

POISSON YANG-BAXTER EQUATIONS AND \mathcal{O} -OPERATORS OF POISSON SUPERALGEBRAS

JIAWEN SHAN AND RUNXUAN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We investigate connections between \mathcal{O} -operators of Poisson superalgebras and skew-symmetric solutions of the Poisson Yang-Baxter equation (PYBE). We prove that a skew-symmetric solution of the PYBE on a Poisson superalgebra can be interpreted as an \mathcal{O} -operator associated to the co-regular representation. We show that this connection can be enhanced with symplectic forms when considering non-degenerate skew-symmetric solutions. We also show that \mathcal{O} -operators associated to a general representation could give skew-symmetric solutions of the PYBE in certain semi-direct product of Poisson superalgebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background. Finite-dimensional Poisson algebras and Poisson superalgebras over a field \mathbb{F} of characteristic zero play important roles in several areas in mathematics and mathematical physics, such as Poisson geometry, integrable systems, non-commutative (algebraic or differential) geometry; see for example [CP94], [Uch08] and [She93]. The Poisson Yang-Baxter equation (PYBE) on a Poisson algebra \mathfrak{p} has been studied recently in [NB13] and [LBS20] which contains several substantial ramifications, establishing connections between symplectic geometry, PYBEs, and Poisson bialgebras, whereas characterizing specific solutions of the PYBE for a given \mathfrak{p} is an indispensable and challenging task in terms of the viewpoint of pure mathematics. As a natural generalization of Poisson algebras, Poisson superalgebras contains classes of Lie superalgebras and associative superalgebras. Some similar results on Classical Yang-Baxter equation (CYBE) on Lie superalgebras, Associative Yang-Baxter equation (AYBE) on associative superalgebras, and PYBE on Poisson algebras prompt us to study PYBE on Poisson superalgebras; see [Agu00], [WHB10], and [Xu94]. Our primary objective is to give a systematic study on skew-symmetric solutions of PYBE in terms of \mathcal{O} -operators of Poisson superalgebras. Our approach exposes some deep connections between the PYBE and \mathcal{O} -operators.

1.2. AYBE and CYBE. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be a finite-dimensional associative superalgebra over \mathbb{F} and $r = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ be an arbitrary element. The equation

$$(1.1) \quad A(r) = r_{13} \cdot r_{12} - (-1)^{|r|} r_{12} \cdot r_{23} + r_{23} \cdot r_{13} = 0$$

is called the *Associative Yang-Baxter Equation (AYBE)* on \mathcal{A} . Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\ , \])$ be a finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{F} and $r = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. The equation

$$(1.2) \quad C(r) = [r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{12}, r_{23}] + [r_{13}, r_{23}] = 0$$

Date: March 4, 2021.

Key words and phrases. Poisson superalgebra; Poisson Yang-Baxter equation; \mathcal{O} -operator.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B38; 17B63.

is called the *Classical Yang-Baxter Equation (CYBE)* on \mathfrak{g} . Here in previous two definitions,

$$r_{12} := \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \otimes 1, \quad r_{13} := \sum_i x_i \otimes 1 \otimes y_i, \quad r_{23} := \sum_i 1 \otimes x_i \otimes y_i.$$

More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} r_{13} \cdot r_{12} &= \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{|y_i|(|x_j|+|y_j|)} (x_i \cdot x_j) \otimes y_j \otimes y_i, \\ r_{12} \cdot r_{23} &= \sum_{i,j} x_i \otimes (y_i \cdot x_j) \otimes y_j, \\ r_{23} \cdot r_{13} &= \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{|x_j|(|x_i|+|y_i|)} x_j \otimes x_i \otimes (y_i \cdot y_j), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [r_{13}, r_{12}] &= \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{|y_i|(|x_j|+|y_j|)} [x_i, x_j] \otimes y_j \otimes y_i, \\ [r_{23}, r_{13}] &= \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{|x_j|(|x_i|+|y_i|)} x_j \otimes x_i \otimes [y_i, y_j], \\ [r_{23}, r_{12}] &= \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{|x_i||x_j|+|y_i|(|x_j|+|y_j|)} x_j \otimes [x_i, y_j] \otimes y_i. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(\mathfrak{p}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a finite-dimensional Poisson superalgebra over \mathbb{F} and $r = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \in \mathfrak{p} \otimes \mathfrak{p}$. We say that r is a *solution* of the PYBE if r satisfies both AYBE and CYBE.

1.3. Main results and layouts. In Section 2, we presents some basic notions, examples and develop useful results on representations of Poisson superalgebras; especially focusing on regular and co-regular representations (Example 2.9), and parity-reversing representations (Proposition-Definition 2.11). We also study when these representations are isomorphic; see Proposition 2.14.

Let $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ be a representation of \mathfrak{p} and $(sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s)$ denote the parity-reversing representation. Section 3 contains the first main result that establishes a one-to-one correspondence between odd \mathcal{O} -operators associated to $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ and even \mathcal{O} -operators associated to $(sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s)$ of \mathfrak{p} ; see Theorem 3.6. As an application is to give a description of odd \mathcal{O} -operators associated to $(sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s)$ when \mathfrak{p} is self-reversing; Corollary 3.8.

In Section 4, we explore close connections between \mathcal{O} -operators and solutions to the PYBE in \mathfrak{p} . We prove in Theorem 4.3 (the second main result) that under the skew-supersymmetric or supersymmetric condition, a solution to the PYBE is equivalent to an \mathcal{O} -operator associated to the co-regular representation when \mathfrak{p} is coherent. We end this article with the third main result that gives an explicit constructing way to exploit (even and odd both) solutions to the PYBE in semi-direct product Poisson superalgebras from a common given \mathcal{O} -operator; see Theorem 4.7.

Conventions. Throughout this article we assume that the ground field \mathbb{F} is a field of characteristic zero and all algebras, vector spaces, and representations are finite-dimensional over \mathbb{F} . We suppose that \mathfrak{p} is a finite-dimensional Poisson superalgebra over \mathbb{F} .

Acknowledgements. We thank Yin Chen for his comments on the first version of the article. This research was partially supported by NNSF of China (No. 11301061).

2. PARITY-REVERSING REPRESENTATIONS OF POISSON SUPERALGEBRAS

In this section we presents fundamental concepts and develop some helpful results that we will use later. We begin with the definition of a Poisson superalgebra.

2.1. Poisson superalgebras and representations. A *Poisson superalgebra* $(\mathfrak{p}, \cdot, [\ , \])$ is an associative superalgebra (not necessarily commutative) (\mathfrak{p}, \cdot) with a Lie superbracket $[\ , \] : \mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ such that $(\mathfrak{p}, [\ , \])$ is a Lie superalgebra and the map $[x, \] : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ is a superderivation of \mathfrak{p} , that is,

$$(2.1) \quad [x, y \cdot z] = [x, y] \cdot z + (-1)^{|x||y|} y \cdot [x, z],$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{p}$; see for example [PS21].

Remark 2.1. The Eq. (2.1) is sometimes called the *Leibniz rule*, *Poisson identity* or *compatibility condition*, and it is also written as

$$(2.2) \quad [x \cdot y, z] = x \cdot [y, z] + (-1)^{|y||z|} [x, z] \cdot y,$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{p}$. In fact, it follows from the skew-symmetric condition of Lie superalgebras that

$$(2.1) \iff -(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)} [y \cdot z, x] = -(-1)^{|x||y|} [y, x] \cdot z - (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)} y \cdot [z, x],$$

and the latter is equivalent to Eq. (2.2). \square

Definition 2.2. A Poisson superalgebra $(\mathfrak{p}, \cdot, [\ , \])$ is called *coherent* if for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{p}$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad [x, y \cdot z] = [x \cdot y, z] - (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)} [y, z \cdot x].$$

By Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2), Poisson superalgebra $(\mathfrak{p}, \cdot, [\ , \])$ must be coherent if (\mathfrak{p}, \cdot) is a commutative associative superalgebra; in this case, the Poisson superalgebras \mathfrak{p} is called *commutative* or $(\mathfrak{p}, [\ , \])$ is an abelian Lie superalgebra.

Here we provide some ways to construct new coherent Poisson superalgebras from other superalgebras.

Example 2.3. Given non-commutative associative superalgebra (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) , we define a superbracket $[\ , \] : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by

$$[x, y] = x \cdot y - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \cdot x,$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. It is clear that $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, [\ , \])$ is a Poisson superalgebra and further, we also observe that it is coherent. In fact, the associativity of (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & [x, y \cdot z] - [x \cdot y, z] + (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)} [y, z \cdot x] \\ &= x \cdot (y \cdot z) - (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)} (y \cdot z) \cdot x - (x \cdot y) \cdot z + (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)} z \cdot (x \cdot y) \\ & \quad + (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)} y \cdot (z \cdot x) - (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)} (z \cdot x) \cdot y \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, [\ , \])$ is coherent. \square

Example 2.4. Let $(\mathfrak{p}, *)$ be a non-commutative non-associative \mathbb{F} -superalgebra. Define two maps \cdot and $[\ , \]$ on $\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}$ by

$$x \cdot y := \frac{1}{2} (x * y + (-1)^{|x||y|} y * x),$$

$$[x, y] := \frac{1}{2}(x * y - (-1)^{|x||y|}y * x).$$

It is not difficult to show that $(\mathfrak{p}, \cdot, [\ , \])$ is a commutative Poisson superalgebras if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 3A(x, y, z) &= (-1)^{|x||y|}(x * z) * y + (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}(y * z) * x - (-1)^{|x||y|}(y * x) * z \\ &\quad - (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}(z * x) * y, \end{aligned}$$

where $A(x, y, z) := (x * y) * z - x * (y * z)$, for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{p}$. \square

Example 2.5. The following five families of 1|1-dimensional Poisson superalgebras with a homogeneous basis $\{x, y\}$, $x \in \mathfrak{p}_0$ and $y \in \mathfrak{p}_1$ are all coherent:

- (1) $y \cdot y = x$, $[y, y] = kx$;
- (2) $x \cdot x = x$, $x \cdot y = y$, $[x, y] = ky$;
- (3) $x \cdot x = x$, $y \cdot x = y$, $[x, y] = ky$;
- (4) $x \cdot x = x$, $x \cdot y = y \cdot x = y$, $[y, y] = kx$;
- (5) $x \cdot x = x$, $x \cdot y = y \cdot x = y$, $y \cdot y = x$, $[y, y] = kx$;

where $k \in \mathbb{F}^\times$. Furthermore, a long, complicated but direct calculation shows that these five families gives rise to a classification of all 1|1-dimensional Poisson superalgebras over \mathbb{F} . \square

Definition 2.6. Let $(\mathfrak{p}, \cdot, [\ , \])$ be a Poisson superalgebra and V be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space. Suppose $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ are all even linear maps of \mathfrak{p} . We say that $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ is a *representation* of \mathfrak{p} if $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$ is a representation of the associative superalgebra (\mathfrak{p}, \cdot) and $(V; \rho)$ is a representation of the Lie superalgebra $(\mathfrak{p}, [\ , \])$ satisfying

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{L}_{[x, y]} = \rho(x)\mathcal{L}_y - (-1)^{|x||y|}\mathcal{L}_y\rho(x),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{R}_{[x, y]} = \rho(x)\mathcal{R}_y - (-1)^{|x||y|}\mathcal{R}_y\rho(x),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \rho(x \cdot y) = \mathcal{L}_x\rho(y) + (-1)^{|x||y|}\mathcal{R}_y\rho(x),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{p}$. Sometimes we say that V is a \mathfrak{p} -*module*.

Two representations $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ and $(\bar{V}; \bar{\mathcal{L}}, \bar{\mathcal{R}}, \bar{\rho})$ of a Poisson superalgebra \mathfrak{p} are said to be *isomorphic* if there exists a linear isomorphism $\phi : V \rightarrow \bar{V}$ satisfying

$$(2.7) \quad \phi\mathcal{L}_x = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_x\phi, \quad \phi\mathcal{R}_x = \bar{\mathcal{R}}_x\phi \quad \text{and} \quad \phi\rho(x) = \bar{\rho}(x)\phi,$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{p}$. \square

Proposition 2.7. A quadruple $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ is a representation of a Poisson superalgebra \mathfrak{p} if and only if the direct sum $\mathfrak{p} \oplus V$ of vector spaces is a Poisson superalgebra by defining two maps on $\mathfrak{p} \oplus V$ by

$$(2.8) \quad (x + u) \cdot (y + v) := x \cdot y + \mathcal{L}_xv + (-1)^{|x||y|}\mathcal{R}_yu$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad [x + u, y + v] := [x, y] + \rho(x)v - (-1)^{|x||y|}\rho(y)u$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{p}, u, v \in V$. In this case, $\mathfrak{p} \oplus V$ is called the *semi-direct product* of \mathfrak{p} and V , denoted by $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho} V$ or simply $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes V$.

Proof. Direct computations verify that $\mathfrak{p} \oplus V$ is an associative superalgebra and a Lie superalgebra. Moreover, by Eqs. (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [x+u, (y+v) \cdot (z+w)] - [x+u, y+v] \cdot (z+w) - (-1)^{|x||y|} (y+v) \cdot [x+u, z+w] \\
 = & [x, y \cdot z] - (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)} \rho(y \cdot z)u + (-1)^{|y||z|} \rho(x) \mathcal{R}_z v + \rho(x) \mathcal{L}_y w \\
 & - [x, y] \cdot z + (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)+|x||y|} \mathcal{R}_z \rho(y)u - (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)} \mathcal{R}_z \rho(x)v - \mathcal{L}_{[x,y]} w \\
 & - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \cdot [x, z] + (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)} \mathcal{L}_y \rho(z)u - (-1)^{|y||z|} \mathcal{R}_{[x,z]} v - (-1)^{|x||y|} \mathcal{L}_y \rho(x)w \\
 = & [x, y \cdot z] - [x, y] \cdot z - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \cdot [x, z] - (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)} (\rho(y \cdot z) - \mathcal{L}_y \rho(z) - (-1)^{|y||z|} \mathcal{R}_z \rho(y))u \\
 & - (-1)^{|y||z|} (\mathcal{R}_{[x,z]} - \rho(x) \mathcal{R}_z + (-1)^{|x||z|} \mathcal{R}_z \rho(x))v - (\mathcal{L}_{[x,y]} - \rho(x) \mathcal{L}_y + (-1)^{|x||y|} \mathcal{L}_y \rho(x))w.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ is a representation of \mathfrak{p} if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \oplus V$ is a Poisson superalgebra. \square

Proposition 2.8. *Let $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ and $(\bar{V}; \bar{\mathcal{L}}, \bar{\mathcal{R}}, \bar{\rho})$ be two representations of a Poisson superalgebra \mathfrak{p} . If they are isomorphic, then the semi-direct product Poisson superalgebras $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes V$ and $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \bar{V}$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Suppose that $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ is isomorphic to $(\bar{V}; \bar{\mathcal{L}}, \bar{\mathcal{R}}, \bar{\rho})$ via a linear isomorphism $f: \bar{V} \rightarrow V$ satisfying $\mathcal{L}_x f = f \bar{\mathcal{L}}_x$, $\mathcal{R}_x f = f \bar{\mathcal{R}}_x$ and $\rho(x) f = f \bar{\rho}(x)$, for all $x \in \mathfrak{p}$. We extend f to be a linear isomorphism from $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \bar{V}$ to $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes V$ by defining $f(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{p}$. For all $x, y \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $u, v \in \bar{V}$, we have seen that $f([x+u, y+v]) = [f(x) + f(u), f(y) + f(v)]$ by [ZB21]. Further, for the associative product, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f((x+u) \cdot (y+v)) &= f(x \cdot y + \bar{\mathcal{L}}_x v + (-1)^{|x||y|} \bar{\mathcal{R}}_y u) \\
 &= f(x \cdot y) + f(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_x v) + (-1)^{|x||y|} f(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_y u) \\
 &= x \cdot y + \mathcal{L}_x f(v) + (-1)^{|x||y|} \mathcal{R}_y f(u) \\
 &= f(x) \cdot f(y) + \mathcal{L}_{f(x)} f(v) + (-1)^{|x||y|} \mathcal{R}_{f(y)} f(u) \\
 &= (f(x) + f(u)) \cdot (f(y) + f(v)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the semi-direct product Poisson superalgebras $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes V$ and $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \bar{V}$ are isomorphic. \square

To articulate the regular representation and co-regular representation of a Poisson superalgebra, we first present some basic facts about \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector spaces. Let $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space over a field \mathbb{F} . Then $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{F})$ is the dual vector space of V , whose \mathbb{Z}_2 -gradation is given by

$$(2.10) \quad V_\alpha^* = \{u^* \in V^* \mid u^*(V_{\alpha+\bar{1}}) = \{0\}\}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V^* \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be the canonical pairing, which allows us to identify V with V^* by

$$(2.11) \quad \langle u^*, v \rangle = (-1)^{|u^*||v|} \langle v, u^* \rangle, \quad \forall u^* \in V^*, v \in V.$$

We extend $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to $(V \otimes V)^* \times (V \otimes V) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ ($(V \otimes V)^* = V^* \otimes V^*$ when V is finite-dimensional) by setting

$$\langle u_1^* \otimes u_2^*, v_1 \otimes v_2 \rangle = (-1)^{|u_2^*||v_1|} \langle u_1^*, v_1 \rangle \langle u_2^*, v_2 \rangle, \quad \forall u_1^*, u_2^* \in V^*, v_1, v_2 \in V.$$

Example 2.9. One may check that the quadruple $(\mathfrak{p}; L, R, \text{ad})$ is a representation of a Poisson superalgebra $(\mathfrak{p}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$, called the *regular representation* of \mathfrak{p} , where $(\mathfrak{p}; L, R)$ is the regular representation of the associative superalgebra (\mathfrak{p}, \cdot) (i.e., $L_x y = x \cdot y$, $R_y x = (-1)^{|x||y|} x \cdot y$) and $(\mathfrak{p}; \text{ad})$ is the adjoint representation of the Lie superalgebra $(\mathfrak{p}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ (i.e., $\text{ad}_x y = [x, y]$, $\forall x, y \in \mathfrak{p}$).

Note that $(\mathfrak{p}^*; -R^*, -L^*, \text{ad}^*)$ is not a representation of \mathfrak{p} , where even linear maps $L^*, R^*, \text{ad}^* : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{p}^*)$ are defined by

$$(2.12) \quad \langle L_x^* \alpha, y \rangle = -(-1)^{|x||\alpha|} \langle \alpha, L_x y \rangle,$$

$$(2.13) \quad \langle R_x^* \alpha, y \rangle = -(-1)^{|x||\alpha|} \langle \alpha, R_x y \rangle,$$

$$(2.14) \quad \langle \text{ad}_x^* \alpha, y \rangle = -(-1)^{|x||\alpha|} \langle \alpha, \text{ad}_x y \rangle$$

respectively, for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}^*$. It is easy to see that $(\mathfrak{p}^*; -R^*, -L^*, \text{ad}^*)$ is a representation of \mathfrak{p} if and only if \mathfrak{p} is a coherent Poisson superalgebra, and we call it the **co-regular representation**. More generally, define even linear maps $\mathcal{L}^*, \mathcal{R}^*, \rho^* : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V^*)$ by

$$(2.15) \quad \langle \mathcal{L}_x^* \alpha, v \rangle = -(-1)^{|x||\alpha|} \langle \alpha, \mathcal{L}_x v \rangle,$$

$$(2.16) \quad \langle \mathcal{R}_x^* \alpha, v \rangle = -(-1)^{|x||\alpha|} \langle \alpha, \mathcal{R}_x v \rangle,$$

$$(2.17) \quad \langle \rho^*(x) \alpha, v \rangle = -(-1)^{|x||\alpha|} \langle \alpha, \rho(x)v \rangle$$

respectively, for all $x \in \mathfrak{p}$, $\alpha \in V^*$ and $v \in V$. Then $(V^*; -\mathcal{R}^*, -\mathcal{L}^*, \rho^*)$ is a representation of \mathfrak{p} if and only if

$$(2.18) \quad \rho(x \cdot y) = \rho(x)\mathcal{L}_y + (-1)^{|x||y|} \rho(y)\mathcal{R}_x,$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{p}$. □

We end this subsection with the following immediate result.

Proposition 2.10. If a Poisson superalgebra \mathfrak{p} is coherent and the representation $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ satisfies Eq.(2.18), then the corresponding semi-direct product Poisson superalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes V$ is also coherent.

2.2. Parity-reversing representations. Recall that for a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2} V_\alpha$, we have the *parity-reversing functor* $s : V \rightarrow sV$, which assigns V to the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $sV = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2} (sV)_\alpha$ with $(sV)_\alpha := V_{\alpha+\bar{1}}$. The natural degree $\bar{1}$ map $s : V \rightarrow sV$ is the identity map of the underlying vector space, sending $v \in V_\alpha$ to its suspended copy $sv \in (sV)_{\alpha+\bar{1}}$.

Proposition-Definition 2.11. Let $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ be a representation of a Poisson superalgebra \mathfrak{p} and even linear maps $\mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(sV)$ are defined by

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{L}_x^s sv = (-1)^{|x|} s(\mathcal{L}_x v),$$

$$(2.20) \quad \mathcal{R}_x^s sv = (-1)^{|x|} s(\mathcal{R}_x v),$$

$$(2.21) \quad \rho^s(x) sv = (-1)^{|x|} s(\rho(x)v),$$

respectively, for all $x \in \mathfrak{p}, sv \in sV$. Then $(sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s)$ is a representation of \mathfrak{p} , which is called the *parity-reversing representation*.

Proof. Note that $(sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s)$ and (sV, ρ^s) are representations of the associative superalgebra (\mathfrak{p}, \cdot) and Lie superalgebra $(\mathfrak{p}, [,])$ respectively. The rest of the proof is to show that

$$\mathcal{L}_{[x,y]}^s = \rho^s(x)\mathcal{L}_y^s - (-1)^{|x||y|} \mathcal{L}_y^s \rho^s(x),$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{[x,y]}^s = \rho^s(x)\mathcal{R}_y^s - (-1)^{|x||y|} \mathcal{R}_y^s \rho^s(x),$$

$$\rho^s(x \cdot y) = \mathcal{L}_x^s \rho^s(y) + (-1)^{|x||y|} \mathcal{R}_y^s \rho^s(x),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{p}$. Since $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ is a representation of \mathfrak{p} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{[x,y]}^s(sv) &= (-1)^{|x|+|y|} s(\mathcal{L}_{[x,y]}v) = (-1)^{|x|+|y|} s(\rho(x)\mathcal{L}_y v) - (-1)^{|x|+|y|+|x||y|} s(\mathcal{L}_y \rho(x)v) \\ &= \rho^s(x)\mathcal{L}_y^s(sv) - (-1)^{|x||y|} \mathcal{L}_y^s \rho^s(x)(sv) = (\rho^s(x)\mathcal{L}_y^s - (-1)^{|x||y|} \mathcal{L}_y^s \rho^s(x))(sv), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{[x,y]}^s(sv) &= (-1)^{|x|+|y|} s(\mathcal{R}_{[x,y]}v) = (-1)^{|x|+|y|} s(\rho(x)\mathcal{R}_y v) - (-1)^{|x|+|y|+|x||y|} s(\mathcal{R}_y \rho(x)v) \\ &= \rho^s(x)\mathcal{R}_y^s(sv) - (-1)^{|x||y|} \mathcal{R}_y^s \rho^s(x)(sv) = (\rho^s(x)\mathcal{R}_y^s - (-1)^{|x||y|} \mathcal{R}_y^s \rho^s(x))(sv), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^s(x \cdot y)(sv) &= (-1)^{|x|+|y|} s(\rho(x \cdot y)v) = (-1)^{|x|+|y|} s(\mathcal{L}_x \rho(y)v) + (-1)^{|x|+|y|+|x||y|} s(\mathcal{R}_y \rho(x)v) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_x^s \rho^s(y)(sv) + (-1)^{|x||y|} \mathcal{R}_y^s \rho^s(x)(sv) = (\mathcal{L}_x^s \rho^s(y) + (-1)^{|x||y|} \mathcal{R}_y^s \rho^s(x))(sv), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{p}, sv \in sV$. Hence, $(sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s)$ is a representation of \mathfrak{p} . \square

Two representations $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ and $(sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s)$ of \mathfrak{p} are not isomorphic in general. We say that a representation is *self-reversing* if it is isomorphic to its corresponding parity reversing representation.

Remark 2.12. In terms of matrices, we actually may interpret the parity-reversing representation $(sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s)$ induced from a representation $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ of a Poisson superalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{1}}$. Let $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ be an $m|n$ -dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space with a homogeneous basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_n\}$, where $v_i \in V_{\bar{0}}$ and $w_j \in V_{\bar{1}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$. With respect to such an ordered basis, $\mathcal{L}_x, \mathcal{R}_x, \rho(x)$ and $\mathcal{L}_y, \mathcal{R}_y, \rho(y)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{0}}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{1}}$ can be realized as $(m+n) \times (m+n)$ -matrices of the block form

$$(2.22) \quad \mathcal{L}_x, \mathcal{R}_x, \rho(x) = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_y, \mathcal{R}_y, \rho(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively, where A is an $m \times m$ matrix, B is an $n \times n$ matrix, C is an $m \times n$ matrix and D is an $n \times m$ matrix. With respect to the homogeneous basis $\{sw_1, \dots, sw_n, sv_1, \dots, sv_m\}$ of $sV = (sV)_{\bar{0}} \oplus (sV)_{\bar{1}}$, where $sw_j \in (sV)_{\bar{0}}$ and $sv_i \in (sV)_{\bar{1}}$, the matrices corresponding to $\mathcal{L}_x^s, \mathcal{R}_x^s, \rho^s(x)$ and $\mathcal{L}_y^s, \mathcal{R}_y^s, \rho^s(y)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{0}}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{1}}$ are

$$\mathcal{L}_x^s, \mathcal{R}_x^s, \rho^s(x) = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_y^s, \mathcal{R}_y^s, \rho^s(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -D \\ -C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively, where A, B and C, D are the same as those given in Eq. (2.22). Clearly, these two representations ρ^s and ρ are not isomorphic when $m \neq n$. An extremal case for the two representations being isomorphic is that $A = B$ and $C = -D$; in particular, in this case, $m = n$. \square

Let $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ be a representation of a Poisson superalgebra \mathfrak{p} . The upcoming propositions illustrate when this representation and its dual representation are isomorphic.

Proposition 2.13. *The representations $((V^*)^*; (\mathcal{L}^*)^*, (\mathcal{R}^*)^*, (\rho^*)^*)$ and $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ of \mathfrak{p} are isomorphic via the map $\theta : (V^*)^* \rightarrow V$ given by $(v_i^*)^* \mapsto (-1)^{|v_i|} v_i$, for all $i \in I$.*

Proof. For all $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $i, j \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_j^*, \theta((\mathcal{L}_x^*)^* x (v_i^*)^*) \rangle &= \langle v_j^*, \theta(\sum_{k \in I} \langle (\mathcal{L}_x^*)^* (v_i^*)^*, v_k^* \rangle (v_k^*)^*) \rangle \\ &= -\langle v_j^*, \sum_{k \in I} (-1)^{|x||v_i|} \langle (v_i^*)^*, \mathcal{L}_x^* v_k^* \rangle \theta((v_k^*)^*) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\langle v_j^*, \sum_{k \in I} (-1)^{|x||v_i|+|v_i|+|v_k|} \langle v_i, \mathcal{L}_x^* v_k^* \rangle v_k \rangle \\
&= -\langle v_j^*, \sum_{k \in I} (-1)^{|x||v_i|+|v_i|+|v_k|+(|x|+|v_k|)|v_i|} \langle \mathcal{L}_x^* v_k^*, v_i \rangle v_k \rangle \\
&= \langle v_j^*, \sum_{k \in I} (-1)^{|x||v_i|+|v_i|+|v_k|+(|x|+|v_k|)|v_i|+|x||v_k|} \langle v_k^*, \mathcal{L}_x v_i \rangle v_k \rangle \\
&= \langle v_j^*, (-1)^{|v_i|} \mathcal{L}_x v_i \rangle = \langle v_j^*, \mathcal{L}_x \theta((v_i^*)^*) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle v_j^*, \theta((\mathcal{R}_x^*)^* x (v_i^*)^*) \rangle &= \langle v_j^*, \theta(\sum_{k \in I} \langle (\mathcal{R}_x^*)^* (v_i^*)^*, v_k^* \rangle (v_k^*)^*) \rangle \\
&= -\langle v_j^*, \sum_{k \in I} (-1)^{|x||v_i|} \langle (v_i^*)^*, \mathcal{R}_x^* v_k^* \rangle \theta((v_k^*)^*) \rangle \\
&= -\langle v_j^*, \sum_{k \in I} (-1)^{|x||v_i|+|v_i|+|v_k|} \langle v_i, \mathcal{R}_x^* v_k^* \rangle v_k \rangle \\
&= -\langle v_j^*, \sum_{k \in I} (-1)^{|x||v_i|+|v_i|+|v_k|+(|x|+|v_k|)|v_i|} \langle \mathcal{R}_x^* v_k^*, v_i \rangle v_k \rangle \\
&= \langle v_j^*, \sum_{k \in I} (-1)^{|x||v_i|+|v_i|+|v_k|+(|x|+|v_k|)|v_i|+|x||v_k|} \langle v_k^*, \mathcal{R}_x v_i \rangle v_k \rangle \\
&= \langle v_j^*, (-1)^{|v_i|} \mathcal{R}_x v_i \rangle = \langle v_j^*, \mathcal{R}_x \theta((v_i^*)^*) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle v_j^*, \theta((\rho^*)^* (x) (v_i^*)^*) \rangle &= \langle v_j^*, \theta(\sum_{k \in I} \langle (\rho^*)^* (x) (v_i^*)^*, v_k^* \rangle (v_k^*)^*) \rangle \\
&= \langle v_j^*, -\sum_{k \in I} (-1)^{|x||v_i|} \langle (v_i^*)^*, (\rho^*)^* (x) v_k^* \rangle \theta((v_k^*)^*) \rangle \\
&= \langle v_j^*, -\sum_{k \in I} (-1)^{|x||v_i|+|v_i|+|v_k|} \langle v_i, \rho^* (x) v_k^* \rangle v_k \rangle \\
&= \langle v_j^*, -\sum_{k \in I} (-1)^{|x||v_i|+|v_i|+|v_k|+(|x|+|v_k|)|v_i|} \langle (\rho^*)^* (x) v_k^*, v_i \rangle v_k \rangle \\
&= \langle v_j^*, \sum_{k \in I} (-1)^{|x||v_i|+|v_i|+|v_k|+(|x|+|v_k|)|v_i|+|x||v_k|} \langle v_k^*, \rho(x) v_i \rangle v_k \rangle \\
&= \langle v_j^*, (-1)^{|v_i|} \rho(x) v_i \rangle = \langle v_j^*, \rho(x) \theta((v_i^*)^*) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By the non-degeneracy of the natural pairing, we have $\mathcal{L}_x \theta = \theta(\mathcal{L}_x^*)^*$, $\mathcal{R}_x \theta = \theta(\mathcal{R}_x^*)^*$, and $\rho(x) \theta = \theta(\rho(x)^*)^*$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ which completes the proof. \square

Let $(sV)^*$ be the dual vector space of the parity-reversed vector space sV and sV^* be the image of V^* under the parity-reversing map. With the notations as above, then $\{sv_i\}_{i \in I}$, $\{(sv_i)^*\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{sv_i^*\}_{i \in I}$ form homogeneous bases for sV , $(sV)^*$ and sV^* respectively, where $\langle (sv_i)^*, sv_j \rangle = \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ and $|sv_i| = |(sv_i)^*| = |sv_i^*| = |v_i| + \bar{1}$.

Proposition 2.14. *Two representations $(sV^*; (\mathcal{L}^*)^s, (\mathcal{R}^*)^s, (\rho^*)^s)$ and $((sV)^*; (\mathcal{L}^s)^*, (\mathcal{R}^s)^*, (\rho^s)^*)$ of \mathfrak{p} are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : sV^* \rightarrow (sV)^*$ be an invertible even linear map defined by $\varphi(sv_i^*) = (-1)^{|v_i|} (sv_i)^*$ for all $i \in I$. Then for all $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $i, j \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle (\mathcal{L}_x^s)^* \phi(sv_i^*), sv_j \rangle &= (-1)^{|v_i|} \langle (\mathcal{L}_x^s)^* (sv_i)^*, sv_j \rangle = -(-1)^{|v_i|+|x|(|v_i|+\bar{1})} \langle (sv_i)^*, \mathcal{L}_x^s(sv_j) \rangle \\
&= -(-1)^{|v_i|+|x||v_i|} \langle (sv_i)^*, s(\mathcal{L}_x v_j) \rangle \\
&= -(-1)^{|v_i|+|x||v_i|} \langle (sv_i)^*, \sum_{k \in I} \langle v_k^*, \mathcal{L}_x v_j \rangle sv_k \rangle \\
&= -(-1)^{|v_i|+|x||v_i|} \langle v_i^*, \mathcal{L}_x v_j \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi((\mathcal{L}_x^*)^s sv_i^*), sv_j \rangle &= (-1)^{|x|} \langle \phi(s(\mathcal{L}_x^* v_i^*)), sv_j \rangle = (-1)^{|x|} \langle \phi(s(\sum_{k \in I} \langle \mathcal{L}_x^* v_i^*, v_k \rangle v_k^*)), sv_j \rangle \\
&= (-1)^{|x|} \langle \sum_{k \in I} \langle \mathcal{L}_x^* v_i^*, v_k^* \rangle (-1)^{|v_k|} (sv_k)^*, sv_j \rangle = (-1)^{|x|+|v_j|} \langle \mathcal{L}_x^* v_i^*, v_j \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$= -(-1)^{|x|+|v_j|+|x||v_i|} \langle v_i^*, \mathcal{L}_x v_j \rangle,$$

Note that $|v_i| = |x| + |v_j|$, otherwise $\langle v_i, \mathcal{L}_x v_j \rangle = 0$. Since the natural pairing is non-degenerate, we have $(\mathcal{L}^s)^*(x)\phi = \phi(\mathcal{L}^s)^s(x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{p}$. By the same way, we have $(\mathcal{R}^s)^*(x)\phi = \phi(\mathcal{R}^s)^s(x)$ and $(\rho^s)^*(x)\phi = \phi(\rho^s)^s(x)$, hence the proof is completed. \square

With a similar proof to Proposition 2.14, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 2.15. *The representation $(sV^*)^*, ((\rho^s)^s)^*$ of \mathfrak{p} is isomorphic to the parity reversing representation (sV, ρ^s) by the isomorphism $\phi : (sV^*)^* \rightarrow sV$ with $(sv_i^*)^* \mapsto sv_i$ for all $i \in I$, where $\{(sv_i^*)^*\}_{i \in I}$ is a homogeneous basis for $(sV^*)^*$.*

3. \mathcal{O} -OPERATORS OF POISSON SUPERALGEBRAS

We introduce \mathcal{O} -operators of a Poisson superalgebra \mathfrak{p} associated to a representation $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ and prove that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between even and odd \mathcal{O} -operators of \mathfrak{p} associated to $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ and $(V^s; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s)$ respectively.

3.1. \mathcal{O} -operators of Poisson superalgebras.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathfrak{p} be a Poisson superalgebra and $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ a representation of \mathfrak{p} . A linear map $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ is called an \mathcal{O} -operator of \mathfrak{p} associated to $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ if T satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad T(v) \cdot T(w) = T((-1)^{(|T|+|v|)|T|} \mathcal{L}_{T(v)} w + (-1)^{|v|(|T|+|w|)} \mathcal{R}_{T(w)} v),$$

$$(3.2) \quad [T(v), T(w)] = T((-1)^{(|T|+|v|)|T|} \rho(T(v))w - (-1)^{|v|(|T|+|w|)} \rho(T(w))v),$$

for all $v, w \in V$. We use $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{0}}(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{1}}(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ to denote the sets of even and odd \mathcal{O} -operators of \mathfrak{p} associated to $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ respectively.

In particular, if \mathcal{B} is an \mathcal{O} -operator of \mathfrak{p} associated to the regular representation $(\mathfrak{p}; L, R, \text{ad})$, then \mathcal{B} is called a *Rota-Baxter operator* (of weight 0) on \mathfrak{p} , that is, \mathcal{B} satisfies

$$\mathcal{B}(x) \cdot \mathcal{B}(y) = \mathcal{B}((-1)^{(|\mathcal{B}|+|x|)|\mathcal{B}|} \mathcal{B}(x) \cdot y + x \cdot \mathcal{B}(y)),$$

$$[\mathcal{B}(x), \mathcal{B}(y)] = \mathcal{B}((-1)^{(|\mathcal{B}|+|x|)|\mathcal{B}|} [\mathcal{B}(x), y] + [x, \mathcal{B}(y)]),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{p}$.

Example 3.2. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{1}}$ be a 1|1-dimensional Poisson superalgebra with a homogeneous basis $\{x, y\}$, $x \in \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{0}}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{1}}$, whose non-zero product is given by

$$x \cdot x = x, x \cdot y = y \quad \text{and} \quad [x, y] = y.$$

Denote its dual space by $\mathfrak{p}^* = \text{Span}\{x^*, y^*\}$. We define an even linear map $T_0 : \mathfrak{p}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ and an odd linear map $T_1 : \mathfrak{p}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ by

$$T_0(x^*) = 0, T_0(y^*) = y \quad \text{and} \quad T_1(x^*) = y, T_1(y^*) = -x,$$

respectively. It is straightforward to show that T_0 (resp. T_1) is an even (resp. odd) \mathcal{O} -operator of \mathfrak{p} associated to the co-regular representation.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\phi : V \rightarrow \bar{V}$ be an isomorphism of two representations $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ and $(\bar{V}; \bar{\mathcal{L}}, \bar{\mathcal{R}}, \bar{\rho})$ of a Poisson superalgebra \mathfrak{p} . Then for each $T \in \mathcal{O}_{|T|}(\bar{V}; \bar{\mathcal{L}}, \bar{\mathcal{R}}, \bar{\rho})$, the composition $T\phi \in \mathcal{O}_{|T|}(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$. In particular, there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ and $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(\bar{V}; \bar{\mathcal{L}}, \bar{\mathcal{R}}, \bar{\rho})$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ when the two representations $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ and $(\bar{V}; \bar{\mathcal{L}}, \bar{\mathcal{R}}, \bar{\rho})$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{O}_{|T|}(\bar{V}; \bar{\mathcal{L}}, \bar{\mathcal{R}}, \bar{\rho})$ and $v, w \in V$ are arbitrary elements. Note that ϕ is an isomorphism of representations, thus

$$\begin{aligned} & (T\phi)((-1)^{(|T|+|v|)|T|}\mathcal{L}_{(T\phi)(v)}w - (-1)^{|v|(|T|+|w|)}\mathcal{R}_{(T\phi)(w)}v) \\ &= T((-1)^{(|T|+|v|)|T|}\phi(\mathcal{L}_{(T\phi)(v)}w) - (-1)^{|v|(|T|+|w|)}\phi(\mathcal{R}_{(T\phi)(w)}v)) \\ &= T((-1)^{(|T|+|v|)|T|}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{T(\phi(v))}\phi(w) - (-1)^{|v|(|T|+|w|)}\bar{\mathcal{R}}_{T(\phi(w))}\phi(v)) \\ &= (T(\phi(v))) \cdot (T(\phi(w))) = ((T\phi)(v)) \cdot ((T\phi)(w)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (T\phi)((-1)^{(|T|+|v|)|T|}\rho((T\phi)(v))w - (-1)^{|v|(|T|+|w|)}\rho((T\phi)(w))v) \\ &= T((-1)^{(|T|+|v|)|T|}\phi(\rho(T(\phi(v))))w - (-1)^{|v|(|T|+|w|)}\phi(\rho(T(\phi(w))))v) \\ &= T((-1)^{(|T|+|v|)|T|}\bar{\rho}(T(\phi(v)))\phi(w) - (-1)^{|v|(|T|+|w|)}\bar{\rho}(T(\phi(w)))\phi(v)) \\ &= [T(\phi(v)), T(\phi(w))] = [(T\phi)(v), (T\phi)(w)], \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $T\phi \in \mathcal{O}_{|T|}(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$. Similarly, for each $S \in \mathcal{O}_{|S|}(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$, one can show that $S\phi^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{|S|}(\bar{V}; \bar{\mathcal{L}}, \bar{\mathcal{R}}, \bar{\rho})$. Thus the proof is completed. \square

Let us recall some basic notions about bilinear forms of a Poisson superalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{1}} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{1}}$. A bilinear form $\mathcal{B} : \mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is said to be

- (1) *odd* if $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{p}_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{0}}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{p}_{\bar{1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{1}}) = 0$;
- (2) *even* if $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{p}_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{1}}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{p}_{\bar{1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{0}}) = 0$;
- (3) *supersymmetric* if $\mathcal{B}(x, y) = (-1)^{|x||y|}\mathcal{B}(y, x)$;
- (4) *skew-supersymmetric* if $\mathcal{B}(x, y) = -(-1)^{|x||y|}\mathcal{B}(y, x)$;
- (5) *non-degenerate* if $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ satisfies $\mathcal{B}(x, y) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{p}$, then $x = 0$;
- (6) *invariant* if $\mathcal{B}(x \cdot y, z) = \mathcal{B}(x, y \cdot z)$ and $\mathcal{B}([x, y], z) = \mathcal{B}(x, [y, z])$;
- (7) a *2-cocycle* on \mathfrak{p} if \mathcal{B} is skew-supersymmetric and satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{B}(x \cdot y, z) = \mathcal{B}(x, y \cdot z) - (-1)^{(|x|+|y|)|z|}\mathcal{B}(z \cdot x, y),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{B}([x, y], z) = \mathcal{B}(x, [y, z]) + (-1)^{|y||z|}\mathcal{B}([x, z], y),$$

where x, y, z are arbitrary elements in \mathfrak{p} .

Lemma 3.4. *The regular representation $(\mathfrak{p}; L, R, \text{ad})$ of a Poisson superalgebra \mathfrak{p} is self-dual if and only if \mathfrak{p} has a non-degenerate even invariant bilinear form.*

Proof. Suppose a Poisson superalgebra \mathfrak{p} has a non-degenerate even invariant bilinear form \mathcal{B} . We define an even linear map $\phi : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ by

$$(3.5) \quad \langle \phi(x), y \rangle = \mathcal{B}(x, y), \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

Then for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{p}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z, \phi(L_x y) \rangle &= \mathcal{B}(z, L_x y) = (-1)^{|x||z|} \mathcal{B}(R_x z, y) = (-1)^{|x||z|} \langle R_x z, \phi(y) \rangle = -\langle z, R_x^* \phi(y) \rangle \\ \langle \phi(R_x y), z \rangle &= \mathcal{B}(R_x y, z) = (-1)^{|x||y|} \mathcal{B}(y, L_x z) = (-1)^{|x||y|} \langle \phi(y), L_x z \rangle = -\langle L_x^* \phi(y), z \rangle \\ \langle \phi(\text{ad}_x y), z \rangle &= \mathcal{B}(\text{ad}_x y, z) = -(-1)^{|x||y|} \mathcal{B}(y, \text{ad}_x z) = -(-1)^{|x||y|} \langle \phi(y), \text{ad}_x z \rangle = \langle \text{ad}_x^* \phi(y), z \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The non-degeneracy implies that ϕ gives an isomorphism from $(\mathfrak{p}; L, R, \text{ad})$ to its dual representation $(\mathfrak{p}^*; -R^*, -L^*, \text{ad}^*)$. Conversely, if the regular representation $(\mathfrak{p}; L, R, \text{ad})$ is isomorphic to the dual representation $(\mathfrak{p}^*; -R^*, -L^*, \text{ad}^*)$ via a linear isomorphism ϕ , then Eq. (3.5) defines a non-degenerate even invariant bilinear form \mathcal{B} on \mathfrak{p} . \square

Together with Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.5. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a Poisson superalgebra admitting a non-degenerate even invariant bilinear form \mathcal{B} . Then $T \in \mathcal{O}_{|T|}(\mathfrak{p}^*; -R^*, -L^*, \text{ad}^*)$ if and only if the linear map $\mathcal{B} = T\phi : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ is a Rota-Baxter operator of degree $|T|$ on \mathfrak{p} , where $\phi : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}^*$ is an even linear map given by Eq. (3.5).*

3.2. Correspondence between odd and even \mathcal{O} -operators. Let \mathfrak{p} be a Poisson superalgebra. Suppose $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ is a representation of \mathfrak{p} and $(sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s)$ is the parity-reversing representation. We prove the following crucial result which connects odd and even \mathcal{O} -operators.

Theorem 3.6. *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{1}}(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho) \text{ and } \mathcal{O}_{\bar{0}}(sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s).$$

Proof. Let $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ be a homogeneous linear map. Define another homogeneous linear map $T^s : sV \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ by

$$(3.6) \quad T^s(su) = T(u), \quad \forall su \in sV.$$

Note that s is an odd map so the degrees of T and T^s are not equal, that is, $|T^s| = |T| + \bar{1}$. For all $z \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $su \in sV$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T^s(\mathcal{L}_z^s su) &= (-1)^{|z|} T^s(s(\mathcal{L}_z u)) = (-1)^{|z|} T(\mathcal{L}_z u), \\ T^s(\mathcal{R}_z^s su) &= (-1)^{|z|} T^s(s(\mathcal{R}_z u)) = (-1)^{|z|} T(\mathcal{R}_z u), \\ T^s(\rho^s(z) su) &= (-1)^{|z|} T^s(s(\rho(z) u)) = (-1)^{|z|} T(\rho(z) u). \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $T \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{1}}(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ and we will show $T^s \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{0}}(sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s)$. Since $|T|$ is odd, T^s is even. For all $sv, sw \in sV$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T^s(sv) \cdot T^s(sw) &= T(v) \cdot T(w) \\ &= T((-1)^{|v|+\bar{1}} \mathcal{L}_{T(v)} w + (-1)^{|v|(|w|+\bar{1})} \mathcal{R}_{T(v)} w) \\ &= T^s(\mathcal{L}_{T(v)}^s sw + (-1)^{(|v|+\bar{1})(|w|+\bar{1})} \mathcal{R}_{T(v)}^s sw) \\ &= T^s(\mathcal{L}_{T^s(sv)}^s sw + (-1)^{|sv||sw|} \mathcal{R}_{T^s(sv)}^s sw), \\ [T^s(sv), T^s(sw)] &= [T(v), T(w)] \\ &= T((-1)^{|v|+\bar{1}} \rho(T(v)) w - (-1)^{|v|(|w|+\bar{1})} \rho(T(w)) v) \\ &= T^s(\rho^s(T(v)) sw - (-1)^{(|v|+\bar{1})(|w|+\bar{1})} \rho^s(T(w)) sv) \\ &= T^s(\rho^s(T^s(sv)) sw - (-1)^{|sv||sw|} \rho^s(T^s(sw)) sv). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, T^s is an \mathcal{O} -operator of \mathfrak{p} associated to $(sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s)$. Conversely, suppose

$$T^s \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{0}}(sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s).$$

Then the linear map $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ given by Eq. (3.6) is odd and satisfies Eqs. (3.1) and (3.2), that is $T \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{1}}(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$. \square

The fact that $s(sV) = V, (\mathcal{L}^s)^s = \mathcal{L}, (\mathcal{R}^s)^s = \mathcal{R}, (\rho^s)^s = \rho$ and $(T^s)^s = T$ imply the following immediate consequence.

Corollary 3.7. *There also exists a one-to-one correspondence between*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{0}}(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho) \text{ and } \mathcal{O}_{\bar{1}}(sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s).$$

Specializing in self-reversing representations, Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 3.3 imply that odd \mathcal{O} -operators of a Poisson superalgebra can be obtained from even \mathcal{O} -operators.

Corollary 3.8. *For a self-reversing representation $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ of a Poisson superalgebra \mathfrak{p} , we have $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{1}}(sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s) = \{T^s \phi, T \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{0}}(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho), \text{ where } T^s \text{ is obtained by } T \text{ via Eq. (3.6) and } \phi : V \rightarrow sV \text{ is the isomorphism between } (V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho) \text{ and } (sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s)\}$.*

4. PYBE AND \mathcal{O} -OPERATORS OF POISSON SUPERALGEBRAS

We derive a close relation between \mathcal{O} -operators and solutions to the PYBE. We prove that under the skew-supersymmetric or supersymmetric condition, a solution to the PYBE in a Poisson superalgebra is equivalent to an \mathcal{O} -operator associated to the co-regular representation. Further, we give an explicit constructing way to exploit (even and odd both) solutions to the PYBE in semi-direct product Poisson superalgebras from a common given \mathcal{O} -operator. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{0}}(\mathfrak{p})$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{1}}(\mathfrak{p})$ the sets of even and odd solutions to the PYBE in \mathfrak{p} respectively.

4.1. PYBE in Poisson superalgebras in terms of \mathcal{O} -operators. Let V be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space over a field \mathbb{F} . For each $r \in V \otimes V$, we define a linear map $T_r : V^* \rightarrow V$ by

$$(4.1) \quad \langle v^*, T_r(w^*) \rangle = (-1)^{|r||w^*|} \langle v^* \otimes w^*, r \rangle, \quad \forall v^*, w^* \in V^*.$$

Note that r is an even (resp. odd) element in $V \otimes V$ if and only if T_r is an even (resp. odd) linear map. The element $r \in V \otimes V$ is said to be *non-degenerate* if $T_r \in \text{Hom}(V^*, V)$ is invertible. Recall that a *twist map* is an even linear map $\sigma : V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ such that $\sigma(v \otimes w) = (-1)^{|v||w|} w \otimes v$ for all $v, w \in V$. If $\sigma(r) = r$ (resp. $\sigma(r) = -r$) for $r \in V \otimes V$, then r is said to be *supersymmetric* (resp. *skew-supersymmetric*). We write an even skew-supersymmetric element and an odd supersymmetric element together as $\sigma(r) = -(-1)^{|r|} r$.

The following two results will be helpful for our results and their proofs can be found in [ZB21].

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose V is a vector space over \mathbb{F} . Let $r = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \in V \otimes V$ and $v^* \in V^*$. Then $T_r(v^*) = \sum_i (-1)^{|v^*||y_i|} \langle v^*, y_i \rangle x_i$. In particular, if $\sigma(r) = -(-1)^{|r|} r$, then*

$$T_r(v^*) = -\sum_i (-1)^{|x_i||y_i| + |x_i||v^*| + |x_i| + |y_i|} \langle v^*, x_i \rangle y_i.$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{F} and $r = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \in V \otimes V$. Then $\sigma(r) = -(-1)^{|r|} r$ if and only if $\langle w^*, T_r(v^*) \rangle = -(-1)^{|r| + |r||w^*|} \langle T_r(w^*), v^* \rangle$ for all $w^*, v^* \in V^*$.*

Theorem 4.3. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a coherent Poisson superalgebra and r be an element in $\mathfrak{p} \otimes \mathfrak{p}$ with $\sigma(r) = -(-1)^{|r|}r$. Then $r \in \mathcal{S}_{|r|}(\mathfrak{p})$ if and only if the linear map $T_r : \mathfrak{p}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ given by Eq. (4.1) satisfies $T_r \in \mathcal{O}_{|r|}(\mathfrak{p}^*; -R^*, -L^*, \text{ad}^*)$.*

Proof. Let a^*, b^*, c^* be arbitrary elements in \mathfrak{p}^* and $r = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \in \mathfrak{p} \otimes \mathfrak{p}$ with $|r| = |x_i| + |y_i|$. By Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, r_{13} \cdot r_{12} \rangle &= \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{|y_i|(|x_j|+|y_j|)} \langle a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, (x_i \cdot x_j) \otimes y_j \otimes y_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{(|x_j|+|x_i|)(|b^*|+|c^*|)+|y_j||c^*|} \langle a^*, x_i \cdot x_j \rangle \langle b^*, y_j \rangle \langle c^*, y_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{|b^*|(|c^*|+|r|)} \langle a^*, ((-1)^{|c^*||y_i|} \langle c^*, y_i \rangle x_i) \cdot ((-1)^{|b^*||y_j|} \langle b^*, y_j \rangle x_j) \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{|b^*|(|c^*|+|r|)} \langle a^*, T_r(c^*) \cdot T_r(b^*) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $|b^*| = |y_j|$ and $|c^*| = |y_i|$, otherwise $\langle b^*, y_j \rangle = \langle c^*, y_i \rangle = 0$ by Eq. (2.10). Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, -r_{12} \cdot r_{23} \rangle &= (-1)^{|a^*|(|b^*|+|r|)} \langle b^*, T_r(a^*) \cdot T_r(c^*) \rangle, \\ \langle a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, r_{23} \cdot r_{13} \rangle &= (-1)^{|a^*||b^*|+|a^*||c^*|+|b^*|(|c^*|+|r|)} \langle c^*, T_r(b^*) \cdot T_r(a^*) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, A(r) \rangle &= (-1)^{|b^*|(|c^*|+|r|)} \langle a^*, T_r(c^*) \cdot T_r(b^*) \rangle + (-1)^{|a^*|(|b^*|+|r|)} \langle b^*, T_r(a^*) \cdot T_r(c^*) \rangle \\ (4.2) \quad &+ (-1)^{|a^*||b^*|+|a^*||c^*|+|b^*|(|c^*|+|r|)} \langle c^*, T_r(b^*) \cdot T_r(a^*) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a^*, (-1)^{(|c^*|+|r|)|r|} T_r(R_{T_r(c^*)}^* b^*) \rangle &= (-1)^{(|c^*|+|r|)|r|+|a^*||r|+|r|} \langle T_r(a^*), R_{T_r(c^*)}^* b^* \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{(|c^*|+|r|)|a^*|+|a^*||r|+|c^*|} \langle R_{T_r(c^*)}^* T_r(a^*), b^* \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{(|c^*|+|r|)|r|+|a^*||r|+|r|} \langle T_r(a^*) \cdot T_r(c^*), b^* \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{(|c^*|+|r|)|r|+|a^*||r|+|r|+|a^*||b^*|+|b^*||c^*|} \langle b^*, T_r(a^*) \cdot T_r(c^*) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle a^*, (-1)^{|c^*|(|b^*|+|r|)} T_r(L_{T_r(b^*)}^* c^*) \rangle = (-1)^{(|b^*|+|c^*|)(|a^*|+|r|)} \langle c^*, T_r(b^*) \cdot T_r(a^*) \rangle.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle a^*, T_r(c^*) \cdot T_r(b^*) + T_r((-1)^{(|c^*|+|r|)|r|} R_{T_r(c^*)}^* b^*) + (-1)^{|c^*|(|b^*|+|r|)} T_r(L_{T_r(b^*)}^* c^*) \rangle \\ (4.3) \quad &= \langle a^*, T_r(c^*) \cdot T_r(b^*) \rangle + (-1)^{(|c^*|+|r|)|r|+|a^*||r|+|r|+|a^*||b^*|+|b^*||c^*|} \langle b^*, T_r(a^*) \cdot T_r(c^*) \rangle \\ &+ (-1)^{(|b^*|+|c^*|)(|a^*|+|r|)} \langle c^*, T_r(b^*) \cdot T_r(a^*) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Eqs. (4.2) and (4.3) that r is an even solution of the AYBE in \mathfrak{p} if and only if T_r satisfies

$$T_r(v^*) \cdot T_r(w^*) = -T_r((-1)^{|T|(|v^*|+|T|)} R_{T_r(v^*)}^* w^* + (-1)^{|v^*|(|w^*|+|T|)} L_{T_r(w^*)}^* v^*),$$

Proceeding with the same way on the other cases, we complete the proof. \square

Example 4.4. Consider the Poisson superalgebra \mathfrak{p} and the even \mathcal{O} -operator T_0 , odd \mathcal{O} -operator T_1 of \mathfrak{p} associated to the co-regular representation in Example 3.2. It follows from Theorem 4.3 that

$$r_{T_0} = y \otimes y \quad \text{and} \quad r_{T_1} = x \otimes y + y \otimes x$$

are even skew-supersymmetric and odd supersymmetric solution of the PYBE in \mathfrak{p} respectively. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{1}}$ be a coherent Poisson superalgebra and $r \in \mathfrak{p} \otimes \mathfrak{p}$ be non-degenerate. Define a bilinear form \mathcal{B} on \mathfrak{p} by*

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{B}(x, y) = \langle T_r^{-1}(x), y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{p},$$

where T_r is given by Eq. (4.1). Then the following statements hold.

- (1) r is odd and supersymmetric if and only if \mathcal{B} is odd and skew-supersymmetric.
- (2) r is even and skew-supersymmetric if and only if \mathcal{B} is even and skew-supersymmetric.

Proof. We here give a detailed proof of the first statement and the second one could be obtained in the similar way. The element $r \in \mathfrak{p} \otimes \mathfrak{p}$ is odd if and only if $T_r^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_{\bar{0}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{1}}^*$ and $T_r^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_{\bar{1}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{0}}^*$, if and only if

$$\mathcal{B}(x, y) = \langle T_r^{-1}(x), y \rangle = 0$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{0}}$ or $x, y \in \mathfrak{p}_{\bar{1}}$, that is, \mathcal{B} is odd.

Since r is non-degenerate, for $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{p}$, there exist $a^*, b^*, c^* \in \mathfrak{p}^*$ such that $x = T_r(a^*), y = T_r(b^*), z = T_r(c^*)$, where $|x| = |a^*| + |T_r|, |y| = |b^*| + |T_r|, |z| = |c^*| + |T_r|$. If r is odd and supersymmetric, then

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(x, y) &= \langle T_r^{-1}(x), y \rangle = \langle a^*, T_r(b^*) \rangle = (-1)^{|a^*|} \langle T_r(a^*), b^* \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{|a^*| + |b^*| (|a^*| + \bar{1})} \langle b^*, T_r(a^*) \rangle \\ &= -(-1)^{(|a^*| + \bar{1})(|b^*| + \bar{1})} \langle T_r^{-1}(y), x \rangle = -(-1)^{|x||y|} \mathcal{B}(y, x). \end{aligned}$$

The converse is also true by a direct verification. \square

Proposition 4.6. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a coherent Poisson superalgebra and $r \in \mathfrak{p} \otimes \mathfrak{p}$ be non-degenerate. Suppose r is supersymmetric (resp. skew-supersymmetric). Then r is an odd (resp. even) solution of the PYBE in \mathfrak{p} if and only if the bilinear form \mathcal{B} on \mathfrak{p} defined by Eq. (4.4) is an odd (resp. even) 2-cocycle on \mathfrak{p} .*

Proof. By Proposition 4.5, it follows that r is odd and supersymmetric (resp. even and skew-supersymmetric) if and only if \mathcal{B} is odd (resp. even) and skew-supersymmetric. For all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{p}$, there exist $a^*, b^*, c^* \in \mathfrak{p}^*$ such that $x = T_r(a^*), y = T_r(b^*), z = T_r(c^*)$, where $|x| = |a^*| + |T_r|, |y| = |b^*| + |T_r|, |z| = |c^*| + |T_r|$. By Remark ??, if r is an odd (resp. even) solution of the PYBE in \mathfrak{p} , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(x \cdot y, z) &= \langle T_r^{-1}(x \cdot y), z \rangle = \langle T_r^{-1}(T_r(a^*) \cdot T_r(b^*)), z \rangle \\ &= \langle T_r^{-1}(-T_r((-1)^{|T_r|(|T_r| + |a^*|)} R_{T_r(a^*)}^* b^* + (-1)^{|a^*|(|T_r| + |b^*|)} L_{T_r(b^*)}^* a^*)), z \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{(|T_r| + |a^*|)(|T_r| + |T_r| + |b^*|)} \langle b^*, \mathcal{R}(T_r(a^*))z \rangle + \langle a^*, \mathcal{L}(T_r(b^*))z \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{|x||y|} \langle T_r^{-1}(y), \mathcal{R}_x z \rangle + \langle T_r^{-1}(x), \mathcal{L}_y z \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{|x|(|y| + |z|)} \mathcal{B}(y, z \cdot x) + \mathcal{B}(x, y \cdot z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.6) \quad &= -(-1)^{(|x|+|y|)|z|} \mathcal{B}(z \cdot x, y) + \mathcal{B}(x, y \cdot z), \\
 \mathcal{B}([x, y], z) &= \langle T_r^{-1}([x, y]), z \rangle = \langle T_r^{-1}([T_r(a^*), T_r(b^*)]), z \rangle \\
 &= \langle T_r^{-1}(T_r((-1)^{(|a^*|+|T_r|)|T_r|} \text{ad}^*(T_r(a^*))b^* - (-1)^{|a^*|(|b^*|+|T_r|)} \text{ad}^*(T_r(b^*))a^*)), z \rangle \\
 &= -(-1)^{(|a^*|+|T_r|)(|b^*|+|T_r|)} \langle b^*, \text{ad}(T_r(a^*))z \rangle + \langle a^*, \text{ad}(T_r(b^*))z \rangle \\
 &= -(-1)^{|x||y|} \langle T_r^{-1}(y), [x, z] \rangle + \langle T_r^{-1}(x), [y, z] \rangle \\
 &= -(-1)^{|x||y|} \mathcal{B}(y, [x, z]) + \mathcal{B}(x, [y, z]) \\
 (4.7) \quad &= (-1)^{|y||z|} \mathcal{B}([x, z], y) + \mathcal{B}(x, [y, z]).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathcal{B} is an odd (resp. even) 2-cocycle on \mathfrak{p} . Conversely, if \mathcal{B} is an odd (resp. even) 2-cocycle on \mathfrak{p} , then r is odd and supersymmetric (resp. even and skew-supersymmetric). By Eqs. (4.6) and (4.7), T_r is an odd (resp. even) \mathcal{O} -operator of \mathfrak{p} associated to $(\mathfrak{p}^*, -\mathcal{R}^*, -\mathcal{L}^*, \text{ad}^*)$ and thus r is an odd supersymmetric (resp. even skew-supersymmetric) solution of the PYBE in \mathfrak{p} . \square

4.2. Constructions of solutions of the PYBE in Poisson superalgebras. Let \mathfrak{p} be a Poisson superalgebra and V be an $m|n$ -dimensional \mathfrak{p} -module. We take the notations in Subsection 2.2 and let $\{v_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a homogeneous basis for V and $\{v_i^*\}_{i \in I}$ the dual basis for V^* with $|v_i| = |v_i^*|$. Since $\text{Hom}(V, \mathfrak{p}) \cong \mathfrak{p} \otimes V^*$, we can identify an element $T \in \text{Hom}(V, \mathfrak{p})$ with the element

$$(4.8) \quad T = \sum_{i \in I} T(v_i) \otimes v_i^* \in \mathfrak{p} \otimes V^* \subseteq (\mathfrak{p} \oplus V^*) \otimes (\mathfrak{p} \oplus V^*).$$

We define

$$(4.9) \quad r = T - (-1)^{|T|} \sigma(T) = \sum_{i \in I} (T(v_i) \otimes v_i^* - (-1)^{|T|+(|T|+|v_i|)|v_i|} v_i^* \otimes T(v_i)) \in (\mathfrak{p} \oplus V^*) \otimes (\mathfrak{p} \oplus V^*).$$

Theorem 4.7. *The element $r = T - (-1)^{|T|} \sigma(T)$ is a solution to the PYBE in the semi-direct product Poisson superalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \oplus V^*$ if and only if $T \in \mathcal{O}_{|T|}(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$.*

Proof. To obtain an equivalent condition of r being a solution to the PYBE on $\mathfrak{p} \oplus V^*$, we first note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{i, j \in I} (\mathcal{L}_{T(v_i)} v_j^*) \otimes v_i^* \otimes T(v_j) \\
 &= \sum_{i, j \in I} (-(-1)^{(|T|+|v_i|)|v_j|} \sum_{k \in I} \langle v_j^*, \mathcal{L}_{T(v_i)} v_k \rangle v_k^*) \otimes v_i^* \otimes T(v_j) \\
 &= \sum_{i, j \in I} (-(-1)^{(|T|+|v_i|)(|T|+|v_i|+|v_k|)} v_k^* \otimes v_i^* \otimes T(\sum_{k \in I} \langle v_j^*, \mathcal{L}_{T(v_i)} v_k \rangle v_j)) \\
 &= \sum_{i, j \in I} (-(-1)^{(|T|+|v_i|)|v_k|+|T|+|v_i|} v_k^* \otimes v_i^* \otimes T(\mathcal{L}_{T(v_i)} v_k)) \\
 &= \sum_{i, j \in I} (-(-1)^{(|T|+|v_i|)|v_j|+|T|+|v_i|} v_j^* \otimes v_i^* \otimes T(\mathcal{L}_{T(v_i)} v_j)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $|v_j| = |T| + |v_i| + |v_k|$, otherwise $\langle v_j^*, \mathcal{L}_{T(v_i)} v_k \rangle = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_{13} \cdot r_{12} &= \sum_{i, j \in I} (-(-1)^{|T||v_i|} (T(v_i) \cdot T(v_j)) \otimes v_j^* \otimes v_i^* \\
 &\quad - (-1)^{|T||v_i|+|T|+(|T|+|v_j|)|v_j|} (\mathcal{L}_{T(v_i)}^* v_j^* \otimes T(v_j) \otimes v_i^* \\
 &\quad - (-1)^{|T|+|v_i|+|v_i|(|T|+|v_j|)} \mathcal{R}_{T(v_j)}^* v_i^* \otimes v_j^* \otimes T(v_i)) \\
 &= \sum_{i, j \in I} (-(-1)^{(|T||v_i|} T(v_i) \cdot T(v_j)) \otimes v_j^* \otimes v_i^* \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{|v_i||v_j|+|v_j|} v_j^* \otimes T(\mathcal{L}_{T(v_i)} v_j) \otimes v_i^* \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{|v_i||v_j|+|T||v_i||v_j|} v_i^* \otimes v_j^* \otimes T(\mathcal{L}_{T(v_j)} v_i)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\Omega := T(v_i) \cdot T(v_j) - (-1)^{(|T|+|v_i|)|T|} T(\mathcal{L}_{T(v_i)} v_j) - (-1)^{|v_i|(|T|+|v_j|)} T(\mathcal{R}_{T(v_j)} v_i).$$

Hence, by the same way we have

$$\begin{aligned} & r_{13} \cdot r_{12} - (-1)^{|r|} r_{12} \cdot r_{23} + r_{23} \cdot r_{13} \\ = & \sum_{i,j \in I} ((-1)^{(|T|+|v_j|)|v_i|} \Omega \otimes v_i^* \otimes v_j^* \\ & - (-1)^{|T||v_i|+|T|+|v_i|} v_i^* \otimes \Omega \otimes v_j^* \\ & + (-1)^{(|T|+|v_j|)|v_i|+|v_i|+|v_j|} v_i^* \otimes v_j^* \otimes \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, r is a solution to the AYBE if and only if $\Omega = 0$, i.e., T is an \mathcal{O} -operator of \mathfrak{p} associated to $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$. The proof in the case of the CYBE could be obtained similarly. \square

Combining Theorems 3.6 and 4.7, we have the following conclusion.

Corollary 4.8. *Let $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ be a representation of a coherent Poisson superalgebra \mathfrak{p} and $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ a linear map. Then the following conclusions are equivalent.*

- (1) T is an odd \mathcal{O} -operator of \mathfrak{p} associated to $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$;
- (2) $T^s : sV \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ is an even \mathcal{O} -operator of \mathfrak{p} associated to $(sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s)$;
- (3) $r = T + \sigma(T)$ is an odd solution of the PYBE in the coherent Poisson superalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes V^*$;
- (4) $r^s = T^s - \sigma(T^s)$ is an even solution of the PYBE in the coherent Poisson superalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes (sV)^*$.

A similar argument will derive an "even \mathcal{O} -operators" version of the above consequences.

Corollary 4.9. *Let $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ a representation of a coherent Poisson superalgebra \mathfrak{p} and $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ be a linear map. Then the following conclusions are equivalent.*

- (1) T is an even \mathcal{O} -operator of \mathfrak{p} associated to $(V; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$;
- (2) $T^s : sV \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ is an odd \mathcal{O} -operator of \mathfrak{p} associated to $(sV; \mathcal{L}^s, \mathcal{R}^s, \rho^s)$;
- (3) $r = T - \sigma(T)$ is an even solution of the PYBE in the coherent Poisson superalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes V^*$;
- (4) $r^s = T^s + \sigma(T^s)$ is an odd solution of the PYBE in the coherent Poisson superalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes (sV)^*$.

REFERENCES

- [Agu00] Marcelo Aguiar, *Infinitesimal Hopf algebras*, New trends in Hopf algebra theory (La Falda, 1999), *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 267, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 1–29.
- [CP94] Vyjayanthi Chari and Andrew Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [LBS20] Jiefeng Liu, Chengming Bai, and Yunhe Sheng, *Noncommutative Poisson bialgebras*, *J. Algebra* **556** (2020), 35–66.
- [NB13] Xiang Ni and Chengming Bai, *Poisson bialgebras*, *J. Math. Phys.* **54** (2013), no. 2, 023515, 14.
- [PS21] Victor Petrogradsky and Ivan Shestakov, *Fractal nil graded Lie, associative, Poisson, and Jordan superalgebras*, *J. Algebra* **574** (2021), 453–513.
- [She93] I. P. Shestakov, *Quantization of Poisson superalgebras and the specialty of Jordan superalgebras of Poisson type*, *Algebra and Logic* **32** (1993), no. 5, 309–317.
- [Uch08] Kyousuke Uchino, *Quantum analogy of Poisson geometry, related dendriform algebras and Rota-Baxter operators*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **85** (2008), no. 2-3, 91–109.
- [WHB10] Yan Wang, Dongping Hou, and Chengming Bai, *Operator forms of the classical Yang-Baxter equation in Lie superalgebras*, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **7** (2010), no. 4, 583–597.

[Xu94] Ping Xu, *Noncommutative Poisson algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **116** (1994), no. 1, 101–125.

[ZB21] Runxuan Zhang and Chengming Bai, *Odd solutions of the classical Yang-baxter equation and operators of Lie superalgebras*, preprint (2021).

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NORTHEAST NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN, P.R. CHINA
Email address: danjw826@nenu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NORTHEAST NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN, P.R. CHINA
Email address: zhangrx728@nenu.edu.cn