t)] + t[\mathcal{G}(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)], \\ G(s, t) &= s[\mathcal{W}^+(s), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)] + t[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)], \\ H(s, t) &= [\mathcal{G}(s), \mathcal{G}(t)], \\ I(s, t) &= [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)], \\ J(s, t) &= [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s), \mathcal{G}(t)] + [\mathcal{G}(s), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)] \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
K(s, t) &= [\mathcal{W}^-(s), \mathcal{G}(t)]_q - [\mathcal{W}^-(t), \mathcal{G}(s)]_q - s[\mathcal{W}^+(s), \mathcal{G}(t)]_q + t[\mathcal{W}^+(t), \mathcal{G}(s)]_q, \\
L(s, t) &= [\mathcal{G}(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)]_q - [\mathcal{G}(t), \mathcal{W}^+(s)]_q - t[\mathcal{G}(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)]_q + s[\mathcal{G}(t), \mathcal{W}^-(s)]_q, \\
M(s, t) &= [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)]_q - [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t), \mathcal{W}^-(s)]_q - t[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)]_q + s[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t), \mathcal{W}^+(s)]_q, \\
N(s, t) &= [\mathcal{W}^+(s), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)]_q - [\mathcal{W}^+(t), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)]_q - s[\mathcal{W}^-(s), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)]_q + t[\mathcal{W}^-(t), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)]_q, \\
P(s, t) &= \frac{t^{-1}[\mathcal{G}(s), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)] - s^{-1}[\mathcal{G}(t), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)]}{\rho(q + q^{-1})} - [\mathcal{W}^-(t), \mathcal{W}^+(s)]_q + [\mathcal{W}^-(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)]_q \\
&\quad - st[\mathcal{W}^+(t), \mathcal{W}^-(s)]_q + st[\mathcal{W}^+(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)]_q - t[\mathcal{W}^-(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)]_q \\
&\quad + s[\mathcal{W}^-(t), \mathcal{W}^-(s)]_q - s[\mathcal{W}^+(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)]_q + t[\mathcal{W}^+(t), \mathcal{W}^+(s)]_q, \\
Q(s, t) &= \frac{t^{-1}[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s), \mathcal{G}(t)] - s^{-1}[\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t), \mathcal{G}(s)]}{\rho(q + q^{-1})} - [\mathcal{W}^+(t), \mathcal{W}^-(s)]_q + [\mathcal{W}^+(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)]_q \\
&\quad - st[\mathcal{W}^-(t), \mathcal{W}^+(s)]_q + st[\mathcal{W}^-(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)]_q - t[\mathcal{W}^+(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)]_q \\
&\quad + s[\mathcal{W}^+(t), \mathcal{W}^+(s)]_q - s[\mathcal{W}^-(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)]_q + t[\mathcal{W}^-(t), \mathcal{W}^-(s)]_q, \\
R(s, t) &= [\mathcal{G}(s), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)]_q - [\mathcal{G}(t), \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)]_q - (q + q^{-1})\rho t[\mathcal{W}^-(t), \mathcal{W}^+(s)] \\
&\quad + (q + q^{-1})\rho s[\mathcal{W}^-(s), \mathcal{W}^+(t)], \\
S(s, t) &= [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s), \mathcal{G}(t)]_q - [\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t), \mathcal{G}(s)]_q - (q + q^{-1})\rho t[\mathcal{W}^+(t), \mathcal{W}^-(s)] \\
&\quad + (q + q^{-1})\rho s[\mathcal{W}^+(s), \mathcal{W}^-(t)].
\end{aligned}$$

One checks that

$$\begin{aligned}
P(s, t) + Q(s, t) &= (q + q^{-1})(1 + st)C(s, t) + \frac{s^{-1} + t^{-1}}{(q + q^{-1})\rho} J(s, t) \\
&\quad - (q + q^{-1})(s + t)A(s, t) - (q + q^{-1})(s + t)B(s, t), \\
R(s, t) + S(s, t) &= \rho(q + q^{-1})(s + t)C(s, t) + (q + q^{-1})J(s, t).
\end{aligned}$$

For \mathcal{A}_q^\vee the maps σ, \dagger act on $A(s, t), B(s, t), \dots, S(s, t)$ as follow:

u	$A(s, t)$	$B(s, t)$	$C(s, t)$	$D(s, t)$	$E(s, t)$	$F(s, t)$
$\sigma(u)$	$B(s, t)$	$A(s, t)$	$C(s, t)$	$G(s, t)$	$F(s, t)$	$E(s, t)$
$\dagger(u)$	$-A(s, t)$	$-B(s, t)$	$-C(s, t)$	$-E(s, t)$	$-D(s, t)$	$-G(s, t)$
u	$G(s, t)$	$H(s, t)$	$I(s, t)$	$J(s, t)$	$K(s, t)$	$L(s, t)$
$\sigma(u)$	$D(s, t)$	$I(s, t)$	$H(s, t)$	$J(s, t)$	$N(s, t)$	$M(s, t)$
$\dagger(u)$	$-F(s, t)$	$-I(s, t)$	$-H(s, t)$	$-J(s, t)$	$-M(s, t)$	$-N(s, t)$
u	$M(s, t)$	$N(s, t)$	$P(s, t)$	$Q(s, t)$	$R(s, t)$	$S(s, t)$
$\sigma(u)$	$L(s, t)$	$K(s, t)$	$Q(s, t)$	$P(s, t)$	$S(s, t)$	$R(s, t)$
$\dagger(u)$	$-K(s, t)$	$-L(s, t)$	$-Q(s, t)$	$-P(s, t)$	$-R(s, t)$	$-S(s, t)$

By (64)–(67) the following relations hold in \mathcal{A}_q^\vee :

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{W}_0, A(s, t)] &= 0, \\
[\mathcal{W}_0, B(s, t)] &= \frac{G(s, t) - F(s, t)}{st(q + q^{-1})}, \\
[\mathcal{W}_0, C(s, t)] &= \frac{E(s, t) - D(s, t)}{st(q + q^{-1})}, \\
[\mathcal{W}_0, D(s, t)]_q &= \rho(s + t)A(s, t) - \rho stC(s, t), \\
[E(s, t), \mathcal{W}_0]_q &= \rho(s + t)A(s, t) - \rho stC(s, t), \\
[\mathcal{W}_0, F(s, t)]_q &= \frac{S(s, t)}{q + q^{-1}} - H(s, t) - 2\rho stB(s, t), \\
[G(s, t), \mathcal{W}_0]_q &= \frac{S(s, t)}{q + q^{-1}} - I(s, t) - 2\rho stB(s, t), \\
[\mathcal{W}_0, H(s, t)]_{q^2} &= \rho K(s, t), \\
[I(s, t), \mathcal{W}_0]_{q^2} &= \rho M(s, t), \\
[\mathcal{W}_0, J(s, t)] &= \rho M(s, t) - \rho K(s, t)
\end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{W}_0, K(s, t)]_q &= \frac{q^2 + q^{-2}}{q + q^{-1}}H(s, t) + (q + q^{-1})\rho A(s, t) + (q + q^{-1})\rho stB(s, t) \\
&\quad + \frac{J(s, t)}{q + q^{-1}} - S(s, t), \\
[\mathcal{W}_0, L(s, t)]_q &= \rho P(s, t) - \frac{s^{-1} + t^{-1}}{q + q^{-1}}H(s, t), \\
[M(s, t), \mathcal{W}_0]_q &= \frac{q^2 + q^{-2}}{q + q^{-1}}I(s, t) + (q + q^{-1})\rho A(s, t) + (q + q^{-1})\rho stB(s, t) \\
&\quad + \frac{J(s, t)}{q + q^{-1}} - S(s, t), \\
[N(s, t), \mathcal{W}_0]_q &= \rho Q(s, t) - \frac{s^{-1} + t^{-1}}{q + q^{-1}}I(s, t), \\
[P(s, t), \mathcal{W}_0] &= (s^{-1} + t^{-1})G(s, t) - (1 + s^{-1}t^{-1})E(s, t) \\
&\quad + \frac{(s^{-1} + t^{-1})K(s, t) - L(s, t) - N(s, t)}{q + q^{-1}}, \\
[\mathcal{W}_0, Q(s, t)] &= (s^{-1} + t^{-1})F(s, t) - (1 + s^{-1}t^{-1})D(s, t) \\
&\quad + \frac{(s^{-1} + t^{-1})M(s, t) - L(s, t) - N(s, t)}{q + q^{-1}}, \\
[\mathcal{W}_0, R(s, t)] &= \rho(s^{-1} + t^{-1})E(s, t) - \rho(s^{-1} + t^{-1})D(s, t) + \rho F(s, t) - \rho G(s, t), \\
[\mathcal{W}_0, S(s, t)] &= \rho G(s, t) - \rho F(s, t) - (q + q^{-1})\rho K(s, t) + (q + q^{-1})\rho M(s, t).
\end{aligned}$$

For the previous 18 equations we apply σ to each side, and obtain the following relations that hold in \mathcal{A}_q^\vee :

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{W}_1, A(s, t)] &= \frac{D(s, t) - E(s, t)}{st(q + q^{-1})}, \\
[\mathcal{W}_1, B(s, t)] &= 0, \\
[\mathcal{W}_1, C(s, t)] &= \frac{F(s, t) - G(s, t)}{st(q + q^{-1})}, \\
[D(s, t), \mathcal{W}_1]_q &= \frac{R(s, t)}{q + q^{-1}} - H(s, t) - 2\rho stA(s, t), \\
[\mathcal{W}_1, E(s, t)]_q &= \frac{R(s, t)}{q + q^{-1}} - I(s, t) - 2\rho stA(s, t), \\
[F(s, t), \mathcal{W}_1]_q &= \rho(s + t)B(s, t) - \rho stC(s, t), \\
[\mathcal{W}_1, G(s, t)]_q &= \rho(s + t)B(s, t) - \rho stC(s, t), \\
[H(s, t), \mathcal{W}_1]_{q^2} &= \rho L(s, t), \\
[\mathcal{W}_1, I(s, t)]_{q^2} &= \rho N(s, t), \\
[\mathcal{W}_1, J(s, t)] &= \rho L(s, t) - \rho N(s, t)
\end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
[K(s, t), \mathcal{W}_1]_q &= \rho P(s, t) - \frac{s^{-1} + t^{-1}}{q + q^{-1}}H(s, t), \\
[L(s, t), \mathcal{W}_1]_q &= \frac{q^2 + q^{-2}}{q + q^{-1}}H(s, t) + (q + q^{-1})\rho B(s, t) + (q + q^{-1})\rho stA(s, t) \\
&\quad + \frac{J(s, t)}{q + q^{-1}} - R(s, t), \\
[\mathcal{W}_1, M(s, t)]_q &= \rho Q(s, t) - \frac{s^{-1} + t^{-1}}{q + q^{-1}}I(s, t), \\
[\mathcal{W}_1, N(s, t)]_q &= \frac{q^2 + q^{-2}}{q + q^{-1}}I(s, t) + (q + q^{-1})\rho B(s, t) + (q + q^{-1})\rho stA(s, t) \\
&\quad + \frac{J(s, t)}{q + q^{-1}} - R(s, t), \\
[\mathcal{W}_1, P(s, t)] &= (s^{-1} + t^{-1})E(s, t) - (1 + s^{-1}t^{-1})G(s, t) \\
&\quad + \frac{(s^{-1} + t^{-1})L(s, t) - M(s, t) - K(s, t)}{q + q^{-1}}, \\
[Q(s, t), \mathcal{W}_1] &= (s^{-1} + t^{-1})D(s, t) - (1 + s^{-1}t^{-1})F(s, t) \\
&\quad + \frac{(s^{-1} + t^{-1})N(s, t) - M(s, t) - K(s, t)}{q + q^{-1}}, \\
[\mathcal{W}_1, R(s, t)] &= \rho D(s, t) - \rho E(s, t) - (q + q^{-1})\rho N(s, t) + (q + q^{-1})\rho L(s, t), \\
[\mathcal{W}_1, S(s, t)] &= \rho(s^{-1} + t^{-1})F(s, t) - \rho(s^{-1} + t^{-1})G(s, t) + \rho E(s, t) - \rho D(s, t).
\end{aligned}$$

13 Appendix B

In this appendix we describe the elements

$$\{\mathcal{W}_{-n}^\downarrow\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \{\mathcal{W}_{n+1}^\downarrow\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \{\mathcal{G}_n^\downarrow\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n^\downarrow\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

that appeared in Section 8. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{W}_{-n}^\downarrow = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^\ell \binom{n-\ell}{\ell} [2]_q^{-2\ell} \mathcal{W}_{2\ell-n}, \quad (68)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{n+1}^\downarrow = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^\ell \binom{n-\ell}{\ell} [2]_q^{-2\ell} \mathcal{W}_{n-2\ell+1}. \quad (69)$$

In the tables below, we display $\mathcal{W}_{-n}^\downarrow$ and $\mathcal{W}_{n+1}^\downarrow$ for $0 \leq n \leq 8$.

n	$\mathcal{W}_{-n}^\downarrow$
0	\mathcal{W}_0
1	\mathcal{W}_{-1}
2	$\mathcal{W}_{-2} - \mathcal{W}_0 [2]_q^{-2}$
3	$\mathcal{W}_{-3} - 2\mathcal{W}_{-1} [2]_q^{-2}$
4	$\mathcal{W}_{-4} - 3\mathcal{W}_{-2} [2]_q^{-2} + \mathcal{W}_0 [2]_q^{-4}$
5	$\mathcal{W}_{-5} - 4\mathcal{W}_{-3} [2]_q^{-2} + 3\mathcal{W}_{-1} [2]_q^{-4}$
6	$\mathcal{W}_{-6} - 5\mathcal{W}_{-4} [2]_q^{-2} + 6\mathcal{W}_{-2} [2]_q^{-4} - \mathcal{W}_0 [2]_q^{-6}$
7	$\mathcal{W}_{-7} - 6\mathcal{W}_{-5} [2]_q^{-2} + 10\mathcal{W}_{-3} [2]_q^{-4} - 4\mathcal{W}_{-1} [2]_q^{-6}$
8	$\mathcal{W}_{-8} - 7\mathcal{W}_{-6} [2]_q^{-2} + 15\mathcal{W}_{-4} [2]_q^{-4} - 10\mathcal{W}_{-2} [2]_q^{-6} + \mathcal{W}_0 [2]_q^{-8}$

n	$\mathcal{W}_{n+1}^\downarrow$
0	\mathcal{W}_1
1	\mathcal{W}_2
2	$\mathcal{W}_3 - \mathcal{W}_1 [2]_q^{-2}$
3	$\mathcal{W}_4 - 2\mathcal{W}_2 [2]_q^{-2}$
4	$\mathcal{W}_5 - 3\mathcal{W}_3 [2]_q^{-2} + \mathcal{W}_1 [2]_q^{-4}$
5	$\mathcal{W}_6 - 4\mathcal{W}_4 [2]_q^{-2} + 3\mathcal{W}_2 [2]_q^{-4}$
6	$\mathcal{W}_7 - 5\mathcal{W}_5 [2]_q^{-2} + 6\mathcal{W}_3 [2]_q^{-4} - \mathcal{W}_1 [2]_q^{-6}$
7	$\mathcal{W}_8 - 6\mathcal{W}_6 [2]_q^{-2} + 10\mathcal{W}_4 [2]_q^{-4} - 4\mathcal{W}_2 [2]_q^{-6}$
8	$\mathcal{W}_9 - 7\mathcal{W}_7 [2]_q^{-2} + 15\mathcal{W}_5 [2]_q^{-4} - 10\mathcal{W}_3 [2]_q^{-6} + \mathcal{W}_1 [2]_q^{-8}$

Recall that

$$\mathcal{G}_0^\downarrow = \mathcal{G}_0 = -(q - q^{-1})[2]_q^2, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0^\downarrow = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0 = -(q - q^{-1})[2]_q^2.$$

For $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathcal{G}_n^\downarrow = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} (-1)^\ell \binom{n-1-\ell}{\ell} [2]_q^{-2\ell} \mathcal{G}_{n-2\ell}, \quad (70)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n^\downarrow = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} (-1)^\ell \binom{n-1-\ell}{\ell} [2]_q^{-2\ell} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{n-2\ell}. \quad (71)$$

In the tables below, we display \mathcal{G}_n^\downarrow and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n^\downarrow$ for $1 \leq n \leq 9$.

n	\mathcal{G}_n^\downarrow
1	\mathcal{G}_1
2	\mathcal{G}_2
3	$\mathcal{G}_3 - \mathcal{G}_1[2]_q^{-2}$
4	$\mathcal{G}_4 - 2\mathcal{G}_2[2]_q^{-2}$
5	$\mathcal{G}_5 - 3\mathcal{G}_3[2]_q^{-2} + \mathcal{G}_1[2]_q^{-4}$
6	$\mathcal{G}_6 - 4\mathcal{G}_4[2]_q^{-2} + 3\mathcal{G}_2[2]_q^{-4}$
7	$\mathcal{G}_7 - 5\mathcal{G}_5[2]_q^{-2} + 6\mathcal{G}_3[2]_q^{-4} - \mathcal{G}_1[2]_q^{-6}$
8	$\mathcal{G}_8 - 6\mathcal{G}_6[2]_q^{-2} + 10\mathcal{G}_4[2]_q^{-4} - 4\mathcal{G}_2[2]_q^{-6}$
9	$\mathcal{G}_9 - 7\mathcal{G}_7[2]_q^{-2} + 15\mathcal{G}_5[2]_q^{-4} - 10\mathcal{G}_3[2]_q^{-6} + \mathcal{G}_1[2]_q^{-8}$
n	$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n^\downarrow$
1	$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1$
2	$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_2$
3	$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_3 - \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1[2]_q^{-2}$
4	$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_4 - 2\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_2[2]_q^{-2}$
5	$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_5 - 3\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_3[2]_q^{-2} + \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1[2]_q^{-4}$
6	$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_6 - 4\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_4[2]_q^{-2} + 3\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_2[2]_q^{-4}$
7	$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_7 - 5\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_5[2]_q^{-2} + 6\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_3[2]_q^{-4} - \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1[2]_q^{-6}$
8	$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_8 - 6\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_6[2]_q^{-2} + 10\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_4[2]_q^{-4} - 4\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_2[2]_q^{-6}$
9	$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_9 - 7\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_7[2]_q^{-2} + 15\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_5[2]_q^{-4} - 10\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_3[2]_q^{-6} + \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_1[2]_q^{-8}$

14 Appendix C

In this appendix we give some details from the proof of Theorem 6.1. In that proof we invoke the Bergman diamond lemma. In our discussion of that lemma we list the overlap ambiguities; there are four types (i)–(iv). Our goal in this appendix is to show that all the overlap ambiguities are resolvable. Our strategy is to express the overlap ambiguities in terms of generating functions that involve mutually commuting indeterminates r, s, t . There are four overlap ambiguities of type (i). Here is the first one. Using the GF reduction rules, let us evaluate the overlap ambiguity

$$\mathcal{W}^+(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{G}(r). \quad (72)$$

We can proceed in two ways. If we evaluate $\mathcal{W}^+(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)$ first, then we find that (72) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-a'_{r,t}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r} - a'_{r,t}B'_{t,s}e_{s,r} + A'_{r,t}b'_{r,s}e_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$-e_{t,s} + a'_{r,t}B'_{t,s}e_{s,r} + A'_{r,t}B'_{r,s}e_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$e_{t,s} + a'_{r,t}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r} - A'_{r,t}b'_{r,s}e_{r,t} - A'_{r,t}B'_{r,s}e_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$b_{r,s}a_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$A'_{r,t}B'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$e_{t,s}f_{t,r} + A_{r,t}B_{t,s} + A'_{r,t}b'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$-e_{t,s}f_{t,r} + a_{r,t}B_{r,s} + a'_{r,t}b'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$e_{t,s}f_{s,r} + a'_{r,t}B'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$-e_{t,s}f_{s,r} + A_{r,t}b_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$A'_{r,t}b_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$-e_{t,s}F_{t,r} + a'_{r,t}B_{t,s} + A'_{r,t}B_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)$	$e_{t,s}F_{s,r} + a'_{r,t}b_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$a_{r,t}B'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$e_{t,s}F_{t,r} + A_{r,t}b'_{t,s} + a_{r,t}b'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$-e_{t,s}F_{s,r} + A_{r,t}B'_{t,s}$

If we evaluate $\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{G}(r)$ first, then we find that (72) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-B_{r,s}A_{s,t}e_{s,r} - B_{r,s}a_{s,t}e_{t,r} + b_{r,s}A_{r,t}e_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$B_{r,s}A_{s,t}e_{s,r} - b_{r,s}A_{r,t}e_{r,s} - b_{r,s}a_{r,t}e_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$B_{r,s}a_{s,t}e_{t,r} + b_{r,s}a_{r,t}e_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$b_{r,s}a_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$B'_{r,s}A'_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$b'_{r,s}A'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$B_{r,s}a_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$B'_{r,s}a'_{r,t} + B_{r,s}A_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$b'_{r,s}a'_{s,t} + b_{r,s}A_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$b_{r,s}A'_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$B_{r,s}A'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)$	$B_{r,s}a'_{s,t} + b_{r,s}a'_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$B'_{r,s}a_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$b'_{r,s}a_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$b'_{r,s}A_{s,t} + B'_{r,s}A_{r,t}$

Referring to the previous two tables, for each row the given coefficients are equal and their common value is displayed below:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	0
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{q^4}{(q-1)(q+1)(q^2+1)^3(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{-q^4}{(q-1)(q+1)(q^2+1)^3(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{-(q^2r-t)(q^2s-r)}{(r-t)(r-s)q^2}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$\frac{-r^2ts(q-1)^2(q+1)^2}{(r-t)(r-s)q^2}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$\frac{r^2ts(q-1)^2(q+1)^2}{q^2(r-s)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{r(q-1)(q+1)(q^2s-t)}{q^2(r-s)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$\frac{(q-1)^2(q+1)^2(r^2s-r^2t-r+tr)s}{q^2(r-s)(r-t)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$\frac{-r(q-1)(q+1)(q^2r^2s^2-q^2rs^2t-q^2s^2+q^2st-r^2s^2+rs^2t+rs-rt)}{q^2(r-s)(r-t)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$\frac{rt(q-1)(q+1)(q^2s-r)}{(r-t)(r-s)q^2}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$\frac{-str(q-1)^2(q+1)^2}{q^2(r-s)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)$	$\frac{r(q-1)(q+1)(q^2st+rs-rt-st)}{(r-t)(-t+s)q^2}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{rs(q-1)(q+1)(q^2r-t)}{(r-t)(r-s)q^2}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{-r^2(q-1)(q+1)(q^2s-t)}{q^2(r-s)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$\frac{(q-1)^2(q+1)^2r^2s}{(r-t)(-t+s)q^2}$

The overlap ambiguity (72) is resolvable.

Next we evaluate the overlap ambiguity

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{G}(r). \quad (73)$$

We can proceed in two ways. If we evaluate $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)$ first, then we find that (73) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$b_{t,s}b_{r,s} + B'_{t,s}A'_{r,s} - f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r}A'_{t,s} - B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,r}a'_{s,t} - F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r}b_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$B_{t,s}b_{r,t} + b'_{t,s}A'_{r,t} - f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r}a'_{t,s} - B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,r}A'_{s,t} - B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,r}b_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,s}a'_{t,r} - F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,s}B_{t,r} - B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,t}a'_{t,r} + B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,r}A'_{r,t}$ $+ B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,t}B_{t,r} + F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r}B_{t,s} + B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,r}b_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$b_{t,s}B_{r,s} + B'_{t,s}a'_{r,s} + f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,s}A'_{t,r} - F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,s}b_{t,r} - B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,t}A'_{t,r}$ $+ B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,r}a'_{r,t} + B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,t}b_{t,r} - F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r}B_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$B_{t,s}B_{r,t} + b'_{t,s}a'_{r,t} - f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,s}A'_{s,r} + f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r}a'_{r,s} + F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,s}b_{s,r}$ $+ B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,t}A'_{s,r} - B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,t}b_{s,r} - B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,r}B_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,s}a'_{s,r} + f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r}A'_{r,s} + F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,s}B_{s,r} + B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,t}a'_{s,r}$ $- B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,t}B_{s,r} + F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r}b_{t,s} + B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,r}B_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$b_{t,s}B'_{r,s} + B'_{t,s}a_{r,s} - f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r}a_{t,s} - B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,r}A_{s,t} - F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r}B'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$B_{t,s}B'_{r,t} + b'_{t,s}a_{r,t} - f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r}A_{t,s} - B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,r}a_{s,t} - B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,r}B'_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,s}A_{t,r} - F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,s}b'_{t,r} - B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,t}A_{t,r} + B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,r}a_{r,t}$ $+ B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,t}b'_{t,r} + F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r}b'_{t,s} + B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,r}B'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$b_{t,s}b'_{r,s} + B'_{t,s}A_{r,s} + f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,s}a_{t,r} - F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,s}B'_{t,r} - B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,t}a_{t,r}$ $+ B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,r}A_{r,t} + B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,t}B'_{t,r} - F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r}b'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$B_{t,s}b'_{r,t} + b'_{t,s}A_{r,t} - f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,s}a_{s,r} + f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r}A_{r,s} + F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,s}B'_{s,r}$ $+ B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,t}a_{s,r} - B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,t}B'_{s,r} - B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,r}b'_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,s}A_{s,r} + f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r}a_{r,s} + F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,s}b'_{s,r} + B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,t}A_{s,r}$ $- B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,t}b'_{s,r} + F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r}B'_{t,s} + B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,r}b'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$-B_{t,s}F_{s,r} - b_{t,s}F_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$B_{t,s}f_{s,r} + b_{t,s}f_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$-B_{t,s}f_{s,r} - B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$-b_{t,s}f_{t,r} - F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$-f_{s,r}b'_{t,s} - B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$b_{t,s}F_{t,r} + f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$B_{t,s}F_{s,r} + B'_{t,s}f_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$b'_{t,s}F_{s,r} + B'_{t,s}F_{t,r}$

If we evaluate $\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{G}(r)$ first, then we find that (73) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$b_{r,s}b_{t,s} + B'_{r,s}A'_{t,s} - B_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{t,r}b_{r,s} + B_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{t,r}A'_{t,s} - b_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{t,s}B_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$b_{r,s}B_{t,s} + B'_{r,s}a'_{t,s} + B_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{s,r}b_{r,t} + B_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{s,r}A'_{r,t} - b_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{r,s}b_{r,t}$ $+ B_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{t,r}a'_{t,s} + b_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{t,s}B_{t,r} - b_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{r,s}A'_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$B_{r,s}B_{t,r} + b'_{r,s}a'_{t,r} - B_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{s,r}b_{s,t} + B_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{t,r}B_{t,s} - B_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{s,r}A'_{s,t}$ $+ b_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{r,s}b_{s,t} + b_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{r,s}A'_{s,t} + b_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{t,s}a'_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$B_{r,s}b_{t,r} + b'_{r,s}A'_{t,r} - B_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{t,r}B_{r,s} + b_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{t,s}A'_{t,r} - b_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{t,s}b_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$B_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{s,r}B_{r,t} + B_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{s,r}a'_{r,t} - b_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{r,s}B_{r,t} - B_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{t,r}a'_{r,s}$ $- b_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{t,s}A'_{r,s} + b_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{t,s}b_{t,r} - b_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{r,s}a'_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-B_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{s,r}B_{s,t} + B_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{t,r}b_{t,s} - B_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{s,r}a'_{s,t} + b_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{r,s}B_{s,t}$ $- B_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{t,r}A'_{r,s} - b_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{t,s}a'_{s,r} + b_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{r,s}a'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$b_{r,s}B'_{t,s} + B'_{r,s}a_{t,s} - B_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{t,r}B'_{r,s} + B_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{t,r}a_{t,s} - b_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{t,s}b'_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$b_{r,s}b'_{t,s} + B'_{r,s}A_{t,s} + B_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{s,r}B'_{r,t} + B_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{s,r}a_{r,t} - b_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{r,s}B'_{r,t}$ $+ B_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{t,r}A_{t,s} + b_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{t,s}b'_{t,r} - b_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{r,s}a_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$B_{r,s}b'_{t,r} + b'_{r,s}A_{t,r} - B_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{s,r}B'_{s,t} + B_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{t,r}b'_{t,s} - B_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{s,r}a_{s,t}$ $+ b_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{r,s}B'_{s,t} + b_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{t,s}A_{t,r} + b_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{r,s}a_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$B_{r,s}B'_{t,r} + b'_{r,s}a_{t,r} - B_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{t,r}b'_{r,s} + b_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{t,s}a_{t,r} - b_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{t,s}B'_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$B_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{s,r}b'_{r,t} + B_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{s,r}A_{r,t} - b_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{r,s}b'_{r,t} - B_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{t,r}A_{r,s}$ $- b_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{t,s}a_{s,r} + b_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{t,s}B'_{t,r} - b_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{r,s}A_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-B_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{s,r}b'_{s,t} + B_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{t,r}B'_{t,s} + b_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{r,s}b'_{s,t} - B_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{t,r}a_{r,s}$ $- b_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{t,s}A_{s,r} + b_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{r,s}A_{s,t} - B_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{s,r}A_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$-B_{r,s}F_{t,s} - b_{r,s}F_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$-b'_{r,s}F_{t,s} + b_{r,s}f_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$-B'_{r,s}F_{t,r} + B_{r,s}f_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$-B_{r,s}f_{t,s} - b_{r,s}f_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$-B'_{r,s}f_{t,r} + B_{r,s}F_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$-b'_{r,s}f_{t,s} + b_{r,s}F_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$b'_{r,s}f_{t,s} + B'_{r,s}f_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$b'_{r,s}F_{t,s} + B'_{r,s}F_{t,r}$

Referring to the previous two tables, for each row the given coefficients are equal and their common value is displayed below:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{q^{10}rs^2t^2 - q^8r^2s^2t - 2q^8rs^2t^2 + 2q^6r^2s^2t - q^6r^2st^2 + q^6rs^2t^2 + q^6rs^2 - q^6s^2t - q^4r^2s^2t}{q^6(t-r)(s-t)(r-s)} + \frac{3q^4r^2st^2 - q^4r^2s + q^4st^2 - 3q^2r^2st^2 + q^2r^2t - q^2rt^2 + r^2st^2}{q^6(t-r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{t(q^2-1)(q^8rst^2 - q^6r^2t^2 - q^6rt^2 - q^4r^2st + q^4r^2t^2 + q^4rt - q^4st + 2q^2r^2st - q^2r^2 + q^2rs - r^2st)}{q^6(-t+r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{-rst^2(q^2-1)^3(q^4t-r)}{q^6(-t+r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{r(q^2-1)(q^8rst^2 - q^6r^2st - q^6rst^2 + q^4r^2st - q^4rst^2 + q^4rs - q^4st + 2q^2rst^2 - q^2rt + q^2t^2 - rst^2)}{q^6(-t+r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{-(q^2-1)^2(q^6rst - q^4r^2t - q^2rst + q^2r - q^2s + rst)rt}{q^6(-t+r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{rst^2(q^2-1)^3(q^4s-r)}{q^6(-t+r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{s(q^2-1)(q^8rt^2 - q^6r^2t - q^6rt^2 - q^4r^2st^2 + q^4r^2s + q^4rt^2 - q^4st^2 + 2q^2r^2st^2 - q^2r^2t + q^2rt^2 - r^2st^2)}{q^6(-t+r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{-t^2(q^2-1)(q^8rs - q^6r^2 - q^6rs - q^4r^2st + q^4r^2 + q^4rt - q^4st + 2q^2r^*st - q^2r^2 + q^2rs - r^2st)}{q^6(-t+r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{(q^2-1)^3(q^4-rt)t^2sr}{(q^2-1)^3(q^4-rt)t^2sr}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{(q^2-1)(q^8st^2 - q^6rst - q^6st^2 - q^4r^2st^2 + q^4r^2s + 2q^2r^2st^2 - q^2r^2t + q^2rt^2 - r^2st^2)r}{q^6(t-r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{(q^2-1)^2(q^6st - q^4rt - q^2r^2st + q^2r^2 - q^2rs + r^2st)rt}{q^6(-t+r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{(q^2-1)^3(q^4-rs)rt^2}{(1-q^2)^3(q^2+1)^3rt}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$\frac{q^8}{(1-q^2)^3(q^2+1)^3rt}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$\frac{(q^2-1)^3(q^2+1)^3(q^2r^2s - q^2s - r^2s - r^2t + rst + r)rt}{(-t+r)(r-s)q^8}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$\frac{-tsr(q^2+1)^3(q^2-1)^4(rs - rt + st - 1)}{q^8(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{rt(q^2-1)^3(q^2+1)^3(q^2st^2 - q^2s + rst - rt^2 - st^2 + t)}{q^8(s-t)(-t+r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{(r-1)(r+1)(q^2+1)^3(q^2-1)^4t^2sr}{(-t+r)(r-s)q^8}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{-rt(q^2-1)^3(q^2+1)^3(q^2rs^2t - q^2rs + q^2s^2 - q^2st - rs^2t + rt)}{q^8(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$\frac{tr^2s(t-1)(t+1)(q^2+1)^3(q^2-1)^4}{q^8(s-t)(-t+r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	0

The overlap ambiguity (73) is resolvable.

Next we evaluate the overlap ambiguity

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{G}(r). \quad (74)$$

We can proceed in two ways. If we evaluate $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$ first, then we find that (74) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$a_{t,s}A'_{r,s} - A_{t,s}f_{s,r}e_{t,r}A'_{t,s} - a_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,r}a'_{s,t} - A_{t,s}F_{s,r}e_{t,r}b_{r,s} + A'_{t,s}b_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$a'_{t,s}b_{r,t} + A_{t,s}A'_{r,t} - A_{t,s}f_{s,r}e_{t,r}a'_{t,s} - a_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,r}A'_{s,t} - a_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,r}b_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$A_{t,s}f_{s,r}e_{t,s}a'_{t,r} - A_{t,s}F_{s,r}e_{t,s}B_{t,r} - a_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,t}a'_{t,r} + a_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,r}A'_{r,t}$ $+ a_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,t}B_{t,r} + A_{t,s}F_{s,r}e_{t,r}B_{t,s} + a_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,r}b_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$A'_{t,s}B_{r,s} + a_{t,s}a'_{r,s} + A_{t,s}f_{s,r}e_{t,s}A'_{t,r} - A_{t,s}F_{s,r}e_{t,s}b_{t,r} - a_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,t}A'_{t,r}$ $+ a_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,t}a'_{r,t} + a_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,t}b_{t,r} - A_{t,s}F_{s,r}e_{t,r}B_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$a'_{t,s}B_{r,t} + A_{t,s}a'_{r,t} - A_{t,s}f_{s,r}e_{t,s}A'_{s,r} + A_{t,s}f_{s,r}e_{t,r}a'_{r,s} + A_{t,s}F_{s,r}e_{t,s}b_{s,r}$ $+ a_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,t}A'_{s,r} - a_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,t}b_{s,r} - a_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,r}B_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-A_{t,s}f_{s,r}e_{t,s}a'_{s,r} + A_{t,s}f_{s,r}e_{t,r}A'_{r,s} + A_{t,s}F_{s,r}e_{t,s}B_{s,r} + a_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,t}a'_{s,r}$ $- a_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,t}B_{s,r} + A_{t,s}F_{s,r}e_{t,r}b_{t,s} + a_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,r}B_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$A'_{t,s}B'_{r,s} + a_{t,s}a_{r,s} - A_{t,s}f_{s,r}e_{t,r}a_{t,s} - a_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,r}A_{s,t} - A_{t,s}F_{s,r}e_{t,r}B'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$a'_{t,s}B'_{r,t} + A_{t,s}a_{r,t} - A_{t,s}f_{s,r}e_{t,r}A_{t,s} - a_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,r}a_{s,t} - a_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,r}B'_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$A_{t,s}f_{s,r}e_{t,s}A_{t,r} - A_{t,s}F_{s,r}e_{t,s}b'_{t,r} - a_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,t}A_{t,r} + a_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,r}a_{r,t}$ $+ a_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,t}b'_{t,r} + A_{t,s}F_{s,r}e_{t,r}b'_{t,s} + a_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,r}B'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$A'_{t,s}b'_{r,s} + a_{t,s}A_{r,s} + A_{t,s}f_{s,r}e_{t,s}a_{t,r} - A_{t,s}F_{s,r}e_{t,s}B'_{t,r} - a_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,t}a_{t,r}$ $+ a_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,r}A_{r,t} + a_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,t}B'_{t,r} - A_{t,s}F_{s,r}e_{t,r}b'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$a'_{t,s}b'_{r,t} + A_{t,s}A_{r,t} - A_{t,s}f_{s,r}e_{t,s}a_{s,r} + A_{t,s}f_{s,r}e_{t,r}A_{r,s} + A_{t,s}F_{s,r}e_{t,s}B'_{s,r}$ $+ a_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,t}a_{s,r} - a_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,t}B'_{s,r} - a_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,r}b'_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-A_{t,s}f_{s,r}e_{t,s}A_{s,r} + A_{t,s}f_{s,r}e_{t,r}a_{r,s} + A_{t,s}F_{s,r}e_{t,s}b'_{s,r} + a_{t,s}f_{t,r}e_{s,t}A_{s,r}$ $- a_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,t}b'_{s,r} + A_{t,s}F_{s,r}e_{t,r}B'_{t,s} + a_{t,s}F_{t,r}e_{s,r}b'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$-a'_{t,s}F_{s,r} - A'_{t,s}F_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$a'_{t,s}f_{s,r} + A'_{t,s}f_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$-a'_{t,s}f_{s,r} - a_{t,s}F_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$-A'_{t,s}f_{t,r} - A_{t,s}F_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$-A_{t,s}f_{s,r} - a_{t,s}f_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$A'_{t,s}F_{t,r} + A_{t,s}f_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$a'_{t,s}F_{s,r} + a_{t,s}f_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$A_{t,s}F_{s,r} + a_{t,s}F_{t,r}$

If we evaluate $\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{G}(r)$ first, then we find that (74) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$A'_{r,s}b_{t,s} + a_{r,s}A'_{t,s} - a'_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{t,r}b_{r,s} - A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{t,s}B_{s,r} + a'_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{t,r}A'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$A'_{r,s}B_{t,s} + a_{r,s}a'_{t,s} + a'_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{s,r}b_{r,t} + a'_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{s,r}A'_{r,t} - A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{r,s}b_{r,t}$ $+ A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{t,s}B_{t,r} - A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{r,s}A'_{r,t} + a'_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{t,r}a'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$a'_{r,s}B_{t,r} + A_{r,s}a'_{t,r} - a'_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{s,r}b_{s,t} + a'_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{t,r}B_{t,s} - a'_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{s,r}A'_{s,t}$ $+ A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{r,s}b_{s,t} + A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{r,s}A'_{s,t} + A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{t,s}a'_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$a'_{r,s}b_{t,r} + A_{r,s}A'_{t,r} - a'_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{t,r}B_{r,s} - A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{t,s}b_{s,r} + A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{t,s}A'_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$a'_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{s,r}B_{r,t} + a'_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{s,r}a'_{r,t} - A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{r,s}B_{r,t} + A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{t,s}b_{t,r}$ $- A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{r,s}a'_{r,t} - a'_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{t,r}a'_{r,s} - A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{t,s}A'_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-a'_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{s,r}B_{s,t} + a'_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{t,r}b_{t,s} - a'_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{s,r}a'_{s,t} + A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{r,s}B_{s,t}$ $+ A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{r,s}a'_{s,t} - a'_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{t,r}A'_{r,s} - A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{t,s}a'_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$A'_{r,s}B'_{t,s} + a_{r,s}a_{t,s} - a'_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{t,r}B'_{r,s} - A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{t,s}b'_{s,r} + a'_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{t,r}a_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$A'_{r,s}b'_{t,s} + a_{r,s}A_{t,s} + a'_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{s,r}B'_{r,t} + a'_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{s,r}a_{r,t} - A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{r,s}B'_{r,t}$ $+ A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{t,s}b'_{t,r} - A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{r,s}a_{r,t} + a'_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{t,r}A_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$a'_{r,s}b'_{t,r} + A_{r,s}A_{t,r} - a'_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{s,r}B'_{s,t} + a'_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{t,r}b'_{t,s} - a'_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{s,r}a_{s,t}$ $+ A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{r,s}B'_{s,t} + A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{r,s}a_{s,t} + A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{t,s}A_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$a'_{r,s}B'_{t,r} + A_{r,s}a_{t,r} - a'_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{t,r}b'_{r,s} - A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{t,s}B'_{s,r} + A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{t,s}a_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$a'_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{s,r}b'_{r,t} + a'_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{s,r}A_{r,t} - A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{r,s}b'_{r,t} + A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{t,s}B'_{t,r}$ $- A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{r,s}A_{r,t} - a'_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{t,r}A_{r,s} - A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{t,s}a_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-a'_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{s,r}b'_{s,t} + a'_{r,s}f_{t,s}e_{t,r}B'_{t,s} - a'_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{s,r}A_{s,t} + A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}e_{r,s}b'_{s,t}$ $+ A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{r,s}A_{s,t} - a'_{r,s}F_{t,s}e_{t,r}a_{r,s} - A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}e_{t,s}A_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$-a'_{r,s}F_{t,s} - A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$-A_{r,s}F_{t,s} + A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$-a_{r,s}F_{t,r} + a'_{r,s}f_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$-a'_{r,s}f_{t,s} - A'_{r,s}f_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$-a_{r,s}f_{t,r} + a'_{r,s}F_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$-A_{r,s}f_{t,s} + A'_{r,s}F_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$A_{r,s}f_{t,s} + a_{r,s}f_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$A_{r,s}F_{t,s} + a_{r,s}F_{t,r}$

Referring to the previous two tables, for each row the given coefficients are equal and their common value is displayed below:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{s(q^2-1)(q^8r^2st^2-2q^6r^2st^2-q^6r^2t+q^6rt^2+q^4r^2st^2+q^4r^2s-q^4r^2t-q^4st^2+q^2r^2t+q^2rt^2-r^2t)}{q^4(t-r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{t(q^2-1)(q^8r^2st^2-2q^6r^2st^2-q^6r^2t+q^6rt^2+q^4r^2st^2-q^4st^2+q^2r^2s+q^2rst-r^2s)}{q^4(-t+r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{(q^2-1)^2(q^6rst^2-q^4rst^2-q^4st+q^4t^2-q^2rt+rs)tr}{q^4(t-r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{(q^2-1)(q^8rst^2-2q^6rst^2-q^6st+q^6t^2+q^4rst^2+q^4rs-q^4rt-q^4t^2+q^2st+q^2t^2-st)r^2}{q^4(-t+r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{(q^2-1)^3(q^4rt-1)tr^2s}{q^4(t-r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{sr^2t(q^2-1)^3(q^4st-1)}{q^4(-t+r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{q^{10}r^2st^2-3q^8r^2st^2-q^8r^2t+q^8rt^2+3q^6r^2st^2-q^6r^2t^2+q^6r^2s-q^6st^2}{q^4(-t+r)(r-s)(s-t)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{+q^4r^2s^2t-q^4r^2st^2+2q^4rs^2t^2-q^4rs^2+q^4s^2t-2q^2r^2s^2t-q^2rs^2t^2+r^2s^2t}{q^4(-t+r)(r-s)(s-t)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{t(q^2-1)(q^8r^2st-2q^6r^2st-q^6r^2+q^6rt+q^4r^2st-q^4rst^2+q^4rs-q^4st+q^2r^2st+q^2rst^2-r^2st)}{q^4(t-r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{(q^2-1)^2(q^6rst-q^4rst-q^4s+q^4t-q^2rt^2+rst)tr}{q^4(-t+r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{(q^2-1)(q^8rst^2-2q^6rst^2-q^6st+q^6t^2+q^4rst^2+q^4rs-q^4rt+q^2r^2st+q^2r^2t^2-r^2st)r}{q^4(t-r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{(q^2-1)^3(q^4t-r)sr^2t}{q^4(-t+r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{r^2st(q^2-1)^3(q^4t-s)}{q^4(t-r)(s-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	0
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$\frac{(r-1)(r+1)(q^2+1)^3(q^2-1)^4t^2sr}{(r-s)q^6(-t+r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$\frac{rt(q^2-1)^3(q^2+1)^3(q^2rs^2t-q^2rt-rs^2t+rs-s^2+st)}{q^6(t-s)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{tsr^2(t-1)(t+1)(q^2+1)^3(q^2-1)^4}{q^6(s-t)(-t+r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{(q^2-1)^3(q^2+1)^3(q^2r^2s+q^2r^2t-q^2rst-q^2r-r^2s+s)rt}{(r-s)q^6(-t+r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{tsr(q^2+1)^3(q^2-1)^4(rs-rt+st-1)}{q^6(t-s)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$\frac{rt(q^2-1)^3(q^2+1)^3(q^2rst-q^2rt^2-q^2st^2+q^2t+st^2-s)}{q^6(s-t)(t-r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{(q^2+1)^3(q^2-1)^3rt}{q^4}$

The overlap ambiguity (74) is resolvable.

Next we evaluate the overlap ambiguity

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r). \quad (75)$$

We can proceed in two ways. If we evaluate $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$ first, then we find that (75) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$A_{t,s}b_{s,r}e_{t,r} + a_{t,s}b_{t,r}e_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$A_{t,s}B_{s,r}e_{t,s} - a_{t,s}B_{t,r}e_{s,t} - a_{t,s}b_{t,r}e_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$-A_{t,s}B_{s,r}e_{t,s} - A_{t,s}b_{s,r}e_{t,r} + a_{t,s}B_{t,r}e_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$a_{t,s}b_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$A_{t,s}b_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$A'_{t,s}b'_{t,r} + A_{t,s}B_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$A'_{t,s}B'_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$a'_{t,s}B'_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$a'_{t,s}b'_{s,r} + a_{t,s}B_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$a'_{t,s}B_{s,r} + A'_{t,s}B_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$a'_{t,s}b_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$A'_{t,s}b_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$A_{t,s}b'_{s,r} + a_{t,s}b'_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$A_{t,s}B'_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$a_{t,s}B'_{t,r}$

If we evaluate $\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)$ first, then we find that (75) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$e_{s,r} + b'_{t,r}a'_{r,s}e_{t,r} - B'_{t,r}a'_{t,s}e_{r,t} - B'_{t,r}A'_{t,s}e_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$-e_{s,r} + b'_{t,r}A'_{r,s}e_{t,s} + B'_{t,r}A'_{t,s}e_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$-b'_{t,r}a'_{r,s}e_{t,r} - b'_{t,r}A'_{r,s}e_{t,s} + B'_{t,r}a'_{t,s}e_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$b_{t,r}a_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$-e_{s,r}f_{t,r} + b_{t,r}A_{t,s} + b'_{t,r}a'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$e_{s,r}f_{t,s} + b'_{t,r}A'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$B'_{t,r}A'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$e_{s,r}f_{t,r} + B_{t,r}A_{r,s} + B'_{t,r}a'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-e_{s,r}f_{t,s} + B_{t,r}a_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$e_{s,r}F_{t,s} + B_{t,r}A'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$-e_{s,r}F_{t,r} + B_{t,r}a'_{r,s} + b_{t,r}a'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$b_{t,r}A'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-e_{s,r}F_{t,s} + b'_{t,r}a_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$e_{s,r}F_{t,r} + b'_{t,r}A_{r,s} + B'_{t,r}A_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$a_{t,s}B'_{t,r}$

Referring to the previous two tables, for each row the given coefficients are equal and their common value is displayed below:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{-q^4}{(q^2+1)^3(q-1)(q+1)(-s+r)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{q^4}{(q^2+1)^3(q-1)(q+1)(-s+r)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	0
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{-(q^2t-s)(q^2r-t)}{(s-t)(-t+r)q^2}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{t(q-1)(q+1)(q^2r-s)}{(s-t)(-s+r)q^2}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{ts(q-1)^2(q+1)^2(rt^2-st^2-r+t)}{(s-t)(-t+r)q^2(-s+r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{-t^2sr(q-1)^2(q+1)^2}{(s-t)(-t+r)q^2}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{t^2sr(q-1)^2(q+1)^2}{(s-t)(-s+r)q^2}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{-t(q-1)(q+1)(q^2rs^2t-q^2s^2t^2-q^2rt+q^2st-rs^2t+s^2t^2+rs-s^2)}{(s-t)(-t+r)q^2(-s+r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{(q-1)^2(q+1)^2t^2s}{(-s+r)(-t+r)q^2}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{-t^2(q-1)(q+1)(q^2r-s)}{(s-t)(-s+r)q^2}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{ts(q-1)(q+1)(q^2r-t)}{(s-t)(-t+r)q^2}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{(q-1)(q+1)(q^2rs+q^2rt-q^2st-rs)t}{(-s+r)(-t+r)q^2}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{-tsr(q-1)^2(q+1)^2}{(s-t)(-s+r)q^2}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{rt(q-1)(q+1)(q^2t-s)}{(-t+r)(s-t)q^2}$

The overlap ambiguity (75) is resolvable.

We have shown that the overlap ambiguities of type (i) are resolvable.

Next we evaluate the six overlap ambiguities of type (ii). Here is the first one. Let evaluate the overlap ambiguity

$$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{G}(r). \quad (76)$$

We can proceed in two ways. If we evaluate $\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)$ first, then we find that (76) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$b_{r,t}b'_{r,s}e_{r,t} - B_{r,t}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r} - B_{r,t}B'_{t,s}e_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$b_{r,t}B'_{r,s}e_{s,t} + B_{r,t}B'_{t,s}e_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$-b_{r,t}b'_{r,s}e_{r,t} - b_{r,t}B'_{r,s}e_{s,t} + B_{r,t}b'_{t,s}e_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$B'_{r,t}b_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$b_{r,t}B'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$b'_{r,t}B_{t,s} + b_{r,t}b'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$B'_{r,t}B_{r,s} + B_{r,t}b'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$B_{r,t}B'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$b'_{r,t}b_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$b_{r,t}b_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$B_{r,t}B_{t,s} + b_{r,t}B_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)$	$B_{r,t}b_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$B'_{r,t}B'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$b'_{r,t}b'_{t,s} + B'_{r,t}b'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$b'_{r,t}B'_{t,s}$

If we evaluate $\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{G}(r)$ first, then we find that (76) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$b_{r,s}b'_{r,t}e_{r,s} - B_{r,s}b'_{s,t}e_{s,r} - B_{r,s}B'_{s,t}e_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$-b_{r,s}b'_{r,t}e_{r,s} - b_{r,s}B'_{r,t}e_{t,s} + B_{r,s}b'_{s,t}e_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$b_{r,s}B'_{r,t}e_{t,s} + B_{r,s}B'_{s,t}e_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$B'_{r,t}b_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$b_{r,t}B'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$b'_{r,s}b_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$B_{r,s}B'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$B'_{r,s}B_{r,t} + B_{r,s}b'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$b'_{r,s}B_{s,t} + b_{r,s}b'_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$b_{r,t}b_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$B_{r,s}b_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)$	$B_{r,s}B_{s,t} + b_{r,s}B_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$B'_{r,t}B'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$b'_{r,s}B'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$b'_{r,s}b'_{s,t} + B'_{r,s}b'_{r,t}$

Referring to the previous two tables, for each row the given coefficients are equal and their common value is displayed below:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{-r^2}{(q^2+1)^3(r-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{rs}{(-t+s)(q^2+1)^3(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{-rt}{(-t+s)(q^2+1)^3(r-t)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{-rt(q-1)(q+1)(q^2s-r)}{q^4(r-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$\frac{-rs(q-1)(q+1)(q^2t-r)}{q^4(r-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$\frac{(q-1)(q+1)(q^2t-s)r^2}{(r-s)q^4(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{rts(q-1)^2(q+1)^2}{(r-s)q^4(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$\frac{-rts(q-1)^2(q+1)^2}{q^4(r-t)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$\frac{-r^2(q-1)(q+1)(q^2s-t)}{q^4(r-t)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$\frac{(q^2t-r)(q^2s-r)}{q^4(r-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$\frac{-(q-1)(q+1)(q^2t-s)r}{(r-s)q^4(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)$	$\frac{r(q-1)(q+1)(q^2s-t)}{q^4(r-t)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{r^2ts(q-1)^2(q+1)^2}{q^4(r-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{-r^2ts(q-1)^2(q+1)^2}{(r-s)q^4(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$\frac{r^2ts(q-1)^2(q+1)^2}{q^4(r-t)(-t+s)}$

The overlap ambiguity (76) is resolvable.

Next we evaluate the overlap ambiguity

$$\mathcal{W}^+(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{G}(r). \quad (77)$$

We can proceed in two ways. If we evaluate $\mathcal{W}^+(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$ first, then we find that (77) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-a'_{r,t}A_{t,s}e_{t,r} - a'_{r,t}a_{t,s}e_{s,r} + A'_{r,t}A_{r,s}e_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$a'_{r,t}a_{t,s}e_{s,r} + A'_{r,t}a_{r,s}e_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$a'_{r,t}A_{t,s}e_{t,r} - A'_{r,t}A_{r,s}e_{r,t} - A'_{r,t}a_{r,s}e_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$a_{r,t}A'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$A'_{r,t}a_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$A_{r,t}a'_{t,s} + A'_{r,t}A_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$a_{r,t}a'_{r,s} + a'_{r,t}A_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$a'_{r,t}a_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$A_{r,t}A'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$A'_{r,t}A'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$a'_{r,t}a'_{t,s} + A'_{r,t}a'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)$	$a'_{r,t}A'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$a_{r,t}a_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$A_{r,t}A_{t,s} + a_{r,t}A_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$A_{r,t}a_{t,s}$

If we evaluate $\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{G}(r)$ first, then we find that (77) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-a'_{r,s}A_{s,t}e_{s,r} - a'_{r,s}a_{s,t}e_{t,r} + A'_{r,s}A_{r,t}e_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$a'_{r,s}A_{s,t}e_{s,r} - A'_{r,s}A_{r,t}e_{r,s} - A'_{r,s}a_{r,t}e_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$a'_{r,s}a_{s,t}e_{t,r} + A'_{r,s}a_{r,t}e_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$a_{r,t}A'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$A'_{r,t}a_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$A_{r,s}A'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$a'_{r,s}a_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$a_{r,s}a'_{r,t} + a'_{r,s}A_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$A_{r,s}a'_{s,t} + A'_{r,s}A_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$A'_{r,t}A'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$a'_{r,s}A'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)$	$a'_{r,s}a'_{s,t} + A'_{r,s}a'_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$a_{r,t}a_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$A_{r,s}a_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$A_{r,s}A_{s,t} + a_{r,s}A_{r,t}$

Referring to the previous two tables, for each row the given coefficients are equal and their common value is displayed below:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{q^4 r^2}{(r-s)(q^2+1)^3(r-t)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{-q^4 rs}{(r-s)(q^2+1)^3(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{q^4 tr}{(q^2+1)^3(-t+s)(r-t)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{-sr(q-1)(q+1)(q^2 r-t)}{(r-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$\frac{-tr(q-1)(q+1)(q^2 r-s)}{(r-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$\frac{(q-1)^2(q+1)^2 srt}{(r-s)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{(q-1)(q+1)(q^2 s-t)r^2}{(r-s)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$\frac{-r^2(q-1)(q+1)(q^2 t-s)}{(r-t)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$	$\frac{-(q-1)^2(q+1)^2 srt}{(r-t)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$\frac{r^2 ts(q-1)^2(q+1)^2}{(r-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)$	$\frac{-r^2 ts(q-1)^2(q+1)^2}{(r-s)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)$	$\frac{r^2 ts(q-1)^2(q+1)^2}{(r-t)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{(q^2 r-t)(q^2 r-s)}{(r-t)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	$\frac{-(q-1)(q+1)(q^2 s-t)r}{(r-s)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$	$\frac{r(q-1)(q+1)(q^2 t-s)}{(r-t)(-t+s)}$

The overlap ambiguity (77) is resolvable.

Next we evaluate the overlap ambiguity

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\mathcal{G}(r). \quad (78)$$

We can proceed in two ways. If we evaluate $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$ first, then we find that (78) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$1 + f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}A'_{s,r}e_{t,r} + f_{s,r}B'_{t,s}A'_{t,r}e_{s,r} - F_{s,r}B'_{t,s}b_{t,r}e_{s,r} - F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}b_{s,r}e_{t,r}$ $- f_{s,r}b'_{t,r}a'_{r,s}e_{t,r} + f_{s,r}B'_{t,r}a'_{t,s}e_{r,t} + f_{s,r}B'_{t,r}A'_{t,s}e_{r,s} + F_{s,r}A_{t,s}A'_{s,r}e_{t,r}$ $+ F_{s,r}a_{t,s}A'_{t,r}e_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}a'_{s,r}e_{t,s} - f_{s,r}B'_{t,s}a'_{t,r}e_{s,t} - f_{s,r}B'_{t,s}A'_{t,r}e_{s,r} + F_{s,r}B'_{t,s}b_{t,r}e_{s,r}$ $+ F_{s,r}B'_{t,s}B_{t,r}e_{s,t} - f_{s,r}b'_{t,r}A'_{r,s}e_{t,s} - f_{s,r}B'_{t,r}A'_{t,s}e_{r,s} + F_{s,r}A_{t,s}a'_{s,r}e_{t,s}$ $- F_{s,r}a_{t,s}a'_{t,r}e_{s,t} - F_{s,r}a_{t,s}A'_{t,r}e_{s,r} - F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}B_{s,r}e_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$- f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}a'_{s,r}e_{t,s} - f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}A'_{s,r}e_{t,r} + f_{s,r}B'_{t,s}a'_{t,r}e_{s,t} - F_{s,r}B'_{t,s}B_{t,r}e_{s,t}$ $+ F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}B_{s,r}e_{t,s} + f_{s,r}b'_{t,r}a'_{r,s}e_{t,r} + f_{s,r}b'_{t,r}A'_{r,s}e_{t,s} - f_{s,r}B'_{t,r}a'_{t,s}e_{r,t}$ $+ F_{s,r}a_{t,s}a'_{t,r}e_{s,t} - F_{s,r}A_{t,s}a'_{s,r}e_{t,s} - F_{s,r}A_{t,s}A'_{s,r}e_{t,r} + F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}b_{s,r}e_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$- f_{s,r}b_{t,r}a_{t,s} + f_{s,r}B'_{t,s}A'_{t,r} + F_{s,r}a_{t,s}A'_{t,r} - F_{s,r}B'_{t,s}b_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$- f_{t,r} - f_{s,r}b_{t,r}A_{t,s} + f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}A'_{s,r} - f_{s,r}b'_{t,r}a'_{r,s}$ $+ F_{s,r}A_{t,s}A'_{s,r} - F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}b_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$f_{s,r}b_{t,s}A_{t,r} + F_{s,r}A'_{t,s}A_{t,r} - F_{s,r}b_{t,s}b'_{t,r} + f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}a'_{s,r}$ $- f_{s,r}b'_{t,r}A'_{r,s} + F_{s,r}A_{t,s}a'_{s,r} - F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}B_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$f_{s,r}b_{t,s}a_{t,r} + F_{s,r}A'_{t,s}a_{t,r} - F_{s,r}b_{t,s}B'_{t,r} - f_{s,r}B'_{t,r}A'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$f_{t,r} + f_{s,r}B_{t,s}a_{s,r} - f_{s,r}B_{t,r}A_{r,s} + F_{s,r}a'_{t,s}a_{s,r}$ $- F_{s,r}B_{t,s}B'_{s,r} - f_{s,r}B'_{t,r}a'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$f_{s,r}B_{t,s}A_{s,r} - f_{s,r}B_{t,r}a_{r,s} + F_{s,r}a'_{t,s}A_{s,r} - F_{s,r}B_{t,s}b'_{s,r}$ $+ f_{s,r}B'_{t,s}a'_{t,r} + F_{s,r}a_{t,s}a'_{t,r} - F_{s,r}B'_{t,s}B_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$f_{s,r}B_{t,s}a'_{s,r} + f_{s,r}b_{t,s}a'_{t,r} - f_{s,r}B_{t,r}A'_{r,s} + F_{s,r}a'_{t,s}a'_{s,r}$ $+ F_{s,r}A'_{t,s}a'_{t,r} - F_{s,r}B_{t,s}B_{s,r} - F_{s,r}b_{t,s}B_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$- F_{t,r} + f_{s,r}B_{t,s}A'_{s,r} - f_{s,r}B_{t,r}a'_{r,s} - f_{s,r}b_{t,r}a'_{t,s}$ $+ F_{s,r}a'_{t,s}A'_{s,r} - F_{s,r}B_{t,s}b_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$f_{s,r}b_{t,s}A'_{t,r} - f_{s,r}b_{t,r}A'_{t,s} + F_{s,r}A'_{t,s}A'_{t,r} - F_{s,r}b_{t,s}b_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}A_{s,r} + f_{s,r}B'_{t,s}A_{t,r} - f_{s,r}b'_{t,r}a_{r,s} + F_{s,r}A_{t,s}A_{s,r}$ $+ F_{s,r}a_{t,s}A_{t,r} - F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}b'_{s,r} - F_{s,r}B'_{t,s}b'_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$F_{t,r} + f_{s,r}b'_{t,s}a_{s,r} - f_{s,r}b'_{t,r}A_{r,s} - f_{s,r}B'_{t,r}A_{t,s}$ $+ F_{s,r}A_{t,s}a_{s,r} - F_{s,r}b'_{t,s}B'_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$f_{s,r}B'_{t,s}a_{t,r} - f_{s,r}B'_{t,r}a_{t,s} + F_{s,r}a_{t,s}a_{t,r} - F_{s,r}B'_{t,s}B'_{t,r}$

If we evaluate $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\mathcal{G}(r)$ first, then we find that (78) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$1 + f_{t,r}b'_{s,t}A'_{t,r}e_{s,r} + f_{t,r}B'_{s,t}A'_{s,r}e_{t,r} - F_{t,r}B'_{s,t}b_{s,r}e_{t,r} - F_{t,r}b'_{s,t}b_{t,r}e_{s,r}$ $- f_{t,r}b'_{s,r}a'_{r,t}e_{s,r} + f_{t,r}B'_{s,r}a'_{s,t}e_{r,s} + f_{t,r}B'_{s,r}A'_{s,t}e_{r,t} + F_{t,r}A_{s,t}A'_{t,r}e_{s,r}$ $+ F_{t,r}a_{s,t}A'_{s,r}e_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$- f_{t,r}b'_{s,t}a'_{t,r}e_{s,t} - f_{t,r}b'_{s,t}A'_{t,r}e_{s,r} + f_{t,r}B'_{s,t}a'_{s,r}e_{t,s} - F_{t,r}B'_{s,t}B_{s,r}e_{t,s}$ $+ F_{t,r}b'_{s,t}B_{t,r}e_{s,t} + f_{t,r}b'_{s,r}a'_{r,t}e_{s,r} + f_{t,r}b'_{s,r}A'_{r,t}e_{s,t} - f_{t,r}B'_{s,r}a'_{s,t}e_{r,s}$ $+ F_{t,r}a_{s,t}a'_{s,r}e_{t,s} - F_{t,r}A_{s,t}a'_{t,r}e_{s,t} - F_{t,r}A_{s,t}A'_{t,r}e_{s,r} + F_{t,r}b'_{s,t}b_{t,r}e_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$f_{t,r}b'_{s,t}a'_{t,r}e_{s,t} - f_{t,r}B'_{s,t}a'_{s,r}e_{t,s} - f_{t,r}B'_{s,t}A'_{s,r}e_{t,r} + F_{t,r}B'_{s,t}b_{s,r}e_{t,r}$ $+ F_{t,r}B'_{s,t}B_{s,r}e_{t,s} - f_{t,r}b'_{s,r}A'_{r,t}e_{s,t} - f_{t,r}B'_{s,r}A'_{s,t}e_{r,t} + F_{t,r}A_{s,t}a'_{t,r}e_{s,t}$ $- F_{t,r}a_{s,t}a'_{s,r}e_{t,s} - F_{t,r}a_{s,t}A'_{s,r}e_{t,r} - F_{t,r}b'_{s,t}B_{t,r}e_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$- f_{s,r} - f_{t,r}b_{s,r}A_{s,t} + f_{t,r}b'_{s,t}A'_{t,r} - f_{t,r}b'_{s,r}a'_{r,t}$ $+ F_{t,r}A_{s,t}A'_{t,r} - F_{t,r}b'_{s,t}b_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$- f_{t,r}b_{s,r}a_{s,t} + f_{t,r}B'_{s,t}A'_{s,r} + F_{t,r}a_{s,t}A'_{s,r} - F_{t,r}B'_{s,t}b_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$f_{t,r}B_{s,t}A_{t,r} - f_{t,r}B_{s,r}a_{r,t} + F_{t,r}a'_{s,t}A_{t,r} - F_{t,r}B_{s,t}b'_{t,r}$ $+ f_{t,r}B'_{s,t}a'_{s,r} + F_{t,r}a_{s,t}a'_{s,r} - F_{t,r}B'_{s,t}B_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$f_{s,r} + f_{t,r}B_{s,t}a_{t,r} - f_{t,r}B_{s,r}A_{r,t} + F_{t,r}a'_{s,t}a_{t,r}$ $- F_{t,r}B_{s,t}B'_{t,r} - f_{t,r}B'_{s,r}a'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$f_{t,r}b_{s,t}a_{s,r} + F_{t,r}A'_{s,t}a_{s,r} - F_{t,r}b_{s,t}B'_{s,r} - f_{t,r}B'_{s,r}A'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$f_{t,r}b_{s,t}A_{s,r} + F_{t,r}A'_{s,t}A_{s,r} - F_{t,r}b_{s,t}b'_{s,r} + f_{t,r}b'_{s,t}a'_{t,r}$ $- f_{t,r}b'_{s,r}A'_{r,t} + F_{t,r}A_{s,t}a'_{t,r} - F_{t,r}b'_{s,t}B_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$f_{t,r}B_{s,t}a'_{t,r} + f_{t,r}b_{s,t}a'_{s,r} - f_{t,r}B_{s,r}A'_{r,t} + F_{t,r}a'_{s,t}a'_{t,r}$ $+ F_{t,r}A'_{s,t}a'_{s,r} - F_{t,r}B_{s,t}B_{t,r} - F_{t,r}b_{s,t}B_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$f_{t,r}b_{s,t}A'_{s,r} - f_{t,r}b_{s,r}A'_{s,t} + F_{t,r}A'_{s,t}A'_{s,r} - F_{t,r}b_{s,t}b_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$- F_{s,r} + f_{t,r}B_{s,t}A'_{t,r} - f_{t,r}B_{s,r}a'_{r,t} - f_{t,r}b_{s,r}a'_{s,t}$ $+ F_{t,r}a'_{s,t}A'_{t,r} - F_{t,r}B_{s,t}b_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$f_{t,r}b'_{s,t}A_{t,r} + f_{t,r}B'_{s,t}A_{s,r} - f_{t,r}b'_{s,r}a_{r,t} + F_{t,r}A_{s,t}A_{t,r}$ $+ F_{t,r}a_{s,t}A_{s,r} - F_{t,r}b'_{s,t}b'_{t,r} - F_{t,r}B'_{s,t}b'_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$f_{t,r}B'_{s,t}a_{s,r} - f_{t,r}B'_{s,r}a_{s,t} + F_{t,r}a_{s,t}a_{s,r} - F_{t,r}B'_{s,t}B'_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$F_{s,r} + f_{t,r}b'_{s,t}a_{t,r} - f_{t,r}b'_{s,r}A_{r,t} - f_{t,r}B'_{s,r}A_{s,t}$ $+ F_{t,r}A_{s,t}a_{t,r} - F_{t,r}b'_{s,t}B'_{t,r}$

Referring to the previous two tables, for each row the given coefficients are equal and their common value is displayed below:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{q^{10}r^2st-3q^8r^2st+4q^6r^2st-4q^4r^2st-q^4r^2+q^4rs+q^4rt-q^4st+3q^2r^2st-r^2st}{q^4(r-s)(t-r)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{trs^2(q^4+1)(q-1)^3(q+1)^3}{q^4(-t+s)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{t^2rs(q^4+1)(q-1)^3(q+1)^3}{q^4(t-s)(-t+r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{rsQ(q^8r^2t^2-q^8rst^2-q^6r^2s^2t^2-q^6r^2t^2+q^6rs^2t+2q^6rst^2+2q^4r^2s^2t^2-q^6rt-q^4r^2s^2)}{q^4(r-s)(t-s)(-t+r)}$ $+\frac{rsQ(-3q^4rst^2-q^2r^2s^2t^2+q^4rs+q^4t^2+q^2r^2st+2q^2rst^2-q^2s^2t^2-q^2st-rst^2+s^2t^2)}{q^4(r-s)(t-s)(-t+r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{Q(q^8r^2s^2-q^8rs^2t-q^6r^2s^2t^2-q^6r^2s^2+2q^6rs^2t+q^6rst^2+2q^4r^2s^2t^2-q^6rs)}{q^4(r-s)(-t+s)(-t+r)}$ $+\frac{Q(-q^4r^2t^2-3q^4rs^2t-q^2r^2s^2t^2+q^4rt+q^4s^2+q^2r^2st+2q^2rs^2t-q^2s^2t^2-q^2st-rs^2t+s^2t^2)rt}{q^4(r-s)(-t+s)(-t+r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{Q(q^2-1)(q^6rs^2-q^6rst-q^4rs^2t^2+q^4rst+q^4s^2+q^2r^2st+2q^2rs^2t-q^4s-q^2rst-q^2s^2t+q^2t+rst-r^2t)rst}{q^4(r-s)(-t+s)(-t+r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{rsQ(q^8rst^2-q^8s^2t^2+q^6r^2s^2t^2-q^6r^2st-2q^6rst^2+q^6s^2t^2-2q^4r^2s^2t^2+q^6st)}{q^4(r-s)(t-s)(-t+r)}$ $+\frac{rsQ(q^4r^2s^2+3q^4rst^2+q^2r^2s^2t^2-q^4rs-q^4t^2+q^2r^2t^2-q^2rs^2t-2q^2rst^2+q^2rt-r^2t^2+rst^2)}{q^4(r-s)(t-s)(-t+r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{Q(q^8rs^2t-q^8s^2t^2+q^6r^2s^2t^2-q^6r^2st-2q^6rs^2t+q^6s^2t^2-2q^4r^2s^2t^2+q^6st)rt}{q^4(r-s)(-t+s)(-t+r)}$ $\frac{Q(q^4r^2t^2+3q^4rs^2t+q^2r^2s^2t^2-q^4rt-q^4s^2+q^2r^2s^2-2q^2rs^2t-q^2rst^2+q^2rs-r^2s^2+rst^2)rt}{q^4(r-s)(-t+s)(-t+r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{Q(1-q^2)(q^6rst-q^6rt^2+q^4rs^2t^2-q^4rst-q^4s^2t-q^2rs^2t^2+q^4t+q^2rst+q^2st^2-q^2s+rs^2-rst)rst}{q^4(r-s)(-t+s)(-t+r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{tsrQ(q^2-1)(q^6rst-q^4rst+q^2rst-q^2s-q^2t+r)}{(-t+r)q^4(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{rtQ(q^8rs^2t-2q^6rs^2t+2q^4rs^2t-q^4rt-q^4s^2-q^2rs^2t+q^2rs+q^2s^2+q^2st-s^2)}{q^4(t-s)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{Q(q^8rst^2-2q^6rst^2+2q^4rst^2-q^4rs-q^4t^2-q^2rst^2+q^2rt+q^2st+q^2t^2-t^2)rs}{q^4(-t+s)(-t+r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{trsQ(q^2-1)(q^6r+q^4rst-q^4s-q^4t-q^2rst+rst)}{(-t+r)q^4(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{rtQ(q^8s^2+q^6rs^2t-q^6rs-q^6s^2-q^6st-2q^4rs^2t+q^4rt+q^4s^2+2q^2rs^2t-rs^2t)}{q^4(t-s)(r-s)}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{Q(q^8t^2+q^6rst^2-q^6rt-q^6st-q^6t^2-2q^4rst^2+q^4rs+q^4t^2+2q^2rst^2-rst^2)rs}{q^4(-t+s)(-t+r)}$

In the above table we abbreviate $Q = (q^2 - q^{-2})^3$.

The overlap ambiguity (78) is resolvable.

Next we evaluate the overlap ambiguity

$$\mathcal{W}^+(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r). \quad (79)$$

We can proceed in two ways. If we evaluate $\mathcal{W}^+(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)$ first, then we find that (79) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$e_{t,r}A'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$e_{s,r}A'_{r,t} + e_{t,r}a'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-e_{s,r}A'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	0
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$e_{s,r}a'_{r,t} - e_{t,r}a'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-e_{s,r}a'_{s,t} - e_{t,r}A'_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$e_{t,r}a_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$e_{s,r}a_{r,t} + e_{t,r}A_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-e_{s,r}a_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	0
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$e_{s,r}A_{r,t} - e_{t,r}A_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-e_{s,r}A_{s,t} - e_{t,r}a_{r,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	1

If we evaluate $\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)$ first, then we find that (79) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$e_{t,r}A'_{r,s} + e_{s,r}a'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$e_{s,r}A'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-e_{t,r}a'_{t,s} - e_{s,r}A'_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$e_{t,r}a'_{r,s} - e_{s,r}a'_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	0
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-e_{t,r}A'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$e_{t,r}a_{r,s} + e_{s,r}A_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$e_{s,r}a_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-e_{t,r}A_{t,s} - e_{s,r}a_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$e_{t,r}A_{r,s} - e_{s,r}A_{r,t}$
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	0
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$-e_{t,r}a_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	1

Referring to the previous two tables, for each row the given coefficients are equal and their common value is displayed below:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{-q^4ts}{(-t+s)(-t+r)(q^2+1)^3}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{q^4ts}{(-t+s)(-s+r)(q^2+1)^3}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{-q^4ts}{(-t+s)(-s+r)(q^2+1)^3}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	0
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	0
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{q^4ts}{(-t+s)(-t+r)(q^2+1)^3}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{q^4(q^2t-s)}{(q-1)(q+1)(q^2+1)^3(-t+r)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(r)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{-(q^2s-t)q^4}{(q-1)(q+1)(q^2+1)^3(-s+r)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{(q^2s-t)q^4}{(q-1)(q+1)(q^2+1)^3(-s+r)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{G}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	0
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	0
$\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)$	$\frac{-q^4(q^2t-s)}{(q-1)(q+1)(q^2+1)^3(-t+r)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\mathcal{W}^+(s)\mathcal{W}^+(t)$	1

The overlap ambiguity (79) is resolvable.

Next we evaluate the overlap ambiguity

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r). \quad (80)$$

We can proceed in two ways. If we evaluate $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$ first, then we find that (80) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$b_{s,r}b_{t,r} + B'_{s,r}A'_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$B_{s,r}b_{t,s} + b'_{s,r}A'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$B_{s,r}B_{t,s} + b_{s,r}B_{t,r} + b'_{s,r}a'_{t,s} + B'_{s,r}a'_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$b_{s,r}B'_{t,r} + B'_{s,r}a_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$B_{s,r}B'_{t,s} + b'_{s,r}a_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$B_{s,r}b'_{t,s} + b_{s,r}b'_{t,r} + b'_{s,r}A_{t,s} + B'_{s,r}A_{t,r}$

If we evaluate $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\mathcal{W}^-(r)$ first, then we find that (80) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$b_{t,r}b_{s,r} + B'_{t,r}A'_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$B_{t,r}B_{s,t} + b_{t,r}B_{s,r} + b'_{t,r}a'_{s,t} + B'_{t,r}a'_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$B_{t,r}b_{s,t} + b'_{t,r}A'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$b_{t,r}B'_{s,r} + B'_{t,r}a_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$B_{t,r}b'_{s,t} + b_{t,r}b'_{s,r} + b'_{t,r}A_{s,t} + B'_{t,r}A_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$B_{t,r}B'_{s,t} + b'_{t,r}a_{s,t}$

Referring to the previous two tables, for each row the given coefficients are equal and their common value is displayed below:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{q^6r^2st - 2q^4r^2st - q^4r^2 + q^2r^2st + q^2rs + q^2rt - st}{q^4(s-r)(-t+r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{s(q-1)(q+1)(q^4s^2t - q^2s^2t - q^2s + t)}{q^4(-s+r)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{(q-1)(q+1)(q^4st^2 - q^2st^2 - q^2t + s)t}{(t-r)q^4(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{r(q-1)(q+1)(q^4st - q^2rs - q^2rt + st)}{q^4(-s+r)(-t+r)}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{s^2(q-1)(q+1)(q^4t - q^2s - q^2t + t)}{q^4(s-r)(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{(q-1)(q+1)(q^4s - q^2s - q^2t + s)t^2}{(-t+r)q^4(-t+s)}$

The overlap ambiguity (80) is resolvable.

Next we evaluate the overlap ambiguity

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r). \quad (81)$$

We can proceed in two ways. If we evaluate $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$ first, then we find that (81) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$A'_{s,r}b_{t,r} + a_{s,r}A'_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$a'_{s,r}b_{t,s} + A_{s,r}A'_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$a'_{s,r}B_{t,s} + A'_{s,r}B_{t,r} + A_{s,r}a'_{t,s} + a_{s,r}a'_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$A'_{s,r}B'_{t,r} + a_{s,r}a_{t,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$a'_{s,r}B'_{t,s} + A_{s,r}a_{t,s}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$a'_{s,r}b'_{t,s} + A'_{s,r}b'_{t,r} + A_{s,r}A_{t,s} + a_{s,r}A_{t,r}$

If we evaluate $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\mathcal{W}^+(r)$ first, then we find that (81) is equal to a weighted sum with the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$A'_{t,r}b_{s,r} + a_{t,r}A'_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$a'_{t,r}B_{s,t} + A'_{t,r}B_{s,r} + A_{t,r}a'_{s,t} + a_{t,r}a'_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$a'_{t,r}b_{s,t} + A_{t,r}A'_{s,t}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$A'_{t,r}B'_{s,r} + a_{t,r}a_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$a'_{t,r}b'_{s,t} + A'_{t,r}b'_{s,r} + A_{t,r}A_{s,t} + a_{t,r}A_{s,r}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$a'_{t,r}B'_{s,t} + A_{t,r}a_{s,t}$

Referring to the previous two tables, for each row the given coefficients are equal and their common value is displayed below:

term	coefficient
$\mathcal{W}^-(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{r(q-1)(q+1)(q^4st - q^2rs - q^2rt + st)}{(s-r)(-t+r)q^2}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{s^2(q-1)(q+1)(q^4t - q^2s - q^2t + t)}{(s-r)(t-s)q^2}$
$\mathcal{W}^-(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{(q-1)(q+1)(q^4s - q^2s - q^2t + s)t^2}{(t-r)q^2(-t+s)}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{q^6st - q^4r^2st - q^4rs - q^4rt + 2q^2r^2st + q^2r^2 - r^2st}{(s-r)(t-r)q^2}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(s)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	$\frac{s(q-1)(q+1)(q^4t - q^2s^2t - q^2s + s^2t)}{(s-r)(-t+s)q^2}$
$\mathcal{W}^+(t)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(r)\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(s)$	$\frac{(q-1)(q+1)(q^4s - q^2st^2 - q^2t + st^2)t}{(t-r)q^2(t-s)}$

The overlap ambiguity (81) is resolvable.

We have shown that the overlap ambiguities of type (ii) are resolvable.

The overlap ambiguities of type (iii) are obtained from the overlap ambiguities of type (ii) by applying the antiautomorphism \dagger or $\sigma\dagger$. Consequently they are resolvable.

It is transparent that the overlap ambiguities of type (iv) are resolvable.

We have shown that all the overlap ambiguities are resolvable.

References

- [1] P. Baseilhac. An integrable structure related with tridiagonal algebras. *Nuclear Phys. B* 705 (2005) 605–619; [arXiv:math-ph/0408025](#).
- [2] P. Baseilhac. Deformed Dolan-Grady relations in quantum integrable models. *Nuclear Phys. B* 709 (2005) 491–521; [arXiv:hep-th/0404149](#).
- [3] P. Baseilhac and S. Belliard. Generalized q -Onsager algebras and boundary affine Toda field theories. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 93 (2010) 213–228; [arXiv:0906.1215](#).
- [4] P. Baseilhac and S. Belliard. The half-infinite XXZ chain in Onsager’s approach. *Nuclear Phys. B* 873 (2013) 550–584; [arXiv:1211.6304](#).
- [5] P. Baseilhac and S. Belliard. An attractive basis for the q -Onsager algebra. Preprint [arXiv:1704.02950](#).
- [6] P. Baseilhac and K. Koizumi. A new (in)finite dimensional algebra for quantum integrable models. *Nuclear Phys. B* 720 (2005) 325–347; [arXiv:math-ph/0503036](#).
- [7] P. Baseilhac and K. Koizumi. A deformed analogue of Onsager’s symmetry in the XXZ open spin chain. *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.* 2005, no. 10, P10005, 15 pp. (electronic); [arXiv:hep-th/0507053](#).
- [8] P. Baseilhac and K. Koizumi. Exact spectrum of the XXZ open spin chain from the q -Onsager algebra representation theory. *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.* 2007, no. 9, P09006, 27 pp. (electronic); [arXiv:hep-th/0703106](#).
- [9] P. Baseilhac and S. Kolb. Braid group action and root vectors for the q -Onsager algebra. *Transform. Groups* 25 (2020) 363–389; [arXiv:1706.08747](#).
- [10] P. Baseilhac and K. Shigechi. A new current algebra and the reflection equation. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 92 (2010) 47–65; [arXiv:0906.1482v2](#).
- [11] G. Bergman. The diamond lemma for ring theory. *Adv. in Math.* **29** (1978) 178–218.
- [12] R. A. Brualdi, *Introductory Combinatorics, 5E*, Pearson Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2010.
- [13] R. Carter. *Lie algebras of finite and affine type*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 96. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [14] I. Damiani. A basis of type Poincare-Birkoff-Witt for the quantum algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$. *J. Algebra* 161 (1993) 291–310.
- [15] T. Ito. TD-pairs and the q -Onsager algebra. *Sugaku Expositions* 32 (2019) 205–232.
- [16] T. Ito, K. Tanabe, P. Terwilliger. Some algebra related to P - and Q -polynomial association schemes, in: *Codes and Association Schemes (Piscataway NJ, 1999)*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2001, pp. 167–192; [arXiv:math.CO/0406556](#).

- [17] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger. The shape of a tridiagonal pair. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 188 (2004) 145–160. [arXiv:math/0304244](#).
- [18] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. Tridiagonal pairs of q -Racah type. *J. Algebra* 322 (2009), 68–93; [arXiv:0807.0271](#).
- [19] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. The augmented tridiagonal algebra. *Kyushu J. Math.* 64 (2010) 81–144; [arXiv:0904.2889](#).
- [20] S. Kolb. Quantum symmetric Kac-Moody pairs. *Adv. Math.* 267 (2014) 395–469; [arXiv:1207.6036](#).
- [21] M. Lu and W. Wang. A Drinfeld type presentation of affine ι quantum groups I: split ADE type. Preprint; [arXiv:2009.04542](#).
- [22] M. Lu, S. Ruan, W. Wang. ι Hall algebra of the projective line and q -Onsager algebra. Preprint; [arXiv:2010.00646](#).
- [23] The Sage Developers. Sage Mathematics Software (Version 9.2). The Sage Development Team, 2020. <http://www.sagemath.org>.
- [24] P. Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme III. *J. Algebraic Combin.* 2 (1993) 177–210.
- [25] P. Terwilliger. Two relations that generalize the q -Serre relations and the Dolan-Grady relations. In *Physics and Combinatorics 1999 (Nagoya)*, 377–398, World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2001; [arXiv:math.QA/0307016](#).
- [26] P. Terwilliger. The universal Askey-Wilson algebra. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* 7 (2011) Paper 069, 22 pp.; [arXiv:1104.2813](#).
- [27] P. Terwilliger. The Lusztig automorphism of the q -Onsager algebra. *J. Algebra.* 506 (2018) 56–75; [arXiv:1706.05546](#).
- [28] P. Terwilliger. The q -Onsager algebra and the positive part of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 521 (2017) 19–56; [arXiv:1506.08666](#).
- [29] P. Terwilliger. The q -Onsager algebra and the universal Askey-Wilson algebra. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* 14 (2018) Paper No. 044, 18 pp.; [arXiv:1801.06083](#).
- [30] P. Terwilliger. An action of the free product $\mathbb{Z}_2 \star \mathbb{Z}_2 \star \mathbb{Z}_2$ on the q -Onsager algebra and its current algebra. *Nuclear Phys. B* 936 (2018) 306–319; [arXiv:1808.09901](#).
- [31] P. Terwilliger. The alternating PBW basis for the positive part of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. *J. Math. Phys.* 60 (2019) 071704; [arXiv:1902.00721](#).
- [32] P. Terwilliger. The alternating central extension for the positive part of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. *Nuclear Phys. B* 947 (2019) 114729; [arXiv:1907.09872](#).

[33] P. Terwilliger. A conjecture concerning the q -Onsager algebra. Preprint
arXiv:2101.09860.

Paul Terwilliger
Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin
480 Lincoln Drive
Madison, WI 53706-1388 USA
email: terwilli@math.wisc.edu