

On the structure of the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$ of the neutron beta decay

A. N. Ivanov,^{1,*} R. Höllwieser,^{1,2,†} N. I. Troitskaya,^{1,‡} M. Wellenzohn,^{1,3,§} and Ya. A. Berdnikov^{4,¶}

¹*Atominstytut, Technische Universität Wien, Stadionallee 2, A-1020 Wien, Austria*

²*Department of Physics, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico 88003, USA*

³*FH Campus Wien, University of Applied Sciences, Favoritenstraße 226, 1100 Wien, Austria*

⁴*Peter the Great St. Petersburg Polytechnic University,
Polytechnicheskaya 29, 195251, Russian Federation*

(Dated: March 24, 2021)

In the standard effective $V - A$ theory of low-energy weak interactions (i.e. in the Standard Model (SM)) we analyze the structure of the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$, where E_e is the electron energy. These correlation coefficients were introduced to the electron-energy and angular distribution of the neutron beta decay by Ebel and Feldman (Nucl. Phys. **4**, 213 (1957)) in addition to the set of correlation coefficients proposed by Jackson *et al.* (Phys. Rev. **106**, 517 (1957)). The correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$ are induced by simultaneous correlations of the neutron and electron spins and electron and antineutrino 3-momenta. These correlation structures do not violate discrete P, C and T symmetries. We analyze the contributions of the radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$, taken to leading order in the large nucleon mass m_N expansion, and corrections of order $O(E_e/m_N)$, caused by weak magnetism and proton recoil. In addition to the obtained SM corrections we calculate the contributions of interactions beyond the SM (BSM contributions) in terms of the phenomenological coupling constants of BSM interactions by Jackson *et al.* (Phys. Rev. **106**, 517 (1957)) and the *second class* currents by Weinberg (Phys. Rev. **112**, 1375 (1958)).

PACS numbers: 12.15.Ff, 13.15.+g, 23.40.Bw, 26.65.+t

I. INTRODUCTION

The general form of the electron-energy and angular distribution of the neutron beta decay for polarized neutrons, polarized electrons and unpolarized protons were proposed by Jackson *et al.* [1] and Ebel and Feldman [2]. In the notations of Ref. [3] it looks like

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d^5\lambda_n(E_e, \vec{k}_e, \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}, \vec{\xi}_n, \vec{\xi}_e)}{dE_e d\Omega_e d\Omega_{\bar{\nu}}} &\propto \zeta(E_e) \left\{ 1 + b(E_e) \frac{m_e}{E_e} + a(E_e) \frac{\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} + A(E_e) \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_e}{E_e} + B(E_e) \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \right. \\
 &+ K_n(E_e) \frac{(\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_e^2 E_{\bar{\nu}}} + Q_n(E_e) \frac{(\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})(\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}^2} + D(E_e) \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot (\vec{k}_e \times \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} + G(E_e) \frac{\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_e}{E_e} \\
 &+ H(E_e) \frac{\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} + N(E_e) \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\xi}_e + Q_e(E_e) \frac{(\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{\xi}_e)}{(E_e + m_e) E_e} + K_e(E_e) \frac{(\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{(E_e + m_e) E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} \\
 &+ R(E_e) \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot (\vec{k}_e \times \vec{\xi}_e)}{E_e} + L(E_e) \frac{\vec{\xi}_e \cdot (\vec{k}_e \times \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} + S(E_e) \frac{(\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\xi}_e)(\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} + T(E_e) \frac{(\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})(\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_e)}{E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} \\
 &\left. + U(E_e) \frac{(\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} + V(E_e) \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot (\vec{\xi}_e \times \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} + W(E_e) \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot (\vec{k}_e \times \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})(\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_e)}{(E_e + m_e) E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} \right\}, \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{\xi}_n$ and $\vec{\xi}_e$ are unit 3-vectors of spin-polarizations of the neutron and electron, (E_e, \vec{k}_e) and $(E_{\bar{\nu}}, \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})$ are energies and 3-momenta of the electron and antineutrino, $d\Omega_e$ and $d\Omega_{\bar{\nu}}$ are infinitesimal solid angles in directions of 3-momenta of the electron and antineutrino, respectively.

*Electronic address: ivanov@kph.tuwien.ac.at

†Electronic address: roman.hoellwieser@gmail.com

‡Electronic address: natroitskaya@yandex.ru

§Electronic address: max.wellenzohn@gmail.com

¶Electronic address: berdnikov@spbstu.ru

The analysis of the distribution in Eq.(1) within the standard effective $V - A$ theory of low-energy weak interactions [4–7] (i.e within the Standard Model (SM)), carried out to leading order in the large nucleon mass m_N expansion [3], has shown that correlation coefficients $a(E_e)$, $A(E_e)$, $B(E_e)$, $G(E_e)$, $H(E_e)$, $N(E_e)$, $Q_e(E_e)$ and $K_e(E_e)$ of the electron-energy and angular distribution by Jackson *et al.* [1] and the correlation coefficient $T(E_e)$, introduced by Ebel and Feldman [2], survive and depend on the axial coupling constant g_A only [8–10], which appears in the effective $V - A$ theory of low-energy weak interactions by renormalization of the hadronic axial-vector current by strong low-energy interactions [5, 11]. The function $\zeta(E_e)$ defines the contributions of different corrections to the neutron lifetime [16]. In the SM it is equal to unity in the leading order of the large nucleon mass m_N expansion and at the neglect of radiative corrections [6, 7] (see also [16]). In Refs. [12–15] (see also [16]) the radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$ (or so-called *outer* model-independent radiative corrections [17]) were calculated to leading order in the large nucleon mass m_N expansion to the neutron lifetime and correlation coefficients $a(E_e)$, caused by electron-antineutrino 3-momentum correlations, $A(E_e)$ and $B(E_e)$, defining the electron- and antineutrino asymmetries, respectively. In turn, the *outer* radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$ were calculated to leading order in the large nucleon mass m_N expansion to the correlation coefficients $G(E_e)$, $H(E_e)$, $N(E_e)$, $Q_e(E_e)$, and $K_e(E_e)$ in [18, 19] and to the correlation coefficient $T(E_e)$ in [3]. These correlation coefficients are induced by correlations of the electron spin with a neutron spin and 3-momenta of the electron and antineutrino. The corrections of order $O(E_e/m_N)$, caused by weak magnetism and proton recoil, were calculated i) to the neutron lifetime and correlation coefficients $a(E_e)$, $A(E_e)$ and $B(E_e)$ in [20, 21] (see also [14–16]), ii) to the correlation coefficients $G(E_e)$, $H(E_e)$, $N(E_e)$, $Q_e(E_e)$ and $K_e(E_e)$ in [18, 19] and iii) to the correlation coefficient $T(E_e)$ in [3]. The correlation coefficients $D(E_e)$, $R(E_e)$ and $L(E_e)$, characterizing the strength of violation of time reversal invariance (T-odd effect) [22], are induced by the distortion of the Dirac wave function of the decay electron in the Coulomb field of the decay proton [23–26] (see also [19]). The correlation coefficient $b(E_e)$ is the Fierz interference term [27]. It is assumed that the Fierz interference term is caused by interactions beyond the SM [27]. As regards the contemporary experimental and theoretical status of the Fierz interference term we refer to Refs. [28] - [34]. So one may conclude that the neutron lifetime and the correlation coefficients of the electron-energy and angular distribution of the neutron beta decay proposed by Jackson *et al.* [1] are investigated theoretically well in the SM at the level of $10^{-4} - 10^{-3}$, caused by the *outer* radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$ and the corrections of order $O(E_e/m_N)$, induced by weak magnetism and proton recoil.

This paper is addressed to the analysis of the structure of the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$, introduced by Ebel and Feldman [2]. As has been shown in [3] these correlation coefficients do not survive to leading order in the large nucleon mass m_N expansion in contrast to the correlation coefficient $T(E_e)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II we adduce the analytical expressions for the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$ in dependence of i) the radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$, calculated to leading order in the large nucleon mass m_N expansion, and ii) the corrections of order $O(E_e/m_N)$, caused by weak magnetism and proton recoil. In section III we give the contributions of interactions beyond the SM, expressed in terms of the phenomenological coupling constants of the effective phenomenological BSM interactions by Jackson *et al.* [1] and the contributions of the *second class* currents by Weinberg [35]. In section IV we give the total expressions for the $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$. We discuss the obtained results and the usage of these correlation coefficients for experimental searches of interactions beyond the SM. We point out that the obtained SM theoretical background of the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$ at the level a few parts of 10^{-4} should be very useful for experimental searches of contributions of interactions beyond the SM in the experiments with transversally polarized decay electrons [36]. In Appendices A and B of the Supplemental Material within the SM we give in details the calculations of the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$ and the analysis of the correlation structure of the neutron radiative beta decay for polarized neutrons, polarized electrons, unpolarized protons and unpolarized photons.

II. CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS $S(E_e)$ AND $U(E_e)$ IN THE STANDARD MODEL

In the SM with the account for the contributions of the radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$ and the corrections of order $O(E_e/m_N)$, caused by weak magnetism and proton recoil, the neutron beta decay can be described by the standard effective $V - A$ low-energy weak interaction [4, 5] and electromagnetic interaction with the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{W\gamma}(x) = \mathcal{L}_W(x) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{em}}(x), \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_W(x)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{em}}(x)$ are the Lagrangian of the standard effective $V - A$ low-energy weak interactions [4, 5] (see also [16])

$$\mathcal{L}_W(x) = -G_V \left\{ [\bar{\psi}_p(x)\gamma_\mu(1 - g_A\gamma^5)\psi_n(x)] + \frac{\kappa}{2m_N} \partial^\nu [\bar{\psi}_p(x)\sigma_{\mu\nu}\psi_n(x)] \right\} [\bar{\psi}_e(x)\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma^5)\psi_\nu(x)] \quad (3)$$

and the Lagrangian of electromagnetic interactions [22]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{em}}(x) = -e\{[\bar{\psi}_p(x)\gamma_\mu\psi_p(x)] - [\bar{\psi}_e(x)\gamma^\mu\psi_e(x)]\}A_\mu(x), \quad (4)$$

respectively, where G_V is the vector weak coupling constant, including the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix element V_{ud} [10], g_A is the real axial coupling constant [8, 9], $\psi_p(x)$, $\psi_n(x)$, $\psi_e(x)$ and $\psi_\nu(x)$ are the field operators of the proton, neutron, electron and antineutrino, respectively, $\gamma^\mu = (\gamma^0, \vec{\gamma})$, γ^5 and $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu)$ are the Dirac matrices [22]; $\kappa = \kappa_p - \kappa_n = 3.7059$ is the isovector anomalous magnetic moment of the nucleon, defined by the anomalous magnetic moments of the proton $\kappa_p = 1.7929$ and the neutron $\kappa_n = -1.9130$ and measured in nuclear magneton [10], and $m_N = (m_n + m_p)/2$ is the average nucleon mass; e is the electric charge of the proton, and $A_\mu(x)$ is a 4-vector electromagnetic potential.

For the calculation of the correlation coefficients under consideration we use the amplitude of the neutron beta decay, calculated in [16] (see also [19] and the Supplemental Material). The detailed calculation we have carried out in the Supplemental Material. Below we adduce only the obtained results.

Analytical expressions for the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$ in the Standard Model

In Eq.(A-9) of Appendix A in the Supplemental Material we have defined the general expression for the structure part of the electron-energy and angular distribution of the neutron beta decay for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and an unpolarized proton. According to this expression, we have shown that the contributions of the radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$, caused by one-virtual photon exchanges [12–15] (for the detailed calculations we refer to [16]) do not appear in the correlations coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$, respectively. In Appendix B of the Supplemental Material we have shown that the neutron radiative beta decay $n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e + \gamma$ does not contribute to the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$. It is well-known [37–41] (see also [12, 13] and [16]) that the contribution of the neutron radiative beta decay is extremely needed for cancellation of the infrared divergences in the radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$, caused by one-virtual photon exchanges.

Thus (see Eq.(A-9)) the contributions, caused by the SM interactions, appear in the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$ only due to weak magnetism and proton recoil. For the correlation coefficients $\zeta(E_e)^{(\text{SM})}S(E_e)^{(\text{SM})}$ and $\zeta(E_e)^{(\text{SM})}U(E_e)^{(\text{SM})}$ we have obtained the following analytical expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(E_e)^{(\text{SM})}S(E_e)^{(\text{SM})} &= \frac{1}{1 + 3g_A^2} \frac{m_e}{m_N} (-5g_A^2 - g_A(\kappa - 4) + (\kappa + 1)), \\ \zeta(E_e)^{(\text{SM})}U(E_e)^{(\text{SM})} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where for the calculation of the corrections of order $O(E_e/m_N)$, caused weak magnetism and proton recoil, we have taken into account the contribution of the phase-volume of the neutron beta decay (see Eq.(A-3)). The correlation function $\zeta(E_e)^{(\text{SM})}$ was calculated in [15, 16]. It is equal to unity at the neglect of the contributions of radiative corrections and corrections, caused by weak magnetism and proton recoil. Hence, the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)^{(\text{SM})}$ and $U(E_e)^{(\text{SM})}$, including the SM contributions of order $O(E_e/m_N)$, are equal to

$$\begin{aligned} S(E_e)^{(\text{SM})} &= \frac{1}{1 + 3g_A^2} \frac{m_e}{m_N} (-5g_A^2 - g_A(\kappa - 4) + (\kappa + 1)), \\ U(E_e)^{(\text{SM})} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Now we may move on to calculating the contributions of interactions beyond the SM.

III. CONTRIBUTIONS OF INTERACTIONS BEYOND THE STANDARD MODEL AND *SECOND CLASS CURRENTS OF THE G-ODD CORRELATIONS*

For the calculation of the contributions of interactions beyond the SM we use the effective phenomenological Lagrangian of BSM interactions proposed by Jackson *et al.* [1] (see also [42, 43]). In turn, the account for the contributions of the *second class* currents or the *G-odd* correlations (see [44]) we follow Weinberg [35], Gardner and Zhang [45], and Gardner and Plaster [46] (see also [3, 19, 47]). Skipping intermediate calculations we give the results

$$\begin{aligned} S(E_e)^{(\text{BSM})} &= \frac{1}{1 + 3g_A^2} \text{Re}(C_T - \bar{C}_T) - (\text{Re}g_2(0) - \text{Re}f_3(0)) \frac{2g_A}{1 + 3g_A^2} \frac{m_e}{m_N}, \\ U(E_e)^{(\text{BSM})} &= -\frac{1}{1 + 3g_A^2} \text{Re}(C_T - \bar{C}_T) + (\text{Re}g_2(0) - g_A \text{Re}f_3(0)) \frac{2}{1 + 3g_A^2} \frac{m_e}{m_N}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where C_T and \bar{C}_T are the phenomenological tensor coupling constants of the effective phenomenological BSM interactions by Jackson *et al.* [1], and $\text{Ref}_3(0)$ and $\text{Reg}_2(0)$ are the phenomenological coupling constants of the induced scalar and tensor *second class* currents [35, 45, 46] (see also [28]), respectively. The contributions of the tensor BSM interactions by Jackson *et al.* [1] are linear in the phenomenological tensor coupling constants C_T and \bar{C}_T . This agrees well with the result obtained by Ebel and Feldman [2]. However, in addition to the result obtained by Ebel and Feldman [2] we, following [48–52] (see also [3, 16, 18, 19, 47]), have taken the contributions of the phenomenological vector coupling constants C_V and \bar{C}_V in the linear approximation, i.e. $C_V = 1 + \delta C_V$ and $\bar{C}_V = -1 + \delta \bar{C}_V$, where we have used the notations of [3, 16, 18, 19, 47].

IV. DISCUSSION

We have analyzed the structure of the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$, introduced by Ebel and Feldman [2] in addition to the set of correlation coefficients proposed by Jackson *et al.* [1]. Summing up the SM contributions, caused by weak magnetism and proton recoil only, and contributions beyond the SM we obtain the following expressions

$$\begin{aligned} S(E_e) &= \frac{1}{1+3g_A^2} \frac{m_e}{m_N} (-5g_A^2 - g_A(\kappa - 4) + (\kappa + 1)) + \frac{1}{1+3g_A^2} \text{Re}(C_T - \bar{C}_T) \\ &\quad - (\text{Reg}_2(0) - \text{Ref}_3(0)) \frac{2g_A}{1+3g_A^2} \frac{m_e}{m_N}, \\ U(E_e) &= -\frac{1}{1+3g_A^2} \text{Re}(C_T - \bar{C}_T) + (\text{Reg}_2(0) - g_A \text{Ref}_3(0)) \frac{2}{1+3g_A^2} \frac{m_e}{m_N}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

For the axial coupling constant $g_A = 1.2764$ [8] the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} S(E_e) &= -2.83 \times 10^{-4} + 0.17 \left(\text{Re}(C_T - \bar{C}_T) + 1.39 \times 10^{-3} \text{Ref}_3(0) \right) - 2.36 \times 10^{-4} \text{Reg}_2(0), \\ U(E_e) &= -0.17 \left(\text{Re}(C_T - \bar{C}_T) + 1.39 \times 10^{-3} \text{Ref}_3(0) \right) + 1.85 \times 10^{-4} \text{Reg}_2(0), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where we have also used $m_e = 0.5110$ MeV and $m_N = (m_n + m_p)/2 = 938.9188$ MeV [10].

We would like to notice that the correlation structures of the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$ and as well as the correlation coefficients $T(E_e)$ are even with respect to parity transformation (P-even), charge conjugation (C-even) and time reversal transformation (T-even). However, in contrast to the correlation coefficient $T(E_e)$, the absolute value of which is of about $|T(E_e)| \sim 1$, the absolute values of the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$ are of a few orders of magnitude smaller. It is also important to mention that unlike the correlation coefficient $T(E_e)$ the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$ do not depend on the electron energy E_e .

The correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$ can, in principle, be investigated in experiments with both longitudinally and transversally polarized decay electrons [36] (see also [3]). However, a successful results for searches of interactions beyond the SM one may expect only from experiments with experimental uncertainties of about a few parts of 10^{-5} . In this case any deviation of the correlation coefficient $S(E_e)$ from -2.83×10^{-4} , caused by weak magnetism and proton recoil, should testify a presence of interactions beyond the SM. Since most likely $f_3(0) = 0$ [28, 29] (see also [53]) the contributions of the phenomenological tensor BSM interactions by Jackson *et al.* [1], proportional to $\text{Re}(C_T - \bar{C}_T)$, can be distinguished from the contributions of the tensor *second class* current, defined by the phenomenological tensor coupling constant $\text{Reg}_2(0)$, only after the measurement of the contribution of the phenomenological tensor *second class* currents to the correlation coefficient $T(E_e)$ [3]. In case of $f_3(0) \neq 0$ and if in the neutron beta decay the absolute value of the Fierz interference term b could be of order 10^{-2} (see, for example, [30, 32]) after the measurement of the phenomenological tensor coupling constant $\text{Reg}_2(0)$ from the correlation coefficient $T(E_e)$, the contribution of the scalar coupling constant $\text{Ref}_3(0)$ to the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$ could be screened by the contributions of the phenomenological tensor coupling constants $\text{Re}(C_T - \bar{C}_T)$ of the phenomenological tensor BSM interactions by Jackson *et al.* [1].

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Hartmut Abele for discussions stimulating this work. The work of A. N. Ivanov was supported by the Austrian ‘‘Fonds zur F6rderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung’’ (FWF) under contracts P31702-N27 and P26636-N20, and ‘‘Deutsche F6rderungsgemeinschaft’’ (DFG) AB 128/5-2. The work of R. H6llwieser was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft in the SFB/TR 55. The work of M. Wellenzohn was supported by the MA 23 (FH-Call 16) under the project ‘‘Photonik - Stiftungsprofessur f6ur Lehre’’.

VI. THE SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Appendix A: The electron-energy and angular distribution of the neutron beta decay for polarized neutrons, polarized electrons and unpolarized protons

Following [16, 18, 19] (see also [3]) we define the electron-energy and angular distribution of the neutron beta decay for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron, and an unpolarized proton as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^5 \lambda_{\beta_c^- \gamma}(E_e, \vec{k}_e, \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}, \vec{\xi}_n, \vec{\xi}_e)}{dE_e d\Omega_e d\Omega_{\bar{\nu}}} &= (1 + 3g_A^2) \frac{|G_V|^2}{16\pi^5} (E_0 - E_e)^2 \sqrt{E_e^2 - m_e^2} E_e F(E_e, Z = 1) \\ &\times \Phi_n(\vec{k}_e, \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}) \sum_{\text{pol.}} \frac{|M(n \rightarrow pe^- \bar{\nu}_e)|^2}{(1 + 3g_A^2) |G_V|^2 64 m_n^2 E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A-1})$$

where the sum is over polarizations of massive fermions. Then, $F(E_e, Z = 1)$ is the relativistic Fermi function, describing the electron–proton final–state Coulomb interaction, is equal to (see, for example, [54] (see also [21] and a discussion in [18])

$$F(E_e, Z = 1) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\gamma\right) \frac{4(2r_p m_e \beta)^{2\gamma}}{\Gamma^2(3 + 2\gamma)} \frac{e^{\pi\alpha/\beta}}{(1 - \beta^2)^\gamma} \left| \Gamma\left(1 + \gamma + i\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) \right|^2, \quad (\text{A-2})$$

where $\beta = k_e/E_e = \sqrt{E_e^2 - m_e^2}/E_e$ is the electron velocity, $\gamma = \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} - 1$, r_p is the electric radius of the proton [55]. The function $\Phi_n(\vec{k}_e, \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})$ defines the contribution of the phase-volume of the neutron beta decay [16, 56]. It is equal to [16, 56]

$$\Phi_n(\vec{k}_e, \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}) = 1 + 3 \frac{E_e}{m_N} \left(1 - \frac{\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}}\right), \quad (\text{A-3})$$

taken to next-to-leading order in the large nucleon mass m_N expansion. The amplitude of the neutron beta decay $M(n \rightarrow pe^- \bar{\nu}_e)$, taking into account the contribution of the corrections, caused by one-virtual photon exchanges, weak magnetism and proton recoil, was calculated in [16] (see also [19]). It is given by

$$\begin{aligned} M(n \rightarrow pe^- \bar{\nu}_e) &= -2m_n G_V \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} f_{\beta_c^-}(E_e, \mu)\right) [\varphi_p^\dagger \varphi_n] [\bar{u}_e \gamma^0 (1 - \gamma^5) v_{\bar{\nu}}] \right. \\ &+ \tilde{g}_A \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} f_{\beta_c^-}(E_e, \mu)\right) [\varphi_p^\dagger \vec{\sigma} \varphi_n] \cdot [\bar{u}_e \vec{\gamma} (1 - \gamma^5) v_{\bar{\nu}}] - \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} g_F(E_e) [\varphi_p^\dagger \varphi_n] [\bar{u}_e (1 - \gamma^5) v_{\bar{\nu}}] \\ &- \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \tilde{g}_A g_F(E_e) [\varphi_p^\dagger \vec{\sigma} \varphi_n] \cdot [\bar{u}_e \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma} (1 - \gamma^5) v_{\bar{\nu}}] - \frac{m_e}{2m_N} [\varphi_p^\dagger \varphi_n] [\bar{u}_e (1 - \gamma^5) v_{\bar{\nu}}] \\ &\left. - \frac{\tilde{g}_A}{2m_N} [\varphi_p^\dagger (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}_p) \varphi_n] [\bar{u}_e \gamma^0 (1 - \gamma^5) v_{\bar{\nu}}] - i \frac{\kappa + 1}{2m_N} [\varphi_p^\dagger (\vec{\sigma} \times \vec{k}_p) \varphi_n] \cdot [\bar{u}_e \vec{\gamma} (1 - \gamma^5) v_{\bar{\nu}}] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A-4})$$

where φ_p and φ_n are Pauli spinorial wave functions of the proton and neutron, u_e and $v_{\bar{\nu}}$ are Dirac wave functions of the electron and electron antineutrino, $\vec{\sigma}$ are the Pauli 2×2 matrices, and $\tilde{g}_A = g_A(1 - E_0/2m_N)$, $E_0 = (m_n^2 - m_p^2 + m_e^2)/2m_n = 1.2926$ MeV is the end-point energy of the electron-energy spectrum of the neutron beta decay [6, 7, 10], and $\vec{k}_p = -\vec{k}_e - \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}$ is the proton 3-momentum in the rest frame of the neutron. The functions $f_{\beta_c^-}(E_e, \mu)$ and $g_F(E_e)$ were calculated by Sirlin [12] (see also Eq.(D-51) of Ref. [16] and), μ is a covariant infrared cut-off introduced as a finite virtual photon mass [12] (see also [37–41]). The function $g_F(E_e)$ (see Eq.(D-44) of Ref. [16]) is equal to

$$g_F(E_e) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}}{2\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1 + \beta}{1 - \beta}\right). \quad (\text{A-5})$$

It is defined by the contributions of one-virtual-photon exchanges [12] (see also [16]). Using Eq.(A-4) for the square of the absolute value of the amplitude $M(n \rightarrow pe^- \bar{\nu}_e)$, summed over polarizations of massive fermions, we obtain the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\text{pol.}} \frac{|M(n \rightarrow pe^- \bar{\nu}_e)|^2}{(1 + 3g_A^2) |G_V|^2 64 m_n^2 E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} &= \frac{1}{(1 + 3g_A^2) 8 E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} f_{\beta_c^-}(E_e, \mu)\right) \left(\text{tr}\{(1 + \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\sigma})\} \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^0 \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \gamma^0 \right. \right. \\ &\times (1 - \gamma^5)\} + \tilde{g}_A \text{tr}\{(1 + \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \vec{\sigma}\} \cdot \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) (\gamma^0 \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \vec{\gamma} + \vec{\gamma} \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \gamma^0) (1 - \gamma^5)\} + \tilde{g}_A^2 \text{tr}\{(1 + \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \sigma^a \sigma^b\} \\ &\left. \times \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^b \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \gamma^a (1 - \gamma^5)\} \right) - \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} g_F(E_e) + \frac{m_e}{2m_N} \right) \text{tr}\{(1 + \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\sigma})\} \left(\text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \gamma^0 (1 - \gamma^5)\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +\text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^0 \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} (1 + \gamma^5)\} - \tilde{g}_A \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} g_F(E_e) + \frac{m_e}{2m_N} \right) \text{tr}\{(1 + \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \vec{\sigma}\} \cdot \left(\text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \vec{\gamma} (1 - \gamma^5)\} \right. \\
& + \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \vec{\gamma} \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} (1 + \gamma^5)\} - \tilde{g}_A \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} g_F(E_e) \text{tr}\{(1 + \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \vec{\sigma}\} \cdot \left(\text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma} \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \gamma^0 (1 - \gamma^5)\} \right. \\
& - \text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^0 \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma} (1 + \gamma^5)\} - \tilde{g}_A \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} g_F(E_e) \text{tr}\{(1 + \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \sigma^a \sigma^b\} \left(\text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^0 \gamma^b \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \gamma^a (1 - \gamma^5)\} \right. \\
& - \text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^b \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \gamma^0 \gamma^a (1 + \gamma^5)\} - \frac{\tilde{g}_A}{m_N} \text{tr}\{(1 + \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\sigma}) (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}_p)\} \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^0 \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \gamma^0 (1 - \gamma^5)\} - \frac{\tilde{g}_A^2}{2m_N} \\
& \times \left(\text{tr}\{(1 + \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \vec{\sigma} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}_p)\} \cdot \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^0 \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \vec{\gamma} (1 - \gamma^5)\} + \text{tr}\{(1 + \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\sigma}) (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}_p) \vec{\sigma}\} \cdot \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \vec{\gamma} \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \gamma^0 \right. \\
& \times (1 - \gamma^5)\} - i \frac{\kappa + 1}{2m_N} \text{tr}\{(1 + \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\sigma}) (\vec{\sigma} \times \vec{k}_p)\} \cdot \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) (\vec{\gamma} \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \gamma^0 - \gamma^0 \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \vec{\gamma}) (1 - \gamma^5)\} \\
& \left. - i \tilde{g}_A \frac{\kappa + 1}{2m_N} \left(\text{tr}\{(1 + \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\sigma}) (\sigma^a (\vec{\sigma} \times \vec{k}_p)^b - (\vec{\sigma} \times \vec{k}_p)^a \sigma^b)\} \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^b \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \gamma^a (1 - \gamma^5)\} \right), \tag{A-6}
\end{aligned}$$

where ζ_e is the 4-vector of the spin-polarization of the electron. It is defined by [22]

$$\zeta_e = (\zeta_e^0, \vec{\zeta}_e) = \left(\frac{\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{\xi}_e}{m_e}, \vec{\xi}_e + \frac{(\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{\xi}_e) \vec{k}_e}{m_e(E_e + m_e)} \right). \tag{A-7}$$

The 4-vector ζ_e of the spin-polarization of the electron is normalized by $\zeta_e^2 = -1$ and obeys also the constraint $k_e \cdot \zeta_e = 0$ [22]. Calculating the traces over the nucleon degrees of freedom and using the properties of the Dirac matrices [22]

$$\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = \gamma^\alpha \eta^{\nu\mu} - \gamma^\nu \eta^{\mu\alpha} + \gamma^\mu \eta^{\alpha\nu} + i \varepsilon^{\alpha\nu\mu\beta} \gamma_\beta \gamma^5, \tag{A-8}$$

where $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ is the metric tensor of the Minkowski space-time, $\varepsilon^{\alpha\nu\mu\beta}$ is the Levi-Civita tensor defined by $\varepsilon^{0123} = 1$ and $\varepsilon_{\alpha\nu\mu\beta} = -\varepsilon^{\alpha\nu\mu\beta}$ [22], we transcribe the right-hand-side (r.h.s.) of Eq.(A-6) into the form [16, 18, 19] (see also [3])

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\text{pol.}} \frac{|M(n \rightarrow pe^- \bar{\nu}_e)|^2}{(1 + 3\tilde{g}_A^2) |G_V|^2 64 m_n^2 E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} = \frac{1 + 3\tilde{g}_A^2}{(1 + 3\tilde{g}_A^2) 4E_e} \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} f_{\beta c^-}(E_e, \mu) \right) \left[\left(1 + \tilde{B}_0 \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \right) \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^0 (1 - \gamma^5)\} \right. \right. \\
& + \left(\tilde{A}_0 \vec{\xi}_n + \tilde{a}_0 \frac{\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \right) \cdot \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \vec{\gamma} (1 - \gamma^5)\} \left. \right] - \frac{1}{1 + 3\tilde{g}_A^2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} g_F(E_e) + \frac{m_e}{m_N} \right) \left(\text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e)\} \right. \\
& - \frac{\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \cdot \text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma} \gamma^5\} - \frac{\tilde{g}_A}{1 + 3\tilde{g}_A^2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} g_F(E_e) + \frac{m_e}{m_N} \right) \left(\frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e)\} \right. \\
& - \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma} \gamma^5\} + i \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \times \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \cdot \text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma}\} - \frac{\tilde{g}_A}{1 + 3\tilde{g}_A^2} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} g_F(E_e) \\
& \times \left(\frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e)\} - \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma} \gamma^5\} - i \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \times \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \cdot \text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma}\} \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{\tilde{g}_A}{1 + 3\tilde{g}_A^2} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} g_F(E_e) \left[\left(3 + 2 \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \right) \text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e)\} + \left(2\vec{\xi}_n + \frac{\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \right) \cdot \text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma} \gamma^5\} \right] \right. \\
& - \frac{\tilde{g}_A}{1 + 3\tilde{g}_A^2} \frac{1}{m_N} \left((\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_p) \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^0 (1 - \gamma^5)\} + (\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_p) \frac{\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \cdot \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \vec{\gamma} (1 - \gamma^5)\} \right) - \frac{\tilde{g}_A^2}{1 + 3\tilde{g}_A^2} \frac{1}{m_N} \\
& \times \left[\frac{\vec{k}_p \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^0 (1 - \gamma^5)\} + \left(\vec{k}_p + \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \vec{k}_p - \frac{\vec{k}_p \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \vec{\xi}_n \right) \cdot \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \vec{\gamma} (1 - \gamma^5)\} \right] - \frac{\kappa + 1}{1 + 3\tilde{g}_A^2} \frac{1}{m_N} \\
& \times \left(\frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \vec{k}_p - \frac{\vec{k}_p \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \vec{\xi}_n \right) \cdot \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \vec{\gamma} (1 - \gamma^5)\} + \frac{\tilde{g}_A}{1 + 3\tilde{g}_A^2} \frac{\kappa + 1}{m_N} \left[\left(2 \frac{\vec{k}_p \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} + 2(\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_p) \right) \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \right. \\
& \left. \times \gamma^0 (1 - \gamma^5)\} + \left(-2\vec{k}_p - \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \vec{k}_p - \frac{\vec{k}_p \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \vec{\xi}_n \right) \cdot \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \vec{\gamma} (1 - \gamma^5)\} \right], \tag{A-9}
\end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{a}_0 , \tilde{A}_0 and \tilde{B}_0 are defined in terms of the axial coupling constant \tilde{g}_A

$$a_0 = \frac{1 - \tilde{g}_A^2}{1 + 3\tilde{g}_A^2}, \quad A_0 = 2 \frac{\tilde{g}_A(1 - \tilde{g}_A)}{1 + 3\tilde{g}_A^2}, \quad B_0 = 2 \frac{\tilde{g}_A(1 + \tilde{g}_A)}{1 + 3\tilde{g}_A^2}. \tag{A-10}$$

Before the calculation of the traces over leptonic degrees of freedom one may see that the terms proportional two $g_F(E_e)i(\vec{\xi}_n \times \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}) \cdot \text{tr}\{(m_e + \hat{k}_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma}\}$, which are responsible for contributions of the radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$ to the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$, cancel each other out. Hence, there are no contributions of the radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$, caused by one-virtual-photon exchanges, to the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$, respectively.

In Eq.(A-9) the second term on the third line from above, proportional to m_e/m_N , and last four lines define the contributions of order $O(E_e/m_N)$ of weak magnetism and proton recoil to the correlation coefficients of the neutron beta decay. Having calculated the traces over leptonic degrees of freedom, taking into account the contribution of the phase-volume Eq.(A-3) and keeping only the contributions with the correlation structures, inducing the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$, we obtain the SM corrections, caused by weak magnetism and proton recoil only, which we give in Eq.(5).

Appendix B: The electron-photon-energy and angular distribution of the neutron radiative beta decay for polarized neutrons, polarized electrons and unpolarized protons and photons

Following [3, 16, 18, 19] we define the electron-photon-energy and angular distribution of the neutron radiative beta decay for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron, a polarized photon and an unpolarized proton as follows

$$\frac{d^8 \lambda_{\beta_e \gamma}(E_e, \omega, \vec{k}_e, \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}, \vec{q}, \vec{\xi}_n, \vec{\zeta}_e)_{\lambda' \lambda}}{d\omega dE_e d\Omega_e d\Omega_{\bar{\nu}} \Omega_\gamma} = (1 + 3g_A^2) \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{|G_V|^2}{(2\pi)^6} \sqrt{E_e^2 - m_e^2} E_e F(E_e, Z=1) (E_0 - E_e - \omega)^2 \frac{1}{\omega} \times \sum_{\text{pol.}} \frac{|M(n \rightarrow p e^- \bar{\nu}_e \gamma)|_{\lambda' \lambda}^2 \omega^2}{(1 + 3g_A^2) e^2 |G_V|^2 64 m_n^2 E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}}, \quad (\text{B-1})$$

where we sum over polarizations of massive fermions. Since we calculate the contribution of the neutron radiative beta decay to leading order in the large nucleon mass m_N expansion, the contribution of the phase volume of the decay is equal to unity. The photon state is determined by the 4-momentum $q^\mu = (\omega, \vec{q})$ and the 4-vector of polarization $\varepsilon^\mu(q)_\lambda$ with $\lambda = 1, 2$, obeying the constraints $\varepsilon^*(q)_{\lambda'} \cdot \varepsilon_\lambda(q) = -\delta_{\lambda' \lambda}$ and $q \cdot \varepsilon_\lambda(q) = 0$. In the tree-approximation and to leading order in the large nucleon mass m_N expansion the amplitude of the neutron radiative beta decay is equal to [16]

$$M(n \rightarrow p e^- \bar{\nu}_e \gamma)_\lambda = e G_V \frac{m_n}{\omega} \frac{1}{E_e - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{k}_e} \left\{ [\varphi_p^\dagger \varphi_n] [\bar{u}_e Q_\lambda \gamma^0 (1 - \gamma^5) v_{\bar{\nu}}] + g_A [\varphi_p^\dagger \vec{\sigma} \varphi_n] \cdot [\bar{u}_e Q_\lambda \vec{\gamma} (1 - \gamma^5) v_{\bar{\nu}}] \right\}. \quad (\text{B-2})$$

The hermitian conjugate amplitude is determined by

$$M^\dagger(n \rightarrow p e^- \bar{\nu}_e \gamma)_{\lambda'} = e G_V \frac{m_n}{\omega} \frac{1}{E_e - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{k}_e} \left\{ [\varphi_p^\dagger \varphi_n] [\bar{u}_e \bar{Q}_{\lambda'} \gamma^0 (1 - \gamma^5) v_{\bar{\nu}}] + g_A [\varphi_p^\dagger \vec{\sigma} \varphi_n] \cdot [\bar{u}_e \bar{Q}_{\lambda'} \vec{\gamma} (1 - \gamma^5) v_{\bar{\nu}}] \right\}, \quad (\text{B-3})$$

where $\vec{n} = \vec{q}/\omega$, $Q = 2(\varepsilon^* \cdot k_e) + \hat{\varepsilon}^* \hat{q}$ and $\bar{Q} = \gamma^0 Q^\dagger \gamma^0 = 2(\varepsilon \cdot k_e) + \hat{q} \hat{\varepsilon}$. Then, φ_n and φ_p are the Pauli wave functions of the neutron and proton, u_e and $v_{\bar{\nu}}$ are the Dirac wave functions of the electron and antineutrino, respectively. The sum over polarizations of the massive fermions is equal to [16, 18, 19]

$$\sum_{\text{pol.}} \frac{|M(n \rightarrow p e^- \bar{\nu}_e \gamma)|_{\lambda' \lambda}^2 \omega^2}{(1 + 3g_A^2) e^2 |G_V|^2 64 m_n^2 E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} = \frac{1}{(E_e - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{k}_e)^2} \frac{1}{(1 + 3g_A^2) 32 E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} \left\{ \text{tr}\{(1 + \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\sigma})\} \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) Q_\lambda \gamma^0 \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \gamma^0 \bar{Q}_{\lambda'} \times (1 - \gamma^5)\} + g_A \text{tr}\{(1 + \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \vec{\sigma}\} \cdot \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) Q_\lambda \gamma^0 \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \vec{\gamma} \bar{Q}_{\lambda'} (1 - \gamma^5)\} + g_A \text{tr}\{(1 + \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \vec{\sigma}\} \cdot \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) \times Q_\lambda \vec{\gamma} \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \gamma^0 \bar{Q}_{\lambda'} (1 - \gamma^5)\} + g_A^2 \text{tr}\{(1 + \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \sigma^a \sigma^b\} \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) Q_\lambda \gamma^b \hat{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \gamma^a \bar{Q}_{\lambda'} (1 - \gamma^5)\} \right\}. \quad (\text{B-4})$$

Having calculated the traces over the nucleon degrees of freedom and using the properties of the Dirac matrices Eq.(A-8) we transcribe the r.h.s. of Eq.(B-4) into the form [16, 18, 19]

$$\sum_{\text{pol.}} \frac{|M(n \rightarrow p e^- \bar{\nu}_e \gamma)|_{\lambda' \lambda}^2 \omega^2}{(1 + 3g_A^2) e^2 |G_V|^2 64 m_n^2 E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} = \frac{1}{(E_e - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{k}_e)^2} \frac{1}{16 E_e} \left\{ \left(1 + B_0 \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}}\right) \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) Q_\lambda \gamma^0 \bar{Q}_{\lambda'} (1 - \gamma^5)\} + \left(A_0 \vec{\xi}_n + a_0 \frac{\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}}\right) \cdot \text{tr}\{(\hat{k}_e + m_e \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_e) Q_\lambda \vec{\gamma} \bar{Q}_{\lambda'} (1 - \gamma^5)\} \right\}. \quad (\text{B-5})$$

The traces over Dirac matrices in Eq.(B-5) were calculated in the covariant form in [16, 19]. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{16} \text{tr}\{\hat{u} Q_\lambda \gamma^\mu \bar{Q}_{\lambda'} (1 - \gamma^5)\} &= (\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot k_e)(\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot k_e) a^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \left((\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot k_e)(\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot a) + (\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot a)(\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot k_e) - (\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot \varepsilon_{\lambda'}) (a \cdot q) \right) q^\mu \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left((\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot k_e) \varepsilon_{\lambda'}^\mu + \varepsilon_\lambda^{\mu} (\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot k_e) \right) (a \cdot q) - i \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left((\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot k_e) \varepsilon_{\lambda'\nu} - \varepsilon_{\lambda\nu}^* (\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot k_e) \right) a_\alpha q_\beta - i \frac{1}{2} q^\mu \varepsilon^{\rho\varphi\alpha\beta} \varepsilon_{\lambda\rho}^* \varepsilon_{\lambda'\varphi} a_\alpha q_\beta, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B-6})$$

where $a = k_e$ or $a = \zeta_e$, respectively. As a result, for the r.h.s. of Eq.(B-5) we obtain the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\text{pol.}} \frac{|M(n \rightarrow pe^- \bar{\nu}_e \gamma)|_{\lambda\lambda'}^2 \omega^2}{(1 + 3g_A^2) e^2 |G_V|^2 64 m_n^2 E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} &= \frac{1}{(E_e - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{k}_e)^2} \left\{ \left(1 + B_0 \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \right) \left\{ [(\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot k_e)(\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot k_e) \left(1 + \frac{\omega}{E_e} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot \varepsilon_{\lambda'}) \right. \right. \\ &\times (k_e \cdot q) \frac{\omega}{E_e} - \frac{1}{2} \left((\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot k_e) \varepsilon_{\lambda'}^0 + \varepsilon_\lambda^{*0} (\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot k_e) \right) \frac{k_e \cdot q}{E_e} \left. \right] - \frac{m_e}{E_e} \left[(\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot k_e)(\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot k_e) \zeta_e^0 + \frac{1}{2} \left((\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot k_e)(\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot \zeta_e) + (\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot \zeta_e) \right. \right. \\ &\times (\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot k_e) - (\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot \varepsilon_{\lambda'}) (\zeta_e \cdot q) \left. \right] \omega - \frac{1}{2} \left((\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot k_e) \varepsilon_{\lambda'}^0 + \varepsilon_\lambda^{*0} (\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot k_e) \right) (\zeta_e \cdot q) \left. \right\} + \left(A_0 \vec{\xi}_n + a_0 \frac{\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \right) \cdot \left\{ [(\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot k_e)(\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot k_e) \right. \\ &\times \frac{\vec{k}_e}{E_e} + \left((\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot k_e)(\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot k_e) - \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot \varepsilon_{\lambda'}) (k_e \cdot q) \right) \vec{n} \frac{\omega}{E_e} - \frac{1}{2} \left((\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot k_e) \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} + \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* (\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot k_e) \right) \frac{k_e \cdot q}{E_e} \left. \right] - \frac{m_e}{E_e} \left[(\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot k_e)(\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot k_e) \right. \\ &\times \vec{\zeta}_e + \frac{1}{2} \left((\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot k_e)(\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot \zeta_e) + (\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot \zeta_e)(\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot k_e) - (\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot \varepsilon_{\lambda'}) (\zeta_e \cdot q) \right) \omega \vec{n} - \frac{1}{2} \left((\varepsilon_\lambda^* \cdot k_e) \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} + \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* (\varepsilon_{\lambda'} \cdot k_e) \right) (\zeta_e \cdot q) \left. \right\} \left. \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B-7})$$

The r.h.s. of Eq.(B-7) we calculate in the physical gauge $\varepsilon_\lambda = (0, \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda)$ [16, 18, 19, 57], where the polarization vector $\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda$ obeys the constraints

$$\vec{q} \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* = \vec{q} \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} = 0, \quad \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}, \quad \sum_{\lambda=1,2} \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^{i*} \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^j = \delta^{ij} - \frac{\vec{k}^i \vec{k}^j}{\omega^2} = \delta^{ij} - \vec{n}^i \vec{n}^j, \quad \sum_{j=1,2,3} \sum_{\lambda=1,2} \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^{j*} \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^j = 2. \quad (\text{B-8})$$

In the physical gauge $\varepsilon_\lambda = (0, \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda)$ we obtain for the r.h.s. of Eq.(B-7) the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\text{pol.}} \frac{|M(n \rightarrow pe^- \bar{\nu}_e \gamma)|_{\lambda\lambda'}^2 \omega^2}{(1 + 3g_A^2) e^2 |G_V|^2 16 m_n^2 E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} &= \frac{1}{(E_e - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{k}_e)^2} \left\{ \left(1 + B_0 \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \right) \left\{ [(\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) \left(1 + \frac{\omega}{E_e} \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}) \right. \right. \\ &\times (k_e \cdot q) \frac{\omega}{E_e} \left. \right] - \frac{m_e}{E_e} \left[(\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) \zeta_e^0 + \frac{1}{2} \left((\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_e) + (\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{\zeta}_e) (\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) + (\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}) (\zeta_e \cdot q) \right) \omega \right] \left. \right\} \\ &+ \left(A_0 \vec{\xi}_n + a_0 \frac{\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \right) \cdot \left\{ [(\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) \frac{\vec{k}_e}{E_e} + \left((\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}) (k_e \cdot q) \right) \vec{n} \frac{\omega}{E_e} + \frac{1}{2} \left((\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e) \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \right. \right. \\ &+ \left. \left. \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* (\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) \right) \frac{k_e \cdot q}{E_e} \left. \right] - \frac{m_e}{E_e} \left[(\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) \vec{\zeta}_e + \frac{1}{2} \left((\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_e) + (\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{\zeta}_e)(\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) + (\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}) (\zeta_e \cdot q) \right) \omega \vec{n} \right. \right. \\ &+ \left. \left. \frac{1}{2} \left((\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e) \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} + \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* (\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) \right) (\zeta_e \cdot q) \right] \left. \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B-9})$$

Plugging Eq.(B-9) into Eq.(B-1) we obtain the electron-energy and angular distribution for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron, an unpolarized proton and a polarized photon:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^8 \lambda_{\beta_e^- \gamma} (E_e, \omega, \vec{k}_e, \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}, \vec{q}, \vec{\xi}_n, \vec{\xi}_e)_{\lambda\lambda'}}{d\omega dE_e d\Omega_e d\Omega_{\bar{\nu}} d\Omega_\gamma} &= (1 + 3g_A^2) \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{|G_V|^2}{(2\pi)^6} \sqrt{E_e^2 - m_e^2} E_e F(E_e, Z=1) (E_0 - E_e - \omega)^2 \frac{1}{\omega (E_e - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{k}_e)^2} \\ &\times \left\{ \left(1 + B_0 \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \right) \left\{ [(\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) \left(1 + \frac{\omega}{E_e} \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}) (k_e \cdot q) \frac{\omega}{E_e} \right. \right. \\ &- \frac{m_e}{E_e} \left[(\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e) (\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) \zeta_e^0 + \frac{1}{2} \left((\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e) \right. \right. \\ &\times (\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_e) + (\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{\zeta}_e)(\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) + (\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}) (\zeta_e \cdot q) \left. \right] \omega \left. \right\} + \left(A_0 \vec{\xi}_n + a_0 \frac{\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \right) \cdot \left\{ [(\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) \frac{\vec{k}_e}{E_e} + \left((\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) \right. \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}) (k_e \cdot q) \left. \right) \vec{n} \frac{\omega}{E_e} + \frac{1}{2} \left((\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e) \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} + \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* (\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) \right) \frac{k_e \cdot q}{E_e} \left. \right] - \frac{m_e}{E_e} \left[(\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) \vec{\zeta}_e + \frac{1}{2} \left((\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_e) \right. \right. \\ &+ (\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{\zeta}_e)(\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) + (\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}) (\zeta_e \cdot q) \left. \right] \omega \vec{n} + \frac{1}{2} \left((\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* \cdot \vec{k}_e) \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} + \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^* (\vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'} \cdot \vec{k}_e) \right) (\zeta_e \cdot q) \left. \right\} \left. \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B-10})$$

Summing up over polarizations of the photon we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^8 \lambda_{\beta_e^- \gamma}(E_e, \omega, \vec{k}_e, \vec{k}_\nu, \vec{q}, \vec{\xi}_n, \vec{\xi}_e)}{d\omega dE_e d\Omega_e d\Omega_\nu d\Omega_\gamma} &= (1 + 3g_A^2) \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{|G_V|^2}{(2\pi)^6} \sqrt{E_e^2 - m_e^2} E_e F(E_e, Z=1) (E_0 - E_e - \omega)^2 \frac{1}{\omega} \\
&\times \left\{ \left(1 + B_0 \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_\nu}{E_\nu}\right) \left[\left[\frac{\beta^2 - (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2}{(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2} \left(1 + \frac{\omega}{E_e}\right) + \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2} \frac{1}{1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}} \right] - \frac{m_e}{E_e} \left[\frac{\beta^2 - (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2}{(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2} \zeta_e^0 + \frac{\omega}{E_e} \frac{\zeta_e^0 - (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_e)}{(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2} \right. \right. \right. \\
&+ \left. \left. \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2} \frac{\zeta_e^0 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_e}{(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2} \right] \right\} + \left(A_0 \vec{\xi}_n + a_0 \frac{\vec{k}_\nu}{E_\nu} \right) \cdot \left\{ \left[\frac{\beta^2 - (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2}{(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2} \left(\frac{\vec{k}_e}{E_e} + \vec{n} \frac{\omega}{E_e} \right) + \frac{\omega}{E_e} \frac{\vec{\beta} - \vec{n}(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})}{1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}} + \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2} \frac{\vec{n}}{1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}} \right] \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{m_e}{E_e} \left[\frac{\beta^2 - (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2}{(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2} \vec{\zeta}_e + \frac{\omega}{E_e} \frac{\zeta_e^0 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_e}{(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2} \vec{\beta} + \frac{\omega}{E_e} \frac{\vec{n}}{1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}} \zeta_e^0 + \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2} \frac{\vec{n}(\zeta_e^0 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_e)}{(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2} \right] \right\}, \tag{B-11}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_e = \zeta_e^0$. The next step is to average over directions of the 3-momentum $\vec{q} = \omega \vec{n}$ of the real photon. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^6 \lambda_{\beta_e^- \gamma}(E_e, \omega, \vec{k}_e, \vec{k}_\nu, \vec{\xi}_n, \vec{\xi}_e)}{d\omega dE_e d\Omega_e d\Omega_\nu} &= (1 + 3g_A^2) \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{|G_V|^2}{16\pi^5} \sqrt{E_e^2 - m_e^2} E_e F(E_e, Z=1) (E_0 - E_e - \omega)^2 \frac{1}{\omega} \int \frac{d\Omega_\gamma}{4\pi} \\
&\times \left\{ \left(1 + B_0 \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_\nu}{E_\nu}\right) \left[\left[\frac{\beta^2 - (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2}{(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2} \left(1 + \frac{\omega}{E_e}\right) + \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2} \frac{1}{1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}} \right] - \frac{m_e}{E_e} \left[\frac{\beta^2 - (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2}{(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2} \zeta_e^0 + \frac{\omega}{E_e} \frac{\zeta_e^0 - (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_e)}{(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2} \right. \right. \right. \\
&+ \left. \left. \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2} \frac{\zeta_e^0 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_e}{(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2} \right] \right\} + \left(A_0 \vec{\xi}_n + a_0 \frac{\vec{k}_\nu}{E_\nu} \right) \cdot \left\{ \left[\frac{\beta^2 - (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2}{(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2} \left(\frac{\vec{k}_e}{E_e} + \vec{n} \frac{\omega}{E_e} \right) + \frac{\omega}{E_e} \frac{\vec{\beta} - \vec{n}(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})}{1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}} + \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2} \frac{\vec{n}}{1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}} \right] \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{m_e}{E_e} \left[\frac{\beta^2 - (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2}{(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2} \vec{\zeta}_e + \frac{\omega}{E_e} \frac{\zeta_e^0 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_e}{(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2} \vec{\beta} + \frac{\omega}{E_e} \frac{\vec{n}}{1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}} \zeta_e^0 + \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2} \frac{\vec{n}(\zeta_e^0 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_e)}{(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2} \right] \right\}. \tag{B-12}
\end{aligned}$$

The integration over the directions of the vector \vec{n} we carry out by using the results obtained in [18]. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^6 \lambda_{\beta_e^- \gamma}(E_e, \omega, \vec{k}_e, \vec{k}_\nu, \vec{\xi}_n, \vec{\xi}_e)}{d\omega dE_e d\Omega_e d\Omega_\nu} &= (1 + 3g_A^2) \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{|G_V|^2}{16\pi^5} \sqrt{E_e^2 - m_e^2} E_e F(E_e, Z=1) (E_0 - E_e - \omega)^2 \frac{1}{\omega} \left\{ \left(1 + B_0 \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_\nu}{E_\nu}\right) \right. \\
&\times \left\{ \left[\left(1 + \frac{\omega}{E_e} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] + \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2} \right] - \frac{m_e}{E_e} \zeta_e^0 \left[1 + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\omega}{E_e} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega}{E_e}\right) \right] \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] \right\} \\
&+ \left(A_0 \vec{\xi}_n + a_0 \frac{\vec{k}_\nu}{E_\nu} \right) \cdot \left\{ \frac{\vec{k}_e}{E_e} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\omega}{E_e} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega}{E_e}\right) \right] \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] - \vec{\zeta}_e \frac{m_e}{E_e} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] \right. \\
&\left. - \vec{\beta} \frac{m_e}{E_e} \zeta_e^0 \left[\frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\omega}{E_e} \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] + \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2} \left(\frac{3-\beta^2}{\beta^2} \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] - 2 \right) \right] \right\}. \tag{B-13}
\end{aligned}$$

The r.h.s. of Eq.(B-13), rewritten in terms of irreducible correlation structures (see Eq.(1)), takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^6 \lambda_{\beta_e^- \gamma}(E_e, \omega, \vec{k}_e, \vec{k}_\nu, \vec{\xi}_n, \vec{\xi}_e)}{d\omega dE_e d\Omega_e d\Omega_\nu} &= (1 + 3g_A^2) \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{|G_V|^2}{16\pi^5} \sqrt{E_e^2 - m_e^2} E_e F(E_e, Z=1) (E_0 - E_e - \omega)^2 \\
&\times \left\{ \frac{1}{\omega} \left[\left(1 + \frac{\omega}{E_e} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] + \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2} \right] + a_0 \frac{\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{k}_\nu}{E_e E_\nu} \frac{1}{\omega} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\omega}{E_e} + \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2}\right) \right. \\
&\times \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] + A_0 \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_e}{E_e} \frac{1}{\omega} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\omega}{E_e} + \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] + B_0 \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_\nu}{E_\nu} \\
&\times \frac{1}{\omega} \left[\left(1 + \frac{\omega}{E_e} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] + \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2} \right] + (-1) \frac{\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_e}{E_e} \frac{1}{\omega} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\omega}{E_e} + \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2}\right) \\
&\times \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] + (-1) \frac{m_e}{E_e} a_0 \frac{\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_\nu}{E_\nu} \frac{1}{\omega} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] + (-1) \frac{m_e}{E_e} A_0 \\
&\times \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\xi}_e \frac{1}{\omega} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] + (-1) A_0 \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_e}{(E_e + m_e) E_e} \left\{ \frac{1}{\omega} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2}\right) \right. \\
&\times \left. \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] + (1 + \sqrt{1-\beta^2}) \left[\frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\omega}{E_e} \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] + \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2} \left(\frac{3-\beta^2}{\beta^2} \right) \right. \right. \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] - 2 \left. \right\} + (-1) a_0 \frac{(\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{(E_e + m_e)E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\omega} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2} \right) \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] \right. \\
& + (1 + \sqrt{1-\beta^2}) \left[\frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\omega}{E_e} \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] + \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2} \left(\frac{3-\beta^2}{\beta^2} \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] - 2 \right) \right\} \\
& - B_0 \frac{(\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})(\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_e)}{E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} \frac{1}{\omega} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\omega}{E_e} + \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \frac{\omega^2}{E_e^2} \right) \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2 \right] \left. \right\}. \tag{B-14}
\end{aligned}$$

It is seen that the terms with the correlation structures $(\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\xi}_e)(\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})$ and $(\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})$, inducing the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$, respectively, do not appear in the electron-energy and angular distribution of the neutron radiative beta decay for polarized neutrons, polarized electrons, unpolarized protons and unpolarized photons. This confirms the results, obtained in Appendix A, that there are no contributions of the radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$, caused by one-virtual photon exchanges, to the correlation coefficients $S(E_e)$ and $U(E_e)$, respectively.

-
- [1] J. D. Jackson, S. B. Treiman, and H. W. Wyld Jr., *Possible tests of time reversal invariance in beta decay*, Phys. Rev. **106**, 517 (1957); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.106.517>.
- [2] M. E. Ebel and G. Feldman, *Further remarks on Coulomb corrections in allowed beta transitions*, Nucl. Phys. **4**, 213 (1957); DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0029-5582\(87\)90020-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0029-5582(87)90020-4).
- [3] A. N. Ivanov, R. Höllwieser, N. I. Troitskaya, M. Wellenzohn, and Ya. A. Berdnikov, *On the correlation coefficient $T(E_e)$ of the neutron beta decay, caused by the correlation structure invariant under discrete P , C and T symmetries*; arXiv: 2101.01014 [hep-ph].
- [4] R. P. Feynman and M. Gell-Mann, *Theory of Fermi interaction*, Phys. Rev. **109**, 193 (1958); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.109.193>.
- [5] R. E. Marshak, Riazuddin, and C. P. Ryan, in *Theory of weak interactions in particle physics*, Wiley-Interscience, A Division of John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, p. 41 (1969).
- [6] H. Abele, *The neutron. Its properties and basic interactions*, Progr. Part. Nucl. Phys. **60**, 1 (2008); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpnp.2007.05.002>.
- [7] J. S. Nico, *Neutron beta decay*, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **36**, 104001 (2009); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/36/10/104001>.
- [8] B. Märkisch, H. Mest, H. Saul, X. Wang, H. Abele, D. Dubbers, M. Klopff, A. Petoukhov, C. Roick, T. Soldner, and D. Werder, *Measurement of the weak axial-vector coupling constant in the decay of free neutrons using a pulsed cold neutron beam*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 242501 (2019); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.242501>; arXiv: 1812.04666 [nucl-ex].
- [9] A. Czarnecki, W. J. Marciano, and A. Sirlin, *Neutron lifetime and axial coupling constant*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 202002 (2018); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.202002>; arXiv: 1802.01804 [hep-ph].
- [10] P. A. Zyla *et al.*, *Review of particle physics* (Particle Data Group), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2020**, 083C01 (2020); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptaa104>.
- [11] V. De Alfaro, S. Fubini, G. Furlan, and C. Rossetti, in *Currents in hadronic physics*, North-Holland Publishing Company Amsterdam · London, American Elsevier Publishing Company, Inc. New York 1973.
- [12] A. Sirlin, *General properties of the electromagnetic corrections to the beta decay of a physical nucleon*, Phys. Rev. **164**, 1767 (1967); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.164.1767>.
- [13] R. T. Shann, *Electromagnetic effects in the decay of polarized neutrons*, Nuovo Cimento A **5**, 591 (1971). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02734566>.
- [14] S. Ando, H. W. Fearing, V. Gudkov, K. Kubodera, F. Myhrer, S. Nakamura, and T. Sato, *Neutron beta-decay in effective field theory*, Phys. Lett. B **595**, 250 (2004); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.06.037>.
- [15] V. Gudkov, G. I. Greene, and J. R. Calarco, *General classification and analysis of neutron beta-decay experiments*, Phys. Rev. C **73**, 035501 (2006); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.73.035501>.
- [16] A. N. Ivanov, M. Pitschmann, and N. I. Troitskaya, *Neutron β -decay as a laboratory for testing the standard model*, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 073002 (2013); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.073002>; arXiv:1212.0332 [hep-ph].
- [17] D. H. Wilkinson and B. E. F. Macfield, *The numerical evaluation of radiative corrections of order α to allowed nuclear β -decay*, Nucl. Phys. A **158**, 110 (1970); DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474\(70\)90055-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(70)90055-2).
- [18] A. N. Ivanov, R. Höllwieser, N. I. Troitskaya, M. Wellenzohn, and Ya. A. Berdnikov, *Precision analysis of electron energy spectrum and angular distribution of neutron beta decay with polarized neutron and electron*, Phys. Rev. C **95**, 055502 (2017); DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevC.95.055502](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.95.055502); arXiv:1705.07330 [hep-ph].
- [19] A. N. Ivanov, R. Höllwieser, N. I. Troitskaya, M. Wellenzohn, and Ya. A. Berdnikov, *Test of the Standard Model in neutron beta decay with polarized electrons and unpolarized neutrons and protons*, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 053004 (2019); DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.99.053004](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.053004); arXiv:1811.04853 [hep-ph].
- [20] S. M. Bilen'kii, R. M. Ryndin, Ya. A. Smorodinskii, and Ho Tso-Hsiu, *On the theory of the neutron beta decay*, JETP **37**, 1759 (1959) (in Russian); Sov. Phys. JETP, **37**(10), 1241 (1960).
- [21] D. H. Wilkinson, *Analysis of neutron beta decay*, Nucl. Phys. A **377**, 474 (1982); DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474\(82\)90051-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(82)90051-3).
- [22] C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber, in *Quantum field theory*, McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, 1980.
- [23] J. D. Jackson, S. B. Treiman, and H. W. Wyld Jr., *Coulomb corrections in allowed beta transitions*, Nucl. Phys. **4**, 206 (1957); DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0029-5582\(87\)90019-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0029-5582(87)90019-8).
- [24] J. D. Jackson, S. B. Treiman, and H. W. Wyld, Jr., *Note on relativistic coulomb wave functions*, Z. Phys. **150**, 640 (1958); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01340460>.
- [25] C. G. Callan and S. B. Treiman, *Electromagnetic simulation of T violation in beta decay*, Phys. Rev. **162**, 1494 (1967); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.162.1494>.
- [26] S. I. Ando, J. A. McGovern, and T. Sato, *The D coefficient in neutron beta decay in effective field theory*, Phys. Lett. B

- 677**, 109 (2009);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.04.088>.
- [27] M. Fierz, *Zur Fermischen Theorie des β -Zerfalls*, Z. Physik **104**, 553 (1937);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01330070>.
- [28] J. C. Hardy and I. S. Towner, *Superaligned $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ nuclear beta decays: 2020 critical survey, with implications for V_{ud} and CKM unitarity*, Phys. Rev. C **102**, 045501 (2020);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.102.045501>.
- [29] M. González-Alonso, O. Naviliat-Cuncic, and N. Severijns, *New physics searches in nuclear and neutron beta decay*, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **104**, 165 (2019);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnnp.2018.08.002>.
- [30] H. Saul, Ch. Roick, H. Abele, H. Mest, M. Klopff, A. Petukhov, T. Soldner, X. Wang, D. Werder, and B. Märkisch, *Limit on the Fierz interference term b from a measurement of the beta asymmetry in neutron decay*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 112501 (2020);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.112501>.
- [31] V. Cirigliano, A. Garcia, D. Gazit, O. Naviliat-Cuncic, G. Savard, and A. Young, *Precision beta decay as a probe of new physics*, arXiv:1907.02164 [nucl-ex].
- [32] A. N. Ivanov, R. Höllwieser, N. I. Troitskaya, M. Wellenzohn, and Ya. A. Berdnikov, *Neutron dark matter decays and correlation coefficients of neutron beta decays*, Nucl. Phys. B **938**, 114 (2019);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2018.11.005>.
- [33] X. Sun *et al.*, *Improved limits on Fierz interference using asymmetry measurements from the ultracold neutron asymmetry (UCNA) experiment* (UCNA Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C **101**, 035503 (2020);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.101.035503>.
- [34] A. N. Ivanov, R. Höllwieser, N. I. Troitskaya, M. Wellenzohn, and Ya. A. Berdnikov, *Precision analysis of pseudoscalar interactions in neutron beta decays*, Nucl. Phys. B **951**, 114891 (2020);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2019.114891>; arXiv:1905.04147 [hep-ph].
- [35] S. Weinberg, *Charge symmetry of weak interactions*, Phys. Rev. **112**, 1375 (1958);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.112.1375>.
- [36] K. Bodek, *Beta-decay correlations in the LHC era*, Acta Phys. Polon. B **47**, 349 (2016);
DOI: [10.5506/APhysPolB.47.349](https://doi.org/10.5506/APhysPolB.47.349).
- [37] S. M. Berman, *Radiative corrections to muon and neutron decay*, Phys. Rev. **112**, 267 (1958);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.112.267>.
- [38] T. Kinoshita and A. Sirlin, *Radiative corrections to Fermi interactions*, Phys. Rev. **113**, 1652 (1959);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.113.1652>
- [39] S. M. Berman and A. Sirlin, *Some considerations on the radiative corrections to muon and neutron decay*, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **20**, 20 (1962);
DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916\(62\)90114-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(62)90114-8).
- [40] G. Källén, *Radiative corrections to beta decay and nucleon form factors*, Nucl. Phys. B **1**, 225 (1967);
DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(67\)90125-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(67)90125-3).
- [41] E. S. Abers, D. A. Dicus, R. E. Norton, and H. R. Queen, *Radiative corrections to the Fermi part of strangeness-conserving beta decay*, Phys. Rev. **167**, 1461 (1968);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.167.1461>.
- [42] P. Herczeg, *Beta decay beyond the standard model*, Progr. Part. Nucl. Phys. **46**, 413 (2001);
DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6410\(01\)00149-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6410(01)00149-1).
- [43] N. Severijns, M. Beck, and O. Naviliat-Cuncic, *Tests of the standard electroweak model in nuclear beta decay*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **78**, 991 (2006);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.991>.
- [44] T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, *Charge conjugation, a new quantum number G , and selection rules concerning a nucleon anti-nucleon system*, Nuovo Cimento **10**, 749 (1956);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02744530>.
- [45] S. Gardner and C. Zhang, *Sharpening low-energy, Standard-Model tests via correlation coefficients in neutron beta decay*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5666 (2001);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.5666>.
- [46] S. Gardner and B. Plaster, *Framework for maximum likelihood analysis of neutron beta decay observables to resolve the limits of the $V - A$ law*, Phys. Rev. C **87**, 065504 (2013); The contribution to 4th International Conference on Particle Physics and Astrophysics (ICPPA-2018) 22–26 October 2018, Moscow, Russian Federation;
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.87.065504>.
- [47] A. N. Ivanov, R. Höllwieser, N. I. Troitskaya, M. Wellenzohn, and Ya. A. Berdnikov, *Tests of the standard model in neutron beta decay with polarized neutron and electron and an unpolarized proton*, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 035503 (2018);
DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.98.035503](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.035503); arXiv:1805.03880 [hep-ph].
- [48] T. Bhattacharya, V. Cirigliano, S. D. Cohen, A. Filipuzzi, M. González-Alonso, M. L. Graesser, R. Gupta, and Huey-Wen Lin, *Probing novel scalar and tensor interactions from (ultra)cold neutrons to the LHC*, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 054512 (2012);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.054512>.
- [49] S. Gardner, V. Cirigliano, P. Fierlinger, C. Fischer, K. Jansen, S. Paul, F. J. Llanes-Estrada, H. W. Lin, and W. M. Snow, *Round Table: Resolving Physics BSM at Low Energies*, PoS ConfinementX (2012) 024;

- DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22323/1.171.0024>.
- [50] V. Cirigliano, M. González-Alonso, M. L. Graesser, *Non-standard charged current interactions: beta decays versus the LHC*, JHEP **2012**, 25 (2012);
DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10\(2012\)025](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)025).
- [51] V. Cirigliano and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, *Low energy probes of physics beyond the Standard Model*, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **71**, 2 (2013);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpnp.2013.03.002>.
- [52] V. Cirigliano, S. Gardner, and B. Holstein, *Beta decays and non-standard interactions in the LHC era*, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **71**, 93 (2013);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpnp.2013.03.005>.
- [53] J. C. Hardy and I. S. Towner, *Superallowed $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ nuclear beta decays: A new survey with precision tests of the conserved vector current hypothesis and the standard model*, Phys. Rev. C **79**, 055502 (2009);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.79.055502>.
- [54] J. M. Blatt and V. F. Weisskopf, *Theoretical nuclear physics*, John Wiley & Sons, New York 1952.
- [55] A. Antognini *et al.*, *Proton structure from the measurement of $2S$ - $2P$ transition frequencies of muonic hydrogen*, Science **339** 417 (2013);
DOI: [10.1126/science.1230016](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1230016).
- [56] A. N. Ivanov, R. Höllwieser, N. I. Troitskaya, M. Wellenzohn, and Ya. A. Berdnikov, *Corrections of order $O(E_e^2/m_N^2)$, caused by weak magnetism and proton recoil, to the neutron lifetime and correlation coefficients of the neutron beta decay*, Results in Physics **21**, 103806 (2021);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2020.103806>; arXiv: 2010.14336 [hep-ph].
- [57] A. N. Ivanov, R. Höllwieser, N. I. Troitskaya, M. Wellenzohn, and Ya. A. Berdnikov, *Precision theoretical analysis of neutron radiative beta decay to order $O(\alpha^2/\pi^2)$* , Phys. Rev. D **95**, 113006 (2017);
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.113006>.