

Weisfeiler–Leman, Graph Spectra, and Random Walks

Gaurav Rattan 

RWTH Aachen University
rattan@cs.rwth-aachen.de

Tim Seppelt 

RWTH Aachen University
seppelt@cs.rwth-aachen.de

Abstract

The Weisfeiler–Leman algorithm is a ubiquitous tool for the Graph Isomorphism Problem with various characterisations in e.g. descriptive complexity and convex optimisation. It is known that graphs that are not distinguished by the two-dimensional variant have cospectral adjacency matrices. We tackle a converse problem by proposing a set of matrices called *Generalised Laplacians* that characterises the expressiveness of WL in terms of spectra. As an application to random walks, we show using Generalised Laplacians that the edge colours produced by 2-WL determine commute distances.

Keywords: Weisfeiler–Leman, Graph Spectra, Cospectrality, Random Walks, Commute Distance.

1 Introduction

The classical Weisfeiler–Leman procedure constitutes one of the oldest approaches to the Graph Isomorphism Problem [28]. The k -dimensional Weisfeiler–Leman (k -WL) is a powerful generalisation of WL, iteratively partitioning k -tuples of vertices into equivalence classes based on their local neighbourhoods. In a long line of research, k -WL has been rediscovered and connected to a wide variety of topics such as counting logics (descriptive complexity) [5], Sherali–Adams hierarchy (convex optimisation) [3], and homomorphism numbers (counting complexity) [10]. For practical purposes, the 1-dimensional version of WL, commonly known as Colour Refinement, is a widely used heuristic for average-case graph isomorphism [23] and for supervised graph classification (machine learning) [24]. Indeed, the state-of-the-art for the Graph Isomorphism Problem, Babai’s ground-breaking quasipolynomial time algorithm [4], uses k -WL as a subroutine with $k = O(\log n)$, where n is the number of vertices. Although there is no fixed dimension of WL that decides graph isomorphism [5], often a fixed dimension of WL suffices to decide isomorphism for several graph classes such as trees (via 1-WL [19]) and planar graphs (e.g. via 3-WL [20]). Finally, the powerful result of [18] shows that for any graph class with an excluded minor, a fixed dimensional WL is sufficient to decide isomorphism.

The Weisfeiler–Leman procedure also serves as a benchmark for calibrating the strength of various combinatorial invariants. Indeed, the connection of WL to descriptive complexity emerged from the classic result of [19]: they showed that two graphs G and H cannot be distinguished

by k -WL if and only if they satisfy the same set of C_{k+1} properties. More recently, there has been interest in studying graph parameters determined by k -WL [13, 1, 9, 2]. Informally, a graph parameter is determined by k -WL if two k -WL indistinguishable graphs must agree on this parameter. For example, it is known that homomorphism counts from graphs of treewidth at most k are determined by k -WL [11, 10].

The domain of spectral graph theory [25] uses the spectra of various matrices associated with graphs, such as the adjacency matrix or the Laplacian matrix, to derive structural and algorithmic results for graphs. In particular, the spectrum of the adjacency matrix is a fundamental invariant associated with a graph: however, it is not powerful enough to determine a graph up to isomorphism. In fact, it is well-known that this invariant is already determined by 2-WL [13, 8]. Apart from the adjacency matrix, the spectra of other graph matrices, e.g. of the (signless) Laplacian, normalised adjacency and Laplacian matrices, the Seidel matrix, etc., have proven to provide fruitful insights into the structure of a graph [27, 7, 26]. More importantly, several properties and parameters for graphs are either determined or closely connected to the graph spectra. For example, the classic matrix tree theorem of Kirchhoff states that the number of spanning trees of a graph is determined by its Laplacian spectrum. Hence, it is natural to ask if we can calibrate the power and limitations of spectral invariants against the standard combinatorial invariants such as those yielded by Weisfeiler–Leman.

1.1 Our Results

Specifically, can we identify a natural class of graph matrices such that non-cospectrality with respect to this class is powerful enough to imply 2-WL (k -WL) distinguishability? In other words, we seek a *spectral criterion* which subsumes 2-WL (k -WL) distinguishability. We develop the framework of Generalised Laplacians (GLs), which encompass a multitude of graph matrices studied in spectral graph theory. Generalised Laplacians are a subclass of tensor maps, i.e. functions mapping a graph G to a tensor $\varphi(G) \in \mathbb{C}^{V(G)^d}$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and naturally correspond to multilinear forms. The set of Generalised Laplacians contains elements of different dimensions (i.e. different d). It furthermore exhibits nice closure properties under various algebraic operations. Our main result shows that cospectrality with respect to all Generalised Laplacians is equivalent to k -WL indistinguishability.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $k \geq 2$. There exists a set of matrix maps $\mathfrak{L}_k^{(2)}$ called order- k Generalised Laplacians satisfying the following property: Two graphs G and H are k -WL indistinguishable if and only if $\text{Spec } \varphi(G) = \text{Spec } \varphi(H)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathfrak{L}_k^{(2)}$ such that $\varphi(F)$ is diagonalisable for all graphs F .*

As a consequence, we show that 2-WL indistinguishability implies cospectrality with respect to a wide range of matrices studied in spectral graph theory [26, 27, 7].

Corollary 1.2. *Let G and H be graphs. If G and H are 2-WL indistinguishable then G and H are cospectral with respect to the following graph matrices: the adjacency matrix, the Laplacian, the signless Laplacian, the Seidel matrix, the adjacency matrix of the complement graphs, the random walk normalised Laplacian, the symmetric normalised Laplacian.*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses the method of *individualising colourings* from [12]: essentially, we examine stable vertex partitions which put a specified vertex in a singleton set. The construction of such colourings, starting from 2-WL edge colourings, and their relation with the eigenspaces of GLs, allows us to reason about the diagonal entries of GLs in an isomorphism invariant way: having access to diagonal entries in turn gives control over the traces and the spectra of GLs.

Finally, we demonstrate the power of our framework with applications to the study of random walks on finite graphs. Random walks on graphs constitute one of the best-studied random processes, with myriad applications to statistics and computing [21]. Given a graph G , at any current position $v \in V(G)$ the walk proceeds to a neighbour $w \in N_G(v)$ with probability $1/d_G(v)$. Along with cover time and mixing time, the *commute time* is a central parameter in the quantitative study of random walks. Recall that the *hitting time* $H(s, t)$ of two vertices $s, t \in V(G)$ is the expected duration of a random walk starting in s and ending in t . The *commute time* or *commute distance* $\kappa(s, t)$ is defined to be $H(s, t) + H(t, s)$. Our following result establishes that (2-dimensional) Weisfeiler–Leman is powerful enough to determine the commute distance parameter.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G and H be graphs. Let $s, t \in V(G)$ and $u, v \in V(H)$. If 2-WL assigns the same colour to (s, t) and (u, v) then $\kappa_G(s, t) = \kappa_H(u, v)$.*

For the proof, we leverage the algebraic characterisation of hitting times [21], as opposed to the standard analytic characterisations. Indeed, the hitting time matrix can be obtained as a solution to a certain matrix equation. Our key observation is that the matrices in this equations are Generalised Laplacians, and the matrix operations used in this equation satisfy good closure properties vis-à-vis Generalised Laplacians. Hence, the hitting time matrix must necessarily be a Generalised Laplacian. In fact, the Generalised Laplacian framework is powerful enough to capture any random walk parameter which admits such an equational characterisation. Consequently, the closely related commute distance κ can be understood to be a bilinear form induced by a Generalised Laplacian,

$$\kappa_G(s, t) = \langle e_s - e_t, e_s - e_t \rangle_{K(G)},$$

for some Generalised Laplacian K (not necessarily a positive-definite Hermitian bilinear form). We show that such *generalised distances*, induced by Generalised Laplacians such as above, are determined by 2-WL edge colours.

1.2 Related Work

Besides the spectrum of graph matrices, other spectral invariants have been studied in conjunction with WL. Fürer [13] related the expressiveness of angles between eigenspaces to 2-WL. Alazaga, Iglesias, and Pignol [1] considered the adjacency spectra of graph powers (Cartesian and symmetric powers) and found that $2k$ -WL equivalence implies cospectrality with respect to adjacency matrices of k -th symmetric powers.

In a broader context, Geerts [15] showed that graphs are 2-WL indistinguishable if and only if they cannot be distinguished by queries in the linear algebra query system MATLANG. Our Theorem 1.1 complements their results by focussing on spectra, which are better understood from an algebraic viewpoint than MATLANG queries.

A well-known conjecture in Spectral Graph Theory is the assertion that almost all graphs are determined by their (adjacency) spectra [26]. This problem has lead to the study of the ability of spectra of various graph matrices to determine graphs. While the Seidel matrix spectrum does not determine any graph [26], for example the signless Laplacian spectrum determines promisingly many graphs [7]. We show that cospectrality with respect to any matrix that we know of studied in the context of this conjecture is subsumed by 2-WL. In particular, if a graph is determined by the spectrum of any of the matrices in Corollary 1.2 then it is determined by 2-WL. This is in line with the work of Dawar, Severini, and Zapata [9], who found a logical characterisation of the property of being determined by the adjacency spectrum.

Our Theorem 1.3 also follows from [16]. However, we believe that due to their algebraic flavour our techniques are applicable to a wider range of random walk parameters.

2 Preliminaries

Graph Theory All graphs considered in this article are finite, undirected, and simple. Formally, graphs are tuples (V, E) where V is a finite set of vertices and E is a set of 2-element subsets of V . For a graph G , $V(G)$ denotes its vertex set and $E(G)$ its edge set. For a vertex $u \in V(G)$, $N_G(u)$ denotes the set of all neighbours of u in G , i.e. the set of $v \in V(G)$ such that $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$. The degree $d_G(v)$ of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is the size of $N_G(v)$. The distance $\text{dist}_G(s, t)$ is the length of the shortest path connected s and t or ∞ if no such path exists.

Weisfeiler–Leman Algorithm Given a graph G , the k -dimensional Weisfeiler–Leman algorithm iteratively colours k -tuples of its vertices, as follows. Initially, two tuples $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in V(G)^k$ receive the same colour if and only if they have the same atomic type, i.e. the mapping $u_i \mapsto v_i$, $i \in [k]$ is an (partial) isomorphism. The algorithm then proceeds in rounds, defined as follows. If $C(\bar{u})$ is the colour of a tuple \bar{u} before a round, then the colour of a tuple \bar{u} after the round is defined to be

$$(C(\bar{u}), \{ \{ C(\bar{u}^{1,w}), \dots, C(\bar{u}^{k,w}) \} \mid w \in V(G) \})$$

where $\bar{u}^{i,w}$ denotes the tuple obtained by replacing the i -th vertex in \bar{u} by the vertex w , for $i \in [k]$. The procedure terminates when the colouring is stable, i.e., the partition of $V(G)^k$ into colour classes prescribed by this colouring cannot be refined by another round of k -WL. For further details, see e.g. [5].

Linear Algebra For Euclidean complex vector spaces U and V , write $U \leq V$ if U is a subspace of V . The orthogonal complement U^\perp of U is the set of all $v \in V$ such that $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ for all $u \in U$. Here, $\langle u, v \rangle := u^*v$ denotes the standard inner product. $u^* = \bar{u}^T$ is the complex-conjugate of the transpose of u . Write \bar{U} to denote the set of \bar{u} for $u \in U$.

Given a vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\text{diag } v = \text{diag}(v(i) \mid i \in [n])$ denotes the diagonal n -by- n matrix with v on the diagonal, cf. Lemma 4.3.

All vector spaces considered in this article are subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{V(G)}$ for some graph G . The standard basis vectors are denoted by e_v for $v \in V(G)$. Linear maps $A : \mathbb{C}^{V(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{V(H)}$ may be identified with matrices $\mathbb{C}^{V(G) \times V(H)}$. The transpose of A is denoted by A^T , the entry-wise complex-conjugate of A by \bar{A} , and the conjugate transpose of A by $A^* = \bar{A}^T$. Write $\text{Spec } A$ for the multiset of eigenvalues, i.e. the multiset of eigenvalues repeated according to their respective geometric multiplicities. Write $\text{tr } A$ for the trace of A , i.e. the sum of its diagonal entries. A matrix A is said to be *diagonalisable* if there exists an invertible matrix Q such that $Q^{-1}AQ$ is a diagonal matrix.

3 Colourings, Tensors and Pre-Laplacians

3.1 Colourings of Vertices

A *vertex colouring* is a map that maps a graph and one of its vertices to a colour in a fixed colour palette. Two graphs, G and H are *similar with respect to \mathcal{C}* , or *\mathcal{C} -similar*, if the number of nodes in G coloured with a given colour in \mathcal{C}^G equals the number of nodes in H coloured with the same colour in \mathcal{C}^H .

A vertex colouring \mathcal{C} *refines* a vertex colouring \mathcal{D} , in symbols $\mathcal{C} \preceq \mathcal{D}$, if for all graphs G and H and all $u \in V(G)$ and $v \in V(H)$, $\mathcal{C}^G(u) = \mathcal{C}^H(v)$ implies $\mathcal{D}^G(u) = \mathcal{D}^H(v)$. Furthermore, define $|\mathcal{C}^G(u)|$ for a graph G , a vertex $u \in V(G)$, and a vertex colouring \mathcal{C} to be the number of vertices $w \in V(G)$ such that $\mathcal{C}^G(w) = \mathcal{C}^G(u)$, i.e. the cardinality of the colour class that u belongs to.

A *stable* partition of the vertex set $V(G)$ of a graph G into sets C_1, \dots, C_r has the property that the number of neighbours of a node $u \in C_i$ in a set C_j is given by a number b_{ij} that is independent of u . This notion of stability coincides with stability under Colour Refinement [12].

Whereas 1-WL colours vertices, the k -dimensional variant of WL colours k -tuples. A k -tuple colouring \mathcal{T} is a map that maps a graph and k -tuple of its vertices to a colour in a fixed colour palette. Of particular interest is the *diagonal vertex colouring* $\Delta(\mathcal{T})$ obtained from a tuple colouring \mathcal{T} by colouring $u \in V(G)$ with the colour of (u, \dots, u) for all graphs G . Let \mathcal{W}_k denote the k -dimensional Weisfeiler–Leman k -tuple colouring. Its diagonal colouring $\Delta(\mathcal{W}_k)$ is a vertex colouring, which refines \mathcal{W}_1 .

3.2 From Colourings to Vector Spaces

The *vector space of vertex labellings* $\mathbb{C}^{V(G)}$, for a graph G , is the vector space of maps $V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For every vertex colouring \mathcal{C}^G , the *colouring-respecting space* $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$ is the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{V(G)}$ of the vectors with equal entries on equally coloured vertices. It is spanned by the indicator vectors $\mathbf{1}_{C_i}$ where C_i ranges over the colour classes of \mathcal{C}^G .

The *averaging matrix* $M = (m_{uv}) \in \mathbb{C}^{V(G) \times V(G)}$ of a vertex colouring \mathcal{C}^G is defined as

$$m_{uv} = \begin{cases} 1/|\mathcal{C}^G(u)|, & \text{if } \mathcal{C}^G(u) = \mathcal{C}^G(v), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } u, v \in V(G).$$

Equivalently, $M = \sum_{C_i} |C_i|^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{C_i} \mathbf{1}_{C_i}^T$ where the sum is taken over all colour classes in \mathcal{C}^G .

Fact 3.1. *For every vertex colouring \mathcal{C}^G , the averaging matrix M is the unique orthogonal projection from the vector space of vertex labellings $\mathbb{C}^{V(G)}$ onto the colour-respecting space $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$.*

Fact 3.2. *Let $U \leq V$ be Euclidean complex vector spaces. If U is such that $\overline{U} = U$ then the orthogonal projection M onto U satisfies $\overline{M} = M$. Furthermore, $\overline{U^\perp} = U^\perp$.*

Proof. Observe that $\overline{M^*} = \overline{M}^* = \overline{M}$. Since $\overline{U} = U$, $\overline{M}x = x$ for all $x \in U$ is equivalent to $\overline{M}\overline{x} = \overline{x}$ for all $x \in U$. The latter holds by assumption. Likewise, $\overline{U^\perp} = U^\perp$. Indeed, for $y \in U^\perp$, $\langle \overline{y}, x \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in U$ if and only if $\langle y, \overline{x} \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in U$. Hence $\overline{U^\perp} = U^\perp$. Thus, $\overline{M}x = 0$ for all $x \in U^\perp$ iff $Mx = 0$ for all $x \in U^\perp$. So \overline{M} and M are orthogonal projections onto the same space. Since orthogonal projections are unique, $M = \overline{M}$. \square

3.3 Admissibility and Compatibility

Informally, an admissible vertex colouring is stable under naive Colour Refinement (1-WL). Importantly, such colourings are defined such that it is possible to relate the colours of vertices of different graphs.

Definition 3.3. A vertex colouring \mathcal{C} is *admissible* if for all finite graphs G and H and all $u \in V(G)$ and $w \in V(H)$, if $\mathcal{C}^G(u) = \mathcal{C}^H(w)$ then $\{\{\mathcal{C}^G(x) \mid x \in N_G(u)\}\} = \{\{\mathcal{C}^H(y) \mid y \in N_H(w)\}\}$.

Remark 3.4. Let G and H be graphs and \mathcal{C} an admissible vertex colouring. By definition, the partition of $V(G)$ induced by \mathcal{C}^G is stable. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{C}^G(u) = \mathcal{C}^H(w)$ for vertices $u \in V(G)$ and $w \in V(H)$ then $\mathcal{W}_1^G(u) = \mathcal{W}_1^H(w)$ since the 1-WL vertex colouring is the coarsest stable vertex colouring.

Example 3.5. For all $k \geq 1$, $\Delta(\mathcal{W}_k)$ is admissible.

Definition 3.6 (Colour-Preserving Bijections). Given two graphs G and H and an admissible vertex colouring \mathcal{C} , a bijection $\pi : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ is \mathcal{C} -preserving if $\mathcal{C}^G(v) = \mathcal{C}^H(\pi(v))$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

Additionally, we are interested in the action of such bijections on the vector spaces associated with colourings. Let V be a map that associates a vector space $V^G \leq \mathbb{C}^{V(G)}$ to every graph G . A bijection $\pi : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ induces a permutation transformation $P : \mathbb{C}^{V(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{V(H)}$ such that $Pe_u = e_{\pi(u)}$ where e_u denotes the u -th standard basis vector. It is legitimate to ask if this association depends only on the (admissible) colouring function, and if a colouring-preserving bijection is also an isomorphism between the corresponding vector spaces.

Definition 3.7 (Compatible Vector Spaces). Let \mathcal{C} be an admissible colouring. Let V be a map that associates a vector space $V^G \leq \mathbb{C}^{V(G)}$ to every graph G . Then, V is said to be *compatible* with \mathcal{C} if

(V1) for all \mathcal{C} -similar graphs G and H and all \mathcal{C} -preserving bijections $\pi : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ inducing permutation transformation $P : \mathbb{C}^{V(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{V(H)}$, $PV^G = V^H$,

(V2) $\mathbf{1} \in V^G$ for all G , and

(V3) $\overline{V^G} = V^G$ for all G .

Example 3.8. The mapping $G \mapsto \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle$, which associates any graph with the one-dimensional vector space spanned by the uniform vector, is compatible with every admissible colouring, courtesy (V2). Similarly, the mapping $G \mapsto \mathbb{C}^{V(G)}$ is trivially compatible for any admissible colouring, courtesy (V2).

Example 3.9. For the admissible colouring \mathcal{W}_1 , the association $G \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_1^G$ is compatible. In general, for any admissible colouring \mathcal{C} , the association $G \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$ is compatible.

Fact 3.10. For two admissible colourings $\mathcal{C} \preceq \mathcal{D}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is compatible with \mathcal{C} since every \mathcal{C} -preserving map is \mathcal{D} -preserving. In particular, $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_1$ is trivially compatible with all admissible \mathcal{C} .

3.4 Graph Tensor Maps

A map φ that assigns to each graph G a tensor $\mathbb{C}^{V(G)^d}$ is called a *d-dimensional tensor map*. For example, let $A(G)$ denote the adjacency matrix of a graph G : then, $A(\cdot)$ is a 2-dimensional tensor map.

Example 3.11. Given a graph F and a d -tuple $\bar{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_d) \in V(F)^d$, define a tensor map $\text{hom}_{(F, \bar{u})}$ which, for a graph G , evaluates on a tuple $(v_1, \dots, v_d) \in V(G)^d$ to the number of homomorphisms from F to G satisfying $u_i \mapsto v_i$, $i \in [d]$.

Let \mathfrak{F} denote the class of all tensor maps, and $\mathfrak{F}^{(d)}$ denote the class of d -dimensional tensor maps. Moreover, let for $\Phi \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ denote $\Phi^{(d)}$ the class of k -dimensional tensor maps in Φ . Every d -dimensional tensor map induces a natural d -linear form on $\mathbb{C}^{V(G)}$ as follows.

Definition 3.12. For every $d \geq 1$ and every graph G , a d -dimensional tensor map $\varphi \in \mathfrak{F}^{(d)}$ gives rise to a d -linear form $\langle \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle_{\varphi(G)} : \mathbb{C}^{V(G)} \times \dots \times \mathbb{C}^{V(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined as follows for all vectors $x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathbb{C}^{V(G)}$,

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = \sum_{v_1, \dots, v_d \in V(G)} \varphi(G, v_1, \dots, v_d) x_1(v_1) \cdots x_d(v_d).$$

If $\varphi \in \mathfrak{F}^{(d)}$ and $v_1, \dots, v_d \in V(G)$ then $\langle e_{v_1}, \dots, e_{v_d} \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = \varphi(G, v_1, \dots, v_d)$ where e_{v_i} denote the v_i -th standard basis vector of $\mathbb{C}^{V(G)}$ for $i \in [d]$.

Example 3.13. The 2-linear form corresponding to the 2-dimensional adjacency tensor $A(\cdot)$ and a graph G is the bilinear form $\langle x, y \rangle_{A(G)} = \langle x, A(G)y \rangle$. The 2-linear form corresponding to the 2-dimensional identity tensor $I(u, v) = \delta_{uv}$ and a graph G on n vertices is the standard inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathbb{C}^n .

3.5 Pre-Laplacians

Let \mathcal{C} be an admissible vertex colouring and let V be compatible with \mathcal{C} . Our goal is to identify those tensor maps whose associated multilinear forms, in a sense, depend only on \mathcal{C} as long as we restrict ourselves to the vector spaces prescribed by V .

Definition 3.14. Let \mathcal{C} be an admissible vertex colouring and let V be compatible with \mathcal{C} . A *pre-Laplacian* is a tensor map $\varphi \in \mathfrak{F}^{(d)}$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

(C1) if $d = 0$, then for all \mathcal{C} -similar graphs G and H , $\varphi(G) = \varphi(H)$.

(C2) if $d \geq 1$, for all \mathcal{C} -similar graphs G and H ,

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = \langle Px_1, \dots, Px_d \rangle_{\varphi(H)}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in V^G$ and all \mathcal{C} -preserving permutation transformations $P : \mathbb{C}^{V(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{V(H)}$, and

(C3) if $d \geq 1$, for all graphs G and $x_1, \dots, x_{d-1} \in V^G$ and $y \in (V^G)^\perp$,

$$\langle y, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1} \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = \langle x_1, y, x_2, \dots, x_{d-1} \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = \dots = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, y \rangle_{\varphi(G)}$$

is zero.

Let $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}, V) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ denote the set of all pre-Laplacians associated with \mathcal{C} and V .

Informally, the condition (C1) states that a scalar-valued pre-Laplacian must agree on two \mathcal{C} -similar graphs. Condition (C2) states that the multilinear forms induced by the pre-Laplacians of similar graphs are identical up to certain permutation mappings from $\mathbb{C}^{V(G)}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{V(H)}$, namely the colouring-preserving bijections. Condition (C3) states that the multilinear forms induced by the pre-Laplacians vanish outside the relevant vector spaces V^G and V^H .

Example 3.15. Each $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}, V)$ is non-empty as it contains the all-ones tensor map $G \mapsto \mathbf{1}_d$ of every dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Indeed, for (C3), let $x_1, \dots, x_{d-1} \in V^G$ and $y \in (V^G)^\perp$. Then

$$\langle y, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1} \rangle_{\mathbf{1}_d(G)} = \sum_{v_1, \dots, v_{d-1} \in V(G)} x_1(v_1) \cdots x_{d-1}(v_{d-1}) \sum_{v_d \in V(G)} y(v_d) = 0$$

since $\sum_{v_d \in V(G)} y(v_d) = \mathbf{1}_1^T y = 0$ by (V2). (C2) is clearly satisfied.

Example 3.16. When $V = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, then each $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}, V)$ also contains the identity tensor map $I_d : (G, v_1, \dots, v_d) \mapsto 1$ if $v_1 = \dots = v_d$ and 0 otherwise, for $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

To see this, observe that $\langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle_{I_d(G)} = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \prod_{i=1}^d x_i(v)$ for all vectors $x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathbb{C}^{V(G)}$. Permutations as in (C3) do not affect this value. For (C2), observe that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$ is closed under pointwise products. If $\bar{y} \in (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G)^\perp$ then $\langle \bar{y}, x_2, \dots, x_{d-1} \rangle_{I_d(G)} = \bar{y}^T \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} x_i = 0$ where $\prod_{i=1}^{d-1} x_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$ is the pointwise product of the x_i , cf. Fact 3.2.

4 Generalised Laplacians

Let $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}) := \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}, \tilde{\mathcal{C}})$ be the set of pre-Laplacians for which the associated vector spaces are the colour-respecting spaces $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.

Definition 4.1 (Generalised Laplacians). Let $k \geq 1$. The set of *order- k Generalised Laplacian tensor maps* is defined as

$$\mathfrak{L}_k := \bigcap_{\mathcal{C} \preccurlyeq \Delta(\mathcal{W}_k)} \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Observe that for all $k \geq 1$, $\mathfrak{L}_k \subseteq \mathfrak{L}_{k+1}$ since $\Delta(\mathcal{W}_{k+1}) \preccurlyeq \Delta(\mathcal{W}_k)$. In fact, the inclusion is strict, cf. Remark 4.12.

4.1 Which Tensor Maps are Generalised Laplacians?

We next state the relevant properties of the set of pre-Laplacians $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}, V)$ for any admissible vertex colouring \mathcal{C} and compatible V . Since the set of order- k Generalised Laplacians \mathfrak{L}_k is the intersection of such sets, all results transfer effortlessly to \mathfrak{L}_k . The full strength of Definition 4.1 will only be used in the following section when Theorem 1.1 is proven.

Lemma 4.2 (The First Dimension). *Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{F}^{(1)}$. Let V be compatible with an admissible \mathcal{C} .*

1. *If $\varphi \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}, V)^{(1)}$ then $\varphi(G) \in V^G$ for all graphs G . Furthermore, if a permutation transformation $P : \mathbb{C}^{V(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{V(H)}$ is \mathcal{C} -preserving then $P\varphi(G) = \varphi(H)$.*
2. *$\varphi \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{(1)}$ if and only if the values of φ depend only on \mathcal{C} -colours, i.e. for all \mathcal{C} -similar graphs G and H and vertices $u \in V(G)$ and $v \in V(H)$*

$$\mathcal{C}^G(u) = \mathcal{C}^H(v) \implies \varphi(G, u) = \varphi(H, v).$$

Proof. 1. From (C3) it follows that for all $y \in (V^G)^\perp$, $0 = \langle y \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = y^T \varphi(G) = \bar{y}^* \varphi(G)$. In Fact 3.2 it was observed that $\overline{(V^G)^\perp} = (V^G)^\perp$. Hence, $\varphi(G)$ is in the orthogonal complement of $(V^G)^\perp$, which equals V^G .

For the second part, let $x \in \mathbb{C}^{V(H)}$ be an arbitrary vector. Write $x = y + z$ where $y \in V^H$ and $z \in (V^H)^\perp$. The permutation transformation P restricts to maps $V^G \rightarrow V^H$ and $(V^G)^\perp \rightarrow (V^H)^\perp$. In particular, $P^{-1}z \in (V^G)^\perp$. Hence, $x^T \varphi(H)$ equals

$$\langle y \rangle_{\varphi(H)} + \langle z \rangle_{\varphi(H)} \stackrel{(C3)}{=} \langle y \rangle_{\varphi(H)} \stackrel{(C2)}{=} \langle P^{-1}y \rangle_{\varphi(G)} \stackrel{(C3)}{=} \langle P^{-1}x \rangle_{\varphi(H)} = x^T P\varphi(G).$$

Since x was arbitrary, $P\varphi(G) = \varphi(H)$.

2. If $\varphi \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{(1)}$ then, by Lemma 4.2, $P\varphi(G) = \varphi(H)$ for all \mathcal{C} -similar graphs G and H and all \mathcal{C} -preserving permutation transformations $P : \mathbb{C}^{V(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{V(H)}$. If $\mathcal{C}^G(u) = \mathcal{C}^H(v)$ for vertices $u \in V(G)$ and $v \in V(H)$ then there exists a \mathcal{C} -preserving P such that $Pe_u = e_v$. Thus,

$$\varphi(G, u) = e_u^T \varphi(G) = (P^{-1}e_v)^T \varphi(G) = e_v^T P\varphi(G) = e_v^T \varphi(H) = \varphi(H, v).$$

Conversely, if the values of φ depend only on \mathcal{C} -colours then clearly $\varphi(G) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$. This implies that $\langle y \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = 0$ for all $y \in (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G)^\perp$. Furthermore if P is \mathcal{C} -preserving then $P\varphi(G) = \varphi(H)$ which implies that $\langle x \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = \langle Px \rangle_{\varphi(H)}$ for all $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$. \square

Given a one-dimensional tensor $\varphi \in \mathfrak{F}^{(1)}$ the d -dimensional *diagonal tensor* $\text{diag}_d \varphi$ which maps (G, v_1, \dots, v_d) to $\varphi(v_1)$ whenever $v_1 = \dots = v_d$ and 0 otherwise can be constructed. The set of pre-Laplacians is closed under this operation.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $d \geq 1$. Let \mathcal{C} be admissible. If $\varphi \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{(1)}$ then $\text{diag}_d \varphi \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{(d)}$.*

Proof. Let G and H be \mathcal{C} -similar graphs and let $P : \mathbb{C}^{V(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{V(H)}$ be \mathcal{C} -preserving. Let $x_1, \dots, x_d \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$ and $y \in (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G)^\perp$.

Now, $\langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle_{(\text{diag}_d \varphi)(G)} = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \varphi(G, v) \prod_{i=1}^d x_i(v) = \varphi(G)^T \prod_{i=1}^d x_i$ where $\prod_{i=1}^d x_i$ denotes the pointwise product of the x_i . For (C2) note that $\prod_{i=1}^d P x_i = P \prod_{i=1}^d x_i$ and that $P \varphi(G) = \varphi(H)$ by Lemma 4.2.

For (C3), note that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$ is closed under pointwise products. Moreover, $\langle y, x_2, \dots, x_d \rangle_{(\text{diag}_d \varphi)(G)}$ can be seen to evaluate to $y^T \left(\varphi(G) \cdot \prod_{i=2}^d x_i \right)$ by regrouping factors, where \cdot again denotes a pointwise product. By Lemma 4.2, $\varphi(G) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$. Hence, y is orthogonal to $\varphi(G) \cdot \prod_{i=2}^d x_i$. \square

Lifting to diagonals allows to construct first examples of Generalised Laplacians. The following corollary follows immediately from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 recalling Remark 3.4 and observing that 1-WL colours encode vertex degrees.

Corollary 4.4. *For every admissible \mathcal{C} , the following tensor maps are in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})$. In particular, they are in \mathfrak{L}_k for every $k \geq 1$.*

1. the 1-WL tensor map $G \mapsto W_1(G) := \text{diag}(\mathcal{W}_1^G(i) \mid i \in V(G))$,
2. the degree matrix $G \mapsto D(G) := \text{diag}(d_G(i) \mid i \in V(G))$,
3. modified degree matrices $G \mapsto D_f(G) := \text{diag}(f(d_G(i)) \mid i \in V(G))$ for any function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, e.g. $G \mapsto D(G)^{-1/2}$.

Throughout this article, numerous (pre-)Laplacians will be constructed from others using the following *simple operations*. Lemma 4.5 illustrates that viewing tensors as multilinear forms fruitfully interacts with the theory of graph matrices. In particular, in case of homomorphism tensors, cf. Example 3.11, these algebraic operations correspond to combinatorial operations on the underlying graphs, see e.g. [22].

Lemma 4.5 (Simple Operations on Multilinear Forms). *Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{F}^{(k)}$, $\psi \in \mathfrak{F}^{(l)}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore let G be a graph and let $x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l \in \mathbb{C}^{V(G)}$.*

Then the following operations on tensor maps are reflected by operations on multi-linear forms. In particular, for all V compatible with an admissible \mathcal{C} , $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}, V)$ is closed under these operations:

1. *Linear combination*, $\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle_{(\alpha\varphi + \beta\psi)(G)} := \alpha \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle_{\varphi(G)} + \beta \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle_{\psi(G)}$.
2. *Integration*, $\langle x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \rangle_{f_i \varphi(G)} := \langle x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \mathbf{1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{k-1} \rangle_{\varphi(G)}$ for all $1 \leq i < k$.
3. *Tensor product*, $\langle x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l \rangle_{(\varphi \otimes \psi)(G)} := \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle_{\varphi(G)} \cdot \langle y_1, \dots, y_l \rangle_{\psi(G)}$.

Example 4.6. Let $d : (G, v) \mapsto d_G(v)$ denote the one-dimensional tensor of vertex degrees. It is in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{(1)}$ for every admissible \mathcal{C} by Lemma 4.2 and Remark 3.4. The matrix $\mathbf{1}d^T = \mathbf{1} \otimes d$ is in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{(2)}$ by Example 3.15 and Lemma 4.5. It plays a role in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 4.7 (The Second Dimension). *Let V be compatible with an admissible \mathcal{C} . Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{F}^{(2)}$. Then $\varphi \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}, V)$ if and only if both of the two following conditions (L1) and (L2) hold. In this case, $\varphi(G)$ restricted to V^G is an endomorphism of V^G for every graph G . Moreover, $\varphi(G)$ preserves the direct sum decomposition $\mathbb{C}^{V(G)} = V^G \oplus (V^G)^\perp$.*

(L1) For every graph G , with M the orthogonal projection onto V^G ,

$$M\varphi(G) = \varphi(G)M.$$

(L2) For all \mathcal{C} -similar graphs G and H , all \mathcal{C} -preserving permutation transformations $P : \mathbb{C}^{V(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{V(H)}$, and all $x \in V^G$,

$$\varphi(H)Px = P\varphi(G)x.$$

Proof. Suppose (L1) holds. Let M be the orthogonal projection onto V^G . Let $x \in V^G$ and $y \in (V^G)^\perp$, then $Mx = x$, and $\langle x, y \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = \langle Mx, y \rangle_{\varphi(G)} \stackrel{(L1)}{=} \langle x, My \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = \langle x, 0 \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = 0$. The middle equality holds because M is real (Fact 3.2) and $M = M^*$ (Fact 3.1). Thus, (C3) holds.

Suppose (L2) holds. Let $x, y \in V^G$. Then, (C2) follows because

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = x^T \varphi(G)y \stackrel{(L2)}{=} x^T P^{-1} \varphi(H)Py = \langle Px, Py \rangle_{\varphi(H)}.$$

Conversely, suppose that (C3) holds. For all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{V(G)}$, it holds that $Mx - x \in (V^G)^\perp$, and hence

$$x^T \varphi(G)My = \langle x, My \rangle_{\varphi(G)} \stackrel{(C3)}{=} \langle Mx, My \rangle_{\varphi(G)} \stackrel{(C3)}{=} \langle Mx, y \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = x^T M\varphi(G)y.$$

Thereby, $\varphi(G)M = M\varphi(G)$ as endomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{V(G)}$. This implies (L1) and furthermore that $\varphi(G)$ restricted to V^G is an endomorphism of V^G . Also, if $z \in (V^G)^\perp$ then $y^* \varphi(G)z = \langle \bar{y}, z \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = 0$ for all $y \in V^G$ by (C3) and (V3). Thus $\varphi(G)$ preserves the direct sum decomposition $\mathbb{C}^{V(G)} = V^G \oplus (V^G)^\perp$.

Suppose additionally that (C2) holds. Let $x, y \in V^G$. Then

$$x^T P^T \varphi(H)Py = \langle Px, Py \rangle_{\varphi(H)} \stackrel{(C2)}{=} \langle x, y \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = x^T \varphi(G)y.$$

Thus, $P^{-1} \varphi(H)P = \varphi(G)$ as maps $V^G \rightarrow V^G$. (L2) follows. \square

4.2 Standard Graph Matrices are Generalised Laplacians

Besides from the rather artificial Weisfeiler–Leman tensor maps, which other matrices satisfy the conditions of Definition 4.1? Fürer [12] implicitly proved that the adjacency matrix A is a Generalised Laplacian. Since the family of Generalised Laplacians is closed under taking linear combinations, this implies that also generalised adjacency matrices of the form $\alpha A + \beta I + \gamma J$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, as studied by [26], are Generalised Laplacians. Here I denotes the identity matrix and J the all-ones matrix of appropriate dimension, cf. Examples 3.15 and 3.16.

Lemma 4.8. *For every admissible \mathcal{C} , the adjacency matrix $G \mapsto A(G)$ is in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. The proof makes use of the alternative characterisation of $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{(2)}$ given by Lemma 4.7. It is guided by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 in [12]. For (L1), let $M = \sum_C |C|^{-1} \mathbf{1}_C \mathbf{1}_C^T$, where C ranges over the colour classes of \mathcal{C}^G , be the orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{C}^G . Let E_{UV} for two sets $U, V \subseteq V(G)$ denote the number of edges in G from U to V . Then, for all $u, v \in V(G)$,

$$(MA(G))_{uv} = \frac{E_{\{v\}\mathcal{C}^G(u)}}{|\mathcal{C}^G(u)|} = \frac{E_{\mathcal{C}^G(v)\mathcal{C}^G(u)}}{|\mathcal{C}^G(u)||\mathcal{C}^G(v)|} = \frac{E_{\{u\}\mathcal{C}^G(v)}}{|\mathcal{C}^G(v)|} = (A(G)M)_{uv}.$$

The middle equalities hold because the colour of a vertex in an admissible vertex colouring determines the number of neighbours of this vertex of each colour, cf. Remark 3.4.

For the adjacency matrix, (L2) boils down to the assertion that for all \mathcal{C} -preserving bijections $\pi : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ inducing transformations $P : \mathbb{C}^{V(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{V(H)}$,

$$\sum_{w \in N_G(u)} x_w = \sum_{v \in N_H(\pi(u))} (Px)_v$$

for all $u \in V(G)$ and $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$. The vectors x and Px might be thought of as assignments of numbers to colours of \mathcal{C}^G and \mathcal{C}^H , respectively. Hence, the assertion means that two vertices corresponding under π have the same number of neighbours of any given colour. This is guaranteed by Definition 3.3. \square

Lemma 4.9 (Matrix Products). *Let V be compatible with an admissible \mathcal{C} .*

1. $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}, V)$ is closed under matrix products.
2. $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}, V)$ is closed under matrix-vector products.

Proof. 1. Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}, V)^{(2)}$. Then by Lemma 4.7, $\psi(G)$ restricted to V^G is an endomorphism of V^G for every G . Hence, for all $x, y \in V^G$,

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{(\varphi\psi)(G)} = \langle x, \psi(G)y \rangle_{\varphi(G)} \stackrel{(C2)}{=} \langle Px, P\psi(G)y \rangle_{\varphi(H)} \stackrel{(L2)}{=} \langle Px, \psi(H)Py \rangle_{\varphi(H)}$$

which equals the desired term $\langle Px, Py \rangle_{(\varphi\psi)(H)}$. For (C3), let $z \in (V^G)^\perp$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z, x \rangle_{(\varphi\psi)(G)} &= \langle z, \psi(G)x \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = 0, \\ \langle x, z \rangle_{(\varphi\psi)(G)} &= \langle \varphi(G)^T x, z \rangle_{\psi(G)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since by Lemma 4.7, $\psi(G)$ is an endomorphism of V^G . By taking the transpose of the equation in (L1), it is easy to see that also $\varphi(G)^T$ is an endomorphism of V^G .

2. Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}, V)^{(2)}$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}, V)^{(1)}$. Let G and H be \mathcal{C} -similar and P be \mathcal{C} -preserving. Let $x \in V^G$ and $y \in (V^G)^\perp$. Then $\langle x \rangle_{(\varphi\xi)(G)} = \langle x, \xi(G) \rangle_{\varphi(G)} \stackrel{(C2)}{=} \langle Px, P\xi(G) \rangle_{\varphi(H)} = \langle Px \rangle_{(\varphi\xi)(H)}$ by Lemma 4.2. Also $\langle y \rangle_{(\varphi\xi)(G)} = \langle y, \xi(G) \rangle_{\varphi(G)}$ must be zero. \square

Lemma 4.8 in conjunction with Lemmas 4.5 and 4.9 and Corollary 4.4 implies that the tensor maps which are subject of the following corollary are Generalised Laplacians. See [26, 7, 27] for background on these maps.

Corollary 4.10. *For every admissible \mathcal{C} , the following tensor maps are in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})$. In particular, they are in \mathfrak{L}_k for all $k \geq 1$.*

1. the Laplacian $G \mapsto L(G) := D(G) - A(G)$,
2. the signless Laplacian $G \mapsto |L(G)| := D(G) + A(G)$,
3. the adjacency matrix of the complement $G \mapsto A^c(G) := J(G) - A(G) - I(G)$,
4. the Seidel matrix $G \mapsto S(G) := A^c(G) - A(G)$,
5. the symmetric normalised Laplacian $G \mapsto L_{sym}(G) := D(G)^{-1/2}L(G)D(G)^{-1/2}$,
6. the random walk normalised Laplacian $G \mapsto L_{rw}(G) := D(G)^{-1}L(G)$.

4.3 Further Properties of Generalised Laplacians

Finally, we discuss some further properties of Generalised Laplacians. Firstly, we justify that $\mathfrak{L}_k \subsetneq \mathfrak{L}_{k+1}$ for every $k \geq 1$. This follows from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3:

Corollary 4.11 (*k*-WL Tensor Maps). *Let $k \geq 1$ be fixed. For every admissible $\mathcal{C} \preceq \Delta(\mathcal{W}_k)$, the tensor map $G \mapsto W_k(G) := \text{diag}_2(\Delta(\mathcal{W}_k^G)(i) \mid i \in V(G))$ is in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})$. In particular, it is in \mathfrak{L}_k .*

Remark 4.12. Corollary 4.11 implies that $\mathfrak{L}_k \subsetneq \mathfrak{L}_{k+1}$ for every $k \geq 1$. Indeed, let G and H be graphs such that G and H are k -WL indistinguishable but distinguished by $(k+1)$ -WL [5]. In order to refute that $\mathfrak{L}_{k+1} \subseteq \mathfrak{L}_k$ suppose that $W_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{C}(\Delta(\mathcal{W}_k))$. (L2) implies that for any $\Delta(\mathcal{W}_k)$ -preserving permutation transformation $P : \mathbb{C}^{V(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{V(H)}$, $W_{k+1}(H)P\mathbf{1} = PW_{k+1}(G)\mathbf{1}$. Hence, the multisets of diagonal entries of $W_{k+1}(G)$ and $W_{k+1}(H)$ are equal. Hence, G and H are $(k+1)$ -WL indistinguishable. A contradiction.

That the definition of pre-Laplacians is build on colour preserving spaces and maps between them, allows to describe the structure of their eigenspaces. The following observation appeared in [12] in disguise. Using the notion of Generalised Laplacians, a far more general statement can be proven.

Corollary 4.13. *Let \mathcal{C} be admissible and V be compatible with \mathcal{C} . Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}, V)^{(2)}$. Let G be a graph on n vertices. If $\varphi(G)$ is Hermitian then $\varphi(G)$ possesses an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors v_1, \dots, v_n such that $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V^G$ and v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n sum to zero where $m = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V^G$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.7, $\varphi(G)$ preserves the partition $\mathbb{C}^{V(G)} = V^G \oplus (V^G)^\perp$. Combining bases formed of eigenvectors of $\varphi(G)$ restricted to both of these subspaces yields a basis of eigenvectors for $\varphi(G)$ of the entire space. Since by (V2), $\mathbf{1} \in V^G$, the basis vectors in $(V^G)^\perp$ sum to zero. \square

Since the spectrum of a pre-Laplacian decomposes into eigenvalues stemming from eigenvectors on V and eigenvectors on V^\perp , it is natural to ask whether this characterisation can be related to WL. In [10] it was shown that if G and H are 1-WL indistinguishable then the adjacency eigenvalues of G and H corresponding to eigenspaces not orthogonal to $\mathbf{1}$ agree.

Along these lines, let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}, V)^{(2)}$ be a pre-Laplacian. If G and H are \mathcal{C} -similar and $v \in V^G$ is an eigenvector of $\varphi(G)$ then, by (L2), $Pv \in V^H$ is an eigenvector for $\varphi(H)$ with the same eigenvalue. Hence, the spectra of $\varphi(G)$ and $\varphi(H)$ on V agree. These spectra are in fact a 1-WL-invariant. In other words, if G and H are 1-WL indistinguishable then $\text{Spec}(\varphi(G)|_{V^G}) = \text{Spec}(\varphi(H)|_{V^H})$ for all such $\varphi \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C}, V)^{(2)}$. Such spectral properties are reflected by the notion of the *main part* of the spectrum of a graph [6].

5 WL Indistinguishable Graphs have Cospectral Generalised Laplacians

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we first recall a standard fact from linear algebra.

Fact 5.1. *Two square matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ have the same characteristic polynomial if and only if $\text{tr}(A^i) = \text{tr}(B^i)$ for all $i \in [n]$. If A and B are diagonalisable, then the above hold if and only if A and B are cospectral.*

Observe that Lemma 4.9 guarantees that the set of Generalised Laplacians $\mathfrak{L}_k^{(2)}$ is closed under taking matrix products. It remains to show that traces of two-dimensional Generalised Laplacians are zero-dimensional Generalised Laplacians. Since $\text{tr} A(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \langle e_v, e_v \rangle_{A(G)}$,

this requires access to specific entries of a Generalised Laplacian. Although (C3) relates the values of Generalised Laplacians of k -WL indistinguishable graphs, it is a priori not clear how to reason about the entries of such tensors individually because the standard basis vectors e_v , $v \in V(G)$, are generally not in the colour-respecting space $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$. A main ingredient for overcoming this difficulty is a strategy to construct new *individualising* admissible colourings with singleton colour classes from the k -WL colouring.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $k \geq 2$. Suppose $\mathcal{W}_k^G(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \mathcal{W}_k^H(w_1, \dots, w_k)$ for graphs G and H with vertices $v_1, \dots, v_k \in V(G)$ and $w_1, \dots, w_k \in V(H)$. Then for all graphs F with vertex $a \in V(F)$, the vertex colouring*

$$\mathcal{I}^F(a) = \begin{cases} (+, \mathcal{W}_k^G(a, v_2, \dots, v_k)), & \text{if } F = G, \\ (+, \mathcal{W}_k^H(a, w_2, \dots, w_k)), & \text{if } F = H, \\ (-, \mathcal{W}_k^F(a, \dots, a)), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

is admissible. Furthermore, the following conditions are satisfied:

1. \mathcal{I}^G and \mathcal{I}^H are similar.
2. \mathcal{I} refines $\Delta(\mathcal{W}_k)$.
3. For $2 \leq l \leq k$, v_l and w_l lie in singleton colour classes in \mathcal{I}^G and \mathcal{I}^H respectively.
4. For $1 \leq l \leq k$, $\mathcal{I}^G(v_l) = \mathcal{I}^H(w_l)$.

Proof. To ease notation, the case $k = 2$ is considered. All arguments carry through analogously for $k > 2$. More precisely, the following statement is proven:

Let G and H be graphs with vertices $s, t \in V(G)$ and $u, v \in V(H)$ such that $\mathcal{W}_2^G(s, t) = \mathcal{W}_2^H(u, v)$. Then the vertex colouring \mathcal{I} defined for all graphs F and vertices $a \in V(F)$ as

$$\mathcal{I}^F(a) := \begin{cases} (+, \mathcal{W}_2^G(s, a)), & \text{if } F = G, \\ (+, \mathcal{W}_2^H(u, a)), & \text{if } F = H, \\ (-, \mathcal{W}_2^F(a, a)), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is admissible. Furthermore, the following conditions are satisfied:

1. \mathcal{I}^G and \mathcal{I}^H are similar.
2. \mathcal{I} refines $\Delta(\mathcal{W}_2)$.
3. the vertices s and u lie in singleton colour classes in \mathcal{I}^G and \mathcal{I}^H , respectively.
4. $\mathcal{I}^G(s) = \mathcal{I}^H(u)$ and $\mathcal{I}^G(t) = \mathcal{I}^H(v)$.

Note that whenever $\mathcal{I}^E(a) = \mathcal{I}^F(b)$ then either $E, F \in \{G, H\}$ or $E, F \notin \{G, H\}$. In the latter case, that \mathcal{I} satisfies Definition 3.3 follows from the fact that $\Delta(\mathcal{W}_2)$ is admissible.

For the former case, suppose that $a \in V(G)$ and $b \in V(H)$ are such that $\mathcal{I}^G(a) = \mathcal{I}^H(b)$.

First it is shown that \mathcal{I} is admissible. Observe that $\mathcal{W}_2^G(s, a) = \mathcal{W}_2^H(u, b)$ implies that

$$\{(\mathcal{W}_2^G(s, r), \mathcal{W}_2^G(r, a)) \mid w \in V(G)\} = \{(\mathcal{W}_2^H(u, w), \mathcal{W}_2^H(w, b)) \mid w \in V(H)\}$$

In other words, there exists a bijection $\pi : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ such that for all $r \in V(G)$,

$$\mathcal{W}_2^G(s, r) = \mathcal{W}_2^H(u, \pi(r)), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W}_2^G(r, a) = \mathcal{W}_2^H(\pi(r), b). \quad (1)$$

The bijection π is such that $\pi(N_G(a)) = N_H(b)$ since if $r \in N_G(a)$ but $\pi(r) \notin N_H(b)$ then (r, a) and $(\pi(r), b)$ were assigned different colours in the first round of 2-WL. Thus, $\mathcal{W}_2^G(r, a) \neq \mathcal{W}_2^H(\pi(r), b)$, a contraction to Equation (1). This implies that

$$\{\{\mathcal{W}_2^G(s, r) \mid r \in N_G(a)\}\} = \{\{\mathcal{W}_2^H(u, w) \mid w \in N_H(b)\}\}.$$

This implies that \mathcal{I} satisfies Definition 3.3.

It remains to verify the claims on properties of \mathcal{I} . Let π be as in Equation (1). Then for all $r \in V(G)$, $\mathcal{I}^G(r) = \mathcal{I}^H(\pi(r))$, so the colourings are similar.

Colours of loops are different from colours of non-loops in 2-WL. Hence $\mathcal{I}^G(s) = \mathcal{W}_2^G(s, s)$ individualises s . The same argument shows that u lies in a singleton colour class in \mathcal{I}^H . Moreover,

$$\mathcal{I}^G(s) = \mathcal{W}_2^G(s, s) = \mathcal{W}_2^H(u, u) = \mathcal{I}^H(u)$$

by the initial assumption. Similarly, $\mathcal{I}^G(t) = \mathcal{I}^H(v)$. \square

For $k = 2$, Lemma 5.2 allows the individualisation of one vertex at a time. Moreover, Lemma 5.3 guarantees, by relating standard basis vectors, which are generally not in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$, to indicator vectors of colour classes, which are clearly in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$, that a single individualisation already renders reasoning about the entries of two-dimensional Generalised Laplacians possible.

Lemma 5.3. *Let \mathcal{I} be an admissible colouring and let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{I})^{(2)}$. Let G be a graph with vertices $s, t \in V(G)$. Suppose that the vertex s lies in a singleton colour class in \mathcal{I}^G . Then*

$$\varphi(G, s, t) = \langle e_s, e_t \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = |\mathcal{I}^G(t)|^{-1} \langle e_s, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{I}^G(t)} \rangle_{\varphi(G)}.$$

Proof. Recalling Lemma 4.7, let M denote the averaging matrix of \mathcal{I}^G .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_s, e_t \rangle_{\varphi(G)} &= \varphi(G, s, t) = \sum_{r \in \mathcal{I}^G(s)} \frac{\varphi(G, r, t)}{|\mathcal{I}^G(s)|} = (M\varphi(G))_{st} \stackrel{(L1)}{=} (\varphi(G)M)_{st} \\ &= \sum_{r \in \mathcal{I}^G(t)} \frac{\varphi(G, s, r)}{|\mathcal{I}^G(t)|} = |\mathcal{I}^G(t)|^{-1} e_s^T \varphi(G) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{I}^G(t)} = |\mathcal{I}^G(t)|^{-1} \langle e_s, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{I}^G(t)} \rangle_{\varphi(G)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.4. *Let $k \geq 2$. Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{L}_k^{(2)}$. Then the entries of φ are determined by k -WL colours. That is, for all graphs G and H with vertices $s, t \in V(G)$ and $u, v \in V(H)$, if $\mathcal{W}_k^G(s, t, \dots, t) = \mathcal{W}_k^H(u, v, \dots, v)$ then $\varphi(G, s, t) = \varphi(H, u, v)$.*

Proof. Suppose (s, t) and (u, v) were assigned the same colour by k -WL. Choose by Lemma 5.2 a stable vertex colouring $\mathcal{I} \preceq \Delta(\mathcal{W}_k)$ individualising s and u respectively. Let $P : \mathbb{C}^{V(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{V(H)}$ be an \mathcal{I} -preserving permutation transformation mapping e_s to e_u and e_t to e_v .

The claim follows from Lemma 5.3:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(G, s, t) &= \langle e_s, e_t \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = |\mathcal{I}^G(t)|^{-1} \langle e_s, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{I}^G(t)} \rangle_{\varphi(G)} \\ &\stackrel{(C2)}{=} |\mathcal{I}^H(v)|^{-1} \langle P e_s, P \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{I}^G(t)} \rangle_{\varphi(H)} = \langle e_u, e_v \rangle_{\varphi(H)} = \varphi(H, u, v). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

It is now straightforward to see that traces of two-dimensional Generalised Laplacians are zero-dimensional Generalised Laplacians. This completes the preparations for the proof of our main theorem.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $k \geq 2$. For $\varphi \in \mathfrak{L}_k^{(2)}$, the tensor $\text{tr } \varphi$ defined as $(\text{tr } \varphi)(G) = \text{tr } \varphi(G)$ for all graphs G is in $\mathfrak{L}_k^{(0)}$.*

Proof. It has to be verified that $\text{tr } \varphi$ satisfies (C1). Let $\mathcal{C} \preceq \Delta(\mathcal{W}_k)$ be an admissible vertex colouring and let G and H be \mathcal{C} -similar graphs. Let $P : \mathbb{C}^{V(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{V(H)}$ be a \mathcal{C} -preserving permutation transformation. By Theorem 5.4,

$$\text{tr } \varphi(G) = \sum_{s \in V(G)} \langle e_s, e_s \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = \sum_{s \in V(G)} \langle P e_s, P e_s \rangle_{\varphi(H)} = \sum_{u \in V(H)} \langle e_u, e_u \rangle_{\varphi(H)} = \text{tr } \varphi(H). \quad \square$$

Theorem 1.1. Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{L}_k^{(2)}$ be diagonalisable and suppose that G and H are k -WL indistinguishable. By Lemma 4.9, \mathfrak{L} is closed under matrix products. Hence, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ the matrix power φ^i is in \mathfrak{L}_k . By Lemma 5.5, $\text{tr } \varphi^i \in \mathfrak{L}_k$. Hence, $\text{tr}(\varphi(G))^i = \text{tr}(\varphi(H))^i$. By Fact 5.1, $\varphi(G)$ and $\varphi(H)$ are cospectral.

Conversely, let G and H be graphs, which are cospectral with respect to all diagonalisable order- k Generalised Laplacians of dimension 2. Consider the zero-dimensional tensor map $\omega_{G,k}$ given by

$$\omega_{G,k} : H \mapsto \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } G, H \text{ are } k\text{-WL indistinguishable,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{for all graphs } H.$$

It is in $\mathfrak{L}_k^{(0)}$. Indeed, for (C1), if $\mathcal{C} \preceq \Delta(\mathcal{W}_k)$ is admissible and E and F are \mathcal{C} -similar graphs then E and F are k -WL indistinguishable by Remark 3.4. By Lemma 4.2, the lift of $\omega_{G,k}$ to dimension 1 and further by Lemma 4.3 to dimension 2 is in $\mathfrak{L}_k^{(2)}$. Let $\varphi_{G,k} \in \mathfrak{L}_k^{(2)}$ denote this lift. By assumption, $\text{Spec } \varphi_{G,k}(G) = \text{Spec } \varphi_{G,k}(H)$. Hence, G and H are k -WL indistinguishable. \square

Corollary 1.2 follows. The subsequent two consequence of respectively Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 1.1 will be useful when applying Generalised Laplacians to random walks in Section 6.

Corollary 5.6. *Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{L}_2^{(2)}$. The generalised distance induced by φ is determined by 2-WL. That is, for all graphs G and H with vertices $s, t \in V(G)$ and $u, v \in V(H)$,*

$$\mathcal{W}_2^G(s, t) = \mathcal{W}_2^H(u, v) \implies \langle e_s - e_t, e_s - e_t \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = \langle e_u - e_v, e_u - e_v \rangle_{\varphi(H)}.$$

Proof. If $\mathcal{W}_2^G(s, t) = \mathcal{W}_2^H(u, v)$ then $\mathcal{W}_2^G(t, s) = \mathcal{W}_2^H(v, u)$, and $\mathcal{W}_2^G(s, s) = \mathcal{W}_2^H(u, u)$, and $\mathcal{W}_2^G(t, t) = \mathcal{W}_2^H(v, v)$. Hence, by Theorem 5.4, we have $\langle e_s, e_t \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = \langle e_u, e_v \rangle_{\varphi(H)}$, $\langle e_s, e_s \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = \langle e_u, e_u \rangle_{\varphi(H)}$, and $\langle e_t, e_t \rangle_{\varphi(G)} = \langle e_v, e_v \rangle_{\varphi(H)}$. The equation of interest is a linear combination of these relations. \square

Corollary 5.7 (Matrix Inverses). *Let $k \geq 2$. If $\varphi \in \mathfrak{L}_k^{(2)}$ then $\varphi^{-1} \in \mathfrak{L}_k^{(2)}$ where φ^{-1} is defined to be $(\varphi(G))^{-1}$ if φ is non-singular (and $\mathbf{0}$ if φ is singular).*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} \preceq \Delta(\mathcal{W}_k)$ be an admissible colouring. The conditions established in Lemma 4.7 are verified: Let G and H be \mathcal{C} -similar graphs. Let $P : \mathbb{C}^{V(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{V(H)}$ be \mathcal{C} -preserving. Let $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$.

By assumption, G and H are k -WL indistinguishable. By Fact 5.1 and the proof of Theorem 1.1, $\varphi(G)$ and $\varphi(H)$ have the same set (not necessarily multiset) of eigenvalues even if they are not diagonalisable. Hence, $\varphi(G)$ is invertible if and only if $\varphi(H)$ is invertible.

If $\varphi(G)$ and $\varphi(H)$ are singular, $\varphi^{-1}(G) = \varphi^{-1}(H) = \mathbf{0}$ and (L1) and (L2) are trivial.

Now suppose that $\varphi(G)$ and $\varphi(H)$ are non-singular. (L1) is straightforward. By Lemma 4.7, $\varphi(G)$ can be restricted to an automorphism of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$. Hence every $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$ is realised as $x = \varphi(G)y$ for some $y \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^G$. Therefore, $\varphi^{-1}(H)Px = \varphi^{-1}(H)P\varphi(G)y = \varphi^{-1}(H)\varphi(H)Py = P\varphi^{-1}(G)x$. \square

6 Application to Random Walks

In this section, we present the proof of Theorem 1.3. First, we show (Proposition 6.1) that the commute distance κ is induced by a Generalised Laplacian. With some technical adjustments for disconnected graphs (Lemma 6.3), we then use Corollary 5.6 to conclude that such generalised distances, induced by Generalised Laplacians, are determined by 2-WL edge colours. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $m : G \mapsto |E(G)|$ denote the number of edges map. The commute distance κ of connected graphs is induced by the Generalised Laplacian*

$$K := (I - D^{-1}A + \mathbf{1} \otimes D\mathbf{1}/(2m))^{-1}(2mD^{-1} - J).$$

That is, for all connected G with vertices $s, t \in V(G)$,

$$\kappa_G(s, t) = \langle e_s - e_t, e_s - e_t \rangle_{K(G)}.$$

Proof. To ease notation, a connected graph G with n vertices and m edges is fixed. Nevertheless, all matrices and vectors are considered as tensor maps evaluated at the graph G . Let A denote the adjacency tensor and D the degree tensor. Furthermore, set $M := D^{-1}A$. Note that $M\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ and $M^T\pi = \pi$ where $\pi := D\mathbf{1}/(2m)$ is the stable distribution of the random walk. Let finally $M' := \mathbf{1}\pi^T$.

The proof follows [21]. The hitting time satisfies the following equation for all vertices $s \neq t$,

$$H(s, t) = 1 + \frac{1}{d_G(s)} \sum_{r \in N_G(s)} H(r, t).$$

In matrix form this means that $F := J + MH - H$ is a diagonal matrix. Note furthermore that

$$F^T\pi = J\pi + H^T(M - I)^T\pi = J\pi = \mathbf{1}.$$

This implies that $F = 2mD^{-1}$. Hence, H is determined by the equation

$$J - 2mD^{-1} = (I - M)H. \quad (2)$$

It remains to solve this equation for H . However, $I - M$ is singular (as $\mathbf{1}$ lies in its kernel). By the Perron–Frobenius Theorem (e.g. [17]), since the graph is assumed to be connected, $\mathbf{1}$ spans the eigenspace of M with eigenvalue 1. This guarantees that the kernel of $I - M$ is spanned by $\mathbf{1}$. Thus, for any solution X of Equation (2) the matrix $X + \mathbf{1}a^T$ for any vector a is a solution as well. The matrix H is nevertheless uniquely determined by Equation (2) since it satisfies for every vertex s that $H(s, s) = 0$. In other words, after finding a solution X for Equation (2), a has to be chosen such that $X + \mathbf{1}a^T$ is zero on the diagonal.

Consider the tensor $X := (I - M + M')^{-1}(J - 2mD^{-1})$. It is well-defined since when $N := D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}$ is spectrally decomposed as $\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k v_k v_k^T$ with $v_1(i) = \sqrt{\pi(i)}$ and $1 = \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq -1$ by Perron–Frobenius,

$$M' + I - M = D^{-1/2}v_1v_1^T D^{1/2} + \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - \lambda_k) D^{-1/2}v_k v_k^T D^{1/2}$$

observing that $\mathbf{1}\pi^T = D^{-1/2}v_1v_1^T D^{1/2}$. The eigenvalues $2 - \lambda_1 = 1$ and $1 - \lambda_k$ for $1 < k \leq n$ are all non-zero. Hence, $I - M + M'$ is indeed non-singular.

Furthermore, X solves Equation (2). Indeed, it can be seen that $(I-M)(I-M+M')^{-1} = I-M'$ and $(I-M')(J-2mD^{-1}) = J-2mD^{-1}$.

In order to obtain H from X , a suitable vector a has to be found such that the matrix $X + \mathbf{1}a^T$ is zero on the diagonal and thus equals H . Alternatively, H can be expressed in terms of X as follows:

$$\kappa(s, t) = H(s, t) + H(t, s) = X(s, t) + X(t, s) - X(s, s) - X(t, t).$$

Hence, the tensor $K := -X$ induces κ . It is a Generalised Laplacian since it is build of the Generalised Laplacians I, J, D, M (Lemma 4.9), and $M' = \mathbf{1} \otimes \pi$ (Lemmas 4.2 and 4.5) by matrix products and taking inverses (Corollary 5.7). Since $m(G) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \rangle_{A(G)}$, m is a Generalised Laplacian as well (Lemma 4.5). \square

The proof of Proposition 6.1 relied on the Perron–Frobenius Theorem, which characterises the spectrum of connected graphs. In order to prove Theorem 1.3 in full generality, it has to be argued that it is possible to consider the connected components of a graph individually. This is the purpose of Lemma 6.3 whose proof relies on the following corollary of Theorem 5.4.

Corollary 6.2. *For graphs G and H , and vertices $s, t \in V(G)$ and $u, v \in V(H)$,*

$$\mathcal{W}_2^G(s, t) = \mathcal{W}_2^H(u, v) \implies \text{dist}_G(s, t) = \text{dist}_H(u, v).$$

Proof. By definition, $\text{dist}_G(s, t) = \min \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid A^i(G, s, t) \neq 0\}$. By Lemma 4.9 and Theorem 5.4, if $\mathcal{W}_2^G(s, t) = \mathcal{W}_2^H(u, v)$ then $(A^i(G, s, t) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}) = (A^i(H, u, v) \mid i \in \mathbb{N})$. \square

Lemma 6.3. *Let G and H be graphs and let $s, t \in V(G)$ and $u, v \in V(H)$ be vertices such that $\mathcal{W}_2^G(s, t) = \mathcal{W}_2^H(u, v)$. If $\text{dist}_G(s, t) = \text{dist}_H(u, v) < \infty$ then $\mathcal{W}_2^{G'}(s, t) = \mathcal{W}_2^{H'}(u, v)$ where G' and H' denote the connected components of G and H containing s, t , and u, v , respectively.*

Lemma 6.3. Since the 2-WL edge colouring is the coarsest stable edge colouring, it suffices to show that the colourings $\mathcal{R}^{G'}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{H'}$ obtained by restricting \mathcal{W}_2^G and \mathcal{W}_2^H respectively are stable. By assumption,

$$\mathcal{R}^{G'}(s, t) = \mathcal{W}_2^G(s, t) = \{ \{ (\mathcal{W}_2^G(s, u), \mathcal{W}_2^G(u, t)) \mid u \in V(G) \} \}.$$

By Corollary 6.2, $\mathcal{W}_2^G(s, r)$ encodes whether $r \in V(G')$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{G'}(s, t) &= \{ \{ (\mathcal{W}_2^G(s, r), \mathcal{W}_2^G(r, t)) \mid r \in V(G) \} \} \\ &= \{ \{ (\mathcal{R}^{G'}(s, r), \mathcal{R}^{G'}(r, t)) \mid u \in V(G') \} \}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, the colouring $\mathcal{R}^{G'}$ is stable under 2-WL. Thus, it refines $\mathcal{W}_2^{G'}$. For H' the claim follows analogously. By definition, $\mathcal{R}^{G'}(s, t) = \mathcal{R}^{H'}(u, v)$ and hence $\mathcal{W}_2^{G'}(s, t) = \mathcal{W}_2^{H'}(u, v)$. \square

Now we use Corollary 5.6 to conclude that generalised distances induced by Generalised Laplacians are determined by 2-WL edge colours.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let G and H be graphs with vertices $s, t \in V(G)$ and $u, v \in V(H)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{W}_2^G(s, t) = \mathcal{W}_2^H(u, v)$. Hence, G and H are 2-WL indistinguishable, cf. Proposition 1 in [14].

Moreover, if G is connected then H is connected as well. This follows for example from the fact that G and H are cospectral with respect to their Laplacian matrices, cf. [26] and Corollary 1.2.

By Lemma 6.3, we may assume that both graphs are connected. Indeed, if $\text{dist}_G(s, t) = \text{dist}_H(u, v) = \infty$ then $\kappa_G(s, t) = \kappa_H(u, v) = \infty$. Otherwise, Lemma 6.3 permits to pass to the connected components containing s, t and u, v respectively.

By Proposition 6.1, the commute distance κ is induced by the Generalised Laplacian K . By Corollary 5.6, such a distance is determined by 2-WL edge colours. \square

7 Conclusion

The framework of Generalised Laplacians yields a spectral characterisation for Weisfeiler–Leman indistinguishability. Along the way, we also showed that the spectra of several standard graph matrices are determined by 2-WL.

Some interesting questions remain open. The connection to homomorphism tensors (Example 3.11) merits the following question: For which graphs F is the homomorphism tensor $G \mapsto \text{hom}(F, G)$ a Generalised Laplacian and vice-versa? Indeed, it is possible to show that all the standard graph matrices are homomorphism tensors for graphs of pathwidth at most two.

Moreover, it is possible to show the existence of graphs G and H such that G and H are distinguished by 2-WL but are not distinguished by their multisets of commute distances. What supplementary information does the commute distance parameter need in order to achieve 2-WL strength?

Another open problem is to characterise graph parameters which are determined by k -WL. Finally, the implications of our result to the design of graph neural networks (GNNs) merits further scrutiny.

Acknowledgements We thank Martin Grohe for helpful conversations. The first author is funded by the DFG Research Grants Program RA 3242/1-1 via project number 411032549. The second author is supported by the German Research Council (DFG) within Research Training Group 2236 (UnRAVeL).

8 References

- [1] Alfredo Alzaga, Rodrigo Iglesias, and Ricardo Pignol. Spectra of symmetric powers of graphs and the Weisfeiler–Leman refinements. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 100(6):671–682, November 2010.
- [2] V. Arvind, Frank Fuhlbrück, Johannes Köbler, and Oleg Verbitsky. On Weisfeiler-Leman invariance: Subgraph counts and related graph properties. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 113:42–59, November 2020.
- [3] Albert Atserias and Elitza Maneva. Sherali-adams relaxations and indistinguishability in counting logics. In *Proceedings of the 3rd Innovations in Theoretical Computer Science Conference, ITCS '12*, page 367–379, New York, NY, USA, 2012. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [4] László Babai. Graph isomorphism in quasipolynomial time [extended abstract]. In *Proceedings of the Forty-Eighth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC '16*, page 684–697, New York, NY, USA, 2016. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [5] Jin-Yi Cai, Martin Fürer, and Neil Immerman. An optimal lower bound on the number of variables for graph identification. *Combinatorica*, 12(4):389–410, 1992.
- [6] Dragoš M. Cvetković, Peter Rowlinson, and Slobodan K. Simić. *Eigenspaces of Graphs*. Cambridge University Press, 1 edition, January 1997.
- [7] Dragoš M. Cvetković, Peter Rowlinson, and Slobodan K. Simić. Signless Laplacians of finite graphs. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 423(1):155–171, May 2007.
- [8] Anuj Dawar, Simone Severini, and Octavio Zapata. Pebble Games and Cospectral Graphs. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 61:323–329, August 2017.
- [9] Anuj Dawar, Simone Severini, and Octavio Zapata. Descriptive complexity of graph spectra. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 170(9):993–1007, September 2019.
- [10] Holger Dell, Martin Grohe, and Gaurav Rattan. Lovász Meets Weisfeiler and Leman. *45th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming (ICALP 2018)*, pages 40:1–40:14, 2018.

- [11] Zdeněk Dvořák. On recognizing graphs by numbers of homomorphisms. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 64(4):330–342, August 2010.
- [12] Martin Fürer. Graph Isomorphism Testing without Numerics for Graphs of Bounded Eigenvalue Multiplicity. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, SODA '95, pages 624–631, USA, 1995. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics. event-place: San Francisco, California, USA.
- [13] Martin Fürer. On the power of combinatorial and spectral invariants. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 432(9):2373–2380, April 2010.
- [14] Martin Fürer. On the Combinatorial Power of the Weisfeiler-Lehman Algorithm. In Dimitris Fotakis, Aris Pagourtzis, and Vangelis Th. Paschos, editors, *Algorithms and Complexity*, pages 260–271, Cham, 2017. Springer International Publishing.
- [15] Floris Geerts. On the Expressive Power of Linear Algebra on Graphs. *Theory of Computing Systems*, 2020.
- [16] C. D. Godsil. Equiarboreal graphs. *Combinatorica*, 1(2):163–167, June 1981.
- [17] Christopher David Godsil and Gordon Royle. *Algebraic graph theory*. Number 207 in Graduate texts in mathematics. Springer, New York, NY, nachdr. edition, 2004. OCLC: 254846079.
- [18] Martin Grohe. Fixed-point definability and polynomial time on graphs with excluded minors. *J. ACM*, 59(5), November 2012.
- [19] Neil Immerman and Eric Lander. Describing Graphs: A First-Order Approach to Graph Canonization. In Alan L. Selman, editor, *Complexity Theory Retrospective: In Honor of Juris Hartmanis on the Occasion of His Sixtieth Birthday, July 5, 1988*, pages 59–81. Springer New York, New York, NY, 1990.
- [20] Sandra Kiefer, Iliia Ponomarenko, and Pascal Schweitzer. The Weisfeiler-Leman dimension of planar graphs is at most 3. In *2017 32nd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science (LICS)*, pages 1–12, Reykjavik, Iceland, June 2017. IEEE.
- [21] László Lovász. Random walks on graphs: a survey. In *Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is eighty, Vol. 2 (Keszthely, 1993)*, volume 2 of *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, pages 353–397. János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 1996.
- [22] Laura Mančinská and David E. Roberson. Quantum isomorphism is equivalent to equality of homomorphism counts from planar graphs. *arXiv:1910.06958 [quant-ph]*, October 2019. arXiv: 1910.06958.
- [23] Brendan McKay. Practical graph isomorphism. *Congressus Numerantium*, 30:45–87, 1981.
- [24] Christopher Morris, Martin Ritzert, Matthias Fey, William L. Hamilton, Jan Eric Lenssen, Gaurav Rattan, and Martin Grohe. Weisfeiler and Leman Go Neural: Higher-Order Graph Neural Networks. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 33:4602–4609, July 2019.
- [25] Dan Spielman. Spectral and Algebraic Graph Theory. Available on the author’s homepage <http://cs-www.cs.yale.edu/homes/spielman/sagt/sagt.pdf>, December 2019.
- [26] Edwin R. van Dam and Willem H. Haemers. Which graphs are determined by their spectrum? *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 373:241–272, November 2003.
- [27] Ulrike von Luxburg. A tutorial on spectral clustering. *Statistics and Computing*, 17(4):395–416, December 2007.
- [28] Boris Weisfeiler. *On Construction and Identification of Graphs*, volume 558 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1976.