

TOPOLOGICAL PRESSURE OF FREE SEMIGROUP ACTIONS FOR NON-COMPACT SETS AND BOWEN'S EQUATION, II

QIAN XIAO AND DONGKUI MA*

ABSTRACT. Inspired to the work of Ma and Wu [11] and Climenhaga [6], we introduce the new notion of topological pressure of a semigroup of maps by using the Carathéodory-Pesin structure (C-P structure) with respect to arbitrary subset in this paper. Moreover, by Bowen's equation, we characterize the Hausdorff dimension of an arbitrary subset, where the points of the subset have the positive lower Lyapunov exponents and satisfy a so called tempered contraction condition.

1. Introduction

Topological pressure, as a natural extension of topological entropy, plays a fundamental role in dynamical systems. Ruelle [19] and Walters [25] brought the concept of topological pressure and it was further developed by Pesin and Pitskel [14, 15]. Pesin [14] use the “dimension” approach to the notion of topological pressure which is based on the Carathéodory structure. which we called it Carathéodory-Pesin structure (namely, C-P structure). It is a very powerful tool to study dimension theory and dynamical systems.

Bowen's equation plays a key role in dynamical systems, which is a bridge between fractals and dynamical systems. For a long time, people have done a lot of research on Bowen's equation. Bowen [4] first investigated the relationship between topological pressure and Hausdorff dimension. He showed that, for a certain compact set $J \subset \mathbb{C}$ which is invariant set of fractional linear transformations f of the Riemann sphere, the Hausdorff dimension $t = \dim_H J$ is the unique root of the equation (namely, Bowen's equation)

$$(1.1) \quad P_J(-t\varphi) = 0,$$

where P_J is the topological pressure of the map $f : J \rightarrow J$, and $\varphi(z) = \log |f'(z)|$. When f is a $C^{1+\varepsilon}$ conformal map on a Riemann manifold and J is a repeller, Ruelle [19] showed that Bowen's equation(1.1) yields the Hausdorff dimension of J . Gatzouras and Peres [18] further extended the result to the case where f is C^1 . Rugh [20] redefined the conformal map and confirmed that the result remains valid as X is a metric space (not necessarily a manifold). Climenhaga [6] characterized the relationship between the Hausdorff dimension of certain sets Z and topological pressure on Z , whose point has positive lower Lyapunov exponents and satisfies tempered contraction condition. By the Bowen's equation, there exists extensive

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37B40, 37C45, 37C85.

Key words and phrases. Free semigroup actions, Topological pressure, Hausdorff dimension, Bowen's equation.

* Corresponding author.

literature that studied the settings of Julia sets and non-conformal repellers (see, for example, [1, 5, 7, 12, 13, 16, 17, 22–24]).

The above related results are focused on a single maps. Later, Bufetov [3] and Biś [2] respectively proposed two kinds of topological entropies to a semigroup action generated by finite continuous maps. Moreover, Ma and Wu [11] adopt C-P structure to derive the idea of topological entropy and topological pressure on any subsets, which generalized the definition of Biś [2]. Later, Lin et al [10] provided the notion of topological pressure for a free semigroup action on a compact metric space, which is extended the result of Bufetov [3]. Then Ju et al. [9] extended this concept to arbitrary subsets by using C-P structure and gave some properties and applications. On the basis of the notion of Ju, Ma and Wang [9], Xiao and Ma [26] introduced the concept of topological pressure of free semigroup actions on arbitrary subsets. Furthermore, inspired by the results of Climenhaga [6], Xiao and Ma [26] gave the relationship between the topological pressure on $Z \subset X$ and the Hausdorff dimension of a certain subset Z , whose points have positive lower Lyapunov exponents and satisfy a tempered contraction condition.

Motivated by Ma and Wu [11], we give the new notion of topological pressure of a semigroup of continuous maps with finite functions on arbitrary subset of X by using C-P structure. Meanwhile, we also obtain some properties of it. Furthermore, in the meaning of the new topological pressure defined in this paper, we give the relationship between the Hausdorff dimension of a certain subset Z and the topological pressure on $Z \subset X$ by Bowen equation.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review some preliminaries. In section 3, we give the new definitions of the topological pressure and lower and upper capacity topological pressures of a semigroup by using the C-P structure. Moreover, the equivalent definition is given in an alternative way and several of their properties are provided. In section 4, we show the Theorem 4.1.

2. Preliminaries

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space. Consider a semigroup G of continuous transformations of X into itself. The semigroup G is assumed to be finitely generated, namely, there exists a finite set $G_1 = \{id_X, f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}\}$ such that $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$, where $G_n = \{g_1 \circ \dots \circ g_n : g_1, \dots, g_n \in G_1\}$. Obviously, $G_m \subset G_n$ for all $m \leq n$.

Let F_k^+ be the set of all finite words of alphabet $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$. For any $w \in F_k^+$, $|w|$ stands for the length of w , that is, the number of symbols in w . Denote $F_k^+(n) = \{w \in F_k^+ : |w| = n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Obviously, F_k^+ with respect to the law of composition is a free semigroup with k generators. We write $w' \leq w$ if there exists a word $w'' \in F_k^+$ such that $w = w''w'$. For $w = i_1 \dots i_n \in F_k^+$, denote $\bar{w} = i_n \dots i_1$. Given a real number $\delta > 0$, $w \in F_k^+$ and a point $x \in X$, define the (w, δ) -Bowen ball at x by

$$B_w(x, \delta) = \{y \in X : d(f_w(x), f_w(y)) < \delta, \text{ for } w' \leq \bar{w}\}.$$

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\mathcal{P}(Z, r)$ denote the collection of countable open covers $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of Z for which $\text{diam}U_i < r$ for all i . Given a subset $Z \subset X, t \geq 0$, define

$$\mathcal{H}(Z, t, r) = \inf_{\mathcal{P}(Z, r)} \left\{ \sum_{U_i \in \mathcal{P}(Z, r)} (\text{diam}(U_i))^t \right\}.$$

As r decreases, $\mathcal{H}(Z, t, r)$ increases. Therefore, there exists the limit

$$\mathcal{H}(Z, t) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}(Z, t, r).$$

We call $\mathcal{H}(Z, t)$ the t -dimensional Hausdorff measure of Z . Moreover, there is a critical value of t at which $\mathcal{H}(Z, t)$ jumps from ∞ to 0, which is called the Hausdorff dimension of Z . For details, see [8]. Formally,

$$\dim_H(Z) = \inf\{t > 0 : \mathcal{H}(Z, t) = 0\} = \sup\{t > 0 : \mathcal{H}(Z, t) = \infty\}.$$

One may equivalently define Hausdorff dimension of using covers by open balls rather than arbitrary open sets. Let $\mathcal{P}^b(Z, r)$ denote the collection of countable open balls covers $\{B(x_i, r_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of Z with $x_i \in Z$ and $r_i < r$ for all i , and then define

$$\mathcal{H}^b(Z, t, r) = \inf_{\mathcal{P}^b(Z, r)} \left\{ \sum_{B(x_i, r_i) \in \mathcal{P}^b(Z, r)} (\text{diam} B(x_i, r_i))^t \right\}.$$

Finally, using the same procedure as above we can also define $\mathcal{H}^b(Z, t)$ and $\dim_H^b Z$. Furthermore, we have known $\dim_H^b Z = \dim_H Z$ from [6, 8].

3. Topological pressure and lower and upper capacity topological pressures of a free semigroup action and their properties

3.1. Topological pressure and lower and upper capacity topological pressures. Let X be a compact metric space with metric d . Given $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{k-1} \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$, denote $\Phi = \{\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{k-1}\}$. Let $w = i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \in F_k^+$ and $f_w = f_{i_1} \circ f_{i_2} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_n}$, where $i_j = 2, \dots, k$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. Obviously, $f_{ww'} = f_w f_{w'}$. For $w = i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \in F_k^+$, denote

$$S_w \Phi(x) := \varphi_{i_1}(x) + \varphi_{i_2}(f_{i_1}(x)) + \dots + \varphi_{i_n}(f_{i_{n-1} i_{n-2} \dots i_1}(x)).$$

Define a new metric d_n on X by

$$d_n(x, y) = \max\{d(g(x), g(y)) : g \in G_n\}.$$

Clearly, if $n_1 \leq n_2$, then $d_{n_1}(x_1, x_2) \leq d_{n_2}(x_1, x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

Considering a real number $\delta > 0$ and a point $x \in X$, we can define the (n, δ) -Bowen ball at x by

$$B_n(x, \delta) = \{y \in X : d_n(x, y) < \delta\}.$$

Given $Z \subset X, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N > 0$, define

$$M(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta, N) := \inf_{\mathcal{G}} \left\{ \sum_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}} \exp \left(-\alpha \cdot n + \sup_{y \in B_n(x, \delta)} \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(y) \right) \right\},$$

where the infimum runs over all finite or countable subcollections $\mathcal{G} = \{B_n(x, \delta)\}$ such that $x \in X, n \geq N$ and $\bigcup_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}} B_n(x, \delta) \supset Z$.

We can easily verify that the function $M(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta, N)$ is non-decreasing as N increases. Therefore, there exists the limit

$$m(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} M(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta, N).$$

Moreover, we can also define

$$R(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta, N) = \inf_{\mathcal{G}_N} \left\{ \sum_{B_N(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}_N} \exp \left(-\alpha \cdot N + \sup_{y \in B_N(x, \delta)} \frac{1}{k^N} \sum_{|w|=N} S_w \Phi(y) \right) \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite or countable subcollections \mathcal{G}_N covering Z (i.e., $\bigcup_{B_N(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}_N} B_N(x, \delta) \supset Z$).

Let

$$\underline{r}(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta) = \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} R(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta, N),$$

$$\bar{r}(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta) = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} R(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta, N).$$

By the construction of C-P structure (for details see [14]), there exist unique critical values respectively such that the quantities $m(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta)$, $\underline{r}(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta)$, $\bar{r}(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta)$ jump from ∞ to 0. We denote them as $P_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta)$, $\underline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta)$, and $\overline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta)$ respectively. Accordingly, we have that

$$P_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta) = \inf\{\alpha : m(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta) = 0\} = \sup\{\alpha : m(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta) = \infty\},$$

$$\underline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta) = \inf\{\alpha : \underline{r}(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta) = 0\} = \sup\{\alpha : \underline{r}(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta) = \infty\},$$

$$\overline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta) = \inf\{\alpha : \bar{r}(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta) = 0\} = \sup\{\alpha : \bar{r}(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta) = \infty\}.$$

Similar to the argument of Pesin [14] and Ma and Wu [11], the limits $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} P_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta)$, $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \underline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta)$, $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \overline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta)$ exist respectively.

Definition 3.1. For any set $Z \subset X$, we call the following quantities:

$$P_Z(G_1, \Phi) := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} P_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta),$$

$$\underline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi) := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \underline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta),$$

$$\overline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi) := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \overline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta),$$

the topological pressure and lower and upper capacity topological pressures on the set Z respectively.

Remark 3.1. (1) It is easy to see that $P_Z(G_1, \Phi) \leq \underline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi) \leq \overline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi)$.

(2) If $\varphi_0 = \dots = \varphi_{k-1} = \varphi$, it is easy to verify $P_Z(G_1, \Phi) \leq P_Z(G_1, \varphi)$, where $P_Z(G_1, \varphi)$ is defined by Ma and Wu [11].

(3) When $\varphi_0 = \dots = \varphi_{k-1} = 0$, it is the topological entropy $h_Z(G_1)$ defined by Ma and Wu [11].

3.2. Equivalent definition by using the center of Bowen's ball.

Given $Z \subset X$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N > 0$, we can define new functions as follows

$$M'(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta, N) = \inf_{\mathcal{G}} \left\{ \sum_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}} \exp \left(-\alpha \cdot n + \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(x) \right) \right\}$$

where the infimum runs over all finite or countable subcollections $\mathcal{G} = \{B_n(x, \delta) : x \in Z, n \geq N\}$ such that $\bigcup_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}} B_n(x, \delta) \supset Z$, and

$$R'(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta, N) = \inf_{\mathcal{G}_N} \left\{ \sum_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}_N} \exp \left(-\alpha \cdot N + \frac{1}{k^N} \sum_{|w|=N} S_w \Phi(x) \right) \right\},$$

where the infimum runs over all finite or countable subcollections $\mathcal{G}_N = \{B_n(x, \delta) : x \in Z\}$ such that $\bigcup_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}_N} B_n(x, \delta) \supset Z$.

By the same procedure as above, we can get $m'(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta)$, $r'(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta)$ and $\overline{r'}(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta)$ respectively. Finally, for any $Z \subset X$, we denote the respective critical values by

$$P'_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta), \underline{CP}'_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta), \overline{CP}'_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta).$$

Theorem 3.1. *For any set $Z \subset X$, the following hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} P_Z(G_1, \Phi) &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} P'_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta), \\ \underline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi) &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \underline{CP}'_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta), \\ \overline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi) &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \overline{CP}'_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Our approach is inspired by the work of Pesin in [14]. Firstly, given $\delta > 0$, let

$$\varepsilon(\delta) := \sup\{|\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_i(y)| : d(x, y) < \delta, i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1\}.$$

Owing to the fact that $\varphi_i \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ and X is compact, φ_i is uniformly continuous. Hence $\varepsilon(\delta)$ is finite and $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon(\delta) = 0$. Moreover, for any $y \in B_n(x, \delta)$, $|w| = n$, we have

$$|S_w \Phi(x) - S_w \Phi(y)| \leq n\varepsilon(\delta).$$

Consider the collection $\mathcal{G} = \{B_n(x, \delta) : x \in Z, n \geq N\}$ such that $\bigcup_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}} B_n(x, \delta) \supset Z$, then

$$\begin{aligned} M(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta, N) &\leq \sum_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}} \exp \left(-\alpha n + \sup_{y \in B_n(x, \delta)} \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(y) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}} \exp \left(-\alpha n + \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(x) + n\varepsilon(\delta) \right) \\ &= \sum_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}} \exp \left(-n(\alpha - \varepsilon(\delta)) + \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can get

$$M(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta, N) \leq M'(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha - \varepsilon(\delta), \delta, N).$$

Taking the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$m(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta) \leq m'(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha - \varepsilon(\delta), \delta).$$

Therefore,

$$P_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta) \leq P'_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta) + \varepsilon(\delta),$$

and as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, that is, $\varepsilon(\delta) \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$P_Z(G_1, \Phi) \leq \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} P'_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta).$$

Next, choosing $\mathcal{G} = \{B_n(x, \delta/2) : x \in X, n \geq N\}$ such that $\bigcup_{B_n(x, \delta/2) \in \mathcal{G}} B_n(x, \delta/2) \supset Z$, we may assume without loss of generality that for every $B_n(x, \delta/2) \in \mathcal{G}$, we have $B_n(x, \delta/2) \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. Thus, for each such $B_n(x, \delta/2)$, we choose $y \in B_n(x, \delta/2) \cap Z$; we see that $B_n(x, \delta/2) \subset B_n(y, \delta)$. Set $\mathcal{G}' = \{B_n(y, \delta) : y \in B_n(x, \delta/2) \cap Z\}$, then $\bigcup_{B_n(y, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}'} B_n(y, \delta) \supset Z$ and we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & M(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta/2, N) \\ &= \inf_{\mathcal{G}} \left\{ \sum_{B_n(x, \delta/2) \in \mathcal{G}} \exp \left(-\alpha \cdot n + \sup_{z \in B_n(x, \delta/2)} \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(z) \right) \right\} \\ &\geq \inf_{\mathcal{G}'} \left\{ \sum_{B_n(y, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}'} \exp \left(-\alpha \cdot n + \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(y) - n\varepsilon(\delta) \right) \right\} \\ &\geq M'(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha + \varepsilon(\delta), \delta, N). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$m(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta/2) \geq m'(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha + \varepsilon(\delta), \delta).$$

As a result,

$$P_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta/2) \geq P'_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta) - \varepsilon(\delta),$$

and taking the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$ gives

$$P_Z(G, \Phi) \geq \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} P'_Z(G, \Phi, \delta),$$

which finishes the proof of the first. The existence of the two other limits can be proved in a similar way. \square

3.3. Properties of topological pressure and lower and upper capacity topological pressures.

The following basic properties of topological pressure and lower and upper capacity topological pressures of a semigroup can be verified directly from the basic properties of the Carathéodory-Pesin dimension [14] and definitions.

Proposition 3.1. (1) $P_\emptyset(G_1, \Phi) \leq 0$.

(2) $P_{Z_1}(G_1, \Phi) \leq P_{Z_2}(G_1, \Phi)$, if $Z_1 \subset Z_2$.

(3) $P_Z(G_1, \Phi) = \sup_{i \geq 1} P_{Z_i}(G_1, \Phi)$, where $Z = \cup_{i \geq 1} Z_i$ and $Z_i \subset X, i = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proposition 3.2. (1) $\underline{CP}_\emptyset(G_1, \Phi) \leq 0, \overline{CP}_\emptyset(G_1, \Phi) \leq 0$.

(2) $\underline{CP}_{Z_1}(G_1, \Phi) \leq \underline{CP}_{Z_2}(G_1, \Phi)$ and $\overline{CP}_{Z_1}(G_1, \Phi) \leq \overline{CP}_{Z_2}(G_1, \Phi)$, if $Z_1 \subset Z_2$.

(3) $\underline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi) \geq \sup_{i \geq 1} \underline{CP}_{Z_i}(G_1, \Phi)$ and $\overline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi) \geq \sup_{i \geq 1} \overline{CP}_{Z_i}(G_1, \Phi)$, where

$Z = \cup_{i \geq 1} Z_i$ and $Z_i \subset X, i = 1, 2, \dots$.

(4) If $g : X \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism which commutes with G_1 (i.e., $f_i \circ g = g \circ f_i$, for all $f_i \in G_1$), then

$$\begin{aligned} P_Z(G_1, \Phi) &= P_{g(Z)}(G_1, \Phi \circ g^{-1}), \\ \underline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi) &= \underline{CP}_{g(Z)}(G_1, \Phi \circ g^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\overline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi) = \overline{CP}_{g(Z)}(G_1, \Phi \circ g^{-1}),$$

where $\Phi \circ g^{-1} = \{\varphi_0 \circ g^{-1}, \dots, \varphi_{k-1} \circ g^{-1}\}$.

We also point out the *continuity property of topological pressure and lower and upper capacity topological pressures*.

Theorem 3.2. *If $\Phi = \{\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{k-1}\}$ and $\Psi = \{\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{k-1}\}$, we have*

$$|P_Z(G_1, \Phi) - P_Z(G_1, \Psi)| \leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \|\varphi_i - \psi_i\|,$$

$$|\underline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi) - \underline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Psi)| \leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \|\varphi_i - \psi_i\|,$$

$$|\overline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Phi) - \overline{CP}_Z(G_1, \Psi)| \leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \|\varphi_i - \psi_i\|,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the supremum norm in the space of continuous functions on X .

Proof. Note that for any $w \in F_k^+$, $x \in X$,

$$S_w \Phi(x) - S_w \Psi(x) \leq |w| \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \|\varphi_i - \psi_i\|,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(x) - \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Psi(x) \leq n \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \|\varphi_i - \psi_i\|.$$

Therefore,

$$M'(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta, N) \leq M'(Z, G_1, \Psi, \alpha - \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \|\varphi_i - \psi_i\|, \delta, N).$$

Thus,

$$M'(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta, N) \leq M'(Z, G_1, \Psi, \alpha - \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \|\varphi_i - \psi_i\|, \delta, N).$$

Taking limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$m'(Z, G_1, \Phi, \alpha, \delta) \leq m'(Z, G_1, \Psi, \alpha - \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \|\varphi_i - \psi_i\|, \delta).$$

Therefore,

$$P'_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta) \leq P'_Z(G_1, \Psi, \delta) + \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \|\varphi_i - \psi_i\|.$$

Similarly, we can also get

$$P'_Z(G_1, \Phi, \delta) \geq P'_Z(G_1, \Psi, \delta) - \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \|\varphi_i - \psi_i\|.$$

Let $\delta \rightarrow 0$, and we obtain

$$P_Z(G_1, \Psi) - \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \|\varphi_i - \psi_i\| \leq P_Z(G_1, \Phi) \leq P_Z(G_1, \Psi) + \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \|\varphi_i - \psi_i\|,$$

which establishes the first inequality. \square

4. Bowen's equation

In this section, using the topological pressure defined in Section 3.1, we give a qualitative characterization of the Hausdorff dimension of the set Z , whose points have the positive lower Lyapunov exponents and satisfy a tempered contraction condition.

Definition 4.1. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ a continuous map. A continuous map $f : X \rightarrow X$ is called *conformal* with factor $a(x)$ if for every $x \in X$, we have

$$a(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{d(f(x), f(y))}{d(x, y)},$$

where $a : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous.

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and G be a semigroup of continuous transformations of X generated by G_1 , where $G_1 = \{id_X, f_0, \dots, f_{k-1}\}$ and f_0, \dots, f_{k-1} are conformal with factor $a_i(x)$, $i = 0, \dots, k-1$. Set $\Phi = \{\log a_0, \dots, \log a_{k-1}\}$.

For $w = i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \in F_k^+$, denote

$$S_w \Phi(x) := \log a_{i_1}(x) + \log a_{i_2}(f_{i_1}(x)) + \dots + \log a_{i_n}(f_{i_{n-1} i_{n-2} \dots i_1}(x))$$

and

$$\lambda_w(x) = \frac{1}{|w|} S_w \Phi(x),$$

where

$$f_{i_{n-1} i_{n-2} \dots i_1} := f_{i_{n-1}} \circ f_{i_{n-2}} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_1}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n(x) &:= \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(x) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\sum_{i_1 \in F_k^+(1)} \varphi_{i_1}(x)}{k} + \frac{\sum_{i_1 i_2 \in F_k^+(2)} \varphi_{i_2}(f_{i_1}(x))}{k^2} + \dots + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\sum_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n-1} \in F_k^+(n-1)} \varphi_{i_{n-1}}(f_{i_{n-2} \dots i_1}(x))}{k^{n-1}} + \frac{\sum_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \in F_k^+(n)} \varphi_{i_n}(f_{i_{n-1} \dots i_1}(x))}{k^n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to obtain

$$\lambda_n(x) = \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} \lambda_w(x).$$

Set

$$\underline{\lambda}(x) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n(x),$$

and

$$\overline{\lambda}(x) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n(x),$$

then we call $\underline{\lambda}(x)$ and $\overline{\lambda}(x)$ the *lower and upper Lyapunov exponents* of the semigroup G at x . If the two are equal, their common value is called the *Lyapunov exponent* at x :

$$\lambda(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n(x).$$

Given $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, let $\mathcal{A}(E)$ be the set of points along whose orbits all the asymptotic exponential expansion rates of the G lie in E :

$$\mathcal{A}(E) = \{x \in X : [\underline{\lambda}(x), \overline{\lambda}(x)] \subseteq E\}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{A}((0, \infty))$ is the set of all points for which $\underline{\lambda}(x) > 0$ and $\mathcal{A}(\alpha) = \mathcal{A}(\{\alpha\})$.

In [6], Climenhaga introduced the so-called tempered contraction condition. On the base of [6], we propose the following so-called *tempered contraction condition*:

$$(4.1) \quad \inf_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ w' \in F_k^+(m) \\ 0 < m \leq n}} \left\{ \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(x) - S_{w'} \Phi(x) + n\varepsilon \right\} > -\infty, \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Denote \mathcal{B} as the set of all points in X which satisfy (4.1).

Example 4.1. Suppose $X := [0, 1]$ and $f_0 = 2x \pmod{1}$, $f_1 = 2x + 1/2 \pmod{1}$, then $G_1 = \{id_X, f_0, f_1\}$, $\Phi = \{\log a_0, \log a_1\} = \{\log 2, \log 2\}$. Thus, $\mathcal{B} = X$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and G be a semigroup of continuous transformations of X generated by G_1 , where $G_1 = \{id_X, f_0, \dots, f_{k-1}\}$ and f_0, \dots, f_{k-1} are conformal with factor $a_i(x)$, $i = 0, \dots, k-1$. Suppose that f_i has no critical points and singularities, that is, $0 < a_i(x) < \infty$ for all $x \in X$ and $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$. Consider $Z \subset \mathcal{A}((0, \infty)) \cap \mathcal{B}$ and $\Phi = \{\log a_0, \dots, \log a_{k-1}\}$. Then the Hausdorff dimension of Z is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_H Z &= t^* = \sup\{t \geq 0 : P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) > 0\} \\ &= \inf\{t \geq 0 : P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) \leq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if $Z \subset \mathcal{A}((\alpha, \infty)) \cap \mathcal{B}$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then t^* is the unique root of Bowen's equation

$$P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) = 0.$$

Finally, if $Z \subset \mathcal{A}(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then $P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) = h_Z(G_1) - t\alpha$, and hence

$$\dim_H Z = \frac{1}{\alpha} h_Z(G_1).$$

Here $h_Z(G_1)$ is the topological entropy on Z defined by Ma and Wu in [11] and $P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi)$ denotes the topological pressure on Z (see Section 3.1).

Before proving the Theorem 4.1, we first give the relevant properties and auxiliary lemmas.

Proposition 4.1. Let $f_i : X \rightarrow X$ be as in Theorem 4.2. Fix $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$ and $Z \subset \mathcal{A}([\alpha, \beta])$, then

(1) for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h > 0$, we have

$$(4.2) \quad P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) - \beta h \leq P_Z(G_1, -(t+h)\Phi) \leq P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) - \alpha h.$$

(2) The equation $P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) = 0$ has unique root t^* and

$$\frac{h_Z(G_1)}{\beta} \leq t^* \leq \frac{h_Z(G_1)}{\alpha}.$$

(3) If $\alpha = \beta$, then $t^* = \frac{h_Z(G_1)}{\alpha}$.

Here $h_Z(G_1)$ is the topological entropy defined by Ma and Wu [11], $\Phi = \{\log a_0, \dots, \log a_{k-1}\}$ and $t\Phi = \{t \cdot \log a_0, \dots, t \cdot \log a_{k-1}\}$.

Proof. (1) For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and $m \geq 1$, consider

$$Z_m = \left\{ x \in Z : \lambda_n(x) \in (\alpha - \varepsilon, \beta + \varepsilon), \text{ for any } n \geq m \right\},$$

and it is easy to verify $Z = \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} Z_m$. Now fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $h > 0$, $m > 0$ and $N \geq m$, then for any $\delta > 0$, $p \in \mathbb{R}$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & M'(Z_m, G_1, -(t+h)\Phi, p, \delta, N) \\ &= \inf_{\mathcal{G}} \left\{ \sum_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}} \exp \left(-p \cdot n - (t+h) \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(x) \right) \right\} \\ &\leq \inf_{\mathcal{G}} \left\{ \sum_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}} \exp \left(-p \cdot n - t \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(x) - h \cdot n(\alpha - \varepsilon) \right) \right\} \\ &= \inf_{\mathcal{G}} \left\{ \sum_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}} \exp \left(-(p+h(\alpha - \varepsilon)) \cdot n - t \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(x) \right) \right\} \\ &= M'(Z_m, G_1, -t\Phi, p+h(\alpha - \varepsilon), \delta, N), \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{G} covers Z_m and for any $B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}$, $n \geq N$. Then

$$m'(Z_m, G_1, -(t+h)\Phi, p, \delta) \leq m'(Z_m, G_1, -t\Phi, p+h(\alpha - \varepsilon), \delta).$$

It follows that

$$P'_{Z_m}(G_1, -(t+h)\Phi, \delta) \leq P'_{Z_m}(G_1, -t\Phi, \delta) - h(\alpha - \varepsilon).$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$P_{Z_m}(G_1, -(t+h)\Phi) \leq P_{Z_m}(G_1, -t\Phi) - h(\alpha - \varepsilon).$$

Taking the supremum of $m \geq 1$ and by the Proposition 3.1, we can get

$$P_Z(G_1, -(t+h)\Phi) \leq P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) - h(\alpha - \varepsilon).$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the right of inequality (4.2) is established.

Using the similar calculation in this manner, we also get

$$M'(Z_m, G_1, -(t+h)\Phi, p, \delta, N) \geq M'(Z_m, G_1, -t\Phi, p+h(\beta + \varepsilon), \delta, N).$$

It follows that

$$m'(Z_m, G_1, -(t+h)\Phi, p, \delta) \geq m'(Z_m, G_1, -t\Phi, p+h(\beta + \varepsilon), \delta).$$

Hence,

$$P'_{Z_m}(G_1, -(t+h)\Phi, \delta) \geq P'_{Z_m}(G_1, -t\Phi, \delta) - h(\beta + \varepsilon).$$

Let $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and we can get

$$P_{Z_m}(G_1, -(t+h)\Phi) \geq P_{Z_m}(G_1, -t\Phi) - h(\beta + \varepsilon).$$

Take the supremum over all $m \geq 1$, and by the Proposition 3.1 we can get

$$P_Z(G_1, -(t+h)\Phi) \geq P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) - h(\beta + \varepsilon).$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the left of inequality (4.2) can be given. This complete the proof of the inequality (4.2).

(2) Note that the map $t \mapsto P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi)$ is continuous and strictly decreasing by (1). First let $t = 0$ and $h = \frac{h_Z(G_1)}{\beta}$ on the left of inequality (4.2), and then we have

$$P_Z(G_1, -\frac{h_Z(G_1)}{\beta}\Phi) \geq P_Z(G_1, 0) - h_Z(G_1) = 0.$$

Second let $t = 0$ and $h = \frac{h_Z(G_1)}{\alpha}$ on the right of inequality (4.2), we can get

$$P_Z(G_1, -\frac{h_Z(G_1)}{\alpha}\Phi) \leq P_Z(G_1, 0) - h_Z(G_1) = 0.$$

Consequently, we can obtain the desired result by Intermediate Value Theorem.

(3) It follows from (2) immediately. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $f_i : X \rightarrow X$ be as in the Theorem 4.2. Then given any $x \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta_0 = \delta_0(\varepsilon) > 0$, $\eta = \eta(x, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \delta < \delta_0$,*

$$(4.3) \quad B(x, \eta\delta e^{-n(\lambda_n(x)+\varepsilon)}) \subset B_n(x, \delta) \subset B(x, \delta e^{-n(\lambda_n(x)-\varepsilon)}).$$

Proof. Owing to the fact that f_i is conformal with factor $a_i(x) > 0$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$, we have

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{d(f_i(x), f_i(y))}{d(x, y)} = a_i(x).$$

Since $a_i(x) > 0$ everywhere, we can take logarithms of the above equation and get

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow x} \left(\log d(f_i(x), f_i(y)) - \log d(x, y) \right) = \log a_i(x).$$

Then we can extend it to a continuous function $\zeta_i : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\zeta_i(x, y) = \begin{cases} \log d(f_i(x), f_i(y)) - \log d(x, y) & x \neq y, \\ \log a_i(x) & x = y. \end{cases}$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 6.1 in [26], for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can also get

$$(4.4) \quad d(f_i(x), f_i(y))e^{-(\log a_i(x)+\varepsilon)} < d(x, y) < d(f_i(x), f_i(y))e^{-(\log a_i(x)-\varepsilon)},$$

whenever the middle quantity is less than δ .

Now we prove the second half of (4.3). Let $\Phi = \{\log a_0, \dots, \log a_{k-1}\}$ and for any $y \in B_n(x, \delta)$, we have $d(g(x), g(y)) < \delta$ for all $g \in G_n$. Then for any $w = i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \in F_k^+(n)$, repeated application of the second inequality in (4.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &< d(f_{i_1}(x), f_{i_1}(y))e^{-(\log a_{i_1}(x)-\varepsilon)} \\ &< d(f_{i_2 i_1}(x), f_{i_2 i_1}(y))e^{-(\log a_{i_2}(f_{i_1}(x))-\varepsilon)}e^{-(\log a_{i_1}(x)-\varepsilon)} \\ &< \dots \\ &< d(f_{\overline{w}}(x), f_{\overline{w}}(y))e^{-(S_w \Phi(x)-|w|\varepsilon)} \\ &< \delta e^{-|w|(\lambda_w(x)-\varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $w \in F_k^+(n)$ is arbitrary, we can obtain

$$d(x, y)^{k^n} < \prod_{|w|=n} \delta e^{-n(\lambda_w(x)-\varepsilon)},$$

and then we have

$$d(x, y) < \left(\prod_{|w|=n} \delta e^{-n(\lambda_w(x)-\varepsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k^n}} = \delta e^{-n(\lambda_n(x)-\varepsilon)}.$$

Thus

$$B_n(x, \delta) \subset B(x, \delta e^{-n(\lambda_n(x)-\varepsilon)}).$$

Next we show the first inclusion in (4.3). Note that for any fixed $w = i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n \in F_k^+(n)$, if $d(x, y) < \delta$, then by the first inequality in (4.4) we get

$$d(f_{i_1}(x), f_{i_1}(y)) < d(x, y) e^{\log a_{i_1}(x)+\varepsilon}.$$

Then if $d(x, y) < \delta e^{-(\log a_{i_1}(x)+\varepsilon)}$, we have $d(f_{i_1}(x), f_{i_1}(y)) < \delta$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_{i_2 i_1}(x), f_{i_2 i_1}(y)) &< d(f_{i_1}(x), f_{i_1}(y)) e^{\log a_{i_2}(f_{i_1}x)+\varepsilon} \\ &< d(x, y) e^{\log a_{i_2}(f_{i_1}x)+\varepsilon} e^{\log a_{i_1}(x)+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this method repeatedly, we can obtain that if

$$d(x, y) < \delta e^{-|w'|(\lambda_{w'}(x)+\varepsilon)}$$

for each $\overline{w'} \leq \overline{w}$, we have $d(f_{\overline{w'}}(x), f_{\overline{w'}}(y)) < \delta$, namely, $y \in B_w(x, \delta)$. Therefore

$$B(x, \delta \min_{\overline{w'} \leq \overline{w}} e^{-|w'|(\lambda_{w'}(x)+\varepsilon)}) \subset B_w(x, \delta).$$

Because of $B_n(x, \delta) = \cap_{|w|=n} B_w(x, \delta)$, it is easy to get

$$(4.5) \quad B(x, \delta \min_{\overline{w'} \leq \overline{w}, |w|=n} e^{-|w'|(\lambda_{w'}(x)+\varepsilon)}) \subset B_n(x, \delta).$$

Now we find what η should be for any $\overline{w'} \leq \overline{w}$ and $|w| = n$, and we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{-n(\lambda_n(x)+2\varepsilon)}}{e^{-|w'|(\lambda_{w'}(x)+\varepsilon)}} &= \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(x) - 2n\varepsilon}}{e^{-S_{w'} \Phi(x) - |w'| \varepsilon}} \\ &= e^{-\left(\frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(x) - S_{w'} \Phi(x) + 2n\varepsilon - |w'| \varepsilon\right)} \\ &\leq e^{-\left(\frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(x) - S_{w'} \Phi(x) + n\varepsilon\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Because x satisfies the tempered contraction condition, there exists $\eta = \eta(x, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that for arbitrary $w \in F_k^+(n)$, $\overline{w'} \leq \overline{w}$,

$$\frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(x) - S_{w'} \Phi(x) + n\varepsilon > \log \eta,$$

and hence

$$e^{-\left(\frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(x) - S_{w'} \Phi(x) + n\varepsilon\right)} < \frac{1}{\eta}.$$

Then for any $|w| = n$, $\overline{w'} \leq \overline{w}$, we have

$$\eta e^{-n(\lambda_n(x)+2\varepsilon)} < e^{-|w'|(\lambda_{w'}(x)+\varepsilon)},$$

and combining with (4.5)

$$B(x, \delta \eta e^{-n(\lambda_n(x)+2\varepsilon)}) \subset B_n(x, \delta).$$

Taking $\delta_0 = \delta_0(\varepsilon/2)$ gives

$$B(x, \eta \delta e^{-n(\lambda_n(x)+\varepsilon)}) \subset B_n(x, \delta).$$

□

Lemma 4.4. *Let $f_i : X \rightarrow X$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.2 and fix $Z \subset \mathcal{A}((\alpha, \infty)) \cap \mathcal{B}$, where $0 < \alpha < \infty$. Let t^* be the unique real number with $P_Z(G_1, -t^*\Phi) = 0$, whose existence and uniqueness are guaranteed by Proposition 4.1, where $\Phi = \{\log a_0, \dots, \log a_{k-1}\}$. Then $\dim_H Z = t^*$.*

Proof. Firstly we show $\dim_H Z \leq t^*$. Given $m \geq 1$, and let

$$Z_m = \{x \in Z : \lambda_n(x) > \alpha \text{ for all } n \geq m\}$$

and then it is obvious to examine that $Z = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} Z_m$. For any $t > t^*$, we can get $P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) < 0$. Thus there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ with $P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) < -t\varepsilon$ and by Lemma 4.3 there exists $\delta_0 = \delta_0(\varepsilon)$ such that for all $x \in Z_m$, $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, and $n \geq m$, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \text{diam} B_n(x, \delta) \leq 2\delta e^{-n(\lambda_n(x) - \varepsilon)} \leq 2\delta e^{-n(\alpha - \varepsilon)}.$$

For given $N \geq m$ and $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M'(Z_m, G_1, -t\Phi, -t\varepsilon, \delta, N) &= \inf_{\mathcal{G}} \left\{ \sum_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}} \exp \left(-(-t\varepsilon) \cdot n - t \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(x) \right) \right\} \\ &= \inf_{\mathcal{G}} \left\{ \sum_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}} \exp \left(-t \cdot n(\lambda_n(x) - \varepsilon) \right) \right\} \\ &\geq \inf_{\mathcal{G}} \left\{ \sum_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}} \left(\frac{1}{2\delta} \text{diam} B_n(x, \delta) \right)^t \right\} \\ &\geq \inf_{\mathcal{P}(Z_m, 2\delta e^{-N(\alpha - \varepsilon)})} \left\{ \sum_{U_i \in \mathcal{P}(Z_m, 2\delta e^{-N(\alpha - \varepsilon)})} \left(\frac{1}{2\delta} \text{diam} U_i \right)^t \right\} \\ &= (2\delta)^{-t} \mathcal{H}(Z_m, t, 2\delta e^{-N(\alpha - \varepsilon)}), \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{G} covers Z_m satisfying for any $B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}$, $n \geq N$ and $\mathcal{P}(Z_m, 2\delta e^{-N(\alpha - \varepsilon)})$ denotes the collection of open covers $\{U_i\}$ of Z_m for which $\text{diam} U_i < 2\delta e^{-N(\alpha - \varepsilon)}$ for all i .

Take the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and we get

$$(4.7) \quad m'(Z_m, G_1, -t\Phi, -t\varepsilon, \delta) \geq (2\delta)^{-t} \mathcal{H}(Z_m, t).$$

Moreover, we have

$$-t\varepsilon > P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) \geq P_{Z_m}(G_1, -t\Phi) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} P'_{Z_m}(G_1, -t\Phi, \delta),$$

and for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, we have $-t\varepsilon > P'_{Z_m}(G_1, -t\Phi, \delta)$. Hence $\mathcal{H}(Z_m, t) = 0$ by (4.7), which means $\dim_H(Z_m) \leq t$. Then taking the union over all m gives $\dim_H(Z) \leq t$ for all $t > t^*$. Hence $\dim_H(Z) \leq t^*$.

Next we show the opposite inequality, $\dim_H(Z) \geq t^*$. Consider $t < t^*$, and then we manage to prove $\dim_H(Z) \geq t$. Assume $t > 0$, otherwise there is nothing left to prove. By the Proposition 4.1, we know t^* is the unique real number such that

$P_Z(G_1, -t^*\Phi) = 0$. Since the pressure function $P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi)$ with respect to t is decreasing, we have $P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) > 0$. Hence we can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfying

$$P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) > t\varepsilon > 0.$$

Let $\delta_0 = \delta_0(\varepsilon)$ be given in Lemma 4.3. For given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 1$, consider the set

$$Z_m = \{x \in Z : (4.3) \text{ holds with } \eta = e^{-m}, 0 < \delta < \delta_0\}.$$

It is easy to observe that $Z = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} Z_m$ and hence $P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) = \sup_{m \geq 1} P_{Z_m}(G_1, -t\Phi)$.

Then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P_{Z_m}(G_1, -t\Phi) > t\varepsilon$, and we can choose sufficiently small δ with $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ such that

$$(4.8) \quad P'_{Z_m}(G_1, -t\Phi, \delta) > t\varepsilon.$$

Let $\beta = \max_i \{\sup_{x \in X} \log a_i(x)\} < \infty$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in X$, denote $s_n(x) = e^{-m}\delta e^{-n(\lambda_n(x)+\varepsilon)}$, and observe that

$$\frac{s_n(x)}{s_{n+1}(x)} = \frac{e^{-n(\lambda_n(x)+\varepsilon)}}{e^{-(n+1)(\lambda_{n+1}(x)+\varepsilon)}} = e^{(n+1)\lambda_{n+1}(x)-n\lambda_n(x)+\varepsilon} \leq e^{\beta+\varepsilon}.$$

Furthermore, for given $x \in Z_m$ and $r > 0$ enough small, there exists $n = n(x, r) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(4.9) \quad s_n(x)e^{-(\beta+\varepsilon)} \leq s_{n+1}(x) \leq r \leq s_n(x) = e^{-m}\delta e^{-n(\lambda_n(x)+\varepsilon)}.$$

For this value n , by Lemma 4.3 we have

$$B(x, r) \subset B_n(x, \delta).$$

Then given any $\{B(x_i, r_i)\}$ such that $Z_m \subset \bigcup B(x_i, r_i)$, we can get $Z_m \subset \bigcup B_{n_i}(x_i, \delta)$, where $n_i = n_i(x_i, r_i)$ satisfies (4.9).

Moreover, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$, we have $\lambda_n(x) \leq \beta$ and thus $s_n(x) \geq \delta e^{-(m+n(\beta+\varepsilon))}$. From (4.9), it yields that for $n = n(x, r)$ we have

$$\delta e^{-(m+(n+1)(\beta+\varepsilon))} \leq r,$$

and then

$$n \geq \frac{-\log r + \log \delta - m}{\beta + \varepsilon} - 1.$$

Denote $N := N(r, \delta) = \frac{-\log r + \log \delta - m}{\beta + \varepsilon} - 1$ and note that for every fixed $\delta > 0$, we have $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} N(r, \delta) = \infty$.

Therefore, for all $r > 0$, $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, by applying (4.9) we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{H}^b(Z_m, t, r) &= \inf_{\mathcal{P}^b(Z_m, r)} \left\{ \sum_{B(x_i, r_i) \in \mathcal{P}^b(Z_m, r)} (2r_i)^t \right\} \\
 &\geq \inf_{\mathcal{G}'} \left\{ \sum_{B_{n_i}(x_i, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}'} \left(2e^{-(\beta+\varepsilon)} s_{n_i}(x_i) \right)^t \right\} \\
 &= (2\delta)^t e^{-t(m+\beta+\varepsilon)} \inf_{\mathcal{G}'} \left\{ \sum_{B_{n_i}(x_i, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}'} e^{-tn_i(\lambda_{n_i}(x_i)+\varepsilon)} \right\} \\
 &= (2\delta)^t e^{-t(m+\beta+\varepsilon)} \inf_{\mathcal{G}'} \left\{ \sum_{B_{n_i}(x_i, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}'} \exp \left(-t\varepsilon n_i - t \frac{1}{k^{n_i}} \sum_{|w|=n_i} S_w \Phi(x_i) \right) \right\} \\
 &\geq (2\delta)^t e^{-t(m+\beta+\varepsilon)} \inf_{\mathcal{G}} \left\{ \sum_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}} \exp \left(-t\varepsilon n - t \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{|w|=n} S_w \Phi(x) \right) \right\} \\
 &= (2\delta)^t e^{-t(m+\beta+\varepsilon)} M'(Z_m, G_1, -t\Phi, t\varepsilon, \delta, N),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{P}^b(Z_m, r)$ denotes the collection of countable open balls covers $\{B(x_i, r_i)\}$ of Z_m for which $r_i < r$ for all i , \mathcal{G}' denotes the collection of countable Bowen balls covers $\{B_{n_i}(x_i, \delta)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of Z_m with for any $B_{n_i}(x_i, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}'$, $n_i \geq N$, $B(x_i, r_i) \subset B_{n_i}(x_i, \delta)$ and \mathcal{G} denotes the collection of countable Bowen balls covers $\{B_n(x, \delta)\}$ of Z_m with for any $B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}$, $n \geq N$.

Consequently, we can get

$$\mathcal{H}^b(Z_m, t, r) \geq (2\delta)^t e^{-t(m+\beta+\varepsilon)} M'(Z_m, G_1, -t\Phi, t\varepsilon, \delta, N).$$

Taking $r \rightarrow 0$, it is easy to see that the quantity on the right goes to ∞ by (4.8), and thus we have $\mathcal{H}^b(Z_m, t) = \infty$. Therefore,

$$\dim_H Z \geq \dim_H Z_m \geq t,$$

and since $t < t^*$ is arbitrary, this establishes the Lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Choose a decreasing positive numbers sequence $\{\alpha_m\}$ with converging to 0, and set $Z_m = \mathcal{A}((\alpha_m, \infty)) \cap Z$, then Lemma 4.4 applies to Z_m and we can get $Z = \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty Z_m$. Let t_m be the unique real number with

$$P_{Z_m}(G_1, -t_m \Phi) = 0$$

for every m and whose existence and uniqueness are guaranteed by Proposition 4.1. Hence, by Lemma 4.4 we obtain

$$\dim_H Z_m = t_m.$$

Denote $t^* = \sup_m t_m$, then $\dim_H Z = t^*$. Then it is left to prove

$$(4.10) \quad t^* = \sup\{t \geq 0 : P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) > 0\}.$$

Considering $t \geq 0$, we have

$$P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) = \sup_m P_{Z_m}(G_1, -t\Phi).$$

On the one hand, for any $t < t^*$, there exists t_m with $t < t_m$ and hence $P_{Z_m}(G_1, -t\Phi) > 0$. Then $t \in \{t \geq 0 : P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) > 0\}$ and thus $t \leq \sup\{t \geq 0 : P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) > 0\}$. Therefore, $t^* \leq \sup\{t \geq 0 : P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) > 0\}$.

On the other hand, for arbitrary $t < \sup\{t \geq 0 : P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) > 0\}$, there exists $t_j > t$ with $P_Z(G_1, -t_j\Phi) > 0$. Then there exists Z_m such that $P_{Z_m}(G_1, -t_j\Phi) > 0$ and thus $t_j < t_m$. It follows that $t < t_j < t_m < t^*$. So $\sup\{t \geq 0 : P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi) > 0\} \leq t^*$. This establishes (4.10).

Finally, it can be yielded from (4.10) and continuity of $t \mapsto P_Z(G_1, -t\Phi)$ that $P_Z(G_1, -t^*\Phi) = 0$. If $Z \subset \mathcal{A}((\alpha, \infty))$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then Proposition 4.1 guarantees that t^* is in fact the unique root of Bowen's equation. \square

Acknowledgement. The work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no.11771149, 11671149) and Guangdong Natural Science Foundation 2018B0303110005.

REFERENCES

1. J. Ban, Y. Cao and H. Hu *The dimensions of a non-conformal repeller and an average conformal repeller*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010), no. 2, 727-751.
2. A. Biś, *Entropies of a semigroup of maps*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Systs Series A., 11 (2004), 639-648.
3. A. Bufetov, *Topological entropy of free semigroup actions and skew-product transformations*, J. Dynam. Control Systems 5 (1999), no. 1, 137-143.
4. R. Bowen, *Hausdorff dimension of quasicircles*, Inst. Hautes études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 50 (1979), 11-25.
5. Y. Cao, Y. Pesin and Y. Zhao, *Dimension estimates for non-conformal repellers and continuity of sub-additive topological pressure*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 29 (2019), no. 5, 1325-1368.
6. V. Climenhaga, *Bowen's equation in the non-uniform setting*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 31(2011), no. 4, 1163-1182.
7. M. Denker and M. Urbański, *Ergodic theory of equilibrium states for rational maps*, Nonlinearity, 4 (1991), no. 1, 103-134.
8. K. J. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1990.
9. Y. Ju, D. Ma and Y. Wang, *Topological entropy of free semigroup actions for noncompact sets*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 39(2019), no. 2, 995-1017.
10. X. Lin, D. Ma and Y. Wang, *On the measure-theoretic entropy and topological pressure of free semigroup actions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 38(2018), no. 2, 686-716.
11. D. Ma and M. Wu, *Topological pressure and topological entropy of a semigroup of maps*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Systs. 31 (2011), 545-557.
12. V. Mayer and M. Urbański, *Geometric thermodynamic formalism and real analyticity for meromorphic functions of finite order*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 28 (2008), no. 3, 915-946.
13. V. Mayer and M. Urbański, *Thermodynamical formalism and multifractal analysis for meromorphic functions of finite order*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 203 (2010), no. 954.
14. Y. Pesin, *Dimension Theory in Dynamical Systems*, Chicago : The university of Chicago Press, 1997.
15. Y. Pesin and B. Pitskel, *Topological pressure and the variational principle for non-compact sets*, Functional Anal. and Its Application, 18(1984),50-63.
16. F. Przytycki, J. Rivera-Letelier and S. Smirnov, *Equivalence and topological invariance of conditions for non-uniform hyperbolicity in the iteration of rational maps*, Invent. Math. 151 (2003), no. 1, 29-63.
17. F. Przytycki, J. Rivera-Letelier and S. Smirnov, *Equality of pressures for rational functions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 24 (2004), no. 3, 891-914.
18. D. Gatzouras and Y. Peres, *Invariant measures of full dimension for some expanding maps*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 17(1997), no. 1, 147-167.

19. D. Ruelle, *Repellers for real analytic maps*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 2 (1982), no. 1, 99-107.
20. H. H. Rugh, *On the dimensions of conformal repellers. Randomness and parameter dependency*, Ann. of Math. (2) 168 (2008), no.3, 695-748.
21. F. Takens and E. Verbitskiy, *On the variational principle for the topological entropy of certain non-compact sets*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 23 (2003), no. 1, 317-348.
22. M. Urbański, *On the Hausdorff dimension of a Julia set with a rationally indifferent periodic point*, Studia Math. 97 (1991), no. 3, 167-188.
23. M. Urbański, *Parabolic Cantor sets*, Fund. Math. 151 (1996), no. 3, 241-277.
24. M. Urbański and A. Zdunik, *Real analyticity of Hausdorff dimension of finer Julia sets of exponential family*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 24 (2004), no. 1, 279-315.
25. P. Walters, *A variational principle for the pressure of continuous transformations*, Amer. J. Math.,97(1975), 937-971.
26. Q. Xiao and D. Ma, *Topological pressure of free semigroup actions for non-compact sets and Bowen's equation*, Preprint arXiv: 2007.06413 1.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, GUANGZHOU 510641,
P.R. CHINA

Email address: qianqian1309200581@163.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, GUANGZHOU 510641,
P.R. CHINA

Email address: dkma@scut.edu.cn