

A Mean Field Theory of Phase Transitions Affected by Molecular Interconversion

Thomas J. Longo¹ and Mikhail A. Anisimov^{1,2}

¹*Institute for Physical Science and Technology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA*

²*Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA^{a)}*

(Dated: 23 December 2024)

In this paper, we describe the diffusive and interconversion properties of a symmetric mixture of two different dynamics, characteristic for conserved and non-conserved order parameters, in the presence or absence of an external “source” of interconversion. We show that the interactions of the two competing dynamics of the order parameter (diffusion and interconversion) results in the phenomenon of “phase amplification,” when one phase grows at the expense of another one. This phenomenon occurs when the order parameter exhibits even a small probability of non-conserved dynamics, thus breaking the particle conservation law. Also, we show that the addition of a source of interconversion drives the system away from equilibrium and creates the possibility for arrested phase separation - the existence of non-growing (steady-state) mesoscopic phase domains. These steady-state phase domains are an example of a simple dissipative structure. The change of the dynamics from phase amplification to microphase separation can be considered as a nonequilibrium “phase transition” in the dissipative system. The theoretical description is used to describe phase transitions affected by interconversion of an equilibrium and nonequilibrium lattice model.

Solving the problem of condensed-matter systems with a large number of strongly interacting degrees of freedom - the problem of phase transitions and critical phenomena - has revolutionized macroscopic physics in the 20th century¹. Phase transitions play a crucial role in condensed-matter physics, biophysics, biology, high-energy physics, metallurgy, and astrophysics^{2,3}. Examples include structural, superconductive, and ferromagnetic transitions in solids, superfluidity in helium, as well as a variety of phase transformations in multicomponent fluids^{4,5}. While equilibrium phase transitions and criticality in simple systems are well studied and understood, the description of phase transformations in the presence of chemical reactions and in systems far from equilibrium is much less developed^{2,5}. Under certain conditions, these transitions can lead to liquid polyamorphism (the existence of liquid-liquid separation in a single-component fluid⁴) or to non-equilibrium microphase separation, an observed phenomenon that we hypothesize occurs during the formation of membraneless organelles⁶. Understanding the formation of these microphases is a precursor to understanding more complex self-organizing structures in non-equilibrium systems. The onset of non-equilibrium arrested phase separation can therefore be considered as a simpler version of dissipative structures described by Prigogine’s theory³ and a precursor to self-organizing criticality in more complex structures⁷.


In this paper, we present a general description of phase separation driven by spinodal decomposition in a binary fluid with molecular interconversion of the components. Throughout the paper, we focus on the two primary findings of the present theory: first, without a “source” of interconversion, the most stable state of the system will always be macroscopic phase separation⁸. The addition of a source of interconversion drives the system away from this equilibrium and creates the

possibility for arrested phase separation - the existence of non-growing (steady-state) mesoscopic phase domains⁹. Second, a symmetric, equilibrium interconverting system can be modeled using a mixed lattice gas and Ising model with the same order parameter. This is possible because these models are thermodynamically identical, but they differ in dynamics¹⁰. As a result, the interactions of the two dynamically competing order parameters (the conserved lattice gas order parameter, density, and the non-conserved Ising model order parameter, magnetization) results in the phenomenon of “phase amplification,” when one phase grows at the expense of another one.

The developed theory is applied to describe phase transitions affected by interconversion in an equilibrium and nonequilibrium lattice model. First, we consider an equilibrium model with mixed lattice-gas (conserved order-parameter) and Ising-lattice (non-conserved order-parameter) dynamics¹¹. Second, we consider a nonequilibrium model with mixed lattice-gas and Ising-lattice dynamics. This model was originally formulated by Glotzer *et al.*^{12,13}, and was a subject of criticism because the source of dissipation was a mystery^{14,15}. We resolve this mystery by showing that the source of dissipation originates from a disbalance in chemical potentials associated with interconversion and phase separation.

I. THEORY OF SPINODAL DECOMPOSITION AFFECTED BY INTERCONVERSION

Consider a symmetric, reversible chemical reaction



where k is the forward and reverse reaction rate. c_A and c_B are defined as the concentrations of species A and B respectively.

^{a)}Electronic mail: anisimov@umd.edu

This system can be described in the mean field approximation by a Landau-Ginzburg free-energy functional with one order parameter $\phi = c_A - 1/2$ ¹⁶. This functional reads as

$$F[\{\phi\}] = \int_V \left(f(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \kappa |\nabla \phi|^2 \right) dV \quad (2)$$

For an isotropic system, κ is the square of the range of intermolecular interactions on the order of the molecular size. In general, throughout this paper $\kappa \approx 1$. Using the Euler-Lagrange derivative, the chemical potential for this system is

$$\mu \equiv \frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi} = \alpha \phi + \beta \phi^3 - \kappa \nabla^2 \phi \quad (3)$$

where $\alpha \propto (T - T_c)$ and β is a positive constant. For a lattice-gas system $\beta = 1/3$. This equation can be rewritten in the linearized form

$$\mu = f'' \phi - \kappa \nabla^2 \phi \quad (4)$$

where $f'' = \partial^2 f / \partial \phi^2 \propto 1 - T/T_c$. For the case of a system with one order parameter and two different types of dynamics, the most general form of the time evolution of the order parameter is given by

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \pi - L\mu + M\nabla^2 \mu \quad (5)$$

This equation can be separated into three key terms. First, π is known as the interconversion energy source or sink that forces the system into a non-equilibrium steady-state. Depending on the model, this term can be written in a variety of forms, but in this paper it is assumed that $\pi \propto \phi$. The second term describes the relaxation of the nonconserved dynamics of the concentration to equilibrium¹⁷. This As a result, only one order parameter (with two different dynamics) is used to characterize the behavior of the system. The Ising spin interconversion behavior corresponds to the chemical equilibrium constant being independent of temperature or pressure, such that $\ln K = 0$, meaning that there is no enthalpy of reaction ($\Delta H_{\text{rxn}} = 0$). In the future, we will expand this theory to incorporate more complex chemical reactions. Thus, the interconversion from A to B mirrors the flipping of spins in the Ising model. In this case, μ is the deviation of the chemical potential difference ($\mu = \mu_A - \mu_B$) from its equilibrium value - $\mu = 0$, and L is a mobility constant that determines the rate of interconversion. In equilibrium thermodynamics, the interconversion and phase separation follows the same equilibrium conditions⁴. Therefore, in equilibrium systems, phase separation (even accompanied by interconversion) should always reach complete microscopic phase separation. Lastly, the third term describes the conserved dynamics of the order parameter⁸. This component mirrors the diffusion of particles in the lattice gas model where the total number of particles is conserved. M is the diffusion mobility (an Onsager coefficient). The interactions between diffusion, interconversion, and the source of interconversion, given in the general time evolution of the order parameter can be explained by considering the following three examples:

Equilibrium diffusion - When there is no interconversion of any kind ($\pi = 0$ and $L = 0$), Eq. (5) reduces to the classical Cahn-Hilliard equation⁸:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{c}_A}{\partial t} = M \nabla^2 \mu \quad (6)$$

This equations describes the dynamics of a system where the total number of particles is conserved, and as such, in the spinodal region, phase separation into two distinct phases will occur. A well-known example is the diffusion of particles in the lattice gas model. In addition, this equation can be solved to determine the growth rate equation (known as the ‘‘Amplification factor’’) of the phase domains, and is given as: $\omega(q) = -M\Delta T q^2 (1 - \xi^2 q^2)$, where ξ is the correlation length of concentration fluctuations - defined as $\xi = \sqrt{\kappa/f''}$. The coefficient $M\Delta T$ is known as the diffusion coefficient, D .

Equilibrium interconversion - In the absence of a source and a diffusive term ($\pi = 0$ and $M = 0$). Eq. (5) reduces to the classical Cahn-Allen equation¹⁷:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{c}_A}{\partial t} = -L\mu \quad (7)$$

As a result, this equation describes the dynamics of a system where the total number of particles is not conserved. This system will relax to equilibrium along with the order parameter. Thus, this equation describes the dynamics of an ‘‘Ising-like’’ model; if the system starts in a homogeneous symmetric mixture, then after infinite time only one phase will survive. The growth rate for this system is determined to be: $\omega(q) = -L\Delta T (1 - \xi^2 q^2)$. This equation is similar to the amplification factor in the classical Cahn-Hilliard theory, but instead, describes the non-conserved dynamics of the order parameter.

Nonequilibrium diffusion - If we consider the effect of a source of interconversion on a system with no non-conserved order-parameter dynamics ($L = 0$), which corresponds to the model used by Glotzer *et al.*^{12,13}. In this model, the reaction source is constrained to be linearly dependent on concentration. Therefore, as a simple approximation, $\pi = \mathcal{C} - k\hat{c}_A$, where k is the reaction rate and \mathcal{C} is a constant. This type of source randomly converts one species to another, and is independent on the thermodynamic properties of the system. In this case, the mass-balance equation can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \hat{c}_A}{\partial t} = \mathcal{C} - k\hat{c}_A + M \nabla^2 \mu \quad (8)$$

Glotzer *et al.* modeled the dynamics described by this equation by randomly choosing a spin and forcing it to interconvert independently from the dynamics of phase separation. They found that the addition of a source term causes chemically arrested microphase separation. This toy model was later recognized as being a special case only valid far away from equilibrium^{14,15}. The growth rate for this system can be determined to be: $\omega(q) = -k - M\Delta T q^2 (1 - \xi^2 q^2)$. Thus, as will be discussed in more detail later, the inclusion of a reaction rate term k in the growth rate results in phase separation to be arrested.

Nonequilibrium diffusion with interconversion - To solve the general mass-balance equation, the same interconversion source used by Glotzer *et al.* and the chemical potential from Eq. (4) is used. This gives

$$\frac{\partial \hat{c}_A}{\partial t} = \mathcal{C} - (k + Lf'')\hat{c}_A + (L\kappa + Mf'')\nabla^2 \hat{c}_A - M\kappa\nabla^4 \hat{c}_A \quad (9)$$

This differential equation has a solution of

$$\hat{c}_A = \frac{\mathcal{C}}{(k + Lf'')} + \sum_i A e^{R(q_i)t} \cos(q_i r) \quad (10)$$

where A is a constant determined by the initial conditions at $t = 0$, and $R(q)$ is the general growth rate amplification factor defined by

$$\omega(q) = -(Lf'' + k) - (Mf'' + \kappa L)q^2 - M\kappa q^4 \quad (11)$$

This equation, in a slightly different form, was also obtained by Lefever *et al.*¹⁵. This equation is best expressed through the correlation length, ξ ; re-writing it in this form gives

$$\omega(q) = -k - f''(L + Mq^2)(1 - \xi^2 q^2) \quad (12)$$

This equation describes the characteristics of domain growth in both phase amplification and microphase separation.

II. PHASE AMPLIFICATION VS. MICROPHASE SEPARATION: ORDER PARAMETER CHARACTERISTICS

As shown in Eq. (12), there are two competing rates that dictate the behavior of the system. The first is found from $\omega(q = 0)$, where the reaction rate term k competes with the diffusion-interconversion coupling term (Lf''). The second is determined from $\partial\omega/\partial q^2|_{q=0}$, where the diffusion (Mf'') and interconversion ($L\kappa$) rates compete with each other. The results of these competitions will either produce phase amplification or microphase separation as shown in Fig. (1) and depicted in Table (I). To achieve arrested phase separation, the source of interconversion must overcome the diffusion-interconversion coupling term, $k > Lf''$, while $Mf'' > \kappa L$ such that the diffusion rate is faster than the reaction rate. Meanwhile, phase amplification occurs when the diffusion-interconversion coupling term is greater than the reaction rate $Lf'' > k$, and the rate of amplification is enhanced when $Mf'' < L\kappa$.

TABLE I. Conditions for phase amplification and arrested phase separation as illustrated in Fig. (1). The left column corresponds to the solid lines and the right column corresponds to the dashed lines.

	$Mf'' > L\kappa$	$Mf'' < L\kappa$
$f''L > k$	Phase Amplification	“Ising-like” Growth
$f''L < k$	Arrested Phase Sep.	No Growth

As will be mentioned in more detail later, Eq. (12) has three characteristic wavenumbers: q_m , the wave number of the




FIG. 1. The growth rate factor for different interactions between diffusion, interconversion, and the source of interconversion. L , M , and k were varied to represent the conditions of Table (1). The solid curves corresponds to $M|f''| > L\kappa$, where phase amplification (purple) and microphase separation (green) are shown, and the dashed curves correspond to $M|f''| < L\kappa$, where “Ising-like” amplification (purple) and no growth (green) are shown. The red line represents classical Cahn-Hilliard spinodal decomposition where $L = 0$ and $k = 0$.

fastest growing inhomogeneities; q_c^+ , the upper cut-off wave number; and q_c^- , the lower cut-off wave number. The existence of a non-zero q_c^- indicates that arrested phase separation will occur; such that, after infinite time, the observable domain size will not exceed $1/q_c^-$. As a result, we predict that q_c^- is the order parameter that characterizes microphase separation. When the system experiences phase amplification, shown by the purple curve in Fig. (1), q_c^- is imaginary and microphase separation doesn’t occur. Only by increasing the strength of the racemizing source (given by k) will the amplification factor be shifted down until q_c^- becomes non-zero as illustrated by the green line in Fig. (1). Meanwhile, we predict that the Cahn-Hilliard result (when there is only a conserved order parameter so $\pi = 0$ and $L = 0$) is a critical line distinguishing microphase separation from phase amplification and marked at the point where $q_c^- = 0$. Thus, we predict that a system going from the equilibrium phase amplification region to the nonequilibrium microphase separation region will experience a large “jump” in its internal energy. This transition will be similar to a first order phase transition, and it indicates the formation of a dissipative structure.

III. STRUCTURE FACTOR: DEMONSTRATION OF MICROPHASE SEPARATION

The central prediction that the domain size will be arrested in the presence of an external source can be proven through the structure factor for the system. Cahn-Hilliard theory is limited in that it is only applicable in the early stages of spinodal decomposition. Thus, to understand how the system transitions from the later stages of spinodal decomposition, where domain coarsening occurs, to the nucleation regime, the original theory is expanded to allow for concentration fluctuations. Then, by approximating the crossover from the later stages




FIG. 2. The structure factor for a conserved order parameter system (where $\pi = 0$ and $L = 0$) exhibiting crossover from Cahn-Hilliard spinodal decomposition, $t = 5$ (blue) to the coarsening regime, $t = 50$ (green) and $t = 150$ (red), the nucleation limit, $t \rightarrow \infty$ (black). The maximum of the structure factor saturates at $S_m(q, t) = 1/2\xi^2 = 5$ for $\Delta T = -0.1$.




FIG. 3. In the presence of a sufficient source that shifts the growth rate curve down, the maximum of the structure factor saturates before $1/2\xi^2$ and the corresponding q value saturates at q_c^- .

of spinodal decomposition to the nucleation regime, it can be shown that the inclusion of an external interconversion source causes the phase domain sizes to be arrested.

To characterize the late stages of spinodal decomposition and the transition to the coarsening regime, the theory of spinodal decomposition is expanded to allow concentration fluctuations. Defining the fluctuation variable as $u(\mathbf{r}, t) \equiv \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{r}, t) - \mathbf{c}_0$, the Structure factor is given by the correlation function for the concentration fluctuations of the system. Such that

$$S(q, t) = \int d\mathbf{r} \langle u(\mathbf{r}, t), u(\mathbf{r}_0, t) \rangle e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \quad (13)$$

As suggested by Langer *et al.*¹⁸, the equation of motion of $S(q, t)$, is found by multiplying the key differential equation - Eq. (5) - by $u(\mathbf{r})u(\mathbf{r}_0)$ and integrating over the space of functions u . The resulting differential equation was first presented by H. E. Cook¹⁹ and modified for the case of mixed diffusion-interconversion dynamics as

$$\frac{dS(q)}{dt} = 2R(q)S(q) + 2M \left(1 + \frac{L}{M} \kappa \right) q^2 \quad (14)$$

The structure factor immediately after the quench (which has the form of the well known Ornstein-Zernike structure factor) is defined as

$$S_\chi = -\frac{(M + L\kappa)q^2}{R(q)} \quad (15)$$

It can be seen that in the case when $L = 0$ and $k = 0$, this equation reduces to the Ornstein-Zernike - $S_{OZ} = \xi^2 / (1 + \xi^2 q^2)$.




FIG. 4. The development of the maximum wavenumber for the structure factor during the crossover from spinodal decomposition, $q \propto t^{1/4}$ (red, dashed), to the nucleation regime, $q \propto t^{1/3}$ (green, dashed).

Using this factor, the above differential equation can be integrated, using the condition that as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the structure factor takes the form of $S_\chi(q)$, to get

$$S_{CHC}(q, t) = S_\chi(q) \left(1 - e^{2R(q)t} \right) \quad (16)$$

Where $S_{CHC}(q, t)$ (representing the Cahn-Hilliard-Cook structure factor) is valid from the initial quench to the late stages of spinodal decomposition. To expand this equation to account for the coarsening regime after decomposition has finished, a time dependent f'' is adapted into S_χ as suggested by Binder *et al.*²⁰. The exact form of the time dependence of $f''(t)$ is empirically estimated to have the form

$$f''(t) = f_0'' \left(\frac{e^{-\sqrt{t}/\tau}}{1 + e^{-\sqrt{t}/\tau}} \right) \quad (17)$$




FIG. 5. Domain growth, given by $R(t) = 1/q_{\max}$, at constant L , M , and f'' for no source (black), a small source ($k = 0.001$, blue), and a slightly larger source ($k = 0.005$, green). The initial curvature is determined by the magnitude of M and τ (used for the crossover). The infinite time domain size $R(t \rightarrow \infty)$ is proportional to the choice of L and k .

Where τ controls the transition rate from spinodal decomposition to coarsening.

As suggested by Binder *et al.*²⁰, a crossover term is introduced to connect the coarsening regime with the nucleation regime. As depicted in Lifshitz-Slyozov theory for Oswald ripening, the nucleation regime is characterized by an Ornstein-Zernike structure factor that scales with time as $S(q, t) \propto t$ and a domain size that goes as $q \propto t^{1/3}$ ²⁰. For reference, the domain size grows as $q \propto t^{1/4}$ in the classical Cahn-Hilliard theory²¹. To account for the transition from $t^{1/4}$ to $t^{1/3}$, the same scaling relation as used by Binder *et al.* is adopted. That in the nucleation regime, the exponential growth rate scales with time as tq ²⁰. Thus, the following Padé approximant is used in the exponential factor of Eq. (16), such that the time, t , is replaced by the crossover time, t_{\times} , given by

$$t_{\times} = t \frac{\tau(1+t/\tau)}{1+t/q} \quad (18)$$

Where τ is the same crossover parameter used in $f''(t)$. Therefore, the crossover between spinodal-decomposition growth and nucleation growth is phenomenologically introduced as

$$S(q, t) = S_{OZ}(q) \left(\frac{S_{CHC}(q, t_{\times})}{S_{OZ}(q) + S_{CHC}(q, t_{\times})} \right) \quad (19)$$

Where now, $S_{CHC}(q, t) = S_{\chi}(q, t) (1 - e^{2R(q)t_{\times}})$. Therefore, $S(q, t)$ is the complete crossover structure factor. Using this equation for the crossover, the structure factor for the case of only diffusive order-parameter dynamics is compared to the case of the same system when an external interconversion source is introduced. It can be shown that the behavior of these systems through all three regimes: spinodal decomposition, coarsening, and nucleation in Figs (2 & 3). It is observed

that the introduction of a small source to the system causes the structure factor curve to be arrested around a minimum value given on the growth rate curve as q_{\times}^- . Lastly, the crossover behavior for a system following the transition of classical Cahn-Hilliard theory to the nucleation limit is shown in Fig. (4). The behavior of the maximum q value goes to zero according to the two limits: $q \propto t^{1/4}$ (initial time, spinodal decomposition regime) and $q \propto t^{1/3}$ (long time, nucleation regime).

IV. EQUILIBRIUM MODEL: PHASE AMPLIFICATION

In 1952, Lee and Yang showed that the Ising model for an anisotropic ferromagnet and the lattice gas model for a fluid are mathematically equivalent²². It was later proven that all fluids exhibiting phase separation, whether simple or complex, belong to the same class of critical-point universality in thermodynamics as the Ising model²³. Within the same universality class, systems demonstrate the same critical singularities and the same critical equation of state, provided that the appropriately defined order parameter has the same symmetry. The one-component-vector order parameter (the magnetization) in the Ising model and the scalar order parameter (the density) in the lattice gas possess the same symmetry, \mathbb{Z}_2 up-down symmetry^{24,25}.




FIG. 6. (a) The spontaneous equilibrium order parameter ($\phi = \phi_0$) in the lattice gas / lattice binary mixture along the liquid-vapor phase coexistence (red domain). One of the two alternative magnetizations ($\phi_0 > 0$ and $\phi_0 < 0$) in the Ising ferromagnet in zero field are shown in the red domain with blue arrows. The solid curve is the crossover from mean-field behavior (dashed) to the asymptotic scaling power law $\phi \propto \Delta T^\beta$ with $\beta = 0.326$ ^{26,27}, while the crosses are our simulation data. (b) The amplification factor given by Eq. (12) for the initial growth of the order parameter for three conditions: conserved $L = 0$, non-conserved $M = 0$, and mixed $L/(M+L) = 0.01$ after quenching the system into the unstable region at $\Delta T = -0.1$.

However, while the lattice gas and Ising model are equivalent in thermodynamics, they are fundamentally different in dynamics. The order parameter in the lattice gas is conserved, while the order parameter in the Ising model is not. Thus, the two order parameters belong to different universality classes in dynamics¹⁰. As a result, the density relaxes to equilibrium by spatial-dependent diffusion, while the relaxation of magnetization in the lowest approximation is not spatial-dependent. An important consequence of this contrast in dynamics is in

the difference in the equilibrium states as illustrated in Fig. (6a). In the lattice gas, below the critical temperature, two equilibrium fluid phases must coexist to conserve the total number of particles (occupied cells), while in the Ising ferromagnet only one of the alternative magnetizations, positive or negative, will survive¹¹. Since the interface between the two alternative magnetic phases is energetically costly, eventually, one phase will win over the other. This is the striking phenomenon of “phase amplification”, as originally coined by Latinwo, Stillinger, and Debenedetti²⁸.




FIG. 7. Phase amplification - the growth of the order parameter for different probabilities of Ising dynamics. (a) Full-time behavior, $T = 4.4$ and 100 realizations, $p_r = 1$; (b-d) initial time behavior, $T = 4.0$ and 1,000 realizations: (b) $p_r = 1.0$, (c) $p_r = 0.1$, and (d) $p_r = 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$. The solid horizontal line, $\phi = 0$, corresponds to lattice-gas dynamics, $p_r = 0$.

The growth rate equation for a system with a mixture of conserved and non-conserved order-parameter dynamics is found to be the combined growth rate of the Cahn-Hilliard equation⁸ and the Cahn-Allen equation¹⁷, but it can also be shown as the solution to Eq. (12) when there is no interconversion source ($k = 0$):

$$\omega(q) = -f''(L + Mq^2)(1 - \xi^2 q^2) \quad (20)$$

As shown in Fig. (6b), when $M = 0$, the order parameter grows according to Ising dynamics¹⁷, while when $L = 0$, the order parameter grows according to lattice gas dynamics⁸. From Eq. (20), the probability that the system will exhibit Ising-model spin interconversion is defined as $p_r = L/(M + L)$. If $p_r = 1$, the system always relaxes to equilibrium through fast amplification to one of two alternative phases with either positive or negative order parameter. If $p_r = 0$, the system always demonstrates phase coexistence (no phase amplification), and if $0 < p_r < 1$, the rate of phase amplifica-

tion depends on the Ising-dynamics probability, the distance to the critical temperature, and the system size¹¹.

The competition between the two types of dynamics of the order parameter determines the initial growth of the phase domains generated in the unstable region. In a mixed lattice-gas and Ising system, this competition eventually results in one phase amplification the other. However, the rate of amplification depends on the probability for the system to follow Ising (non-conserved) order-parameter dynamics, see Fig. (7b-d) where the phenomenon of phase amplification is shown for different probabilities from pure Ising, $p_r = 1$, to extremely low probability $p_r = 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$. For the extremely low probability most realizations just fluctuate around $\langle \phi \rangle = 0$. However, it is remarkable that even for this probability phase amplification still occurs¹¹. The phenomenon of phase amplification can also be seen in the more complicated models that follow (provided that there is a weak interconversion energy source, $k < Lf''$).

V. NONEQUILIBRIUM MODEL: MICROPHASE SEPARATION

The diffusion (conserved order-parameter) and interconversion (nonconserved order-parameter) dynamics employed in the previous section, may also be used to describe a nonequilibrium model. By writing $f'' \propto 1 - T/T_C$ in the equilibrium chemical potential, given by Eq. (4), we may choose a source of interconversion that cancels with the temperature and spatial dependent components of the equilibrium chemical potential. For example, the source of interconversion may be chosen as

$$\pi = -L \left(\frac{T}{T_C} \phi - \kappa \nabla^2 \phi \right) \quad (21)$$

As a result, this creates a disbalance in the equilibrium chemical potential for the nonconserved dynamics of the mixed lattice gas /Ising-lattice model. Thereby, the time evolution of the order parameter, given by Eq. (5) may be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = -L\phi + M\nabla^2 \mu \quad (22)$$

Thereby, we have created a disbalance in the equilibrium chemical potential. This nonequilibrium time evolution equation for the order parameter is the same as the one considered by Glotzer *et al*^{12,13}. As a result, the steady-state growth rate given is given by the solution of this equation as

$$\omega(q) = -L - Mf''q^2(1 - \xi^2 q^2) \quad (23)$$

As discussed previously, the order parameter for the nonequilibrium system is given by the existence of q_c^- . If we solve this equation for the root q_c^- , we obtain

$$(q_c^-)^2 = \frac{1}{2\xi^2} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{4L\xi^2}{Mf''}} \right] \quad (24)$$




FIG. 8. The amplification factor, given by Equation 12, at constant temperature. The red-dashed line corresponds to the inverse maximum size of the observable phase domain on the length scale of the simulation box. The existence of a non-zero $q_c^- > q^*$ indicates kinetically arrested microphase separation.

This equation can be simplified if we apply the first order binomial expansion under the condition that $Mf''\xi^2 > L$. Doing so gives the simple relation that

$$(q_c^-)^2 = -\frac{L}{Mf''} \quad (25)$$

In this model, due to the disbalance in chemical potentials, q_c^- is always nonzero (provided $L \neq 0$ and that the system is quenched in the spinodal region where $f'' < 0$). Thus, phase amplification is impossible in this system. In addition, by writing the mutual diffusion coefficient $D_m = -Mf''$, it is also observed that the order parameter for the system q_c^- depends on the ratio of L and D_m . Such that if $q_c^- > 1$, then no growth will occur (meaning that interconversion is faster than phase separation so no phases are able to grow), and if $q_c^- < 1$, then microphase separation will occur because the rate of phase separation will be faster than interconversion.

It should be noted that the growth of the domain size is controlled by the fastest growing wavenumber $q_m = 1/\xi\sqrt{2}$, which is also predicted from Cahn-Hilliard theory⁸. However, as discussed in Sec. III, due to the crossover from spinodal decomposition to nucleation, $q_m \rightarrow q_c^-$ at infinite time. As a result, the domain size of the system will be limited by the order-parameter q_c^- .

VI. FINITE SIZE EFFECT ON THE NONEQUILIBRIUM MODEL

Within the nonequilibrium system, a unique situation arises for small systems sizes. If the system attempts to develop a domain size that is greater than the characteristic size of the simulation box, the simulation box will restrict the size of the domain such that phase separation will occur on the length scale of the simulation box. Thus, the observer will find that two phase separation (as predicted by Cahn-Hilliard theory) will occur. In this case, the order parameter is restricted by the inverse size of the box, which we call q^* . Thus, it will appear

like a phase transition between two phase separation (characterized by $q_c^- < q^*$) and microphase separation (characterized by $q_c^- > q^*$). Since the typical variables that characterize the dynamics of phase separation and interconversion are b (the probability for a reaction to occur), T , and T_C , which are contained within M , L , and f'' , then we can characterize the point of this transition by solving for the location where $q_c^- = q^*$, thus from Eq. (25) we would obtain

$$q^* = \frac{L(b, T)}{D_m(T, T_C)} \quad (26)$$

Therefore, by solving this equation when $T = T^*$, the temperature obtained when $q_c^- = q^*$ and defined as the onset of microphase separation temperature, and $b = b^*$, (likewise the onset of microphase separation reaction probability) a transition line in T, b -space that separates these two regions.




FIG. 9. Phase separation onset temperatures T^* (solid black) and T^{**} (dashed black). For any given value of b and T , the light region above T^{**} is a homogeneous region (only fluctuations are present), the region in the middle depicts microphase separation, and the dark region below T^* is the two phase region.

Example: $L \propto T^2 b^2$ As an example of this effect, let us consider a hypothetical system where $L = MT^2 b^2$. In this case, the line separating the two phase region ($q_c^- < q^*$) and the microphase region ($q_c^- > q^*$) would be found from evaluating:

$$(q^*)^2 = \frac{1}{2\xi^2} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 + 4 \frac{(T^*)^2 (b^*)^2 \xi^2}{f''}} \right] \quad (27)$$

If we also assume that $\kappa \approx 1$, so that $\xi^2 \propto -1/\Delta T$, then this equation can be expanded to second order to get

$$(q^*)^2 = \frac{(T^*)^2 (b^*)^2}{(-\Delta T^*)} \left[1 - \frac{(T^*)^2 (b^*)^2}{(\Delta T^*)^2} \right] \quad (28)$$

which can be solved for the onset temperature T^* or (equivalently) for the onset probability b^* as

$$(b^*)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\Delta T^*}{T^*} \right)^2 \left[1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{4(q^*)^2}{\Delta T^*}} \right] \quad (29)$$

The figure below demonstrates how this line would separate a system between the two phase region and the microphase region.

Scaling Adjustments to the Amplification Factor - The mean field approach does not incorporate the effects of diverging fluctuations near the critical point. To adapt the proposed theory to account for the dynamics near the critical point, the theoretical equation for the amplification factor needs to be adjusted. As the system approaches the critical point, the mutual diffusion coefficient will diverge in two ways. First, the susceptibility (given as $1/f''$) diverges as $(\Delta T)^{-2\nu}$ (where $\nu = 0.63$) and, second, as the system gets much closer to the critical point, the mobility also diverges proportionally to the correlation length ($\xi \propto (\Delta T)^{-\nu}$). The net effect is that the mutual diffusion coefficient asymptotically close to the critical point becomes $D_m \propto (\Delta T)^{\nu}$ ^{29,30}. It is our assumption, in accordance with Eq. (25), that if the mobility M diverges proportionally to the correlation length, then the interconversion Onsager coefficient L must also diverge similarly to keep the ratio of L/M independent of the distance to the critical point. Therefore, in a first-order approximation to scaling theory, we can adopt this prediction into the proposed theory by keeping M constant and changing the susceptibility in Eq. (23) according to scaling theory. Thus, $f'' \propto (\Delta T)^{2\nu}$ and $\xi^2 \propto (\Delta T)^{-2\nu}$. Repeating the calculation of q^* assuming that $L = MT^2b^2$ (where M is a constant independent of the correlation length), it is found that

$$(q^*)^2 = \frac{1}{2\xi^2} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 + 4 \frac{(T^*)^2(b^*)^2\xi^2}{f''}} \right] \quad (30)$$

After expansion to second order using the scaling theory correlation function becomes

$$(q^*)^2 = \frac{(T^*)^2(b^*)^2}{(-\Delta T^*)^{2\nu}} \left[1 - \frac{(T^*)^2(b^*)^2}{(\Delta T^*)^{4\nu}} \right] \quad (31)$$

Solving for the onset probability b^* gives

$$(b^*)^2 = \frac{(\Delta T^*)^{4\nu}}{2(T^*)^2} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{4(q^*)^2}{\Delta T^*}} \right] \quad (32)$$

In the case when $\nu = 1/2$, this indeed reduces to the mean field result as given in Eq. (29). A more detailed description of microphase separation would need to account for the crossover between the mean field approximation far away from the critical point to scaling theory in the critical region.

VII. THE POINT WHERE GROWTH STOPS

In addition to q^* , there is another point that affects order parameter for the domain growth, q_c^- . This point occurs when the racemizing source of interconversion becomes so strong that the entire amplification factor is shifted down. In this case, when the growth rate becomes entirely negative, no growth will occur and the system will remain homogeneous.




FIG. 10. Amplification factors for different source strengths. As the source increases, the amplification factor is shifted down starting from the onset of phase separation where $q_c^- = q^*$ (red), to the microphase region (green), to the termination point of domain growth (blue) where $q_c^- = q_m = q_c^+ = q^{**}$, and to the no growth regime (orange).

This is an indication that system is racemizing to a state of 50/50 concentration, phase separation is too slow to occur.

Just like with the case of the onset temperature T^* and the onset probability b^* , we can characterize a system through the termination temperature T^{**} and the termination probability b^{**} . These points can be found at the location where $\omega(q_m) = 0$. At this location, the three characteristic wavenumbers merge into a single point ($q_m = q_c^- = q_c^+ = q^{**}$). See the figure below For the case of the nonequilibrium model, this occurs where

$$L \geq \frac{D_m}{4\xi^2} \quad (33)$$

Therefore, whenever L exceeds this ration, microphase separation will not occur and a homogeneous solution will remain.

Example: $L \propto T^2b^2$ - Returning to our previous example, where $L = MT^2b^2$, we can solve the equation for $\omega(q_m) = 0$, to determine the characteristics of the line that defines the termination temperature. The solution to this equation in terms of the termination probability can be determined as

$$b^{**} = \frac{\Delta T^{**}}{2T^{**}} \quad (34)$$

The termination line is shown on Fig. 8 along with the onset phase separation line.

VIII. PHASE TRANSITION: PHASE AMPLIFICATION TO MICROPHASE SEPARATION

So far, we have only discussed scenarios where the system could exhibit phase amplification or microphase separation. However, we can also consider a model that allows for the free transition between these two phenomenon. Such a model would be characterized by the general time evolution given by Eq. (12). The nonequilibrium source of interconversion within this model could be generated through the interactions

of “massless” particles that react with the two species A and B with a reaction probability, b and energy E . Depending on the frequency of their interactions and the magnitude of their energy, these particles could cause interconversion of species. We note that a similar study was conducted where these particles caused diffusion of species within an immiscible alloy³¹.

Considering the particles as an interconverting source, we characterize this system in accordance with the amplification factor given by Eq. (12). For the system, the wave number corresponding to the fastest growing inhomogeneties is found as

$$q_m^2 = \frac{1}{2\xi^2} \left(1 - \frac{L\xi^2}{M} \right) \quad (35)$$

which (depending on the strength of the nonconserved order-parameter dynamics L) is slightly smaller than the previous predicted q_m . In addition, the order parameter for microphase separation can be solved for and written as

$$(q_c^-)^2 = \frac{1}{2\xi^2 q_m^2} \left(\frac{k}{D_m} - \frac{L}{M} \right) = \frac{1}{2\xi^2} \left(\frac{q_k}{q_m} \right)^2 \quad (36)$$

where q_k^2 is given by $k/D_m - L/M$. q_k is the central component that determines the order of the system as it contains the two conditions outlined in Table (I) that the source must be stronger than the nonconserved order parameter dynamics, given by $k > Lf''$, and the diffusion rate must be greater than the interconversion rate, given by $Mf'' > \kappa L$. Thus, it can be shown that if either of these conditions is violated then q_k (and consequently q_c^-) becomes imaginary, meaning that the system will be in the phase amplification regime. In addition, the order parameter is inversely proportional to the correlation length. Thus, as the system approaches the critical point and fluctuations become more pronounced (provided that the system doesn't reach the termination point first), the order parameter will go to zero, and the dissipative structure formed in the microphase separated regime will not be able to form. Lastly, the other solution to the amplification factor given by q_c^+ is calculated as

$$(q_c^+)^2 = 2q_m^2 - (q_c^-)^2 \quad (37)$$

This could be used to characterize phase amplification as it will not disappear if q_c^- becomes imaginary.

IX. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

We have developed a mean field theory of phase transitions affected by molecular interconversion that describes the effects of interconversion on phase separation. The theory is applicable to any system where the order parameter possesses both conserved and non-conserved dynamics, such as a mixture of a phase separating symmetric lattice gas model and the Ising model for an anisotropic ferromagnet. We have shown that the introduction of an external interconversion source will cause arrested microphase separation, and the competition between order-parameter dynamics results in the phenomenon of

phase amplification, when one phase grows at the expense of another one. These phenomena are all derived from the general mass-balance equation, Eq. (5), and they can be used to characterize the behavior of two different atomistic models.

Although the initial results are promising, there is still a lot of work left to do on this project. First, the present theory will need to be adapted to account for fluctuations near the critical point. Second, the applications of the current theory have been primarily to systems with interconverting molecules. However, the theory could also be applied to larger more complex interconverting structures. One example is the formation of membraneless organelles. Just like molecular interconversion in the presence of an external energy source, the interconversion of proteins from a folded to unfolded state can be treated with a similar approach. Previous studies of the formation of such subcellular structures have used Flory-Huggins' theory of phase separation in polymer solutions to describe the self-assembled state at equilibrium⁶. However, Flory theory only predicts liquid-liquid macro-phase separation, and recent studies have shown that the spontaneous self-assembly of these organelles is an example of non-equilibrium microphase separation^{6,32}. A detailed theoretical understanding of the kinetic and diffusive characteristics leading to the formation and growth of these microphase droplets has yet to be developed.

In addition, arrested microphase structures caused by the influence of interconversion can be considered as a simple type of dissipative structure, and it would be interesting to connect my theory with the general theory of dissipative structures like that of Prigogine *et. al.*³. It could also have interesting cross-disciplinary applications; for example, it would have parallels with other nonlinear phenomena like hydrodynamic instabilities³³, phase transitions in bifurcation theory, catastrophe theory, and dissipative cellular structures in conditions far from equilibrium.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is a part of the research collaboration between the University of Maryland, Princeton University, Boston University, and Arizona State University supported by the National Science Foundation. The research at the University of Maryland was supported by NSF award no. 1856479.

¹K. G. Wilson, “The renormalization group and critical phenomena,” *Reviews of Modern Physics* **55**, 583–600 (1983).

²M. A. Anisimov, *Critical Phenomena in Liquids and Liquid Crystals* (CRC Press, 1991).

³G. Nicolis and I. Prigogine, *Self-Organization in Nonequilibrium Systems: From Dissipative Structures to Order through Fluctuations*, 1st ed. (Wiley, New York, 1977).

⁴M. A. Anisimov, M. Duska, F. Caupin, L. E. Amrhein, A. Rosenbaum, and R. J. Sadus, “Thermodynamics of Fluid Polyamorphism,” *Physical Review X* **8**, 011004 (2018).

⁵S. Puri, “Kinetics of Phase Transitions,” *Phase Transitions* **77**, 407–431 (2004).

⁶S. Ranganathan and E. Shakhnovich, “Liquid-liquid microphase separation leads to formation of membraneless organelles,” *bioRxiv* (2019), 10.1101/2019.12.18.881565.

- ⁷P. Bak and C. Tang, "Earthquakes as a self-organized critical phenomenon," *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* **94**, 15635–15637 (1989).
- ⁸J. W. Cahn, "Phase Separation by Spinodal Decomposition in Isotropic Systems," *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **42**, 93–99 (1965).
- ⁹B. A. Huberman, "Striations in chemical reactions," *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **65**, 2013–2019 (1976).
- ¹⁰P. C. Hohenberg and B. I. Halperin, "Theory of dynamic critical phenomena," *Reviews of Modern Physics* **49**, 435–479 (1977).
- ¹¹N. Shumovskyi, T. Longo, S. Buldyrev, and M. Anisimov, "The physics of phase bullying," *ArXiv* (2021), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.06089>, submitted to *Physical Review Letters*.
- ¹²S. C. Glotzer, D. Stauffer, and N. Jan, "Monte Carlo simulations of phase separation in chemically reactive binary mixtures," *Physical Review Letters* **72**, 4109–4112 (1994).
- ¹³S. C. Glotzer, E. A. Di Marzio, and M. Muthukumar, "Reaction-Controlled Morphology of Phase-Separating Mixtures," *Physical Review Letters* **74**, 2034–2037 (1995).
- ¹⁴R. Lefever, D. Carati, and N. Hassani, "Comment on "Monte Carlo Simulations of Phase Separation in Chemically Reactive Binary Mixtures"," *Physical Review Letters* **75**, 1674–1674 (1995).
- ¹⁵D. Carati and R. Lefever, "Chemical freezing of phase separation in immiscible binary mixtures," *Physical Review E* **56**, 3127–3136 (1997).
- ¹⁶Y. I. Li and M. E. Cates, "Non-equilibrium phase separation with reactions: a canonical model and its behaviour," *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2020**, 053206 (2020).
- ¹⁷J. W. Cahn and S. M. Allen, "A Microscopic Theory for Domain Wall Motion and Its Experimental Verification in Fe-Al Alloy Domain Growth Kinetics," *Le Journal de Physique Colloques* **38**, C751–C754 (1977).
- ¹⁸J. S. Langer, M. Bar-on, and H. D. Miller, "New computational method in the theory of spinodal decomposition," *Physical Review A* **11**, 1417–1429 (1975).
- ¹⁹H. E. Cook, "Brownian motion in spinodal decomposition," *Acta Metallurgica* **18**, 297–306 (1970).
- ²⁰K. Binder, C. Billotet, and P. Mirol, "On the theory of spinodal decomposition in solid and liquid binary mixtures," *Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter* **30**, 183–195 (1978).
- ²¹J. W. Cahn, "The later stages of spinodal decomposition and the beginnings of particle coarsening," *Acta Metallurgica* **14**, 1685–1692 (1966).
- ²²T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, "Statistical Theory of Equations of State and Phase Transitions. II. Lattice Gas and Ising Model," *Physical Review* **87**, 410–419 (1952).
- ²³M. E. Fisher, "Scaling, universality and renormalization group theory," in *Critical Phenomena*, Lecture Notes in Physics, edited by F. J. W. Hahne (Berlin, Heidelberg, 1983) pp. 1–139.
- ²⁴J. B. Kogut, "An introduction to lattice gauge theory and spin systems," *Reviews of Modern Physics* **51**, 659–713 (1979).
- ²⁵T. Senthil and M. P. A. Fisher, "Z₂ gauge theory of electron fractionalization in strongly correlated systems," *Physical Review B* **62**, 7850–7881 (2000).
- ²⁶A. A. Povodyrev, M. A. Anisimov, and J. V. Sengers, "Crossover Flory model for phase separation in polymer solutions," *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **264**, 345–369 (1999).
- ²⁷Y. C. Kim, M. A. Anisimov, J. V. Sengers, and E. Luijten, "Crossover Critical Behavior in the Three-Dimensional Ising Model," *Journal of Statistical Physics* **110**, 591–609 (2003).
- ²⁸F. Latinwo, F. H. Stillinger, and P. G. Debenedetti, "Molecular model for chirality phenomena," *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **145**, 154503 (2016).
- ²⁹S. K. Das, J. Horbach, K. Binder, M. E. Fisher, and J. V. Sengers, "Static and dynamic critical behavior of a symmetrical binary fluid: A computer simulation," *Journal of Chemical Physics* **125** (2006), 10.1103/PhysRevB.63.134111.
- ³⁰A. Onuki, *Phase Transition Dynamics* (Cambridge University Press, 2002).
- ³¹R. A. Enrique and P. Bellon, "Compositional patterning in immiscible alloys driven by irradiation," *Physical Review B* **63**, 45–65 (2001).
- ³²H. J. Kim, N. C. Kim, Y.-D. Wang, E. A. Scarborough, J. Moore, Z. Diaz, K. S. MacLea, B. Freibaum, S. Li, A. Molliex, A. P. Kanagaraj, R. Carter, K. B. Boylan, A. M. Wojtas, R. Rademakers, J. L. Pinkus, S. A. Greenberg, J. Q. Trojanowski, B. J. Traynor, B. N. Smith, S. Topp, A.-S. Gkazi, J. Miller, C. E. Shaw, M. Kottlors, J. Kirschner, A. Pestronk, Y. R. Li, A. F. Ford, A. D. Gitler, M. Benatar, O. D. King, V. E. Kimonis, E. D. Ross, C. C. Weihl, J. Shorter, and J. P. Taylor, "Mutations in prion-like domains in hnRNPA2B1 and hnRNPA1 cause multisystem proteinopathy and ALS," *Nature* **495**, 467–473 (2013).
- ³³F. Wang, P. Altschuh, L. Ratke, H. Zhang, M. Selzer, and B. Nestler, "Progress Report on Phase Separation in Polymer Solutions," *Advanced Materials* **31**, 1806733 (2019).