

# Finite Markov chains coupled to general Markov processes and an application to metastability II

Thomas G. Kurtz

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Jason Swanson\*

University of Central Florida

January 6, 2021

## Abstract

We consider a diffusion given by a small noise perturbation of a dynamical system driven by a potential function with a finite number of local minima. The classical results of Freidlin and Wentzell show that the time this diffusion spends in the domain of attraction of one of these local minima is approximately exponentially distributed and hence the diffusion should behave approximately like a Markov chain on the local minima. By the work of Bovier and collaborators, the local minima can be associated with the small eigenvalues of the diffusion generator. In Part I of this work [10], by applying a Markov mapping theorem, we used the eigenfunctions of the generator to couple this diffusion to a Markov chain whose generator has eigenvalues equal to the eigenvalues of the diffusion generator that are associated with the local minima and established explicit formulas for conditional probabilities associated with this coupling. The fundamental question now becomes to relate the coupled Markov chain to the approximate Markov chain suggested by the results of Freidlin and Wentzell. In this paper, we take up this question and provide a complete analysis of this relationship in the special case of a double-well potential in one dimension.

**AMS subject classifications:** Primary 60J60; secondary 60H10, 60F10, 60J27, 60J28, 34L10

**Keywords and phrases:** conditional distributions, coupling, eigenfunctions, Freidlin and Wentzell, Markov mapping theorem, Markov processes, metastability

## 1 Introduction

In the interest of self-containment, we will first recap the essential definitions from Part I of this work [10]. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and consider the stochastic process,

$$X_\varepsilon(t) = X_\varepsilon(0) - \int_0^t \nabla F(X_\varepsilon(s)) ds + \sqrt{2\varepsilon} W(t), \quad (1.1)$$

---

\*Supported in part by the VIGRE grant of University of Wisconsin-Madison and by NSA grant H98230-09-1-0079.

where  $F \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $W$  is a standard  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion. Let  $\varphi$  be the solution to the differential equation  $\varphi' = -\nabla F(\varphi)$ . We will use  $\varphi_x$  to denote the solution with  $\varphi_x(0) = x$ . The process  $X_\varepsilon$  is a small-noise perturbation of the deterministic process  $\varphi$ .

Suppose  $F \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = \infty$ , and that  $\mathcal{M} = \{x_0, \dots, x_m\}$  is the set of local minima of the  $F$ , with  $m \geq 1$ . The points  $x_j$  are stable points for the process  $\varphi$ . For  $X_\varepsilon$ , however, they are not stable. The process  $X_\varepsilon$  will initially gravitate toward one of the  $x_j$  and move about randomly in a small neighborhood of this point. But after an exponential amount of time, a large fluctuation of the noise term will move the process  $X_\varepsilon$  out of the domain of attraction of  $x_j$  and into the domain of attraction of one of the other minima. We say that each point  $x_j$  is a point of *metastability* for the process  $X_\varepsilon$ .

If  $X$  is a cadlag process in a complete, separable metric space  $S$  adapted to a right continuous filtration (assumptions that are immediately satisfied for all processes considered here) and  $H$  is either open or closed, then  $\tau_H^X = \inf\{t > 0 : X(t) \text{ or } X(t-) \in H\}$  is a stopping time (see, for example, [6, Proposition 1.5]). If  $x \in S$ , let  $\tau_x^X = \tau_{\{x\}}^X$ . We may sometimes also write  $\tau^X(H)$ , and if the process is understood, we may omit the superscript.

Let

$$D_j = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_x(t) = x_j\} \quad (1.2)$$

be the domains of attraction of the local minima. It is well-known (see, for example, [7], [1, Theorem 3.2], [2, Theorems 1.2 and 1.4], and [4]) that as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\tau^{X_\varepsilon}(D_j^c)$  is asymptotically exponentially distributed under  $P^{x_j}$ . It is therefore common to approximate the process  $X_\varepsilon$  by a continuous time Markov chain on the set  $\mathcal{M}$  (or equivalently on  $\{0, \dots, m\}$ ).

In this project, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we wish to capture this approximate Markov chain behavior by coupling  $X_\varepsilon$  to a continuous time Markov chain,  $Y_\varepsilon$ , on  $\{0, \dots, m\}$ . We refer to the indexed collection of coupled processes,  $\{(X_\varepsilon, Y_\varepsilon) : \varepsilon > 0\}$  as a *coupling sequence*.

In [10], we developed a general coupling procedure that goes beyond the specific case of interest here. It is a construction that builds a coupling between a Markov process on a complete and separable metric space and a continuous-time Markov chain where the generators of the two processes have common eigenvalues. The coupling is done in such a way that observations of the chain yield quantifiable conditional probabilities about the process.

We then applied this construction to the special case of a reversible diffusion on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  driven by a potential function and a small white noise perturbation. We summarize here the results in this special case. Assume there exist constants  $a_i > 0$  and  $c_i > 0$  such that  $a_2 < 2a_1 - 2$ , and

$$c_1|x|^{a_1} - c_2 \leq |\nabla F(x)|^2 \leq c_3|x|^{a_2} + c_4, \quad (1.3)$$

$$c_1|x|^{a_1} - c_2 \leq (|\nabla F(x)| - 2\Delta F(x))^2 \leq c_3|x|^{a_2} + c_4. \quad (1.4)$$

Let

$$A = \{(f, -\varepsilon \tilde{H}f) : f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$$

be the generator for (1.1), and let  $(-\lambda_0, \eta_0), \dots, (-\lambda_m, \eta_m)$  be the first  $m+1$  eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of  $A$ . By [10, Proposition 3.7], the functions  $\eta_k$  are continuous and bounded. We may therefore choose a matrix,  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+1) \times (m+1)}$ , and vectors,  $\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)}$ , such that

- (i)  $Q$  is the generator of a continuous-time Markov chain with state space  $E_0 = \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\xi^{(k)}$  is a right eigenvector of  $Q$  with eigenvalue  $-\lambda_k$ , and
- (iii) for  $0 \leq i \leq m$ , the functions,

$$\alpha_i(x) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^m \xi_i^{(k)} \eta_k(x),$$

are strictly positive.

We then choose a probability measure,  $p = (p_0, \dots, p_m)$ , on  $E_0$ , define the measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d \times E_0$  by

$$\nu(\Gamma \times \{i\}) = p_i \alpha(i, \Gamma), \quad \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (1.5)$$

and let  $(X_\varepsilon, Y_\varepsilon)$  be the cadlag Markov process on  $\mathbb{R}^d \times E_0$  with initial distribution  $\nu$  and generator,

$$Bf(x, i) = Af(x, i) + \sum_{j \neq i} Q_{ij} \frac{\alpha_j(x)}{\alpha_i(x)} (f(x, j) - f(x, i)). \quad (1.6)$$

Note that all of these objects ( $A$ ,  $\lambda_k$ ,  $\eta_k$ ,  $Q$ ,  $\xi^{(k)}$ ,  $p$ , and so on) depend on  $\varepsilon$ , though this dependence is suppressed in the notation for readability.

By [10, Theorem 3.8], the process  $X_\varepsilon$  solves (1.1), the process  $Y_\varepsilon$  has generator  $Q$ , and

$$P(X(t) \in \Gamma \mid Y(t) = j) = \int_{\Gamma} \alpha_j(x) \varpi(dx), \quad (1.7)$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ , all  $0 \leq j \leq m$ , and all  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ .

In this way, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we create a coupling,  $(X_\varepsilon, Y_\varepsilon)$ . We referred to the indexed collection of coupled processes,  $\{(X_\varepsilon, Y_\varepsilon) : \varepsilon > 0\}$ , as a *coupling sequence*. Our objective is to investigate the possibility of constructing a coupling sequence which satisfies both

$$P(X_\varepsilon(t) \in D_j \mid Y_\varepsilon(t) = j) \rightarrow 1 \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$E^i[\tau_j^{Y_\varepsilon}] \sim E^{x_i}[\tau_{B_\rho(x_0)}^{X_\varepsilon}] \quad (1.9)$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , for all  $i$  and  $j$ , where  $B_\rho(x)$  is the ball of radius  $\rho$  centered at  $x$ .

In the current paper, we consider this question in the case of a double-well potential in one dimension. That is, suppose  $d = 1$  and  $\mathcal{M} = \{x_0, x_1\}$ , where  $x_0 < 0 < x_1$ . Let  $F$  be decreasing on  $(-\infty, x_0)$  and  $(0, x_1)$ , and increasing on  $(x_0, 0)$  and  $(x_1, \infty)$ , and satisfy  $F(x_0) < F(x_1)$ . Then the domains of attraction are  $D_0 = (-\infty, 0)$  and  $D_1 = (0, \infty)$ . There are many possible coupling sequences, so for each such sequence, we can ask if it satisfies any of the following:

$$P(X_\varepsilon(t) < 0 \mid Y_\varepsilon(t) = 0) \rightarrow 1, \quad (1.10)$$

$$P(X_\varepsilon(t) > 0 \mid Y_\varepsilon(t) = 1) \rightarrow 1, \quad (1.11)$$

$$E^1[\tau_0^{Y_\varepsilon}] \sim E^{x_1}[\tau_{B_\rho(x_0)}^{X_\varepsilon}], \quad (1.12)$$

$$E^0[\tau_1^{Y_\varepsilon}] \sim E^{x_0}[\tau_{B_\rho(x_1)}^{X_\varepsilon}], \quad (1.13)$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , where  $0 < \rho < |x_0| \wedge x_1$ .

Let  $-\lambda_\varepsilon$  be the second eigenvalue of the generator of  $X_\varepsilon$ . It is known (see, for example, [12, 13] or [1, 2]), that in (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$E^{x_1}[\tau_{B_\rho(x_0)}^{X_\varepsilon}] \sim \frac{2\pi}{|F''(0)F''(x_1)|^{1/2}} e^{(F(0)-F(x_1))/\varepsilon} \sim \frac{1}{\lambda_\varepsilon},$$

$$E^{x_0}[\tau_{B_\rho(x_1)}^{X_\varepsilon}] \sim \frac{2\pi}{|F''(0)F''(x_0)|^{1/2}} e^{(F(0)-F(x_0))/\varepsilon}.$$

Thus, (1.12) and (1.13) are equivalent to (4.2) and (4.3), respectively. Moreover, Theorem 4.2 shows that, in our coupling construction, (1.10) is equivalent to the assertion that, given  $Y(t) = 0$ , the distribution of  $X(t)$  is asymptotically equivalent to the stationary distribution, conditioned to be on  $(-\infty, 0)$ . Theorem 4.3 gives the analogous equivalency for (1.11).

In Section 4, we will show that, in our coupling construction, (1.11) implies (1.12), which implies (1.10), and (1.13) implies (1.12). We also show by example that there are no other implications among these conditions. For example, we can couple  $X_\varepsilon$  and  $Y_\varepsilon$  so that (1.10), (1.12), and (1.13) are satisfied, but (1.11) is not. In other words, it is possible to build the Markov chain with asymptotically the same transition rates as the process, but the two do not remain synchronized, in the sense that (1.11) fails. Or, as another example, we can couple the processes so that (1.10)-(1.12) are satisfied, but (1.13) is not. In other words, we can have a coupling where the Markov chain accurately tracks the diffusion, but the transition rates of the two processes are not the same.

In the case of the double-well potential, for fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the dynamics of the coupling  $(X_\varepsilon, Y_\varepsilon)$  are uniquely determined by two parameters,  $\xi_{1,\varepsilon}$  and  $\xi_{2,\varepsilon}$  (see Lemma 4.1). If we identify coupling sequences whose parameters are asymptotically equivalent as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , then there is a unique coupling sequence satisfying (1.10)-(1.13). Heuristically, we build this sequence by choosing the  $\xi$ 's so that  $\alpha_j \approx c_{j,\varepsilon} 1_{D_j}$ . More specifically, we choose them so that  $\alpha_0 = -\eta_1/\eta_1(\infty) + 1$  and  $\alpha_1 = \eta_1/|\eta_1(-\infty)| + 1$ . We then prove sharp enough bounds on the behavior of  $\eta_1$  to show that the approximation  $\alpha_j \approx c_{j,\varepsilon} 1_{D_j}$  is sufficiently accurate.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we address the issue of how the minima should be ordered so that they correspond to the eigenvalues of the generator of the diffusion. This is a necessary prerequisite for attaining the asymptotic behavior in (1.8) and (1.9). In Section 3, we specialize to the case of the double-well potential in  $d = 1$ . We begin there with the study the structure of the second eigenfunction. In particular, we narrow down the location of the nodal point, show that the eigenfunction is asymptotically flat near the minima, and establish key estimates on the behavior of the eigenfunction near the saddle point. Then, in Section 4, we use these results to give a complete analysis of our coupling sequences for the double-well potential.

## 2 Ordering the local minima

Heretofore, no mention has been made of the order in which the local minima,  $\mathcal{M} = \{x_0, \dots, x_m\}$ , are listed. No particular order is necessary in order to construct a coupling sequence. But if that sequence is to exhibit the behavior in (1.8) and (1.9), then the minima should be ordered so that they correspond with the eigenvalues of  $A$ .

To describe this ordering, we first establish some notation and terminology. For any two sets  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , define the set of paths from  $A$  to  $B$  as

$$\mathcal{P}^*(A, B) = \{\omega \in C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^d) : \omega(0) \in A, \omega(1) \in B\}.$$

Given  $F : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the height of the saddle, or communication height, between  $A$  and  $B$  is defined as

$$\widehat{F}(A, B) = \inf_{\omega \in \mathcal{P}^*(A, B)} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} F(\omega(t)).$$

The set of minimal paths from  $A$  to  $B$  is

$$\mathcal{P}(A, B) = \{\omega \in \mathcal{P}^*(A, B) : \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} F(\omega(t)) = \widehat{F}(A, B)\}.$$

A gate,  $G(A, B)$ , is a minimal subset of  $\{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : F(z) = \widehat{F}(A, B)\}$  such that all minimal paths intersect  $G(A, B)$ . In general,  $G(A, B)$  is not unique. The set of saddle points,  $\mathcal{S}(A, B)$ , is the union of all gates.

**Assumption 2.1.** (i) For  $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $G(x, y)$  is unique and consists of a finite set of isolated points  $\{z_i^*(x, y)\}$ .

(ii) The Hessian matrix of  $F$  is non-degenerate at each  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  and at each saddle point  $z_i^*(x, y)$ .

(iii) The minima  $\mathcal{M} = \{x_0, \dots, x_m\}$  can be labeled in such a way that, with  $\mathcal{M}_k = \{x_0, \dots, x_k\}$ , each saddle point  $z^*(x_k, \mathcal{M}_{k-1})$  is unique, the Hessian matrix of  $F$  at  $z^*(x_k, \mathcal{M}_{k-1})$  is non-degenerate, and

$$\widehat{F}(x_k, \mathcal{M}_k \setminus x_k) - F(x_k) < \widehat{F}(x_i, \mathcal{M}_k \setminus x_i) - F(x_i), \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $0 \leq i < k \leq m$ .

We shall assume our potential function  $F$  satisfies Assumption 2.1, and that the minima are ordered as in (iii).

## 3 Structure of the second eigenfunction

### 3.1 Tools and preliminary results

From this point forward, we take  $d = 1$ . Note that  $\varepsilon \eta_k'' - F' \eta_k' = -\lambda_k \eta_k$  for all integers  $k \geq 0$ . We will make use of the fact that the eigenfunctions satisfy the integral equations in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\eta_k(x) = \eta_k(\infty) - \frac{\lambda_k}{\varepsilon} \int_x^\infty \int_x^u \exp\left(\frac{F(v) - F(u)}{\varepsilon}\right) \eta_k(u) dv du \quad (3.1)$$

$$= \eta_k(-\infty) - \frac{\lambda_k}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^x \int_u^x \exp\left(\frac{F(v) - F(u)}{\varepsilon}\right) \eta_k(u) dv du. \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\eta_k$  is bounded by Proposition [10, Proposition 3.7], we may choose  $C_1 > 0$  such that  $|\eta_k(x)| \leq C_1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $a_1 > 2$ , we may choose  $\alpha \in (1, a_1/2)$ . By [10, Lemma 3.3], assumptions (1.3) and (1.4) imply that

$$\tilde{c}_1|x|^{\tilde{a}_1} - \tilde{c}_2 \leq |F(x)| \leq \tilde{c}_3|x|^{\tilde{a}_2} + \tilde{c}_4, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\tilde{a}_i = a_i/2 + 1$ . It follows that  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} u^{-\alpha} e^{F(u)/\varepsilon} = \infty$ . Also by (1.3), for  $u$  sufficiently large,  $|u^{-\alpha} F'(u)| \geq C|u|^{a_1/2 - \alpha}$  for some  $C > 0$ . Hence, by L'Hôpital's rule,

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_x^u e^{F(v)/\varepsilon} dv}{u^{-\alpha} e^{F(u)/\varepsilon}} = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{-\alpha u^{-(\alpha+1)} + u^{-\alpha} F'(u)} = 0,$$

and so we may choose  $C_2 > 0$  such that  $\int_x^u e^{F(v)/\varepsilon} dv \leq C_2 u^{-\alpha} e^{F(u)/\varepsilon}$  for all  $u \geq x$ . Therefore,

$$\int_x^\infty \int_x^u \left| \exp\left(\frac{F(v) - F(u)}{\varepsilon}\right) \eta_k(u) \right| dv du \leq C_1 C_2 \int_x^\infty u^{-\alpha} du < \infty,$$

and so the right-hand side of (3.1) is well-defined.

Let

$$y(x) = \eta_k(\infty) - \frac{\lambda_k}{\varepsilon} \int_x^\infty \int_x^u \exp\left(\frac{F(v) - F(u)}{\varepsilon}\right) \eta_k(u) dv du.$$

Then

$$y'(x) = \frac{\lambda_k}{\varepsilon} \int_x^\infty \exp\left(\frac{F(x) - F(u)}{\varepsilon}\right) \eta_k(u) du,$$

and

$$y''(x) = -\frac{\lambda_k}{\varepsilon} \eta_k(x) + F'(x) \frac{\lambda_k}{\varepsilon^2} \int_x^\infty \exp\left(\frac{F(x) - F(u)}{\varepsilon}\right) \eta_k(u) du.$$

Thus,  $\varepsilon y'' - F' y' = -\lambda_k \eta_k = \varepsilon \eta_k'' - F' \eta_k'$ , so that  $y - \eta_k$  is an eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda_0$ . That is,  $y$  and  $\eta_k$  differ by a constant. But  $y(\infty) = \eta_k(\infty)$ , so  $y = \eta_k$  and this proves (3.1).

By replacing  $F$  with  $x \mapsto F(-x)$ , equation (3.1) gives

$$\eta_k(-x) = \eta_k(-\infty) - \frac{\lambda_k}{\varepsilon} \int_x^\infty \int_x^u \exp\left(\frac{F(-v) - F(-u)}{\varepsilon}\right) \eta_k(-u) dv du,$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_k(x) &= \eta_k(-\infty) - \frac{\lambda_k}{\varepsilon} \int_{-x}^\infty \int_{-u}^x \exp\left(\frac{F(v') - F(-u)}{\varepsilon}\right) \eta_k(-u) dv' du \\ &= \eta_k(-\infty) - \frac{\lambda_k}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^x \int_{u'}^x \exp\left(\frac{F(v') - F(u')}{\varepsilon}\right) \eta_k(u') dv' du', \end{aligned}$$

proving (3.2). □

We now assume that for some fixed  $\tilde{x}_0 < 0 < \tilde{x}_1$ :

- (i)  $F$  is strictly decreasing on  $(-\infty, \tilde{x}_0)$  and  $(0, \tilde{x}_1)$ , and strictly increasing on  $(\tilde{x}_0, 0)$  and  $(\tilde{x}_1, \infty)$ .

(ii)  $F''(\tilde{x}_0) > 0$ ,  $F''(0) < 0$ ,  $F''(\tilde{x}_1) > 0$ .

(iii)  $F(\tilde{x}_0) \neq F(\tilde{x}_1)$ .

Then  $\mathcal{M} = \{\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{x}_1\}$  and  $m = 1$ . If  $F(\tilde{x}_0) < F(\tilde{x}_1)$ , then

$$\widehat{F}(\tilde{x}_1, \{\tilde{x}_0\}) - F(\tilde{x}_1) = F(0) - F(\tilde{x}_1) < F(0) - F(\tilde{x}_0) = \widehat{F}(\tilde{x}_0, \{\tilde{x}_1\}) - F(\tilde{x}_0),$$

which would imply  $x_0 = \tilde{x}_0$ , and  $x_1 = \tilde{x}_1$ . On the other hand, if  $F(\tilde{x}_1) < F(\tilde{x}_0)$ , then  $x_0 = \tilde{x}_1$  and  $x_1 = \tilde{x}_0$ . For now, we will not assume either ordering of the local minima, so that our assumptions are symmetric under the reflection  $x \mapsto -x$ . Because of this, results that are stated in terms of  $\tilde{x}_0$  can be applied to  $\tilde{x}_1$  by replacing  $F(x)$  with  $F(-x)$ .

Let  $\eta = \eta_1$  and  $\lambda = \lambda_1$ . By Courant's nodal domain theorem [3, Section VI.6, p.454], replacing  $\eta$  by  $-\eta$  if necessary, there exists  $r = r_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\eta(x) \begin{cases} < 0 & \text{if } x < r_\varepsilon, \\ = 0 & \text{if } x = r_\varepsilon, \\ > 0 & \text{if } x > r_\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

It therefore follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $\eta$  is strictly increasing.

By [2, Theorem 1.2],

$$\lambda = \frac{|F''(0)F''(x_1)|^{1/2}}{2\pi} e^{-(F(0)-F(x_1))/\varepsilon} (1 + O(\varepsilon^{1/2} |\log \varepsilon|)). \quad (3.4)$$

By [1, (3.3)], we have

$$E^{x_j}[\tau_{B_\rho(x_{1-j})}^X] \sim \frac{2\pi}{|F''(0)F''(x_j)|^{1/2}} e^{(F(0)-F(x_j))/\varepsilon}, \quad (3.5)$$

for  $0 < \rho < |\tilde{x}_0| \wedge |\tilde{x}_1|$ . And the following special case of [2, Proposition 3.3] gives us a way to estimate the shape of the eigenfunction.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $h(y) = P^y(\tau_{(x_0-\varepsilon, x_0+\varepsilon)}^X < \tau_{r_\varepsilon}^X)$  and  $\phi(y) = |\eta(y)|/|\eta(x_0 + \varepsilon)|$ . Then there exists  $C, \alpha, \varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that*

$$h(y) \leq \phi(y) \leq h(y)(1 + C\varepsilon^{\alpha/2}),$$

for all  $y < r_\varepsilon$  and all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ .

To apply this result, we will use the following two lemmas, which formulate the Freidlin and Wentzell results in our specific case.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $a < \tilde{a} < \tilde{x}_0 < \tilde{b} < b < 0$  and fix  $\delta > 0$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\exp\left(\frac{1-\delta}{\varepsilon}(F(a) \wedge F(b) - F(\tilde{x}_0))\right) \leq E^x[\tau_{(a,b)^c}^X] \leq \exp\left(\frac{1+\delta}{\varepsilon}(F(a) \wedge F(b) - F(\tilde{x}_0))\right),$$

for all  $\tilde{a} \leq x \leq \tilde{b}$  and all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ . The analogous result also holds when  $0 < a < \tilde{a} < \tilde{x}_1 < \tilde{b} < b$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem A.1,  $\varepsilon \log E^x[\tau_{(a,b)^c}^X] \rightarrow L := F(a) \wedge F(b) - F(\tilde{x}_0)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $x$  on  $[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]$ . Thus, there exists  $\varepsilon_0$  such that  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$  implies  $\varepsilon \log E^x[\tau_{(a,b)^c}^X] \leq (1 + \delta)L$ , which gives the upper bound. The lower bound is deduced similarly.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $a < \tilde{x}_0 < b < 0$  or  $0 < a < \tilde{x}_1 < b$  and define  $G = (a, b)$ . Assume  $F(a) \neq F(b)$  and choose  $y \in \{a, b\}$  such that  $F(y) = F(a) \vee F(b)$ . Then, for all compact  $K \subset G$  and all  $\gamma > 0$ , there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\exp\left(-\frac{|F(a) - F(b)| + \gamma}{\varepsilon}\right) \leq P^x(X(\tau_{G^c}^X) = y) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{|F(a) - F(b)| - \gamma}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

for all  $x \in K$  and all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ .

*Proof.* We prove only the case where  $a < \tilde{x}_0 < b$  and  $F(a) > F(b)$ , so that  $y = a$ . The proofs of the other cases are similar. We use Theorem A.3, Proposition A.4, and Lemma A.5. Note that, according to the discussion preceding Theorem A.3, we have  $V_G(x, y) = V(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in [a, b]$ .

Fix  $x \in K$ . In this case,

$$M_G = V_G(\{\tilde{x}_0\}, \{a, b\}) = V_G(\tilde{x}_0, a) \wedge V_G(\tilde{x}_0, b) = 2(F(b) - F(\tilde{x}_0)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_G(x, a) &= \min\{V_G(\tilde{x}_0, x) + V_G(x, a), V_G(\tilde{x}_0, \{a, b\}) + V_G(x, a), V_G(x, \tilde{x}_0) + V_G(\tilde{x}_0, a)\} \\ &= \min\{2(F(x) - F(\tilde{x}_0)) + V_G(x, a), 2(F(b) - F(\tilde{x}_0)) + V_G(x, a), 2(F(a) - F(\tilde{x}_0))\} \end{aligned}$$

If  $a < x < \tilde{x}_0$ , then  $V_G(x, a) = 2(F(a) - F(x))$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} M_G(x, a) &= 2 \min\{F(a) - F(\tilde{x}_0), F(b) - F(\tilde{x}_0) + F(a) - F(\tilde{x}_0), F(a) - F(\tilde{x}_0)\} \\ &= 2(F(a) - F(\tilde{x}_0)). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\tilde{x}_0 \leq x < b$ , then  $V_G(x, a) = 2(F(a) - F(\tilde{x}_0))$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} M_G(x, a) &= 2 \min\{F(x) + F(a) - 2F(\tilde{x}_0), F(b) + F(a) - 2F(\tilde{x}_0), F(a) - F(\tilde{x}_0)\} \\ &= 2(F(a) - F(\tilde{x}_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $M_G(x, a) - M_G = 2(F(a) - F(b))$ , and the result follows from Theorem A.3.  $\square$

## 3.2 Location of the nodal point

Our first order of business is to identify an interval in which the nodal point (that is, the zero of the second eigenfunction) is asymptotically located. The essential feature of the interval is that it is bounded away from the minima as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

The statement of this result is Corollary 3.10. To prove this result, we need four lemmas, all concerning stopping times of  $X$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *There exists  $R > 0$  such that  $\sup\{E^x[\tau_K^X] : x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \varepsilon \in (0, 1)\} < \infty$ , where  $K = \overline{B_R(0)}$ .*



*Proof.* In this proof, for  $r > 0$ , let  $\sigma_r = \tau_{(-\infty, r]}^{F(X)} = \inf\{t \geq 0 : F(X(t)) \leq r\}$ .

Choose  $C_1, C_2, L > 0$  such that

- (i)  $V(x) \geq C_1|x|^{a_1}$ ,
- (ii)  $C_1|x|^{a_1} \leq |\nabla F(x)|^2 \leq C_2|x|^{a_2}$ , and
- (iii)  $C_1|x|^{\tilde{a}_1} \leq F(x) \leq C_2|x|^{\tilde{a}_2}$ ,

for all  $|x| > L$ , where  $\tilde{a}_j$  are as in (3.3). Choose  $R > L$  such that

$$I := (1 \vee \sup_{|x| \leq L} F(x), C_1 R^{\tilde{a}_1}] \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset,$$

and choose  $b \in I$ .

Suppose  $\omega \in \{\tau_K > t\}$ . Then, for all  $s \leq t$ , we have that  $|X(s)| > R > L$ , and so it follows that  $F(X(s)) \geq C_1|X(s)|^{\tilde{a}_1} > C_1 R^{\tilde{a}_1} \geq b$ . Thus,  $\omega \in \{\sigma_b > t\}$ , and we have shown that  $\tau_K \leq \sigma_b$  a.s. It therefore suffices to show that  $E^x[\sigma_b]$  is bounded above by a constant that does not depend on  $x$  or  $\varepsilon$ .

Fix  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $r = a_1/\tilde{a}_2$  and  $C_3 = C_1 C_2^{-r}$ . We will first prove that if  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $b \leq n < F(x) \leq n + 1$ , then

$$E^x[\sigma_n] \leq 2C_3^{-1}n^{-r}. \quad (3.6)$$

Let  $x$  and  $n$  satisfy the assumptions. Using Itô's rule, we can write

$$F(X(t)) = F(x) + \sqrt{2\varepsilon} M(t) - 2\varepsilon \int_0^t \psi(X(s)) ds, P^x\text{-a.s.}$$

where  $M(t) = \int_0^t \nabla F(X(s)) dW(s)$  and  $\psi = \varepsilon V + |\nabla F|^2/(4\varepsilon)$ . Let  $\tilde{W}(s) = M(T(s))$ , where the stopping time  $T(s)$  is defined by  $T(s) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : [M]_t > s\}$ . By [9, Theorem 3.4.6],  $\tilde{W}$  is a standard Brownian motion, and  $M(t) = \tilde{W}([M]_t)$ . Moreover, by [9, Problem 3.4.5],  $s < [M]_t$  if and only if  $T(s) < t$ , and  $[M]_{T(s)} = s$  for all  $s \geq 0$ .

Let

$$\widehat{W}(t) = \tilde{W}(t) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\varepsilon}} t,$$

and define  $\tilde{\sigma}_n = \tau_{(-\infty, n-F(x)]}^{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}\widehat{W}} = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \widehat{W}(t) \leq (n - F(x))/\sqrt{2\varepsilon}\}$ . We will prove that  $[M]_{\sigma_n} \leq \tilde{\sigma}_n$  a.s. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\tilde{\sigma}_n < [M]_{\sigma_n}\} &= \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{Q}} \left( \{s < [M]_{\sigma_n}\} \cap \left\{ \widehat{W}(s) \leq \frac{n - F(x)}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}} \right\} \right) \\ &= \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{Q}} \left( \{T(s) < \sigma_n\} \cap \left\{ \widehat{W}([M]_{T(s)}) \leq \frac{n - F(x)}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the event  $\{T(s) < \sigma_n\}$ , we have, for all  $u \leq T(s)$ ,

$$F(X(u)) > n \geq b > \sup_{|x| \leq L} F(x), \quad (3.7)$$

where the first inequality comes from the definition of  $\sigma_n$ . It follows that  $|X(u)| > L$ . Thus, by (i), we have  $V(X(u)) > 0$ , and so  $\psi(X(u)) > |\nabla F(X(u))|^2/(4\varepsilon)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} n < F(X(T(s))) &\leq F(x) + \sqrt{2\varepsilon}M(T(s)) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T(s)} |\nabla F(X(u))|^2 du \\ &= F(x) + \sqrt{2\varepsilon} \widetilde{W}B([M]_{T(s)}) - \frac{1}{2}[M]_{T(s)} \\ &= F(x) + \sqrt{2\varepsilon} \widehat{W}([M]_{T(s)}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\widehat{W}([M]_{T(s)}) > (n - F(x))/\sqrt{2\varepsilon}$  a.s. on the event  $\{T(s) < \sigma_n\}$ , which shows that  $P(\tilde{\sigma}_n < [M]_{\sigma_n}) = 0$ .

Note that for all  $|x| > L$ , we have

$$|\nabla F(x)|^2 \geq C_1|x|^{a_1} = C_1(|x|^{\tilde{a}_2})^{a_1/\tilde{a}_2} \geq C_1(C_2^{-1}F(x))^{a_1/\tilde{a}_2} = C_3F(x)^r.$$

Thus, as in (3.7), we obtain

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n \geq [M]_{\sigma_n} = \int_0^{\sigma_n} |\nabla F(X(u))|^2 du \geq C_3 \int_0^{\sigma_n} F(X(u))^r du \geq C_3 n^r \sigma_n.$$

Hence, using [9, Exercise 3.5.10], which gives the Laplace transform of  $\tilde{\sigma}_n$ , we have

$$E^x[\sigma_n] \leq C_3^{-1}n^{-r} E^x[\tilde{\sigma}_n] = 2C_3^{-1}n^{-r}(F(x) - n) \leq 2C_3^{-1}n^{-r},$$

which proves (3.6). It now follows by induction and the Markov property that

$$E^x[\sigma_b] \leq 2C_3^{-1} \sum_{j=b}^n j^{-r},$$

whenever  $b \leq n < F(x) \leq n + 1$ . Since

$$\tilde{a}_2 = \frac{a_2}{2} + 1 < \frac{2a_1 - 2}{2} + 1 = a_1,$$

it follows that  $r > 1$ . Hence,  $C_4 := \sum_{j=b}^{\infty} j^{-r} < \infty$ . Since  $\sigma_b = 0$ ,  $P^x$ -a.s., whenever  $F(x) \leq b$ , we have that  $E^x[\sigma_b] \leq 2C_3^{-1}C_4$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $x < \tilde{x}_0$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\sup\{E^y[\tau_x^X] : y < x, \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)\} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Choose  $R > |x|$  as in Lemma 3.5, so that there exists  $C_1 > 0$  such that  $E^y[\tau_{-R}^X] \leq C_1$  for all  $y < -R$  and all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ .

Suppose  $-R < x < \tilde{x}_0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $J = (-R - 1, x)$ . Since  $\tau_{J^c}^X \leq \tau_x^X$   $P^{-R}$ -a.s., the strong Markov property gives

$$E^{-R}[\tau_x^X] = E^{-R}[\tau_{J^c}^X] + E^{-R}[E^{X(\tau_{J^c}^X)}[\tau_x^X]] = E^{-R}[\tau_{J^c}^X] + p_\varepsilon E^{-R-1}[\tau_x^X],$$

where  $p_\varepsilon = P^{-R}(X(\tau_{J^c}^X) = -R - 1)$ . Also by the strong Markov property and Lemma 3.5,

$$E^{-R-1}[\tau_x^X] = E^{-R-1}[\tau_{-R}^X] + E^{-R}[\tau_x^X] \leq C_1 + E^{-R}[\tau_x^X].$$

Thus,

$$E^{-R}[\tau_x^X] \leq \frac{E^{-R}[\tau_{J^c}^X] + p_\varepsilon C_1}{1 - p_\varepsilon}.$$

By Theorem A.2, there exists  $C_2 > 0$ ,  $T > 0$ , and  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ ,

$$E^{-R}[\tau_{J^c}^X] = \int_0^\infty P(\tau_{J^c}^X > t) dt \leq T + \int_T^\infty e^{-\varepsilon^{-2}C_2(t-T)} dt \leq T + \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{C_2} =: C_3.$$

Choose  $0 < r < |\tilde{x}_0|$  such that  $F(\tilde{x}_0 + r) < F(-R - 1)$ , and choose  $\gamma < F(-R - 1) - F(\tilde{x}_0 + r)$ . By Lemma 3.4, making  $\varepsilon_0$  smaller, if necessary, we have

$$p_\varepsilon \leq P^{-R}(X(\tau_{(-R-1, \tilde{x}_0+r)^c}^X) = -R - 1) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{F(-R - 1) - F(\tilde{x}_0 + r) - \gamma}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ . By making  $\varepsilon_0$  even smaller, if necessary, we have  $p_\varepsilon < 1/2$  for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ . Thus,

$$E^{-R}[\tau_x^X] \leq 2C_3 + C_1 =: C_4,$$

for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ .

Now, if  $y < -R < x < x_0$ , then

$$E^y[\tau_x^X] = E^y[\tau_{-R}^X] + E^{-R}[\tau_x^X] \leq C_1 + C_4,$$

for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , and if  $-R \leq y < x < x_0$ , then

$$C_4 \geq E^{-R}[\tau_x^X] = E^{-R}[\tau_y^X] + E^y[\tau_x^X] \geq E^y[\tau_x^X],$$

for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ . □

**Lemma 3.7.** *For all  $\tilde{x}_0 < x < 0$  and all  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $C > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  and all  $y < x$ , we have*

$$E^y[\tau_x^X] \leq C \exp\left(\frac{1 + \delta}{\varepsilon}(F(x) - F(\tilde{x}_0))\right).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\tilde{x}_0 < x < 0$  and fix  $\delta > 0$ . Choose  $R > |\tilde{x}_0|$  as in Lemma 3.5, so that there exists  $C_1 > 0$  such that  $E^y[\tau_{-R}^X] \leq C_1$  for all  $y < -R$  and all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . By making  $R$  larger, if necessary, we may assume  $F(x) < F(-R - 1)$ . Let  $J := (-R - 1, x)$ . As in the proof of Lemma 3.6,

$$E^{-R}[\tau_x^X] \leq \frac{E^{-R}[\tau_{J^c}^X] + p_\varepsilon C_1}{1 - p_\varepsilon},$$

where  $p_\varepsilon = P^{-R}(X(\tau_{J^c}^X) = -R - 1)$ . Using Lemma 3.4, we may choose  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $p_\varepsilon \leq 1/2$  for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , giving

$$E^{-R}[\tau_x^X] \leq 2E^{-R}[\tau_{J^c}^X] + C_1.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.6, if  $y < -R$ , then

$$E^y[\tau_x^X] = E^y[\tau_{-R}^X] + E^{-R}[\tau_x^X] \leq E^{-R}[\tau_x^X] + C_1,$$

and if  $-R \leq y$ , then

$$E^y[\tau_x^X] \leq E^{-R}[\tau_y^X] + E^y[\tau_x^X] = E^{-R}[\tau_x^X] \leq E^{-R}[\tau_x^X] + C_1.$$

Thus,

$$E^y[\tau_x^X] \leq 2E^{-R}[\tau_{J^c}^X] + 2C_1,$$

for all  $y < x$  and all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ .

By Lemma 3.3, making  $\varepsilon_0$  smaller if necessary, we have

$$E^{-R}[\tau_{J^c}^X] \leq \exp\left(\frac{1+\delta}{2\varepsilon}(F(x) - F(\tilde{x}_0))\right),$$

for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , which proves the lemma with  $C = 2 + 2C_1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $\varpi_\eta(dx) = |\eta(x)|1_{(-\infty, r_\varepsilon)}(x)\varpi(dx)$  and  $\widehat{\varpi} = \varpi_\eta((-\infty, r_\varepsilon))^{-1}\varpi_\eta$ . It then follows that  $P^{\widehat{\varpi}}(\tau_{r_\varepsilon}^X > t) = e^{-\lambda t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I = (-\infty, r_\varepsilon)$ . Let  $X^I$  denote  $X$  killed upon leaving  $I$ . Note that  $X^I$  with  $X^I(0) = x$  solves the martingale problem for  $(A^I, \delta_x)$ , where  $A^I = \{(f, Af) : f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}), f(r) = 0\}$ . Choose  $\varphi_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 1$ ,  $\varphi_n(r) = 0$ , and  $\varphi_n \rightarrow 1_I$  pointwise. Then

$$P^{\widehat{\varpi}}(\tau_r > t) = P^{\widehat{\varpi}}(X^I(t) \in I) = E^{\widehat{\varpi}}[1_I(X^I(t))] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(t),$$

where  $h_n(t) = E^{\widehat{\varpi}}[\varphi_n(X^I(t))]$ . Let  $P_t^I f(x) = E^x[f(X^I(t))]$ . Fix  $t \geq 0$  and let  $\psi_n = P_t^I \varphi_n$ . Then

$$h_n(t) = \int_I \psi_n d\widehat{\varpi} = -\frac{1}{\varpi_\eta(I)} \int_I \psi_n \eta d\varpi,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} h'_n(t) &= -\frac{1}{\varpi_\eta(I)} \int_I (A^I \psi_n) \eta d\varpi = -\frac{1}{\varpi_\eta(I)} \int_I (\varepsilon \psi_n'' - F' \psi_n') \eta d\varpi \\ &= -\frac{1}{\varpi_\eta(I)} \int_I \psi_n (\varepsilon \eta'' - F' \eta') d\varpi = \frac{\lambda}{\varpi_\eta(I)} \int_I \psi_n \eta d\varpi = -\lambda h_n(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $h_n(t) = h_n(0)e^{-\lambda t}$ . Note that  $h_n(0) = \int_I \varphi_n d\widehat{\varpi} \rightarrow \widehat{\varpi}(I) = 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It therefore follows that  $P^{\widehat{\varpi}}(\tau_r > t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $x \in (\tilde{x}_0, 0)$  satisfy  $F(x) - F(\tilde{x}_0) < F(0) - F(x_1)$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , we have  $x < r_\varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $(1 + \delta)(F(x) - F(\tilde{x}_0)) < F(0) - F(x_1)$ . By Lemma 3.7, there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$E^y[\tau_x^X] \leq C_1 \exp\left(\frac{1+\delta}{\varepsilon}(F(x) - F(\tilde{x}_0))\right),$$

for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$  and all  $y < x$ . By (3.4), there exists a constant  $C_2 > 0$ , not depending on  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $\lambda \leq C_2 e^{-(F(0)-F(x_1))/\varepsilon}$ . By making  $\varepsilon_0$  smaller if necessary, we may assume

$$\varepsilon \log(C_1 C_2) < F(0) - F(x_1) - (1 + \delta)(F(x) - F(\tilde{x}_0)),$$

for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ .

Fix  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ . Suppose  $r_\varepsilon \leq x$ . By Lemma 3.8,

$$\begin{aligned} C_2^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(F(0) - F(x_1))\right) &\leq \lambda^{-1} = E^{\widehat{\omega}}[\tau_{r_\varepsilon}^X] = \int_{-\infty}^{r_\varepsilon} E^y[\tau_{r_\varepsilon}^X] \widehat{\omega}(dy) \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{r_\varepsilon} E^y[\tau_x^X] \widehat{\omega}(dy) \leq \sup_{y < r_\varepsilon} E^y[\tau_x^X] \leq \sup_{y < x} E^y[\tau_x^X] \leq C_1 \exp\left(\frac{1 + \delta}{\varepsilon}(F(x) - F(\tilde{x}_0))\right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\exp\left(\frac{F(0) - F(x_1) - (1 + \delta)(F(x) - F(\tilde{x}_0))}{\varepsilon}\right) \leq C_1 C_2,$$

a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.10.** *Suppose  $F(\tilde{x}_0) < F(\tilde{x}_1)$ , so that  $x_0 = \tilde{x}_0$  and  $x_1 = \tilde{x}_1$ . Choose  $\xi \in (x_0, 0)$  such that  $F(\xi) - F(x_0) = F(0) - F(x_1)$ . Then for all  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $r_\varepsilon \in (\xi - \delta, \delta)$  for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume  $\xi - \delta > x_0$  and  $\delta < x_1$ . Taking  $x = \xi - \delta$  in Theorem 3.9, we may choose  $\varepsilon_1$  such that  $\xi - \delta < r_\varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ . For the upper bound on  $r_\varepsilon$ , we apply Theorem 3.9 to  $x \mapsto F(-x)$ . In this case, the theorem says that if  $x \in (-x_1, 0)$  satisfies  $F(-x) - F(x_1) < F(0) - F(x_0)$ , then there exists  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  such that  $x < \tilde{r}_\varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_2$ , where  $\tilde{r}_\varepsilon$  is the nodal point of  $x \mapsto -\eta(-x)$ , that is,  $\tilde{r}_\varepsilon = -r_\varepsilon$ . Taking  $x = -\delta$  and  $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_1 \wedge \varepsilon_2$  finishes the proof.  $\square$

### 3.3 Behavior near the minima

Corollary 3.10 divides the domain of the second eigenfunction,  $\eta$ , into three intervals: two infinite half-lines that each contain one of the two minima, and a bounded interval separating the half-lines that contains the nodal point. Our next order of business is to show that  $\eta$  is asymptotically flat on the infinite half-lines. Theorem 3.12 gives this result for the half-line containing  $\tilde{x}_0$ . Applying Theorem 3.12 to  $x \mapsto F(-x)$  gives the result for the half-line containing  $\tilde{x}_1$ .

We begin with a lemma. Recall  $a_j, \tilde{a}_j$  and  $c_j, \tilde{c}_j$  from (1.3), (1.4), and (3.3). In applying this lemma, note that

$$\frac{\tilde{a}_2}{\tilde{a}_1} = \frac{a_2 + 2}{a_1 + 2} < \frac{2a_1}{a_1 + 2} < \frac{a_1}{2},$$

where the first inequality comes from  $a_2 < 2a_1 - 2$  and the second from  $a_1 > 2$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $x \in (\tilde{x}_0, 0)$ . Suppose  $p$  satisfies*

$$\frac{2}{a_1} < p < \frac{\tilde{a}_1}{\tilde{a}_2} \leq 1.$$

Then there exists  $u_0 < -1$  and  $C > 0$  such that

$$e^{-F(u)/\varepsilon} \int_u^x e^{F(v)/\varepsilon} dv \leq C\varepsilon|u|^{-pa_1/2},$$

for all  $u < u_0$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $t < \tilde{x}_0$  such that  $F(t) = F(x)$ . Using (1.3), we may choose  $u_0 < -1$  and  $C' > 0$  such that

- (i)  $-|u_0|^p < t$ ,
- (ii)  $F(\theta) > 0$  and  $|F'(\theta)| \geq C'|\theta|^{a_1/2}$ , for all  $\theta < -|u_0|^p$ , and
- (iii)  $\tilde{c}_3|u|^{p\tilde{a}_2-\tilde{a}_1} < \frac{\tilde{c}_1}{2}$  and  $\tilde{c}_4 - \tilde{c}_2 \leq \frac{\tilde{c}_1}{4}|u|^{\tilde{a}_1}$ , for all  $u < u_0$ .

Let  $G(u) = \int_u^x e^{F(v)/\varepsilon} dv$  and  $H(u) = e^{F(u)/\varepsilon}$ . Fix  $u < u_0$  and let  $v = -|u|^p < -|u_0|^p$ . Note that  $u < v$ .

By Cauchy's generalized law of the mean,

$$\frac{G(u) - G(v)}{H(u) - H(v)} = \frac{G'(\theta)}{H'(\theta)},$$

for some  $u < \theta < v$ . From this, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G(u)}{H(u)} &= \frac{G(v)}{H(u)} + \frac{G'(\theta)}{H'(\theta)} \left(1 - \frac{H(v)}{H(u)}\right) \\ &= \frac{G(v)}{H(u)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{|F'(\theta)|} \left(1 - \frac{H(v)}{H(u)}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{G(v)}{H(u)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{|F'(\theta)|}. \end{aligned}$$

By (ii),

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{|F'(\theta)|} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{C'|\theta|^{a_1/2}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{C'|v|^{a_1/2}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{C'|u|^{pa_1/2}}.$$

It therefore suffices to show that

$$\frac{G(v)}{H(u)} \leq C''\varepsilon|u|^{-pa_1/2}, \tag{3.8}$$

for some constant  $C''$  that does not depend on  $u$  or  $\varepsilon$ .

By (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} F(v) - F(u) &\leq \tilde{c}_3|v|^{\tilde{a}_2} + \tilde{c}_4 - \tilde{c}_1|u|^{\tilde{a}_1} - \tilde{c}_2 \\ &= (\tilde{c}_3|u|^{p\tilde{a}_2-\tilde{a}_1} - \tilde{c}_1)|u|^{\tilde{a}_1} + \tilde{c}_4 - \tilde{c}_2 \leq -\frac{\tilde{c}_1}{4}|u|^{\tilde{a}_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from (iii). By (i), we have  $v < t$ , so that  $F(v) > F(w)$  for all  $w \in (v, x)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G(v)}{H(u)} &= \int_v^x e^{(F(w)-F(u))/\varepsilon} dw \leq |v| e^{(F(v)-F(u))/\varepsilon} \\ &\leq |u|^p \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{c}_1}{4\varepsilon}|u|^{\tilde{a}_1}\right) \\ &= (\varepsilon|u|^{-pa_1/2}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |u|^{p\tilde{a}_1} \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{c}_1}{4\varepsilon}|u|^{\tilde{a}_1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \mapsto x^p e^{-\tilde{c}_1 x/4}$  is bounded on  $[0, \infty)$ , this proves (3.8).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $x \in (\tilde{x}_0, 0)$  satisfy  $F(x) - F(\tilde{x}_0) < F(0) - F(x_1)$ . Then there exists  $C > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ ,*

$$\left|1 - \frac{\eta(x)}{\eta(-\infty)}\right| \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(F(0) - F(x_1) - F(x) + F(\tilde{x}_0))\right). \quad (3.9)$$

*Proof.* Again by (3.4), there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$ , not depending on  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $\lambda \leq C_1 e^{-(F(0)-F(x_1))/\varepsilon}$ .

Let  $\varepsilon_0$  be as in Theorem 3.9, and let  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ . Choose  $t < \tilde{x}_0$  such that  $F(t) = F(x)$ . By Theorem 3.9,  $x < r_\varepsilon$ . Since  $\eta$  is increasing,  $\eta(u) < 0$  for all  $u \leq x$ . Therefore, by (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \eta(x) - \eta(-\infty) &= \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^x \int_u^x e^{(F(v)-F(u))/\varepsilon} |\eta(u)| dv du \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} |\eta(-\infty)| \int_{-\infty}^x \int_u^x e^{(F(v)-F(u))/\varepsilon} dv du. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|1 - \frac{\eta(x)}{\eta(-\infty)}\right| &\leq \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^x \int_u^x e^{(F(v)-F(u))/\varepsilon} dv du \\ &\leq \frac{C_1}{\varepsilon} e^{-(F(0)-F(x_1))/\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^x \int_u^x e^{(F(v)-F(u))/\varepsilon} dv du. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Choose  $p$  as in Lemma 3.11. Then there exist  $u_0 < 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{u_0} \int_u^x e^{(F(v)-F(u))/\varepsilon} dv du \leq C_3 \varepsilon,$$

where  $C_3 = C_2 |u_0|^{1-pa_1/2} / (pa_1/2 - 1)$ . By the proof of Lemma 3.11, we have  $u_0 < t$ , and so

$$\int_{u_0}^t \int_u^x e^{(F(v)-F(u))/\varepsilon} dv du \leq \int_{u_0}^t (x - u) du \leq |u_0|^2.$$

Lastly,

$$\int_t^x \int_u^x e^{(F(v)-F(u))/\varepsilon} dv du \leq \int_t^x \int_u^x e^{(F(x)-F(\tilde{x}_0))/\varepsilon} dv du \leq |u_0|^2 e^{(F(x)-F(\tilde{x}_0))/\varepsilon}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^x \int_u^x e^{(F(v)-F(u))/\varepsilon} dv du &\leq C_3\varepsilon + |u_0|^2 + |u_0|^2 e^{(F(x)-F(\tilde{x}_0))/\varepsilon} \\ &\leq C_4 e^{(F(x)-F(\tilde{x}_0))/\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_4 = (C_3\varepsilon_0 + |u_0|^2)e^{-(F(x)-F(\tilde{x}_0))/\varepsilon_0} + |u_0|^2$ . Finally, combining this with (3.10), we obtain (3.9), where  $C = C_1C_4$ .  $\square$

### 3.4 Behavior near the nodal point

From this point forward, for definiteness, we assume  $F(\tilde{x}_0) < F(\tilde{x}_1)$ , so that  $x_0 = \tilde{x}_0$  and  $x_1 = \tilde{x}_1$ .

Having shown that  $\eta$  is asymptotically flat near the minima, we would now like to show that it behaves, weakly, like a simple function that is constant on the domains of attraction defined in (1.2). That is, we want to show that  $\int_{D_0} \eta d\varpi \sim \eta(x_0)\varpi(D_0)$  and  $\int_{D_1} \eta d\varpi \sim \eta(x_1)\varpi(D_1)$ . (Note that we cannot use Theorem A.6 since  $\eta$  depends on  $\varepsilon$ .) Combined with  $\int \eta d\varpi = 0$ , this would give us the relative magnitudes of  $\eta(x_0)$  and  $\eta(x_1)$ . By Theorem 3.12, this is equivalent to understanding the relative magnitudes of  $\eta(-\infty)$  and  $\eta(\infty)$ , respectively.

**Lemma 3.13.** *Choose  $\delta \in (0, x_1)$  such that  $\xi - \delta \in (x_0, 0)$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer and let  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bounded. If  $g$  is continuous at  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ , then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\xi-\delta} g(x)|\eta(x)|^k e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \sim g(x_0)|\eta(-\infty)|^k \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{F''(x_0)}} e^{-F(x_0)/\varepsilon}, \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\int_{\delta}^{\infty} g(x)|\eta(x)|^k e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \sim g(x_1)|\eta(\infty)|^k \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{F''(x_1)}} e^{-F(x_1)/\varepsilon}, \quad (3.12)$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* By writing  $g = g^+ - g^-$ ,  $g^+$  and  $g^-$  nonnegative, we may assume without loss of generality that  $g$  is nonnegative. By Corollary 3.10 and the fact that  $\eta$  is increasing, we have that, for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small,  $|\eta(x)| \leq |\eta(-\infty)|$  for all  $x \in (-\infty, \xi - \delta)$ . Thus,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\xi-\delta} g(x)|\eta(x)|^k e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \leq |\eta(-\infty)|^k \int_{-\infty}^{\xi-\delta} g(x)e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx.$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\xi-\delta} g(x)|\eta(x)|^k e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \geq |\eta(\xi - \delta)|^k \int_{-\infty}^{\xi-\delta} g(x)e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx.$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.12,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\xi-\delta} g(x)|\eta(x)|^k e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \sim |\eta(-\infty)|^k \int_{-\infty}^{\xi-\delta} g(x)e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx.$$

By Theorem A.6, this proves (3.11). Replacing  $F$  with  $x \mapsto F(-x)$ , Theorem 3.12 shows that  $\eta(\delta) \sim \eta(\infty)$ . Thus, the same argument can be used to obtain (3.12).  $\square$



**Lemma 3.14.** *There exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for all  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ ,*

$$\int_{\xi-\delta}^{\delta} \eta(x) e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx = o\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi-\delta} |\eta(x)| e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx + \int_{\delta}^{\infty} |\eta(x)| e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx\right),$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume  $F(x_0) = 0$ . Let  $\gamma = (F(0) - F(x_1))/4 > 0$ . By the continuity of  $F$ , we may choose  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that  $F(-\delta_0) > F(x_1)$  and

$$F(-\delta/2) - F(x_0 - \delta) - F(x_1) > 2\gamma, \quad (3.13)$$

for all  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ .

Let  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$  be arbitrary. By Theorem 3.2 applied to  $x \mapsto F(-x)$ , there exists  $\delta' > 0$  and  $0 < \varepsilon_0 < x_1$  such that

$$|\eta(x)| \leq (1 + \delta') |\eta(x_1 - \varepsilon)| P^x(\tau_{x_1 - \varepsilon}^X < \tau_{r_\varepsilon}^X),$$

for all  $x \in (\xi - \delta, -\delta) \cap (r_\varepsilon, \infty)$  and all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ . For any such  $x$  and  $\varepsilon$ , since  $X$  is continuous and

$$x_0 - \delta < r_\varepsilon < x < -\delta/2 < x_1 - \varepsilon,$$

it follows that on  $\{\tau_{x_1 - \varepsilon}^X < \tau_{r_\varepsilon}^X\}$ , we have  $\tau_{-\delta/2}^X < \tau_{x_0 - \delta}^X$ ,  $P^x$ -a.s. Hence,

$$|\eta(x)| \leq (1 + \delta') |\eta(x_1 - \varepsilon)| P^x(\tau_{-\delta/2}^X < \tau_{x_0 - \delta}^X).$$

By making  $\varepsilon_0$  smaller, if necessary, and using Theorem 3.12 applied to  $x \mapsto F(-x)$ , this gives

$$|\eta(x)| \leq (1 + \delta')^2 |\eta(\infty)| P^x(\tau_{-\delta/2}^X < \tau_{x_0 - \delta}^X),$$

for all  $x \in (\xi - \delta, -\delta) \cap (r_\varepsilon, \infty)$  and all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ . By (3.13), we may apply Lemma 3.4, so that by making  $\varepsilon_0$  smaller, if necessary, we obtain

$$|\eta(x)| \leq (1 + \delta')^2 |\eta(\infty)| \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(F(-\delta/2) - F(x_0 - \delta) - 2\gamma)\right), \quad (3.14)$$

for all  $x \in (\xi - \delta, -\delta) \cap (r_\varepsilon, \infty)$  and all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ . By (3.13), for fixed  $x \in (\xi - \delta, -\delta) \cap (r_\varepsilon, \infty)$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , we may write

$$|\eta(x)| \leq (1 + \delta')^2 |\eta(\infty)| e^{-F(x_1)/\varepsilon}.$$

For fixed  $x \in (-\infty, r_\varepsilon]$ , by the monotonicity of  $\eta$ , we have  $|\eta(x)| \leq |\eta(-\infty)|$ . Therefore, for all  $x \in (\xi - \delta, -\delta)$  and all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , we have

$$|\eta(x)| \leq (1 + \delta')^2 (|\eta(-\infty)| + |\eta(\infty)| e^{-F(x_1)/\varepsilon}).$$

By Proposition A.7, after making  $\varepsilon_0$  smaller, if necessary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\xi-\delta}^{-\delta} |\eta(x)| e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx &\leq (1 + \delta')^2 (|\eta(-\infty)| + |\eta(\infty)| e^{-F(x_1)/\varepsilon}) \int_{\xi-\delta}^{-\delta} e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \\ &\leq (1 + \delta')^3 (|\eta(-\infty)| + |\eta(\infty)| e^{-F(x_1)/\varepsilon}) \frac{\varepsilon}{F'(\xi - \delta)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Let  $m = \min\{F'(\xi - \delta), F'(-\delta), |F'(\delta)|\}$ . Choose  $c \in \{-\delta, \delta\}$  such that  $F(c) = F(-\delta) \wedge F(\delta)$ . By Proposition A.7, by making  $\varepsilon_0$  smaller, if necessary, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |\eta(x)| e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx &\leq (|\eta(-\infty)| + |\eta(\infty)|) \left( \int_{-\delta}^0 e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx + \int_0^{\delta} e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \right) \\ &\leq (1 + \delta') (|\eta(-\infty)| + |\eta(\infty)|) \frac{2\varepsilon}{m} e^{-F(c)/\varepsilon} \\ &\leq (1 + \delta') (|\eta(-\infty)| + |\eta(\infty)|) e^{-F(x_1)/\varepsilon} \frac{2\varepsilon}{m} \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Combining (3.15) and (3.16) gives

$$\int_{\xi-\delta}^{\delta} |\eta(x)| e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \leq (1 + \delta')^3 (|\eta(-\infty)| + |\eta(\infty)|) e^{-F(x_1)/\varepsilon} \frac{3\varepsilon}{m}. \quad (3.17)$$

Using Lemma 3.13, again making  $\varepsilon_0$  smaller, if necessary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\xi-\delta}^{\delta} |\eta(x)| e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \\ &\leq (1 + \delta')^4 \left( \sqrt{\frac{F''(x_0)}{2\pi\varepsilon}} \int_{-\infty}^{\xi-\delta} |\eta(x)| e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{\frac{F''(x_1)}{2\pi\varepsilon}} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} |\eta(x)| e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \right) \frac{3\varepsilon}{m} \\ &\leq \frac{3\varepsilon^{1/2} (1 + \delta')^4 \sqrt{F''(x_0) \vee F''(x_1)}}{m} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\xi-\delta} |\eta(x)| e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx + \int_{\delta}^{\infty} |\eta(x)| e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \right), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 3.15.* Although we have narrowed down the location of the nodal point,  $r_\varepsilon$ , to the interval  $(\xi - \delta, \delta)$ , the work in [8] suggests that the nodal point actually converges to  $\xi$ . Moreover, the caption to [8, Fig. 3], states that a step function with discontinuity at  $\xi$  is a candidate limit for  $\eta$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . However, (3.14) shows that  $\eta(x) = o(\eta(\infty))$  for all  $x < 0$ . In fact, together with Theorem 3.12 applied to  $x \mapsto F(-x)$ , it follows that  $\eta/\eta(\infty) \rightarrow 1_{(0, \infty)}$ , pointwise on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Proposition 3.16.** *We have*

$$\frac{\eta(\infty)}{|\eta(-\infty)|} \sim \sqrt{\frac{F''(x_1)}{F''(x_0)}} e^{(F(x_1) - F(x_0))/\varepsilon},$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $\delta$  such that Lemma 3.13 and Lemma 3.14 hold. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_{1,\varepsilon} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\xi-\delta} \eta(x)e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx = - \int_{-\infty}^{\xi-\delta} |\eta(x)|e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx, \\ \kappa_{2,\varepsilon} &= \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \eta(x)e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx = \int_{\delta}^{\infty} |\eta(x)|e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx, \\ \kappa_{3,\varepsilon} &= \int_{\xi-\delta}^{\delta} \eta(x)e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(x)e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx = 0$ , we have that  $|\kappa_{1,\varepsilon}| = |\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}| + \kappa_{3,\varepsilon}$ . By Lemma 3.14, we also have that  $\kappa_{3,\varepsilon} = o(|\kappa_{1,\varepsilon}| + |\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}|)$ .

Since  $\kappa_{3,\varepsilon} = o(|\kappa_{1,\varepsilon}| + |\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}|)$ , there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $|\kappa_{1,\varepsilon}| + |\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}| > 0$  and

$$\frac{|\kappa_{3,\varepsilon}|}{|\kappa_{1,\varepsilon}| + |\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}|} < 1,$$

for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ . Hence, for any such  $\varepsilon$ , we may write

$$\frac{2\left(\frac{\kappa_{3,\varepsilon}}{|\kappa_{1,\varepsilon}| + |\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}|}\right)}{1 - \left(\frac{\kappa_{3,\varepsilon}}{|\kappa_{1,\varepsilon}| + |\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}|}\right)} = \frac{2\kappa_{3,\varepsilon}}{|\kappa_{1,\varepsilon}| + |\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}| - \kappa_{3,\varepsilon}} = \frac{\kappa_{3,\varepsilon}}{|\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}|},$$

which implies  $|\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}| > 0$  for all such  $\varepsilon$ , and also shows that  $\kappa_{3,\varepsilon}/|\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}| \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore,  $|\kappa_{1,\varepsilon}|/|\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}| = 1 + \kappa_{3,\varepsilon}/|\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}| \rightarrow 1$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . That is,  $|\kappa_{1,\varepsilon}| \sim |\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}|$ . Applying Lemma 3.13 finishes the proof.  $\square$

In the following theorem, we improve the results of Lemma 3.13 in the case  $k = 1$ , to extend the intervals of integration to include the entire domains of attraction.

**Theorem 3.17.** *If  $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is continuous at  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ , then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 g(x)\eta(x)e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \sim g(x_0)\eta(-\infty)\sqrt{\frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{F''(x_0)}} e^{-F(x_0)/\varepsilon}, \quad (3.18)$$

and

$$\int_0^{\infty} g(x)\eta(x)e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \sim g(x_1)\eta(\infty)\sqrt{\frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{F''(x_1)}} e^{-F(x_1)/\varepsilon}, \quad (3.19)$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , provided the integrals exist for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ . Consequently,

$$\int g\eta d\varpi \sim (g(x_0) - g(x_1))\eta(-\infty), \quad (3.20)$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume  $F(x_0) = 0$ . Choose  $\delta$  so that Lemma 3.14 applies. By (3.17) and Proposition 3.16,

$$\left| \int_{\xi-\delta}^0 g(x)\eta(x)e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \right| \leq \|g\|_\infty (1 + \delta')^4 \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{F''(x_1)}{F''(x_0)}} \right) |\eta(-\infty)| \frac{6\varepsilon}{m},$$

for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small, where  $m = \min\{F'(\xi - \delta), F'(-\delta), |F'(\delta)|\}$ . Thus, to prove (3.18), it suffices to show that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\xi-\delta} g(x)\eta(x)e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \sim g(x_0)\eta(-\infty) \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{F''(x_0)}}.$$

But this follows from (3.11) with  $k = 1$  and the fact that  $\eta < 0$  on  $(-\infty, \xi - \delta)$ .

Using Proposition 3.16, to prove (3.19), it suffices to show that

$$\int_0^\infty g(x)\eta(x)e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \sim -g(x_1)\eta(-\infty) \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{F''(x_0)}}.$$

As above, by (3.17) and Proposition 3.16, it suffices to show that

$$\int_\delta^\infty g(x)\eta(x)e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \sim -g(x_1)\eta(-\infty) \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{F''(x_0)}}.$$

But this follows from (3.12), Proposition 3.16, and the fact that  $\eta > 0$  on  $(\delta, \infty)$ . Finally, combining these results with Proposition 3.16 and Theorem A.6, we obtain

$$\eta(-\infty)^{-1} \int g\eta d\varpi \rightarrow g(x_0) - g(x_1),$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . □

## 4 Asymptotic behavior of the coupled process

Recall that we are assuming  $F$  is a double-well potential in one dimension, with  $x_0 < 0 < x_1$  and  $F(x_0) < F(x_1)$ . Here, the  $x_j$ 's are the local minima and 0 is the local maximum.

Our construction of the coupling is dependent on our choice of  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  and  $\xi = \xi^{(1)}$  in the coupling construction outlined in the introduction (see [10, Theorem 3.8] for more details). We begin with a lemma that characterizes all the admissible choices for  $Q$  and  $\xi$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\xi_0, \xi_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $a_j = \lambda\xi_j/(\xi_j - \xi_{1-j})$ . Then*

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} -a_0 & a_0 \\ a_1 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

*is the generator of a continuous-time Markov chain with state space  $E_0 = \{0, 1\}$ , eigenvalues  $\{0, -\lambda\}$ , and corresponding eigenvectors  $(1, 1)^T$  and  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)^T$  satisfying  $\alpha_j = 1 + \xi_j\eta > 0$  if and only if the following conditions hold:*

$$(i) \quad -\frac{1}{\eta(\infty)} \leq \xi_j \leq \frac{1}{|\eta(-\infty)|}, \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \quad \xi_0 \xi_1 < 0.$$

*Proof.* Note that the  $a_j$  are defined precisely so that  $Q$  has the given eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Also,  $\alpha_j = 1 + \xi_j \eta > 0$  if and only if (i). And the  $a_j$  are both positive if and only if (ii).  $\square$

For any such choice of  $\xi$  as in Lemma 4.1, we obtain a coupled process  $(X, Y)$  with generator  $B$  given by (1.6) and initial distribution  $\nu$  given by (1.5). This process is cadlag,  $X$  satisfies the SDE given by (1.1),  $Y$  is a continuous-time Markov chain with generator  $Q$ , and, by (1.7),

$$P(X(t) \in \Gamma \mid Y(t) = j) = \int_{\Gamma} \alpha_j(x) \varpi(dx) = \varpi(\Gamma) + \xi_j \int_{\Gamma} \eta(x) \varpi(dx), \quad (4.1)$$

for  $j = 0, 1$  and all Borel sets  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Recall that  $\varpi = \mu(\mathbb{R})^{-1} \mu$  and  $\mu(dx) = e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx$ .

For each fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we may choose a different  $\xi$ . Hence, all of these objects, in fact, depend on  $\varepsilon$ . We will, however, suppress that dependence in the notation.

**Theorem 4.2.** *The following are equivalent to (1.10):*

$$(a) \quad \xi_0 = o(|\eta(-\infty)|^{-1}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

$$(b) \quad E[g(X(t)) \mid Y(t) = 0] - E^{\varpi}[g(X(0)) \mid X(0) < 0] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \text{ for each } t \geq 0 \text{ and each bounded, measurable } g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ that is continuous at } x_0 \text{ and } x_1.$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned} E[g(X(t)) \mid Y(t) = 0] - E^{\varpi}[g(X(0)) \mid X(0) < 0] \\ = \int g(x)(1 + \xi_0 \eta(x)) \varpi(dx) - \varpi((-\infty, 0))^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^0 g(x) \varpi(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varpi((-\infty, 0))^{-1} \rightarrow 1$  and  $|\int_0^{\infty} g d\varpi| \leq \|g\|_{\infty} \varpi((0, \infty)) \rightarrow 0$ , in order to prove that (a) and (b) are equivalent, it suffices to show that  $\xi_0 = o(|\eta(-\infty)|^{-1})$  if and only if  $\xi_0 \int g \eta d\varpi \rightarrow 0$  for all  $g$  satisfying the hypotheses. But this follows from (3.20).

That (b) implies (1.10) is trivial. Assume (1.10). Since

$$P(X(t) < 0 \mid Y(t) = 0) = \varpi((-\infty, 0)) + \xi_0 \int_{-\infty}^0 \eta(x) \varpi(dx),$$

and  $\varpi((-\infty, 0)) \rightarrow 1$ , it follows that  $\xi_0 \int_{-\infty}^0 \eta(x) \varpi(dx) \rightarrow 0$ . By (3.20) with  $g = 1_{(-\infty, 0)}$ , we have  $\int_{-\infty}^0 \eta(x) \varpi(dx) \sim \eta(-\infty)$ , and (a) follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *The following are equivalent to (1.11):*

$$(a) \quad \xi_1 \sim |\eta(-\infty)|^{-1}.$$

(b)  $E[g(X(t)) | Y(t) = 1] - E^\varpi[g(X(0)) | X(0) > 0] \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , for each  $t \geq 0$  and each bounded, measurable  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is continuous at  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ .

Moreover, (1.11) implies (1.10).

*Proof.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned} E[g(X(t)) | Y(t) = 1] - E^\varpi[g(X(0)) | X(0) > 0] \\ = \int g(x)(1 + \xi_1 \eta(x)) \varpi(dx) - \varpi((0, \infty))^{-1} \int_0^\infty g(x) \varpi(dx) \\ = \int g d\varpi - \varpi((0, \infty))^{-1} \int_{(0, \infty)} g d\varpi + \xi_1 \int g \eta d\varpi \end{aligned}$$

To prove that (a) and (b) are equivalent, by (A.1), it suffices to show that  $\xi_1 \sim |\eta(-\infty)|^{-1}$  if and only if  $\xi_1 \int g \eta d\varpi \rightarrow -(g(x_0) - g(x_1))$  for all  $g$  satisfying the hypotheses. But this follows from (3.20).

That (b) implies (1.11) is trivial. Assume (1.11). Since

$$P(X(t) > 0 | Y(t) = 1) = \varpi((0, \infty)) + \xi_1 \int_0^\infty \eta(x) \varpi(dx),$$

and  $\varpi((0, \infty)) \rightarrow 0$ , it follows that  $\xi_1 \int_0^\infty \eta(x) \varpi(dx) \rightarrow 1$ . By (3.20) with  $g = 1_{(0, \infty)}$ , we have  $\int_0^\infty \eta(x) \varpi(dx) \sim |\eta(-\infty)|$ , and (a) follows.

Finally, assume (1.11). Then (a) holds. By Lemma 4.1, we have  $-\eta(\infty)^{-1} \leq \xi_0 < 0$  for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ . In particular,  $|\xi_0| \leq \eta(\infty)^{-1}$ , so Theorem 4.2(a) follows from Proposition 3.16.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $0 < \rho < |x_0| \wedge x_1$ . Then  $\xi_0 = o(\xi_1)$  if and only if*

$$E^1[\tau_0^Y] \sim E^{x_1}[\tau_{B_\rho(x_0)}^X] \sim \lambda^{-1} \sim \frac{2\pi}{|F''(0)F''(x_1)|^{1/2}} e^{(F(0)-F(x_1))/\varepsilon}, \quad (4.2)$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . And  $\xi_1/\xi_0 \sim \eta(\infty)/\eta(-\infty)$  if and only if

$$E^0[\tau_1^Y] \sim E^{x_0}[\tau_{B_\rho(x_1)}^X] \sim \frac{2\pi}{|F''(0)F''(x_0)|^{1/2}} e^{(F(0)-F(x_0))/\varepsilon}, \quad (4.3)$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover, (4.3) implies (4.2), which implies (1.10). Also, (1.11) implies (4.2).

*Proof.* By (3.5) and (3.4), we need only determine the asymptotics of  $a_0$  and  $a_1$ . Recall that  $a_j = \lambda \xi_j / (\xi_j - \xi_{1-j})$ . Thus,

$$E^j[\tau_{1-j}^Y] = a_j^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\xi_{1-j}}{\xi_j}\right) \sim \left(1 - \frac{\xi_{1-j}}{\xi_j}\right) \frac{2\pi}{|F''(0)F''(x_1)|^{1/2}} e^{(F(0)-F(x_1))/\varepsilon}, \quad (4.4)$$

so the first biconditional follows immediately. The second biconditional then follows from Proposition 3.16.

By Proposition 3.16, we have (4.3) implies (4.2). By Lemma 4.1, we have  $|\xi_0/\xi_1| \geq |\xi_0 \eta(-\infty)|$ , so that  $\xi_0 = o(\xi_1)$  implies  $\xi_0 = o(|\eta(-\infty)|^{-1})$ . Hence, (4.2) implies that Theorem 4.2(a) holds, which is equivalent to (1.10).

Finally, suppose (1.11) holds. By Theorems 4.2 and 4.3, we have that  $\xi_1 \sim |\eta(-\infty)|^{-1}$  and  $\xi_0 = o(|\eta(-\infty)|^{-1})$ , so that  $\xi_0 = o(\xi_1)$ , which is equivalent to (4.2).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** *The Markov chain fully tracks the diffusion, in the sense that (1.10)-(1.13) all hold, if and only if  $\xi_0 \sim -\eta(\infty)^{-1}$  and  $\xi_1 \sim |\eta(-\infty)|^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose (1.10)-(1.13) hold. Then, by Theorem 4.3, we have  $\xi_1 \sim |\eta(-\infty)|^{-1}$ . Since (1.13) is equivalent to (4.3), we also have, by Theorem 4.4, that  $\xi_1/\xi_0 \sim \eta(\infty)/\eta(-\infty)$ . Thus,  $\xi_0 \sim -\eta(\infty)^{-1}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\xi_0 \sim -\eta(\infty)^{-1}$  and  $\xi_1 \sim |\eta(-\infty)|^{-1}$ . Theorem 4.3 gives us (1.11) and (1.10). Theorem 4.4 gives us (4.3) and (4.2), which are equivalent to (1.13) and (1.12), respectively.  $\square$

In this section, we have established that (1.11) implies (1.12) implies (1.10), and (1.13) implies (1.12). Example 4.6 shows that it is possible to have all four conditions holding. The remaining examples illustrate that there are no implications besides those already mentioned.

**Example 4.6.** Let  $\xi_0 = -f(\varepsilon)\eta(\infty)^{-1}$  and  $\xi_1 = g(\varepsilon)|\eta(-\infty)|^{-1}$ , where  $0 < f, g \leq 1$  with  $f, g \rightarrow 1$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . By Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.5, this is the most general family of choices such that the resulting coupling sequence satisfies (1.10)-(1.13).

In the remaining examples, let

$$L(\varepsilon) = \sqrt{\frac{F''(x_1)}{F''(x_0)}} e^{-(F(x_1)-F(x_0))/\varepsilon},$$

so that by Proposition 3.16, we have  $\eta(\infty)/\eta(-\infty) \sim -L(\varepsilon)^{-1}$ . Choose  $0 < f \leq 1$  and  $h \geq L$ , and let  $g = L/h$ , so that  $0 < g \leq 1$ . Let  $\xi_0 = -f(\varepsilon)\eta(\infty)^{-1}$  and  $\xi_1 = g(\varepsilon)|\eta(-\infty)|^{-1}$ . By Lemma 4.1, these are admissible choices for  $\xi_0$  and  $\xi_1$ .

Note that  $\xi_0 \sim -f(\varepsilon)L(\varepsilon)|\eta(-\infty)|^{-1}$ , so that by Theorem 4.2, we have (1.10) in all these examples. Also note that by Theorem 4.3, we have (1.11) if and only if  $h \sim L$ . For applying Theorem 4.4, note that  $\xi_1/\xi_0 \sim -g/(fL) = -1/(fh)$ . Thus, (1.12) holds if and only if  $fh \rightarrow 0$  and (1.13) holds if and only if  $fh \sim L$ .

**Example 4.7.** Let  $f = h = 1$ . Then none of (1.11), (1.12), or (1.13) hold, so we see that (1.10) does not imply any of the other conditions.

**Example 4.8.** Let  $f = 1$  and  $h = \sqrt{L}$ . In this case, we have (1.12), but neither (1.11) nor (1.13) hold. Hence, (1.12) implies neither (1.11) nor (1.13).

**Example 4.9.** Let  $f = h = L$ . In this case, (1.11) and (1.12) hold, but (1.13) does not, showing that (1.11) does not imply (1.13).

**Example 4.10.** Let  $f = h = \sqrt{L}$ . Here we have (1.12) and (1.13), but not (1.11), showing that (1.13) does not imply (1.11).

## Acknowledgments

This paper was completed while the first author was visiting the University of California, San Diego with the support of the Charles Lee Powell Foundation. The hospitality of that institution, particularly that of Professor Ruth Williams, was greatly appreciated.

# A Appendix

## A.1 Results of Freidlin and Wentzell

Let  $b : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be Lipschitz and let  $\varphi_{x,b}$  be the unique solution to  $\varphi'_{x,b} = b(\varphi_{x,b})$  with  $\varphi_{x,b}(0) = x$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $X_{\varepsilon,b}$  be defined by

$$X_{\varepsilon,b}(t) = X_{\varepsilon,b}(0) + \int_0^t b(X_{\varepsilon,b}(s)) ds + \sqrt{2\varepsilon}W(t),$$

where  $W$  is a standard  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion. As in Section 1, if  $F : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given, then  $\varphi_x = \varphi_{x,-\nabla F}$  and  $X_\varepsilon = X_{\varepsilon,-\nabla F}$ . For the  $F$  we use later,  $-\nabla F$  is *not* Lipschitz. This will cause no difficulty, however, since it will be locally Lipschitz, and we will only apply these theorems on compact sets.

This first theorem is [11, Theorem 2.40]. It describes the asymptotic mean time to leave a domain of attraction.

**Theorem A.1.** *Let  $F : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  have continuous and bounded derivatives up to second order. Let  $D$  be a bounded open domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with boundary  $\partial D$  of class  $C^2$  and  $\langle -\nabla F(x), n(x) \rangle < 0$  for all  $x \in \partial D$ , where  $n(x)$  is the outward unit normal vector to  $\partial D$  at  $x$ .*

*Let  $x_0 \in D$ . Assume that if  $G$  is a neighborhood of  $x_0$ , then there exists a neighborhood  $\tilde{G}$  of  $x_0$  such that  $\tilde{G} \subset G$  and, for all  $x \in \tilde{G}$ , we have  $\varphi_x([0, \infty)) \subset G$  and  $\varphi_x(t) \rightarrow x_0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Further assume that, for each  $x \in \overline{D}$ , we have  $\varphi_x((0, \infty)) \subset D$ .*

*Then for any  $x \in D$ ,*

$$(i) \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 2\varepsilon \log E^x[\tau(D^c)] = \inf_{y \in \partial D} 2(F(y) - F(x_0)) =: V_0, \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \text{ for all } \zeta > 0, \text{ we have } \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} P^x(e^{(V_0 - \zeta)/(2\varepsilon)} < \tau(D^c) < e^{(V_0 + \zeta)/(2\varepsilon)}) = 1.$$

*Moreover, both convergences hold uniformly in  $x$  on each compact subset of  $D$ .*

This next theorem is [11, Lemma 2.34(b)]. It asserts that the diffusion cannot linger for long inside the domain of attraction without quickly coming into a small neighborhood of the associated minimum.

**Theorem A.2.** *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem A.1. Fix  $\delta > 0$ . Then there exists  $C > 0$ ,  $T > 0$ , and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that*

$$P^x(\tau(D^c \cup B_\delta(x_0)) > t) \leq e^{-C(t-T)/(2\varepsilon)},$$

*for all  $x \in \overline{D} \setminus B_\delta(x_0)$ , all  $t > T$ , and all  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ .*

The last result we need gives the probability of leaving the domain of attraction through a given point. To state this result, we need some preliminary notation and definitions. See [11, Section 5.3] for more details.

Let  $u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $u$  is absolutely continuous, define

$$I_T(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |u'(s) - b(u(s))|^2 ds,$$



and define  $I_T(u) = \infty$  otherwise.

Let  $G$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\partial G$  of class  $C^2$  and define

$$\begin{aligned} V(x, y) &= \inf\{I_T(u) \mid T > 0, u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, u(0) = x, u(T) = y\} \\ V_G(x, y) &= \inf\{I_T(u) \mid T > 0, u : [0, T] \rightarrow G \cup \partial G, u(0) = x, u(T) = y\}. \end{aligned}$$

The functions  $V$  and  $V_G$  are continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $(G \cup \partial G) \times (G \cup \partial G)$ , respectively. We have  $V_G(x, y) \geq V(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in G \cup \partial G$ . Also, for all  $x, y \in G$ , if  $V_G(x, y) \leq \min_{z \in \partial G} V(x, z)$ , then  $V_G(x, y) = V(x, y)$ .

Note that if  $\varphi_{x,b}(t) = y$  for some  $t > 0$  and  $\varphi_{x,b}([0, t]) \subset G \cup \partial G$ , then  $V_G(x, y) = 0$ . An equivalence relation on  $G \cup \partial G$  is defined by  $x \sim_G y$  if and only if  $V_G(x, y) = V_G(y, x) = 0$ . It can be shown that if the equivalence class of  $y$  is nontrivial, then  $\varphi_{y,b}([0, \infty))$  is contained in that equivalence class.

The  $\omega$ -limit set of a point  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is denoted by  $\omega(y)$  and defined as the set of accumulation points of  $\varphi_{y,b}([0, \infty))$ . Assume that  $G$  contains a finite number of compact sets  $K_1, \dots, K_\ell$  such that each  $K_i$  is an equivalence class of  $\sim_G$ . Assume further that, for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , if  $\omega(y) \subset G \cup \partial G$ , then  $\omega(y) \subset K_i$  for some  $i$ .

The function  $V_G$  is constant on  $K_i \times K_j$ , so we let  $V_G(K_i, K_j)$ ,  $V_G(x, K_i)$ , and  $V_G(K_i, x)$  denote this common value. Also,  $V_G(K_i, \partial G) = \inf_{y \in \partial G} V_G(K_i, y)$ .

Given a finite set  $\mathcal{L}$  and a nonempty, proper subset  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{L}$ , let  $\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{Q})$  denote the set of directed graphs on  $\mathcal{L}$  with arrows  $i \rightarrow j$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $j \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $j \neq i$ , such that: (i) from each  $i \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$  exactly one arrow is issued; (ii) for each  $i \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$  there is a chain of arrows starting at  $i$  and finishing at some point in  $\mathcal{Q}$ . If  $j$  is such a point we say that the graph leads  $i$  to  $j$ . For  $i \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$  and  $j \in \mathcal{Q}$ , the set of graphs in  $\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{Q})$  leading  $i$  to  $j$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{G}_{i,j}(\mathcal{Q})$ .

With  $\mathcal{L} = \{K_1, \dots, K_\ell, \partial G\}$ , let

$$M_G = \min_{g \in \mathbb{G}(\partial G)} \sum_{(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \in g} V_G(\alpha, \beta).$$

If  $x \in G$  and  $y \in \partial G$ , then with  $\mathcal{L} = \{K_1, \dots, K_\ell, x, y, \partial G\}$ , let

$$M_G(x, y) = \min_{g \in \mathbb{G}_{x,y}(\{y, \partial G\})} \sum_{(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \in g} V_G(\alpha, \beta).$$

The following theorem is [11, Theorem 5.19].

**Theorem A.3.** *Under the above assumptions and notation, for any compact set  $K \subset G$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ , and  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $\delta_0 \in (0, \delta)$  so that for any  $x \in K$ ,  $y \in \partial G$ , and  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , we have*

$$\exp\left(-\frac{M_G(x, y) - M_G + 2\gamma}{2\varepsilon}\right) \leq P^x(X_{\varepsilon,b}(\tau) \in B_{\delta_0}(y)) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{M_G(x, y) - M_G - 2\gamma}{2\varepsilon}\right),$$

where  $\tau = \tau^{X_{\varepsilon,b}}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus G)$ .

The next two results are auxiliary results which are needed to apply Theorem A.3. The first is [11, Proposition 2.37].

**Proposition A.4.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem A.1, we have*

$$V(x_0, y) = 2(F(y) - F(x_0)),$$

for all  $y \in \overline{D}$ .

**Lemma A.5.** *Let  $b = -\nabla F$ , where  $F$  is as in Theorem A.1. If there exists  $T_0 > 0$  such that  $\varphi_x(T_0) = y$ , then  $V(x, y) = 0$  and  $V(y, x) = 2(F(x) - F(y))$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\varphi'_x = b(\varphi_x)$ , we have  $I_{T_0}(\varphi_x) = 0$ , which implies  $V(x, y) = 0$ . Let  $T > 0$  and let  $\varphi : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfy  $\varphi(0) = y$  and  $\varphi(T) = x$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_T(\varphi) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |\varphi'(s) - b(\varphi(s))|^2 ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |\varphi'(s) + b(\varphi(s))|^2 ds - 2 \int_0^T \langle \varphi'(s), b(\varphi(s)) \rangle ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |\varphi'(s) + b(\varphi(s))|^2 ds + 2 \int_0^T \langle \varphi'(s), \nabla F(\varphi(s)) \rangle ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |\varphi'(s) + b(\varphi(s))|^2 ds + 2(F(x) - F(y)). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $V(y, x) \geq 2(F(x) - F(y))$ . Now let  $\psi(t) = \varphi_x(T_0 - t)$ . Then  $\psi(0) = y$ ,  $\psi(T_0) = x$ , and  $\psi' = -b(\psi)$ . Hence,  $V(y, x) \leq I_{T_0}(\psi) = 2(F(x) - F(y))$ .  $\square$

## A.2 The Laplace method

Finally, we need two classical results of Laplace that allow us to estimate exponential integrals. The following two results can be found in [5, pp. 36–37]. The notation  $a \sim b$  means that  $a/b \rightarrow 1$ .

**Theorem A.6.** *Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a (possibly infinite) open interval,  $F \in C^2(I)$ , and  $x_0 \in I$ . Suppose  $g$  is continuous at  $x_0$ . If  $F(x_0)$  is the unique global minimum of  $F$  on  $I$ , and  $F''(x_0) > 0$ , then*

$$\int_I g(x) e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \sim g(x_0) \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{F''(x_0)}} e^{-F(x_0)/\varepsilon}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , provided the left-hand side exists for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ .

**Proposition A.7.** *Let  $-\infty < a < x_0 < b \leq \infty$  and  $F \in C^1(a, b)$ . Suppose  $g$  is continuous at  $x_0$ . If  $F(x_0)$  is the unique global minimum of  $F$  on  $[x_0, b)$  and  $F'(x_0) > 0$ , then*

$$\int_{x_0}^b g(x) e^{-F(x)/\varepsilon} dx \sim g(x_0) \frac{\varepsilon}{F'(x_0)} e^{-F(x_0)/\varepsilon}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , provided the left-hand side exists for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ .

## References

- [1] Anton Bovier, Michael Eckhoff, Véronique Gayrard, and Markus Klein. Metastability in reversible diffusion processes. I. Sharp asymptotics for capacities and exit times. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 6(4):399–424, 2004.
- [2] Anton Bovier, Véronique Gayrard, and Markus Klein. Metastability in reversible diffusion processes. II. Precise asymptotics for small eigenvalues. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 7(1):69–99, 2005.
- [3] R. Courant and D. Hilbert. *Methods of mathematical physics. Vol. I*. Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N.Y., 1953.
- [4] Michael Eckhoff. Precise asymptotics of small eigenvalues of reversible diffusions in the metastable regime. *Ann. Probab.*, 33(1):244–299, 2005.
- [5] A. Erdélyi. *Asymptotic expansions*. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1956.
- [6] Stewart N. Ethier and Thomas G. Kurtz. *Markov processes*. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics: Probability and Mathematical Statistics. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1986. Characterization and convergence.
- [7] M. I. Freidlin and A. D. Wentzell. *Random perturbations of dynamical systems*, volume 260 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998. Translated from the 1979 Russian original by Joseph Szücs.
- [8] Wilhelm Huisinga, Sean Meyn, and Christof Schütte. Phase transitions and metastability in Markovian and molecular systems. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 14(1):419–458, 2004.
- [9] Ioannis Karatzas and Steven E. Shreve. *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, volume 113 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1991.
- [10] Thomas G. Kurtz and Jason Swanson. Finite Markov chains coupled to general Markov processes and an application to metastability I. Preprint, 2020.
- [11] Enzo Olivieri and Maria Eulália Vares. *Large deviations and metastability*, volume 100 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [12] Makoto Sugiura. Metastable behaviors of diffusion processes with small parameter. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 47(4):755–788, 1995.
- [13] Makoto Sugiura. Asymptotic behaviors on the small parameter exit problems and the singularly perturbation problems. *Ryukyu Math. J.*, 14:79–118, 2001.