

The Influence of a Pitchfork Bifurcation of the Critical Points of a Symmetric Caldera Potential Energy Surface on Dynamical Matching

Y. Geng^a, M. Katsanikas^a, M. Agaoglou^a, S. Wiggins^a*

^a*School of Mathematics, University of Bristol,
Fry Building, Woodland Road, Bristol, BS8 1UG, United Kingdom.*

Abstract

Many organic chemical reactions are governed by potential energy surfaces that have a region with the topographical features of a caldera. If the caldera has a symmetry then trajectories transiting the caldera region are observed to exhibit a phenomenon that is referred to as dynamical matching. Dynamical matching is a constraint that restricts the way in which a trajectory can exit the caldera based solely on how it enters the caldera. In this paper we show that bifurcations of the critical points of the caldera potential energy surface can destroy dynamical matching even when the symmetry of the caldera is not affected by the bifurcation.

Keywords: Phase space structure, Chemical reaction dynamics, Caldera potential, Chemical Physics, Pitchfork bifurcation.

2019 MSC: 34Cxx, 70Hxx

1. Introduction

In this paper we study a symmetric caldera potential energy surface (PES) and the effect of bifurcation of critical points. The geometry of this PES resembles that of the collapsed region of an erupted volcano. This feature was the main reason Doering [1] and co-workers used the word ‘caldera’ in reference to this type of PES. Features of the caldera PES occur in many organic chemical reactions, such as the vinylcyclopropane-cyclopentene rearrangement [2, 3], the stereomutation of cyclopropane [4], the degenerate rearrangement of bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-2-ene [5, 6] or that of 5-methylenebicyclo[2.1.0]pentane [7].

The topography of the caldera PES is characterized by a shallow minimum and four index-1 saddles that surround this region. Two of the index-1 saddles have higher energy (upper index-1 saddles) than the other two (lower index-1 saddles). The four index-1 saddles control the entrance into and exit from the

Email address: S.Wiggins@bristol.ac.uk (S. Wiggins)

central area of the caldera. Chemical reaction occurs when trajectories from the region of one of the upper index-1 saddles (reactants) cross the caldera and approach the region of one of the two lower index-1 saddles (products).

Detailed studies of trajectories in a two dimensional caldera PES have been carried out in [8–12]). Broadly speaking, there are two distinct situations: the case when the PES is symmetric and the case when the PES is asymmetric (to be more precisely defined below). The symmetric case was studied in [8, 9] where it was found that trajectories entering the caldera from the region of an upper index one saddle exited the caldera from the *opposite* lower index one saddle. Hence 100% of the products exit from the region of *one* lower index-1 saddle and not 50%, as would be predicted by statistical theories. This phenomenon is referred to as *dynamical matching* and was first reported in ([13, 14]).

A phase space analysis of dynamical matching was carried out in [9]) where it was shown that the controlling mechanism is the existence of heteroclinic orbits between the unstable manifolds of the unstable periodic orbits associated with the upper saddles and the stable manifolds of invariant sets in the central region. In particular, the non-existence of such heteroclinic orbits implies the existence of dynamical matching and the existence of such heteroclinic orbits promotes trapping in the central region and inhibits dynamical matching. In all cases of the symmetric caldera PES studied thus far the non-existence of such heteroclinic trajectories was found and, hence, dynamical matching was always observed. In [8, 10] it was shown that if the symmetry of the caldera PES was broken by “stretching” the PES then dynamical matching could be broken. Indeed, [10–12]) showed that a rich variety of dynamical behavior could be created as a result.

In this paper we show that there is a different mechanism for breaking dynamical matching in a symmetric caldera PES that does *not* require symmetry breaking. Rather, it involves a pitchfork bifurcation of the critical points of the PES.

The structure of the paper is as follows: In section 2 we describe the structure of the caldera PES. In section 3 we describe the pitchfork bifurcation of the critical points of the PES and compare the topography of the PES before and after the bifurcation. In section 4 we show how the bifurcation influences trajectories crossing the caldera and demonstrate its effect on dynamical matching. In section 5 we summarize our conclusions.

2. Model

In this section we describe the Caldera potential energy surface (PES) and its geometrical features that will be the focus of our dynamical studies. The caldera PES that we use is taken from [8] and has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} V(x, y) &= c_1 r^2 + c_2 y - c_3 r^4 \cos(4\theta) \\ &= c_1(x^2 + y^2) + c_2 y - c_3(x^4 + y^4 - 6x^2 y^2), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where (x, y) are cartesian coordinates, (r, θ) are standard polar coordinates, and c_1, c_2, c_3 are parameters. We make the important observation that the PES is symmetric with respect to the y -axis.

Hamilton's equations are straightforward. We let p_x and p_y denote the momentum of the particle in x - and y - direction respectively, the 2 degree-of-freedom (DoF) Hamiltonian is given by:

$$H(x, y, p_x, p_y) = \frac{p_x^2}{2m} + \frac{p_y^2}{2m} + V(x, y), \quad (2)$$

where we consider m to be a constant equal to 1. The Hamiltonian equations of motion are therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_x} = \frac{p_x}{m} \\ \dot{y} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_y} = \frac{p_y}{m} \\ \dot{p}_x &= -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(x, y) = -(2c_1x - 4c_3x^3 + 12c_3xy^2) \\ \dot{p}_y &= -\frac{\partial V}{\partial y}(x, y) = -(2c_1y - 4c_3y^3 + 12c_3x^2y + c_2) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

3. Bifurcation of the Critical Points of the Caldera PES

In ([8–12]) the parameters of the caldera PES were fixed at $c_1 = 5, c_2 = 3$ and $c_3 = -0.3$. In this case the PES has one minimum and with four index-1 saddles, two for low values of energy and other two for high values of energy, as listed in table 2. The index-1 saddles control the exit from and the entrance into the caldera.

We now consider how the critical points of the PES change as we vary c_1 but leave the other two parameters fixed at $c_2 = 3$ and $c_3 = -0.3$. It is important to note that the PES remains symmetric, in the sense described above, for all range of values of c_1 that we consider.

We consider c_1 in the interval 0 to 5 and find that there is a critical value of $c_1 = 1.32$ for which the number of critical points of the PES change from 3 to 5. This is a result of a pitchfork bifurcation involving the minimum and the two lower energy index-1 saddles. Hence, for $0 \leq c_1 \leq 1.32$ the two higher energy index-1 saddles exist and one lower energy index-1 saddle on the y -axis. In other words, there is no longer a minimum which is indicative of the existence of a well. This is a regime for the symmetric caldera which has not received attention from the point of view of trajectory analysis.

In table 1 we give the location of the critical points and their energies for $c_1 = 0.4$.

In table 2 we give the location of the critical points and their energies for $c_1 = 5$.

Table 1: Stationary points of the caldera potential for $c_1 = 0.4, c_2 = 3$ and $c_3 = -0.3$ ("RH" and "LH" are the abbreviations for right hand and left hand respectively)

Critical point	x	y	E
Lower saddle	0.000	-1.194	-2.402
Upper LH saddle	-1.204	0.840	2.321
Upper RH saddle	1.204	0.840	2.321

Table 2: Stationary points of the caldera potential for $c_1 = 5, c_2 = 3$ and $c_3 = -0.3$ ("RH" and "LH" are the abbreviations for right hand and left hand respectively)

Critical point	x	y	E
Central minimum	0.000	-0.297	-0.448
Upper LH saddle	-2.149	2.0778	27.0123
Upper RH saddle	2.149	2.0778	27.0123
Lower LH saddle	-1.923	-2.003	14.767
Lower RH saddle	1.923	-2.003	14.767

In Fig. 1 we show contours of the PES for two sets of parameter values showing the two different configurations of critical points, i.e. 3 critical points in panel A and 5 critical points in panel B.

In Fig. 2 we show 3D views of the PES for the same set of parameter values (panels A and B show different views for the same set of parameter values).

4. Results

In this section we investigate the behavior of trajectories that enter the caldera from the region of the upper index-1 saddles for $0 \leq c_1 \leq 5$. To achieve this we will analyze the fate of the trajectories that are initialized in the region of the right upper index-1 saddle. The results will be similar if we choose the other upper index-1 saddle due to the symmetry of the potential.

First, we specify the choice of initial conditions. In particular, we choose a line in configuration space that passes through the upper right index-1 saddle and is perpendicular to the line that connects the upper right index-1 saddle with the lower index-1 saddle on the y-axis for $0 \leq c_1 \leq 1.32$ or the lower left index-1 saddle for $1.32 \leq c_1 \leq 5$. The momentum for these initial conditions is chosen to be in the direction of the line that connects the two index-1 saddles and to satisfy the constant energy condition. This choice guarantees that the initial conditions correspond to trajectories that enter into the caldera from the region of the upper right index-1 saddle.

We choose 1000 initial conditions in this way (equally spaced along the chosen line in configuration space) and for each initial conditions c_1 is chosen in increments of 0.01 in the appropriate interval. These initial conditions are integrated for a fixed time interval $t = 3$ time units.




Figure 1: The contours of the caldera potential: A) for $c_1 = 0.4, c_2 = 3$ and $c_3 = -0.3$. B) for $c_1 = 5, c_2 = 3$ and $c_3 = -0.3$. We indicate the location of the index-1 saddles and of the centers using blue and red points, respectively.




Figure 2: The 3D PES of the caldera potential A) and B) for $c_1 = 0.4, c_2 = 3$ and $c_3 = -0.3$. C) for $c_1 = 5, c_2 = 3$ and $c_3 = -0.3$. We indicate the location of the index-1 saddles and of the center using black and red points respectively.

We consider that a trajectory has exited through the lower left exit region or the lower right exit region, if the y component of the trajectories are below the line $y = -2.5$ and it has a negative x -coordinate or a positive x -coordinates, respectively. We note that the lower left exit region and the lower right exit region are the regions on the left, or on the right, of the index-1 saddle of the y -axis, respectively, before the bifurcation, i.e. for $0 \leq c_1 \leq 1.32$.

Similarly, the lower left exit region and the lower right exit region are the region of the lower left index-1 saddle and the lower right index-1 saddle, respectively, after the bifurcation, i.e. for $1.32 \leq c_1 \leq 5$. As we described above, these regions are referred to as the lower left exit region and the lower right exit region. In addition, we consider that a trajectory has exited through the region of the upper left index-1 saddle or the region of the upper right index-1 saddle if the trajectories cross the line $y = 2.5$ and they have negative x -coordinates or positive x -coordinates, respectively. If a trajectory did not exit from any region in the fixed integration time we consider that it is trapped in the central area of the caldera.

The results of our simulations showed us that we have three types of behavior of the trajectories that come from the region of the upper right index-1 saddle for $0 \leq c_1 \leq 1.32$. The first and second type are represented by the red and green curves, respectively in the panel A of Fig. 3. The red and green curves correspond to trajectories that exit through the lower left exit region or the lower right exit region, respectively. The third type is represented by the blue line (see the panel A of Fig. 3) that corresponds to a trajectory that is trapped in the central region of the caldera.

We computed the ratio of the trajectories that exit through the lower left exit region and the ratio of the trajectories that are trapped or they exit through the lower right exit region as the parameter c_1 increases. We see in Fig. 4 that initially the ratio of the trajectories that exit through the lower left exit region (red line) is lower than the ratio of the trajectories that are trapped or exit through the lower right exit region (black line). Then the ratio of the trajectories that exit through the lower left exit region increases and it exceeds the ratio of the trajectories that are trapped or they exit through the lower right exit region. This ratio increases until it converges (see the first plateau of the red line in Fig. 4) to a high value (approximately to 0.974). The bifurcation point ($c_1 = 1.32$) corresponds to the end of this plateau which is the point where we have the final increase of the ratio of the trajectories that exit through the region of the lower left exit region until it converges to one. We observe the exact opposite behavior for the ratio of the trajectories that are trapped or they exit through the region of the lower right exit region (see Fig.4).

Furthermore, we see that as c_1 increases from the bifurcation value of 1.32 we have the final convergence of the ratio of the trajectories that exit through the lower left exit region and of the ratio of the trajectories that are trapped or they exit through the lower right exit region to one and zero, respectively. This means that in this case we have only one type of behavior for the trajectories that enter into the caldera from the region of the upper right index-1 saddle. The same happens for the trajectories that enter the caldera from the region of




Figure 3: The contours of the caldera potential and trajectories in the configuration space that begin from the region of the upper right saddle (for a value of energy 0.5 units above the energy of the higher index-1 saddles): A) for $c_1 = 0.4, c_2 = 3$ and $c_3 = -0.3$. B) for $c_1 = 5, c_2 = 3$ and $c_3 = -0.3$. The trajectories that are trapped or they exit through the region of the lower left saddles or they exit through the region of the lower right saddle are depicted by blue, red and green color respectively.




Figure 4: The ratio of the trajectories that exit through the lower left exit region (red line) and the ratio of the trajectories that are trapped or they exit through the lower right exit region (black line) versus parameter c_1 .

the upper left index-1 saddle as a result of the symmetry of the potential. This type of behavior is that all the trajectories that come from the region of the upper index-1 saddles cross the caldera and they exit through the opposite lower exit region (see for example the panel B of Fig. 3). This is the phenomenon of dynamical matching that is found in all previous studies of trajectories in the symmetric caldera (see [8–12]).

5. Conclusions

In this paper we investigated the behavior of the trajectories that come from the region of the high energy saddles in caldera potential energy surfaces. We found that the family of the equilibrium points of the y-axis (the symmetry axis of the caldera) undergoes a pitchfork bifurcation by increasing a parameter of the potential c_1 . We observed that for $0 \leq c_1 \leq 1.32$ the trajectories that enter into the central area of the caldera from the region of the upper index-1 saddle can be trapped in the central area or to follow two different paths to the exit. The one path is to exit through the lower left exit region and the other is where the trajectories exit through the lower right exit region. The situation for these trajectories is different for $1.32 \leq c_1 \leq 5$. In this case the trajectories that enter into the central area of the caldera from the region of the high energy index-1 saddles are not trapped, and they have only one choice for their exit. This choice is to cross the caldera and to exit through the opposite lower exit region. This behavior is the dynamical matching that has been studied in many papers ([8–12]). Hence, we have shown that a pitchfork bifurcation of critical points of the PES can destroy dynamical matching, even in the case where the PES is symmetric.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support provided by the EPSRC Grant No. EP/P021123/1.

References

- [1] W. v. E. Doering, X. Cheng, K. Lee, Z. Lin, Fate of the intermediate diradicals in the caldera: Stereochemistry of thermal stereomutations, (2 + 2) cycloreversions, and (2 + 4) ring-enlargements of cis- and trans-1-cyano-2-(e and z)-propenyl-cis-3,4-dideuteriocyclobutanes, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 124 (39) (2002) 11642–11652.
- [2] J. Baldwin, Thermal rearrangements of vinylcyclopropanes to cyclopentenes, *Chemical reviews* 103 (4) (2003) 1197–1212.
- [3] Z. Goldschmidt, B. Crammer, Vinylcyclopropane rearrangements, *Chem. Soc. Rev* 17 (1988) 229–267.
- [4] C. Doubleday, K. Bolton, W. Hase, Direct dynamics study of the stereomutation of cyclopropane, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 119 (22) (1997) 5251–5252.
- [5] C. Doubleday, M. Nendel, K. Houk, D. Thweatt, M. Page, Direct dynamics quasiclassical trajectory study of the stereochemistry of the vinylcyclopropane - cyclopentene rearrangement, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 121 (19) (1999) 4720–4721.
- [6] C. Doubleday, C. Suhrada, K. Houk, Dynamics of the degenerate rearrangement of bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-2-ene, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 128 (1) (2006) 90–94.
- [7] M. Reyes, E. Lobkovsky, B. Carpenter, Interplay of orbital symmetry and nonstatistical dynamics in the thermal rearrangements of bicyclo[n.1.0]polyenes, *J Am Chem Soc* 124 (2002) 641–651.
- [8] P. Collins, Z. Kramer, B. Carpenter, G. Ezra, S. Wiggins, Nonstatistical dynamics on the caldera, *Journal of Chemical Physics* 141 (034111) (2014).
- [9] M. Katsanikas, S. Wiggins, Phase space structure and transport in a caldera potential energy surface, *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* 28 (13) (2018) 1830042.
- [10] M. Katsanikas, S. Wiggins, Phase space analysis of the nonexistence of dynamical matching in a stretched caldera potential energy surface, *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* 29 (04) (2019) 1950057.

- [11] M. Katsanikas, V. J. García-Garrido, S. Wiggins, The dynamical matching mechanism in phase space for caldera-type potential energy surfaces, *Chemical Physics Letters* 743 (2020) 137199. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2020.137199>.
- [12] M. Katsanikas, V. J. García-Garrido, S. Wiggins, Detection of dynamical matching in a caldera hamiltonian system using lagrangian descriptors, *Int. J. Bifurcation Chaos* 30 (2020) 2030026.
- [13] B. K. Carpenter, Trajectories through an intermediate at a fourfold branch point. implications for the stereochemistry of biradical reactions, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 107 (20) (1985) 5730–5732. doi:[10.1021/ja00306a021](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00306a021).
- [14] B. K. Carpenter, Dynamic matching: The cause of inversion of configuration in the [1,3] sigmatropic migration?, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 117 (23) (1995) 6336–6344. doi:[10.1021/ja00128a024](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00128a024).