Journal Name

ARTICLE TYPE

Cite this: DOI: 00.0000/xxxxxxxxx

Received Date Accepted Date

DOI:00.0000/xxxxxxxxx

Single Active Ring Model[†]

Emanuel F. Teixeira,*^a Heitor C. M. Fernandes,^{a‡} and Leonardo G. Brunnet^a

Cellular tissue behavior is a multiscale problem. At the cell level, out of equilibrium, biochemical reactions drive physical cell-cell interactions in a typical active matter process. Cell modeling computer simulations are a robust tool to explore the countless possibilities and test hypotheses. Here, we introduce a two dimensional, extended active matter model for biological cells. A ring of interconnected self-propelled particles represents the cell. Translational modes, rotational modes, and mixtures of these appear as collective states. Using analytic results derived from active Brownian particles, we identify effective characteristic time scales for ballistic and diffusive movements. Finite-size scale investigation shows that the ring diffusion increases linearly with its size when in collective movement. A study on the ring shape reveals that all collective states are present even when bending forces are weak. In that case, when in translational mode, the collective velocity aligns with the largest ring's direction in a spontaneous polarization emergence.

1 Introduction

Active matter systems are constructed based on interacting elements that move using energy or mass fluxes, resulting in an emerging complex behavior¹. Cells in living tissues are physically active elements playing the role prescribed by the underlying biochemical system. Wound healing, morphogenesis, and tumor evolution are essential processes in living organisms and motivate research on phenomena related to multi-cellular organization^{2,3}. Computational modeling may identify essential physical ingredients responsible for tissue regenerative behavior^{4,5}. Hypothesis concerning cell segregation, such as Differential Adhesion⁶ and Different Velocities⁷, were simulated based on simple point-like, active matter models^{8,9}. However, more sophisticated hypotheses taking into account cell cortex tension, such as Superficial Contraction¹⁰, can not be explored using these models.

Cell movement depends on an internal actin fiber structure, which polymerizes or depolymerizes as different membrane parts reach substrate regions with fluctuating rigidity or experiment chemical gradients^{11,12}. To describe the physical forces under such a fine structure, extended cell models come into play. Monte-Carlo based model, such as GGH¹³, or more recently, the vertex model^{14,15} and the phase-field approach^{16,17}, came in to fill this gap. These models use energy fluctuations or field equations in their description. We present here a complementary approach

based on active molecular dynamics.

In this work, we present an extended cell model for active systems able to contemplate several features of other models while keeping its simplicity and physical appeal. A model cell is constructed based on a set of active particles connected by springs and subject to a bending potential, forming a ring. Here we show the different dynamical states a single ring may assume using well-known order parameters to identify collective translation¹⁸. We also calculate a slightly modified version of the group angular momentum¹⁹ as an order parameter to characterize the single ring collective rotation. We study the ring diffusion and frame it in the context of active Brownian particles using known analytical solution limits²⁰ and experimental observations²¹. Finally, we investigate its shape and size change under different parameters using the gyration tensor.

The paper is structured as follows: In Sec. 2, we present the model and simulation details; in Sec. 3 results for quantities used to characterize the behavior collective motion, Sec. 3.1, mean square displacement and its effective parameters, Sec. 3.2, and ring's morphology, Sec. 3.3; In Section 4, we present our conclusions and summarize the results.

2 Model

We model the cell as a ring formed by *N* active particles held together by *N* bonds and subject to bending forces (see Fig. 1). This last interaction plays two roles: prevent ring collapse and determine its shape, in the absence of other forces, while allowing membrane fluctuations. Our two-dimensional system lies in a square box with periodic boundary conditions. We neglect inertial effects supposing a low-Reynolds-number regime^{22,23}. The

^a Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, CP 15051, CEP 91501-970 Porto Alegre - RS, Brazil; E-mail: teixeiraemanuel9@gmail.com, heitor.fernandes@ufrgs.br, leon@if.ufrgs.br

[†] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/cXsm00000x/

overdamped equations²⁴ governing each particle dynamics are

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\vec{r}_i(t) = v_0 \hat{n}_i - \mu \sum_{i \sim j} \nabla U(\vec{r}_{ij}) + \sqrt{2D_T} \vec{\chi}_i(t) \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}(t) = \frac{1}{\tau'} \arcsin\left(\hat{n}_{i}(t) \times \frac{\vec{v}_{i}(t)}{|\vec{v}_{i}(t)|} \cdot \hat{e}_{z}\right) + \sqrt{2D_{R}} \xi_{i}(t) \quad (2)$$

where $\vec{r}_i(t) = (x_i(t), y_i(t))$ denotes the *i*-th particle's position at time *t*, μ its mobility, and v_0 the magnitude of the self-propelling velocity along with its orientation, $\hat{n}_i(t) = (\cos \theta_i(t), \sin \theta_i(t))$. The direction of the self-propelling velocity described by the angle $\theta_i(t)$, relaxes towards $\vec{v}_i \equiv d\vec{r}_i/dt$ within a characteristic time τ' , while also experiencing angular Gaussian white noise ξ_i with zero-mean and second moment $\langle \xi_i(t_1)\xi_j(t_2) \rangle = \delta_{ij}\delta(t_1 - t_2)$ independently for each particle at each time-step. D_R is the rotational diffusion coefficient and defines a typical timescale, $\tau_R \equiv$ $1/D_R$, for changes due to angular noise. When translational noise is present, each self-propelled particle position is subject to Gaussian noise with zero-mean and variance $\langle \vec{\chi}_i(t_1).\vec{\chi}_j(t_2) \rangle =$ $2\delta_{ij}\delta(t_1 - t_2)$. D_T is the translational diffusion coefficient and defines a characteristic timescale, $\tau_T \equiv \sigma^2/D_T$, for a particle to diffuse a length of the order of its size, σ .

The derivatives of the inter-particle bond, bending, and excluded-volume (EV) potentials generate the forces on each particle,

$$U = U_{bond} + U_{bend} + U_{EV}.$$
 (3)

For the bond term we use a harmonic potential,

$$U_{bond} = \frac{k}{2} \sum_{j=i}^{i+1} (|\vec{d}_j| - r_0)^2,$$
(4)

where $\vec{d}_j = \vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_{j-1}$ is the bond vector connecting consecutive particles in the ring (see Fig. 1), *k* is the spring constant and r_0 is the equilibrium bond length. We introduce a bending potential to control the ring rigidity²⁵,

$$U_{bend} = \frac{k_b}{2} \sum_{j=i}^{i+2} \frac{\vec{d}_j \cdot \vec{d}_{j-1}}{|\vec{d}_j| |\vec{d}_{j-1}|},$$
(5)

 k_b is the bending rigidity. We model the excluded-volume interaction among particles using a Weeks–Chandler–Anderson potential (WCA),

$$U_{EV} = \frac{\varepsilon}{12} \begin{cases} \left(\sigma/r_{ij}\right)^{12} - \left(\sigma/r_{ij}\right)^{6}, & \text{if } r_{ij} < 2^{1/6}\sigma \\ 0, & \text{if } r_{ij} \ge 2^{1/6}\sigma \end{cases}$$
(6)

 $r_{ij} = |\vec{r}_i(t) - \vec{r}_j(t)|, \varepsilon, \sigma$ are the distance between particles *i* and *j*, characteristic exclusion volume energy and effective diameter of a given particle, respectively.

2.1 Control Parameters

It is useful to identify dimensionless parameters to control system dynamics. From Eq. 1 and Eq. 2, we define

$$B \equiv \frac{\tau_R}{\tau_T},\tag{7}$$



Fig. 1 Sketch of a ring segment illustrating vectors, angles and distances used in the model definition. See text for details.

when $\tau_T \rightarrow \infty$, translational noise is irrelevant and $B \rightarrow 0$. Parameter *B* measures the relative importance between angular and translational noise. Another dimensionless parameter is the rotational Péclet number²⁶,

$$Pe \equiv \frac{\tau_R}{2\tau_0},\tag{8}$$

with $\tau_0 \equiv \sigma/v_0$. *Pe* relates rotational diffusion and movement's persistence time.

Also, we follow the work by Duman and collaborators²⁷, and define the flexure number as

$$Fn \equiv \frac{N\sigma^2(\tau_0^{-1} + \tau_T^{-1})}{\mu \, k_b},\tag{9}$$

parameters τ_0^{-1} and τ_T^{-1} play the role of deforming forces, while the bending force, k_b , tends to restore the circular shape.

Initial conditions specification follows equations,

$$\vec{r}_i(t=0) = R\cos\phi_i\,\hat{e}_x + R\sin\phi_i\,\hat{e}_y,\tag{10}$$

$$\hat{n}_i(t=0) = \frac{[(1-\beta)+\beta\sin\phi_i]\hat{e}_x - \beta\cos\phi_i\hat{e}_y}{\sqrt{[(1-\beta)+\beta\sin\phi_i]^2 + \beta^2\cos^2\phi_i}},$$
 (11)

where $R = Nr_0/2\pi$, $r_0 = 2^{1/6}\sigma$, $\phi_i = (i-1)r_0/R$ and i = 1, 2, ...N. We initialize the ring with a circular shape. Parameter β defines the initial polarization, \hat{n}_i , for each particle, $\beta = 1$ implies a circular polarization and $\beta = 0$ a parallel one, see Fig. 2.



Fig. 2 Initialization of positions and velocities used in simulation. Particles are disposed in a circle with radius *R*. Self-propelled velocities direction, \hat{n}_i , initialization is determined through parameter β ; $\beta = 0$ sets translational motion configuration and $\beta = 1$ sets a rotational one.

We integrate the basic dynamic equations, Eq. 1 and Eq. 2, using Euler method with a time step in the range $\Delta t/\tau_0 = [10^{-4} :$

2.10⁻³]. Along this work, we use $k = 10\varepsilon/\sigma^2$, $v_0 = 0.1$, $\varepsilon = 1$, $\mu = 1$ and $\sigma = 1$. We also define $\tau \equiv \tau'/\tau_0$. This choice of parameters guarantees *k* sufficiently large to render bond length close r_0 . Through the rest of paper, time is in units of τ_0 and we refer to reduced time, t/τ_0 , just as *t* for sake of simplification.

2.2 Order Parameters

A well defined velocity correlation is the signature for collective motion 28,29 . Here we identify both translational and rotational orders. To quantify translation we use 18,24

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}(t) = \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{\vec{v}_{i}(t)}{\left| \vec{v}_{i}(t) \right|} \right|,\tag{12}$$

which measures whether self-propelled velocities are aligned promoting translational collective movement. To quantify rotation we use

$$\Gamma(t) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{\vec{r}_{i,CM}(t) \times \vec{v}_{i}(t)}{|\vec{r}_{i,CM}(t)||\vec{v}_{i}(t)|} \right|,\tag{13}$$

where $\vec{r}_{i,CM}(t) = \vec{r}_i(t) - \vec{R}_{CM}(t)$ and $\vec{R}_{CM}(t)$ is the center of mass (CM) position. We use here a normalized sum of particles' angular momentum, a definition close to the one introduced by Erdmann et all¹⁹. In collective translation $\varphi(t) \rightarrow 1$ and $\Gamma(t) \rightarrow 0$. The opposite happens in collective rotation, $\varphi(t) \rightarrow 0$ and $\Gamma(t) \rightarrow 1$.

3 Results

3.1 Motion States

We start varying the dimensionless parameters Pe, τ and β at constant particle number, flexure number and null translational noise (B = 0). We measure order parameters associated with the states of motion , Eqs. 12 and 13.

For N = 20, $\tau = 0.1$ and Pe = 1, the ring reaches a stationary state induced by its initial configuration. That is, if $\beta = 0$, the system enters a translational collective (RUN) motion. Figure 3a displays the evolution of the order parameters φ and Γ . Figure 6c illustrates the center of mass typical trajectory. When $\beta = 1$, it enters a rotational (ROT) state. Figures 3b and 6d show the order parameters and the center of mass trajectory, respectively. For Pe = 1 and $\tau = 10$, the center of mass performs a persistent random walk (PRW). Fig. 3c illustrates this observation, and Fig. 6a presents a center of mass trajectory for the same state, but for different parameters. In an intermediary parameter region, $\tau = 0.1$ and Pe = 0.3, the ring switches between translation and rotation in a run and rotate mode (RRM). This happens independently of the initial configurations. Figure 3d shows the time series and the probability distribution function correspondent to the state. In Fig. 6b, we plot a typical center of mass trajectory.

To characterize these different modes, we define an order parameter to identify collective motion regardless of its type:

$$O(t) \equiv \varphi(t)^2 + \Gamma(t)^2.$$
(14)

Collective motion is present when $O(t) \rightarrow 1$. Our systematic simulations resulted in the state diagram ($Pe \times \tau$) for two different initializations ($\beta = 0$ and $\beta = 1$). Fig. 4 shows the case $\beta = 0$, case $\beta = 1$ is similar (not shown).

So, independently of initialization, we observe in Fig. 4a-b a region where collective motion is settled ($\langle O \rangle \rightarrow 1$, yellow region) and another one with small values of $\langle O \rangle$ (purple region) separated by an intermediary region (orange and red). Symbols $\langle . \rangle$ indicate time averages.

When $\tau/Pe \ll 1$, we find $\langle O \rangle \rightarrow 1$. Meaning that, when the selfpropelled velocity orientation relaxation time, τ , is much smaller than the rotational noise time scale, τ_R , particles quickly align their self-propelled velocities, \hat{n} , in the direction of the scattered velocity. In this limit, and noting that each particle is always interacting with at least two neighboring particles, velocity alignment will occur according to the mechanism described in Ref.²⁴ and the initial condition determines whether the system will be in rotational or translational collective motion, see Figs. 3a and 3b.

On the other side, when $\tau/Pe \gg 1$, angular noise destroys collective motion implying that $\langle O \rangle \rightarrow 0$. Self-propelled velocities of different particles become uncorrelated, the center of mass motion resulting from a sum of random displacements. This limit corresponds to the case of active Brownian particles (ABP), a prototypical model to study competition between noise and selfpropulsion effects^{30–32}. In a ring with a small number of particles (Fig. 4a and Fig. 4c), we observe a mean value above zero (purple color) for O(t) in the disordered state, this happens because fluctuations $\delta\phi$ and $\delta\Gamma$ of both order parameters scale with $1/\sqrt{N}$, so fluctuations in O(t) scale with 1/N (Fig. 5a). In the Supp. Material C, we analyze the dependence of $\delta \varphi$ with \sqrt{N} in the ABP limit $(\tau/Pe \gg 1)$. For small number of particles, fluctuations are high in the RW region. For large particle numbers, the system reaches a disordered state with small fluctuations, as shown in Fig. 4b, Fig. 4d and Fig. 5a.

In the intermediary region which separates disordered and ordered states, $\tau/Pe \sim 1$, fluctuations of both order parameter, $\varphi(t)$ and $\Gamma(t)$, increase indicating the emergence of a distinct motion state where the ring switches between rotation and translation. We call this run and rotate motion (RRM) and show a typical time series in Fig. 3d. Since parameters φ and Γ are complementary in the RRM state, we use their fluctuations $\delta \varphi$ and $\delta \Gamma$, to study it. When both fluctuations are close to zero, the system is out of the RRM state, being either in collective or in persistent random walk states. Comparing Fig. 4c and Fig. 4d, we observe the shrinking of the RRM region as the ring particles' number increases from N = 20 to N = 200. The decay in the fluctuations $\delta \phi$ and $\delta\Gamma$ (Fig. 5b) with N confirms this tendency: they decrease up to N = 200, remaining nearly constant for larger N values. Finally, it is interesting to note in Figures 5c and 5d that the fluctuations scale as N^0 . In both cases, the system presents collective motion. For comparison, we use the same scales of Figures 5a and 5b.

3.2 Diffusion: Mean Square Displacement (MSD)

In this section, we characterize how the ring's center of mass behaves in the different motion states. To illustrate, in Fig. 6 we show typical center of mass trajectories for each motion state. Note the difference in scales in each case. The active ring has a longer reach when in RUN state (Fig. 6c). The ring diffusion is characterized by the center of mass mean-square displacement,



Fig. 3 Steady state time series of the translational order parameter $\varphi(t)$ (purple) and the rotational one $\Gamma(t)$ (blue) for a ring composed of N = 20 particles, no translational noise, B = 0, and flexure number Fn = 1. Corresponding probability distribution functions (PDF) for whole time series are also shown. Panels illustrate motions state observed in simulation for set of parameters (Pe, τ, β). (a) RUN state with (1,0.1,0), where fast relaxation of self-propelled velocity in the direction of velocity ensures a permanent translational collective motion with high persistence time. In this case, $\varphi(t)$ just fluctuates close to unity for all times. (b) ROT state with (1,0.1,1) in this case, $\Gamma(t)$ just fluctuate close to unity value for all times. (c) PRW state with (1,10,0), where rotational noise dominates angular dynamics causing all particles to behave as independent ones. (d) RRM state with (0.3,0.1,1); this case presents a dynamics where system alternates between RUN and ROT states; both order parameters alternate high and low values and a double PDF peak is observed. See Movies1-4 in Suplem. Material. See text for more details.



Fig. 4 State diagram $(Pe \times \tau)$ for collective motion order parameter, $\langle O \rangle$, upper panels, and fluctuation of translational collective motion order parameter, $\delta \varphi$, bottom panels. Left panels show results for systems with N = 20 and right ones for N = 200, both use Fn = 1 and are initialize with $\beta = 0$. The PRW behavior of CM is found in the ABP limit, at high τ and no collective motion. Single state collective motion settles for low values of τ and higher values of Pe, where both contribute to increase persistent motion of individual particles in such way that a mechanism similar to those of Ref.²⁴ is observed. ROT or RUN states are obtained depending on initialization parameter β . At intermediary values of τ and Pe parameters, we observe RRM motion: during a simulation system alternates between RUN and ROT states. This region, characterized by high fluctuation values, shrinks as ring's size increases and disappears for large enough N. Note that parameter axes are in log scale but the color bar is in linear scale.

MSD, obtained by the sliding windowing method. In addition, we take averages over 40 trajectories ³³. The correspondent center of mass *MSD* (Figure 7a, red curve) shows a long time interval in the ballistic ($\propto t^2$) regime. In Fig. 7b we find a similar behavior, but a short time diffusive regime appears due to translational noise. In both cases, the behavior is diffusive for asymptotically large times, as expected. RRM state (Figure 6b and yellow curves in Figures 7a,b), PRW state (Figure 6a and blue curves in



Fig. 5 Fluctuation of different collective motion order parameters as functions of N for a set of parameters (Pe, τ, β) with fixed B = 0 and Fn = 1: (a) Region of absence of collective motion in the ABP limit, $\tau/Pe \gg 1$, for parameters (1,100,0). In this region, the system presents a persistent random walk for the CM movement, resulting from the summation of random variables, where fluctuations are expect to decrease with $N^{-1/2}$. (b) Region RRM, (0.25,0.1,0), where after an initial increase with N it gets more difficult to system to change between RUN and ROT states. (c) and (d) regions of translational, (4,0.1,0), and rotational collective motion, (4,0.1,1), respectively. In both regions, fluctuations are almost independent of N since all particles spend most time completely aligned in a translational motion or rotating around CM.

Figures 7a,b) and ROT state (Figure 6d and green curves in Figures 7a,b) present similar trends, but successively smaller ballistic regimes, implying smaller asymptotic diffusion constants.

In the limit $\tau/Pe \gg 1$, each particle behaves as an ABP^{23,30,31,34,35}, and the ring as a whole executes a PRW. In this limit, there is an analytical solution for the $MSD^{23,30}$, which we detail in Supp. Material A and B. In Fig. 8a we show the MSD obtained for the active ring for different relaxation time values, τ , fixed Pe = 4 and $\beta = 0$. In Fig. 8b we use the same parameters and include a small translational noise (B = 0.001). In both cases,



Fig. 6 Typical CM evolution in a xy plot for a set of parameters (Pe, τ, β) , with N = 20, B = 0 and Fn = 1 kept fixed: (a) PRW motion, (0.1, 0.3, 0); (b) RRM motion, (0.3, 0.1, 0); (c) RUN motion, (2, 0.1, 0); and (d) ROT motion, (2, 0.1, 1). Note that all panels have different xy ranges and that RUN motion presents the wider displacement region due to persistent collective motion of the CM. ROT state show very small displacement since particles rotate around CM. RRM presents intermediate values of displacements since it depends, essentially, in the fraction of time spend in RUN state. RW motion presents the expected behaviour of persistent motion of ABP particles where there is no collective motion. Colors indicate time (see color bar).



Fig. 7 Center of Mass Mean Square Displacement (*MSD*) for the same parameters of Fig. 6 without (panel (a)), and with (panel (b)) translational noise. Note, however, that for *MSD* estimations, we also perform averages over repeated simulations with different noise. In both panels, solid lines correspond to fit of Eq. 15, while the dots indicate numerical results. Fitted parameters are shown in Table 1. RUN state exhibits highest values of persistent time and diffusion coefficient, followed by RRM state and RW. In ROT state, particles spend most of time rotating around the CM implying no significant displacement of it. When translational noise is present, B = 0.001, ballistic regime is preceded by a diffusive one.

increase in τ reduces the ballistic region extension resulting in a smaller long time diffusion. We note that behavior shown in Figs. 7 and 8 qualitatively resembles the exact solution for ABPs. This observation suggests the possibility of fitting effective parameters combining translational and rotational noise time scales,

$$MSD(t) = \frac{4\sigma^2}{N\tau_T} t + 2(v_e \tau_e)^2 \left[\frac{t}{\tau_e} + (e^{-t/\tau_e} - 1)\right],$$
 (15)

where τ_e and v_e are the effective persistence time and the effective self-propelled speed, respectively. In Supp. Mat. B, we detail the relation among v_e and the center of mass mean square velocity. At long times, $t \gg \tau_e$, we find

$$D_{eff} = \lim_{t \gg \tau_e} \frac{MSD(t)}{4t} = \frac{\sigma^2}{N\tau_T} + \frac{v_e^2 \tau_e}{2}.$$
 (16)

Table 1 displays fitted parameters for the center of mass *MSD*, Eq. 15, of displacements of Figs. 6. Note the large increase in the effective persistence time in the RUN state. In Fig. 8c and Fig. 8d,



Fig. 8 Center of Mass Mean Square Displacement (*MSD*) for systems without (panel (a)), and with translational noise (B = 0.001 in Panel (b)). Parameters N = 20, Pe = 4, $\beta = 0$ and Fn = 1 are kept fixed. Both figures show different values of parameter τ from high values of it, corresponding to RW state (ABP limit and absence of collective motion), to low values, corresponding to RUN state, where all particles move aligned to the CM velocity. Solid lines correspond to fit of Eq. 15, while dots indicate numerical results. (c) Dependence of fitted effective self-propelled speed v_e with τ : in RUN state, all particles are aligned and move with velocities close to v_0 ; in PRW state, it approaches theoretical predicted value (dashed line). (d) Fitted effective constant diffusion as a function of τ : note that the transition at low τ values. Note, also, that even a small translational noise (panels (c) and (d)) is sufficient to displace the position of transition to collective motion by an order of magnitude in τ .

we also show the effective values for velocities and diffusion coefficients obtained fitting simulation data with that equation. Note the transition between the low- τ/Pe , collective motion state, and high- τ/Pe , ABP state.

3.2.1 High- τ/Pe limit

In the ABP limit, or high- τ/Pe limit, the first term in Eq. 2 is negligible and the rotational dynamics is dominated by rotational noise, that is, for N = 20,

$$au_e
ightarrow au_R \ , rac{v_e}{v_0} = rac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sim 0.22 \ ,$$

Table 1 Parameters describing curves of Fig. 7 for fixed parameters N = 20 and Fn = 1 are shown. Effective persistence times and self-propelled velocities are obtained by fitting Eq. [15] to the MSD simulation's data. For comparison we show several ratios of these fitted quantities with other system parameters. Fig. 6 shows the trajectories of systems in the absence of translational noise.

State of Motion	Pe	τ	β	В	$ au_e/ au_0$	$ au_e/ au_R$	v_e/v_0	$D_{eff}/v_0\sigma$
Pers. Random Walk	0.1	0.3	0	0	0.41	2.05	0.32	0.02
Pers. Random Walk	0.3	0.1	0	0.001	1.04	1.73	0.275	0.034
Run and Rotate	0.3	0.1	0	0	10.6	17.68	0.786	3.15
Run and Rotate	0.7	0.1	0	0.001	2.6	1.86	0.74	0.76
Run	2	0.1	0	0	99.32	24.83	0.98	47.6
Run	2.5	0.1	0	0.001	73.05	14.61	0.96	33.02
Rotate	2	0.1	1	0	0.144	0.036	0.054	0.000169
Rotate	2.5	0.1	1	0.001	0.55	0.11	0.089	0.00228

as seen in Fig 8c. In this limit, Eq. 16 results in

$$\frac{D_{eff}}{v_0\sigma} = \frac{B}{2NPe} + \frac{Pe}{N} \sim 0.2 , \qquad (17)$$

as can be checked in Fig. 8d for N = 20. The first term in Eq. 17 is negligible, since B = 0.001. As τ decreases, both v_e and D_{eff} depart from the ABP behavior (Figure 8c). Nevertheless, note that even a small translational noise shifts this departure by one order of magnitude (Figure 8d).

3.2.2 Low- τ/Pe limit

The ballistic regime is extended in this limit, resulting in large effective persistence times (Figures 8a and 8b). Also, the effective velocity reaches v_0 (Figure 8c), so the whole ring achieves the free single-particle speed. The consequence is a high diffusion constant, as can be checked in Fig. 8d.

3.2.3 Diffusion and Ring Size

We address the relationship between the ring's size, *N*, and the diffusion coefficient for the different motion states. Figures 9a(RUN), 9b (threshold) and 9c (PRW) show the *MSD* for different ring sizes (N=20,50,100) using parameter τ to control the system's motion state at constant *Pe*. We exclude the ROT state in this analysis. At low τ values we find the RUN state, the transition to collective motion is set at $\tau \sim 5$, and above it we reach the PRW state. All curves present the form described by Eq. 15, allowing us to fit the effective parameters.

When in RUN state (Fig. 9a), all curves in the ballistic interval collapse, indicating the same effective self-propelling speed, v_e , with value close to v_0 (Fig. 8c). We also observe in the inset of Fig. 9a the effective persistence time increasing as *N* increases. This is also clear in Fig. 9e where τ_e is divided by *N*, and the curves for the different system sizes coincide at small τ values. The overall result (Eq. 16), in this case, is that the diffusion coefficient scales with *N*, as can be checked in Fig. 9f at low *Pe* values.

The *MSD* for systems in the threshold to collective motion, Fig. 9b, show curves slightly shifted downward as *N* increases, indicating a correspondent decrease in v_e . On the other side, in this region, τ_e starts to grow with *N*(Fig. 9e), resulting that D_e remains independent of *N* on the transition, as indicated by the vertical bar in Fig. 9f. Beatrici³⁶ previously found this result in the context of cell segregation.

When in PRW state, Fig. 9c, systems present the expected be-

havior: for increasing *N* values, the CM moves less since particles are uncorrelated. In Fig. 9d we multiply the effective velocity squared by *N* to show that curves for different sizes collapse at high- τ values. Since τ_e is close to its single particle value (Table 1), following Eq. 16, we expect the ring diffusion to scale with the inverse of *N*. This is observed in Fig. 9f at large τ values.

Fig. 9f summarizes the dependency of the diffusion constant with the ring size in the different motion states. There is an evident change in behavior with N: from a decrease in RW state to an increase in RUN state, crossing a region without dependence (marked with a vertical line) at the onset of collective motion.

3.3 Active Ring Morphology

To characterize morphological changes in the shape of the active ring, we use the gyration tensor, $\Re(t)^{37-39}$, defined as

$$\Re(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} \vec{r}_{i,CM}(t) \otimes \vec{r}_{i,CM}(t), \qquad (18)$$

where $\vec{r}_{i,CM} = \vec{r}_i(t) - \vec{R}_{CM}(t)$ and \otimes is the tensor product. In matrix form,

$$\Re(t) = \begin{bmatrix} R_{xx}(t) & R_{xy}(t) \\ R_{yx}(t) & R_{yy}(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (19)

To quantify the ring extension at time t, we measure the gyration's squared radius^{37,38}

$$R_g^2(t) \equiv Tr(\Re(t)) = \lambda_1(t) + \lambda_2(t), \qquad (20)$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are the gyration tensor eigenvalues. Another shape measure is the asphericity ^{37,38}, defined as

$$A(t) = \frac{(\lambda_1(t) - \lambda_2(t))^2}{(\lambda_1(t) + \lambda_2(t))^2} \,. \tag{21}$$

The limiting cases where A(t) = 0 and A(t) = 1 corresponds to a circle and to a rod, respectively. We use the stationary average of those quantities, $\langle R_g \rangle$, $\langle A \rangle$ and the asphericity fluctuation, δA , to characterize the ring format.

Fig. 10 shows the phase diagram for asphericity and its fluctuation. As in our previous diagram, Fig. 4, we fix the flexure number $(F_n = 1)$ and vary parameters τ and *Pe*. On top of that, we sketch illustrative ring formats. We choose N = 100 because the previously used ring's size, N = 20, shows small shape variations for



Fig. 9 First panels show the change in behavior of *MSD* of Center of Mass as system goes from (a) RUN state, $\tau = 0.1$, to (c) PRW state, $\tau = 100$, crossing the (b) threshold region, $\tau = 5$, for fixed set of parameters: Pe = 2, $\beta = 0$, B = 0 and Fn = 1. We observe that in the RUN state, larger systems present higher values of effective diffusion constant and persist longer in ballistic regime (inset). In the threshold region, diffusive behavior is independent of system's size. PRW region shows the expected decrease of diffusion constant with *N*. Panel (d) shows that v_e approaches v_0 with \sqrt{N} in PRW region. Panel (e) shows that effective persistence time increases increase with *N* in RUN region. This last quantity is responsible for higher diffusion constant observed since v_e is very close to v_0 for all *N*, as seen in panel (a). Panel (f) summarize the combined effect of both parameters, τ_e and v_e , in diffusion constant as function of τ and *N*.

this flexure number.

At high τ and low *Pe* values (Fig. 10), the ring maintains an almost circular format, that is, $A(t) \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta A \rightarrow 0$. In this limit, the active particles in the ring show short characteristic persistence time, and each particle quickly changes its direction, resulting in a mean circular shape with the boundary fluctuating at small scales.

The circular shape is also stable in the collective motion region $(\tau/Pe \ll 1)$. Here, the alignment is responsible for moving particles in the same orientation with the same self-propelled speed. The boundary fluctuates less than in the previous case.

As both τ and *Pe* increase, the ring shape changes from an al-



Fig. 10 State diagram ($Pe \times \tau$) for (a) asphericity, $\langle A \rangle$, and (b) its fluctuations, δA , while parameters B = 0, Fn = 1, $\beta = 0$ and N = 100 are kept fixed. In RUN state, the shape changes are small, since all particles move aligned with CM, and the ring preserves its initial circular format. In RW state, the particles behave as uncorrelated ones and the ring maintains its initial circular format but, now, with higher membrane fluctuations than those of RUN state. Note that parameter axes are in log scale but the color bar is in linear scale.

most circular format to an elongated one. This change implies an increase in both $\langle A \rangle$ and δA , as shown along the main diagonal of Fig. 10. In this region, the active particles do not align globally with each other (absence of collective motion). Still, similar to what happens in the Run and Rotate state, the observed characteristic persistence time is high enough to ensure subgroups moving persistently in different directions, causing ring deformation. The eigenvectors' direction of \Re fluctuate with time, and while changing direction, the system spends some time close to a circular format. These low contributions of the circular shape to $\langle A \rangle$ are the reason for the low values observed in Fig. 10 despite the elongated aspect. These fluctuations are responsible for higher values for δA measured in our simulations, see Fig. 10b.

To address the variation of shape with rigidity, we focus on the region of collective motion. As the bending constant, responsible for ring's rigidity, decreases (flexure number increases), the ring remains in an elongated format. Measuring the asphericity (Fig. 11a) we find that the flattening is more evident as the flexure number and the number of particles increase, thus, $\langle A \rangle \rightarrow 1$. In Fig. 11c we compare the gyration radio $\langle R_g \rangle$ with the one from a circle, R_0 , for different flexure number and number of particles. For large values of Fn and N we observe an extremely deformed active ring (black curve in the Fig. 11c), in that case we find $\langle R_g \rangle / R_0 \sim 0.9$. This value is close to the one found for a rod, $\langle R_g \rangle / R_0$, which converges to $\pi/2\sqrt{3} = 0.90689$ as N increases (Supp. Mat.).

When the system is in the ROT state, with an initial circular condition and $\beta = 1$, particle velocities are tangential to the ring, causing no observable changes in its shape even when increasing the flexure number (Figs. 11b and d).

Finally, when the system is in RUN state and presents high deformation, the ring becomes flattened. In that case, the collective movement has a well-defined direction oriented parallel to the larger ring dimension, which we may interpret as a spontaneous emergence of a polarization direction. Whenever there is a



Fig. 11 Observed changes in the shape of the ring in collective motion for RUN state, left panels, and ROT state, right panels, for set of parameters: Pe = 5, B = 0 and $\tau = 0.1$. Left panels show simulation results for (a) average asphericity and (c) ratio between the average radius of gyration and initial radius of gyration R_0 as a function of flexure number for different sizes of the ring, N, and initialization with $\beta = 0$. Both figures indicate that larger systems present a more pronounced modification of their shape as systems become more flexible. It goes from a circular format when N = 20 to an elongated one, resembling an ellipse, for N = 300. Right panels (b) and (d) show same quantities for an initialization with $\beta = 1$. When the system is in ROT state there is only a small departure of the initial circular format independent of the ring's flexibility and size.



Fig. 12 Center of Mass trajectory of the active ring for a simulation sample in RUN motion state with parameters: N = 100, Pe = 5, Fn = 100, $\beta = 0$, $\tau = 0.1$ and B = 0. On top of the trajectory of CM, we show snapshots of the active ring where it is possible to note that: (i) ring has an elongated format; and (ii) it always moves in the most elongated direction. These observations suggest the presence of spontaneous global polarization of the active ring. Colors indicate time (see color bar). See Movie5 in Supl. Material.

change in the collective movement, there is a realignment of that largest dimension. This behavior is illustrated in Fig. 12, where ring snapshots are plotted along its trajectory. Colors indicate the time. The causes for this emerging polarization remain an open question.

4 Summary and conclusions

In this work, we study a model for a ring composed of active particles. We establish the conditions for the emergence of collective movement and study the ring's deformation by tuning system parameters such as rigidity constant, angular and translational noises, and angular relaxation time. We can identify different ring motion states. In the limit $\tau/Pe \gg 1$, we observe a behavior compatible with an ABP system, which results in an MSD for the center of mass equivalent to a system of N interconnected particles subjected to random independent noise. When $\tau/Pe \ll 1$, the correlation between particles becomes pronounced, resulting in two forms of collective movement: collective translational movement (RUN), where particles move with their speeds nearly parallel; and collective rotational movement (ROT), where particles rotate around the CM. As far as we know, such rotational state has never been observed in single cell experiments, and is probably due to an excess in degrees of freedom if compared, for example, with the possible orientations found in actomyosin fibers⁴⁰. For small rings, N < 200, we identify a dynamics where the system alternates between these states of collective movement (RRM). As the ring's size increases, the transitions between collective states of motion become unlikely.

All simulations show *MSD* measurements compatible with the ABP limit known solution. That is, ballistic for short times, followed by diffusion. The ballistic regime is preceded by a diffusive one only when in the presence of translational noise 41,42 . Even at high flexure numbers, when membrane oscillations are large, angular noise by itself cannot produce a short time diffusive behavior. Also, we found no super-diffusive regime intermediary between the ballistic and the diffusive 43,44 ones.

We fit effective self-propulsion speed, v_e , and persistence time, τ_e , based on the analytic results' functional form. The ROT state's fitting procedure resulted in the lowest values for the τ_e , and v_e since particles circulate the CM without generating a significant displacement. On large time scales, we observe that the CM performs a diffusive process. The RUN state presented the highest values for the effective parameters, with particles moving aligned with each other in a movement with considerable temporal persistence and, consequently, large *MSD*. The RRM state's fit presents intermediary parameters since they depend on the fraction of time spent in the RUN state, with the ROT state poorly contributing to CM displacement. Another interesting remark is that even a small translational noise undermines the onset to collective movement deviating the transition to an order of magnitude lower τ values.

By varying the number of particles, N, we note a shift in the effective parameters fitted for the *MSD*. As N increases in the RUN state, the effective self-propulsion speed, v_e , approaches v_0 , and the diffusion coefficient increases linearly with it. When in the threshold between the collective movement and the PRW phase, fitted parameters are N independent. In the PRW state, both parameters decrease with N as expected for particles dominated by uncorrelated noise. A theoretical approach would help shed light on N's effective parameters dependence at the transition to

the collective movement and above it. A previous mean cluster study³⁶ relating Vicsek's collective movement parameter¹⁸ and diffusion coefficient mass dependence correctly explained cell segregation time scales. However, it offered no theoretical hint for that relation.

In the ring morphology study, we found that the ring maintains its initial circular shape when τ or *Pe* is low enough. It presents large fluctuations in shape when τ and *Pe* increase. Larger rings present a substantial variation in the possible shapes they can assume when decreasing the curvature potential's stiffness. Large soft rings assume a slug-like form when in collective motion, with a well-defined movement polarization along the largest ring direction. Since we deal with correlated active particles, the emergence of polarization, in this case, can be interpreted as a nonlinear instability of the center of mass, as proposed by Blanch-Mercader and coworkers¹⁷, but the relation between the slug larger direction and the global velocity remains an open question.

The active ring system proposed aims to serve as a model for cells. Many models in Active Matter are single particle-based and unable to describe cells' morphological properties. Furthermore, for being a bead-spring model, its use in phenomena such as durotaxis, chemotaxis, cell segregation, cell crawling, or wound healing is easy to implement with modest modifications by including interaction forces among cells or external chemical fields. Here, in this first work, we characterize the dynamic and morphological properties of a single ring. In future works, we will study systems composed of many of these.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare

Acknowledgements

This work is dedicated to the memory of Cássio Kirch. E.F.T. thanks the Brazilian funding agencies CNPq and Capes. H.C.M.F. acknowledges Universitat de Barcelona where part of this work was developed. L.G.B. acknowledges the Max-Planck Institute of Ploen, where part of this work was developed. All authors acknowledge the suggestions and discussions with S. Lira. The simulations were performed on the IF-UFRGS computing cluster infrastructure.

Notes and references

- 1 S. Ramaswamy, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics, 2010, 1, 323–345.
- 2 M. Levin, Biosystems, 2012, 109, 243 261.
- 3 O. Cochet-Escartin, T. T. Locke, W. H. Shi, R. E. Steele and E.-M. S. Collins, *Biophysical Journal*, 2017, **113**, 2827 – 2841.
- 4 M. C. Marchetti, J. F. Joanny, S. Ramaswamy, T. B. Liverpool, J. Prost, M. Rao and R. A. Simha, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 2013, 85, 1143–1189.
- 5 P. Van Liedekerke, M. M. Palm, N. Jagiella and D. Drasdo, *Computational Particle Mechanics*, 2015, **2**, 401–444.
- 6 M. S. Steinberg, Science, 1963, 141, 401-408.
- 7 B. M. Jones, P. M. Evans and D. A. Lee, *Experimental Cell Research*, 1989, **180**, 287 296.

- 8 J. M. Belmonte, G. L. Thomas, L. G. Brunnet, R. M. C. de Almeida and H. Chaté, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2008, **100**, 248702.
- 9 C. P. Beatrici and L. G. Brunnet, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2011, **84**, 031927.
- A. K. Harris, Journal of Theoretical Biology, 1976, 61, 267 285.
- 11 M. Abercrombie, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B. Biological Sciences, 1980, 207, 129–147.
- 12 U. S. Schwarz and S. A. Safran, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 2013, **85**, 1327–1381.
- 13 F. m. c. Graner and J. A. Glazier, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1992, **69**, 2013–2016.
- 14 D. Bi, X. Yang, M. C. Marchetti and M. L. Manning, *Phys. Rev. X*, 2016, **6**, 021011.
- 15 D. L. Barton, S. Henkes, C. J. Weijer and R. Sknepnek, *PLOS Computational Biology*, 2017, **13**, 1–34.
- 16 D. Shao, H. Levine and W.-J. Rappel, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2012, 109, 6851–6856.
- 17 C. Blanch-Mercader and J. Casademunt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2013, 110, 078102.
- 18 T. Vicsek, A. Czirók, E. Ben-Jacob, I. Cohen and O. Shochet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1995, **75**, 1226–1229.
- 19 U. Erdmann, W. Ebeling and A. S. Mikhailov, *Physical Review E*, 2005, **71**, 051904.
- 20 M. E. Cates and J. Tailleur, EPL (Europhysics Letters), 2013, 101, 20010.
- 21 J. R. Howse, R. A. L. Jones, A. J. Ryan, T. Gough, R. Vafabakhsh and R. Golestanian, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2007, **99**, 048102.
- 22 E. M. Purcell, American journal of physics, 1977, 45, 3–11.
- 23 C. Bechinger, R. Di Leonardo, H. Löwen, C. Reichhardt, G. Volpe and G. Volpe, *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 2016, 88, 045006.
- 24 B. Szabó, G. J. Szöllösi, B. Gönci, Z. Jurányi, D. Selmeczi and T. Vicsek, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2006, **74**, 061908.
- 25 M. Allen and D. Tildesley, Computer Simulation of Liquids, Oxford University Press, 2017.
- 26 A. Martín-Gómez, D. Levis, A. Díaz-Guilera and I. Pagonabarraga, *Soft matter*, 2018, **14**, 2610–2618.
- 27 Ö. Duman, R. E. Isele-Holder, J. Elgeti and G. Gompper, *Soft Matter*, 2018, 14, 4483–4494.
- 28 A. Czirók, E. Ben-Jacob, I. Cohen and T. Vicsek, *Physical Review E*, 1996, 54, 1791.
- 29 D. Strömbom, *Journal of theoretical biology*, 2011, 283, 145– 151.
- 30 F. Schweitzer, Brownian agents and active particles: collective dynamics in the natural and social sciences, Springer Science & Business Media, 2003.
- 31 P. Romanczuk, M. Bär, W. Ebeling, B. Lindner and L. Schimansky-Geier, *The European Physical Journal Special Topics*, 2012, **202**, 1–162.
- 32 P. Digregorio, D. Levis, A. Suma, L. F. Cugliandolo, G. Gonnella and I. Pagonabarraga, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2018, **121**, 098003.

- 33 N. Gal, D. Lechtman-Goldstein and D. Weihs, *Rheologica Acta*, 2013, **52**, 425–443.
- 34 U. Basu, S. N. Majumdar, A. Rosso and G. Schehr, *Physical Review E*, 2018, **98**, 062121.
- 35 É. Fodor and M. C. Marchetti, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics* and its Applications, 2018, **504**, 106–120.
- 36 C. P. Beatrici, R. M. C. de Almeida and L. G. Brunnet, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2017, **95**, 032402.
- 37 M. Paoluzzi, R. Di Leonardo, M. C. Marchetti and L. Angelani, *Scientific reports*, 2016, **6**, 34146.
- 38 W.-D. Tian, Y. Gu, Y.-K. Guo and K. Chen, *Chinese Physics B*, 2017, 26, 100502.
- 39 C. Wang, Y.-k. Guo, W.-d. Tian and K. Chen, The Journal of

chemical physics, 2019, 150, 044907.

- 40 P. W. Gunning, U. Ghoshdastider, S. Whitaker, D. Popp and R. C. Robinson, *Journal of Cell Science*, 2015, **128**, 2009– 2019.
- 41 S. Mandal, C. Kurzthaler, T. Franosch and H. Löwen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2020, **125**, 138002.
- 42 G. L. Thomas, I. Fortuna, G. C. Perrone, J. A. Glazier, J. M. Belmonte and R. M. de Alm eida, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 2020, **550**, 124493.
- 43 C. A. Velasco, S. D. Ghahnaviyeh, H. N. Pishkenari, T. Auth and G. Gompper, *Soft Matter*, 2017, **13**, 5865–5876.
- 44 A. Potdar, J. Lu, J. Jeon, A. Weaver and P. Cummings, Ann Biomed Eng., 2009, 37, 230.