## Quantum spin state selectivity and magnetic tuning of ultracold chemical reactions of triplet alkali-metal dimers with alkali-metal atoms

Rebekah Hermsmeier<sup>1</sup>, Jacek Kłos<sup>2,3</sup>, Svetlana Kotochigova<sup>3</sup> and Timur V. Tscherbul<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of Nevada, Reno, NV, 89557, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Joint Quantum Institute,

University of Maryland College Park, College Park, Maryland, 20742, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA

(Dated: March 6, 2022)

We use coupled-channel statistical calculations to explore the effects of external magnetic fields and hyperfine interactions on the ultracold chemical reactions of triplet alkali-metal dimers with alkali-metal atoms. Using the Na + NaLi( $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$ )  $\rightarrow$  Na<sub>2</sub>( $^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ ) + Li reaction as a representative example, we find that the reaction rates are sensitive to the initial hyperfine states of the reactants, and that the chemical reaction of fully spin-polarized NaLi( $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$ , v = 0, N = 0) molecules with Na atoms is suppressed by a factor of  $\simeq$ 10-100 compared to that of unpolarized reactants. We interpret these findings within the adiabatic state model, which treats the chemical reaction as a sequence of nonadiabatic transitions between the initial high-spin (non-reactive) and final low-spin (reactive) diabatic states of the reaction complex. Our results demonstrate that it is possible to efficiently control the chemical reactions of open-shell alkali-metal dimers with alkali-metal atoms by tuning the internal hyperfine states of the reactants and by applying an external magnetic field.

Introduction. Recent experimental advances in molecular cooling and trapping have opened up new avenues of research into controlling chemical reactivity with external electromagnetic fields [1–3], the idea that fascinated scientists for decades, and led to the development of new research frontiers at the interface of chemistry and physics, such as mode-selective chemistry [4, 5], quantum coherent control [6], and attochemistry [7]. In particular, the production and trapping of ground-state molecular radicals NaLi( $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$ ), SrF( $^{2}\Sigma^{+}$ ), CaF( $^{2}\Sigma^{+}$ ), YO( $^{2}\Sigma^{+}$ ), YbF( $^{2}\Sigma^{+}$ ) [8–14] and studies of their collisional properties at  $\mu$ K temperatures [15, 16] suggested the possibility of using the reactants's electron spin degrees of freedom to tune ultracold reaction dynamics by magnetic fields.

The prospect of using magnetic fields as a tool to control chemical reactivity is central to ultracold chemistry [1, 2] and a very important one in chemical kinetics [17] and biological magnetoreception [18], where radical pair reactions in cryptochrome proteins are thought to play a key role in magnetic-field-guided orientation of birds and insects [19, 20]. However, despite the longstanding significance of this question and the recent experimental observation of inelastic collisions in an ultracold Na-NaLi $(a^{3}\Sigma^{+})$  mixture [16], no theoretical studies have been reported on ultracold reaction dynamics involving ground-state alkali-metal dimers and atoms in the presence of external magnetic fields and hyperfine interactions. This is because such reactions occur through the formation of a deeply bound reaction complex [21–23], whose numerous strongly coupled bound and resonance states defy rigorous quantum scattering calculations [22-24].

Here, we explore the dynamics of the ultracold chemical reaction Na + NaLi $(a^3\Sigma^+) \rightarrow Na_2(^1\Sigma_g^+)$  + Li in the presence of magnetic fields and hyperfine interactions using the extended coupled-channel statistical (CCS) model [25] parametrized by *ab initio* calculations. The model assumes the existence of a long-lived reaction complex at short range, whose properties can be modeled statistically (*i.e.* using classical probabilities) [26– 28]. Statistical (or universal) models [26–40] have been successfully applied to calculate the rate of ultracold chemical reactions of alkali-metal dimers [30, 32–34, 37] and the density of states of the (KRb)<sub>2</sub> reaction complex [41]. However, the previous calculations have been limited to the case of zero magnetic field and did not account for electron spins, hyperfine interactions, and non-adiabatic effects, all of which we will consider in the present work.

A promising scenario for controlling ultracold chemistry explored here is based on spin polarizing the reactants to create a nearly pure high-spin state of the reaction complex [1, 42, 43]. Since high-spin electronic states are often nonreactive, the chemical reaction of spin-polarized reactants can only occur via nonadiabatic transitions to low-spin (reactive) electronic states which are typically mediated by weak relativistic spin-orbit and spin-spin interactions (in light molecular systems) [44–48] leading one to expect suppressed chemical reactivity of spin-polarized reactants [1, 42, 43]. Indeed, we find that the fully spin-polarized spin states of NaLi and Na are  $\sim 10-100$  times less chemically reactive than unpolarized spin states. We also map out the magnetic field dependence of the reaction rate and find that it is significantly enhanced above 1000 G due to the avoided crossings between the rotational states of NaLi $(a^{3}\Sigma^{+})$ . Our results show that chemical reactions of triplet-state alkali-metal dimers with alkali-metal atoms can be efficiently controlled by tuning the hyperfine states of the reactants and by applying an external magnetic field.

Theory: Ab initio calculations and extended CCS model. To describe ultracold reactive collisions between Na atoms and NaLi molecules in the metastable  $a^3\Sigma^+$  electronic state, we performed *ab initio* calculations of the electronic potential energy surfaces (PESs) of the



FIG. 1. (a) Schematic of the Na + NaLi( $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$ ) reactive scattering through a CI between the <sup>2</sup>A' PESs leading to either ground state NaLi( $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ) or Na<sub>2</sub>( $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}_{g}$ ) molecules. The CI is indicated by the red/blue cone. (b) Ab initio adiabatic PESs for Na-NaLi as functions of the Na-to-NaLi separation R and of the bending angle  $\theta$  with  $r = 9.1a_{0}$ , close to the equilibrium distance of the NaLi( $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$ ) potential. The blue ( $1^{2}A'$ ) and red ( $2^{2}A'$ ) PESs have a CI, where two PESs of the same electronic symmetry touch. The green surface is the spin-polarized, nonreactive PES of the  $1^{4}A'$  symmetry.

long-lived intermediate Na<sub>2</sub>Li reaction complex. The complex is characterized by two  ${}^{2}A'$  and one  ${}^{4}A'$  trimer electronic states. The potential landscape of these barrierless PESs is shown in Fig. 1. The PESs are expressed in the Jacobi coordinates **R**—the atom-molecule separation vector and  $\mathbf{r}$ —the vector joining the nuclei of the diatomic molecule. For our purposes it is sufficient to determine the PESs, which are only functions of R and  $\theta$  (the angle between **R** and **r**) in the two-dimensional plane with the internuclear distance of NaLi fixed at its equilibrium value  $(r = r_e)$  [25]. Our *ab initio* calculations of the two-state  ${}^{2}A'$  PESs reveal a conical intersection (CI) between the two doublet states which is located at  $R \simeq 8.5a_0$  and  $\theta = 70^\circ$ . The relevant multi-dimensional PESs have been determined using the internally-contracted multi-reference configuration interaction (MRCI) method [49] with single and double excitations and Davidson correction [50] as further described in the Supplemental Material [51].

From the energetics of the relevant molecular states in the entrance and exit reaction channels we determine that the production of the Na<sub>2</sub>( $a^{3}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$ ) molecule in the Na(<sup>2</sup>S) + NaLi( $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$ ) reaction is endothermic by about 50  $\rm cm^{-1}$  without the zero-point vibrational energy (ZPE) correction. The inclusion of the ZPE determined by quantizing the Na<sub>2</sub>( $a^{3}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$ ) and NaLi( $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$ ) potentials in the discrete variable representation, lowers the reaction endothermicity to  $41.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . This suggests that the vibrational excitation of the reactant NaLi( $a^3\Sigma^+$ ) molecule to the  $v \ge 2$  vibrational states will allow for production of triplet-state Na<sub>2</sub> products. However, the CI allows for an efficient transfer of the reactant NaLi $(a^{3}\Sigma^{+})$  molecules into either NaLi  $(X^{1}\Sigma^{+})$ or  $\operatorname{Na}_2(X^1\Sigma_q^+)$  states of the ground electronic configuration. A schematic depiction of reactive scattering between Na atoms and NaLi( $a^3\Sigma^+$ ) molecules through a CI is demonstrated in Fig. 1(a). The reactants start out on the asymptotically degenerate  $2^2 A'$  and  $1^4 A'$  excited PESs. The reaction flux on the  $2^2A'$  PESs can reach the CI and make a transition to the ground  $1^2A'$ PES leading to ground-state reaction products. Only the  $2^2A'$  and  $1^4A'$  PESs are included in our CCS calculations, which is justified by the fact that the CI is located deeply inside the reaction complex region not explicitly included in the calculations [51].

The extended CCS model [25] describes the quantum dynamics of barrierless chemical reactions in the presence of external magnetic fields and hyperfine interactions. Assuming the existence of a long-lived reaction complex, whose formation from the reactants or decay to products can be treated as independent events [26, 27], the state-to-state reaction probability between the reactant and product states r and p,  $P_{r \to p}(E) = \frac{p_p(E)p_r(E)}{\sum_c p_c(E)}$ , where  $p_r(E)$  and  $p_p(E)$  are the energy-dependent capture probabilities of the reactants and products into the reaction complex obtained by solving the time-independent Schrödinger equation in the entrance reaction channel subject to a short-range capture boundary condition for the reactive  $2^2 A'$  PES and a regular boundary condition for the nonreactive  ${}^{4}A'$  PES [25, 51].

Ultracold reaction dynamics in a magnetic field. We begin by describing the hyperfine energy level structure of the reactant  $Na(^{2}S)$  atom and the rovibrational ground state of the NaLi( $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$ ) molecule in a magnetic field. Figures 2(b) and (c) show the Zeeman levels of Na and NaLi $(a^3\Sigma^+, v = 0, N = 0)$  obtained by diagonalization of the atomic and molecular Hamiltonians [51]. There are a total of 36 molecular energy levels in the N = 0 manifold of NaLi( $a^3 \Sigma^+$ ), which can be classified in the weak-field limit by the values of the total angular momentum of the molecule F and its projection on the field axis  $M_F$  [52, 53]. The calculated zero-field hyperfine splittings are in good agreement with recent experimental measurements [8, 51]. In the strong field limit we observe a clear hierarchy of energy level splittings. The largest splitting is caused by the Zeeman



FIG. 2. (a) Magnetic field dependence of the Na + NaLi reaction rate coefficient calculated for the fully spinpolarized (8,36) [diamonds] and non-fully spin polarized (7,36) [squares] initial states at  $T = 2\mu$ K. The numbers in parentheses represent energy-ordered hyperfine states of Na and NaLi marked in panels (c) and (b). Also shown are the results for the Na(2) + NaLi(3) spin-polarized initial state in the absence of the hyperfine structure (dots). Panels (b) and (c) show the Zeeman energy levels of NaLi( $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}, v =$ 0, N = 0) and of the ground-state Na atom.

interaction, which splits all N = 0 levels into three Zeeman manifolds according to the values of  $M_{S_A}$ . The next largest splitting is caused by the hyperfine structure of the Na atom within the NaLi( $a^3\Sigma^+$ ) molecule (the ratio of atomic hyperfine constants  $a_{\rm Na}/a_{\rm Li} = 5.8$  [51]), which leads to 4 manifolds of states labeled by  $M_{I_{\rm Na}} = -3/2, -1/2, 1/2, 3/2$ , the projection of the nuclear spin of <sup>23</sup>Na on the field axis. The smallest-scale splittings in each of the fixed- $M_{I_{\rm Na}}$  manifolds are caused by the hyperfine interaction of fermionic <sup>6</sup>Li with  $M_{I_{\rm Li}} = -1, 0, 1$ .

To explore the influence of reactant spin polarization on chemical reactivity, we consider reactive collisions of NaLi molecules in the highest-energy level  $|36\rangle$  of the N = 0 manifold with Na atoms in the hyperfine states  $|7\rangle$  and  $|8\rangle$  [see Figs. 2(b) and (c)]. Note that state  $|36\rangle$ is a triply spin-polarized state of NaLi, where all of the spins in the molecule are aligned along the magnetic field. Similarly, state  $|8\rangle$  of Na is doubly spin-polarized  $(|F = 2, m_F = 2\rangle)$ , in contrast to state  $|7\rangle$ , in which the nuclear spin is not fully parallel to the magnetic field. In the absence of the hyperfine structure, the Zeeman states of NaLi and Na shown in Fig. 2 reduce to 3 molecular states  $|S_A M_{S_A}\rangle (M_{S_A} = 0, \pm 1)$ , and 2 atomic states  $|S_B M_{S_B}\rangle (M_{S_B} = \pm 1/2)$ . The fully spin-polarized initial states of Na and NaLi are labeled as  $|2\rangle$  and  $|3\rangle$ .

In Fig. 2(a) we plot the magnetic field dependence of the reaction rates for the (8,36) and (7,36) initial states of Na + NaLi(a<sup>3</sup> $\Sigma$ ) at  $T = 2 \ \mu$ K. The rates are nearly temperature independent, as expected for a two-body inelastic process near an *s*-wave threshold [54]. The order of magnitude of the unpolarized reaction rate (5- $9 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s})$  is typical of an *s*-wave capture process, such as a barrierless chemical reaction [30, 32, 38].

More significantly, we observe that the chemical reactivity of fully spin-polarized reactants Na(8) + NaLi(36)is suppressed by a factor of  $\simeq 10\text{-}100$  compared to that of non-fully spin-polarized reactants Na(7) + NaLi(36). Remarkably, flipping the electron spin of one of the reactants leads to a dramatic change in chemical reactivity.

The rate of the Na(7) + NaLi(36) reaction displays the opposite trend, beginning to decrease at  $B \simeq 500$  G. The latter trend is similar to that observed in Ref. [25] and can be explained by referring to Eq. (1): the weight  $c_2(B)$  of the "reactive" electron spin state  $|\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle$  in the hyperfine state  $|7\rangle$  of Na

$$|7\rangle = c_1(B)|\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\rangle|\frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{2}\rangle + c_2(B)|\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle|\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\rangle$$
(1)

decreases with increasing magnetic field, as the state tends to the unreactive spin-polarized state  $|\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\rangle|\frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{2}\rangle$  in the large-field limit (where  $|\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\rangle|\frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{2}\rangle$  denotes the Zeeman state with  $S_B = M_{S_B} = 1/2$ ,  $I_B = 3/2$ , and  $M_{I_B} = 1/2$ ). The hyperfine state  $|7\rangle$  of Na becomes less and less reactive towards NaLi with increasing field because the reactive weight  $c_2(B) \simeq B^{-1}$  [25].

A similarly dramatic sensitivity of ultracold reaction rates to the initial states of the reactants was measured for ultracold reactions of KRb molecules [55]. The physical reason behind the quantum state selectivity in that experiment is the quantum statistics of identical fermions, which does not apply to nonidentical reactants. Here, the suppression of chemical reactivity of spin-polarized molecules is due to a different, more general mechanism [42, 43] based on approximate conservation of the total spin of the reaction complex.

Specifically, if the electron spins of the reactants are completely polarized, the reaction complex is initialized in the nonreactive state of total spin S = 3/2 described by the <sup>4</sup>A' PES (see Fig. 1). Thus, in the absence of spin-nonconserving interactions, such as the intramolecular spin-spin or intermolecular magnetic dipole interactions, the value of S must be the same for the reactants and products. The energetically allowed products of the Na + NaLi reaction—molecular Na<sub>2</sub>( ${}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ ) and atomic Li( ${}^{2}S_{1/2}$ )—correspond to S = 1/2. As a result, the spin-polarized chemical reaction Na + NaLi( $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$ )  $\rightarrow$  Na<sub>2</sub>( ${}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ ) + Li requires a spin-changing transition  $S = 3/2 \rightarrow 1/2$  (also known as intersystem crossing [44– 48]) in order to proceed. We verified that omitting the spin-spin and magnetic dipolar interactions from CCS calculations leads to a complete suppression of the reaction Na(8) + NaLi(36)  $\rightarrow$  Na<sub>2</sub> + Li, while having little effect on the reactivity of the initial state (7,36). Due to the small magnitude of these interactions [44, 47, 48], intersystem crossing transitions are slow, leading one to expect the chemical reaction of spin-polarized reactants to be suppressed compared to that of unpolarized reactants, as is indeed observed in Fig. 2(a).

The increase of the spin-polarized reaction rate above B = 1000 G shown in Fig. 2(a) is an interesting trend not observed in our previous CCS calculations on the  $Li(^{2}S) + CaH(^{2}\Sigma^{+})$  reaction [25]. We attribute this trend to the crossings between the ground (N = 0) and first excited (N = 1) rotational states of NaLi $(a^3\Sigma^+)$  at  $B \simeq 1,200$  G shown in Fig. 2(b). While these crossings are not avoided in the isolated NaLi molecule because the rotational states have different parities [42, 43, 56], they strongly affect the reaction rates by modifying the avoided crossings of the Na-NaLi adiabatic potentials (see below). At  $B \simeq 0.45$  T avoided crossings occur between the N = 0 and N = 2 Zeeman levels of NaLi induced by the intramolecular spin-spin interaction [51, 57]. Near such crossings, the character of the initial molecular state  $|36\rangle$  changes abruptly from spinpolarized to unpolarized, triggering the chemical reaction. Accordingly, as shown in Fig. 2(a), the reaction rates for the different initial hyperfine states become nearly identical, signaling a loss of quantum spin state selectivity.

We note that the spin-polarized reaction rates calculated with and without the hyperfine structure of Na and NaLi taken into account [see Fig. 2(a)] are similar in magnitude and magnetic field dependence. Indeed, the fully spin-stretched hyperfine states  $|36\rangle$  of NaLi and  $|8\rangle$  of Na can be written as direct products of the electron and nuclear spin states. For these states, the nuclear spin degree of freedom only causes a slight shift in threshold energies, but otherwise plays the role of a spectator. In contrast, the hyperfine mixing between the electron and nuclear spin functions [see Eq. (1)] plays a major role in ultracold reaction dynamics of unpolarized hyperfine states, as can be seen by comparing the reaction rates of the (8,36) and (7,36) initial states in Fig. 2(a). Both of these states correspond to the same (2,3) Zeeman state in the absence of hyperfine structure.

To gain further insight into the mechanism of the spin-polarized chemical reaction Na + NaLi $(a^3\Sigma^+)$  we plot in Fig. 3 the adiabatic eigenvalues  $\epsilon_i(R)$  of the atom-molecule Hamiltonian [29, 31]. The adiabatic potentials allow us to visualize how the atom-molecule interactions couple the different spin states of the reaction complex, ultimately leading to the reaction [58–60]. At large R, where the atom-molecule interaction vanishes, the adiabatic curves tend to the atom-molecule thresholds, approaching them from either below (for  $\ell = 0$ ) or from above (for  $\ell \geq 1$ ) as shown in Figs. 3(b)-(c).

Consider the S = 3/2,  $\ell = 0$  diabatic potential obtained by following the corresponding adiabatic curves



FIG. 3. (a) Adiabatic potentials of the Na-NaLi reaction complex in the absence of the hyperfine structure at B =0.01 T and M = 9/2. The nonreactive  $(S = 3/2, \ell = 0)$ and reactive  $(S = 1/2, \ell = 2)$  diabatic states are shown by the light blue (light grey) and red (grey) lines. The large-Rlimits of these states are shown in panels (b) and (c). The inset in panel (a) is an expanded view of the largest avoided crossing. The basis set is restricted to  $N_{\rm max} = \ell_{\rm max} = 2$ .

through a series of avoided crossings shown in Fig. 3. The potential is repulsive at short range with a well depth of  $\simeq 200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and correlates with the fully spin-polarized initial state of Na(2)-NaLi(3). It experiences several crossings with the S = 1/2 diabatic states, which are attractive at short range and correlate asymptotically with unpolarized rotationally excited states of NaLi. The largest avoided crossing is shown in the inset of Fig. 3(a). The crossings are induced by *S*nonconserving interactions, predominantly by the intramolecular spin-spin interaction of NaLi( $a^3\Sigma$ ). The chemical reaction is then naturally associated with nonadiabatic transitions from the initial repulsive S = 3/2diabatic state to the attractive S = 1/2 diabatic states via a series of avoided crossings marked in Fig. 3(a).

As an approximation to multichannel CCS dynamics, we developed a simple two-channel model involving the pair of diabatic states shown in the inset of Fig. 3(a). We found that the model underestimates the accurate multichannel reaction cross sections by several orders of magnitude (as does Landau-Zener theory), suggesting the importance of multichannel effects. The energy gap between the open and closed asymptotic thresholds [see Figs. 3(b) and (c)] narrows down as the magnetic field approaches the value, at which the N = 0 and N = 1rotational levels cross. As a result, the avoided crossings become wider and shift toward higher R leading to an increase of the  $S = 3/2 \rightarrow S = 1/2$  transition probability and hence the reaction rate, as shown in Fig. 2(a).

In summary, we have presented a theoretical study of the ultracold chemical reaction of Na atoms with NaLi( $a^3\Sigma^+$ ) molecules in their ground rovibrational states in the presence of external magnetic fields and hyperfine interactions. This reaction is representative of a wide class of ultracold chemical reactions of alkalidimer molecules with alkali-metal atoms currently studied by several experimental groups [3, 16]. To this end, we extended the recently developed CCS methodology [25] to include the fine and hyperfine structure of NaLi( $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$ ) and carried out *ab initio* calculations of the interaction potentials of the Na<sub>2</sub>Li reaction complex. Our calculations reveal a substantial degree of quantum state selectivity in the dependence of the reaction rate on the initial states of the reactants (fully spin-polarized vs. unpolarized). In the adiabatic picture, the Na + NaLi( $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$ ) reaction occurs due to nonadiabatic intersystem crossing transitions between the high-spin and low-spin states of the reaction complex mediated by the inramolecular spin-spin interaction of NaLi( $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$ ). These transitions are slow due to the small

- R. V. Krems, Cold controlled chemistry, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 10, 4079 (2008).
- [2] N. Balakrishnan, Perspective: Ultracold molecules and the dawn of cold controlled chemistry, J. Chem. Phys. 145, 150901 (2016).
- [3] J. L. Bohn, A. M. Rey, and J. Ye, Cold molecules: Progress in quantum engineering of chemistry and quantum matter, Science **357**, 1002 (2017).
- [4] R. N. Zare, Laser control of chemical reactions, Science 279, 1875 (1998).
- [5] H. Guo and K. Liu, Control of chemical reactivity by transition-state and beyond, Chem. Sci. 7, 3992 (2016).
- [6] M. Shapiro and P. Brumer, Quantum Control of Molecular Processes (Wiley-VCH, 2012).
- [7] M. Nisoli, P. Decleva, F. Calegari, A. Palacios, and F. Martín, Attosecond electron dynamics in molecules, Chem. Rev. 117, 10760 (2017).
- [8] T. M. Rvachov, H. Son, A. T. Sommer, S. Ebadi, J. J. Park, M. W. Zwierlein, W. Ketterle, and A. O. Jamison, Long-lived ultracold molecules with electric and magnetic dipole moments, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 143001 (2017).
- [9] J. F. Barry, D. J. McCarron, E. B. Norrgard, M. H. Steinecker, and D. DeMille, Magneto-optical trapping of a diatomic molecule, Nature (London) 512, 286 (2014).
- [10] D. J. McCarron, M. H. Steinecker, Y. Zhu, and D. De-Mille, Magnetic trapping of an ultracold gas of polar molecules, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 013202 (2018).
- [11] L. Anderegg, B. L. Augenbraun, Y. Bao, S. Burchesky, L. W. Cheuk, W. Ketterle, and J. M. Doyle, Laser cooling of optically trapped molecules, Nat. Phys. 14, 890 (2018).
- [12] I. Kozyryev, L. Baum, K. Matsuda, B. L. Augenbraun, L. Anderegg, A. P. Sedlack, and J. M. Doyle, Sisyphus laser cooling of a polyatomic molecule, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 173201 (2017).
- [13] A. L. Collopy, S. Ding, Y. Wu, I. A. Finneran, L. Anderegg, B. L. Augenbraun, J. M. Doyle, and J. Ye, 3D magneto-optical trap of yttrium monoxide, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 213201 (2018).
- [14] J. Lim, J. R. Almond, M. A. Trigatzis, J. A. Devlin, N. J. Fitch, B. E. Sauer, M. R. Tarbutt, and E. A. Hinds, Laser cooled YbF molecules for measuring the electron's electric dipole moment, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120,

spin-polarized reaction rate is suppressed by a factor of 10-100 compared to the universal limit. Our results also suggest that it is possible to control ultracold chemical reactions of alkali-metal dimers with alkali-metal atoms by varying an external magnetic field. We expect these results to be tested in near-future experiments with ultracold atom-molecule mixtures.

magnitude of the spin-spin interaction, and hence the

We are grateful to Wolfgang Ketterle, Hyungmok Son, Alan Jamison, and Sergey Varganov for stimulating discussions. Work at the University of Nevada, Reno was supported by the NSF Grant No. PHY-1912668. Work at Temple University is supported by the Army Research Office Grant No. W911NF-17-1-0563 and the NSF Grant No. PHY-1908634.

123201 (2018).

- [15] L. W. Cheuk, L. Anderegg, Y. Bao, S. Burchesky, S. S. Yu, W. Ketterle, K.-K. Ni, and J. M. Doyle, Observation of collisions between two ultracold ground-state CaF molecules, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 043401 (2020).
- [16] H. Son, J. J. Park, W. Ketterle, and A. O. Jamison, Collisional cooling of ultracold molecules, Nature 580, 197 (2020).
- [17] U. E. Steiner and T. Ulrich, Magnetic field effects in chemical kinetics and related phenomena, Chem. Rev. 89, 51 (1989).
- [18] P. J. Hore and H. Mouritsen, The radical-pair mechanism of magnetoreception, Annu. Rev. Biophys. 45, 299 (2016).
- [19] H. G. Hiscock, S. Worster, D. R. Kattnig, C. Steers, Y. Jin, D. E. Manolopoulos, H. Mouritsen, and P. J. Hore, The quantum needle of the avian magnetic compass, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 113, 4634 (2016).
- [20] D. Nohr, B. Paulus, R. Rodriguez, A. Okafuji, R. Bittl, E. Schleicher, and S. Weber, Determination of radicalradical distances in light-active proteins and their implication for biological magnetoreception, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 56, 8550 (2017).
- [21] S. C. Althorpe and D. C. Clary, Quantum scattering calculations on chemical reactions, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 54, 493 (2003).
- [22] J. F. E. Croft, C. Makrides, M. Li, A. Petrov, B. K. Kendrick, N. Balakrishnan, and S. Kotochigova, Universality and chaoticity in ultracold K + KRb chemical reactions, Nat. Commun. 8, 15897 (2017).
- [23] B. K. Kendrick, H. Li, M. Li, S. Kotochigova, J. F. E. Croft, and N. Balakrishnan, Non-adiabatic quantum interference effects and chaoticity in the ultracold  $\text{Li} + \text{LiNa} \rightarrow \text{Li}_2 + \text{Na}$  reaction, arXiv:2006.15238 (2020).
- [24] M. Morita, R. V. Krems, and T. V. Tscherbul, Universal probability distributions of scattering observables in ultracold molecular collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 013401 (2019).
- [25] T. V. Tscherbul and J. Kłos, Magnetic tuning of ultracold barrierless chemical reactions, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 013117 (2020).
- [26] E. J. Rackham, T. Gonzalez-Lezana, and D. E. Manolopoulos, A rigorous test of the statistical model

for atom-diatom insertion reactions, J. Chem. Phys. **119**, 12895 (2003).

- [27] M. H. Alexander, E. J. Rackham, and D. E. Manolopoulos, Product multiplet branching in the  $O(^{1}D) + H_{2} \rightarrow OH(^{2}\Pi) + H$  reaction, J. Chem. Phys. **121**, 5221 (2004).
- [28] J. F. E. Croft and J. L. Bohn, Long-lived complexes and chaos in ultracold molecular collisions, Phys. Rev. A 89, 012714 (2014).
- [29] D. C. Clary, Fast chemical reactions: Theory challenges experiment, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 41, 61 (1990).
- [30] G. Quéméner and J. L. Bohn, Strong dependence of ultracold chemical rates on electric dipole moments, Phys. Rev. A 81, 022702 (2010).
- [31] M. Auzinsh, E. I. Dashevskaya, I. Litvin, E. E. Nikitin, and J. Troe, Mutual Capture of Dipolar Molecules at Low and Very Low Energies. II. Numerical Study, J. Phys. Chem. A **115**, 5027 (2011).
- [32] S. Kotochigova, Dispersion interactions and reactive collisions of ultracold polar molecules, New J. Phys. 12, 073041 (2010).
- [33] Z. Idziaszek and P. S. Julienne, Universal rate constants for reactive collisions of ultracold molecules, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 113202 (2010).
- [34] B. Gao, Universal model for exoergic bimolecular reactions and inelastic processes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 263203 (2010).
- [35] G. Quéméner and P. S. Julienne, Ultracold molecules under control!, Chem. Rev. 112, 4949 (2012).
- [36] A. A. Buchachenko, A. V. Stolyarov, M. M. Szczęśniak, and G. Chałasiński, Ab initio long-range interaction and adiabatic channel capture model for ultracold reactions between the KRb molecules, J. Chem. Phys. 137, 114305 (2012).
- [37] M. L. González-Martínez, O. Dulieu, P. Larrégaray, and L. Bonnet, Statistical product distributions for ultracold reactions in external fields, Phys. Rev. A 90, 052716 (2014).
- [38] T. V. Tscherbul and A. A. Buchachenko, Adiabatic channel capture theory applied to cold atom–molecule reactions:  $\text{Li} + \text{CaH} \rightarrow \text{LiH} + \text{Ca at 1 K}$ , New J. Phys. **17**, 035010 (2015).
- [39] M. D. Frye, P. S. Julienne, and J. M. Hutson, Cold atomic and molecular collisions: approaching the universal loss regime, New J. Phys. 17, 045019 (2015).
- [40] D. Yang, J. Huang, X. Hu, D. Xie, and H. Guo, Statistical quantum mechanical approach to diatom-diatom capture dynamics and application to ultracold KRb + KRb reaction, J. Chem. Phys. **152**, 241103 (2020).
- [41] Y. Liu, M.-G. Hu, M. A. Nichols, D. D. Grimes, T. Karman, H. Guo, and K.-K. Ni, Photo-excitation of longlived transient intermediates in ultracold reactions, Nat. Phys. 16, 1132 (2020).
- [42] T. V. Tscherbul and R. V. Krems, Controlling electronic spin relaxation of cold molecules with electric fields, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 083201 (2006).
- [43] E. Abrahamsson, T. V. Tscherbul, and R. V. Krems, Inelastic collisions of cold polar molecules in nonparallel electric and magnetic fields, J. Chem. Phys. **127**, 044302

(2007).

- [44] C. M. Marian, Spin–orbit coupling and intersystem crossing in molecules, WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci. 2, 187 (2012).
- [45] A. O. Lykhin, D. S. Kaliakin, G. E. dePolo, A. A. Kuzubov, and S. A. Varganov, Nonadiabatic transition state theory: Application to intersystem crossings in the active sites of metal-sulfur proteins, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **116**, 750 (2016).
- [46] A. O. Lykhin and S. A. Varganov, Intersystem crossing in tunneling regime:  $T_1 \rightarrow S_0$  relaxation in thiophosgene, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **22**, 5500 (2020).
- [47] T. J. Penfold, E. Gindensperger, C. Daniel, and C. M. Marian, Spin-vibronic mechanism for intersystem crossing, Chem. Rev. 118, 6975 (2018).
- [48] H. Li, A. Kamasah, S. Matsika, and A. G. Suits, Intersystem crossing in the exit channel, Nat. Chem. 11, 123 (2019).
- [49] H. Werner and P. J. Knowles, An efficient internally contracted multiconfiguration-reference configuration interaction method, J. Chem. Phys. 89, 5803 (1988).
- [50] S. R. Langhoff and E. R. Davidson, Configuration interaction calculations on the nitrogen molecule, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 8, 61 (1974).
- [51] See Supplemental Material at [URL] for details of *ab initio* and CCS calculations and for convergence tests.
- [52] J. Brown and A. Carrington, *Rotational Spectroscopy* of *Diatomic Molecules* (Cambridge University Press, 2003).
- [53] T. V. Tscherbul, Cold Chemistry: Molecular Scattering and Reactivity Near Absolute Zero (Royal Society of Chemistry, 2018) Chap. 6.
- [54] N. Balakrishnan, R. C. Forrey, and A. Dalgarno, Quenching of H<sub>2</sub> vibrations in ultracold <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>4</sup>He collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 3224 (1998).
- [55] K.-K. Ni, S. Ospelkaus, D. Wang, G. Quéméner, B. Neyenhuis, M. H. G. de Miranda, J. L. Bohn, J. Ye, and D. S. Jin, Dipolar collisions of polar molecules in the quantum regime, Nature (London) 464, 1324 (2010).
- [56] B. Friedrich and D. Herschbach, Steric proficiency of polar  $^{2}\Sigma$  molecules in congruent electric and magnetic fields, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **2**, 419 (2000).
- [57] A. O. G. Wallis, S. A. Gardiner, and J. M. Hutson, Conical intersections in laboratory coordinates with ultracold molecules, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 083201 (2009).
- [58] V. Aquilanti, G. Grossi, and A. Laganà, Hyperspherical diabatic and adiabatic representations for chemical reactions, Chem. Phys. Lett. **93**, 174 (1982).
- [59] V. Aquilanti, S. Cavalli, D. De Fazio, A. Volpi, A. Aguilar, X. Giménez, and J. María Lucas, Probabilities for the  $F + H_2 \rightarrow HF + H$  reaction by the hyperquantization algorithm: alternative sequential diagonalization schemes, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 1, 1091 (1999).
- [60] K. Nobusada, O. I. Tolstikhin, and H. Nakamura, Quantum mechanical elucidation of reaction mechanisms of heavy-light-heavy systems: Role of potential ridge, J. Chem. Phys. 108, 8922 (1998).