

# BSDEs with logarithmic growth driven by a Brownian motion and a Poisson random measure and connection to stochastic control problem

Khalid Oufdil

*Laboratory of industrial and computer engineering, ENSA B.P. 1136, Ibn Zohr University, Agadir, Morocco*

[khalid.oufdil@gmail.com](mailto:khalid.oufdil@gmail.com) (K. Oufdil)

**Abstract** In this paper, we study one-dimensional backward stochastic differential equation with jump under logarithmic growth assumption in the  $z$ -variable ( $|z|\sqrt{|\ln|z||}$ ) and an  $L^p$  terminal value (for a suitable  $p > 2$ ). We show the existence and the uniqueness of the solution when the noise is driven by a Brownian motion and an independent Poisson random measure. In addition, we highlight the connection of such BSDEs with stochastic optimal control problem, where we show the existence of an optimal strategy for the stochastic control problem.

**Keywords** Backward stochastic differential equations, optimal control, logarithmic growth

**2010 MSC** [93E20](#), [60H10](#), [60H99](#)

## 1 Introduction

The notion of non-linear Backward Stochastic Differential Equations (BSDEs in short), was first introduced by Pardoux and Peng [12]. The solution of this equation, associated with a terminal value  $\xi$  and a generator  $f$ , is a couple of stochastic processes  $(Y_t, Z_t)_{t \leq T}$  such that

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s \quad (1)$$

a.s. for all  $t \leq T$ , where  $B$  is a Brownian motion and the processes  $(Y_t, Z_t)_{t \leq T}$  are adapted to a natural filtration of  $B$ . Since then, these equations have gradually become an important mathematical tool which is encountered in many fields such as financial mathematics, stochastic optimal control, partial differential equations, and other fields.

In this paper we are concerned with the problem of the existence and the uniqueness of a solution for one-dimensional BSDEs when the noise is driven by a Brownian motion and an independent Poisson random measure. Roughly speaking we look for a triple of adapted processes  $(Y, Z, V)$  such that:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s - \int_t^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} V_s(w) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \quad (2)$$

where  $\tilde{\mu}$  is a compensated Poisson random measure.

Recently in [10], Kruse and Popier established the existence and the uniqueness of a solution to multidimensional BSDEs in a general filtration which includes a Brownian motion and an independent Poisson random measure. The generator is under monotonic assumption w.r.t. the  $y$ -variable and the terminal condition and the driver are not necessarily square integrable. We however in this work focus on the existence and the uniqueness of the solution for BSDEs when the generator is under logarithmic growth assumption in the  $z$ -variable and an  $L^p$  terminal value. It is worth noting that our technique is based on a localization method introduced in [1, 2] and used in [3].

It is well known that BSDEs are connected with stochastic optimal control. Therefore as an application of the obtained result, we show the existence of an optimal strategy for stochastic control of diffusion. Assume that we have a system whose dynamic is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= x_0 + \int_0^t \varphi(s, x_s, \bar{u}_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, x_s) dB_s^u \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{U}} \gamma(s, x_{s-}, w) \tilde{\mu}^u(dw, ds) \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{U}} \gamma(s, x_{s-}, w) g(s, x_{s-}, \bar{u}_s, w) \lambda(dw) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The agent intervenes in the system in the form of controlling with the help of the process  $u = (\bar{u}, \bar{u})$ . The idea is we characterize the value function as the unique solution of a specific BSDE under more relaxed assumptions.

This paper is divided into five sections. In section 2, we begin by presenting our assumptions and the notations used through out the paper. In section 3, we show some priori estimates for solutions of BSDEs. In section 4, we move on to study the existence and the uniqueness of the solution for the BSDEs using the localization method. Section 5 is devoted to the link between our BSDEs and the stochastic optimal control problem. We show the value function as a solution of an appropriate BSDE.

## 2 Notations and Assumptions

### 2.1 Notations

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \leq T}, P)$  be a stochastic basis such that:

- $\mathcal{F}_0$  contains  $\mathcal{N}$  the set of all  $P$ -null sets of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,
- $\mathcal{F}_{t+} = \cap_{\epsilon > 0} \mathcal{F}_{t+\epsilon} = \mathcal{F}_t, \quad \forall t \leq T$ .

We assume that  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \leq T}$  is supported by the two following independent processes:

- (i) a standard  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion  $B = (B_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ ,
- (ii) a Poisson random measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{R}^+$  with intensity  $\lambda(dw)dt$  where  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$  ( $m \geq 1$ ). The corresponding compensated Poisson random measure  $\tilde{\mu}(dw, dt) = \mu(dw, dt) - \lambda(dw)dt$  is a martingale w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}$ . The measure  $\lambda$  is  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\mathcal{U}$  satisfying

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} (1 \wedge |w|^2) \lambda(dw) < +\infty.$$

In this paper, let  $\mathcal{P}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{P}^d$ ) denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -progressively measurable (resp. predictable) sets on  $\Omega \times [0, T]$ .

For a given adapted RCLL process  $(X_t)_{t \leq T}$  and for any  $t \leq T$  we set  $X_{t-} = \lim_{s \nearrow t} X_s$  with the convention that  $X_{0-} = X_0$  and  $\Delta X_t = X_t - X_{t-}$ .

Let us introduce the following spaces of processes and notations considered in this work, for all  $p \geq 1$

- $\mathcal{S}^p$  is the space of  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted and RCLL processes  $(Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  such that

$$\|Y\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty.$$

- $\mathcal{M}^p$  denote the set of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -progressively measurable processes  $(Z_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  such that

$$\|Z\|_{\mathcal{M}^p} = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty.$$

- $\mathcal{L}_{loc}$  is the space of all  $\mathcal{P}^d \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U})$ -measurable mapping  $V : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|V_s(w)|^2 \wedge |V_s(w)|) \lambda(dw) ds < +\infty$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}^p$  be the set of all  $V \in \mathcal{L}_{loc}$  such that

$$\|V\|_{\mathcal{L}^p} = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} |V_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty.$$

- $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^p = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}, \lambda; \mathbb{R})$  is the set of measurable functions  $V : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|V\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^p}^p = \int_{\mathcal{U}} |V(w)|^p \lambda(dw) < +\infty.$$

## 2.2 Assumptions

Now let  $\xi$  be and  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued and  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable random variable and let  $f : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{L}_\lambda^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a random function which associates  $(t, \omega, y, z, \nu)$  with  $f(t, \omega, y, z, \nu)$ . On the data  $\xi$  and  $f$  we make the following assumptions:

- (H.1) There exists a positive constant  $A$  such that  $\mathbb{E} [|\xi|^{\ln(AT+2)+2}] < +\infty$ .
- (H.2) (i)  $f$  is continuous in  $(y, z, \nu)$  for almost all  $(t, \omega)$ ;  
(ii) there exist two positives constants  $c_0$  and  $c_1$  and a process  $(\eta_t)_{t \leq T}$  such that for every  $t, \omega, y, z, \nu$  :

$$|f(t, \omega, y, z, \nu)| \leq |\eta_t| + c_0 |z| \sqrt{|\ln(|z|)|} + c_1 \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2},$$

where the process  $(\eta_t)_{t \leq T}$  satisfies

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |\eta_s|^{\ln(As+2)+2} ds \right] < +\infty.$$

- (H.3) There exist  $v^1 : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  (resp.  $v^2 : [0, T] \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ) satisfying  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T (v^1_s)^{q_1} ds \right] < +\infty$  (resp.  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \|v^2_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2}^{q_2} ds \right] < +\infty$ ) (for some  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ ) and a constant  $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that:  $\forall N \geq 3$ , and for every  $|y|, |y'|, |z|, |z'|, \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2}, \|\nu'\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq \ln(N)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{y}(f(t, \omega, y, z, \nu) - f(t, \omega, y', z', \nu')) \mathbf{1}_{\{|v^1_t| + \|v^2_t\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq \ln(N)\}} \\ & \leq M |\bar{y}|^2 \ln A_N + M |\bar{y}| |\bar{z}| \sqrt{\ln A_N} + M |\bar{y}| \|\bar{\nu}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \sqrt{\ln A_N}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(\bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{\nu}) = (y - y', z - z', \nu - \nu')$  and  $(A_N)_{N \geq 2}$  is a real valued sequence that satisfies  $1 < A_N \leq \ln(N)^r$  for any  $N \geq 3$  and some  $r > 0$ , moreover  $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} A_N = +\infty$ .

## 3 Estimations of solutions

In this section we are going to provide estimates to the solution of the BSDE w.r.t.  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ . However we first define the notion of solution of the BSDE associated with the couple  $(\xi, f)$ .

**Definition 1.** A triplet of processes  $(Y, Z, V) = (Y_t, Z_t, V_t)_{t \leq T}$  is said to be a solution of the BSDE with jump associated with  $(\xi, f)$  if the following holds:  $\forall t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{cases} Y \in \mathcal{S}^{\ln(AT+2)+2}, Z \in \mathcal{M}^2 \text{ and } V \in \mathcal{L}^2; \\ Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, V_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s - \int_t^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} V_s(w) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds). \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

The following lemma has already been mentioned and proved in [4], but for the sake of the reader we give it again.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $(Y_s, Z_s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $s \in [0, T]$  be such that  $Y_s$  is large enough. Then for every  $C_2 > 0$  there exists a constant  $C_3 > 0$  such that

$$C_2 |Y_s| |Z_s| \sqrt{|\ln(|Z_s|)|} \leq \frac{|Z_s|^2}{2} + C_3 \ln(|Y_s|) |Y_s|^2. \quad (5)$$

Now we are ready to give estimates for  $Y$ ,  $Z$  and  $V$ . Actually we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $(Y_t, Z_t, V_t)_{t \leq T}$  be a solution of the BSDE (4), and assume that the couple of data  $(\xi, f)$  satisfies **(H.1)** and **(H.2)**. Then there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t|^{\theta(t)} + \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} |V_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \right] \\ \leq K \mathbb{E} \left[ |\xi|^{\theta(T)} + \int_0^T |\eta_s|^{\theta(s)} ds \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $\theta(t) = \ln(At + 2) + 2$ ,  $\forall t \in [0, T]$  and for some constant  $A$  large enough.

**Proof.** First let us show that for some positive constant  $C$  we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t|^{\theta(t)} \right] \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ |\xi|^{\theta(T)} + \int_0^T |\eta_s|^{\theta(s)} ds \right]. \quad (7)$$

Let  $u$  be the function from  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^+$  defined by

$$u(t, x) = |x|^{\theta(t)}.$$

Then

- $u_t = \frac{A}{At+2} \ln(|x|) |x|^{\theta(t)}$ ;
- $u_x = \theta(t) |x|^{\theta(t)-2} x$ ;
- $u_{xx} = \theta(t)(\theta(t) - 1) |x|^{\theta(t)-2}$ .

Since there is a lack of integrability of the processes  $Y$ ,  $Z$  and  $V$  we proceed by localization. Actually for  $k \geq 0$ , let  $\tau_k$  be the stopping time defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_k = \inf \{ t \geq 0, \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{U}} \theta(s)^2 |Y_{s-}|^{2\theta(s)-2} |V_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \\ + \int_0^t \theta(s)^2 |Y_s|^{2\theta(s)-2} |Z_s|^2 ds \geq k \} \wedge T. \end{aligned}$$

Using Itô's formula on the process  $Y_t$  and the function  $y \rightarrow |y|^{\theta(t)}$  yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Y_{t \wedge \tau_k}|^{\theta(t \wedge \tau_k)} = |Y_{\tau_k}|^{\theta(\tau_k)} - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \frac{A}{As + 2} \ln(|Y_s|) |Y_s|^{\theta(s)} ds \\
& + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s) |Y_s|^{\theta(s)-2} Y_s f(s, Y_s, Z_s, V_s) ds \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s) (\theta(s) - 1) |Z_s|^2 |Y_s|^{\theta(s)-2} ds \\
& - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s) |Y_s|^{\theta(s)-2} Y_s Z_s dB_s \\
& - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \theta(s) Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-2} V_s(w) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \\
& - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \left( |Y_{s-} + V_s(w)|^{\theta(s)} - |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \theta(s) Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-2} V_s(w) \right) \mu(dw, ds).
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

We use now the fact that  $f$  satisfies **(H.2)** and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Y_{t \wedge \tau_k}|^{\theta(t \wedge \tau_k)} \\
& \leq |Y_{\tau_k}|^{\theta(\tau_k)} - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \frac{A}{As + 2} \ln(|Y_s|) |Y_s|^{\theta(s)} ds \\
& + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s) |Y_s|^{\theta(s)-1} (|\eta_s| + c_0 |Z_s| \sqrt{|\ln(|Z_s|)|} + c_1 \|V_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2}) ds \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s) (\theta(s) - 1) |Z_s|^2 |Y_s|^{\theta(s)-2} ds \\
& - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s) |Y_s|^{\theta(s)-2} Y_s Z_s dB_s \\
& - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \theta(s) Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-2} V_s(w) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \\
& - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \left( |Y_{s-} + V_s(w)|^{\theta(s)} - |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \theta(s) Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-2} V_s(w) \right) \mu(dw, ds).
\end{aligned}$$

Next by Young's inequality it holds that

$$\theta(s) |Y_s|^{\theta(s)-1} |\eta_s| \leq |Y_s|^{\theta(s)} + \theta(s) \theta(s)-1 |\eta_s|^{\theta(s)}.$$

Now without loss of generality we assume that the  $y$ -variable is sufficiently large.

Then there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Y_{t \wedge \tau_k}|^{\theta(t \wedge \tau_k)} \\
& \leq |Y_{\tau_k}|^{\theta(\tau_k)} + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s)^{\theta(s)-1} |\eta_s|^{\theta(s)} ds \\
& \quad - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \theta(s) Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-2} V_s(w) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \\
& \quad - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s) (\theta(s) - 1) |Y_s|^{\theta(s)-2} \left[ \frac{C_1 \ln(|Y_s|) |Y_s|^2}{\theta(s)(\theta(s) - 1)} + \frac{|Z_s|^2}{2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{\theta(s) |Y_s| c_0 |Z_s| \sqrt{|\ln(|Z_s|)|}}{\theta(s)(\theta(s) - 1)} \right] ds - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s) |Y_s|^{\theta(s)-2} Y_s Z_s dB_s \\
& \quad + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} c_1 \theta(s) |Y_s|^{\theta(s)-1} \|V_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} ds \\
& \quad - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \left( |Y_{s-} + V_s(w)|^{\theta(s)} - |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \theta(s) Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-2} V_s(w) \right) \mu(dw, ds).
\end{aligned}$$

Next there exist two positives constants  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Y_{t \wedge \tau_k}|^{\theta(t \wedge \tau_k)} \tag{9} \\
& \leq |Y_{\tau_k}|^{\theta(\tau_k)} + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s)^{\theta(s)-1} |\eta_s|^{\theta(s)} ds \\
& \quad - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s) (\theta(s) - 1) |Y_s|^{\theta(s)-2} \left[ C_3 \ln(|Y_s|) |Y_s|^2 + \frac{|Z_s|^2}{2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - C_2 |Y_s| |Z_s| \sqrt{|\ln(|Z_s|)|} \right] ds - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s) |Y_s|^{\theta(s)-2} Y_s Z_s dB_s \\
& \quad - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \theta(s) Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-2} V_s(w) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \\
& \quad + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} c_1 \theta(s) |Y_s|^{\theta(s)-1} \|V_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} ds \\
& \quad - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \left( |Y_{s-} + V_s(w)|^{\theta(s)} - |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \theta(s) Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-2} V_s(w) \right) \mu(dw, ds).
\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 1 it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Y_{t \wedge \tau_k}|^{\theta(t \wedge \tau_k)} \\
& \leq |Y_{\tau_k}|^{\theta(\tau_k)} + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s)^{\theta(s)-1} |\eta_s|^{\theta(s)} ds \\
& + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} c_1 \theta(s) |Y_s|^{\theta(s)-1} \|V_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} ds \\
& - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s) |Y_s|^{\theta(s)-2} Y_s Z_s dB_s \\
& - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \theta(s) Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-2} V_s(w) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \\
& - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \left( |Y_{s-} + V_s(w)|^{\theta(s)} - |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)} \right. \\
& \left. - \theta(s) Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-2} V_s(w) \right) \mu(dw, ds).
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Next let us deal with the Poisson quantity

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \theta(s) Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-2} V_s(w) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \\
& - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \left( |Y_{s-} + V_s(w)|^{\theta(s)} - |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)} \right. \\
& \left. - \theta(s) Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-2} V_s(w) \right) \mu(dw, ds).
\end{aligned}$$

Actually by following the same argument as in ([10], Proposition 2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \left( |Y_{s-} + V_s(w)|^{\theta(s)} - |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)} \right. \\
& \left. - \theta(s) Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-2} V_s(w) \right) \mu(dw, ds) \\
& - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \theta(s) Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-2} V_s(w) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \\
& \leq - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s) (\theta(s) - 1) 3^{1-\theta(s)} |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-2} \|V_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2}^2 ds \\
& - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \left( |Y_{s-} + V_s(w)|^{\theta(s)} - |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)} \right) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds).
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Then by plugging (11) in (10), we obtain that:



$$\begin{aligned}
& |Y_{t \wedge \tau_k}|^{\theta(t \wedge \tau_k)} + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s)(\theta(s) - 1)3^{1-\theta(s)} |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-2} \|V_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2}^2 ds \\
& \leq |Y_{\tau_k}|^{\theta(\tau_k)} + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s)^{\theta(s)-1} |\eta_s|^{\theta(s)} ds \\
& \quad - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s) |Y_s|^{\theta(s)-2} Y_s Z_s dB_s \\
& \quad - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \left( |Y_{s-} + V_s(w)|^{\theta(s)} - |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)} \right) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \\
& \quad + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} c_1 \theta(s) |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-1} \|V_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Let  $\alpha$  be a positive constant, once again by Young's inequality we have

$$\theta(s) |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-1} \|V_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq \frac{(c_1 \theta(s))^2}{\alpha} |Y_s|^{\theta(s)} + \alpha |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)-2} \|V_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2}^2.$$

Therefore, for a suitable  $\alpha$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Y_{t \wedge \tau_k}|^{\theta(t \wedge \tau_k)} \leq |Y_{\tau_k}|^{\theta(\tau_k)} + \int_0^{\tau_k} \theta(s)^{\theta(s)-1} |\eta_s|^{\theta(s)} ds \\
& \quad - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \theta(s) |Y_s|^{\theta(s)-2} Y_s Z_s dB_s + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \frac{(c_1 \theta(s))^2}{\alpha} |Y_s|^{\theta(s)} ds \\
& \quad - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \left( |Y_{s-} + V_s(w)|^{\theta(s)} - |Y_{s-}|^{\theta(s)} \right) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds).
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Then we take the expectation in (13) and since  $(\tau_k)_{k \geq 0}$  is non decreasing of a stationary type that converges to  $T$ , we take the limit when  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  and we obtain for some constant  $C > 0$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ |Y_t|^{\theta(t)} \right] \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ |\xi|^{\theta(T)} + \int_0^T |\eta_s|^{\theta(s)} ds + \int_0^T |Y_s|^{\theta(s)} ds \right].$$

Finally we apply Gronwall's lemma and BDG inequality to get:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t|^{\theta(t)} \right] \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ |\xi|^{\theta(T)} + \int_0^T |\eta_s|^{\theta(s)} ds \right]. \tag{14}$$

Now we will show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} |V_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \right] \\
& \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ |\xi|^2 + \sup_{s \leq T} |Y_s|^{\ln(2)+2} + \int_0^T |\eta_s|^2 ds \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $(\delta_k)_{k \geq 0}$  be the sequence of stopping time defined as follow:

$$\delta_k = \inf\{t \geq 0, \int_0^t |Z_s|^2 ds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{U}} |V_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \geq k\} \wedge T.$$

Applying once again Itô's formula on the process  $Y_t$  and the function  $y \mapsto y^2$  yields:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0^2 + \int_0^{\delta_k} |Z_s|^2 ds + \int_0^{\delta_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |V_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \\ = \xi^2 + 2 \int_0^{\tau_k} Y_s f(s, Y_s, Z_s, V_s) ds - 2 \int_0^{\delta_k} Y_s Z_s dB_s \\ - \int_0^{\delta_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|Y_{s-} + V_s(w)|^2 - |Y_{s-}|^2) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \\ \leq \xi^2 + 2 \int_0^{\delta_k} |Y_s| (|\eta_s| + c_0 |Z_s| \sqrt{|\ln(|Z_s|)|} + c_1 \|V_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2}) ds \\ - 2 \int_0^{\delta_k} Y_s Z_s dB_s - \int_0^{\delta_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|Y_{s-} + V_s(w)|^2 - |Y_{s-}|^2) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds). \end{aligned}$$

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  $\sqrt{2\varepsilon |\ln(|z|)|} = \sqrt{|\ln(|z|^{2\varepsilon})|} \leq |z|^\varepsilon$ . Then by using Young's inequality there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\delta_k} |Z_s|^2 ds + \int_0^{\delta_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |V_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \\ \leq |\xi|^2 + C \sup_{s \leq T} |Y_s|^2 + \int_0^T |\eta_s|^2 ds \\ + 2 \int_0^T |Y_s| \left( \frac{c_0}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}} |Z_s|^{1+\varepsilon} + c_1 \|V_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \right) ds - 2 \int_0^{\delta_k} Y_s Z_s dB_s \\ - \int_0^{\delta_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|Y_{s-} + V_s(w)|^2 - |Y_{s-}|^2) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds). \end{aligned}$$

Once again we use Young's inequality and we choose  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , then it holds true that:

$$2c_0 \frac{|Y_s|}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}} |Z_s|^{1+\varepsilon} \leq \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2} \left( \frac{2c_0}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}} \right)^{\frac{2}{1-\varepsilon}} |Y_s|^{\frac{2}{1-\varepsilon}} + \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2} |Z_s|^2,$$

and for  $\alpha > 0$  we have:

$$2c_1 |Y_s| \|V_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq \frac{4c_1^2}{\alpha} |Y_s|^2 + \alpha \|V_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2}^2.$$

Then for  $\varepsilon$  and  $\alpha$  small enough, there exist positives constants  $C_\alpha$ ,  $C_\varepsilon$  and  $c_{\varepsilon, \alpha}$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_\varepsilon \int_0^{\delta_k} |Z_s|^2 ds + C_\alpha \int_0^{\delta_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |V_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \\
& \leq |\xi|^2 + c_{\alpha, \varepsilon} \sup_{s \leq T} |Y_s|^{\frac{2}{1-\varepsilon}} + \int_0^T |\eta_s|^2 ds \\
& \quad - 2 \int_0^{\delta_k} Y_s Z_s dB_s - \int_0^{\delta_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|Y_{s-} + V_s(w)|^2 - |Y_{s-}|^2) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we take  $\varepsilon \leq \frac{\ln(2)}{2+\ln(2)}$ , hence for  $|y|$  large enough we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_\varepsilon \int_0^{\delta_k} |Z_s|^2 ds + C_\alpha \int_0^{\delta_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |V_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \\
& \leq |\xi|^2 + c_{\alpha, \varepsilon} \sup_{s \leq T} |Y_s|^{\ln(2)+2} + \int_0^T |\eta_s|^2 ds \\
& \quad - 2 \int_0^{\delta_k} Y_s Z_s dB_s - \int_0^{\delta_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|Y_{s-} + V_s(w)|^2 - |Y_{s-}|^2) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefor, there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\delta_k} |Z_s|^2 ds + \int_0^{\delta_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |V_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \\
& \leq C \left( |\xi|^2 + \sup_{s \leq T} |Y_s|^{\ln(2)+2} + \int_0^T |\eta_s|^2 ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2 \int_0^{\delta_k} Y_s Z_s dB_s - \int_0^{\delta_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|Y_{s-} + V_s(w)|^2 - |Y_{s-}|^2) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \right). \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

Next we take the expectation in (15) and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{\delta_k} |Z_s|^2 ds + \int_0^{\delta_k} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |V_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \right] \\
& \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ |\xi|^2 + \sup_{s \leq T} |Y_s|^{\ln(2)+2} + \int_0^T |\eta_s|^2 ds \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Now since  $(\delta_k)_{k \geq 0}$  is a non decreasing sequence of stationary type which converge to  $T$ , we take the limit when  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} |V_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \right] \\
& \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ |\xi|^2 + \sup_{s \leq T} |Y_s|^{\ln(2)+2} + \int_0^T |\eta_s|^2 ds \right]. \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we combine (7) and (16) to complete the proof.  $\square$

## 4 Existence and uniqueness

Before moving on to give the main theorem of this section we first introduce some lemmas that will be useful in the proof of the existence and the uniqueness of the solution.

### 4.1 Useful Lemmas

**Lemma 2.** If (H.2) holds, then there exists a constant  $c$  such that for  $\bar{\alpha} \in ]1, 2[$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |f(s, y, z, \nu)|^{\frac{2}{\bar{\alpha}}} ds \right] \\ \leq c \mathbb{E} \left[ 1 + \int_0^T |\eta_s|^2 ds + \int_0^T |z|^2 ds + \int_0^T \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2}^2 ds \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

**Proof.** From assumption (H.2) we can see that there exists a constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$|f(t, y, z, \nu)| \leq |\eta_t| + \frac{c_0}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}} |z|^{1+\varepsilon} + c_1 \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2}.$$

Then there exists a constant  $c$  (that changes from line to line) such that

$$|f(t, y, z, \nu)|^{\frac{2}{\bar{\alpha}}} \leq c \left( |\eta_t|^{\frac{2}{\bar{\alpha}}} + |z|^{\frac{2(1+\varepsilon)}{\bar{\alpha}}} + c_1 \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2}^{\frac{2}{\bar{\alpha}}} \right).$$

Next we choose  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and we put  $\bar{\alpha} = 1 + \varepsilon$ , then with Young's inequality we have

$$|f(t, y, z, \nu)|^{\frac{2}{\bar{\alpha}}} \leq c \left( 1 + |\eta_t|^2 + |z|^2 + \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2}^2 \right).$$

Finally we take expectation in both sides and it follows that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |f(s, y, z, \nu)|^{\frac{2}{\bar{\alpha}}} ds \right] \leq c \mathbb{E} \left[ 1 + \int_0^T |\eta_s|^2 ds + \int_0^T |z|^2 ds + \int_0^T \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2}^2 ds \right].$$

□

Now we will introduce a lemma whose proof is similar to the one in [3], and has an essential role in the proof of the existence of the solution.

**Lemma 3.** There exists a sequence of functions  $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$  such that the following holds true:

- (i) For each  $n$ ,  $f_n$  is bounded and globally Lipschitz in  $(y, z, \nu)$  a.e.  $t$  and  $P$ -a.s.  $\omega$ .
- (ii)  $\forall n \geq 0$ ,  $|f_n(t, \omega, y, z, \nu)| \leq |\eta_t| + c_0 |z| \sqrt{\ln(|z|)}, \quad P$ -a.s., a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$ .
- (iii) For every  $N$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \rho_N(f_n - f) = 0$ ; where

$$\rho_N(f) = E \left[ \int_0^T \sup_{|y|, |z|, \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq N} |f(s, y, z, \nu)| ds \right].$$

**Proof.** Let  $\psi_n$  from  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$  be a sequence of smooth functions such that:

$$\psi_n(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |u| \leq n; \\ 0 & \text{if } |u| > n. \end{cases}$$

We put,  $\varepsilon_{q,n}(t, y, z, \nu) = \int f(t, (y, z, \nu) - u) \alpha_q(u) du \psi_n(y, z, \nu)$ ; where  $\alpha_n : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a sequence of smooth functions with compact support which approximate the Dirac measure at 0 and which satisfy  $\int \alpha_n(u) du = 1$ . Next for  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , let  $q(n)$  be an integer such that  $q(n) \geq n + n^\alpha$ . Then the sequence  $f_n := \varepsilon_{q(n),n}$  satisfies all the assertions (i)-(iii).  $\square$

Next, Let  $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be the sequence of functions associated to  $f$  by Lemma 3, and let us consider the BSDE associated with  $(\xi, f_n)$  i.e. the following equation:  $\forall t \in [0, T]$

$$Y_t^n = \xi + \int_t^T f_n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^n dB_s - \int_t^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} V_s^n(w) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds). \quad (18)$$

Since  $f_n$  is Lipschitz with respect to  $(y, z, v)$ , then from Theorem 2.1 in [5], for each  $n$  the Equation (18) has a unique solution  $(Y^n, Z^n, V^n)$ . Hence, by using Proposition 1 and Lemma 2 we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.** There exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^n|^{\ln(At+2)+2} + \int_0^T |Z_s^n|^2 ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} |V_s^n(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^T |f_n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n)|^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} ds \right] \leq K. \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

Now we first introduce the following lemma which has an essential role in the proof of the existence and uniqueness of the solution:

**Lemma 5.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $r > 0$ ,  $1 < \bar{\alpha} < 2$ ,  $0 < \kappa < 2 - \bar{\alpha}$  and  $2 < \frac{2}{2-\bar{\alpha}} \leq \beta \leq \frac{(\ln(AT+2)+2)(2-\bar{\alpha}-\kappa)}{2} + 1$ . Assuming that (H.1), (H.2) and (H.3) are satisfied, let  $(Y_t^n, Z_t^n, V_t^n)$  be the solution of (18). Then, there exists  $K > 0$  such that for appropriate  $A$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}$  and  $\kappa$  and for  $\delta < \frac{\kappa}{r(\beta M + 2\beta M^2 + \frac{3\beta-1}{\beta-1}\beta M^2)}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{(T'-\delta)^+ \leq t \leq T'} |Y_t^n - Y_t^m|^\beta \right] \\ \leq \lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} K \mathbb{E} [e^{C_N \delta} |Y_{T'}^n - Y_{T'}^m|^\beta] + \varepsilon. \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** We put  $(\check{Y}, \check{Z}, \check{V}) = (Y^n - Y^m, Z^n - Z^m, V^n - V^m)$ , then by applying Itô's formula on  $e^{Ct} |\check{Y}_t|^\beta$  we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{Ct}|\check{Y}_t|^\beta + C \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs}|\check{Y}_s|^\beta ds = e^{CT'}|\check{Y}_{T'}|^\beta \\
& + \beta \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs}|\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-2}\check{Y}_s(f_n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n) - f_m(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m, V_s^m))ds \\
& - \frac{\beta}{2}(\beta-1) \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs}|\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-2}|\check{Z}_s|^2 ds - \beta \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs}|\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-2}\check{Y}_s\check{Z}_s dB_s \\
& - \beta \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\check{Y}_{s-}|^{\beta-2}\check{Y}_{s-}\check{V}_s(w)\tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \\
& - \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs} \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|\check{Y}_{s-} + \check{V}_s(w)|^\beta - |\check{Y}_{s-}|^\beta - \beta|\check{Y}_{s-}|^{\beta-2}\check{Y}_{s-}\check{V}_s(w)) \mu(dw, ds).
\end{aligned}$$

Put  $\Phi(t) = |Y_t^n| + |Y_t^m| + |Z_t^n| + |Z_t^m| + \|V_t^n\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} + \|V_t^m\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} + |v^1_t| + \|v^2_t\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{Ct}|\check{Y}_t|^\beta + C \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs}|\check{Y}_s|^\beta ds + \frac{\beta}{2}(\beta-1) \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs}|\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-2}|\check{Z}_s|^2 ds \\
& = e^{CT'}|\check{Y}_{T'}|^\beta - \beta \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs}|\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-2}\check{Y}_s\check{Z}_s dB_s \\
& - \beta \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\check{Y}_{s-}|^{\beta-2}\check{Y}_{s-}\check{V}_s(w)\tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \\
& - \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs} \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|\check{Y}_{s-} + \check{V}_s(w)|^\beta - |\check{Y}_{s-}|^\beta \\
& - \beta|\check{Y}_{s-}|^{\beta-2}\check{Y}_{s-}\check{V}_s(w)) \mu(dw, ds) \\
& + J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4,
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 := & \beta \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs}|\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-2}\check{Y}_s(f_n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n) \\
& - f_m(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m, V_s^m))\mathbf{1}_{\{\Phi(s) > \ln(N)\}}ds,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 := & \beta \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs}|\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-2}\check{Y}_s(f_n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n) \\
& - f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n))\mathbf{1}_{\{\Phi(s) \leq \ln(N)\}}ds,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 := & \beta \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs}|\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-2}\check{Y}_s(f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n) \\
& - f(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m, V_s^m))\mathbf{1}_{\{\Phi(s) \leq \ln(N)\}}ds,
\end{aligned}$$

$$J_4 := \beta \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs} |\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-2} \check{Y}_s (f(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m, V_s^m) - f_m(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m, V_s^m)) \mathbf{1}_{\{\Phi(s) \leq \ln(N)\}} ds.$$

Now we will estimate  $J_1$ . let  $0 < \kappa < 2 - \bar{\alpha}$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\leq \frac{\beta e^{CT'}}{\ln(N)^\kappa} \int_t^{T'} |\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-1} \Phi^\kappa(s) |f_n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n) - f_m(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m, V_s^m)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{\beta e^{CT'}}{\ln(N)^\kappa} \int_t^{T'} \left( |\check{Y}_s|^{\frac{2(\beta-1)}{2-\bar{\alpha}-\kappa}} + \Phi(s)^2 \right) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta e^{CT'}}{\ln(N)^\kappa} \int_t^{T'} \left( |f_n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n) - f_m(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m, V_s^m)|^{\frac{2}{\bar{\alpha}}} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Next we estimate  $J_2$  and  $J_4$ . It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 + J_4 &\leq 2\beta e^{CT'} (2 \ln(N))^{\beta-1} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \int_t^{T'} \sup_{|y|, |z|, \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq N} |f_n(s, y, z, \nu) - f(s, y, z, \nu)| ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_t^{T'} \sup_{|y|, |z|, \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq N} |f_m(s, y, z, \nu) - f(s, y, z, \nu)| ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we estimate  $J_3$ . Using assumption **(H.3)**, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &\leq \beta M \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs} \left[ |\check{Y}_s|^\beta \ln A_N + |\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-1} |\check{Z}_s| \sqrt{\ln A_N} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-1} \|\check{V}_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \sqrt{\ln A_N} \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us deal with the Poisson part in (21), as in Proposition 2 in [10] we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \left( |\check{Y}_{s-} + \check{V}_s(w)|^\beta - |\check{Y}_{s-}|^\beta - \beta |\check{Y}_{s-}|^{\beta-2} \check{Y}_{s-} \check{V}_s(w) \right) \mu(dw, ds) \\ & \quad - \beta \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\check{Y}_{s-}|^{\beta-2} \check{Y}_{s-} \check{V}_s(w) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \tag{22} \\ & \leq -\beta(\beta-1) 3^{1-\beta} \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs} |\check{Y}_{s-}|^{\beta-2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\check{V}_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \\ & \quad - \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs} \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|\check{Y}_{s-} + \check{V}_s(w)|^\beta - |\check{Y}_{s-}|^\beta) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds). \end{aligned}$$

Then (21) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{Ct} |\check{Y}_t|^\beta + C \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs} |\check{Y}_s|^\beta ds + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs} |\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-2} |\check{Z}_s|^2 ds \\
& \leq e^{CT'} |\check{Y}_{T'}|^\beta - \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs} \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|\check{Y}_{s-} + \check{V}_s(w)|^\beta - |\check{Y}_{s-}|^\beta) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \\
& + \frac{\beta e^{CT'}}{\ln(N)^\kappa} \int_t^{T'} \left( |\check{Y}_s|^{\frac{2(\beta-1)}{2-\alpha-\kappa}} + \Phi(s)^2 \right) ds - \beta \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs} |\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-2} \check{Y}_s \check{Z}_s dB_s \\
& + \frac{\beta e^{CT'}}{\ln(N)^\kappa} \int_t^{T'} |f_n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n) - f_m(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m, V_s^m)|^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} ds \\
& + \beta M \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs} \left[ |\check{Y}_s|^\beta \ln A_N + |\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-1} |\check{Z}_s| \sqrt{\ln A_N} + |\check{Y}_{s-}|^{\beta-1} \|\check{V}_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \sqrt{\ln A_N} \right] \\
& + 2\beta e^{CT'} (2 \ln(N))^{\beta-1} \left[ \int_t^{T'} \sup_{|y|, |z|, \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq N} |f_n(s, y, z, \nu) - f(s, y, z, \nu)| ds \right. \\
& \left. + \int_t^{T'} \sup_{|y|, |z|, \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq N} |f_m(s, y, z, \nu) - f(s, y, z, \nu)| ds \right] \\
& - \beta(\beta-1) 3^{1-\beta} \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs} |\check{Y}_{s-}|^{\beta-2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\check{V}_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\alpha'$  and  $\gamma$  be two positives constants. By applying Young's inequality we have

$$\beta M |\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-1} |\check{Z}_s| \sqrt{\ln A_N} \leq \frac{\beta^2 M^2 \ln A_N}{\alpha'} |\check{Y}_s|^\beta + \alpha' |\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-2} |\check{Z}_s|^2,$$

and

$$\beta M |\check{Y}_{s-}|^{\beta-1} \|\check{V}_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \sqrt{\ln A_N} \leq \frac{\beta^2 M^2 \ln A_N}{\gamma} |\check{Y}_s|^\beta + \gamma |\check{Y}_{s-}|^{\beta-2} \|\check{V}_s\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2}^2.$$

Next we put  $C = C_N = \left( \beta M + 2\beta M^2 + \frac{3^{\beta-1} \beta M^2}{\beta-1} \right) \ln A_N$  and we choose  $\alpha' = \frac{\beta}{2}$ ,  $\gamma = \beta(\beta-1) 3^{1-\beta}$  hence it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{C_N t} |\check{Y}_t|^\beta & \leq -\beta \int_t^{T'} e^{C_N s} |\check{Y}_s|^{\beta-2} \check{Y}_s \check{Z}_s dB_s \\
& - \int_t^{T'} e^{Cs} \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|\check{Y}_{s-} + \check{V}_s(w)|^\beta - |\check{Y}_{s-}|^\beta) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \\
& + e^{C_N T'} |\check{Y}_{T'}|^\beta + \frac{\beta e^{C_N T'}}{\ln(N)^\kappa} \int_t^{T'} \left( |\check{Y}_s|^{\frac{2(\beta-1)}{2-\alpha-\kappa}} + \Phi(s)^2 \right) ds \\
& + \frac{\beta e^{C_N T'}}{\ln(N)^\kappa} \int_t^{T'} |f_n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n) - f_m(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m, V_s^m)|^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} ds
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2\beta e^{C_N T'} (2 \ln(N))^{\beta-1} \left[ \int_t^{T'} \sup_{|y|, |z|, \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq N} |f_n(s, y, z, \nu) - f(s, y, z, \nu)| ds \right. \\
& \left. + \int_t^{T'} \sup_{|y|, |z|, \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq N} |f_m(s, y, z, \nu) - f(s, y, z, \nu)| ds \right].
\end{aligned}$$

We take the expectation and we use BDG inequality, there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{(T'-\delta)^+ \leq t \leq T'} e^{C_N t} |\check{Y}_t|^\beta \right] \\
& \leq K \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{C_N T'} |\check{Y}_{T'}|^\beta \right] + \frac{K \beta e^{C_N T'}}{\ln(N)^\kappa} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^{T'} \left( |\check{Y}_s|^{\frac{2(\beta-1)}{2-\alpha-\kappa}} + \Phi(s)^2 \right) ds \right] \\
& + \frac{K \beta e^{C_N T'}}{\ln(N)^\kappa} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^{T'} |f_n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n) - f_m(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m, V_s^m)|^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} ds \right] \\
& + 2K \beta e^{C_N T'} (2 \ln(N))^{\beta-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^{T'} \sup_{|y|, |z|, \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq N} |f_n(s, y, z, \nu) - f(s, y, z, \nu)| ds \right. \\
& \left. + \int_t^{T'} \sup_{|y|, |z|, \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq N} |f_m(s, y, z, \nu) - f(s, y, z, \nu)| ds \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{(T'-\delta)^+ \leq t \leq T'} |\check{Y}_t|^\beta \right] \leq K \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{C_N \delta} |\check{Y}_{T'}|^\beta \right] + \beta K \frac{A_N^{B\delta}}{A_N^{\frac{\kappa}{r}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^{T'} |\check{Y}_s|^{\frac{2(\beta-1)}{2-\alpha-\kappa}} ds \right] \\
& + \beta K \frac{A_N^{B\delta}}{A_N^{\frac{\kappa}{r}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^{T'} \left( \Phi(s)^2 + |f_n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n) - f_m(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m, V_s^m)|^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \right) ds \right] \\
& + 2K \beta e^{C_N \delta} (2 \ln(N))^{\beta-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^{T'} \sup_{|y|, |z|, \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq N} |f_n(s, y, z, \nu) - f(s, y, z, \nu)| ds \right. \\
& \left. + \int_t^{T'} \sup_{|y|, |z|, \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq N} |f_m(s, y, z, \nu) - f(s, y, z, \nu)| ds \right]
\end{aligned}$$

where  $B = \beta M + 2\beta M^2 + \frac{3^{\beta-1} \beta M^2}{\beta-1}$ . Then from Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 we obtain for  $\delta < \frac{\kappa}{Br}$  that

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{(T'-\delta)^+ \leq t \leq T'} |\check{Y}_t|^\beta \right] \leq \lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} K \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{C_N \delta} |\check{Y}_{T'}|^\beta \right] + \varepsilon. \quad (23)$$

□

Now we are ready to give the main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 1.** Assume that **(H.1)**, **(H.2)** and **(H.3)** are satisfied. Then the BSDE (4) has a unique solution.

**Proof.** The proof will be divided into two parts, the first one is for the existence and the second one is for the uniqueness.

**-Existence.** Taking successively  $T' = T$ ,  $T' = (T - \delta')^+$ ,  $T' = (T - 2\delta')^+ \dots$  in (20) yields

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t^n - Y_t^m|^\beta \right] = 0. \quad (24)$$

Finally, Proposition 1 allows us to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t^n - Y_t|^\beta \right] = 0. \quad (25)$$

Next with  $(\check{Y}, \check{Z}, \check{V}) = (Y^n - Y^m, Z^n - Z^m, V^n - V^m)$ , we apply once more Itô's formula and we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T |\check{Z}_s|^2 ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\check{V}_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \\ &= 2 \int_0^T \check{Y}_s (f_n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n) - f_m(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m, V_s^m)) ds \\ & \quad - 2 \int_0^T \check{Y}_s \check{Z}_s dB_s - \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|\check{Y}_{s-} + \check{V}_s(w)|^2 - |\check{Y}_{s-}|^2) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) - |\check{Y}_0|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We take the expectation, and by Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |\check{Z}_s|^2 ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\check{V}_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \right] \\ & \leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |\check{Y}_s| |f_n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n) - f_m(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m, V_s^m)| ds \right] \\ & \leq C\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\check{Y}_s|^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} \right]^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}} \times \\ & \quad \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |f_n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n) - f_m(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m, V_s^m)|^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} ds \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then from (24) and Lemma 4 we have:

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |Z_s^n - Z_s^m|^2 ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} |V_s^n(w) - V_s^m(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \right] = 0. \quad (26)$$

Finally by using Proposition 1 we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |Z_s^n - Z_s|^2 ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} |V_s^n(w) - V_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \right] = 0. \quad (27)$$

Therefore, there exists a subsequence which we still denote  $(Y^n, Z^n, V^n)$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( |Y_t^n - Y_t| + \int_0^T |Z_s^n - Z_s|^2 ds \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} |V_s^n(w) - V_s(w)|^2 \lambda(dw) ds \right) = 0, \text{ a.e. } (t, \omega) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

On the other hand, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [3] we can show that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |f_n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n) - f(s, Y_s, Z_s, V_s)| ds \right] = 0.$$

The existence is proved.

### -Uniqueness.

Let  $(Y, Z, V)$  and  $(Y', Z', V')$  be two solutions of the BSDE (4) associated with data  $(\xi, f)$ . Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 5 and by taking successively  $T' = T$ ,  $T' = (T - \delta')^+$ ,  $T' = (T - 2\delta')^+$ ..., one can prove that

$$Y_t = Y'_t \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Next arguing once again as in the proof of the existence above, one can also prove that:

$$Z_t = Z'_t \text{ and } V_t = V'_t \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

The proof Theorem 1 is now complete.  $\square$

## 5 Application to stochastic optimal control problem

Now we are going to highlight the link between stochastic optimal control, when the noise is of gaussian and Poisson types and BSDEs with Poisson jump.

Let  $D$  be the space of controls, the space of the form  $D_1 \times D_2$ , where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are two separable metric spaces whose Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra are  $B(D_1)$  and  $B(D_2)$  respectively. Now let  $\mathcal{D}_1$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}_2$ ) be the set of  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable processes with values in  $D_1$  (resp.  $D_2$ ).  $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2$  is called the set of admissible controls.

Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $x_t$  be the solution of the following standard functional differential equation.

$$x_t = x_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(s, x_s) dB_s + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{U}} \gamma(s, x_{s-}, w) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds), \quad (29)$$

where the mappings  $\gamma : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\sigma : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  satisfy the following:

- (i)  $\sigma$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable;
- (ii) there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that  $|\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, x')| \leq C|x - x'|$  and  $|\sigma(t, x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)$ , for any  $(x, x')$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t \leq T$ ;
- (iii) for any  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , the matrix  $\sigma(t, x)$  is invertible and  $|\sigma^{-1}(t, x)| \leq C$  for some constant  $C$ ;
- (iv) for any  $(t, x, x', w) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{U}$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that:

$$\|\gamma(t, x, w) - \gamma(t, x', w)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq C|x - x'| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\gamma(t, x, w)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq C(1 + |x|).$$

According to Theorem 1.19 in [11] the process  $(x_t)_{t \leq T}$  exists. Furthermore for  $m \geq 2$   $(x_t)_{t \leq T}$  satisfies  $\mathbb{E}[|x|_t^m] < +\infty$ , for all  $t$  where  $\|x\|_t = \sup_{s \leq t} |x_s|$ , (see [5]). Now we are given four functions  $\varphi$ ,  $c$ ,  $g$  and  $h$ :

(A.1)  $\varphi : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times D_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  such that:

- (i) for every  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$  the function  $\varphi(t, x, \cdot) : \bar{u} \rightarrow \varphi(t, x, \bar{u})$  is continuous,
- (ii) there exists a real constant  $K_1 > 0$  such that:

$$|\varphi(t, x, \bar{u})| \leq K_1(1 + |x|) \quad \forall (t, x, \bar{u}) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times D_1; \quad (30)$$

- (iii) there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$|\sigma^{-1}(t, x)\varphi(t, x, \bar{u})| \leq C \quad \forall (t, x, \bar{u}) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times D_1; \quad (31)$$

(A.2)  $c : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times D_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:

- (i) for every  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$  the function  $c(t, x, \cdot) : \bar{u} \rightarrow c(t, x, \bar{u})$  is continuous,
- (ii) there exists a positive constant  $K_2$  such that:

$$|c(t, x, \bar{u})| \leq K_2(1 + |x|) \quad \forall (t, x, \bar{u}) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times D_1; \quad (32)$$

(A.3)  $g : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times D_2 \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:

- (i) for every  $(t, x, w) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{U}$  the function  $g(t, x, \cdot, w) : \tilde{u} \rightarrow g(t, x, \tilde{u}, w)$  is continuous,

(ii) for every  $(t, x, \tilde{u}, w) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times D_2 \times \mathcal{U}$

$$|g(t, x, \tilde{u}, w)| \leq |w| \mathbf{1}_{\{|w| \leq 1\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\{|w| > 1\}}; \quad (33)$$

(A.4)  $h : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times D_2 \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:

- (i) for every  $(t, x, w) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{U}$  the function  $h(t, x, \cdot, w) : \tilde{u} \rightarrow h(t, x, \tilde{u}, w)$  is continuous,
- (ii) there exists a constant  $C$  such that:

$$\|h(t, x, \tilde{u}, w)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} \leq C(1 + |x|) \quad \forall (t, x, \tilde{u}, w) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times D_2 \times \mathcal{U}; \quad (34)$$

For any  $u = (\bar{u}, \tilde{u}) \in \mathcal{D}$ , let  $L^u := (L_t^u)_{t \leq T}$  be the positive local martingale solution of:

$$\begin{cases} dL_s^u = L_{s-}^u \left\{ \sigma^{-1}(s, x_s) \varphi(s, x_s, \bar{u}_s) dB_s + \int_{\mathcal{U}} g(s, x_{s-}, \tilde{u}_s, w) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \right\} \\ L_0^u = 1 \end{cases}$$

Under the previous assumptions, for a given admissible control  $u \in \mathcal{D}$ , the process  $L^u$  is an  $(\mathcal{F}_t, P)$ -martingale and  $P^u$  defined by  $dP^u = L^u dP$  is a probability ([7], Corollary 5.1, pp. 244). Moreover under  $P^u$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}^u(dw, dt) = \tilde{\mu}(dw, dt) - g(t, x_{t-}, \tilde{u}_t, w) \lambda(dw) dt$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -martingale measure and  $(B_t^u = B_t - \int_0^t \sigma^{-1}(s, x_s) \varphi(s, x_s, \bar{u}_s) ds)_{t \leq T}$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -Brownian motion. Then the Equation (29) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= x_0 + \int_0^t \varphi(s, x_s, \bar{u}_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, x_s) dB_s^u \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{U}} \gamma(s, x_{s-}, w) \tilde{\mu}^u(dw, ds) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{U}} \gamma(s, x_{s-}, w) g(s, x_{s-}, \tilde{u}_s, w) \lambda(dw) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Now we shall study a stochastic control with one player. The controller, chooses an admissible control strategy  $u^*$  to maximize the amount

$$\int_0^T c(s, x_s, \bar{u}_s) ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} h(s, x_{s-}, \tilde{u}_s, w) \lambda(dw) ds + \xi, \quad (36)$$

it is then in the interest of the controller to make the amount as big as possible at least on average, which lead us to a stochastic control with

$$J(u) = \mathbb{E}^u \left[ \int_0^T c(s, x_s, \bar{u}_s) ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} h(s, x_{s-}, \tilde{u}_s, w) \lambda(dw) ds + \xi \right]. \quad (37)$$

But first let us introduce the two Hamiltonian functions  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  associated with this control problem defined on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times D_1$  and  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{U} \times D_2$  respectively as follows

$$H_1(t, x, z, \bar{u}) = z \sigma^{-1}(t, x) \varphi(t, x, \bar{u}) + c(t, x, \bar{u}) \quad (38)$$

and

$$H_2(t, x, \nu, \tilde{u}) = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \nu g(t, x, \tilde{u}, w) \lambda(dw) + \int_{\mathcal{U}} h(t, x, \tilde{u}, w) \lambda(dw). \quad (39)$$

According to Benes selection theorem [6], there exist two measurable functions  $\bar{u}^*(s, x, z)$  and  $\tilde{u}^*(s, x, \nu)$  with value in  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  such that

$$H_1^*(t, x, z) = H_1(t, x, z, \bar{u}^*(s, x, z)) = \sup_{\bar{u} \in D_1} H_1(t, x, z, \bar{u}),$$

and

$$H_2^*(t, x, \nu) = H_2(t, x, z, \tilde{u}^*(s, x, \nu)) = \sup_{\tilde{u} \in D_2} H_2(t, x, \nu, \tilde{u}).$$

Moreover the function  $H^*(t, x, z, \nu) = H_1^*(t, x, z) + H_2^*(t, x, \nu)$  satisfies assumptions **(H.2)** and **(H.3)**. Indeed, there exists constants  $C$ ,  $c_0$  and  $c_1$  such that:

$$|H_1^*(t, x, z)| \leq C(1 + \|x\|_t)^2 + c_0|z|\sqrt{|\ln|z||},$$

and

$$|H_2^*(t, x, \nu)| \leq C(1 + \|x\|_t)^2 + c_1\|\nu\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2}.$$

Next to prove that the function  $H^*$  satisfies **(H.3)** it is enough to take  $v^1_t := |\varphi(t, x, \bar{u})|^2$  and  $v^2$  such that  $\|v^2_t\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2} := \|g(t, x, \tilde{u}, w)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^2}^2$ .

Now we are ready to give the main result of this section. Actually we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that (A.1), (A.2), (A.3) and (A.4) are satisfied, and let  $(Y^*, Z^*, V^*)$  be the solution of the BSDE associated with  $(\xi, H^*)$ . Then the admissible control  $u^* = (\bar{u}^*, \tilde{u}^*)$  is optimal strategy for the stochastic control; i.e. it satisfies

$$J(u^*) = Y_0^* \geq J(u) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $(Y^*, Z^*, V^*)$  be the solution of the BSDE associated with  $(\xi, H^*)$ . Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0^* &= \xi + \int_0^T H^*(s, x_s, Z_s^*, V_s^*) ds - \int_0^T Z_s^* dB_s - \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} V_s^*(w) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \\ &= \xi + \int_0^T c(s, x_s, \bar{u}^*(s, x_s, Z_s^*)) ds - \int_0^T Z_s^* dB_s^* \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} h(s, x_{s-}, \tilde{u}^*(s, x_s, V_s^*), w) \lambda(dw) ds - \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} V_s^*(w) \tilde{\mu}^{u^*}(dw, ds). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\left(\int_0^t Z_s^* dB_s^{u^*}\right)_{t \leq T}$  and  $\left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{U}} V_s^*(w) \tilde{\mu}^{u^*}(dw, ds)\right)_{t \leq T}$  are martingales, and since  $Y_0^*$  is  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable hence deterministic, then by taking the expectation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0^* &= \mathbb{E}^{u^*} \left[ \xi + \int_0^T c(s, x_s, \bar{u}^*(s, x_s, Z_s^*)) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} h(s, x_{s-}, \tilde{u}^*(s, x_s, V_s^*), w) \lambda(dw) ds \right]. \quad (40) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$Y_0^* = J(u^*).$$

Let us now show that  $Y_0^* \geq J(u) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}$ . Actually

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0^* &= \xi + \int_0^T H^*(s, x_s, Z_s^*, V_s^*) ds - \int_0^T Z_s^* dB_s - \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} V_s^*(w) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \\ &\geq \xi + \int_0^T H(s, x_s, Z_s^*, V_s^*, \bar{u}_s, \check{u}_s) ds - \int_0^T Z_s^* dB_s - \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} V_s^*(w) \tilde{\mu}(dw, ds) \\ &= \xi + \int_0^T c(s, x_s, \bar{u}_s) ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} h(s, x_{s-}, \check{u}_s, w) \lambda(dw) ds - \int_0^T Z_s^* dB_s^u \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{U}} V_s^*(w) \tilde{\mu}^u(dw, ds), \end{aligned}$$

where  $H = H_1 + H_2$ .

Once more since  $\left(\int_0^t Z_s^* dB_s^{u^*}\right)_{t \leq T}$  and  $\left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{U}} V_s^*(w) \tilde{\mu}^{u^*}(dw, ds)\right)_{t \leq T}$  are martingales we take the expectation and we get

$$J(u^*) = Y_0^* \geq J(u).$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

## Funding

This research was supported by National Center for Scientific and Technical Research (CNRST), Morocco.

## References

- [1] Bahlali, K.: Backward stochastic differential equations with locally lipschitz coefficient. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences-Series I-Mathematics* **333**(5), 481–486 (2001). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0764-4442\(01\)02063-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0764-4442(01)02063-8)
- [2] Bahlali, K.: Existence and uniqueness of solutions for BSDEs with locally lipschitz coefficient. *Electronic Communications in probability* **7**, 169–179 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1214/ECP.v7-1058>
- [3] Bahlali, K., El Asri, B.: Stochastic optimal control and BSDEs with logarithmic growth. *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques* **136**(6), 617–637 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bulsci.2011.12.008>
- [4] Bahlali, K., Kebiri, O., Khelfallah, N., Moussaoui, H.: One dimensional BSDEs with logarithmic growth application to PDEs. *Stochastics* **89**(6-7), 1061–1081 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17442508.2017.1311900>
- [5] Barles, G., Buckdahn, R., Pardoux, E.: Backward stochastic differential equations and integral-partial differential equations. *Stochastics and Stochastics Reports* **60**(1-2), 57–83 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17442509708834099>
- [6] Beneš, V.: Existence of optimal stochastic control laws. *SIAM Journal on Control* **9**(3), 446–472 (1971). <https://doi.org/10.1137/0309034>

- [7] Bensoussan, A., Lions, J.L.: Contrôle impulsionnel et inéquations quasi-variationnelles. Dunod, Paris (1982)
- [8] Hamadène, S., Wang, H.: BSDEs with two RCLL reflecting obstacles driven by Brownian motion and Poisson measure and a related mixed zero-sum game. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **119**(9), 2881–2912 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spa.2009.03.004>
- [9] Hamadène, S., Ouknine, Y.: Reflected backward stochastic differential equation with jumps and random obstacle. *Electronic Journal of Probability* **8** (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1214/EJP.v8-124>
- [10] Kruse, T., Popier, A.: BSDEs with monotone generator driven by Brownian and Poisson noises in a general filtration. *Stochastics* **88**(4), 491–539 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17442508.2015.1090990>
- [11] Øksendal, B., Sulem, A.: *Applied Stochastic Control of Jump Diffusions* vol. 498. Springer (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-02781-0>
- [12] Pardoux, E., Peng, S.: Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation. *Systems & Control Letters* **14**(1), 55–61 (1990). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-6911\(90\)90082-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-6911(90)90082-6)