

Long time asymptotic behavior for the derivative Schrödinger equation with nonzero boundary conditions

Yiling YANG¹, Qiaoyuan CHENG¹ and Engui FAN^{1*}

Abstract

In this paper, we apply $\bar{\partial}$ steepest descent method to study the Cauchy problem for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonzero boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned}iq_t + q_{xx} + i(|q|^2q)_x &= 0, \\q(x, 0) &= q_0(x),\end{aligned}$$

where $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} q_0(x) = q_{\pm}$, $|q_{\pm}| = 1$. Based on the spectral analysis of the Lax pair, we express the solution of the derivative Schrödinger equation in terms of solutions of a Riemann-Hilbert problem. In a fixed space-time solitonic region $-3 < x/t < -1$, we compute the long time asymptotic expansion of the solution $q(x, t)$, which implies soliton resolution conjecture and can be characterized with an $N(\Lambda)$ -soliton whose parameters are modulated by a sum of localized soliton-soliton interactions as one moves through the region; the residual error order $\mathcal{O}(t^{-3/4})$ from a $\bar{\partial}$ equation.

Keywords: Derivative Schrödinger equation, Riemann-Hilbert problem, $\bar{\partial}$ steepest descent method, soliton resolution, asymptotic stability.

AMS: 35Q51; 35Q15; 37K15; 35C20.

¹ School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P.R. China.

* Corresponding author and email address: faneg@fudan.edu.cn

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The spectral analysis and a RH problem	5
3	Deformation to a mixed $\bar{\partial}$-RH problem	14
4	Mixed $\bar{\partial}$-RH Problem	20
5	Decomposition of the mixed $\bar{\partial}$-RH problem	27
6	Asymptotic of $\mathcal{N}(\Lambda)$-soliton solutions	29
7	The small norm RH problem for error function	34
8	Analysis on the pure $\bar{\partial}$-Problem	36
9	Asymptotic for the DNLS equation	41

1 Introduction

The study on the long-time behavior of nonlinear wave equations which is solvable by the inverse scattering method was first carried out by Manakov in 1974 [1]. By using this method, Zakharov and Manakov give the first result for large-time asymptotic of solutions for the NLS equation with decaying initial data [2]. The inverse scattering method also worked for long-time behavior of integrable systems such as KdV, Landau-Lifshitz and the reduced Maxwell-Bloch system [3–5]. In 1993, Deift and Zhou developed a nonlinear steepest descent method to rigorously obtain the long-time asymptotics behavior of the solution for the MKdV equation by deforming contours to reduce the original Riemann-Hilbert (RH) problem to a model one whose solution is calculated in terms of parabolic cylinder functions [6]. Since then this method has been widely applied

to the focusing NLS equation, KdV equation, Fokas-Lenells equation, short-pulse equation and Camassa-Holm equation etc. [7–12].

In recent years, McLaughlin and Miller further presented a $\bar{\partial}$ steepest descent method which combine steepest descent with $\bar{\partial}$ -problem rather than the asymptotic analysis of singular integrals on contours to analyze asymptotic of orthogonal polynomials with non-analytical weights [13, 14]. When it is applied to integrable systems, the $\bar{\partial}$ steepest descent method also has displayed some advantages, such as avoiding delicate estimates involving L^p estimates of Cauchy projection operators, and leading the non-analyticity in the RH problem reductions to a $\bar{\partial}$ -problem in some sectors of the complex plane which can be solved by being recast into an integral equation and by using Neumann series. Dieng and McLaughlin used it to study the defocusing NLS equation under essentially minimal regularity assumptions on finite mass initial data [15]; This $\bar{\partial}$ steepest descent method was also successfully applied to prove asymptotic stability of N-soliton solutions to focusing NLS equation [16]; Jenkins et.al studied soliton resolution for the derivative nonlinear NLS equation for generic initial data in a weighted Sobolev space [17]. Their work provided the soliton resolution property for derivative NLS equation, which decomposes the solution into the sum of a finite number of separated solitons and a radiative parts when $t \rightarrow \infty$. And the dispersive part contains two components, one coming from the continuous spectrum and another from the interaction of the discrete and continuous spectrum. For finite density initial data, Cussagna and Jenkins studied the defocusing NLS equation [18].

In this paper, we study the long time asymptotic behavior for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger (DNLS) equation with nonzero boundary conditions

$$iq_t + q_{xx} + i\sigma(|q|^2q)_x = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$q(x, 0) = q_0(x), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} q_0(x) = q_{\pm}$, $|q_{\pm}| = 1$. Since the solution space of the equation (1.1) with $\sigma = 1$ and $\sigma = -1$ by the simple mapping $q(x, t) \rightarrow q(-x, t)$, we

only need to consider the case $\sigma = -1$ in our paper. The DNLS equation as a completely integrable system was first proposed by Kaup and Newell [19].

The DNLS equation is often used to describe various nonlinear waves. For instance, DNLS equation governs the evolution of small but finite amplitude nonlinear Alfvén waves which propagates quasi-parallel to the magnetic field in space plasma physics [20–24], sub-picosecond pulses in single mode optical fibers [25–27]. Moreover, DNLS equation also describe weak nonlinear electromagnetic waves in ferromagnetic [28], dielectric [29] and anti-ferromagnetic systems under external magnetic fields [30]. Either zero boundary conditions or nonzero boundary conditions for the DNLS equation have well physically significant. For problems of nonlinear Alfvé waves, weak nonlinear electromagnetic waves in magnetic and dielectric media, waves propagating strictly parallel to the ambient magnetic fields are modeled by zero boundary conditions, while those oblique waves are modeled by the nonzero boundary conditions. In optical fibers, pulses under bright background waves are modeled by the zero boundary conditions. Much work on the DNLS equation were also developed in [31–35].

Zhang and Yan presented the inverse scattering transform of the DNLS equation (1.1) for both zero/nonzero boundary conditions in terms of the matrix RH problems [36]. For Schwartz initial value $q_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, Xu and Fan derived the long-time asymptotic for (1.1) without soliton [37]

$$q(x, t) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha(\lambda_0)e^{\frac{ix^2}{4t} - i\nu(\lambda_0)\log t} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}\log t). \quad (1.3)$$

The long-time asymptotic for (1.1) with step-like initial data was further investigated [38]. Recently for generic initial data in $H^{2,2}(\mathbb{R})$, applying $\bar{\partial}$ steepest descent method, Jenkins et al obtained the following asymptotics for the equation (1.1) [17]

$$q(x, t) = q_{sol}(x, t; D_I) + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}f(x, t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{4}}), \quad (1.4)$$

where $q_{sol}(x, t; D_I)$ is the soliton solutions of the equation (1.1) with modulating reflectionless scattering data. In our paper, for finite density initial data $q_0 - q_{\pm} \in H^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$, we apply $\bar{\partial}$ steepest descent method to obtain the following long-time

asymptotic of the DNLS equation (1.1)

$$q(x, t) = exp \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x (|q_{\Lambda}^r(x, t)|^2 - 1) dy \right\} T(\infty)^{-2} q_{\Lambda}^r(x, t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/4}). \quad (1.5)$$

This paper is arranged as follows. In section 2, we recall some main results on the construction process of the RH problem with respect to the initial problem of the DNLS equation (1.1) obtained in [33, 36], which will be used to analyze long-time asymptotics of the DNLS equation in our paper. In section 3, we introduce a function $T(z)$ to define a new RH problem for $M^{(1)}(z)$, which admits a regular discrete spectrum and two triangular decompositions of the jump matrix. In section 4, by introducing a matrix-valued function $R(z)$, we obtain a mixed $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem for $M^{(2)}(z)$ by continuous extension of $M^{(1)}(z)$. In section 5, we decompose $M^{(2)}(z)$ into a model RH problem for $M^{(r)}(z)$ and a pure $\bar{\partial}$ Problem for $M^{(3)}(z)$. The $M^r(z)$ can be obtained via an modified reflectionless RH problem $M_{\Lambda}^{(r)}(z)$ for the soliton components which is solved in Section 6. In section 7, the error function $E(z)$ between $M^{(r)}(z)$ and $M_{\Lambda}^{(r)}(z)$ can be computed with a small-norm RH problem. In Section 8, we analyze the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem for $M^{(3)}(z)$. Finally, in Section 9, based on the result obtained above, a relation formula is found

$$M(z) = T(\infty)^{\sigma_3} M^{(3)}(z) E(z) M_{\Lambda}^{(r)}(z) R^{(2)}(z)^{-1} T(z)^{-\sigma_3},$$

from which we then obtain the long-time asymptotic behavior for the DNLS equation (1.1) via a reconstruction formula.

2 The spectral analysis and a RH problem

The DNLS equation (1.1) is completely integrable and admits the Lax pair [19]

$$\Phi_x = X\Phi, \quad \Phi_t = T\Phi, \quad (2.1)$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} X &= ik^2\sigma_3 + kQ, \\ T &= -(2k^2 + Q^2)X - ikQ_x\sigma_3, \end{aligned}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{C}$ is a spectral parameter and

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ -\bar{q} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

By using the boundary condition (1.1), the Lax pair (2.1) becomes

$$\Phi_{\pm,x} \sim X_{\pm} \Phi_{\pm}, \quad \Phi_{\pm,t} \sim T_{\pm} \Phi_{\pm}, \quad x \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$X_{\pm} = ik^2 \sigma_3 + kQ_{\pm}, \quad T_{\pm} = -(2k^2 - 1) X_{\pm}, \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$Q_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_{\pm} \\ -\bar{q}_{\pm} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of the matrix X_{\pm} are $\pm ik\lambda$, which satisfy

$$\lambda^2 = k^2 + 1. \quad (2.5)$$

To avoid multi-valued case of eigenvalue λ , we introduce a uniformization variable

$$z = k + \lambda, \quad (2.6)$$

and obtain two single-valued functions

$$k(z) = \frac{1}{2}\left(z - \frac{1}{z}\right), \quad \lambda(z) = \frac{1}{2}\left(z + \frac{1}{z}\right). \quad (2.7)$$

Define two domains D^+ , D^- and their boundary Σ on z -plane by

$$\begin{aligned} D^- &= \{z : \operatorname{Re}z \operatorname{Im}z < 0\}, & D^+ &= \{z : \operatorname{Re}z \operatorname{Im}z > 0\}, \\ \Sigma &= \{z : \operatorname{Re}z \operatorname{Im}z = 0\} = \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

which are shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: The domains D^- , D^+ and boundary $\Sigma = \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

We derive the solution of the asymptotic spectral problem (2.3)

$$\Phi_{\pm} \sim Y_{\pm} e^{ik(z)\lambda(z)x\sigma_3}, \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$Y_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{iq_{\pm}}{z} \\ \frac{i\bar{q}_{\pm}}{z} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By making transformation

$$\mu_{\pm} = \Phi_{\pm} e^{-ik\lambda x\sigma_3}, \quad (2.9)$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\pm} &\sim Y_{\pm}, \quad x \rightarrow \pm\infty, \\ \det[\Phi_{\pm}] &= \det[\mu_{\pm}] = \det[Y_{\pm}] = 1 + z^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

and μ_{\pm} satisfy the Volterra integral equations

$$\mu_{\pm}(z) = Y_{\pm} + \int_{\pm\infty}^x Y_{\pm} e^{ik\lambda(x-y)\hat{\sigma}_3} [Y_{\pm}^{-1} \Delta X_{\pm} \mu_{\pm}(z)] dy, \quad z \neq \pm i, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\mu_{\pm}(z) = Y_{\pm} + \int_{\pm\infty}^x [I + (x-y)X_{\pm}(z)] \Delta X_{\pm} \mu_{\pm}(z) dy, \quad z = \pm i, \quad (2.11)$$

where $\Delta X_{\pm} = k(Q - Q_{\pm})$.

It can be shown that the eigenfunction μ_{\pm} admit symmetry [36].

Proposition 1. *Jost functions admit two reduction conditions on the z -plane:*

The first symmetry reduction:

$$\mu_{\pm}(z) = \sigma_2 \overline{\mu_{\pm}(\bar{z})} \sigma_2 = \sigma_1 \overline{\mu_{\pm}(-\bar{z})} \sigma_1. \quad (2.12)$$

The second symmetry reduction:

$$\mu_{\pm}(z) = \frac{i}{z} \mu_{\pm}(-z^{-1}) \sigma_3 Q_{\pm}. \quad (2.13)$$

And for $z \in \Sigma^0 = \Sigma \setminus \{\pm i\}$, there exist scattering matrix which is a linear relation between Φ_+ and Φ_-

$$\Phi_+(x, t, z) = \Phi_-(x, t, z) S(z), \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$S(z) = \begin{pmatrix} a(z) & -\overline{b(\bar{z})} \\ b(z) & \overline{a(\bar{z})} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det[S(z)] = 1 \quad (2.15)$$

with symmetry reduction:

$$S(z) = \sigma_1 \overline{S(-\bar{z})} \sigma_1 = (\sigma_3 Q_-)^{-1} S(-z^{-1}) \sigma_3 Q_+. \quad (2.16)$$

And the reflection coefficients are defined by

$$\rho(z) = \frac{b(z)}{a(z)}, \quad \tilde{\rho}(z) = -\overline{\rho(\bar{z})}, \quad (2.17)$$

with symmetry reduction:

$$\rho(z) = \overline{\tilde{\rho}(-\bar{z})} = \frac{\bar{q}_-}{q_-} \tilde{\rho}(-z^{-1}). \quad (2.18)$$

Then

$$a(z) = \frac{\text{Wr}(\Phi_+^1, \Phi_-^2)}{1 + z^{-2}}, \quad b(z) = \frac{\text{Wr}(\Phi_-^1, \Phi_+^1)}{1 + z^{-2}}. \quad (2.19)$$

Although $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ has singularities at points $\pm i$, $|\rho(\pm i)| = 1$. The uniqueness and existences of Lax pair from [36]:

Proposition 2. *If $q - q_{\pm} \in L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_{\pm})$, the fundamental eigenfunctions μ_{\pm} defined by (2.10) and (2.11) exist and is the unique. Define $\mu_{\pm} = (\mu_{\pm}^1, \mu_{\pm}^2)$ with μ_{\pm}^1 and μ_{\pm}^2 denoting the first and second column of μ_{\pm} respectively. Then μ_{+}^1 and μ_{-}^2 are analytical on the D^+ , and continuous in $\overline{D^+}$; μ_{-}^1 and μ_{+}^2 are analytical on the D^- , and continuous in $\overline{D^-}$. Moreover, from (2.19), $a(z)$ is analytical on the D^+ , and continuous in $\overline{D^+} \setminus \{\pm i\}$. Further, $\lambda a(z)$ is analytical on the D^+ , and continuous in $\overline{D^+}$. $b(z)$ and $\lambda b(z)$ are continuous in Σ^0 and Σ respectively.*

The zeros of $a(z)$ on Σ are known to occur and they correspond to spectral singularities. They are excluded from our analysis in the this paper. To deal with our following work, we assume our initial data satisfy this assumption.

Assumption 1. *The initial data $q - q_{\pm}(x) \in L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^{\pm})$ and it generates generic scattering data which satisfy that*

1. $a(z)$ has no zeros on Σ .
2. $a(z)$ only has finite number of simple zeros.
3. $\rho(z)$ and $\tilde{\rho}(z)$ belong to $W^{2,\infty}(\Sigma) \cap W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$.

Suppose that $a(z)$ has N_1 simple zeros z_1, \dots, z_{N_1} on $D^+ \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}z > 0, |z| > 1\}$, and N_2 simple zeros w_1, \dots, w_m on the circle $\{z = e^{i\varphi} : 0 < \varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}\}$. The symmetries (2.16) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} a(\pm z_n) = 0 &\iff \overline{a(\pm \bar{z}_n)} = 0 \iff \overline{a\left(\pm \frac{1}{z_n}\right)} = 0 \\ &\iff a\left(\pm \frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right) = 0, \quad n = 1, \dots, N_1, \end{aligned}$$

and on the circle

$$a(\pm w_m) = 0 \iff \overline{a(\pm \bar{w}_m)} = 0, \quad m = 1, \dots, N_2.$$

So the zeros of $a(z)$ come in pairs. It is convenient to define $\zeta_n = z_n$, $\zeta_{n+N_1} = -z_n$, $\zeta_{n+2N_1} = \bar{z}_n^{-1}$ and $\zeta_{n+3N_1} = -\bar{z}_n^{-1}$ for $n = 1, \dots, N_1$; $\zeta_{m+4N_1} = w_m$ and $\zeta_{m+4N_1+N_2} = -w_m$ for $m = 1, \dots, N_2$. Therefore, the discrete spectrum is

$$\mathcal{Z} = \left\{ \zeta_n, \bar{\zeta}_n \right\}_{n=1}^{4N_1+2N_2}, \quad (2.20)$$

with $\zeta_n \in D^+$ and $\bar{\zeta}_n \in D^-$. And the distribution of \mathcal{Z} on the z -plane is shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Distribution of the discrete spectrum \mathcal{Z} . The red one is unit circle.

As shown in [33], denote norming constant $c_n = b_n/a'(z_n)$. Then we have residue conditions as

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\pm z_n} \left[\frac{\mu_+^1(z)}{a(z)} \right] = c_n e^{-2ik(\pm z_n)\lambda(\pm z_n)x} \mu_-^2(\pm z_n), \quad (2.21)$$

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\pm \bar{z}_n^{-1}} \left[\frac{\mu_+^1(z)}{a(z)} \right] = \pm \frac{\bar{q}_-}{q_-} \bar{z}_n^{-2} \bar{c}_n e^{-2ik(\pm \bar{z}_n^{-1})\lambda(\pm \bar{z}_n^{-1})x} \mu_-^2(\pm \bar{z}_n^{-1}), \quad (2.22)$$

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\pm \bar{z}_n} \left[\frac{\mu_+^2(z)}{a(\bar{z})} \right] = -\bar{c}_n e^{2ik(\pm \bar{z}_n)\lambda(\pm \bar{z}_n)x} \mu_-^1(\pm \bar{z}_n), \quad (2.23)$$

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\pm z_n^{-1}} \left[\frac{\mu_+^2(z)}{a(\bar{z})} \right] = \pm \frac{q_-}{\bar{q}_-} z_n^{-2} c_n e^{-2ik(\pm z_n^{-1})\lambda(\pm z_n^{-1})x} \mu_-^2(\pm z_n^{-1}). \quad (2.24)$$

For $m = 1, \dots, N_2$, there also have $c_{N_1+m} = b_{N_1+m}/a'(w_m)$ and

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\pm w_m} \left[\frac{\mu_+^1(z)}{a(z)} \right] = c_{N_1+m} e^{-2ik(\pm w_m)\lambda(\pm w_m)x} \mu_-^2(\pm w_m), \quad (2.25)$$

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\pm \bar{w}_m} \left[\frac{\mu_+^2(z)}{a(\bar{z})} \right] = -\bar{c}_{N_1+m} e^{2ik(\pm \bar{w}_m)\lambda(\pm \bar{w}_m)x} \mu_-^1(\pm \bar{w}_m). \quad (2.26)$$

For brevity, we introduce a new constant C_n as: for $n = 1, \dots, N_1$, $C_n = C_{n+N_1} = c_n$, $C_{n+2N_1} = -C_{n+3N_1} = \frac{\bar{q}_-}{q_-} \bar{z}_n^{-2} \bar{c}_n$; for $m = 1, \dots, N_2$, $C_{m+4N_1} = C_{m+4N_1+N_2} = c_{m+N_1}$, and the collection $\sigma_d = \{\zeta_n, C_n\}_{n=1}^{4N_1+2N_2}$ is called the *scattering data*.

Now we are going to take into account the time evolution of scattering data. If q also depends on t (i.e. $q = q(x, t)$), we can obtain the functions a and b as above for all times $t \in R$. Taking account of the t -part in (2.1), the t -derivative of a and b comes to

$$a_t(z; t) = 0, \quad b_t(z; t) = -(2k^2 - 1)k\lambda b(z; t). \quad (2.27)$$

Then we can obtain time dependence of scattering data which can be expressed as the following replacement

$$C(\zeta_n) \rightarrow C(t, \zeta_n) = c(0, \zeta_n) e^{-(2k(\zeta_n)^2 - 1)k(\zeta_n)\lambda(\zeta_n)t}, \quad (2.28)$$

$$r(z) \rightarrow r(t, z) = r(0, z) e^{-(2k^2 - 1)k\lambda t} \quad (2.29)$$

In particular, if at time $t = 0$ the initial function $q(x, 0)$ produces $4N_1 + 2N_2$ simple zeros $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{4N_1+2N_2}$ of $a(z; 0)$ and if q evolves accordingly to the (1.1), then $q(x, t)$ will produce exactly the same N simple zeros at any other time $t \in R$. The scattering data with time t is given by

$$\left\{ e^{-(2k^2 - 1)k\lambda t} r(z), \left\{ \zeta_n, e^{-(2k(\zeta_n)^2 - 1)k(\zeta_n)\lambda(\zeta_n)t} C_n \right\}_{n=1}^{4N_1+2N_2} \right\},$$

where $\left\{ r(z), \left\{ \zeta_n, C_n \right\}_{n=1}^{4N_1+2N_2} \right\}$ are obtained from the initial data $q(x, 0) = q_0(x)$. Denote the phase function

$$\theta(z) = k(z)\lambda(z) \left[x/t - (2k(z)^2 - 1) \right], \quad (2.30)$$

and for convenience we denote $\theta_n = \theta(\zeta_n)$.

To propose and solve the matrix RH problem in the following inverse problem, we finally give the asymptotic behaviors of the modified Jost solutions and scattering matrix as $z \rightarrow \infty$ and $z \rightarrow 0$.

Proposition 3. *The Jost solutions posses the following asymptotic behaviors*

$$\mu_{\pm}(x, t, z) = e^{i\nu_{\pm}(x,t;q)\sigma_3} + O(z^{-1}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.31)$$

$$\mu_{\pm}(x, t, z) = \frac{i}{z} e^{i\nu_{\pm}(x,t;q)\sigma_3} \sigma_3 Q_{\pm} + O(1), \quad z \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.32)$$

where

$$\nu_{\pm}(x, t; q) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\pm\infty}^x (|q|^2 - 1) dy. \quad (2.33)$$

The scattering matrices admit asymptotic behaviors

$$S(z) = e^{-i\nu_0(t;q)\sigma_3} + O(z^{-1}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.34)$$

$$S(z) = \text{diag} \left(\frac{q_-}{q_+}, \frac{q_+}{q_-} \right) e^{i\nu_0(t;q)\sigma_3} + O(z), \quad z \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.35)$$

where

$$\nu_0(t; q) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (|q|^2 - 1) dy. \quad (2.36)$$

Further we have $\rho(0) = \tilde{\rho}(0) = 0$.

Moreover, from trace formulae we have

$$a(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{4N_1+2N_2} \frac{z - \zeta_j}{z - \bar{\zeta}_j} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\log(1 - \rho(s)\tilde{\rho}(s))}{s - z} ds \right\}. \quad (2.37)$$

Then by taking $z \rightarrow 0$, theta condition is obtained:

$$\arg \frac{q_-}{q_+} + 2\nu_0 = 8 \sum_{n=1}^{N_1} \arg(z_n) + 4 \sum_{m=1}^{N_2} \arg(w_m) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\log(1 - \rho(s)\tilde{\rho}(s))}{s} ds + 2j\pi, \quad (2.38)$$

where j is a integer.

Define a sectionally meromorphic matrix

$$M(z; x, t) = \begin{cases} (a(z)^{-1}\mu_+^1, \mu_-^2), & \text{as } z \in D^+, \\ (\mu_-^1, \overline{a(\bar{z})}^{-1}\mu_+^2), & \text{as } z \in D^-, \end{cases} \quad (2.39)$$

which solves the following (time-dependent) RHP.

RHP0. Find a matrix-valued function $M(z)$ which satisfies:

- ▶ Analyticity: $M(z)$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$ and has single poles \mathcal{Z} ;
- ▶ Symmetry: $M(z) = \sigma_2 \overline{M(\bar{z})} \sigma_2 = \sigma_1 \overline{M(-\bar{z})} \sigma_1 = \frac{i}{z} M(-1/z) \sigma_3 Q_-$;
- ▶ Jump condition: $M(z)$ has continuous boundary values $M_\pm(z)$ on Σ and

$$M_+(z) = M_-(z)V(z), \quad z \in \Sigma, \quad (2.40)$$

where

$$V(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \tilde{\rho}(z)\rho(z) & -e^{2it\theta}\tilde{\rho}(z) \\ e^{-2it\theta}\rho(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (2.41)$$

- ▶ Asymptotic behaviors:

$$M(z) = e^{i\nu_-(x,t;q)\sigma_3} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.42)$$

$$M(z) = \frac{i}{z} e^{i\nu_-(x,t;q)\sigma_3} \sigma_3 Q_- + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad z \rightarrow 0; \quad (2.43)$$

- ▶ Residue conditions: M has simple poles at each point in $\mathcal{Z} \cup \bar{\mathcal{Z}}$ with:

$$\text{Res}_{z=\zeta_n} M(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta_n} M(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C_n e^{-2it\theta_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.44)$$

$$\text{Res}_{z=\bar{\zeta}_n} M(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}_n} M(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\bar{C}_n e^{2it\bar{\theta}_n} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.45)$$

From the asymptotic behavior in Proposition 2, the reconstruction formula of $q(x, t)$ is given by

$$q(x, t) = \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x (|q(x, t)|^2 - 1) dy \right\} m(x, t), \quad (2.46)$$

where

$$m(x, t) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} [zM]_{12}. \quad (2.47)$$

Take modulus on both sides of (2.46) yields

$$|q(x, t)| = |m(x, t)|,$$

which is substituted back into (2.46) leads to

$$q(x, t) = \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x (|m(x, t)|^2 - 1) dy \right\} m(x, t). \quad (2.48)$$

3 Deformation to a mixed $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem

We find that the long-time asymptotic of RHP0 is affected by the growth and decay of the exponential function $e^{\pm 2it\theta}$ appearing in both the jump relation and the residue conditions. Therefore, in this section, we introduce a new transform $M(z) \rightarrow M^{(1)}(z)$, which make that the $M^{(1)}(z)$ is well behaved as $t \rightarrow \infty$ along any characteristic line.

Let $\xi = \frac{x}{t}$, to obtain asymptotic behavior of $e^{2it\theta}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we consider the real part of $2it\theta$:

$$\operatorname{Re}(2it\theta) = -t \operatorname{Im} z \operatorname{Re} z \left[(\xi + 2) (1 + |z|^{-4}) - (\operatorname{Re}^2 z - \operatorname{Im}^2 z) (1 + |z|^{-8}) \right]. \quad (3.1)$$

The signature of $\operatorname{Im}\theta$ are shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3: In these figure we take $\xi = -4, -3, -2.6, -1.5, -1, 0$ respectively to show all type of $\text{Im}\theta$. The green curve is unit circle. In the red region, $\text{Im}\theta > 0$ while $\text{Im}\theta = 0$ on the red curve. And $\text{Im}\theta < 0$ in the white region.

In our paper, we only consider the case $-3 < \xi < -1$ which is corresponding to Figure 3 (c), because of its well property.

For brevity, we introduce some notations with respect to subscripts

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N} &\triangleq \{1, \dots, 4N_1 + 2N_2\}, \quad \nabla = \{n \in \mathcal{N} | \text{Im}\theta_n \leq 0\}, \\ \Delta &= \{n \in \mathcal{N} | \text{Im}\theta_n > 0\}, \quad \Lambda = \{n \in \mathcal{N} | \text{Im}\theta_n = 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

For $n \in \Delta$, the residue of $M(z)$ at ζ_n in (2.44) are unbounded as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, for $n \in \nabla$, the residue at ζ_n approach to be zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Define

$$\rho_0 = \min_{n \in \Delta \cup \nabla \setminus \Lambda} |\text{Im}\theta_n| \neq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

To distinguish different type of zeros, we further give

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_1 &= \{j \in \{1, \dots, N_1\} \mid \operatorname{Im}\theta(z_j) \leq 0\}, \Delta_1 = \{j \in \{1, \dots, N_1\} \mid \operatorname{Im}\theta(z_j) > 0\}, \\ \nabla_2 &= \{i \in \{1, \dots, N_2\} \mid \operatorname{Im}\theta(w_i) \leq 0\}, \Delta_2 = \{i \in \{1, \dots, N_2\} \mid \operatorname{Im}\theta(w_i) > 0\}, \\ \Lambda_1 &= \{j_0 \in \{1, \dots, N_1\} \mid \operatorname{Im}\theta(z_{j_0}) = 0\}, \Lambda_2 = \{i_0 \in \{1, \dots, N_2\} \mid \operatorname{Im}\theta(w_{i_0}) = 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

For the poles ζ_n with $n \notin \Lambda$, we want to trap them for jumps along small closed circles enclosing themselves respectively. The jump matrix in (2.41) also needs to be restricted. Recall the well known factorizations of $V(z)$:

$$V(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\tilde{\rho}e^{2it\theta} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \rho e^{-2it\theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.4)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ \frac{\rho e^{-2it\theta}}{1-\rho\tilde{\rho}} & 1 \end{pmatrix} (1-\rho\tilde{\rho})^{\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\tilde{\rho}e^{2it\theta}}{1-\rho\tilde{\rho}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.5)$$

We will use these factorizations to deform the jump contours so that exponentials $e^{\pm 2it\theta}$ are decaying in corresponding regions respectively. Define functions

$$\delta(z) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{s-z} - \frac{1}{2s}\right) \log(1-\rho(s)\tilde{\rho}(s)) ds\right); \quad (3.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned}T(z) &= T(z, \xi) = \prod_{n \in \Delta} \frac{z - \zeta_n}{\bar{\zeta}_n^{-1}z - 1} \delta(z) \\ &= \prod_{j \in \Delta_1} \frac{z^2 - z_j^2}{\bar{z}_j^{-2}z^2 - 1} \frac{z^2 - \bar{z}_j^{-2}}{z_j^2z^2 - 1} \prod_{i \in \Delta_2} \frac{z^2 - w_i^2}{w_i^2z^2 - 1} \delta(z).\end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

In the above formulas, we choose the principal branch of power and logarithm functions.

Proposition 4. *The function defined by (3.7) has following properties:*

- (a) T is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, and for each $n \in \Delta$, $T(z)$ has simple zeros ζ_n and simple poles $\bar{\zeta}_n$;
- (b) $T(z) = \overline{T^{-1}(\bar{z})} = T^{-1}(-z^{-1})$;
- (c) For $z \in \mathbb{R}$, as z approaching the real axis from above and below, T has boundary values T_{\pm} , which satisfy:

$$T_+(z) = (1 - \rho(z)\tilde{\rho}(z))T_-(z), \quad z \in i\mathbb{R}; \quad (3.8)$$

(d) $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} T(z) \triangleq T(\infty)$, where

$$T(\infty) = \prod_{j \in \Delta_1} \bar{z}_j^2 z_j^{-2} \prod_{i \in \Delta_2} \bar{w}_i^2 \exp \left(\frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} s^{-1} \log(1 - \rho(s)\tilde{\rho}(s)) ds \right), \quad (3.9)$$

with $|T(\infty)| = 1$;

(e) As $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ with $|\arg(z)| \leq c < \pi$,

$$T(z) = T(\infty) \left(1 + z^{-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \log(1 - \rho(s)\tilde{\rho}(s)) ds + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2}) \right); \quad (3.10)$$

(f) $T(z)$ is continuous at $z = 0$, and

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} T(z) = T(0) = T(\infty)^{-1}; \quad (3.11)$$

(g) $\frac{a(z)}{T(z)}$ is holomorphic in D^+ . And its absolute value is bounded in $D^+ \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$. Additionally, the ratio extends as a continuous function on $i\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Properties (a), (b), (d) and (f) can be obtain by simple calculation. And (c) follows from the Plemelj formula. By the Laurent expansion (e) immediately. For brevity, we omit calculation. For (g), from (2.37) we have

$$\frac{a(z)}{T(z)} = T(\infty)^{-1} \prod_{j \in \nabla_1} \frac{z^2 - z_j^2}{\bar{z}_j^{-2} z^2 - 1} \frac{z^2 - \bar{z}_j^{-2}}{z_j^2 z^2 - 1} \prod_{i \in \nabla_2} \frac{z^2 - w_i^2}{w_i^2 z^2 - 1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log(1 - \rho(s)\tilde{\rho}(s))}{s - z} \right\}.$$

So $\frac{a(z)}{T(z)}$ is holomorphic in D^+ . And in above expression, all factors except the last integral is bounded for $z \in D^+$. From (2.17), $1 - \rho(s)\tilde{\rho}(s) = 1 + |\rho(s)|^2$. Let $z = x + yi$, then the real part of the exponential is $-\frac{y}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log(1 + |\rho(s)|^2)}{|s - z|^2} ds$ which can be bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{y}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log(1 + |\rho(s)|^2)}{|s - z|^2} ds \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\log(1 + |\rho(s)|^2)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \left\| \frac{y}{(s - x)^2 + y^2} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim \|\rho(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Additionally, let ϱ be a positive constant stratifying

$$\varrho = \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \min_{j \neq i \in \mathcal{N}} |\zeta_i - \zeta_j|, \min_{j \in \mathcal{N}} \{|\operatorname{Im} \zeta_j|, |\operatorname{Re} \zeta_j|\}, \min_{j \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \Lambda, \operatorname{Im} \theta(z)=0} |\zeta_j - z| \right\}. \quad (3.12)$$

By above definition, for every $n \in \mathcal{N}$, we define disks $\mathbb{D}(\zeta_n, \varrho)$, such that they pairwise disjoint, also disjoint with $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} \theta(z) = 0\}$ and Σ . Introduce a piecewise matrix function

$$G(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ -C_n(z - \zeta_n)^{-1} e^{-2it\theta_n} & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \in \mathbb{D}(\zeta_n, \varrho), n \in \nabla \setminus \Lambda; \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -C_n^{-1}(z - \zeta_n) e^{2it\theta_n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \in \mathbb{D}(\zeta_n, \varrho), n \in \Delta; \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{C}_n(z - \bar{\zeta}_n)^{-1} e^{2it\bar{\theta}_n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \in \mathbb{D}(\bar{\zeta}_n, \varrho), n \in \nabla \setminus \Lambda; \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ \bar{C}_n^{-1}(z - \bar{\zeta}_n) e^{-2it\bar{\theta}_n} & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \in \mathbb{D}(\bar{\zeta}_n, \varrho), n \in \Delta; \\ I & \text{as } z \text{ in elsewhere;} \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

Now we use $T(z)$ and $G(z)$ to define a new matrix-valued function $M^{(1)}(z)$.

$$M^{(1)}(z) = T(\infty)^{-\sigma_3} M(z) G(z) T(z)^{\sigma_3}, \quad (3.14)$$

which then satisfies the following RH problem.

RHP1. Find a matrix-valued function $M^{(1)}(z)$ which satisfies:

- Analyticity: $M^{(1)}(z)$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^{(1)}$, where

$$\Sigma^{(1)} = \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R} \cup \left[\bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \Lambda} (\partial \mathbb{D}(\bar{\zeta}_n, \varrho) \cup \partial \mathbb{D}(\zeta_n, \varrho)) \right], \quad (3.15)$$

is shown in Figure 4;

- Symmetry: $M^{(1)}(z) = \sigma_2 \overline{M^{(1)}(\bar{z})} \sigma_2 = \sigma_1 \overline{M^{(1)}(-\bar{z})} \sigma_1 = \frac{i}{z} M^{(1)}(-1/z) \sigma_3 Q_-$;
- Jump condition: $M^{(1)}$ has continuous boundary values $M_{\pm}^{(1)}$ on $\Sigma^{(1)}$ and

$$M_+^{(1)}(z) = M_-^{(1)}(z) V^{(1)}(z), \quad z \in \Sigma^{(1)}, \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$V^{(1)}(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -e^{2it\theta}\tilde{\rho}(z)T^{-2}(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-2it\theta}\rho(z)T^2(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \in \mathbb{R}; \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{e^{-2it\theta}\tilde{\rho}(z)T_+^2(z)}{1-\tilde{\rho}(z)\rho(z)} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{e^{2it\theta}\tilde{\rho}(z)T_-^2(z)}{1-\tilde{\rho}(z)\rho(z)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \in i\mathbb{R}; \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -C_n(z-\zeta_n)^{-1}T^2(z)e^{-2it\theta_n} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \in \partial\mathbb{D}(\zeta_n, \varrho), n \in \nabla \setminus \Lambda; \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -C_n^{-1}(z-\zeta_n)T^{-2}(z)e^{2it\theta_n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \in \partial\mathbb{D}(\zeta_n, \varrho), n \in \Delta; \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{C}_n(z-\bar{\zeta}_n)^{-1}T^{-2}(z)e^{2it\bar{\theta}_n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \in \partial\mathbb{D}(\bar{\zeta}_n, \varrho), n \in \nabla \setminus \Lambda; \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \bar{C}_n^{-1}(z-\bar{\zeta}_n)e^{-2it\bar{\theta}_n}T^2(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \in \partial\mathbb{D}(\bar{\zeta}_n, \varrho), n \in \Delta; \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

► Asymptotic behaviors:

$$M^{(1)}(z) = e^{i\nu_-(x,t;q)\sigma_3} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.18)$$

$$M^{(1)}(z) = \frac{i}{z} e^{i\nu_-(x,t;q)\sigma_3} \sigma_3 Q_- + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad z \rightarrow 0; \quad (3.19)$$

► Residue conditions: $M^{(1)}$ has simple poles at each point ζ_n and $\bar{\zeta}_n$ for $n \in \Lambda$ with:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\zeta_n} M^{(1)}(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta_n} M^{(1)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C_n e^{-2it\theta_n} T^2(\zeta_n) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\bar{\zeta}_n} M^{(1)}(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}_n} M^{(1)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\bar{C}_n T^{-2}(\bar{\zeta}_n) e^{2it\bar{\theta}_n} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.21)$$

Proof. Note that the triangular factors (3.13) trades poles ζ_n and $\bar{\zeta}_n$ to jumps on the disk boundaries $\partial\mathbb{D}(\zeta_n, \varrho)$ and $\partial\mathbb{D}(\bar{\zeta}_n, \varrho)$ respectively for $n \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \Lambda$. Then by simple calculation we can obtain the residues condition and jump condition from (2.44), (2.45) (2.41), (3.13) and (3.14). The analyticity and symmetry of $M^{(1)}(z)$ is directly from its definition, the Proposition 4, (3.13) and the properties of M . As for asymptotic behaviors, from $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} G(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} G(z) = I$ and Proposition 4 (f), we obtain that $M^{(1)}(z)$ has same asymptotic behaviors as $M(z)$. \square




Figure 4: The blue curve, including \mathbb{R} , $i\mathbb{R}$ and the small circles constitute $\Sigma^{(1)}$. Because $\text{Im}\theta(w_m) = 0$, it remain the pole of $M^{(1)}$. And $\text{Im}\theta(z_n) \neq 0$, so we change it to jump on $\partial\mathbb{D}(\zeta_n, \varrho)$.

4 Mixed $\bar{\partial}$ -RH Problem

In this section, we make continuous extension for the jump matrix $V^{(1)}$ to remove the jump from Σ . Besides, the new problem is hoped to takes advantage of the decay/growth of $e^{2it\theta(z)}$ for $z \notin \Sigma$. For this purpose, we introduce new eight regions:

$$\Omega_{2n+1} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | n\pi/2 \leq \arg z \leq n\pi/2 + \varphi\}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\Omega_{2n+2} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | (n+1)\pi/2 - \varphi \leq \arg z \leq (n+1)\pi/2\}, \quad (4.2)$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $\varphi > 0$ is an fixed sufficiently small angle achieving following conditions:

1. $\frac{2|\xi+2|}{|\xi+2|+1} < \cos 2\varphi < 1$;
2. each Ω_i doesn't intersect any of $\mathbb{D}(\zeta_n, \varrho)$ or $\mathbb{D}(\bar{\zeta}_n, \varrho)$.

Define new contours as follow:

$$\Sigma_k = e^{(k-1)i\pi/4+\varphi} R_+, \quad k = 1, 3, 5, 7, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\Sigma_k = e^{ki\pi/4-\varphi} R_+, \quad k = 2, 4, 6, 8, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \dots \cup \Sigma_8, \quad (4.5)$$

which is the boundary of Ω_k respectively. In addition, let

$$\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \dots \cup \Omega_8. \quad (4.6)$$

$$\Sigma^{(2)} = \cup_{n \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \Lambda} (\partial \mathbb{D}(\bar{\zeta}_n, \varrho) \cup \partial \mathbb{D}(\zeta_n, \varrho)), \quad (4.7)$$

which are shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5: The yellow region is Ω . The blue circle constitute $\Sigma^{(2)}$ together.

Lemma 1. *Let $\xi = \frac{x}{t} \in (-3, -1)$, and $F(r) = r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}$ is a real-valued function. Then for $z = re^{i\phi}$, the imaginary part of phase function (3.1) satisfies*

$$\text{Im } \theta(z) \leq \frac{1}{16} |\sin 2\phi| (|\xi + 2| - 1) F(r)^2, \quad \text{as } z \in \Omega_1, \Omega_3, \Omega_5, \Omega_7; \quad (4.8)$$

$$\text{Im } \theta(z) \geq \frac{1}{16} |\sin 2\phi| (1 - |\xi + 2|) F(r)^2, \quad \text{as } z \in \Omega_2, \Omega_4, \Omega_6, \Omega_8. \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. We only prove the case $z \in \Omega_1$, and the other regions are similarly. From (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im} \theta(z) &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} z \operatorname{Re} z [(\xi + 2)(1 + |z|^{-4}) - (\operatorname{Re}^2 z - \operatorname{Im}^2 z)(1 + |z|^{-8})] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} r^2 \sin 2\phi [(\xi + 2)(1 + r^{-4}) - r^2 \cos 2\phi (1 + r^{-8})] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sin 2\phi [(\xi + 2)F(r) - \cos 2\phi (F(r)^2 - 2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

$F(r) \geq 2$ leads to $2 \leq \frac{F(r)^2}{2}$. For $z \in \Omega_1$, $\frac{2|\xi+2|}{|\xi+2|+1} < \cos 2\varphi < \cos 2\phi$, then we have

$$\frac{|\xi + 2|}{\cos 2\phi} F(r) \leq \frac{|\xi + 2| + 1}{4} F(r)^2. \quad (4.11)$$

Substitute above inequality into (4.10) we obtain the consequence immediately. \square

Introduce a small enough constant $1 > \epsilon_0 > 0$ with $(1 - \epsilon_0) \cos \varphi > \frac{1}{2}$. Let $X_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$, which is support in $(1 - \epsilon_0, 1 + \epsilon_0)$. And X_0 has support in $(-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$ with $X_0(z) = X_1(1 + z)$. In addition, we denote following functions for brief:

$$p_1(z) = p_5(z) = \rho(z), \quad p_2(z) = p_6(z) = \frac{\tilde{\rho}(z)}{1 - \rho(z)\tilde{\rho}(z)}, \quad (4.12)$$

$$p_3(z) = p_7(z) = \frac{\rho(z)}{1 - \rho(z)\tilde{\rho}(z)}, \quad p_4(z) = p_8(z) = \tilde{\rho}(z). \quad (4.13)$$

Then the next step is to construct a matrix function $R^{(2)}$. We need to remove jump on \mathbb{R} and $i\mathbb{R}$, and have some mild control on $\bar{\partial}R^{(2)}$ sufficient to ensure that the $\bar{\partial}$ -contribution to the long-time asymptotics of $q(x, t)$ is negligible. So we choose $R^{(2)}(z)$ as

$$R^{(2)}(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & R_j(z)e^{2it\theta} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & z \in \Omega_j, j = 2, 4, 6, 8; \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ R_j(z)e^{-2it\theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & z \in \Omega_j, j = 1, 3, 5, 7; \\ I, & \text{elsewhere;} \end{cases} \quad (4.14)$$

where the functions R_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, 8$, is defined in following Proposition.

Proposition 5. $R_j: \bar{\Omega}_j \rightarrow C$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ have boundary values as follow:

$$R_1(z) = \begin{cases} -\rho(z)T(z)^2 & z \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ 0 & z \in \Sigma_1, \end{cases}, \quad R_2(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & z \in \Sigma_2, \\ \frac{\tilde{\rho}(z)T_+(z)^2}{1 - \rho(z)\tilde{\rho}(z)} & z \in i\mathbb{R}^+, \end{cases} \quad (4.15)$$

$$R_3(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho(z)T_-(z)^2}{1 - \rho(z)\tilde{\rho}(z)} & z \in i\mathbb{R}^+, \\ 0 & z \in \Sigma_3, \end{cases}, \quad R_4(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & z \in \Sigma_4, \\ -\tilde{\rho}(z)T(z)^{-2} & z \in \mathbb{R}^-, \end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$

$$R_5(z) = \begin{cases} -\rho(z)T(z)^2 & z \in \mathbb{R}^-, \\ 0 & z \in \Sigma_5, \end{cases}, \quad R_6(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & z \in \Sigma_6, \\ \frac{\tilde{\rho}(z)T_+(z)^2}{1 - \rho(z)\tilde{\rho}(z)} & z \in i\mathbb{R}^-, \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

$$R_7(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho(z)T_-(z)^2}{1 - \rho(z)\tilde{\rho}(z)} & z \in i\mathbb{R}^-, \\ 0 & z \in \Sigma_7, \end{cases}, \quad R_8(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & z \in \Sigma_8, \\ -\tilde{\rho}(z)T(z)^{-2} & z \in \mathbb{R}^+. \end{cases} \quad (4.18)$$

R_j have following property: for $j = 1, 5, 4, 8$,

$$|\bar{\partial}R_j(z)| \lesssim |p'_j(|z|)| + |z|^{-1/2}, \text{ for all } z \in \Omega_j; \quad (4.19)$$

and for $j = 2, 3, 6, 7$,

$$|\bar{\partial}R_j(z)| \lesssim |z \mp i|, \text{ for all } z \in \Omega_j \text{ in a small fixed neighborhood of } \pm i, \quad (4.20)$$

$$|\bar{\partial}R_j(z)| \lesssim |p'_j(i|z|)| + |z|^{-1/2} + |\bar{\partial}X_1(|z|)|, \text{ for all } z \in \Omega_j. \quad (4.21)$$

And

$$\bar{\partial}R_j(z) = 0, \quad \text{if } z \in \text{elsewhere}. \quad (4.22)$$

Proof. Case I: $z \in \bar{\Omega}_j$, $j = 1, 5, 4, 8$.

Take $R_1(z)$ as an example with extensions

$$R_1(z) = p_1(|z|)T^2(z) \cos(k_0 \arg z), \quad k_0 = \frac{2\pi}{\varphi}. \quad (4.23)$$

The other cases are easily inferred. $p_1(|z|) = \rho(|z|)$ is bounded. Denote $z = re^{i\phi}$, then we have $\bar{\partial} = \frac{e^{i\phi}}{2} (\partial_r + \frac{i}{r} \partial_\phi)$. So

$$\bar{\partial} R_1(z) = \frac{e^{i\phi}}{2} T^2(z) \left(p_1'(r) \cos(k_0 \phi) - \frac{i}{r} p_1(r) k_0 \sin(k_0 \phi) \right). \quad (4.24)$$

To bound second term we use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and obtain

$$|p_1(r)| = |\rho(r)| = |\rho(r) - \rho(0)| = \left| \int_0^r \rho'(s) ds \right| \leq \| \rho'(s) \|_{L^2} r^{1/2}. \quad (4.25)$$

And note that $T(z)$ is a bounded function in $\bar{\Omega}_1$. Then the boundedness of (4.19) follows immediately.

Case II: $z \in \bar{\Omega}_j$, $j = 2, 3, 6, 7$.

The details of the proof are only given for R_2 . Unlike the vanishing boundary condition case in [16], the determinant of $M(z)$ is $1 + z^{-2}$. So to bound the $\bar{\partial}$ -derivative construct by $R^{(2)}$ in following section, the property of $R^{(2)}$ at $\pm i$ needs to be control. For this purpose, we make small adjustments to the extensions of R_2 as

$$R_2(z) = R_{21}(z) + R_{22}(z), \quad (4.26)$$

with a constant δ_0 stratifying $\varphi > \delta_0 \epsilon_0$ and

$$R_{21}(z) = [1 - X_1(|z|)] p_2(i|z|) T^{-2}(z) \cos[k_0(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arg z)], \quad (4.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{22}(z) &= f(|z|) g(z) \cos[k_0(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arg z)] \\ &\quad - \frac{i|z|}{k_0} X_0\left(\frac{\arg z}{\delta_0}\right) f'(|z|) g(z) \sin[k_0(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arg z)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Among above function,

$$f(z) = X_1(z) \frac{\bar{b}(z)}{a(z)}, \quad g(z) = \left(\frac{a(z)}{T(z)} \right)^2. \quad (4.29)$$

Then $f(z) \in W^{2, \infty}$. Obviously, $R_{21}(z) \equiv 0$ with $|z|$ in the support of X_1 and $R_{22}(z) \equiv 0$ out the support of X_1 . Note that

$$|p_2(z)| = \left| \frac{\tilde{\rho}(z)}{1 - \rho(z)\tilde{\rho}(z)} \right| = \left| \frac{\tilde{\rho}(z)}{1 - |\rho(z)|^2} \right| \lesssim |\rho(z)|, \quad \text{for } z \text{ out of } \text{supp}(X_1). \quad (4.30)$$

Similarly in case I, $R_{21}(z)$ can be bounded as

$$|\bar{\partial}R_{21}(z)| \lesssim (1 - X_1(|z|)) (|p'_2(i|z)| + |z|^{-1/2}) + |\bar{\partial}X_1(|z|)|. \quad (4.31)$$

As for $R_{22}(z)$, $z = re^{i\phi}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}R_{22}(z) &= \frac{e^{i\phi}}{2} g(z) \cos[k_0(\frac{\pi}{2} - \varphi)] f'(ir) \left(1 - X_0\left(\frac{\varphi}{\delta_0}\right) \right) \\ &\quad + \sin[k_0(\frac{\pi}{2} - \varphi)] \left[\frac{ik_0}{r} f(ir) + \frac{1}{\delta_0 k_0} X'_0\left(\frac{\varphi}{\delta_0}\right) f'(ir) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{k_0} \sin[k_0(\frac{\pi}{2} - \varphi)] X_0\left(\frac{\arg z}{\delta_0}\right) (r f'(ir))'. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

So $|\bar{\partial}R_{22}(z)|$ is bounded, and we can write $|\bar{\partial}R_{22}(z)| \lesssim X_1(z)|z|^{-1/2}$. So (4.20) is obtained. In addition, for $z \sim i$,

$$|\bar{\partial}R_{22}(z)| \lesssim |\sin[k_0(\frac{\pi}{2} - \varphi)]| + |1 - X_0(\frac{\varphi}{\delta_0})| = \mathcal{O}(\varphi), \quad (4.33)$$

from which (4.20) follows immediately. \square

In addition, from Proposition 1, $R^{(2)}$ achieve the symmetry:

$$R^{(2)}(z) = \sigma_2 \overline{R^{(2)}(\bar{z})} \sigma_2 = \sigma_1 \overline{R^{(2)}(-\bar{z})} \sigma_1 = \sigma_3 Q_- R^{(2)}(-1/z) \sigma_3 Q_-. \quad (4.34)$$

We now use $R^{(2)}$ to define the new transformation

$$M^{(2)}(z) = M^{(1)}(z) R^{(2)}(z), \quad (4.35)$$

which satisfies the following mixed $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem.

RHP2. Find a matrix valued function $M^{(2)}(z; x, t)$ with following properties:

► Analyticity: $M^{(2)}(z; x, t)$ is continuous in \mathbb{C} , sectionally continuous first partial derivatives in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \left(\Sigma^{(2)} \cup \{\zeta_n, \bar{\zeta}_n\}_{n \in \Lambda} \right)$ and meromorphic out $\bar{\Omega}$;

► Symmetry: $M^{(2)}(z) = \sigma_2 \overline{M^{(2)}(\bar{z})} \sigma_2 = \sigma_1 \overline{M^{(2)}(-\bar{z})} \sigma_1 = \frac{i}{z} M^{(2)}(-1/z) \sigma_3 Q_-$;

► Jump condition: $M^{(2)}$ has continuous boundary values $M_{\pm}^{(2)}$ on $\Sigma^{(2)}$ and

$$M_+^{(2)}(z; x, t) = M_-^{(2)}(z; x, t) V^{(2)}(z), \quad z \in \Sigma^{(2)}, \quad (4.36)$$

where

$$V^{(2)}(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -C_n(z - \zeta_n)^{-1}T^2(z)e^{-2it\theta_n} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \in \partial\mathbb{D}(\zeta_n, \varrho), n \in \nabla \setminus \Lambda; \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -C_n^{-1}(z - \zeta_n)T^{-2}(z)e^{2it\theta_n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \in \partial\mathbb{D}(\zeta_n, \varrho), n \in \Delta; \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{C}_n(z - \bar{\zeta}_n)^{-1}T^{-2}(z)e^{2it\bar{\theta}_n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \in \partial\mathbb{D}(\bar{\zeta}_n, \varrho), n \in \nabla \setminus \Lambda; \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \bar{C}_n^{-1}(z - \bar{\zeta}_n)e^{-2it\bar{\theta}_n}T^2(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{as } z \in \partial\mathbb{D}(\bar{\zeta}_n, \varrho), n \in \Delta; \end{cases}; \quad (4.37)$$

► Asymptotic behaviors:

$$M^{(2)}(z) = e^{i\nu_-(x,t;q)\sigma_3} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.38)$$

$$M^{(2)}(z) = \frac{i}{z} e^{i\nu_-(x,t;q)\sigma_3} \sigma_3 Q_- + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad z \rightarrow 0; \quad (4.39)$$

► $\bar{\partial}$ -Derivative: For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\bar{\partial}M^{(2)} = M^{(2)}\bar{\partial}R^{(2)}, \quad (4.40)$$

where

$$\bar{\partial}R^{(2)} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{\partial}R_j(z)e^{2it\theta} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & z \in \Omega_j, j = 1, 3, 5, 7, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \bar{\partial}R_j(z)e^{-2it\theta} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & z \in \Omega_j, j = 2, 4, 6, 8, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere;} \end{cases} \quad (4.41)$$

► Residue conditions: $M^{(2)}$ has simple poles at each point ζ_n and $\bar{\zeta}_n$ for $n \in \Lambda$ with:

$$\text{Res}_{z=\zeta_n} M^{(2)}(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta_n} M^{(2)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C_n e^{-2it\theta_n} T^2(\zeta_n) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.42)$$

$$\text{Res}_{z=\bar{\zeta}_n} M^{(2)}(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}_n} M^{(2)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\bar{C}_n T^{-2}(\bar{\zeta}_n) e^{2it\bar{\theta}_n} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.43)$$

5 Decomposition of the mixed $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem

To solve RHP2, we decompose it into a model RH problem for $M^{(r)}(z)$ with $\bar{\partial}R^{(2)} \equiv 0$ and a pure $\bar{\partial}$ -Problem with nonzero $\bar{\partial}$ -derivatives. For the first step, we establish a RH problem for the $M^{(r)}(z)$ as follows.

RHP3. Find a matrix-valued function $M^{(r)}(z)$ with following properties:

- ▶ Analyticity: $M^{(r)}(z)$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^{(2)}$;
- ▶ Jump condition: M^r has continuous boundary values $M_{\pm}^{(r)}$ on $\Sigma^{(2)}$ and

$$M_{+}^{(r)}(z) = M_{-}^{(r)}(z)V^2(z), \quad z \in \Sigma^{(2)}; \quad (5.1)$$

- ▶ Symmetry: $M^{(r)}(z) = \sigma_2 \overline{M^{(r)}(\bar{z})} \sigma_2 = \sigma_1 \overline{M^{(r)}(-\bar{z})} \sigma_1 = \frac{i}{z} M^{(r)}(-1/z) \sigma_3 Q_-$;
- ▶ $\bar{\partial}$ -Derivative: $\bar{\partial}R^{(2)} = 0$, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$;
- ▶ Asymptotic behaviors:

$$M^{(r)}(z) = e^{i\nu_{-}(x,t;q)\sigma_3} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5.2)$$

$$M^{(r)}(z) = \frac{i}{z} e^{i\nu_{-}(x,t;q)\sigma_3} \sigma_3 Q_- + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad z \rightarrow 0; \quad (5.3)$$

▶ Residue conditions: $M^{(r)}$ has simple poles at each point ζ_n and $\bar{\zeta}_n$ for $n \in \Lambda$ with:

$$\text{Res}_{z=\zeta_n} M^{(r)}(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta_n} M^{(r)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C_n e^{-2it\theta_n} T^2(\zeta_n) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\text{Res}_{z=\bar{\zeta}_n} M^{(r)}(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}_n} M^{(r)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\bar{C}_n T^{-2}(\bar{\zeta}_n) e^{2it\bar{\theta}_n} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.5)$$

The unique existence and asymptotic of $M^{(r)}(z)$ will shown in section 6.

We now use $M^{(r)}(z)$ to construct a new matrix function

$$M^{(3)}(z) = M^{(2)}(z)M^{(r)}(z)^{-1}, \quad (5.6)$$

which removes analytical component $M^{(r)}(z)$ to get a pure $\bar{\partial}$ -problem.

$\bar{\partial}$ -problem4. Find a matrix-valued function $M^{(3)}(z)$ with following properties:

- ▶ Analyticity: $M^{(3)}(z)$ is continuous and has sectionally continuous first partial derivatives in \mathbb{C} .

► Asymptotic behavior:

$$M^{(3)}(z) \sim I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty; \quad (5.7)$$

► $\bar{\partial}$ -Derivative: We have

$$\bar{\partial}M^{(3)}(z) = M^{(3)}(z)W, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where

$$W = M^{(r)}(z)\bar{\partial}R^{(2)}(z)M^{(r)}(z)^{-1}. \quad (5.8)$$

Proof. By using properties of the solutions $M^{(2)}(z)$ and $M^{(r)}(z)$ for RHP3 and $\bar{\partial}$ -problem 4, the analyticity is obtained immediately. And for its Asymptotic behavior, from $M^{(r)}(z)^{-1} = (1 + z^{-2})\sigma_2 M^{(r)}(z)^T \sigma_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} M^{(3)}(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{(zM^{(2)}(z))\sigma_2(zM^{(r)}(z)^T)\sigma_2}{1 + z^2} \\ &= ie^{i\nu - (x,t;q)\sigma_3} \sigma_3 Q_- \sigma_2 (ie^{i\nu - (x,t;q)\sigma_3} \sigma_3 Q_-)^T \sigma_2 = I. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Since $M^{(2)}(z)$ and $M^{(r)}(z)$ achieve same jump matrix, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_-^{(3)}(z)^{-1} M_+^{(3)}(z) &= M_-^{(2)}(z)^{-1} M_-^{(r)}(z) M_+^{(r)}(z)^{-1} M_+^{(2)}(z) \\ &= M_-^{(2)}(z)^{-1} V^{(2)}(z)^{-1} M_+^{(2)}(z) = I, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $M^{(3)}(z)$ has no jumps and is everywhere continuous. We also can show that $M^{(3)}(z)$ has no pole. For $\lambda \in \{\zeta_n, \bar{\zeta}_n\}_{n \in \Lambda}$, let \mathcal{N}_λ denote the nilpotent matrix which appears in the left side of the corresponding residue condition of RHP4 and RHP5, we have the Laurent expansions in $z - \lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} M^{(2)}(z) &= a(\lambda) \left[\frac{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}{z - \lambda} + I \right] + \mathcal{O}(z - \lambda), \\ M^{(r)}(z) &= A(\lambda) \left[\frac{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}{z - \lambda} + I \right] + \mathcal{O}(z - \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where $a(\lambda)$ and $A(\lambda)$ are the constant matrix in their respective expansions. Then

$$\begin{aligned} M^3(z) &= \left\{ a(\lambda) \left[\frac{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}{z - \lambda} + I \right] \right\} \left\{ \left[\frac{-\mathcal{N}_\lambda}{z - \lambda} + I \right] \sigma_2 A(\lambda)^T \sigma_2 \right\} + \mathcal{O}(z - \lambda) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1), \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

which implies that $M^{(3)}(z)$ has removable singularities at λ . And the $\bar{\partial}$ -derivative of $M^{(3)}(z)$ come from $M^{(3)}(z)$ due to analyticity of $M^{(r)}(z)$. In addition, unlike the zero boundary case, we must check its property at $\pm i$. The symmetries of $M^{(2)}(z)$ and $M^{(r)}(z)$ imply that

$$M^{(2)}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \pm q - \gamma \\ \pm \bar{q} - \bar{\gamma} & \bar{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(z \mp i), \quad (5.11)$$

$$M^{(r)}(z) = \frac{\pm i}{2(z \mp i)} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\iota} & \mp q - \iota \\ \mp \bar{q} - \bar{\iota} & \iota \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad (5.12)$$

for two constants γ and ι . Then the singular part of $M^{(3)}(z)$ vanishes at $z = \pm i$ by simple calculation immediately. \square

The unique existence and asymptotic of $M^{(3)}(z)$ will shown in section 7.

6 Asymptotic of $\mathcal{N}(\Lambda)$ -soliton solutions

In this section, we build a reflectionless RH problem and show that its solution can approximated with $M^{(r)}$.

First we show the existence and uniqueness of solution of the above RHP3 which is related with original RH problem 0.

Proposition 6. *The solution $M^{(r)}(z)$ of the RH problem 3 with scattering data $\{r(z), \{\zeta_n, C_n\}_{n \in \Lambda}\}$ exists and is unique. By an explicit transformation, $M^{(r)}(z)$ is equivalent to a reflectionless solution of the original RHP0 with modified scattering data $\{0, \{\zeta_n, \check{c}_n\}_{n \in \Lambda}\}$, where*

$$\check{c}_n(x, t) = C_n \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{i\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log(1 - |\rho(s)|^2) \left(\frac{1}{s - \zeta_n} - \frac{1}{2s} \right) \right\}. \quad (6.1)$$

Proof. To transform $M^{(r)}(z)$ to the soliton-solution of RHP0, the jumps and poles need to be restored. We reverses the triangularity effected in (3.14) and (4.35):

$$N(z) = \left(\prod_{n \in \Delta} \zeta_n \right)^{-\sigma_3} M^{(r)}(z) T^{-\hat{\sigma}_3} G^{-1}(z) \left(\prod_{n \in \Delta} \frac{z - \zeta_n}{\zeta_n^{-1} z - 1} \right)^{-\sigma_3}, \quad (6.2)$$

with $G(z)$ defined in (3.13). First we verify $N(z)$ satisfying RHP0. This transformation to $N(z)$ preserves the normalization conditions at the origin and infinity obviously. And comparing with (3.14), this transformation restore the jump on $\mathbb{D}(\bar{\zeta}_n, \varrho)$ and $\mathbb{D}(\zeta_n, \varrho)$ to residue for $n \notin \Lambda$. As for $n \in \Lambda$, take ζ_n as an example. Substitute (5.5) into the transformation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}_{z=\zeta_n} N(z) &= \left(\prod_{n \in \Delta} \zeta_n \right)^{-\sigma_3} \operatorname{Res}_{z=\zeta_n} M^{(r)}(z) T^{-\hat{\sigma}_3} G(z)^{-1} \left(\prod_{n \in \Delta} \frac{z - \zeta_n}{\bar{\zeta}_n^{-1} z - 1} \right)^{-\sigma_3} \\
&= \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta_n} - \left(\prod_{n \in \Delta} \zeta_n \right)^{-\sigma_3} M^{(r)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C_n e^{-2it\theta_n} T^2(\zeta_n) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left(\prod_{n \in \Delta} \frac{z - \zeta_n}{\bar{\zeta}_n^{-1} z - 1} \right)^{-\sigma_3} \\
&= \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta_n} N(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \check{c}_n e^{-2it\theta_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.3}
\end{aligned}$$

Its analyticity and symmetry follow from the Proposition of $M^{(r)}(z)$, $T(z)$ and $G(z)$ immediately. So $N(z)$ is solution of RHP0 with absence of reflection, whose unique exact solution exists and can be obtained as described similarly in [18]. So $M^{(r)}(z)$ unique exists. \square

Although $M^{(r)}(z)$ admits uniqueness and existence, we can't give its explicit expression. The jump matrix is uniformly near identity and doesn't contribute to the asymptotic behavior of the solution.

Lemma 2. *The jump matrix $V^{(2)}(z)$ in (4.37) satisfies*

$$\| V^{(2)} - I \|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{(2)})} = \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho_0 t}), \tag{6.4}$$

where ρ_0 is defined by (3.3).

Proof. Take $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}(\zeta_n, \varrho)$, $n \in \nabla \setminus \Lambda$ as an example.

$$\begin{aligned}
\| V^{(2)} - I \|_{L^\infty(\partial\mathbb{D}(\zeta_n, \varrho))} &= |C_n (z - \zeta_n)^{-1} T^2(z) e^{-2it\theta_n}| \\
&\lesssim \varrho^{-1} e^{-\operatorname{Re}(2it\theta_n)} \lesssim e^{2t\operatorname{Im}(\theta_n)} \leq e^{-2\rho_0 t}. \tag{6.5}
\end{aligned}$$

The last step follows from that for $n \in \nabla \setminus \Lambda$, $\operatorname{Im}\theta_n < 0$. \square

Corollary 1. For $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, the jump matrix $V^{(2)}(z)$ satisfies

$$\|V^{(2)} - I\|_{L^p(\Sigma^{(2)})} \leq K_p e^{-2\rho_0 t}, \quad (6.6)$$

for some constant $K_p \geq 0$ depending on p .

This estimation of $V^{(2)}$ inspires us to consider to completely ignore the jump condition on $M^{(r)}(z)$, because there is only exponentially small error (in t). We decompose $M^{(r)}(z)$ as

$$M^{(r)}(z) = E(z)M_\Lambda^{(r)}(z), \quad (6.7)$$

where $E(z)$ is a error function, which is a solution of a small-norm RH problem and we discuss it in Section 8. $M_\Lambda^{(r)}(z)$ solves RHP3 with $V^{(2)} \equiv 0$.

RHP5. Find a matrix-valued function $M_\Lambda^{(r)}(z; x, t)$ with following properties:

- Analyticity: $M_\Lambda^{(r)}(z; x, t)$ is analytical in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\zeta_n, \bar{\zeta}_n\}_{n \in \Lambda}$;
- Symmetry: $M_\Lambda^{(r)}(z) = \sigma_2 M_\Lambda^{(r)}(\bar{z}) \sigma_2 = \sigma_1 M_\Lambda^{(r)}(-\bar{z}) \sigma_1 = \frac{i}{z} M_\Lambda^{(r)}(-1/z) \sigma_3 Q_-$;
- Asymptotic behaviors:

$$M_\Lambda^{(r)}(z; x, t) = e^{i\nu_-(x, t; q)\sigma_3} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad (6.8)$$

$$M_\Lambda^{(r)}(z; x, t) = \frac{i}{z} e^{i\nu_-(x, t; q)\sigma_3} \sigma_3 Q_- + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad z \rightarrow 0; \quad (6.9)$$

► Residue conditions: $M_\Lambda^{(r)}$ has simple poles at each point ζ_n and $\bar{\zeta}_n$ for $n \in \Lambda$ with:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\zeta_n} M_\Lambda^{(r)}(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta_n} M_\Lambda^{(r)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C_n e^{-2it\theta_n} T^2(\zeta_n) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.10)$$

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\bar{\zeta}_n} M_\Lambda^{(r)}(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}_n} M_\Lambda^{(r)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\bar{C}_n T^{-2}(\bar{\zeta}_n) e^{2it\bar{\theta}_n} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.11)$$

Proposition 7. The RHP5 exists an unique solution. Moreover, $M_\Lambda^{(r)}(z)$ is equivalent to a reflectionless solution of the original RHP0 with modified scattering data $\{0, \{\zeta_n, \check{c}_n\}_{n \in \Lambda}\}$ as follows:

Case I: if $\Lambda = \emptyset$, then

$$M_\Lambda^{(r)}(z) = e^{i\nu_-(x, t; q_\Lambda^r)\sigma_3} + \frac{i}{z} e^{i\nu_-(x, t; q_\Lambda^r)\sigma_3} \sigma_3 Q_-; \quad (6.12)$$

Case I: if $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ with $\Lambda_1 = \{z_{j_k}\}_{k=1}^{n_1}$ and $\Lambda_2 = \{w_{i_s}\}_{s=1}^{n_2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
M_\Lambda^{(r)}(z) &= e^{i\nu_-(x,t;q_\Lambda^r)\sigma_3} + \frac{i}{z} e^{i\nu_-(x,t;q_\Lambda^r)\sigma_3} \sigma_3 Q_- \\
&+ \sum_{s=1}^{n_2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha_s}{z-w_{i_s}} & \frac{\overline{\kappa}_s}{z-\overline{w}_{i_s}} \\ \frac{\kappa_s}{z-w_{i_s}} & \frac{\overline{\alpha}_s}{z-\overline{w}_{i_s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\alpha_s}{z+w_{i_s}} & \frac{\overline{\kappa}_s}{z+\overline{w}_{i_s}} \\ \frac{\kappa_s}{z+w_{i_s}} & -\frac{\overline{\alpha}_s}{z+\overline{w}_{i_s}} \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta_k}{z-z_{j_k}} & \frac{\overline{\varsigma}_k}{z-\overline{z}_{j_k}} \\ \frac{\varsigma_k}{z-z_{j_k}} & \frac{\overline{\beta}_k}{z-\overline{z}_{j_k}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\beta_k}{z+z_{j_k}} & \frac{\overline{\varsigma}_k}{z+\overline{z}_{j_k}} \\ \frac{\varsigma_k}{z+z_{j_k}} & -\frac{\overline{\beta}_k}{z+\overline{z}_{j_k}} \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} i \left[\begin{pmatrix} \frac{-q-\beta_k}{\overline{z}_{j_k}z-1} & \frac{-q-\varsigma_k}{z_{j_k}z-1} \\ \frac{-q-\varsigma_k}{\overline{z}_{j_k}z-1} & \frac{q-\beta_k}{z_{j_k}z-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q-\beta_k}{\overline{z}_{j_k}z+1} & \frac{-q-\varsigma_k}{z_{j_k}z+1} \\ \frac{-q-\varsigma_k}{\overline{z}_{j_k}z+1} & \frac{q-\beta_k}{z_{j_k}z+1} \end{pmatrix} \right], \tag{6.13}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_k = \beta_k(x, t)$, $\varsigma_k = \varsigma_k(x, t)$, $\alpha_s = \alpha_s(x, t)$ and $\kappa_s = \kappa_s(x, t)$ with linearly dependant equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{j_k}^{-1} T(z_{j_k})^{-2} e^{-2i\theta(z_{j_k})t} \beta_k &= \frac{i}{z_{j_k}} e^{i\nu_-(x,t;q_\Lambda^r)} q_- + \sum_{h=1}^{n_2} \left(\frac{\overline{\kappa}_h}{z_{j_k} - \overline{w}_{i_h}} + \frac{\overline{\kappa}_h}{z_{j_k} + \overline{w}_{i_h}} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{l=1}^{n_1} \left(\frac{\overline{\varsigma}_l}{z_{j_k} - \overline{z}_{j_l}} + \frac{\overline{\varsigma}_l}{z_{j_k} + \overline{z}_{j_l}} - \frac{iq_- \varsigma_l}{z_{j_l} z_{j_k} - 1} - \frac{iq_- \varsigma_l}{z_{j_l} z_{j_k} + 1} \right), \tag{6.14}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{j_k}^{-1} T(z_{j_k})^{-2} e^{-2i\theta(z_{j_k})t} \varsigma_k &= \frac{i}{z_{j_k}} e^{-i\nu_-(x,t;q_\Lambda^r)} \overline{q}_- + \sum_{h=1}^{n_2} \left(\frac{\overline{\alpha}_h}{z_{j_k} - \overline{w}_{i_h}} - \frac{\overline{\alpha}_h}{z_{j_k} + \overline{w}_{i_h}} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{l=1}^{n_1} \left(\frac{\overline{\beta}_l}{z_{j_k} - \overline{z}_{j_l}} - \frac{\overline{\beta}_l}{z_{j_k} + \overline{z}_{j_l}} + \frac{iq_- \beta_l}{z_{j_l} z_{j_k} - 1} - \frac{iq_- \beta_l}{z_{j_l} z_{j_k} + 1} \right), \tag{6.15}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{i_s+N_1}^{-1} T(w_{i_s})^{-2} e^{-2i\theta(w_{i_s})t} \alpha_k &= \frac{i}{w_{i_s}} e^{i\nu_-(x,t;q_\Lambda^r)} q_- + \sum_{h=1}^{n_2} \left(\frac{\bar{\kappa}_h}{w_{i_s} - \bar{w}_{i_h}} + \frac{\bar{\kappa}_h}{w_{i_s} + \bar{w}_{i_h}} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{l=1}^{n_1} \left(\frac{\bar{\varsigma}_l}{w_{i_s} - \bar{z}_{j_l}} + \frac{\bar{\varsigma}_l}{w_{i_s} + \bar{z}_{j_l}} - \frac{i q_- \varsigma_l}{z_{j_l} w_{i_s} - 1} - \frac{i q_- \varsigma_l}{z_{j_l} w_{i_s} + 1} \right), \tag{6.16}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{i_s+N_1}^{-1} T(w_{i_s})^{-2} e^{-2i\theta(w_{i_s})t} \kappa_k &= \frac{i}{w_{i_s}} e^{-i\nu_-(x,t;q_\Lambda^r)} \bar{q}_- + \sum_{h=1}^{n_2} \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_h}{w_{i_s} - \bar{w}_{i_h}} - \frac{\bar{\alpha}_h}{w_{i_s} + \bar{w}_{i_h}} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{l=1}^{n_1} \left(\frac{\bar{\beta}_l}{w_{i_s} - \bar{z}_{j_l}} - \frac{\bar{\beta}_l}{w_{i_s} + \bar{z}_{j_l}} + \frac{i q_- \beta_l}{z_{j_l} w_{i_s} - 1} - \frac{i q_- \beta_l}{z_{j_l} w_{i_s} + 1} \right), \tag{6.17}
\end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n_1$, $s = 1, \dots, n_2$ respectively.

Proof. The uniqueness of solution follows from the Liouville's theorem. Case I can be simple obtain. As for Case II, the symmetries of $M_\Lambda^{(r)}(z)$ means that it admits a partial fraction expansion of following form as above. And to obtain β_k , ς_k, α_s and κ_s , we substitute (6.13) into (6.11) and obtain four linearly dependant equations set above. \square

Corollary 2. When $\rho(s) \equiv 0$, the scattering matrices $S(z) \equiv I$, which means $q_- = q_+$. Denote $q_\Lambda^r(x, t)$ is the $\mathcal{N}(\Lambda)$ -soliton with scattering data $\{0, \{\check{\zeta}_n, \check{c}_n\}_{n \in \Lambda}\}$. By the reconstruction formula (2.47) and (2.48), the solution $q_\Lambda^r(x, t)$ of (1.1) with scattering data $\{0, \{\zeta_n, \check{c}_n\}_{n \in \Lambda}\}$ is given by:

$$q_\Lambda^r(x, t) = e^{i\nu_-(x,t;q_\Lambda^r)} \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z \left[M_\Lambda^{(r)} \right]_{12}. \tag{6.18}$$

Then in case I,

$$u_\Lambda^r(x, t) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z | [M_\Lambda^r]_{12} | = 1. \tag{6.19}$$

So $\nu_-(x, t; q_\Lambda^r) = 0$ and

$$q_\Lambda^r(x, t) = q_-. \tag{6.20}$$

And in case II,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\Lambda}^r(x, t) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z \left| \left[M_{\Lambda}^{(r)} \right]_{12} \right| \\ &= |i e^{i\nu_{-}(x, t; q_{\Lambda}^r)} q_{-} + 2 \sum_{s=1}^{n_2} \bar{\kappa}_k + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} (\bar{\varsigma}_k - i q_{-} \varsigma_k)|, \end{aligned} \quad (6.21)$$

which leads to $\nu_{-}(x, t; q_{\Lambda}^r) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x (|u_{\Lambda}^r(y, t)|^2 - 1) dy$ and

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\Lambda}^r(x, t) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} e^{i\nu_{-}(x, t; q_{\Lambda}^r)} z \left[M_{\Lambda}^{(r)} \right]_{12} \\ &= e^{2i\nu_{-}(x, t; q_{\Lambda}^r)} \left(i e^{i\nu_{-}(x, t; q_{\Lambda}^r)} q_{-} + 2 \sum_{s=1}^{n_2} \bar{\kappa}_k + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} (\bar{\varsigma}_k - i q_{-} \varsigma_k) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.22)$$

7 The small norm RH problem for error function

In this section, we consider the error matrix-function $E(z)$ and show that for large times, the error function $E(z)$ solves a small norm RH problem which can be expanded asymptotically. From the definition (6.7), we can obtain a RH problem for the matrix function $E(z)$.

RHP6 Find a matrix-valued function $E(z)$ with following properties:

- ▶ Analyticity: $E(z)$ is analytical in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^{(2)}$;
- ▶ Asymptotic behaviors:

$$E(z) \sim I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}), \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty; \quad (7.1)$$

- ▶ Jump condition: E has continuous boundary values E_{\pm} on $\Sigma^{(2)}$ satisfying

$$E_{+}(z) = E_{-}(z) V^E,$$

where the jump matrix V^E is given by

$$V^E(z) = M_{\Lambda}^{(r)}(z) V^{(2)}(z) M_{\Lambda}^{(r)}(z)^{-1}. \quad (7.2)$$

Proposition 7 implies that $M_{\Lambda}^{(r)}(z)$ is bound on $\Sigma^{(2)}$. By using Lemma 2 and Corollary 1, we have the following estimates

$$\| V^E - I \|_{L^p} \lesssim \| V^{(2)} - I \|_{L^p} = \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho_0 t}), \quad (7.3)$$

for $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$. This uniformly vanishing bound $\|V^E - I\|$ establishes RHP6 as a small-norm RH problem. Therefore, the existence and uniqueness of the RHP6 can shown by using a small-norm RH problem

$$E(z) = I + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma^{(2)}} \frac{(I + \eta(s))(V^E - I)}{s - z} ds, \quad (7.4)$$

where the $\eta \in L^2(\Sigma^{(2)})$ is the unique solution of following equation

$$(1 - C_E)\eta = C_E(I), \quad (7.5)$$

here $C_E: L^2(\Sigma^{(2)}) \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma^{(2)})$ is a integral operator defined by

$$C_E(f)(z) = C_-(f(V^E - I)). \quad (7.6)$$

The Cauchy projection operator C_- on $\Sigma^{(2)}$ is

$$C_-(f)(s) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \Sigma_-^{(2)}} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma^{(2)}} \frac{f(s)}{s - z} ds. \quad (7.7)$$

Then by (7.2) we have

$$\|C_E\| \leq \|C_-\| \|V^E - I\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho_0 t}), \quad (7.8)$$

which means $\|C_E\| < 1$ for sufficiently large t , therefore $1 - C_E$ is invertible, and η exists and is unique. Moreover,

$$\|\eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma^{(2)})} \lesssim \frac{\|C_E\|}{1 - \|C_E\|} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho_0 t}). \quad (7.9)$$

Then we have the existence and boundedness of $E(z)$. In order to reconstruct the solution $q(x, t)$ of (1.1), we need the asymptotic behavior of $E(z)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 8. *For $E(z)$ defined in (7.4), it stratifies*

$$|E(z) - I| \lesssim \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho_0 t}). \quad (7.10)$$

As $z \rightarrow \infty$, the large z expansion of E is

$$E(z) = I + E_1 z^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2}), \quad (7.11)$$

where

$$E_1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma^{(2)}} (I + \eta(s)) (V^E - I) ds, \quad (7.12)$$

satisfying long time asymptotic behavior condition

$$E_1 \lesssim \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho_0 t}). \quad (7.13)$$

Proof. By combining (7.9) and (7.3), we obtain

$$|E(z) - I| \leq |(1 - C_E)(\eta)| + |C_E(\eta)| \lesssim \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho_0 t}). \quad (7.14)$$

As $z \rightarrow \infty$, geometrically expanding $(s - z)^{-1}$ for z large in (7.4) leads to (7.11). Finally for E_1 ,

$$|E_1| \lesssim \|V^E - I\|_{L^1} + \|\eta\|_{L^2} 2 \|V^E - I\|_{L^2} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho_0 t}). \quad (7.15)$$

□

8 Analysis on the pure $\bar{\partial}$ -Problem

Now we consider the asymptotics behavior of $M^{(3)}(z)$. The $\bar{\partial}$ -problem 4 of $M^{(3)}(z)$ is equivalent to the integral equation

$$M^{(3)}(z) = I + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{M^{(3)}(s)W^{(3)}(s)}{z - s} dm(s), \quad (8.1)$$

where $m(s)$ is the Lebesgue measure on the \mathbb{C} . Denote C_z as the left Cauchy-Green integral operator defined by

$$fC_z(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f(s)W^{(3)}(s)}{z - s} dm(s).$$

Then above equation (8.1) can be rewritten as

$$M^{(3)}(z) = I \cdot (I - C_z)^{-1}. \quad (8.2)$$

The existence of operator $(I - C_z)^{-1}$ is given by the following Lemma.

Lemma 3. *The norm of the integral operator C_z decay to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$:*

$$\|C_z\|_{L^\infty \rightarrow L^\infty} \lesssim t^{-1/2}, \quad (8.3)$$

which implies that $(I - C_z)^{-1}$ exists.

Proof. For any $f \in L^\infty$,

$$\|fC_z\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_C \frac{|W^{(3)}(s)|}{|z-s|} dm(s),$$

where $W(s) = M^{(r)}(z)\bar{\partial}R^{(2)}(z)M^{(r)}(z)^{-1}$. So we only need to estimate the integral

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_C \frac{|W(s)|}{|z-s|} dm(s).$$

Since $W(s) \equiv 0$ out of $\bar{\Omega}$, we only need to focus on the estimate

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_\Omega \frac{|W(s)|}{|z-s|} dm(s).$$

Unlike the zero boundary case in [16], here $\det M^{(r)}(z) = 1 + z^{-2}$, and Proposition 8 implies that $|M^{(r)}(z)| \lesssim \sqrt{1 + |z|^{-2}}$. So

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_\Omega \frac{|W(s)|}{|z-s|} dm(s) \lesssim \frac{1}{\pi} \int_\Omega \frac{|\bar{\partial}R^{(2)}(s)|}{|z-s|} \frac{1 + |s|^{-2}}{|1 + s^{-2}|} dm(s). \quad (8.4)$$

Then for $j = 1, 4, 5, 8$, $|M^{(r)}(z)|$ is bounded in Ω_j . But when $z \in \Omega_j$ for $j = 2, 3, 6, 7$, the singularity at $z = \pm i$ need to be treat more carefully. So in following calculation, we take Ω_2 in the second case as an example, because it is more elaborate than Ω_j for $j = 1, 4, 5, 8$. Denote three sub-region of Ω_2 as

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \mathbb{D}(0, 1 - \epsilon_0) \cap \Omega_2, & D_2 &= \mathbb{D}(0, 1 + \epsilon_0) \setminus \mathbb{D}(0, 1 - \epsilon_0) \cap \Omega_2, \\ D_3 &= \Omega_2 \setminus \mathbb{D}(0, 1 + \epsilon_0). \end{aligned} \quad (8.5)$$

Then the integral $\int_{\Omega_2} \frac{|W(s)|}{|z-s|} dm(s)$ is divide to three part:

$$I_i = \int_{D_i} \frac{|\bar{\partial}R^{(2)}(s)|}{|z-s|} \frac{1 + |s|^{-2}}{|1 + s^{-2}|} dm(s), \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (8.6)$$

Let $s = u + vi = re^{i\vartheta}$, $z = x + yi$. In the following calculation, we will use the inequality

$$\| |s-z|^{-1} \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^+)} = \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} \left[\left(\frac{v-y}{u-x} \right)^2 + 1 \right]^{-\frac{q}{2}} d \left(\frac{v-y}{|u-x|} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} |u-x|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim |u-x|^{-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (8.7)$$

with $1 \leq q < +\infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. For $s \in D_3$, $|s| > 1 + \epsilon_0$, then

$$\frac{1 + |s|^{-2}}{|1 + s^{-2}|} < \frac{1 + |s|^2}{|s|^2 - 1} < 1 + \frac{2}{\epsilon_0^2 + 2\epsilon_0} < \infty. \quad (8.8)$$

Then together with (4.14), we have

$$I_3 \lesssim \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{|\bar{\partial} R^{(2)}(s)|}{|z-s|} dm(s) = \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{|\bar{\partial} R_2(s) e^{2it\theta}|}{|z-s|} dm(s). \quad (8.9)$$

Moreover, by **Lemma 1**,

$$|e^{2it\theta}| \leq e^{-c \sin 2\vartheta F(r)^2} \leq e^{-2cuv} \leq e^{-2cu}, \quad (8.10)$$

where c is a positive constant, and the last step follows from

$$v \geq \max \left\{ 1 + \epsilon_0, \frac{u}{\tan \varphi} \right\} \geq 1 + \epsilon_0 > 1.$$

Substitute (4.19) and above inequality into (8.9) and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\lesssim \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\frac{u}{\tan \varphi}}^{+\infty} \frac{|p_2'(ir)| e^{-4cut}}{|z-s|} dv du + \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\frac{u}{\tan \varphi}}^{+\infty} \frac{|r|^{-1/2} e^{-2cut}}{|z-s|} dv du \\ &+ \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\frac{u}{\tan \varphi}}^{+\infty} \frac{|\bar{\partial} X_1(r)| e^{-4cut}}{|z-s|} dv du. \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the first item have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\frac{u}{\tan \varphi}}^{+\infty} \frac{|p_2'(ir)| e^{-4cut}}{|z-s|} dv du \leq \int_0^{+\infty} \|\tilde{\rho}'\|_{L^2(i\mathbb{R})} \| |s-z|^{-1} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} e^{-2cut} du \\ &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2cut} |u-x|^{-\frac{1}{2}} du \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.11)$$

So does the last item. Before we estimating the second item, we consider for $p > 2$,

$$\left(\int_{\frac{u}{\tan \varphi}}^{+\infty} |\sqrt{u^2 + V^{(2)}}|^{-\frac{p}{2}} dv \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\int_{\frac{u}{\sin \varphi}}^{+\infty} |r|^{-\frac{p}{2}+1} v^{-1} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim u^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (8.12)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\frac{u}{\tan \varphi}}^{+\infty} \frac{|r|^{-1/2} e^{-2cut}}{|z-s|} dv du &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \| |r| \|_{L^p_v(\frac{u}{\tan \varphi}, +\infty)} \| |s-z|^{-1} \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^+)} e^{-2cut} du \\ &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2cut} |u-x|^{-\frac{1}{p}} u^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}} du \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.13)$$

Combing above inequality we final have $I_3 \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. As for I_2 , the singularity at i can be balanced by (4.20), and recall that $1 > \epsilon_0 > 0$ with $(1 - \epsilon_0) \cos \varphi > \frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim \int_0^2 \int_{1/2}^2 \frac{e^{-2cut}}{|z-s|} \frac{1+|s|^2}{|s+i|} dv du \lesssim \int_0^2 \int_{1/2}^2 \frac{e^{-2cut}}{|z-s|} dv du \\ &\lesssim \int_0^2 |u-x|^{-1/2} e^{-2cut} du \lesssim |t|^{-1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.14)$$

Finally, consider I_1 , similarly we have

$$I_1 \lesssim \int_0^{1-\epsilon_0} \int_u^{1-\epsilon_0} (|p'_2(ir)| + |r|^{-1/2} + |\bar{\partial} X_1(r)|) \frac{e^{-2cut}}{|z-s|} dv du, \quad (8.15)$$

which can be estimated same as I_3 . So the proof is completed. \square

As $z \rightarrow \infty$, $M^{(3)}(z)$ has asymptotic expansion:

$$M^{(3)}(z) = I - M_1^{(3)}(x, t)z + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2}), \quad (8.16)$$

where $M_1^{(3)}$ is a z -independent coefficient. The asymptotic behavior of $M_1^{(3)}$ given by following Proposition.

Proposition 9. *As $z \rightarrow \infty$, the expansion above holds with*

$$M_1^{(3)}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_C M^{(3)}(s) W^{(3)}(s) dm(s). \quad (8.17)$$

There exist constants T_1 , such that for all $t > T_1$, $M_1^{(3)}(x, t)$ satisfies

$$|M_1^{(3)}(x, t)| \lesssim t^{-3/4}. \quad (8.18)$$

Proof. Lemma 3 and (8.2) implies that for large t , $\|M^{(3)}(z)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 1$. The proof proceeds along the same lines as the proof of above Proposition. For same reason, we only estimate the integral on Ω_2 . Like in the above Proposition,

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Omega_2} M^{(3)}(s)W^{(3)}(s)dm(s) \lesssim \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Omega_2} |\bar{\partial}R_2(s)e^{2it\theta}| \frac{1+|s|^{-2}}{|1+s^{-2}|} dm(s). \quad (8.19)$$

Let $s = u + vi = re^{i\theta}$. And we also divide right integral of above inequality to three part

$$I_{i+3} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D_i} |\bar{\partial}R_2(s)e^{2it\theta}| \frac{1+|s|^{-2}}{|1+s^{-2}|} dm(s). \quad (8.20)$$

For I_4 , $\frac{1+|s|^{-2}}{|1+s^{-2}|} < \infty$, so

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\lesssim \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\frac{u}{\tan \varphi}}^{+\infty} |p'_2(ir)| e^{-2cuvt} dvdu + \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\frac{u}{\tan \varphi}}^{+\infty} |r|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2cuvt} dvdu \\ &\quad + \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\frac{u}{\tan \varphi}}^{+\infty} |\bar{\partial}X_1(r)| e^{-2cuvt} dvdu. \end{aligned} \quad (8.21)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\frac{u}{\tan \varphi}}^{+\infty} e^{-2cuvt} dv \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &= \left(\int_{\frac{u}{\tan \varphi}}^{+\infty} e^{-2cuvt} d(2cuvt) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} (2cutq)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\lesssim e^{-c'u^2t} (ut)^{-\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (8.22)$$

where c' is a positive constant. Then the first integral in (8.21) have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\frac{u}{\tan \varphi}}^{+\infty} |p'_2(ir)| e^{-2cuvt} dvdu \\ &\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{+\infty} \|\tilde{\rho}'\|_{L^2(i\mathbb{R})} u^{-\frac{1}{q}} e^{-c'u^2t} du \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral can be bounded in same way. To estimate the second item, we also use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for $4 > p > 2$ and $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\frac{u}{\tan \varphi}}^{+\infty} |r|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2cuvt} dvdu \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{q}} \int_0^{+\infty} u^{\frac{2}{p}-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-c'u^2t} du \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4}}. \quad (8.23)$$

The bound for I_4 follows in the same manner as for I_6 . Turning to I_5 , we also use $|\bar{\partial}R_2(z)| \lesssim |z - i|$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &\lesssim \int_{D_2} \frac{e^{-2cut}}{|z - s|} \frac{1 + |s|^2}{|s + i|} dm(s) \lesssim \int_{1/2}^2 \int_u^2 e^{-2cuvt} dv du \\ &= \int_{1/2}^2 (cut)^{-1} \left(e^{-2cu^2t} - e^{-4cut} \right) du \lesssim t^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.24)$$

This estimate is strong enough to obtain the result. \square

9 Asymptotic for the DNLS equation

Now we begin to construct the long time asymptotics of the DNLS equation (1.1). Inverting the sequence of transformations (3.14), (4.35), (5.6) and (6.7), we have

$$M(z) = T(\infty)^{\sigma_3} M^{(3)}(z) E(z) M_{\Lambda}^{(r)}(z) R^{(2)}(z)^{-1} T(z)^{-\sigma_3}. \quad (9.1)$$

To reconstruct the solution $q(x, t)$ by using (2.46), we take $z \rightarrow \infty$ out of $\bar{\Omega}$. In this case, $R^{(2)}(z) = I$. Further using Propositions 4, 8 and 9, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} M(z) &= T(\infty)^{\sigma_3} \left(I + M_1^{(3)}(z) z^{-1} \right) E(z) M_{\Lambda}^{(r)}(z) \\ &\quad T(\infty)^{-\sigma_3} \left(1 + z^{-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log(1 - \rho(s) \tilde{\rho}(s)) ds \right)^{-\sigma_3} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2}), \end{aligned} \quad (9.2)$$

whose admits long time asymptotics

$$\begin{aligned} M(z) &= T(\infty)^{\sigma_3} M_{\Lambda}^{(r)}(z) T(\infty)^{-\sigma_3} \left(1 + z^{-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log(1 - \rho(s) \tilde{\rho}(s)) ds \right)^{-\sigma_3} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2}) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/4}). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.47),

$$\begin{aligned} |m(x, t)| &= \left| \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z [M(z)]_{12} \right| = |T(\infty)^{-2}| \left| \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z \left[M_{\Lambda}^{(r)}(z) \right]_{12} \right| \\ &\quad + \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \left[M_{\Lambda}^{(r)}(z) \right]_{12} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log(1 - \rho(s) \tilde{\rho}(s)) ds + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/4}) \\ &= |q_{\Lambda}^r(x, t)| + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/4}), \end{aligned} \quad (9.3)$$

where $q_\Lambda^r(x, t)$ is given in Corollary 2. Then from (2.48),

$$\begin{aligned} q(x, t) &= \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x (|m(x, t)|^2 - 1) dy \right\} m(x, t) \\ &= \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x (|q_\Lambda^r(x, t)|^2 - 1) dy \right\} T(\infty)^{-2} q_\Lambda^r(x, t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/4}). \end{aligned} \quad (9.4)$$

Therefore, we achieve main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *Let $q(x, t)$ be the solution for the initial-value problem (1.1) with generic data $u_0(x) \in H^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ and scattering data $\{r(z), \{\zeta_n, C_n\}_{n=1}^{4N_1+2N_2}\}$. Let $\xi = \frac{x}{t}$ with $-3 < \xi < -1$. Denote $q_\Lambda^r(x, t)$ be the $\mathcal{N}(\Lambda)$ -soliton solution corresponding to scattering data $\{0, \{\zeta_n, \check{c}_n\}_{n \in \Lambda}\}$ shown in Corollary 2. And Λ is defined in (3.2). There exist a large constant $T_1 = T_1(\xi)$, for all $T_1 < t \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$q(x, t) = \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x (|q_\Lambda^r(x, t)|^2 - 1) dy \right\} T(\infty)^{-2} q_\Lambda^r(x, t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/4}), \quad (9.5)$$

where $u_\Lambda^r(x, t)$ and $T(z)$ are show in Propositions 4 and Corollary 2 respectively.

The long time asymptotic expansion (9.5) shows the soliton resolution of for the initial value problem of the the derivative nonlinear schrödinger equation, which can be characterized with an $\mathcal{N}(\Lambda)$ -soliton whose parameters are modulated by a sum of localized soliton-soliton interactions . Our results also show that the poles on curve soliton solutions of short-pulse equation has dominant contribution to the solution as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11671095, 51879045).

References

- [1] S.V. Manakov, Nonlinear Fraunhofer diffraction, *Sov. Phys.-JETP* 38(1974), 693-696.
- [2] V. E. Zakharov, S. V. Manakov, Asymptotic behavior of nonlinear wave systems integrated by the inverse scattering method, *Soviet Physics JETP*, 44(1976), 106-112.
- [3] P. C. Schuur, Asymptotic analysis of soliton products, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1232, 1986.
- [4] R. F. Bikbaev, Asymptotic-behavior as t -infinity of the solution to the cauchy-problem for the landau-lifshitz equation, *Theor. Math. Phys*, 77(1988), 1117-1123.
- [5] R. F. Bikbaev, Soliton generation for initial-boundary-value problems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 68(1992), 3117-3120.
- [6] X. Zhou, P. Deift, A steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems. *Ann. Math.*, 137(1993), 295-368.
- [7] X. Zhou, P. Deift, Long-time behavior of the non-focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation—a case study, *Lectures in Mathematical Sciences*, Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 1994.
- [8] P. Deift, X. Zhou, Long-time asymptotics for solutions of the NLS equation with initial data in a weighted Sobolev space, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 56(2003), 1029-1077.
- [9] K. Grunert, G. Teschl, Long-time asymptotics for the Korteweg de Vries equation via nonlinear steepest descent. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, 12(2009), 287-324.

- [10] A. B. de Monvel, A. Kostenko, D. Shepelsky, G. Teschl, Long-time asymptotics for the Camassa-Holm equation., *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 41(2009), 1559-1588.
- [11] J. Xu, E. G. Fan, Long-time asymptotics for the Fokas-Lenells equation with decaying initial value problem: Without solitons, *J. Differential Equations*, 259(2015), 1098-1148.
- [12] J. Xu, Long-time asymptotics for the short pulse equation, *J. Differential Equations*, 265(2018), 3494-3532.
- [13] K. T. R. McLaughlin, P. D. Miller, The $\bar{\partial}$ steepest descent method and the asymptotic behavior of polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle with fixed and exponentially varying non-analytic weights, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (2006), Art. ID 48673.
- [14] K. T. R. McLaughlin, P. D. Miller, The $\bar{\partial}$ steepest descent method for orthogonal polynomials on the real line with varying weights, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (2008), Art. ID 075.
- [15] M. Dieng, K. D. T. McLaughlin, Dispersive asymptotics for linear and integrable equations by the Dbar steepest descent method, *Nonlinear dispersive partial differential equations and inverse scattering*, 253-291, Fields Inst. Commun., 83, Springer, New York, 2019
- [16] M. Borghese, R. Jenkins, K. T. R. McLaughlin, Miller P, Long-time asymptotic behavior of the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Ann. I. H. Poincaré Anal*, 35(2018), 887-920.
- [17] R. Jenkins, J. Liu, P. Perry, C. Sulem, Soliton resolution for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 363(2018), 1003-1049.

- [18] S. Cuccagna, R. Jenkins, On asymptotic stability of N-solitons of the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 343(2016), 921-969.
- [19] D. J. Kaup and A. C. Newell, An exact solution for a Derivative Nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Math. Phys.*, 19(1978), 798-801.
- [20] A. Rogister, Parallel propagation of nonlinear low-frequency waves in high- β plasma, *Phys. Fluids.*, 14(1971), 2733-2739.
- [21] E. Mjølhus, On the modulational instability of hydromagnetic waves parallel to the magnetic field, *J. Plasma Phys.*, 16(1976), 321-334.
- [22] K. Mio, T. Ogino, K. Minami, S. Takeda, Modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation for Alfvén waves propagating along the magnetic field in cold plasmas, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, 41(1976), 265-271.
- [23] E. Mjølhus, Nonlinear Alfvén waves and the DNLS equation: oblique aspects, *Phys. Scr.*, 40(1989), 227-237.
- [24] E. Mjølhus, T. Hada, in: T. Hada, H. Matsumoto (Eds.), Nonlinear Waves and Chaos in Space Plasmas, *Terrapub, Tokio*, (1997).
- [25] G. P. Agrawal, Nonlinear Fiber Optics. *Academic Press, Boston*, 1989.
- [26] D. Anderson and M. Lisak, Nonlinear Asymmetric Self-phase modulation and self-steepening of pulses in long Optical Waveguides, *Phys. Rev. A.*, 27(1983), 1393-1398.
- [27] N. Tzoar and M. Jain, Self-phase Modulation in long-geometry optical waveguide, *Phys. Rev. A.*, 23(1981), 1266-1270.
- [28] I. Nakata, Weak nonlinear electromagnetic waves in a ferromagnet propagating parallel to an external magnetic field, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, 60(1991), 3976-3977.

- [29] I. Nakata, H. One and M. Yosida, Solitons in a dielectric medium under an external magnetic field, *Prog. Theor. Phys.*, 90(1993), 739-742.
- [30] M. Daniel, V. Veerakumar, Propagation of electromagnetic soliton in anti-ferromagnetic medium, *Phys. Lett. A*, 302(2002), 77-86.
- [31] G. Q. Zhou, N. N. Huang An N-soliton solution to the DNLS equation based on revised inverse scattering transform, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 40(2007), 13607.
- [32] T. Kawata, H. Inoue, Exact solutions of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation under the nonvanishing conditions, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, 44(1978), 1968-1976.
- [33] X. J. Chen, W. K. Lam, Inverse scattering transform for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonvanishing boundary conditions, *Phys. Rev. E*, 69(2004), 066604.
- [34] X. J. Chen, J. Yang, W. K. Lam, N-soliton solution for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonvanishing boundary conditions, *J. Phys. A*, 39(2006), 3263.
- [35] V. Lashkin, N-soliton solution and perturbation theory for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonvanishing boundary conditions, *J. Phys. A*, 40(2007), 6119.
- [36] G. Zhang, Z. Yan, The Derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with zero/Nonzero Bboundary conditions: inverse scattering transforms and N-double-pole solutions, *J. Non. Sci.*, 2(2002).
- [37] J. Xu and E. G. Fan, Inverse scattering for the derivative nonlinear Schrodinger equation: A Riemann-Hilbert approach, arXiv:1209.4245v1.

- [38] J. Xu, E. Fan, Y. Chen, Long-time asymptotic for for the derivative non-linear Schrödinger equation with step-like initial value, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geometry*, 16(2013), 253-288.