

---

# $L(2, 1)$ -LABELING OF THE ITERATED MYCIELSKI OF GRAPHS AND SOME RELATED TO MATCHING PROBLEMS

---

A PREPRINT

**Kamal Dliou**

National School of Applied Sciences(ENSA)  
Ibn Zohr University  
B.P 1136, Agadir, Morocco  
dlioukamal@gmail.com

**Hicham El Boujaoui**

National School of Applied Sciences(ENSA)  
Ibn Zohr University  
B.P 1136, Agadir, Morocco  
h.elboujaoui@uiz.ac.ma

**Mustapha Kchikech**

Modeling and combinatorial laboratory  
Polydisciplinary faculty of Safi, Cadi Ayyad University  
Sidi Bouzid, B.P. 4162 - 46000, Safi, Morocco  
m.kchikech@uca.ac.ma

August 31, 2021

## Abstract

In this paper, we study the  $L(2, 1)$ -Labeling of the Mycielski and the iterated Mycielski of graphs in general. For a graph  $G$  and all  $t \geq 1$ , we give sharp bounds for  $\lambda(M^t(G))$  the  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling number of the  $t$ -th iterated Mycielski in terms of the number of iterations  $t$ , the order  $n$ , the maximum degree  $\Delta$ , and  $\lambda(G)$  the  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling number of  $G$ . For  $t = 1$ , we present necessary and sufficient conditions between the 4-star matching number of the complement graph and  $\lambda(M(G))$  the  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling number of the Mycielski of a graph, with some applications to special graphs. For all  $t \geq 2$ , we prove that for any graph  $G$  of order  $n$ , we have  $2^{t-1}(n+2) - 2 \leq \lambda(M^t(G)) \leq 2^t(n+1) - 2$ . Thereafter, we characterize the graphs achieving the upper bound  $2^t(n+1) - 2$ , then by using the Marriage Theorem and Tutte's characterization of graphs with a perfect 2-matching, we characterize all graphs without isolated vertices achieving the lower bound  $2^{t-1}(n+2) - 2$ . We determine the  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling number for the Mycielski and the iterated Mycielski of some graph classes.

**Keywords** Frequency assignment ·  $L(2, 1)$ -Labeling · Mycielski construction · Matching

## 1 Introduction

The graphs considered in this paper are finite, simple, and undirected. For graph terminology, we refer to [23].

In 1992, J.R. Griggs and R.K. Yeh [11] studied a variation of the frequency assignment problem [12], where close transmitters must receive different channels and closer transmitters must receive different channels at least two apart. This problem is known as the  $L(2, 1)$ -Labeling problem, the main target is to come up with a frequency assignment with low-frequency bandwidth.

Formally, the  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , is a function  $f$  from the vertex set  $V$  to the set of all nonnegative integers, such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq 2$  if  $d_G(x, y) = 1$  and  $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq 1$  if  $d_G(x, y) = 2$ , where  $d_G(x, y)$  is the distance between the vertices  $x$  and  $y$  in  $G$ . The *span* of an  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling  $f$  is the difference between the largest and the smallest label used by  $f$ . We may always consider zero as the smallest label used, so that the span is the highest label assigned. A  $k$ - $L(2, 1)$ -labeling is an  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling with no label

greater than  $k$ , the minimum  $k$  so that  $G$  has a  $k$ - $L(2, 1)$ -labeling is called the  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling number or  $\lambda$ -number of  $G$ , and denoted by  $\lambda(G)$ . An  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling with span  $\lambda(G)$  is called a  $\lambda$ -labeling.

The  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling has been extensively studied (see surveys [3, 24]). The determination of the exact value of  $\lambda(G)$  is an NP-Hard problem for graphs in general, it is NP-Complete to determine whether a graph admits an  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling with span at most  $\lambda \geq 4$  [7], the problem remains NP-Complete even restricted to some graph families (see NP-completeness results references in [3]). Therefore, the aim of the research was to bound the  $\lambda$ -number for graphs. By using the greedy algorithm, Griggs and Yeh [11] proved that  $\lambda(G) \leq \Delta^2 + 2\Delta$  for any graph  $G$ , where  $\Delta$  is the maximum degree of  $G$ . This upper bound was later improved by Gonçalves in [10] to  $\Delta^2 + \Delta - 2$ , and it is the best known upper bound for  $\lambda(G)$  in terms of the maximum degree for graphs in general. Griggs and Yeh [11] conjectured that  $\lambda(G) \leq \Delta^2$ , for any graph  $G$  with  $\Delta \geq 2$ , it is called  $\Delta^2$ -conjecture and is one of the most captivating open problems about graph labeling with distance conditions. This conjecture was proven to be true by Havet et al. [13] for graphs with a large maximum degree. The  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling number attracted attention not only for general graphs but also when considering specific graph classes. The decision version of the  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling problem has been proven to be polynomial for complete graphs, paths, cycles, wheels, trees, complete  $k$ -partite graphs, among other few graph classes. For an overview on the subject of the  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling (and its generalizations), we refer the reader to the surveys [3, 24].

In this paper, we investigate the  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of the Mycielski and the iterated Mycielski of graphs. In search of triangle-free graphs with a large chromatic number, Mycielski [19] used the following transformation.

**Definition 1.1.** *For a given graph  $G = (V, E)$  of order  $n$  with  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . The Mycielski graph of  $G$ , denoted  $M(G)$ , is the graph with vertex set  $V \cup V' \cup \{u\}$ , where  $V' = \{v'_i : v_i \in V\}$  and edge set  $E \cup \{v_i v'_j : v_i v_j \in E\} \cup \{v'_i u : v'_i \in V'\}$ . The vertex  $v'_i$  is called the copy of the vertex  $v_i$  and  $u$  is called the root of  $M(G)$ .*

The  $t$ -th iterated Mycielski graph of  $G$ , denoted  $M^t(G)$ , is defined recursively with  $M^0(G) = G$  and for  $t \geq 1$   $M^t(G) = M(M^{t-1}(G))$ . If  $t = 1$ ,  $M^1(G)$  is the Mycielski graph of  $G$  and is denoted simply  $M(G)$ . It is known that  $\chi(M(G)) = \chi(G) + 1$ , and  $\omega(M(G)) = \max(2, \omega(G))$ , for any graph  $G$ , where  $\chi(G)$  and  $\omega(G)$  are respectively the chromatic number and the clique number of  $G$ . Many aspects and invariants of the Mycielski graphs have been studied (see for example [2, 4, 5, 8, 16, 17, 20]), Mycielski graphs are known to be hard-to-color instances and are used for testing coloring algorithms [4]. The  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of the Mycielski of graphs has been previously investigated in [17] and [20]. A 4-star matching  $H$  of a graph  $G$  is a subgraph such that  $H$  is a collection of vertex disjoint star graphs  $K_{1,1}$ ,  $K_{1,2}$ ,  $K_{1,3}$  or  $K_{1,4}$ . The 4-star matching number is the maximum order of a 4-star matching of  $G$ . In [17], W. Lin and P. Lam gave sufficient conditions on the 4-star matching number of the complement graph  $\bar{G}$ , so that  $\lambda(M(G)) \leq 2n$  and  $\lambda(M(G)) = 2n + k$ , for any  $k \geq 1$ . This allows them to prove that  $\lambda(M(G))$  can be computed in polynomial time for graphs with diameter at most 2, and then give the  $\lambda$ -number of the Mycielski of complete graph  $K_n$ , and the Mycielski of the graph join of complete graph and the empty graph. Z. Shao and R. Solis-Oba in [20], also studied the  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling number of the Mycielski and the iterated Mycielski of graphs. The authors as well gave the  $\lambda$ -number of the Mycielski of complete graph, and depending on the number of iterations determine the exact value or give bounds for  $\lambda(M^t(K_n))$ , then provided bounds for  $\lambda(M^t(G))$  for any graph  $G$ .

In this paper, we continue the work started by Lin and Lam [17], and Shao and Solis-Oba [20]. In Section 2, we give some preliminary results about the Mycielski and iterated Mycielski of graphs, and some previous results on the  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling number of graphs.

Section 3 is dedicated to the  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling number of  $M(G)$ . First, we provide bounds involving the order  $n$ , the maximum degree  $\Delta$  and the  $\lambda$ -number of  $G$ . Then we complete the equivalence relationship between the 4-star matching number and the  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling number of the Mycielski of a graph. Afterward, we give applications of this result to the  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling number of the Mycielski of some particular graphs, not mentioned in [17]. The end of Section 3 is dedicated to graphs with a lower bound  $\lambda(M(G)) = n + 1$ , we give a condition for a graph implying that  $\lambda(M(G)) = n + 1$ . Then we determine the  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling number of  $M(P_n)$  and  $M(C_n)$  the Mycielski graph of path and cycle respectively, which allow us to determine all the connected graphs realizing  $\lambda(M(G))$  equal to 4, 6 and 7 respectively.

Section 4 is devoted to the  $t$ -th iterated Mycielski of graphs with  $t \geq 2$ . As in Section 3, we give bounds for  $\lambda(M^t(G))$  in terms of the number of iterations  $t$ , the order, the maximum degree, and  $\lambda(G)$ . Then we show that for all  $t \geq 2$ ,  $\lambda(M^t(K_n)) = |M^t(K_n)| - 1 = 2^t(n + 1) - 2$ , then we characterize all graphs having  $\lambda(M^t(G)) = |M^t(G)| - 1 = 2^t(n + 1) - 2$ . Later, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for any graph  $G$

without isolated vertices achieving a lower bound  $2^{t-1}(n+2) - 2$  for the  $\lambda$ -number of the iterated Mycielski of  $G$ , we apply that to get an upper bound that can be calculated in polynomial time for any graph  $G$ , then we determine  $\lambda(M^t(P_n))$ , and  $\lambda(M^t(C_n))$ . Finally, we propose a weak version of the  $\Delta^2$ -conjecture for the  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of the Mycielski and iterated Mycielski of graphs.

## 2 Preliminaries and previous results

For a graph  $G$ , let  $\Delta_{M^t}$ ,  $deg_{M^t}(x)$ , and  $d_{M^t}(x, y)$  denote respectively, the maximum degree, the degree of a vertex  $x$ , and the distance between the vertices  $x$  and  $y$  in  $M^t(G)$ . If  $t = 1$ , we denote simply  $\Delta_M$ ,  $deg_M(x)$ , and  $d_M(x, y)$ . As a consequence of Definition 1.1, we have the following.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $n$ , then  $|M^t(G)| = 2^t(n+1) - 1$ .*

*Proof.* From Definition 1.1, we have  $|M(G)| = 2n+1 = 2(n+1) - 1$ . By using induction, we can show that  $|M^t(G)| = 2^t(n+1) - 1$ .  $\square$

**Observation 2.1.** *If  $H$  is a subgraph of a graph  $G$ , then for any  $t \geq 1$ ,  $M^t(H)$  is a subgraph of  $M^t(G)$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** *For a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  and maximum degree  $\Delta$ . For any  $t \geq 1$ , we have  $\Delta_{M^t} = \max(2^{t-1}(n+1) - 1, 2^t \Delta)$ .*

*Proof.* By Definition 1.1, we have  $deg_M(u) = n$ ,  $deg_M(x) = 2deg_G(x)$ , and  $deg_M(x') = deg_G(x) + 1$  for all  $x \in V$ , where  $x'$  is the copy of the vertex  $x$  in  $M(G)$ . Then  $\Delta_M = \max(n, 2\Delta)$ . Suppose that for  $k \geq 1$ , we have  $\Delta_{M^k} = \max(2^{k-1}(n+1) - 1, 2^k \Delta)$ .

For  $k+1$ , if  $2^{k-1}(n+1) - 1 \geq 2^k \Delta$ , then  $\Delta_{M^k} = 2^{k-1}(n+1) - 1$ . Let  $v$  be a vertex of  $M^k(G)$ , such that  $deg_{M^k}(v) = \Delta_{M^k}$ . From Definition 1.1  $deg_{M^{k+1}}(v) = 2deg_{M^k}(v) = 2^k(n+1) - 2 \geq deg_{M^{k+1}}(x)$ , for all  $x \in V_{M^k} \cup V'_{M^k}$ . Also  $deg_{M^{k+1}}(u^{k+1}) = |M^k(G)| = 2^k(n+1) - 1 > deg_{M^{k+1}}(v)$ , where  $u^{k+1}$  is the root of  $M^{k+1}(G)$ . So  $\Delta_{M^{k+1}} = deg_{M^{k+1}}(u^{k+1}) = 2^k(n+1) - 1$ .

Otherwise, if  $2^k \Delta \geq 2^{k-1}(n+1)$ , then by the inductive hypothesis  $\Delta_{M^k} = 2^k \Delta$ . We have  $deg_{M^{k+1}}(x) = 2deg_{M^k}(x) \leq 2^{k+1} \Delta$ , for all  $x \in V_{M^k}$ . For  $x' \in V'_{M^k}$ ,  $deg_{M^{k+1}}(x') = deg_{M^k}(x) + 1 \leq 2^k \Delta + 1 \leq 2^{k+1} \Delta$ . Also  $deg_{M^{k+1}}(u^{k+1}) = 2^k(n+1) - 1 < 2^{k+1} \Delta$ . Thus,  $\Delta_{M^{k+1}} = 2^{k+1} \Delta$ . It follows that  $\Delta_{M^{k+1}} = \max(2^k(n+1) - 1, 2^{k+1} \Delta)$ .  $\square$

Notice that  $M(G)$  is a connected graph if and only if  $G$  has no isolated vertices. The *diameter* of a graph  $diam(G)$ , is the greatest distance between any pair of vertices in  $G$ . If  $G$  is disconnected, then  $diam(G)$  is considered to be infinite. In [8], D.C Fisher et al. proved that  $diam(M(G)) = \min(\max(2, diam(G)), 4)$ , for every graph  $G$  without isolated vertices. The following lemmas are a consequence of the proof of this result and the definition of  $M(G)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** [8] *For  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  two non-isolated vertices in  $G$ . We have  $d_M(u, v'_i) = 1$ ,  $d_M(u, v_i) = 2$ ,  $d_M(v'_i, v'_j) = 2$ ,  $d_M(v_i, v'_i) = 2$ ,  $d_M(v_i, v'_j) = \min(3, d(v_i, v_j))$ , and  $d_M(v_i, v_j) = \min(4, d(v_i, v_j))$ .*

If  $v_i$  is an isolated vertex in  $G$ , then  $v_i$  is isolated in  $M(G)$ , and  $v'_i$  is adjacent to the root  $u$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $G$  is a graph without isolated vertices. For  $t \geq 1$ ,  $diam(M^t(G)) = \min(\max(2, diam(G)), 4)$ .*

*Proof.* Based on [8], we have  $diam(M(G)) = \min(\max(2, diam(G)), 4)$ . Suppose that for  $k \geq 1$ , we have  $diam(M^k(G)) = \min(\max(2, diam(G)), 4)$ . We have  $M^{k+1}(G) = M(M^k(G))$ , so  $diam(M^{k+1}(G)) = \min(\max(2, diam(M^k(G)), 4)$ . If  $diam(G) = 1$  or  $2$ , then by the inductive hypothesis  $diam(M^k(G)) = 2$ , it follows that  $diam(M^{k+1}(G)) = 2$ . If  $diam(G) = 3$ , by the inductive hypothesis  $diam(M^k(G)) = 3$  and so  $diam(M^{k+1}(G)) = 3$ . By using the same argument if  $diam(G) \geq 4$ , we get that  $diam(M^{k+1}(G)) = 4$ .  $\square$

By Lemma 2.4, if the diameter of a graph  $G$  is 1 or 2, then the diameter of the  $t$ -th iterated Mycielski  $M^t(G)$  is 2, for any  $t \geq 1$ . It is clear from the definition of the  $L(2, 1)$ -Labeling, that any vertices at distance less or equal to 2 must be assigned distinct labels. So for any diameter two graph  $G$ , all the vertices must be assigned different labels  $\lambda(G) \geq |G| - 1$ . These arguments will also be used throughout the paper.

We recall some previous results on the  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of graphs.

**Lemma 2.5.** [11] *If  $G$  is a graph of maximum degree  $\Delta \geq 1$ , then  $\lambda(G) \geq \Delta + 1$ . If  $\lambda(G) = \Delta + 1$ , then for every vertex  $v$  of degree  $\Delta$ ,  $f(v) = 0$  or  $\Delta + 1$  for any  $\lambda$ -labeling  $f$ .*

For  $t \geq 1$ , from Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.2, an obvious lower bound for  $\lambda(M^t(G))$  would be  $\max(2^{t-1}(n+1), 2^t \Delta + 1)$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** [6] *If  $H$  is a subgraph of a graph  $G$ , then  $\lambda(H) \leq \lambda(G)$ .*

**Theorem 2.1.** [11] *If  $G$  is a diameter 2 graph with maximum degree  $\Delta$ , then  $\lambda(G) \leq \Delta^2$ .*

In the proof of Theorem 2.1, Griggs and Yeh proved that for a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  and maximum degree  $\Delta \geq (n-1)/2 \geq 3$ , we have  $\lambda(G) < \Delta^2$ . Since  $\Delta_M = \max(n, 2\Delta)$  and  $|M(G)| = 2n+1$ , it means the  $\Delta^2$ -conjecture is true for the Mycielski of any graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 3$ .

The *path covering number* of a graph  $p_v(G)$ , is the smallest number of vertex-disjoint paths needed to cover all the vertices of a graph  $G$ . The complement graph  $\overline{G}$  of a graph  $G$  is the graph whose vertex set is  $V$  and where  $xy \in E(\overline{G})$  if only if  $xy \notin E(G)$ . In [9], Georges et al. related the path covering number of the complement graph  $\overline{G}$  to the  $L(2,1)$ -labeling number of  $G$ , in the following.

**Theorem 2.2.** [9] *For any graph  $G$  of order  $n$ , we have*

- $\lambda(G) \leq n-1$  if and only if  $p_v(\overline{G}) = 1$ .
- $\lambda(G) = n+r-2$  if and only if  $p_v(\overline{G}) = r \geq 2$ .

### 3 The Mycielski of a graph $M(G)$

#### 3.1 Bounds for the $L(2,1)$ -labeling number of $M(G)$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n \geq 1$ , and maximum degree  $\Delta \geq 0$ , we have*

$$\max(n+1, 2(\Delta+1)) \leq \lambda(M(G)) \leq (n+1) + \lambda(G).$$

*Proof.* According to the definition of the Mycielski of a graph, the degree of the root  $\deg_M(u) = n$ , then  $\lambda(M(G)) \geq n+1$ . Otherwise, for  $\Delta \geq 1$ , we have the star graph  $K_{1,\Delta}$  is a subgraph of  $G$ . Then by Observation 2.1 and Lemma 2.6, we have  $\lambda(M(G)) \geq \lambda(M(K_{1,\Delta}))$ . Since  $\text{diam}(K_{1,\Delta}) = 2$  and  $|K_{1,\Delta}| = \Delta+1$ , it follows that  $\text{diam}(M(K_{1,\Delta})) = 2$ , and  $\lambda(M(K_{1,\Delta})) \geq |M(K_{1,\Delta})| - 1 = 2(\Delta+1)$ . Thus,  $\lambda(M(G)) \geq 2(\Delta+1)$ .

For the upper bound, let  $h$  be a  $\lambda$ -labeling of  $G$ . We denote  $M(G)$  the Mycielski graph of  $G$ , with vertex set  $V(M(G)) = \{v_i, v'_i, u : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ , where  $v'_i$  is the copy of  $v_i$  in  $M(G)$  and  $u$  is the root. Since every  $\lambda$ -labeling must assign the label 0 to a vertex of  $G$ , we consider without loss of generality that  $h(v_n) = 0$ . We define the following labeling  $f$  on  $V(M(G))$ .

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} i-1 & \text{if } x = v'_i, 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ n+h(v_i) & \text{if } x = v_i, 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ (n+1) + \lambda(G) & \text{if } x = u. \end{cases}$$

Now we will check that  $f$  is an  $L(2,1)$ -labeling of  $M(G)$ , we get five cases.

- We have  $|f(v'_i) - f(v'_j)| = |i-j| \geq 1$  and  $d_M(v'_i, v'_j) = 2$ , for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$   $i \neq j$ .
- By Lemma 2.3, if  $d_M(v_i, v_j) = 1$  (respectively = 2), then  $d_G(v_i, v_j) = 1$  (respectively = 2). We have  $|f(v_i) - f(v_j)| = |h(v_i) - h(v_j)|$ . This means  $|f(v_i) - f(v_j)| \geq 2$ , if  $d_M(v_i, v_j) = 1$  and  $|f(v_i) - f(v_j)| \geq 1$ , if  $d_M(v_i, v_j) = 2$ .
- For all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , we have  $|f(v_i) - f(v'_j)| = |n+h(v_i) - j + 1|$ . The distance two conditions are respected for all the following cases,
  - i) If  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , then  $|f(v_i) - f(v'_j)| \geq 2$ .
  - ii) If  $j = n$  and  $i = n$ , we have  $|f(v_n) - f(v'_n)| = 1$ , and  $d_M(v_n, v'_n) \geq 2$ .
  - iii) If  $j = n$  and  $d_G(v_i, v_n) = 1$ , we have  $|h(v_i) - h(v_n)| \geq 2$ , so  $h(v_i) \geq 2$ . It follows that  $|f(v_i) - f(v'_n)| \geq 2$ .
  - iv) If  $j = n$  and  $d_G(v_i, v_n) \geq 2$ , by Lemma 2.3 we have  $d_M(v_i, v'_n) \geq 2$ , and  $|f(v_i) - f(v'_n)| \geq 1$ .
- For all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $|f(u) - f(v'_i)| = |(n+1) + \lambda(G) - i + 1| \geq 2$ .

- For all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $|f(u) - f(v_i)| = |(n+1) + \lambda(G) - (n + h(v_i))| \geq 1$ , and  $d_M(u, v_i) \geq 2$ .

So  $f$  is an  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of  $M(G)$  with span  $(n+1) + \lambda(G)$ . Hence  $\lambda(M(G)) \leq (n+1) + \lambda(G)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** *If  $G$  is a diameter 2 graph of maximum degree  $\Delta$ , then  $\lambda(M(G)) \leq 2(\Delta^2 + 1)$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1 for a diameter 2 graph, we have  $\lambda(G) \leq \Delta^2$ . Also, we have  $|G| = n \leq \Delta^2 + 1$ , known as the Moore bound due to Hoffman and Singleton [14]. By combining this with the upper bound of Theorem 3.1, we get that  $\lambda(M(G)) \leq 2(\Delta^2 + 1)$ .  $\square$

The bound  $2(\Delta^2 + 1)$  in Corollary 3.1, can only be attained by the Mycielski of diameter two Moore graphs [14], since the diameter of the Mycielski of these graphs is two, and these are the only diameter two graphs with order  $\Delta^2 + 1$  and  $\lambda$ -number equal to  $\Delta^2$  [11]. The only known graphs achieving this bound are  $C_5$  the cycle of order 5, the Petersen graph, and the Hoffman-Singleton graph.

### 3.2 $L(2, 1)$ -labeling number of the Mycielski and the star matching of the complement

By using the upper bound of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 2.2, we can link the  $\lambda$ -number of  $M(G)$  to the path covering of the complement graph  $\overline{G}$ . So if  $p_v(\overline{G}) = 1$ , i.e.  $\overline{G}$  has a Hamiltonian path, then  $\lambda(M(G)) \leq 2n$ , the equality holds for diameter two graphs. Also if  $p_v(\overline{G}) \geq 2$ , then  $\lambda(M(G)) \leq 2n + p_v(\overline{G}) - 1$ . But for more relevant conditions, the study of the path covering of the complement of  $M(G)$  is required.

We can see that for any graph  $G$ ,  $\overline{M(G)}$  the complement of the Mycielski graph of  $G$  is a connected graph. The neighborhood of  $u$  in  $\overline{M(G)}$  is  $V$ . For all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $v_i v'_i \in E(\overline{M(G)})$ . For  $i \neq j$ ,  $v'_i v'_j \in E(\overline{M(G)})$ . Also  $v_i v'_j, v_i v_j \in E(\overline{M(G)})$  if and only if  $v_i v_j \notin E(G)$ . The subgraph induced by the set  $V$  is  $\overline{G}$ . The subgraph induced by the set  $V'$ , is the complete graph on  $n$  vertices.

Let  $m$  be an integer greater or equal to 2. An  $m$ -star matching  $H$  of  $G$  is a subgraph of  $G$ , such that each component of  $H$  is isomorphic to a star graph  $K_{1,i}$ , with  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . The  $m$ -star matching number, denoted  $s_m(G)$ , is the maximum order of an  $m$ -star matching of  $G$ , an  $m$ -star matching of order  $s_m(G)$ , is said to be maximum. If  $s_m(G) = |G|$ , we say that  $G$  has a perfect  $m$ -star matching, a perfect  $m$ -star matching is known also as star-factor or  $\{K_{1,1}, K_{1,2}, \dots, K_{1,m}\}$ -factor [1, 22], the problem of finding whether or not a graph  $G$  admits a perfect  $m$ -star matching can be solved in polynomial time [15]. In [17], Lin and Lam studied the  $m$ -star matching and the  $m$ -star matching number  $s_m(G)$ . They delivered an algorithm to compute  $s_m(G)$  running in  $O(|V||E|)$ . Then they related the 4-star matching number of  $\overline{G}$  to the path covering number of  $\overline{M(G)}$ . In the following we denote by  $i_4(G)$  the number of vertices unmatched in a maximum 4-star matching of  $G$ , i.e.  $i_4(G) = n - s_4(G)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** [17] *For any graph  $G$ , we have*

- (i) *if  $i_4(\overline{G}) \leq 4$ , then  $p_v(\overline{M(G)}) = 1$ .*
- (ii) *If  $i_4(\overline{G}) \geq 5$ , then  $p_v(\overline{M(G)}) = \lceil \frac{i_4(\overline{G})}{2} \rceil - 1$ .*

We show that the converse holds in both cases, similarly to Theorem 2.2 in [9].

**Theorem 3.3.** *For any graph  $G$ , we have*

- (a)  *$i_4(\overline{G}) \leq 4$  if and only if  $p_v(\overline{M(G)}) = 1$ .*
- (b)  *$\lceil \frac{i_4(\overline{G})}{2} \rceil = r \geq 3$  if and only if  $p_v(\overline{M(G)}) = r - 1$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Considering (i) and the contraposition of (ii) in Theorem 3.2, we get the necessity and sufficiency.

(b) We use induction on  $r$ . Let  $r = 3$ .

**Claim 3.1.** *If  $p_v(\overline{M(G)}) = 2$ , then the root  $u$  is not an end-vertex of a path in a minimum path covering of  $\overline{M(G)}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $p_v(\overline{M(G)}) = 2$ , let  $P^1$  and  $P^2$  be the two paths of a minimum path covering of  $\overline{M(G)}$ , suppose that  $u$  is an end-vertex of  $P^1$ . Since  $u$  is adjacent in  $\overline{M(G)}$  to every vertex in  $V$ , a vertex in  $V$  cannot be an end-vertex of  $P^2$ , otherwise  $\overline{M(G)}$  has a Hamiltonian path. So both ends of  $P^2$  are from  $V'$ . Since the subgraph induced by  $V'$  is a complete graph, the other extremity of  $P^1$  is in  $V$ . Let  $z$  be the other end of  $P^1$ ,  $x'$  and  $y'$  the ends of  $P^2$ . Since  $u$  is adjacent to  $z$ , and  $x'$  is adjacent to  $y'$ . If  $z'$  the copy of  $z$  belongs

to  $P^1$ , we have  $z'$  is adjacent to  $x'$  and  $y'$ , we can construct a Hamiltonian path of  $\overline{M}(G)$ . If  $z'$  belongs to  $P^2$ , since  $z$  is adjacent to  $z'$ , in this case also  $\overline{M}(G)$  has a Hamiltonian path, a contradiction.  $\square$

If  $p_v(\overline{M}(G)) = 2$ , let  $x, y \in V$ , such that  $x$  or its copy and  $y$  or its copy are end-vertices of the two different paths in a minimum path covering of  $\overline{M}(G)$ . We consider the graph  $H$  with vertex set  $V$ , and edge set of its complement  $E(\overline{H}) = E(\overline{G}) \cup \{xy\}$ . It is clear that  $p_v(\overline{M}(H)) = 1$ , and  $i_4(\overline{H}) \geq i_4(\overline{G}) - 2$ . Since  $p_v(\overline{M}(G)) = 2$ , according to (a) we have  $4 \geq i_4(\overline{H})$ , and  $i_4(\overline{G}) \geq 5$ . It follows that  $\lceil \frac{i_4(\overline{G})}{2} \rceil = 3$ . So from Theorem 3.2 (ii), we have Theorem 3.3 (b) is true for  $r = 3$ .

We suppose that (b) is true for  $3 \leq r \leq k$ , and let  $r = k + 1$ .

If  $p_v(\overline{M}(G)) = k$ . Let  $x, y \in V$ , such that  $x$  or its copy and  $y$  or its copy are end-vertices of two different paths in a minimum path covering of  $\overline{M}(G)$ . We consider the graph  $H$  with vertex set  $V$ , and edge set of its complement  $E(\overline{H}) = E(\overline{G}) \cup \{xy\}$ . We have  $p_v(\overline{M}(H)) = k - 1$ , and  $i_4(\overline{H}) \geq i_4(\overline{G}) - 2$ . So by the inductive hypothesis  $\lceil \frac{i_4(\overline{H})}{2} \rceil = k$ , hence  $2k + 2 \geq i_4(\overline{G})$ . Since  $p_v(\overline{M}(G)) = k$ , by the inductive hypothesis  $i_4(\overline{G}) \geq 2k + 1$ . It follows that  $\lceil \frac{i_4(\overline{G})}{2} \rceil = k + 1$ . Theorem 3.2 (ii) completes the equivalence.  $\square$

By combining Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 3.3, we get the following results.

**Theorem 3.4.** *For any graph  $G$  of order  $n$ , we have*

- (a)  $\lambda(M(G)) \leq 2n$  if and only if  $i_4(\overline{G}) \leq 4$ .
- (b) For any positive integer  $r$ , we have

$$\lambda(M(G)) = 2n + r \text{ if and only if } \lceil \frac{i_4(\overline{G})}{2} \rceil = r + 2.$$

Next, we give applications of this previous theorem to the  $\lambda$ -number of the Mycielski of certain graphs.

If the diameter of  $G$  is 1 or 2, then  $\text{diam}(M(G)) = 2$ , we can conclude from Theorem 3.4 that  $\lambda(M(G)) = 2n + \max\{2, \lceil \frac{i_4(\overline{G})}{2} \rceil\} - 2$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ , if the clique number  $\omega(G) \leq 4$ , then  $\lambda(M(G)) \leq 2n$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.4 (a) if  $\lambda(M(G)) > 2n$ , then  $i_4(\overline{G}) \geq 5$ . This means that  $\omega(G) \geq 5$ .  $\square$

The graphs with clique number less or equal to 4 in Corollary 3.2 include trees, planar graphs, and subcubic graphs.

If  $X$  is any subset of  $V$ , we denote  $N_G(X)$  the set of all vertices in  $V$  adjacent to at least one vertex from  $X$  in  $G$ . In [17], a criterion for a graph to have a perfect  $m$ -star matching is given, this appeared also in [1, 15, 22].

**Theorem 3.5.** [1, 15, 17, 22] *A graph  $G$  has a perfect  $m$ -star matching if and only if for any independent set  $S$  in  $G$ ,  $|N_G(S)| \geq |S|/m$ .*

**Corollary 3.3.** *For a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  and maximum degree  $\Delta \leq n - 2$ . If  $3(n - 1) + \delta \geq 4\Delta$ , then  $\lambda(M(G)) \leq 2n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\overline{\Delta}$  and  $\overline{\delta}$  denote respectively the maximum and minimum degree of the complement graph  $\overline{G}$ . For any independent set  $S$  in  $\overline{G}$ , let  $|E_{\overline{G}}(S)|$  denote the number of edges incident to the vertices of  $S$  in  $\overline{G}$ , we have

$$|N_{\overline{G}}(S)|\overline{\Delta} \geq |E_{\overline{G}}(S)| \geq \overline{\delta}|S| \tag{1}$$

If  $3(n - 1) + \delta \geq 4\Delta$ , since  $\overline{\Delta} = (n - 1) - \delta$  and  $\overline{\delta} = (n - 1) - \Delta$  means  $4\overline{\delta} \geq \overline{\Delta}$ , therefore from Inequality (1) we get that  $|N_{\overline{G}}(S)| \geq |S|/4$ , for any  $S$  independent set in  $\overline{G}$ . Then by Theorem 3.5,  $\overline{G}$  has a perfect 4-star matching. Hence from Theorem 3.4 (a), we have  $\lambda(M(G)) \leq 2n$ .  $\square$

From Corollary 3.3, any regular graph  $G$  of order  $n$ , except complete graphs, has  $\lambda(M(G)) \leq 2n$ . In [17], it is shown that for complete graph  $\lambda(M(K_2)) = 4$  and  $\lambda(M(K_n)) = 2n + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 2$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Next, we determine the exact  $\lambda$ -number of the Mycielski of complete  $k$ -partite graphs.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a complete  $k$ -partite graph of order  $n$ , where the partite sets consist of  $p$  sets of order greater or equal 2 and  $q$  singletons.*

- *If  $q \leq 4$ , then  $\lambda(M(G)) = 2n$ .*
- *If  $q \geq 5$ , then  $\lambda(M(G)) = 2n + \lceil \frac{q}{2} \rceil - 2$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $\overline{G}$  is formed of  $p$  connected components that are complete graphs of order greater or equal to 2, and  $q$  isolated vertices. Therefore  $i_4(\overline{G}) = q$ . If  $q \leq 4$ , by Theorem 3.4 (a),  $\lambda(M(G)) \leq 2n$ . Since  $\text{diam}(M(G)) = 2$ , it follows that  $\lambda(M(G)) = 2n$ . If  $q \geq 5$ , then by Theorem 3.4 (b),  $\lambda(M(G)) = 2n + \lceil \frac{q}{2} \rceil - 2$ .  $\square$

Let  $G_1, G_2$  be two disjoint graphs. The disjoint union of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , denoted by  $G_1 \cup G_2$ , is the graph with vertex set  $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$  and edge set  $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$ . The joint of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  denoted  $G_1 \vee G_2$  is the graph obtained from  $G_1 \cup G_2$  by joining each vertex of  $G_1$  to each vertex of  $G_2$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k$  be a collection of disjoint graphs having respectively  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$  vertices. Let  $n = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$ , then  $\lambda(M(G_1 \vee G_2 \vee \dots \vee G_k)) = 2n + \max\{2, \lceil \frac{I}{2} \rceil\} - 2$ , where  $I = \sum_{i=1}^k i_4(\overline{G}_i)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G = G_1 \vee G_2 \vee \dots \vee G_k$ , we have  $\overline{G} = \overline{G}_1 \cup \overline{G}_2 \cup \dots \cup \overline{G}_k$ . It follows that  $i_4(\overline{G}) = \sum_{i=1}^k i_4(\overline{G}_i) = I$ . Thus, by Theorem 3.4 (a) if  $I \leq 4$ , then  $\lambda(M(G)) \leq 2n$ . Since  $\text{diam}(G) = 2$ , it follows that  $\lambda(M(G)) = 2n$ . If  $I \geq 5$ , from Theorem 3.4 (b),  $\lambda(M(G)) = 2n + \lceil \frac{I}{2} \rceil - 2$ .  $\square$

### 3.3 Graphs with $\lambda(M(G)) = n + 1$

For  $k \geq 1$ , the  $k$ th power of a graph  $G$  is the graph  $G^k$  with vertex set  $V$  and edge set  $E(G^k) = \{v_i v_j : 1 \leq d_G(v_i, v_j) \leq k\}$ . Then the square of a graph  $G^2$  has the edge set of its complement graph  $E(\overline{G^2}) = \{v_i v_j : d_G(v_i, v_j) \geq 3\}$ . Next we give a condition, so that  $\lambda(M(G)) = n + 1$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *In a graph  $G$  of order  $n$ , if the vertex set  $V$  can be partitioned into  $k \geq 1$  vertex-disjoint cliques in  $\overline{G^2}$ , such that at least  $k - 1$  cliques are of order greater or equal 3. Then  $\lambda(M(G)) = n + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V = \cup_{r=1}^k S_r$ , such that  $S_r$  are vertex-disjoint cliques in  $\overline{G^2}$  of order  $|S_r| = n_r \geq 3$  for  $1 \leq r \leq k - 1$ , and  $|S_k| = n_k \geq 1$ , where  $\sum_{r=1}^k n_r = n$ . For  $1 \leq r \leq k$ , let us denote  $S_r = \{v_{i,r} : 1 \leq i \leq n_r\}$ ,  $v'_{i,r}$  is the copy of the vertex  $v_{i,r}$ , and  $u$  is the root of  $M(G)$ . We have  $d_G(v_{i,r}, v_{j,r}) \geq 3$  for any two distinct vertices in  $S_r$ , so a vertex in  $S_{r+1}$  can be adjacent to at most one vertex in  $S_r$ . For  $1 \leq r \leq k - 1$ , the cliques  $S_r$  in  $\overline{G^2}$  are symmetric of order greater or equal 3, we suppose without loss of generality that  $d_G(v_{n_r,r}, v_{1,r+1}) \geq 2$ , for  $1 \leq r \leq k - 1$ . Let  $\psi_1 = 0$  and for  $r \geq 2$ ,  $\psi_r = \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} n_j$ . With respect to the previous assumption, we label the vertices of  $M(G)$  as following.

- For  $1 \leq r \leq k - 1$ , define  $f(v_{1,r}) = \psi_r$ . For  $2 \leq i \leq n_r$ ,  $f(v_{i,r}) = \psi_r + 1$ . Also  $f(v'_{1,r}) = \psi_r + 1$ , and  $f(v'_{2,r}) = \psi_r$ . For  $3 \leq i \leq n_r$ ,  $f(v'_{i,r}) = \psi_r + i - 1$ .
- If  $|S_k| = 1$ , let  $f(v_{1,k}) = n$ , and  $f(v'_{1,k}) = n - 1$ .
- If  $|S_k| = 2$ , let  $f(v_{1,k}) = n - 2$ ,  $f(v'_{1,k}) = n - 1$ ,  $f(v_{2,k}) = n - 1$ , and  $f(v'_{2,k}) = n - 2$ .
- If  $|S_k| \geq 3$ , define  $f(v_{1,k}) = \psi_k$ . For  $2 \leq i \leq n_r$ ,  $f(v_{i,k}) = \psi_k + 1$ . Also  $f(v'_{1,k}) = \psi_k + 1$ , and  $f(v'_{2,k}) = \psi_k$ . For  $3 \leq i \leq n_k$ ,  $f(v'_{i,k}) = \psi_k + i - 1$ .

Finally, label the root  $f(u) = n + 1$ . We have  $d_G(v_{i,r}, v_{j,r}) \geq 3$ , and for  $1 \leq r \leq k - 1$  we have  $d_G(v_{n_r,r}, v_{1,r+1}) \geq 2$ . This means by Lemma 2.3 that  $d_M(v_{i,r}, v_{j,r}) \geq 3$ ,  $d_M(v'_{i,r}, v_{j,r}) = 3$ , and  $d_M(v'_{n_r,r}, v_{1,r+1}) \geq 2$ . The labeling  $f$  is an  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of  $M(G)$  with span  $n + 1$ . Hence  $\lambda(M(G)) = n + 1$ .  $\square$

In the case of the empty graph  $\overline{K_n}$ , we have  $M(\overline{K_n}) \cong K_{1,n} \cup \overline{K_n}$ . Since  $\lambda(K_{1,n}) = n + 1$ , we have  $\lambda(M(\overline{K_n})) = n + 1$ , we can get the same result using Lemma 3.1. We are now interested in some connected graphs, we consider the graph path  $P_n$  and cycle  $C_n$ .

Let  $P_n$  denote the graph path of order  $n \geq 3$ , with vertex set  $V(P_n) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  and edge set  $E(P_n) = \{v_i v_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ . Denote  $V(M(P_n)) = V(P_n) \cup \{v'_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{u\}$ , where  $v'_i$  is the copy of the vertex  $v_i$ , and  $u$  is the root of  $M(P_n)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.**

$$\lambda(M(P_n)) = \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } n = 3, 4, \\ 7 & \text{if } n = 5, \\ n+1 & \text{if } n \geq 6. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.*

- For  $n = 3$ , we have  $\text{diam}(P_3) = 2$ . So from Theorem 3.4  $\lambda(M(P_3)) = 6$ .
- For  $n=4$ , we have a 6- $L(2,1)$ -labeling of  $M(P_4)$  shown in Figure 1. Hence  $\lambda(M(P_4)) \leq 6$ . Also we have  $M(P_3)$  is a subgraph of  $M(P_4)$ . By Lemma 2.6, it follows that  $\lambda(M(P_4)) \geq \lambda(M(P_3)) = 6$ . thus,  $\lambda(M(P_4)) = 6$ .
- For  $n = 5$ , Figure 2 illustrates a 7- $L(2,1)$ -labeling of  $M(P_5)$ . This implies also by Theorem 3.1, that  $6 \leq \lambda(M(P_5)) \leq 7$ .




Figure 1: A 6- $L(2,1)$ -labeling of  $M(P_4)$




Figure 2: A 7- $L(2,1)$ -labeling of  $M(P_5)$

Suppose that  $\lambda(M(P_5)) = 6$ . Then there is an  $L(2,1)$ -labeling  $f$  of  $M(P_5)$  using labels in the set  $L = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ . Since  $\text{deg}_M(u) = 5$ , by Lemma 2.5,  $f(u) = 0$  or  $6$ , without loss of generality, we suppose that  $f(u) = 0$ . We denote  $N(v)$  the open neighborhood of a vertex  $v$ , and  $N^2(v)$  the set of all vertices at distance at most 2 from a vertex  $v$  in  $M(P_5)$ . We have  $N(u) = \{v'_1, v'_2, v'_3, v'_4, v'_5\}$ , and  $d_M(v'_i, v'_j) = 2$ , for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 5$ . So each vertex  $v'_i$  receives a distinct label from the set  $\{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ . We have  $N^2(v_3) = \{u, v'_1, v'_2, v'_3, v'_4, v'_5\}$ , and each vertex in  $N^2(v_3)$  having a distinct label in  $\{0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ , which leaves only the label 1 from  $L$  available for  $v_3$ . Then  $f(v_3) = 1$ . We have  $N^2(v_2) = \{u, v_3, v'_1, v'_2, v'_3, v'_4\}$ , each vertex in  $N^2(v_2)$  having a distinct label from  $L$ . So  $f(v_2) = f(v'_5)$ . Also  $N^2(v_4) = \{u, v_3, v'_2, v'_3, v'_4, v'_5\}$ , so  $f(v_4) = f(v'_1)$ .  $N^2(v_1) = \{u, v_2, v_3, v'_1, v'_2, v'_3\}$  and each vertex in  $N^2(v_1)$  having a distinct label from  $L$  ( $f(v_2) = f(v'_5)$ ). Then  $f(v_1) = f(v'_4)$ . Also  $N^2(v_5) = \{u, v_3, v_4, v'_3, v'_4, v'_5\}$ , with  $f(v_4) = f(v'_1)$ , so  $f(v_5) = f(v'_2)$ . We have  $N(v_3) = \{v_2, v_4, v'_2, v'_4\}$ , with  $f(v_2) = f(v'_5)$ ,  $f(v_4) = f(v'_1)$ , and  $f(v_3) = 1$ . It follows that the labels assigned to  $v'_1, v'_2, v'_4$  and  $v'_5$  must be greater or equal to 3. Hence  $f(v'_3) = 2$ . We have  $v_2$  and  $v_4$  are adjacent to  $v'_3$ ,  $f(v_2) = f(v'_5)$ ,  $f(v_4) = f(v'_1)$ , and  $f(v'_3) = 2$ , so  $f(v'_5), f(v'_1) \in \{4, 5, 6\}$ . Since  $v'_1$  is adjacent to  $v_2$  and  $f(v_2) = f(v'_5)$ , we have  $|f(v'_5) - f(v'_1)| \geq 2$ . Therefore  $f(v'_1), f(v'_5) \in \{4, 6\}$ , and  $f(v'_2), f(v'_4) \in \{3, 5\}$ . Since  $f(v_2) = f(v'_5)$ ,  $f(v_1) = f(v'_4)$ ,  $f(v_4) = f(v'_1)$  and  $f(v_5) = f(v'_2)$ . It follows that  $|f(v'_5) - f(v'_4)| \geq 2$ , and  $|f(v'_1) - f(v'_2)| \geq 2$ , impossible. Therefore  $\lambda(M(P_5)) \geq 7$ . Hence  $\lambda(M(P_5)) = 7$ .

- For  $n \geq 6$ , we define a labeling  $f$  on  $V(M(P_n))$  as following.

$f(u) = 0$ ,  $f(v'_1) = 6$ ,  $f(v'_2) = 5$ ,  $f(v'_3) = 4$ ,  $f(v'_4) = 7$ ,  $f(v'_5) = 2$ ,  $f(v'_6) = 3$ , and  $f(v'_i) = i + 1$  if  $i \geq 7$ .

$f(v_1) = 7$ ,  $f(v_2) = 1$ ,  $f(v_3) = 3$ ,  $f(v_4) = 6$ ,  $f(v_5) = 1$ ,  $f(v_6) = 4$ , and for  $i \geq 7$ :  $f(v_i) = 6$  if  $i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $f(v_i) = 2$  if  $i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ ,  $f(v_i) = 4$  if  $i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

The idea is to come up with a 7- $L(2,1)$ -labeling of the subgraph induced by  $H = \{u, v_i, v'_i : 1 \leq i \leq 6\}$  isomorphic to  $M(P_6)$ . Then if  $i \geq 7$ , assign each vertex copy  $v'_i$  consecutive labels beginning with 8, and label the vertices  $v_i$  with labels 6, 2, 4 for  $i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , and  $i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , respectively. This is an  $L(2,1)$ -labeling of  $M(P_n)$  with span  $n + 1$ . Hence  $\lambda(M(P_n)) \leq n + 1$ , for  $n \geq 6$ . It follows from Theorem 3.1, that  $\lambda(M(P_n)) = n + 1$ , for  $n \geq 6$ .  $\square$



Let  $C_n$  be the graph cycle, with vertex set  $V(C_n) = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$  and edge set  $E(C_n) = \{v_i v_{i+1(\text{mod } n)} : 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ , where the indices are taken modulo  $n$ . We denote  $V(M(C_n)) = V(C_n) \cup \{v'_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{u\}$ , we have  $E(M(C_n)) = \{v_i v_{i+1(\text{mod } n)}, v_i v'_{i+1(\text{mod } n)}, v'_i v_{i+1(\text{mod } n)} : 0 \leq i \leq n-1\} \cup \{v'_i u : 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.**

$$\lambda(M(C_n)) = \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } n = 3, \\ 8 & \text{if } n = 4, \\ 10 & \text{if } n = 5, \\ n + 1 & \text{if } n \geq 6. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.*

- For  $3 \leq n \leq 5$ , since  $\text{diam}(C_3) = 1$ ,  $\text{diam}(C_4) = \text{diam}(C_5) = 2$ , from Lemma 2.3,  $\text{diam}(M(C_3)) = \text{diam}(M(C_4)) = \text{diam}(M(C_5)) = 2$ . By applying Theorem 3.4, we get that  $\lambda(M(C_3)) = 6$ ,  $\lambda(M(C_4)) = 8$ , and  $\lambda(M(C_5)) = 10$ .
- For  $n \geq 6$ , we have Figure 3, Figure 4, and Figure 5, respectively present an  $L(2, 1)$ -Labeling for  $M(C_6)$ ,  $M(C_7)$ , and  $M(C_8)$ , respectively with span 7, 8, and 9. It follows from the lower bound in Theorem 3.1 that  $\lambda(M(C_6)) = 7$ ,  $\lambda(M(C_7)) = 8$ , and  $\lambda(M(C_8)) = 9$ .




Figure 3: A 7- $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of  $M(C_6)$




Figure 4: A 8- $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of  $M(C_7)$




Figure 5: A 9- $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of  $M(C_8)$

For  $n \geq 9$ , we partition the vertex set  $V(C_n)$  into cliques in  $\overline{C_n^2}$  as following.

If  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{3} - 1$ , the sets  $S_i = \{v_i, v_{i+\frac{n}{3}}, v_{i+2\frac{n}{3}}\}$  form disjoint cliques of order 3 in  $\overline{C_n^2}$ . We have  $V(C_n) = \cup_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{3}-1} S_i$ .

If  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - 1$ , the sets  $S_i = \{v_i, v_{i+\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor}, v_{i+2\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor}\}$  form disjoint cliques of order 3 in  $\overline{C_n^2}$ . We have  $V(C_n) = \cup_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - 1} S_i \cup \{v_{n-1}\}$ .

If  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil - 1$ , the sets  $S_i = \{v_i, v_{i+\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil}, v_{i+2\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil - 1}\}$  form disjoint cliques of order 3 in  $\overline{C_n^2}$ , and  $v_0 v_{\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil}$  is an edge in  $\overline{C_n^2}$ . We have  $V(C_n) = \cup_{i=1}^{\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil - 1} S_i \cup \{v_0, v_{\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil}\}$ .

The cycle  $C_n$  in the three cases verifies the condition in Lemma 3.1. Hence  $\lambda(M(C_n)) = n + 1$  for  $n \geq 6$ .  $\square$

For a connected graph  $G$  of order  $n$ , in Theorem 3.1 we have  $\lambda(M(G)) \geq n + 1$ . It means that for any fixed positive integer  $k$ , there are finitely many connected graphs having  $\lambda(M(G)) = k$ . In the following we characterize the connected graphs with  $\lambda(M(G))$  equal to 4, 6 and 7, these are the smallest possible values for the  $\lambda$ -number of the Mycielski of any non-trivial connected graph.

**Corollary 3.6.** *For a connected graph  $G$ , we have*

- 1)  $\lambda(M(G)) = 4$  if and only if  $G$  is  $K_2$ ,
- 2)  $\lambda(M(G)) = 6$  if and only if  $G \in \{P_3, P_4, C_3\}$ ,
- 3)  $\lambda(M(G)) = 7$  if and only if  $G \in \{P_5, P_6, C_6\}$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 3.1, for a connected graph  $G$  of order  $n$  and maximum degree  $\Delta$ , we have  $\lambda(M(G)) \geq \max(n + 1, 2(\Delta + 1))$ . This means if  $\Delta \geq 3$ , then  $\lambda(M(G)) \geq 8$ . The only connected graph with  $\Delta = 1$  is  $K_2$ , and we have from Theorem 3.4,  $\lambda(M(K_2)) = 4$ . If  $\Delta = 2$ , then  $G$  is either a path or a cycle, from Theorem 3.1 we have  $\lambda(M(G)) \geq 6$ . By using Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, we can conclude the results.  $\square$

## 4 The iterated Mycielski of a graph $M^t(G)$

### 4.1 Bounds for $\lambda(M^t(G))$

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $n \geq 2$  and maximum degree  $\Delta \geq 0$ . For  $t \geq 2$ , we have*

$$2^{t-1} \max(n + 2, 2(\Delta + 2)) - 2 \leq \lambda(M^t(G)) \leq (2^t - 1)(n + 1) + \lambda(G).$$

*Proof.* For a graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 2$  from Definition 1.1, we have  $K_{1,n}$  is a subgraph of  $M(G)$ . Then by Observation 2.1,  $M^{t-1}(K_{1,n})$  is a subgraph of  $M^t(G)$ . Since  $\text{diam}(K_{1,n}) = 2$ , it follows from Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.6 that  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \geq \lambda(M^{t-1}(K_{1,n})) \geq |M^{t-1}(K_{1,n})| - 1$ . By Lemma 2.1  $|M^{t-1}(K_{1,n})| = 2^{t-1}(n + 2) - 1$ , hence  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \geq 2^{t-1}(n + 2) - 2$ , for  $t \geq 2$ . If  $\Delta \geq 1$ , we have  $K_{1,\Delta}$  is a subgraph of  $G$ . By using the same arguments as preceding, we get that  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \geq 2^t(\Delta + 2) - 2$ .

On the other hand, for  $t \geq 2$ , we have  $M^t(G) = M(M^{t-1}(G))$ . So by the upper bound of Theorem 3.1,  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \leq (|M^{t-1}(G)| + 1) + \lambda(M^{t-1}(G)) = 2^{t-1}(n + 1) + \lambda(M^{t-1}(G))$ . Recursively we get that  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} 2^i(n + 1) + \lambda(G) = (2^t - 1)(n + 1) + \lambda(G)$ .  $\square$

Notice that the lower bound  $2^{t-1}(n + 2) - 2$  and the upper bound of Theorem 4.1, are true even for the trivial graph  $K_1$ . The upper bound coincides with the upper bound in Theorem 3.1 for  $t = 1$ . As a consequence we make the following observation.

**Observation 4.1.** *If a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  has  $\lambda(G) \leq n - 1$ , then for any  $t \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \leq |M^t(G)| - 1 = 2^t(n + 1) - 2$ , there is equality if  $G$  is of diameter two.*

Further, we denote  $V^t = \{v_i^k : 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } 0 \leq k \leq 2^t - 1\}$ , the set composed of the vertices of  $V$  and all their copies in  $M^t(G)$ , where  $v_i^1$  is the copy of  $v_i^0$  in  $M(G)$ .  $v_i^2$  and  $v_i^3$  are respectively the copies of  $v_i^0$  and  $v_i^1$  in  $M^2(G)$ .  $v_i^4, v_i^5, v_i^6, v_i^7$  are respectively the copies of  $v_i^0, v_i^1, v_i^2, v_i^3$  in  $M^3(G)$  and so forth. In  $M^t(G)$  for  $0 \leq k \leq 2^{t-1} - 1$ , we have  $v_i^{2^{t-1}+k}$  is the exact copy of the vertex  $v_i^k$  from  $M^{t-1}(G)$ . For  $t \geq 2$ , let  $U_t$  be the set of all the roots (i.e. roots and their consecutive copies in all levels) in  $M^t(G)$ . Recursively  $U_t = U_{t-1} \cup U'_{t-1} \cup \{u_{t,0}\}$  and  $|U_t| = 2^t - 1$ . We denote the set of roots  $U_t = \{u_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq t \text{ and } 0 \leq j \leq 2^{t-i} - 1\}$ , such that for example in  $M^3(G)$ ,  $u_{1,0}$  is the root of  $M(G)$ ,  $u_{1,1}$  the copy of  $u_{1,0}$ , and  $u_{2,0}$  the root of  $M^2(G)$ .  $u_{1,2}, u_{1,3}, u_{2,1}$  are respectively the copies of  $u_{1,0}, u_{1,1}, u_{2,0}$ , and  $u_{3,0}$  is the root in  $M^3(G)$ , and so forth. Figure 6 illustrate an adjacency of a vertex and its copies  $v_i^k$  in  $M^2(G)$ , with respect to the above ordering.

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $d_G(v_i^0, v_j^0) \leq 2$ , then for any  $t \geq 1$  and all  $0 \leq k, m \leq 2^t - 1$ , we have  $d_{M^t}(v_i^k, v_j^m) \leq 2$ , and if  $v_i^0$  is not an isolated vertex for  $k \neq m$ , we have  $d_{M^t}(v_i^k, v_i^m) = 2$ .*

*Proof.* By using Lemma 2.3 inductively, we get the results.  $\square$

The *eccentricity* of a vertex  $v$  in a graph  $G$ , being the greatest distance between  $v$  and any other vertex in  $G$ . By Lemma 4.1, if a vertex has eccentricity 1 or 2 in  $G$ , then the vertex and all its copies are of eccentricity 2




Figure 6: An example of an adjacency of the vertices  $v_i^k$  in  $M^2(G)$

in  $M^t(G)$ . In a graph  $G$  without isolated vertices, we have from the definition of the Mycielski construction, the eccentricity of the root in  $M(G)$  is 2, so from above the eccentricity of all the roots and their copies is 2 in  $M^t(G)$ , for any  $t \geq 1$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *If  $G$  is a graph without isolated vertices of order  $n$ , with  $k$  vertices of eccentricity 2, for  $t \geq 1$ , we have  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \geq 2^{t-1}(n + k + 2) - 2$ .*

*Proof.* For  $t \geq 1$ , let  $v_1^0, v_2^0, \dots, v_k^0$  be the vertices of eccentricity 2 in  $G$ . Let  $V_i^{t-1}$  be the set composed of a vertex  $v_i^0$  and all its copies in  $M^{t-1}(G)$ . In  $M^t(G)$ , by Lemma 4.1 and Definition 1.1, the vertices in  $\cup_{i=1}^k V_i^{t-1} \cup V'_{t-1} \cup U_{t-1} \cup \{u_{t,0}\}$  are all within distance two, where  $U_{t-1}$  is the set of roots and their copies in  $M^{t-1}(G)$ ,  $V'_{t-1}$  is the set of copies of the vertices of  $M^{t-1}(G)$  in  $M^t(G)$ , and  $u_{t,0}$  is the root of  $M^t(G)$ . Hence  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k |V_i^{t-1}| + |V'_{t-1}| + |U_{t-1}| = k2^{t-1} + 2^{t-1}(n + 1) - 1 + 2^{t-1} - 1 = 2^{t-1}(n + k + 2) - 2$ .  $\square$

For a graph  $G$  of order  $n$ , by Proposition 4.1 if  $\lambda(M(G)) = n + 1$ , then  $G$  has at most one vertex of eccentricity 2. Also for  $t \geq 2$ , if  $\lambda(M^t(G)) = 2^{t-1}(n + 2) - 2$ , then no vertex in  $G$  has eccentricity 2. There exist graphs with one vertex of eccentricity 2 and  $\lambda(M(G)) = n + 1$ , Figure 7 illustrate a tree graph  $T$  of order 9 with one vertex of eccentricity 2, having  $\lambda(M(T)) = 10$ . Therefore from Proposition 4.1, we have  $\lambda(M(G)) = n + 1$  does not mean necessary that  $\lambda(M^t(G)) = 2^{t-1}(n + 2) - 2$ , for  $t \geq 2$ .




Figure 7: A 10- $L(2,1)$ -Labeling of the Mycielski graph of a tree  $T$  of order 9.

#### 4.2 Graphs with $\lambda(M^t(G)) = 2^t(n + 1) - 2$

Shao and Solis-Oba in [20], gave bounds for the  $\lambda$ -number of some iterated Mycielski of complete graph  $K_n$ . In the following, we give the exact value of the  $\lambda$ -number of  $M^t(K_n)$ , for any  $t \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *For any  $t \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 2$ , we have  $\lambda(M^t(K_n)) = 2^t(n + 1) - 2$ .*

*Proof.* For  $n \geq 2$ , we have  $diam(K_n) = 1$ , so by Lemma 2.4 for any  $t \geq 2$ , we have  $diam(M^t(K_n)) = 2$ . Let  $V^2 = \{v_i^k : 0 \leq k \leq 3 \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  be the set composed of the vertex of  $V$  and all their consecutive copies in  $M^2(K_n)$ . Let  $\chi_i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , be a sequence of vertices in  $M^2(K_n)$ , where  $\chi_i = v_i^2 v_i^0 v_i^1$  if  $i$  is odd and  $\chi_i = v_i^1 v_i^0 v_i^2$  if  $i$  is even. We label the vertices of  $M^2(K_n)$  using consecutive labels beginning with 0, in the following order  $\chi_1 \chi_2 \dots \chi_n v_n^3 v_{n-1}^3 \dots v_1^3 u_{11} u_{10} u_{20}$ .

This does not violate the distance two conditions, since two consecutive vertices are either a vertex and its copy, or two vertices from the same level, which are successively at distance two. This leads to an  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of  $M^2(K_n)$  with span  $|M^2(K_n)| - 1$ . Since the diameter is 2, then  $\lambda(M^2(K_n)) = |M^2(K_n)| - 1$ . From Observation 4.1 and Lemma 2.1, we get  $\lambda(M^t(K_n)) = |M^t(K_n)| - 1 = 2^t(n+1) - 2$ , for any  $t \geq 2$ .  $\square$

Since any graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 2$  is a subgraph of the complete graph  $K_n$ , we can conclude that for  $t \geq 2$ , we have  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \leq |M^t(G)| - 1 = 2^t(n+1) - 2$ . This could also be proven using Theorem 3.4 by showing that for any graph  $G$ , the complement of the Mycielski  $\overline{M}(G)$  has a perfect 4-star matching, which means by Theorem 3.4 (a) that  $\lambda(M^2(G)) \leq |M^2(G)| - 1$ , then the result follows from Observation 4.1 for any  $t \geq 2$ .

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two graphs of the same order  $|G_1| = |G_2| \geq 2$ . For any  $t \geq 2$ , we have  $\lambda(M^t(G_1)) + 2^t \leq \lambda(M^{t+1}(G_2))$ .*

*Proof.* For  $t \geq 2$ , let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two graphs such that  $|G_1| = |G_2| = n \geq 2$ . By Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, we have  $\lambda(M^t(G_1)) \leq 2^t(n+1) - 2$  and  $\lambda(M^{t+1}(G_2)) \geq 2^t(n+2) - 2$ . Hence  $\lambda(M^t(G_1)) + 2^t \leq \lambda(M^{t+1}(G_2))$ .  $\square$

Let us denote  $\overline{M^t}(G)$  the complement graph of  $M^t(G)$ , the close relation between Hamiltonicity and the  $L(2, 1)$ -Labeling allow us to prove the following.

**Corollary 4.2.** *For any graph  $G$  and any  $t \geq 2$ ,  $\overline{M^t}(G)$  is a Hamiltonian graph.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ , first we show that  $\overline{M^2}(G)$  is Hamiltonian.

Let  $\chi_i$  with  $2 \leq i \leq n$ , be a sequence of vertices in  $\overline{M^2}(G)$ , where  $\chi_i = v_i^2 v_i^0 v_i^1$  if  $i$  is odd, and  $\chi_i = v_i^1 v_i^0 v_i^2$  if  $i$  is even. Take the vertices of  $\overline{M^2}(G)$  in the following order,  $v_1^0 v_1^1 \chi_2 \chi_3 \dots \chi_n v_n^3 v_{n-1}^3 \dots v_1^3 v_1^2 u_{11} u_{10} u_{20} v_1^0$ .

Notice that this is similar to the order proposed in Theorem 4.2 for labeling  $M^2(K_n)$ . Since every two consecutive vertices are non-adjacent in  $M^2(G)$ , then the vertices of  $\overline{M^2}(G)$  taken in the above order form a Hamiltonian cycle. Thus, for any graph  $G$  we have  $\overline{M^2}(G)$  is Hamiltonian. For  $t \geq 2$ , since  $M^t(G) \cong M^2(M^{t-2}(G))$ , then  $\overline{M^t}(G)$  is a Hamiltonian graph for any  $t \geq 2$ .  $\square$

Next we characterize the graphs with  $\lambda(M^t(G)) = 2^t(n+1) - 2$ , for  $t \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n \geq 2$ . For  $t \geq 2$ , we have  $\lambda(M^t(G)) = 2^t(n+1) - 2$  if and only if  $G \cong K_n$  or  $\text{diam}(G) = 2$ .*

*Proof.* For  $t \geq 2$ , if  $G \cong K_n$  by Theorem 4.2 we have  $\lambda(M^t(G)) = 2^t(n+1) - 2$ . If  $\text{diam}(G) = 2$ , from Theorem 4.2 we have  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \leq 2^t(n+1) - 2$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $\text{diam}(M^t(G)) = 2$ , the vertices must be assigned distinct labels, hence  $\lambda(M^t(G)) = 2^t(n+1) - 2$ .

The converse, suppose that  $G$  is a graph of order  $n \geq 2$ , with  $\text{diam}(G) \geq 3$ . So there are at least two vertices at distance greater or equal to 3, one from another. Without loss of generality, we suppose that  $d_G(v_1^0, v_n^0) \geq 3$ . For  $t = 2$ , let  $\chi_i$  with  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ , be a sequence of vertices in  $M^2(G)$ , where  $\chi_i = v_i^2 v_i^0 v_i^1$  if  $i$  is odd, and  $\chi_i = v_i^1 v_i^0 v_i^2$  if  $i$  is even. The labeling  $f$  assigns consecutive labels to the vertices beginning with 0 in the following order,  $v_1^0 v_1^1 \chi_2 \chi_3 \dots \chi_{n-1} v_{n-1}^3 v_{n-2}^3 \dots v_1^3 v_1^2$ .

This is similar to the order in Theorem 4.2. The maximum label assigned is  $f(v_1^2) = 4n - 5$ . We have  $d_G(v_1^0, v_n^0) \geq 3$ , so by Lemma 2.3 we have  $d_{M^2}(v_1^2, v_n^0) \geq 3$ , and  $d_{M^2}(v_1^2, v_n^1) = 3$ . We label  $f(v_n^0) = f(v_1^2) = 4n - 5$ ,  $f(v_n^1) = 4n - 4$ ,  $f(v_n^2) = 4n - 3$ ,  $f(v_n^3) = 4n - 2$ ,  $f(u_{11}) = 4n - 1$ ,  $f(u_{10}) = 4n$ ,  $f(u_{20}) = 4n + 1$ . This is a valid  $L(2, 1)$ -Labeling of  $M^2(G)$  with span  $4n + 1$ . Hence  $\lambda(M^2(G)) \leq 4n + 1 = 4(n+1) - 3$ . From the upper bound of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1, for all  $t \geq 3$ , we have  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \leq (2^{t-2} - 1)(|M^2(G)| + 1) + \lambda(M^2(G))$ , since  $|M^2(G)| = 4(n+1) - 1$ , it follows that for all  $t \geq 2$ ,  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \leq 2^t(n+1) - 3$ .  $\square$

### 4.3 Graphs with $\lambda(M^t(G)) = 2^{t-1}(n+2) - 2$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $t \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , for  $1 \leq k \leq 2^{t-1} - 1$ , we have  $d_{M^t}(v_i^k, v_j^{2^{t-1}+k}) = 2$ , and for  $2^{t-1} + 1 \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ , we have  $d_{M^t}(v_i^k, v_j^{2^{t-1}-k}) = 2$ .*

*Proof.* For  $1 \leq k \leq 2^{t-1} - 1$ , we have  $v_j^{2^{t-1}+k}$  is the copy of  $v_j^k$  in  $M^t(G)$ . Since  $d_{M^{t-1}}(v_i^k, v_j^k) = 2$ , by Lemma 2.3 we have  $d_{M^t}(v_i^k, v_j^{2^{t-1}+k}) = 2$ .

For  $t \geq 2$ ,  $v_i^3$  is the copy of  $v_i^1$ . So by Lemma 2.3  $d_{M^2}(v_i^3, v_j^1) = 2$ . Since  $d_{M^2}(v_i^3, v_j^2) = 2$ , by using Lemma 2.3 inductively, we can show that for  $2^{t-1} + 1 \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ , we have  $d_{M^t}(v_i^k, v_j^{2^{t-1}-1}) = 2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $v_i^0$  and  $v_j^0$  are not isolated vertices, for  $0 \leq k \leq 2^{t-1} - 1$ , we have  $d_{M^t}(v_i^k, v_j^{2^t-k-1}) = \min(3, d_G(v_i^0, v_j^0))$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $v_i^{2^t-k-1}$  is the copy of  $v_i^{2^{t-1}-k-1}$  in  $M^t(G)$ , by Lemma 2.3, we have  $d_{M^t}(v_i^k, v_j^{2^t-k-1}) = \min(3, d_{M^{t-1}}(v_i^k, v_j^{2^{t-1}-k-1}))$ . If  $0 \leq k \leq 2^{t-2} - 1$ , we have  $d_{M^{t-1}}(v_i^k, v_j^{2^{t-1}-k-1}) = \min(3, d_{M^{t-2}}(v_i^k, v_j^{2^{t-2}-k-1}))$ . Otherwise, if  $2^{t-2} \leq k \leq 2^{t-1} - 1$ , by symmetry  $k = 2^{t-1} - m - 1$  where  $0 \leq m \leq 2^{t-2} - 1$ , so  $d_{M^{t-1}}(v_i^k, v_j^{2^{t-1}-k-1}) = d_{M^{t-1}}(v_i^{2^{t-1}-m-1}, v_j^m) = \min(3, d_{M^{t-2}}(v_i^{2^{t-2}-m-1}, v_j^m))$ . By recursively using Lemma 2.3, we get  $d_{M^t}(v_i^k, v_j^{2^t-k-1}) = \min(3, d_G(v_i^0, v_j^0))$ .  $\square$

In the case where  $v_i^0$  or  $v_j^0$  are isolated vertices, for  $1 \leq k \leq 2^{t-1} - 1$ , we have  $d_{M^t}(v_i^k, v_j^{2^t-k-1}) = 3$ .

The direct product  $G \times K_2$ , called the *canonical double cover* (or *Kronecker double cover*) is a bipartite graph with two partition sets  $X = V \times \{x\}$  and  $Y = V \times \{y\}$ , where  $(v_i, x)(v_j, y) \in E(G \times K_2)$  if and only if  $v_i v_j \in E(G)$ .

From Lemma 4.3,  $v_i^{2^{t-1}-1} v_j^{2^{t-1}} \in E(M^t(G))$  if and only if  $v_i^0 v_j^0 \in E(G)$ . Since two copies of the same vertex or copies from the same level are non-adjacent, we have

**Observation 4.2.** *For  $t \geq 2$ , let  $S = \{v_i^{2^{t-1}-1}, v_i^{2^{t-1}} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ . In  $M^t(G)$ , the subgraph induced by the vertices in  $S$  is isomorphic to  $G \times K_2$ .*

A *matching* in a graph  $G$  is a collection of vertex-disjoint edges in  $G$ , a *perfect matching* is a matching that covers all the vertices of  $G$ . The following theorem known as *the Marriage Theorem*, gives a criterion for any bipartite graph  $G = (X, Y)$  to have a perfect matching.

**Theorem 4.4** ((The Marriage Theorem)). *Let  $G = (X, Y)$  be a bipartite graph, then  $G$  has a perfect matching if and only if  $|X| = |Y|$  and for any  $S \subseteq X$ ,  $|N_G(S)| \geq |S|$ .*

A *2-matching* of a graph  $G$  is an assignment of weights 0, 1, or 2 to the edges of  $G$ , such that the sum of weights of edges incident to any vertex in  $G$  is less or equal to 2 (see Chapter 6. in [18]). A 2-matching of a graph  $G$  can be seen as components with degree vertex at most 2. The sum of weights in a 2-matching is called the size. The maximum size of a 2-matching is denoted by  $\nu_2(G)$ , which can be computed in polynomial time [21]. A *perfect 2-matching* is a 2-matching where the sum of weights incident to any vertex in  $G$  is exactly 2. W. Tutte in [21], provides a characterization for the existence of perfect 2-matching of a graph.

**Theorem 4.5.** [21] *A graph  $G$  has a perfect 2-matching if and only if for any independent set  $S \subseteq V$ ,  $|N_G(S)| \geq |S|$ .*

A perfect 2-matching can be seen as a spanning subgraph in which each component is a single edge  $K_2$  or a cycle, since every even cycle has a perfect matching, a graph with a perfect 2-matching has a spanning subgraph in which each component is a single edge or an odd cycle. It is easy to see from the two preceding Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.5, that the existence of perfect 2-matching in a graph  $G$  is equivalent to that  $G \times K_2$  admits a perfect matching.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $G$  be a graph without isolated vertices of order  $n \geq 2$ . For  $t \geq 2$ ,  $\lambda(M^t(G)) = 2^{t-1}(n+2) - 2$  if and only if for any  $S \subseteq V$   $|D_2(S)| \geq |S|$ , where  $D_2(S) = \{x \in V : \exists v \in S, d_G(x, v) > 2\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a graph without isolated vertices of order  $n \geq 2$ , such that for  $t \geq 2$ ,  $\lambda(M^t(G)) = 2^{t-1}(n+2) - 2$ . Let  $f$  be a  $\lambda$ -labeling of  $M^t(G)$ , using labels from the set  $L = \{0, \dots, 2^{t-1}(n+2) - 2\}$ . From Lemma 4.1, we have  $d_{M^t}(v_i^k, u) \leq 2$  and  $d_{M^t}(u, u') \leq 2$ , for all  $v_i^k \in V^t$  and all  $u, u' \in U_t$ . The roots are assigned distinct labels, different from the labels assigned to the vertices in  $V^t$ . So for  $2^{t-1} \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ , we have  $f(v_i^k) \in L \setminus f(U_t)$  and  $|L \setminus f(U_t)| = 2^{t-1}n$ . For  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , we have  $d_{M^t}(v_i^k, v_j^m) = 2$ , where

$2^{t-1} \leq k, m \leq 2^t - 1$ . It follows that the  $2^{t-1}n$  vertices  $v_i^k$  where  $2^{t-1} \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ , and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , have distinct labels and use all the labels in  $L \setminus f(U_t)$ . By Lemma 4.2, we have  $d_{M^t}(v_i^k, v_j^{2^{t-1}-1}) = 2$ , for  $2^{t-1} + 1 \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ . The only labels remaining in  $L \setminus f(U_t)$ , for the vertices  $v_j^{2^{t-1}-1}$ , are those assigned to the vertices  $v_i^{2^{t-1}}$ . Since  $d_{M^t}(v_i^{2^{t-1}-1}, v_j^{2^{t-1}-1}) = 2$  and  $d_{M^t}(v_i^{2^{t-1}}, v_j^{2^{t-1}}) = 2$ , then  $f(v_i^{2^{t-1}-1}) \neq f(v_j^{2^{t-1}-1})$  and  $f(v_i^{2^{t-1}}) \neq f(v_j^{2^{t-1}})$ . It follows that for any vertex  $v_j^{2^{t-1}}$ , there is one and only one vertex  $v_i^{2^{t-1}-1}$ , such that  $f(v_i^{2^{t-1}-1}) = f(v_j^{2^{t-1}})$ . Let  $(v_i, x)$  and  $(v_j, y)$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  denote the vertices of  $G \times K_2$ , where  $(v_i, x)(v_j, y) \in E(G \times K_2)$  if and only if  $v_i^0 v_j^0 \in E(G)$ . Let  $M = \{(v_i, x)(v_j, y) : f(v_i^{2^{t-1}-1}) = f(v_j^{2^{t-1}})\}$ . Since  $f(v_i^{2^{t-1}-1}) = f(v_j^{2^{t-1}})$  means by Lemma 4.3, that  $d_G(v_i^0, v_j^0) \geq 3$ . From Observation 4.2,  $M$  is a perfect matching of the graph  $\overline{G^2} \times K_2$ , then by Theorem 4.4 we get the necessity.

The converse, suppose that for any  $S \subseteq V$ , we have  $|D_2(S)| \geq |S|$ . This means by Theorem 4.5, that the graph  $\overline{G^2}$  has a perfect 2-matching, which means that  $\overline{G^2}$  has a spanning subgraph  $H$ , whose connected components are vertex-disjoint edges or odd cycles. Let  $E^1, E^2, \dots, E^r$  be the  $K_2$  components, and  $C^1, C^2, \dots, C^s$  the odd cycle components of  $H$ . Let us denote the vertices of  $V$  as  $x_i^0 y_i^0$  is the edge  $E^i$  and  $c_{1,i}^0 c_{2,i}^0 \dots c_{n_i,i}^0$  is the odd cycle  $C^i$ , where  $n_i = |C^i|$ . We define an  $L(2, 1)$ -Labeling  $f$  to the vertices of  $M^t(G)$  as follows.

Suppose that  $r \geq 2$ , first we label the vertices  $x_1^k, y_1^k$  with  $0 \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ , where  $x_1^k$  and  $y_1^k$  are the vertices  $x_1^0$  and  $y_1^0$  and their consecutive copies. The labeling  $f$  assigns in descending order the labels  $2^{t-1} - 1, 2^{t-1} - 2, \dots, 0$  respectively to  $x_1^0, x_1^1, \dots, x_1^{2^{t-1}-1}$  and the labels  $2^t - 1, 2^t - 2, \dots, 2^{t-1}$  respectively to  $x_1^{2^{t-1}}, x_1^{2^{t-1}+1}, \dots, x_1^{2^t-1}$ . Then assign the same list of consecutive labels, now in ascending order  $0, 1, \dots, 2^{t-1} - 1$  respectively to the vertices  $y_1^{2^{t-1}}, y_1^{2^{t-1}+1}, \dots, y_1^{2^t-1}$  and the labels  $2^{t-1}, 2^{t-1} + 1, \dots, 2^t - 1$  respectively to  $y_1^0, y_1^1, \dots, y_1^{2^{t-1}-1}$ .

- For  $0 \leq k \leq 2^{t-1} - 1$ ,  $f(x_1^k) = 2^{t-1} - k - 1$ , and for  $2^{t-1} \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ ,  $f(x_1^k) = 3 \times 2^{t-1} - k - 1$ .
- For  $0 \leq k \leq 2^{t-1} - 1$ ,  $f(y_1^k) = k + 2^{t-1}$ , and for  $2^{t-1} \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ ,  $f(y_1^k) = k - 2^{t-1}$ .

We have  $f(x_1^k) = f(y_1^m)$  if  $m = 2^t - k - 1$ . Since  $x_1^0 y_1^0 \in E(\overline{G^2})$ , then  $d_G(x_1^0, y_1^0) \geq 3$ , so by Lemma 4.3  $d_{M^t}(x_1^k, y_1^{2^t-k-1}) = 3$ . Otherwise  $f(x_1^k) \neq f(y_1^m)$ , since  $x_1^0$  and  $y_1^0$  are not adjacent in  $G$  we have  $d_{M^t}(x_1^k, y_1^m) \geq 2$ , for all  $0 \leq k, m \leq 2^t - 1$ . Also  $d_{M^t}(x_1^k, x_1^m) = d_{M^t}(y_1^k, y_1^m) = 2$ ,  $f(x_1^k) \neq f(x_1^m)$  and  $f(y_1^k) \neq f(y_1^m)$ . The smallest label is  $f(x_1^{2^{t-1}-1}) = f(y_1^{2^{t-1}}) = 0$ , the maximum label is  $f(x_1^{2^t-1}) = f(y_1^{2^{t-1}-1}) = 2^t - 1$ .

For  $2 \leq i \leq r$ , we have  $d_G(x_i^0, y_i^0) \geq 3$ , so a vertex in  $E_{i-1}$  cannot be adjacent in  $G$  to both  $x_i^0$  and  $y_i^0$ . Since in every  $E^i$  the vertices  $x_i^0$  and  $y_i^0$  are symmetric, we rearrange the vertices of each  $E^i$  depending on the cases:

- If  $x_{i-1}^0$  is adjacent in  $G$  to a vertex in  $E^i$ , we consider without loss of generality that  $x_{i-1}^0$  is adjacent to  $y_i^0$ .
- If  $x_{i-1}^0$  is not adjacent to  $E^i$  and  $y_{i-1}^0$  is adjacent, we let  $d_G(y_{i-1}^0, x_i^0) = 1$ . Otherwise the vertices in  $E^{i-1}$  and  $E^i$  are mutually non-adjacent. This means that  $d_G(x_{i-1}^0, x_i^0) \geq 2$ , and  $d_G(y_{i-1}^0, y_i^0) \geq 2$ , for all  $2 \leq i \leq r$ .

With respect to the above assumptions, we label the vertices  $x_i^k$  and  $y_i^k$  with  $2 \leq i \leq r$ , as following.

- For  $2 \leq i \leq r - 1$ , and  $0 \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ ,  $f(x_i^k) = (i - 1)2^t + f(x_1^k)$ , and  $f(y_i^k) = (i - 1)2^t + f(y_1^k)$ .
- For  $0 \leq k \leq 2^{t-1} - 1$ ,  $f(x_r^k) = (r - 1)2^t + f(x_1^k)$ , and for  $2^{t-1} \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ ,  $f(x_r^k) = (r - 1)2^t + k$ .
- For  $0 \leq k \leq 2^{t-1} - 1$ ,  $f(y_r^k) = r2^t - k - 1$ , and for  $2^{t-1} \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ ,  $f(y_r^k) = (r - 1)2^t + f(y_1^k)$ .

The labeling  $f$  uses distinct labels from  $(i - 1)2^t, \dots, i2^t - 1$ , for every pair of  $x_i^k, y_i^m$ , where  $m = 2^t - k - 1$ , by using the same pattern for  $x_1^k, y_1^m$  (except for  $x_r^k, y_r^k$ ). In the case where  $r = 1$ , let for  $0 \leq k \leq 2^{t-1} - 1$ ,  $f(x_1^k) = 2^{t-1} - k - 1$ , for  $2^{t-1} \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ ,  $f(x_1^k) = k$ , for  $0 \leq k \leq 2^{t-1} - 1$ ,  $f(y_1^k) = 2^t - k - 1$ , and for

$2^{t-1} \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ ,  $f(y_1^k) = k - 2^{t-1}$ . The only vertices from two different components, with the difference between the labels equal to 1, are for  $x_{i-1}^{2^{t-1}}$  and  $y_{i-1}^{2^{t-1}-1}$ , with both  $x_{i-1}^{2^{t-1}}$  and  $y_{i-1}^{2^{t-1}}$ . This does not violate the distance two conditions, since  $d_G(x_{i-1}^0, x_i^0) \geq 2$ , and  $d_G(y_{i-1}^0, y_i^0) \geq 2$ , for all  $2 \leq i \leq r$ . The maximum label assigned is  $f(x_r^{2^{t-1}}) = f(y_r^0) = r2^t - 1$ .

If  $s \geq 1$ , next we label the vertices of the odd cycle components  $C^i$ . We make the following claim.

**Claim 4.1.** *For a vertex  $v$  in  $G$  not in the odd cycle component  $C^i = c_{1,i}^0 c_{2,i}^0 \dots c_{n_i,i}^0$ , there is at least one edge  $c_{p,i}^0 c_{q,i}^0 \in C^i$ , such that  $v$  is not adjacent in  $G$  to both  $c_{p,i}^0$  and  $c_{q,i}^0$ .*

*Proof.* We prove this by using contradiction, we suppose that  $v$  is adjacent to at least one endpoint of any  $c_{p,i}^0 c_{q,i}^0 \in C^i$ . We may assume that  $v$  is adjacent to  $c_{1,i}^0$ . Since  $d_G(c_{1,i}^0, c_{2,i}^0) \geq 3$ ,  $v$  is not adjacent to  $c_{2,i}^0$ , so  $v$  is adjacent to  $c_{3,i}^0$ , and so forth. Hence, if  $j$  is odd  $v$  is adjacent to  $c_{j,i}^0$ , and if  $j$  is even  $v$  is not adjacent to  $c_{j,i}^0$ . Since  $v$  is adjacent to  $c_{1,i}^0$ , then  $v$  is not adjacent to  $c_{n_i,i}^0$ . It follows that  $n_i$  is even, a contradiction.  $\square$

Since the cycles  $C^i$  are symmetric, we may consider that  $d_G(y_r, c_{1,1}^0) \geq 2$ , and  $d_G(y_r, c_{n_1,1}^0) \geq 2$ , and for  $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ ,  $d_G(c_{n_i,i}^0, c_{1,i+1}^0) \geq 2$ , and  $d_G(c_{n_i,i}^0, c_{n_{i+1},i+1}^0) \geq 2$ . We label the vertices  $c_{j,i}^k$ , where  $1 \leq j \leq n_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$  and  $0 \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ , with respect to the above assumptions.

- For  $0 \leq k \leq 2^{t-1} - 1$ ,  $f(c_{1,1}^k) = r2^t + 2^{t-1} - k - 1$ , and for  $2^{t-1} \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ ,  $f(c_{1,1}^k) = r2^t + k$ .
- For  $2 \leq j \leq n_1 - 1$  and all  $0 \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ ,  $f(c_{j,1}^k) = f(c_{1,1}^k) + (j-1)2^{t-1}$ .
- For  $0 \leq k \leq 2^{t-1} - 1$ ,  $f(c_{n_1,1}^k) = f(c_{1,1}^k) + (n_1 - 1)2^{t-1}$ , and for  $2^{t-1} \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ ,  $f(c_{n_1,1}^k) = f(c_{1,1}^{2^t-k-1})$ .

The smallest label for the vertices  $c_{i,1}^k$  is  $f(c_{1,1}^{2^{t-1}-1}) = f(c_{n_1,1}^{2^t-1}) = r2^t$  and the maximum is  $f(c_{n_1,1}^0) = f(c_{n_1-1,1}^{2^{t-1}-1}) = r2^t + n_1 2^{t-1} - 1$ . Now let  $\varphi_i = r2^t + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} n_j 2^{t-1}$ . For  $2 \leq i \leq s$ , we label  $f(c_{1,i}^{2^{t-1}-1}) = f(c_{n_i,i}^{2^t-1}) = \varphi_i$ , then

- For  $0 \leq k \leq 2^{t-1} - 1$ ,  $f(c_{1,i}^k) = \varphi_i + 2^{t-1} - k - 1$ , and for  $2^{t-1} \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ ,  $f(c_{1,i}^k) = \varphi_i + k$ .
- For  $2 \leq j \leq n_i - 1$  and all  $0 \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ ,  $f(c_{j,i}^k) = f(c_{1,i}^k) + (j-1)2^{t-1}$ .
- For  $0 \leq k \leq 2^{t-1} - 1$ ,  $f(c_{n_i,i}^k) = f(c_{1,i}^k) + (n_i - 1)2^{t-1}$ , and for  $2^{t-1} \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ ,  $f(c_{n_i,i}^k) = f(c_{1,i}^{2^t-k-1})$ .

The labeling  $f$  uses  $n_i 2^{t-1}$  distinct labels for the  $n_i 2^t$  vertices of each component  $C^i$  and their copies. For  $0 \leq k \leq 2^{t-1} - 1$ , we have  $f(c_{1,i}^k) = f(c_{n_i,i}^{2^t-k-1})$ , and for  $2 \leq j \leq n_i$   $f(c_{j,i}^k) = f(c_{j-1,i}^{2^t-k-1})$ . It is possible, since  $d_G(c_{j,i}^0, c_{j-1,i}^0) \geq 3$ , which means by Lemma 4.3 that  $d_{M^t}(c_{j,i}^k, c_{j-1,i}^{2^t-k-1}) = 3$ . For two vertices  $c_{j,i}^k, c_{l,i}^m$  from the same component, the difference between the labels is equal to 1 in the following cases: *i*) The vertices are copies of the same vertex, or if  $2^{t-1} \leq k, m \leq 2^t - 1$ , in those two cases  $d_{M^t}(c_{j,i}^k, c_{l,i}^m) = 2$ . *ii*) For  $l = j + 1$ , we have  $d_G(c_{j,i}^0, c_{j+1,i}^0) \geq 3$ , then  $d_{M^t}(c_{j,i}^k, c_{j+1,i}^m) \geq 2$ . *iii*) If  $l = j + 2$ ,  $k = 2^t - 1$  and  $m = 2^{t-1} - 1$ , we have from Lemma 4.2  $d_{M^t}(c_{j,i}^{2^t-1}, c_{l,i}^{2^{t-1}-1}) = 2$ . The difference between the labels is equal to 1 for vertices from two different odd cycle components, only occur for  $c_{n_i,i}^0$  and  $c_{n_{i+1},i+1}^{2^t-1}$  with  $c_{1,i+1}^{2^{t-1}-1}$  and  $c_{n_{i+1},i+1}^{2^{t-1}}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ , we have  $d_G(c_{n_i,i}^0, c_{n_{i+1},i+1}^0) \geq 2$  and  $d_G(c_{n_i,i}^0, c_{1,i+1}^0) \geq 2$ , from Lemma 4.2 the vertices are at distance greater or equal 2 in  $M^t(G)$ . The maximum label assigned is  $f(c_{n_s,s}^0) = f(c_{n_s-1,s}^{2^t-1}) = r2^t + \sum_{j=1}^s n_j 2^{t-1} - 1 = n 2^{t-1} - 1$ .

We finally label the remaining  $2^t - 1$  roots with consecutive labels beginning with the label  $n 2^{t-1}$  in the following order

$$u_{1,2^{t-1}-1} u_{1,2^{t-1}-2} \dots u_{1,0} u_{2,2^{t-2}-1} u_{2,2^{t-2}-2} \dots u_{2,0} u_{3,2^{t-3}-1} \dots u_{t,0}$$




Figure 8: An  $L(2,1)$ -Labeling of  $M^2(G)$  as in Theorem 4.6, where  $\overline{G^2}$  has a perfect 2-matching with two  $K_2$  components and two cycles of order 3 and 5, here the edges represent a perfect matching of  $\overline{G^2} \times K_2$ .

Since  $d_{M^t}(u_{1,2^{t-1}-1}, c_{n_s,s}^0) = 2$ ,  $d_{M^t}(u_{1,2^{t-1}-1}, c_{n_s-1,s}^{2^t-1}) = 2$ ,  $d_{M^t}(u_{i,j}, u_{i,j-1}) = 2$ , and  $d_{M^t}(u_{i,0}, u_{i+1,2^{t-(i+1)}-1}) = 2$ , this produces an  $L(2,1)$ -labeling with span  $2^{t-1}(n+2) - 2$ . In Figure 8 an  $L(2,1)$ -labeling with the same schema for  $M^2(G)$ , where  $\overline{G^2}$  has a perfect 2-matching consisting of two  $K_2$  components and two cycles of order 3 and 5 respectively. Hence from the lower bound of Theorem 4.1 for  $t \geq 2$ , we have  $\lambda(M^t(G)) = 2^{t-1}(n+2) - 2$ .  $\square$

The labeling defined in Theorem 4.6 is a valid  $L(2,1)$ -labeling for any graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 2$ , if  $\overline{G^2}$  has a perfect 2-matching, then we can label the vertices of  $M^t(G)$  with a labeling having span  $2^{t-1}(n+2) - 2$ . Next, we give an upper bound for  $\lambda(M^t(G))$  implying the maximum size of a 2-matching of  $\overline{G^2}$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n \geq 2$ , with  $\nu_2(\overline{G^2}) = p$ . For  $t \geq 2$ , we have  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \leq 2^{t-1}(2n - p + 2) - 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a graph with  $\nu_2(\overline{G^2}) = p$ . So there is an induced subgraph  $H$  of  $\overline{G^2}$  of order  $p$ , such that  $H$  has a perfect 2-matching. Let  $V_H$  be the set of vertices of  $H$ , from Theorem 4.6, we can label the vertices of  $M^t(G[V_H])$  with an  $L(2,1)$ -Labeling  $f$  with span  $2^{t-1}(p+2) - 2$ , where  $f(u_{t,0}) = 2^{t-1}(p+2) - 2$ .

Now in  $M^t(G)$ , if  $p < n$  the vertices remaining unlabeled by  $f$  are the vertices in  $V \setminus V_H$  and their copies. Let us denote  $v_i^k$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq q$ , and  $0 \leq k \leq 2^t - 1$ , such that  $p + q = n$ , the vertices of  $V \setminus V_H$  and their consecutive copies. Let  $\chi_i$  with  $2 \leq i \leq q$ , be a sequence of vertices in  $M^t(G)$ , where  $\chi_i = v_i^2 v_i^0 v_i^1$  if  $i$  is odd, and  $\chi_i = v_i^1 v_i^0 v_i^2$  if  $i$  is even. The only vertex labeled  $2^{t-1}(p+2) - 2$  by  $f$  is  $u_{t,0}$ , using consecutive labels we label the vertices  $v_i^k$ , with  $1 \leq i \leq q$  beginning with the label  $2^{t-1}(p+2) - 1$ , in the following order  $v_1^0 v_1^2 v_1^1 \chi_2 \dots \chi_q v_q^3 v_{q-1}^3 \dots v_1^3 v_1^4 \dots v_q^4 v_q^5 \dots v_1^{2^t-1}$ .

This produces an  $L(2,1)$ -labeling with span  $2^{t-1}(p+2) - 2 + 2^t(n-p) = 2^{t-1}(2n - p + 2) - 2$ .  $\square$

Similarly to Subsection 3.3, we put interest in connected graphs, the path  $P_n$  and cycle  $C_n$ , which we use to determine some connected graphs with the smallest  $\lambda(M^t(G))$ .

**Corollary 4.3.** *For  $t \geq 2$ ,*

$$\lambda(M^t(P_n)) = \begin{cases} 4 \times 2^t - 2 & \text{if } n = 3, 4, 5, \\ 2^{t-1}(n+2) - 2 & \text{if } n \geq 6. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For  $n = 3$ , we have  $\text{diam}(P_3) = 2$ , by Theorem 4.3 for  $t \geq 2$  we have  $\lambda(M^t(P_3)) = 4 \times 2^t - 2$ .

For  $n = 4$ ,  $\overline{P_4^2}$  consists of a single edge and 2 isolated vertices. So  $\nu_2(\overline{P_4^2}) = 2$ , it follows from Theorem 4.7 that  $\lambda(M^t(P_4)) \leq 4 \times 2^t - 2$ . Since  $M^t(P_3)$  is a subgraph of  $M^t(P_4)$ , from above  $\lambda(M^t(P_4)) = 4 \times 2^t - 2$ .

For  $n = 5$ ,  $\overline{P_5^2}$  consists of 2 independent edges and one isolated vertex. Hence  $\nu_2(\overline{P_5^2}) = 4$ , so from Theorem 4.7  $\lambda(M^t(P_5)) \leq 4 \times 2^t - 2$ . Also  $M^t(P_3)$  is a subgraph of  $M^t(P_5)$ , then  $\lambda(M^t(P_5)) = 4 \times 2^t - 2$ .



For  $n \geq 6$ , it is easy to see that the path  $P_n$  verifies the condition of Theorem 4.6, thus  $\lambda(M^t(P_n)) = 2^{t-1}(n+2) - 2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** For  $t \geq 2$ ,

$$\lambda(M^t(C_n)) = \begin{cases} 4 \times 2^t - 2 & \text{if } n = 3, \\ 5 \times 2^t - 2 & \text{if } n = 4, \\ 6 \times 2^t - 2 & \text{if } n = 5, \\ 2^{t-1}(n+2) - 2 & \text{if } n \geq 6. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We have  $\text{diam}(C_3) = 1$ , and  $\text{diam}(C_4) = \text{diam}(C_5) = 2$ . So by Theorem 4.3, for  $t \geq 2$ , we have  $\lambda(M^t(C_3)) = 4 \times 2^t - 2$ ,  $\lambda(M^t(C_4)) = 5 \times 2^t - 2$ , and  $\lambda(M^t(C_5)) = 6 \times 2^t - 2$ . If  $n \geq 6$ , the cycle  $C_n$  satisfies the condition of Theorem 4.6, then  $\lambda(M^t(C_n)) = 2^{t-1}(n+2) - 2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** Let  $G$  be a connected graph, for  $t \geq 2$  we have

- 1)  $\lambda(M^t(G)) = 3 \times 2^t - 2$  if and only if  $G$  is  $K_2$ ,
- 2)  $\lambda(M^t(G)) = 4 \times 2^t - 2$  if and only if  $G \in \{P_3, P_4, P_5, P_6, C_3, C_6\}$ ,
- 3)  $\lambda(M^t(G)) = 9 \times 2^{t-1} - 2$  if and only if  $G \in \{P_7, C_7\}$ .

*Proof.* We have  $K_2$  is the only graph with  $\Delta = 1$ , by Theorem 4.2  $\lambda(M^t(K_2)) = 3 \times 2^t - 2$ . From the lower bound of Theorem 4.1, for  $t \geq 2$ ,  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \geq 2^{t-1} \max(n+2, 2(\Delta+2)) - 2$ . Then if  $\Delta \geq 2$  we have  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \geq 4 \times 2^t - 2$ . Also if  $\Delta \geq 3$ , we have  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \geq 5 \times 2^t - 2$ . Since the graphs in Corollary 4.3 and Corollary 4.4 are the only connected graphs with  $\Delta = 2$ , then we can conclude.  $\square$

For any other non-trivial connected graph  $G$  not mentioned in Corollary 4.5 for  $t \geq 2$ , we have  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \geq 5 \times 2^t - 2$ .

## 5 Open problems

From the statement of the  $\Delta^2$ -conjecture, and the upper bound of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1, we propose a weaker conjecture for the  $L(2,1)$ -labeling number of the Mycielski and the iterated Mycielski of graphs.

**Conjecture 5.1.** For any graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 1$ , with maximum degree  $\Delta$ , and for all  $t \geq 1$ , we have  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \leq (2^t - 1)(n+1) + \Delta^2$ .

It is clear from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 that if  $\lambda(G) \leq \Delta^2$ , then for any  $t \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda(M^t(G)) \leq (2^t - 1)(n+1) + \Delta^2$ , also if it is true for an iteration  $t$  then it is for any iteration greater. From our study, for any  $t \geq 1$ , the only graphs with at least one edge that we know having  $\lambda(M^t(G)) = (2^t - 1)(n+1) + \Delta^2$ , are the graph  $K_2$ , and the graphs achieving the bound in Corollary 3.1, which are the cycle  $C_5$ , the Petersen graph, the Hoffman-Singleton graph, and possibly a diameter two Moore graph of maximum degree 57, and order  $57^2 + 1$  if such graph exists.

The complexity of the  $L(2,1)$ -labeling problem for the Mycielski of graphs should be more investigated, whether for general graphs or the Mycielski of graphs not still studied. For instance, trees since the  $L(2,1)$ -labeling number can be determined in polynomial time for trees [6], we may ask if it is also the case for the Mycielski graphs generated from trees?

## References

- [1] A. Amahashi, M. Kano, *On factors with given components*, Discrete Mathematics **42** (1982) 1-6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X\(82\)90048-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(82)90048-6)
- [2] M.L. Borowiecki, P. Borowiecki, E. Drgas-Burchardt, E. Sidorowicz, *Graph Classes Generated by Mycielskians*, Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory **40** (2020) 1163-1173. <https://doi.org/10.7151/dmgt.2345>
- [3] T. Calamoneri, *The  $L(h, k)$ -Labelling Problem: An Updated Survey and Annotated Bibliography*, The Computer Journal **54** (2011) 1344-1371. <https://doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/bxr037>

- [4] M. Caramia, P. Dell’Olmo, *A lower bound on the chromatic number of Mycielski graphs*, Discrete Mathematics **235** (2001) 79-86.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-365X\(00\)00261-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-365X(00)00261-2)
- [5] G.J. Chang, L. Huang, X. Zhu, *Circular chromatic numbers of Mycielski’s graphs*, Discrete Mathematics **205** (1999) 23-37.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-365X\(99\)00033-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-365X(99)00033-3)
- [6] G.J. Chang, D. Kuo, *The  $L(2,1)$ -Labeling Problem on Graphs*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **9** (1996) 309-316.  
<https://doi.org/10.1137/S0895480193245339>
- [7] J. Fiala, T. Kloks, J. Kratochvíl, *Fixed-parameter complexity of  $\lambda$ -labelings*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **113** (2001) 59-72.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-218X\(00\)00387-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-218X(00)00387-5)
- [8] D.C. Fisher, P.A. McKenna, E.D. Boyer, *Hamiltonicity, diameter, domination, packing, and biclique partitions of Mycielski’s graphs*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **84** (1998) 93-105.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-218X\(97\)00126-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-218X(97)00126-1)
- [9] J.P. Georges, D.W. Mauro, M.A. Whittlesey, *Relating path coverings to vertex labellings with a condition at distance two*, Discrete Mathematics **135** (1994) 103-111.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X\(93\)E0098-O](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(93)E0098-O)
- [10] D. Gonçalves, *On the  $L(p,1)$ -labelling of graphs*, Discrete Mathematics **308** (2008) 1405-1414.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.07.075>
- [11] J.R. Griggs, R.K. Yeh, *Labelling Graphs with a Condition at Distance 2*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **5** (1992) 586-595.  
<https://doi.org/10.1137/0405048>
- [12] W.K. Hale, *Frequency Assignment: Theory and Applications*, Proc. of the IEEE **68** (1981) 1497-1514.  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/PROC.1980.11899>
- [13] F. Havet, B. Reed, J.-S. Sereni  *$L(2,1)$ -labelling of Graphs*, in: Proceedings of the Nineteenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA08), San Francisco, California, 20-22 January, 2008, pp. 621–630.
- [14] A.J. Hoffman, R.R. Singleton, *On Moore Graphs with Diameters 2 and 3*, IBM Journal of Research and Development **4** (1960) 497-504.  
<https://doi.org/10.1147/rd.45.0497>
- [15] D.G. Kirkpatrick, P. Hell, *On the Complexity of General Graph Factor Problems*, SIAM Journal on Computing **12** (1983) 601-609.  
<https://doi.org/10.1137/0212040>
- [16] M. Larsen, J. Propp, D. Ullman, *The fractional chromatic number of mycielski’s graphs*, Journal of Graph Theory **19** (1995) 411-416.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.3190190313>
- [17] W. Lin, P. Lam, *Star matching and distance two labelling*, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics **13** (2009) 211-224.  
<https://doi.org/10.11650/tjm.13.2009.539>
- [18] L. Lovász, M.D. Plummer, Matching Theory, in: Annal of Discrete Mathematics, vol. 29, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [19] J. Mycielski, *Sur le coloriage des graphs*, Colloquium Mathematicae **2** (1955) 161-62.
- [20] Z. Shao, R. Solis-Oba, *Labeling Mycielski Graphs with a Condition at Distance Two*, Ars Comb. **140** (2018) 337-349.
- [21] W.T. Tutte, *The 1-factors of oriented graphs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1953) 922-931.  
<https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1953-0063009-7>
- [22] M.L. Vergnas, *An extension of Tutte’s 1-factor theorem*, Discrete Mathematics **23** (1978) 241-255.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X\(78\)90006-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(78)90006-7)
- [23] D.B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory, second ed., Prentice-Hall, Englewood cliffs, NJ, 2001.
- [24] R.K. Yeh, *A survey on labeling graphs with a condition at distance two*, Discrete Mathematics **306** (2006) 1217-1231.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2005.11.029>