

Climate network and complexity based El Niño forecast for 2022

Josef Ludescher¹, Jun Meng^{2,1}, and Jingfang Fan^{3,1}

¹*Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, 14412 Potsdam, Germany*

²*School of Science, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing 100876, China*

³*School of Systems Science, Beijing Normal University, 1000875 Beijing, China*

Abstract

The El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) is the most important driver of interannual global climate variability and can trigger extreme weather events and disasters in various parts of the globe. Recently, we have developed two approaches for the early forecasting of El Niño. The climate network-based approach allows forecasting the onset of an El Niño event about 1 year ahead [1]. The complexity-based approach allows additionally to forecast the magnitude of an upcoming El Niño event in the calendar year before [2]. Here we communicate the forecasts of both methods for 2022.

1 The El Niño Southern Oscillation

The El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) phenomenon [3–9] can be perceived as a self-organized dynamical see-saw pattern in the Pacific ocean-atmosphere system, featured by rather irregular warm (“El Niño”) and cold (“La Niña”) excursions from the long-term mean state. The ENSO phenomenon is quantified by the Oceanic Niño Index (ONI), which is based on the average sea-surface temperature (SST) in the Niño3.4 region in the Central Pacific (see Fig. 1).

The ONI is defined as the three-month running-mean SST anomaly in the Niño3.4 region and is a principal measure for monitoring, assessing and predicting ENSO. We will refer to the ONI also as the Niño3.4 index. An El Niño episode is said to occur when the index is at least 0.5°C above the climatological average for at least 5 months. A regularly updated table of the ONI can be found at [10].

Since strong El Niño episodes can wreak havoc in various parts of the world (through extreme weather events and other environmental perturbations) [9, 11–15], early-warning schemes based on robust scientific evidence are highly desirable. Sophisticated global climate models taking into account the atmosphere-ocean coupling, as well as statistical approaches like the dynamical systems schemes approach, autoregressive models and pattern-recognition techniques, have been proposed to forecast the pertinent index with lead times between 1 and 24 months [4, 16–35].

Unfortunately, so far, the forecasting methods in operation have quite limited anticipation power. In particular, they generally fail to overcome the so-called “spring barrier” (see, e.g., [36, 37]), which shortens their warning time to around 6 months.

To resolve this problem, we have recently introduced two alternative forecasting approaches [1, 2], which considerably extend the probabilistic prediction horizon. The first approach [1] (see also [38, 39]) is based on complex-networks analysis [40–47]. The method provides forecasts for the onset of an El Niño event, but not for its magnitude, in the year before the event starts. The second approach [2] relies on the System Sample Entropy (SysSampEn), i.e., an information entropy, in the Niño3.4 area. It provides forecasts for the onset and magnitude of an El Niño event at the end of the foregoing year.

Here we communicate the forecasts of both methods for 2022. The network-based method predicts the absence of an El Niño event in 2022 with 90% probability. The complexity-based method predicts the onset of an El Niño event with $1.90 \pm 0.23^{\circ}\text{C}$ magnitude in 2022 with 69% probability. There were 6 analog cases in the forecasted and hindcasted past (1984–2021), where an




Figure 1: The ONI and the “climate network”. The network consists of 14 grid points in the “El Niño basin” (solid red symbols) and 193 grid points outside this domain (open symbols). The red rectangle denotes the area where the ONI (Niño3.4 index) is measured. The grid points are considered as the nodes of the climate network that we use here to forecast El Niño events. Each node inside the El Niño basin is linked to each node outside the basin. The nodes are characterized by their surface air temperature (SAT), and the link strength between the nodes is determined from their cross-correlation (see below). Figure from [1].

El Niño onset prediction of the complexity-based method was not matched by the network-based method. In 2 of these cases, an El Niño did start (2004, 2006) and in 4 cases, it did not start (1985, 1993, 2000, 2012). Based on this, it appears more likely, that an El Niño will not start in 2022. However, if an El Niño should start in 2022, the complexity-based method forecasts it to have a large magnitude.

2 Climate network-based forecasting

2.1 The network-based forecasting algorithm

The climate network-based approach exploits the remarkable observation that a large-scale cooperative mode linking the “El Niño basin” (i.e., the equatorial Pacific corridor) and the rest of the Pacific ocean (see Fig. 1) builds up in the calendar year before an El Niño event. In the description and discussion of the method we follow closely [39]. An appropriate measure for the emerging cooperativity can be derived from the time evolution of the teleconnections (“links”) between the atmospheric temperatures at the grid points (“nodes”) inside and outside of the El Niño basin. The strengths of those links are represented by the values of the respective cross-correlations (for details, see [1,38]). The crucial entity is the mean link strength $S(t)$ as obtained by averaging over all individual links in the network at a given instant t [1,38]. $S(t)$ rises when the cooperative mode builds up and drops again when this mode collapses rather conspicuously with the onset of the El Niño event. The rise of $S(t)$ in the year before an El Niño event starts serves as a precursor for the event.

For the sake of concrete forecasting, we employed in [1] daily surface air temperature (SAT) anomalies for the 1950-2011 period. The data have been obtained from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research Reanalysis I project [48,49]. The optimized algorithm [1,38] involves an empirical decision threshold Θ . Whenever S crosses Θ from below while the most recent ONI is below 0.5°C , the algorithm sounds an alarm and predicts an El Niño inception in the following year. For obtaining and testing the appropriate thresholds, we divided the data into two halves. In the first part (1950-1980), which represents the learning phase, all thresholds above the temporal mean of $S(t)$ were considered and the optimal ones, i.e., those that lead to the best predictions in the learning phase, have been determined. We found that Θ -values between 2.815 and 2.834 lead to the best performance [1], with a false alarm rate of




Figure 2: The network-based forecasting scheme (hindcasting phase). We compare the average link strength $S(t)$ in the climate network (red curve) with a decision threshold Θ (horizontal line, here $\Theta = 2.82$), (left scale), and the standard Niño3.4 index (ONI), (right scale), between January 1981 and December 2011. When the link strength crosses the threshold from below, and the last available ONI is below 0.5°C , we give an alarm and predict that an El Niño episode will start in the following calendar year. The El Niño episodes (when the Niño3.4 index is at or above 0.5°C for at least 5 months) are shown by the solid blue areas. Correct predictions are marked by green arrows and false alarms by dashed arrows. Between 1981 and 2011, there were 9 El Niño events. The algorithm generated 8 alarms, and 6 were correct. In the whole period between 1981 and December 2021, there were 11 El Niño events. The algorithm generated 11 alarms and 8 of these were correct.

1/20. In the second part of the data set (1981-2011), which represents the prediction (hindcasting) phase, the performance of these thresholds has been tested. We found that the thresholds between 2.815 and 2.826 gave the best results (see Fig. 2, where $\Theta = 2.82$). The alarms were correct in 75% and the non-alarms in 86.4% of the cases. For Θ -values between 2.827 and 2.834, the performance was only slightly weaker. We like to note that for all calculations in the prediction phase, e.g., of the climatological average, only data from the past up to the prediction date have been considered.

2.2 Forecasting the next El Niño (2011 - present)

Based on this hindcasting capacity, the approach has already been used in [51] to extend the prediction phase from the end of 2011 until November 2013 and later in [38] and [39] until December 2020. We like to emphasize that in the forecasting phase, the algorithm does not contain any fit parameters since the decision thresholds are fixed and the mean link strengths only depend on the atmospheric temperature data.

Nine out of ten real predictions into the future for the years 2012-2021 turned out to be correct (see Fig. 3). These predictions were not trivial. For example, as late as August 2012, the Climate Prediction Center/International Research Institute for Climate and Society (CPC/IRI) Consensus Probabilistic ENSO forecast yielded a 3 in 4 likelihood for an El Niño event in 2012, which turned out to be incorrect only a few months later [10, 50]. In contrast, the network approach already forecasted the absence of an El Niño at the end of 2011. In 2013, our algorithm predicted the return of an El Niño event in 2014, since, in September 2013, $S(t)$ transgressed the alarm threshold band while the last available ONI (JJA 2013) was below 0.5°C , indicating the return of El Niño in 2014 (see Fig. 3). This early prediction was also correct: The El Niño event started in November 2014 (and ended in May 2016) [10]. For comparison, the furthest into the future (ASO 2014) IRI/CPC plume forecast probabilities in December 2013 were 46% for a neutral event, 44% for an El Niño, and 10% for a La Niña. In 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2018, $S(t)$ did not cross the threshold from below, thus indicating the absence of an El Niño onset in the respectively following years, which all turned out to be correct (see Fig. 3). In November 2017, $S(t)$ transgressed from below the lower threshold




Figure 3: The climate network-based forecasting phase. Same as Fig. 2 but for the period between January 2011 and December 2021. In 2021 the average link strength $S(t)$ did not cross the threshold from below, i.e., no alarm for an El Niño in 2022 was given. In the hindcasting and forecasting phase (1981-2020), our algorithm predicted 29 times (26 of which were correct) the absence of an El Niño onset. Thus the likelihood based on the past performance of the climate network approach for the absence of an El Niño in 2022 is 90%.

band between $S = 2.815$ and 2.826 . Since the last ONI, for ASO 2017, was below 0.5°C (-0.4°C), this indicated the return of El Niño in 2018 (see Fig. 3), which turned out to be correct.

In September 2019, $S(t)$ transgressed all thresholds, while the last ONI, JJA 2019, was below 0.5°C (0.3°C). This indicated the onset of an El Niño in 2020 with 80% probability. This prediction turned out to be incorrect since in 2020 a La Niña started. This was the first forecast error of the method and the first hindcast of forecast error since 2009 when the method missed the 2009/10 El Niño.

In 2020 $S(t)$ stayed above the threshold throughout the year (see Fig. 3), thus predicting the absence of an El Niño in 2021 with 89% probability [39]. This prediction turned out to be correct since a second La Niña started in 2021 (see Fig. 3).

Before coming to the forecast for the next year, let us discuss the probability that the same or a better outcome could be obtained by simply guessing using the climatological El Niño probabilities. In the 72 years between 1950 and 2021, 23 El Niños have started. Accordingly, the probability that an El Niño starts in a certain year is $23/72$. The probability to correctly forecast the El Niño onsets or their absences between 2012 and 2021 (the forecasting phase) is, therefore, $p = (23/72)^2(49/72)^8 \approx 0.00470$ and having 9 out of 10 correct forecasts with one false alarm $p = 8(23/72)^3(48/72)^7 \approx 0.0176$. Thus the probability for obtaining the same or a better forecast result by random guessing is $p \approx 0.022$, well below the significance level 0.05. Similarly, the probability that in the whole hindcasting and forecasting period, between 1982 and 2021, random guessing would yield an equal or better forecasting performance than our algorithm is $p \approx 4.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$.

In 2021 $S(t)$ did not cross the threshold from below throughout the year (see Fig. 3), thus predicting the absence of an El Niño in 2022 with 90% probability.

3 System Sample Entropy-based forecast

3.1 SysSampEn

The SysSampEn was introduced in [2] as an analysis tool to quantify the complexity (disorder) in a complex system, in particular, in the temperature anomaly time series in the Niño3.4 region. In the description and discussion of the method we follow closely [39]. The SysSampEn is a generalization of sample entropy (SampEn) and Cross-SampEn [52]. SampEn was introduced as a modification of approximate entropy [53,54]. It measures the complexity related to the Kolmogorov entropy [55], the rate of information production, of a process represented by single time series. The Cross-SampEn was introduced to measure the degree of asynchrony or dissimilarity between 2 related time series [52,56]. Both have been widely used in physiological fields, however, a complex system




Figure 4: The Niño3.4 area and the SysSampEn input data. The red circles indicate the 22 nodes in the Niño 3.4 region with a spatial resolution of 5×5 . The curves are examples of the temperature anomaly time series for 3 nodes in the Niño 3.4 region for one specific year, and several examples of their subsequences are marked in black. Figure from [2].

such as the climate system is usually composed of several related time series (e.g., curves in Fig. 4). Therefore, the SysSampEn [2] was introduced as a measure of the system complexity, to quantify simultaneously the mean temporal disorder degree of all of the time series in a complex system and the asynchrony among them. Specifically, it is approximately equal to the negative natural logarithm of the conditional probability that 2 subsequences similar (within a certain tolerance range) for m consecutive data points remain similar for the next p points, where the subsequences can originate from either the same or different time series (e.g., black curves in Fig. 4), that is,

$$SysSampEn(m, p, l_{eff}, \gamma) = -\log\left(\frac{A}{B}\right), \quad (1)$$

where A is the number of pairs of similar subsequences of length $m + p$, B is the number of pairs of similar subsequences of length m , $l_{eff} \leq l$ is the number of data points used in the calculation for each time series of length l , and γ is a constant which determines the tolerance range. The detailed definition of SysSampEn for an arbitrary complex system composed of N time series is described in detail in [2]. When $N = 1$, $p = 1$, and $l_{eff} = l$, the definition is equivalent to the classical SampEn [52].

As is the case for SampEn and Cross-SampEn, before the SysSampEn can be used as an effective tool, appropriate parameter values have to be identified since only certain value combinations can be used to estimate a system's complexity with considerable accuracy. The method how to choose parameter values, which yield to a high accuracy when estimating a system's complexity, is described in detail in [2]. We like to note that identifying the parameters, which yield to a high accuracy, is fully independent of any El Niño magnitude analysis or forecasts. In [2], it was found the previous year's $(y - 1)$ SysSampEn exhibits a strong positive correlation ($r = 0.90$ on average) with the magnitude of an El Niño in year y when parameter combinations are used that are able to quantify a system's complexity with high accuracy.

The linear relationship between SysSampEn and El Niño magnitude enables the prediction of the magnitude of an upcoming El Niño when the current $(y - 1)$ SysSampEn is inserted into the linear regression equation between the two quantities. If the result is below 0.5°C , the absence of an El Niño is forecasted. In other words, SysSampEn values below a certain threshold forecast the absence of an El Niño onset in the following year. Also, an El Niño onset for the following year is only forecasted if the ONI in December of the current year is below 0.5°C and the SysSampEn is above a certain threshold.

3.2 Forecast for 2022

Here we use as input data the daily near-surface (1000 hPa) air temperatures of the ERA5 reanalysis from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) [57] analysed at a 5




Figure 5: Forecasted and observed El Niño magnitudes. The magnitude forecast is shown as the height of rectangles in the year when the forecast is made, i.e., one year ahead of a potential El Niño. The forecast is obtained by inserting the regarded calendar year’s SysSampEn value into the linear regression function between SysSampEn and El Niño magnitude. To forecast the following year’s condition, we use the ERA5 daily near-surface (1000 hPa) temperatures with the set of SysSampEn parameters ($m = 30$, $p = 30$, $\gamma = 8$ and $l_{eff} = 330$), which were obtained in [2]. The red curve shows the ONI and the red shades highlight the El Niño periods. The blue rectangles show the correct prediction of an El Niño in the following calendar year. The onset of an El Niño in the following year is predicted if the forecasted magnitude is above 0.5C and the current year’s December ONI is $< 0.5\text{C}$. White dashed rectangles show correct forecasts for the absence of an El Niño. Grey bars with a violet border show false alarms. The pink rectangle shows the missed El Niño event 2009/10. For 2021 the SysSampEn has a high value of 1.95. When inserting it into the regression function between SysSampEn and El Niño magnitude, this yield to a forecasted El Niño magnitude of $1.90 \pm 0.23\text{C}$. There were 13 occurrences of high SysSampEn accompanied by a low ONI in December, as is the case in 2021 (green rectangle). In 9 out of these 13 cases, the hindcast was correct. Thus the method predicts with 69% probability the onset of an El Niño in 2022.

resolution. The last month (Dec) in 2021 is from the initial data release ERA5T, which in contrast to ERA5, only lags a few days behind real-time. We preprocess the daily time series by subtracting the corresponding climatological mean and then dividing by the climatological standard deviation. We start in 1984 and use the previous years to calculate the first anomalies. For the calculation of the climatological mean and standard deviation, only past data up to the year of the prediction are used. For simplicity, leap days are excluded. We apply for the ERA5 data the same parameter combination for the SysSampEn as in [2]: $m = 30$, $p = 30$, $\gamma = 8$ and $l_{eff} = 330$.

Figure 5 shows the results of the analysis. The magnitude forecast is shown as the height of rectangles in the year when the forecast is made, i.e., 1 year ahead of a potential El Niño onset. The forecast is obtained by inserting the regarded calendar year’s SysSampEn value into the linear regression function between SysSampEn and El Niño magnitude. The regression function for the 2022 forecast is obtained from the best linear fit between the two quantifies for all correctly hindcasted El Niño events before 2021. The red curve shows the ONI and the red shades indicate the El Niño periods. The blue rectangles show the correct prediction of an El Niño in the following calendar year and grey rectangles with a violet border show false alarms. There are 11 occurrences of low SysSampEn accompanied by a lower than 0.5C ONI in December. In 10 out of these 11 cases, the hindcast was correct. White dashed rectangles show correct forecasts for the absence of an El Niño. Only one El Niño event was not predicted, 2009/10, shown as a pink rectangle. There are 13 cases where the forecasted magnitude is above 0.5C while the ONI in December is below 0.5C , 9 of these cases were followed by an El Niño, while the remaining 4 cases are false alarms. The forecasted El Niño magnitude for 2022 is $1.90 \pm 0.23\text{C}$, that is, well above 0.5C , as shown by the green rectangle with a black border. The SysSampEn value for 2021 is 1.95, i.e., well above the threshold value of 1.35. Therefore the method predicts with 69% probability the onset of an El Niño in 2022.

Acknowledgements

J.L. thanks the East Africa Peru India Climate Capacities (EPICC) project, which is part of the International Climate Initiative (IKI). The Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety (BMU) supports this initiative on the basis of a decision adopted by the German Bundestag.

References

- [1] Ludescher J, Gozolchiani A, Bogachev MI, Bunde A, Havlin S, Schellnhuber HJ (2013) Improved El Niño forecasting by cooperativity detection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110:11742-11745; *ibid.* doi:10.1073/pnas.1317354110.
- [2] Meng J, Fan J, Ludescher J, Ankit A, Chen X, Bunde A, Kurths J, Schellnhuber HJ (2019) Complexity based approach for El Niño magnitude forecasting before the spring predictability barrier. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 117:177-183; *idid.* doi:10.1073/pnas.1917007117.
- [3] Dijkstra HA (2005) *Nonlinear Physical Oceanography: A Dynamical Systems Approach to the Large-Scale Ocean Circulation and El Niño* (Springer, New York).
- [4] Clarke AJ (2008) *An Introduction to the Dynamics of El Niño and the Southern Oscillation* (Elsevier Academic Press, London).
- [5] Sarachik ES, Cane MA (2010) *The El Niño-Southern Oscillation Phenomenon* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge).
- [6] Power S, Delage F, Chung C, Kociuba G, Keay K (2013) Robust twenty-first-century projections of El Niño and related precipitation variability. *Nature* 502:541-545.
- [7] Wang C, et al. (2017) El Niño and Southern Oscillation (ENSO): A review, in: *Coral Reefs of the Eastern Tropical Pacific*, eds Glymn PW, Manzello D, Enochs IC (Springer, Berlin).
- [8] Timmermann A, et al. (2018) El Niño-Southern Oscillation complexity. *Nature* 559:535-545.
- [9] McPhaden MJ, Santoso A, Cai W (Eds.) (2020) *El Niño Southern Oscillation in a Changing Climate* (John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken).
- [10] National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Climate Prediction Center. https://origin.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/ensostuff/ONI_v5.php
- [11] Davis M (2001) *Late Victorian Holocaust: El Niño Famines and the Making of the Third World* (Verso, London & New York).
- [12] Wen C (2002) Impacts of El Niño and La Niña on the cycle of the East Asian winter and summer monsoon. *Chin J Atmos Sci* 26:359-376.
- [13] Kovats RS, Bouma MJ, Hajat S, Worrall E, Haines A (2003) El Niño and health. *Lancet* 362:1481-1489.
- [14] Donnelly JP, Woodruff JD (2007) Intense hurricane activity over the past 5,000 years controlled by El Niño and the West African monsoon. *Nature* 447:465-468.
- [15] Corral A, Ossó A, Llebot JE (2010) Scaling of tropical-cyclone dissipation. *Nature Phys* 6:693-696.
- [16] Cane MA, Zebiak SE, Dolan SC (1986) Experimental forecasts of El Niño. *Nature* 321:827-832.
- [17] Latif M et al. (1994) A review of ENSO prediction studies. *Clim Dyn* 9:167-179.
- [18] Penland C und Sardeshmukh PD (1995) The optimal growth of tropical sea surface temperature anomalies. *J Clim* 8:1999-2024.

- [19] Tziperman E, Scher H, Zebiak SE, Cane MA (1997) Controlling Spatiotemporal Chaos in a Realistic El Niño Prediction Model. *Phys Rev Lett* 79:1034-1037.
- [20] Kirtman BP, Schopf PS (1998) Decadal Variability in ENSO Predictability and Prediction. *J Clim* 11:2804-2822.
- [21] Landsea CW, Knaff JA (2000) How much skill was there in forecasting the very strong 1997-98 El Niño? *Bull Amer Meteor Soc* 81:2107-2119.
- [22] Fedorov AV, Harper SL, Philander SG, Winter B, Wittenberg A (2003) How Predictable is El Niño? *Bull Amer Meteor Soc* 84:911-919.
- [23] Galanti E, Tziperman E, Rosati A, Sirkes Z (2003) A Study of ENSO Prediction Using a Hybrid Coupled Model and the Adjoint Method for Data Assimilation. *Mon Weather Rev* 131:2748-2764.
- [24] Kirtman BP (2003) The COLA anomaly coupled model: Ensemble ENSO prediction. *Monthly Weather Review* 131:2324-2341.
- [25] Chen D, Cane MA, Kaplan A, Zebiak SE, Huang D (2004) Predictability of El Niño over the past 148 years. *Nature* 428:733-736.
- [26] Müller P, von Storch H (2010) *Computer modelling in atmospheric and oceanic sciences: Building Knowledge* (Springer, Berlin).
- [27] Palmer T, Hagedorn R (2006) *Predictability of Weather and Climate* (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK).
- [28] Chen D, Cane MA (2008) El Niño prediction and predictability. *J Comput Phys* 227:3625–3640.
- [29] Luo JJ, Masson S, Behera SK, Yamagata T (2008) Extended ENSO predictions using a fully coupled ocean-atmosphere model. *J Clim* 21(1):84-93.
- [30] Yeh SW et al. (2009) El Niño in a changing climate. *Nature* 461:511-514.
- [31] Chekroun MD, Kondrashov D, Ghil M (2011). Predicting stochastic systems by noise sampling, and application to the El Niño-Southern Oscillation. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 108(29):11766–11771.
- [32] Chapman D, Cane MA, Henderson N, Lee DE, Chen C (2015) A Vector Autoregressive ENSO Prediction Model. *J Clim* 28:8511-8520.
- [33] Meng J, Fan J, Ashkenazy Y, Bunde A, Havlin S (2018) Forecasting the magnitude and onset of El Niño based on climate network *New J Phys* 20:043036.
- [34] Noteboom PD, Feng QY, Lopez C, Hernández-García, Dijkstra HA (2018) Using network theory and machine learning to predict El Niño. *Earth Syst Dynam* 9:969-983.
- [35] Ham YG, Kim JH, Luo, JJ (2019) Deep learning for multi-year ENSO forecasts. *Nature*, 573, 568-572.
- [36] Webster PJ (1995) The annual cycle and the predictability of the tropical coupled ocean-atmosphere system. *Meteorol Atmos Phys* 56:33-55.
- [37] Goddard L et al. (2001) Current approaches to seasonal to interannual climate predictions. *Int J Clim* 21:1111-1152.
- [38] Ludescher J, Bunde A, Havlin S, Schellnhuber HJ (2019) Very early warning signal for El Niño in 2020 with a 4 in 5 likelihood. arXiv:1910.14642.
- [39] Ludescher J, Meng J, Fan J (2021) Climate network and complexity based El Niño forecast for 2021. arXiv:2102.02192.

- [40] Tsonis AA, Swanson KL, Roebber PJ (2006) What do networks have to do with climate? *Bull Amer Meteor Soc* 87:585-595.
- [41] Yamasaki K, Gozolchiani A, Havlin S (2008) Climate networks around the globe are significantly affected by El Niño. *Phys Rev Lett* 100:228501.
- [42] Donges JF, Zou Y, Marwan N, Kurths, J (2009) The backbone of the climate network. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* 87:48007.
- [43] Gozolchiani A, Havlin S, Yamasaki K (2011) Emergence of El Niño as an autonomous component in the climate network. *Phys Rev Lett* 107:148501.
- [44] Dijkstra HA, Hernández-García E, Masoller C, Barreiro M (2019) *Networks in Climate* (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK).
- [45] Fan J, Meng J, Ludescher J, Chen X, Ashkenazy Y, Kurths J, Havlin S, Schellnhuber HJ (2020) Statistical physics approaches to the complex Earth system. *Physics Reports* 896:1-84.
- [46] Ludescher J, Martin M, Boers N, Bunde A, Ciemer C, Fan J, Havlin S, Kretschmer M, Kurths J, Runge J, Stolbova V, Surovyatkina E, Schellnhuber HJ (2021) Network-based forecasting of climate phenomena. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 118(47) e1922872118, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1922872118.
- [47] Fan J, Meng J, Ludescher J, Li Z, Surovyatkina E, Chen X, Kurths J, Schellnhuber HJ (2022) Network-based approach and climate change benefits for forecasting the amount of indian monsoon rainfall. *Journal of Climate*, 35(3), 1009-1020.
- [48] Kalnay et al (1996) The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. *Bull Am Meteorol Soc* 77:437-471.
- [49] National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Earth System Research Laboratory. <http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.ncep/reanalysis.html>
- [50] International Research Institute for Climate and Society, Earth Institute. <https://iri.columbia.edu/our-expertise/climate/forecasts/enso/current/>
- [51] Ludescher J, Gozolchiani A, Bogachev MI, Bunde A, Havlin S, Schellnhuber HJ (2014) Very early warning of next El Niño. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111:2064-2066; *ibid.* doi: 10.1073/pnas.1323058111.
- [52] Richman JS, Moorman JR (2000) Physiological time-series analysis using approximate entropy and sample entropy. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 278, H2039-2049.
- [53] Pincus S (1995) Approximate entropy (ApEn) as a complexity measure. *Chaos* 5, 110-117.
- [54] Pincus SM (1995) Quantifying complexity and regularity of neurobiological systems. *Methods Neurosci* 28, 336-363.
- [55] Kolmogorov AN (1958) New metric invariant of transitive dynamical systems and automorphisms of Lebesgue spaces. *Dokl Akad Nauk SSSR* 119, 861-864.
- [56] Pincus S, Singer BH (1996) Randomness and degrees of irregularity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 93, 2083-2088.
- [57] ERA5 Climate reanalysis. <https://climate.copernicus.eu/climate-reanalysis?q=products/climate-reanalysis>.