# Extremal results for directed tree connectivity

Yuefang Sun<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: yuefangsun2013@163.com, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Ningbo University, Zhejiang 315211, P. R. China

December 15, 2020

#### Abstract

For a digraph D = (V(D), A(D)), and a set  $S \subseteq V(D)$  with  $r \in S$ and  $|S| \geq 2$ , an (S, r)-tree is an out-tree T rooted at r with  $S \subseteq V(T)$ . Two (S, r)-trees  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are said to be arc-disjoint if  $A(T_1) \cap A(T_2) = \emptyset$ . Two arc-disjoint (S, r)-trees  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are said to be internally disjoint if  $V(T_1) \cap V(T_2) = S$ . Let  $\kappa_{S,r}(D)$  and  $\lambda_{S,r}(D)$  be the maximum number of internally disjoint and arc-disjoint (S, r)-trees in D, respectively. The generalized k-vertex-strong connectivity of Dis defined as

 $\kappa_k(D) = \min\{\kappa_{S,r}(D) \mid S \subset V(D), |S| = k, r \in S\}.$ 

Similarly, the generalized k-arc-strong connectivity of D is defined as

 $\lambda_k(D) = \min\{\lambda_{S,r}(D) \mid S \subset V(D), |S| = k, r \in S\}.$ 

The generalized k-vertex-strong connectivity and generalized k-arcstrong connectivity are also called directed tree connectivity which could be seen as a generalization of classical connectivity of digraphs.

A digraph D = (V(D), A(D)) is called minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ vertex (respectively, arc)-strongly connected if  $\kappa_k(D) \ge \ell$  (respectively,  $\lambda_k(D) \ge \ell$ ) but for any arc  $e \in A(D)$ ,  $\kappa_k(D-e) \le \ell - 1$  (respectively,  $\lambda_k(D-e) \le \ell - 1$ ). In this paper, we study the minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ -vertex (respectively, arc)-strongly connected digraphs. We compute the minimum and maximum sizes of these digraphs, and give characterizations of such digraphs for some pairs of k and  $\ell$ .

**Keywords:** Directed tree connectivity; generalized *k*-vertex-strong connectivity; generalized *k*-arc-strong connectivity; Directed Steiner tree packing; Out-tree; Out-branching.

**AMS subject classification (2020)**: 05C05, 05C20, 05C35, 05C40, 05C70, 05C75.

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation (No. LY20A010013).

## 1 Introduction

We refer the readers to [1-3] for graph theoretical notation and terminology not given here. <sup>1</sup> For a graph G = (V, E) and a set  $S \subseteq V$  of at least two vertices, an S-Steiner tree or, simply, an S-tree is a tree T of G such that  $S \subseteq V(T)$ . Two S-trees  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are said to be *edge-disjoint* if  $E(T_1) \cap E(T_2) = \emptyset$ . Two edge-disjoint S-trees  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are said to be *internally disjoint* if  $V(T_1) \cap V(T_2) = S$ . The basic problem of STEINER TREE PACKING is defined as follows: the input consists of an undirected graph G and a subset of vertices  $S \subseteq V(D)$ , the goal is to find a largest collection of edge-disjoint S-Steiner trees. Besides this classical version, people also study some other variations, such as packing internally disjoint Steiner trees, packing directed Steiner trees and packing strong subgraphs [4,5,9,10,15–17,19].

An out-tree (respectively, in-tree) is an oriented tree in which every vertex except one, called the root, has in-degree (respectively, out-degree) one. An out-branching (respectively, in-branching) of D is a spanning out-tree (respectively, in-tree) in D. For a digraph D = (V(D), A(D)), and a set  $S \subseteq V(D)$  with  $r \in S$  and  $|S| \ge 2$ , a directed (S, r)-Steiner tree or, simply, an (S, r)-tree is an out-tree T rooted at r with  $S \subseteq V(T)$  [4]. Two (S, r)-trees  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are said to be arc-disjoint if  $A(T_1) \cap A(T_2) = \emptyset$ . Two arc-disjoint (S, r)-trees  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are said to be internally disjoint if  $V(T_1) \cap V(T_2) = S$ .

Cheriyan and Salavatipour [4] introduced and studied the following two type of directed Steiner tree packing problems. ARC-DISJOINT DIRECTED STEINER TREE PACKING (ADSTP): The input consists of a digraph D and a subset of vertices  $S \subseteq V(D)$  with a root r, the goal is to find a largest collection of arc-disjoint (S, r)-trees. INTERNALLY-DISJOINT DIRECTED STEINER TREE PACKING (IDSTP): The input consists of a digraph D and a subset of vertices  $S \subseteq V(D)$  with a root r, the goal is to find a largest collection of internally disjoint (S, r)-trees.

Sun and Yeo [17] introduced the concept of directed tree connectivity which is related to directed Steiner tree packing problems and extends the concept of tree connectivity of undirected graphs to digraphs (see e.g. [6, 11, 12]). Let  $\kappa_{S,r}(D)$  and  $\lambda_{S,r}(D)$  be the maximum number of internally disjoint and arc-disjoint (S, r)-trees in D, respectively. The generalized kvertex-strong connectivity of D is defined as

$$\kappa_k(D) = \min\{\kappa_{S,r}(D) \mid S \subset V(D), |S| = k, r \in S\}.$$

Similarly, the generalized k-arc-strong connectivity of D is defined as

$$\lambda_k(D) = \min\{\lambda_{S,r}(D) \mid S \subset V(D), |S| = k, r \in S\}.$$

By definition,  $\kappa_2(D) = \kappa(D)$  and  $\lambda_2(D) = \lambda(D)$ . Therefore, these two parameters could be seen as generalizations of vertex-strong connectivity and arc-strong connectivity of a digraph, respectively. The generalized kvertex-strong connectivity and generalized k-arc-strong connectivity are also called *directed tree connectivity*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that all digraphs considered in this paper have no parallel arcs or loops.

Now we introduce more concepts and parameters related to directed tree connectivity. A digraph D = (V(D), A(D)) is called *minimally generalized*  $(k, \ell)$ -vertex (respectively, arc)-strongly connected if  $\kappa_k(D) \ge \ell$  (respectively,  $\lambda_k(D) \ge \ell$ ) but for any arc  $e \in A(D)$ ,  $\kappa_k(D-e) \le \ell - 1$  (respectively,  $\lambda_k(D-e) \le \ell - 1$ ). By the definition of  $\kappa_k(D)$  (respectively,  $\lambda_k(D)$ ) and Theorem 2.3, we clearly have  $2 \le k \le n, 1 \le \ell \le n - 1$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{F}(n, k, \ell)$  be the set of all minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ -vertex-strongly connected digraphs with order n. We define

$$F(n,k,\ell) = \max\{|A(D)| \mid D \in \mathfrak{F}(n,k,\ell)\}$$

and

$$f(n,k,\ell) = \min\{|A(D)| \mid D \in \mathfrak{F}(n,k,\ell)\}.$$

We further define

$$Ex(n,k,\ell) = \{D \mid D \in \mathfrak{F}(n,k,\ell), |A(D)| = F(n,k,\ell)\}$$

and

$$ex(n,k,\ell) = \{D \mid D \in \mathfrak{F}(n,k,\ell), |A(D)| = f(n,k,\ell)\}$$

Similarly, let  $\mathfrak{G}(n, k, \ell)$  be the set of all minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ -arcstrongly connected digraphs with order n. We define

$$G(n,k,\ell) = \max\{|A(D)| \mid D \in \mathfrak{G}(n,k,\ell)\}$$

and

$$g(n,k,\ell) = \min\{|A(D)| \mid D \in \mathfrak{G}(n,k,\ell)\}.$$

We further define

$$Ex'(n,k,\ell) = \{D \mid D \in \mathfrak{G}(n,k,\ell), |A(D)| = G(n,k,\ell)\}$$

and

$$ex'(n,k,\ell) = \{ D \mid D \in \mathfrak{G}(n,k,\ell), |A(D)| = g(n,k,\ell) \}.$$

By definition, we directly have  $f(n, k, \ell) \leq F(n, k, \ell)$  and  $g(n, k, \ell) \leq G(n, k, \ell)$ .

The complexity for the decision versions of IDSTP and ADSTP on general digraphs, Eulerian digraphs and symmetric digraphs have been completely determined, as shown in the following tables.

Table 1: Directed graphs			
$\kappa_{S,r}(D) \ge \ell?$	k = 3	$k \ge 4$	k  part
S  = k		constant	of input
$\ell = 2$	NP-complete [4]	NP-complete [17]	NP-complete [17]
$\ell \geq 3 \text{ constant}$	NP-complete [17]	NP-complete [17]	NP-complete [17]
$\ell$ part of input	NP-complete [17]	NP-complete [17]	NP-complete [17]

Table 2: Directed graphs			
$\lambda_{S,r}(D) \ge \ell?$	k = 3	$k \ge 4$	k  part
S  = k		constant	of input
$\ell = 2$	NP-complete [4]	NP-complete [17]	NP-complete [17]
$\ell \geq 3 \text{ constant}$	NP-complete [17]	NP-complete [17]	NP-complete [17]
$\ell$ part of input	NP-complete [17]	NP-complete [17]	NP-complete [17]

Table 3: Eulerian digraphs			
$\kappa_{S,r}(D) \ge \ell?$	k = 3	$k \ge 4$	k part
S  = k		constant	of input
$\ell = 2$	NP-complete [17]	NP-complete [17]	NP-complete [17]
0 > 0			
$\ell \geq 3$ constant	NP-complete [17]	NP-complete [17]	NP-complete [17]

Table 4: Eulerian digraphs			
$\lambda_{S,r}(D) \ge \ell?$	k = 3	$k \ge 4$	k  part
S  = k		constant	of input
$\ell = 2$	Polynomial [17]	Polynomial [17]	Polynomial [17]
$\ell \geq 3 \text{ constant}$	Polynomial [17]	Polynomial [17]	Polynomial [17]
$\ell$ part of input	Polynomial [17]	Polynomial [17]	Polynomial [17]

Table 5: Symmetric digraphs			
$\kappa_{S,r}(D) \ge \ell?$	k = 3	$k \ge 4$	k part
S  = k		constant	of input
$\ell = 2$	Polynomial [17]	Polynomial [17]	NP-complete [17]
$\ell \geq 3 \text{ constant}$	Polynomial [17]	Polynomial [17]	NP-complete [17]
$\ell$ part of input	NP-complete [17]	NP-complete [17]	NP-complete [17]

Table 6: Symmetric digraphs			
$\lambda_{S,r}(D) \ge \ell?$	k = 3	$k \ge 4$	k  part
S  = k		constant	of input
$\ell = 2$	Polynomial [17]	Polynomial [17]	Polynomial [17]
$\ell \geq 3 \text{ constant}$	Polynomial [17]	Polynomial [17]	Polynomial [17]
$\ell$ part of input	Polynomial [17]	Polynomial [17]	Polynomial [17]

For directed tree connectivity, some inequalities concerning parameters  $\kappa_k(D)$  and  $\lambda_k(D)$  were obtained. Let D be a strong digraph with order n. For  $2 \leq k \leq n$ , It was proved in [17] that  $1 \leq \kappa_k(D) \leq n-1$  and  $1 \leq \lambda_k(D) \leq n-1$ . Moreover, all bounds are sharp, and those digraphs D for which  $\kappa_k(D)$  (respectively,  $\lambda_k(D)$ ) attains the upper bound are characterized. Then the authors studied the relation between the directed tree connectivity and classical connectivity of digraphs by showing that  $\kappa_k(D) \leq \kappa(D)$  and  $\lambda_k(D) \leq \lambda(D)$ . Furthermore, these bounds are sharp. In the same paper, the sharp Nordhaus-Gaddum type bounds for  $\lambda_k(D)$  were also obtained; moreover, extremal digraphs for the lower bounds were characterized.

In this paper, we will study the minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ -vertex-strongly connected digraphs and minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ -arc-strongly connected digraphs. We first give characterizations of such digraphs for some pairs of k and  $\ell$  (Theorem 2.8), and then obtain exact values or sharp bounds for the functions  $f(n, k, \ell)$ ,  $F(n, k, \ell)$ ,  $g(n, k, \ell)$  and  $G(n, k, \ell)$  (Theorem 3.4). Some open problems will also be posed.

Additional Terminology and Notation. For a digraph D, its reverse  $D^{rev}$  is a digraph with the same vertex set such that  $xy \in A(D^{rev})$  if and

only if  $yx \in A(D)$ . A digraph D is symmetric if  $D^{rev} = D$ . In other words, a symmetric digraph D can be obtained from its underlying undirected graph G by replacing each edge of G with the corresponding arcs of both directions, that is,  $D = \overleftarrow{G}$ . A digraph D is minimally strong if D is strong but D - e is not for every arc e of D.

## 2 Characterizations

The following proposition can be verified using definitions of  $\kappa_k(D)$  and  $\lambda_k(D)$ .

**Proposition 2.1** [17] Let D be a digraph of order n, and let  $2 \le k \le n$  be an integer. Then

$$\lambda_{k+1}(D) \le \lambda_k(D) \text{ for every } k \le n-1 \tag{1}$$

 $\kappa_k(D') \leq \kappa_k(D), \lambda_k(D') \leq \lambda_k(D)$  where D' is a spanning subdigraph of D

$$\kappa_k(D) \le \lambda_k(D) \le \min\{\delta^+(D), \delta^-(D)\}$$
(3)

(2)

D is strong if and only if  $\lambda_k(D) \ge 1$ . (4)

We will use the following Tillson's decomposition theorem.

**Theorem 2.2** (Tillson's decomposition theorem) [18] The arcs of  $\overleftarrow{K}_n$  can be decomposed into Hamiltonian cycles if and only if  $n \neq 4, 6$ .

Sun and Yeo got the following sharp bounds for  $\kappa_k(D)$  and  $\lambda_k(D)$ .

**Theorem 2.3** [17] Let D be a strong digraph of order n, and let  $2 \le k \le n$  be an integer. Then

$$1 \le \kappa_k(D) \le n - 1 \tag{5}$$

$$1 \le \lambda_k(D) \le n - 1 \tag{6}$$

Moreover, all bounds are sharp, and the upper bounds hold if and only if  $D \cong \overleftarrow{K}_n$ .

By Proposition 2.1(4) and the fact that  $\kappa_k(D) \ge 1$  if and only if  $\lambda_k(D) \ge 1$ , the following directly holds:

**Proposition 2.4** A digraph D is strong if and only if  $\kappa_k(D) \ge 1$  for  $2 \le k \le n$ .

In the rest of this paper, we use  $\mathcal{D}_{n,1}$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{D}_{n,2}$ ) to denote the set of digraphs obtained from the complete digraph  $\overrightarrow{K}_n$  by deleting an arc set M such that  $\overleftarrow{K}_n[M]$  is a union of vertex-disjoint cycles which cover all (respectively, n-1) vertices of  $\overleftarrow{K}_n$ .

**Lemma 2.5** If  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n,1} \cup \mathcal{D}_{n,2}$ , then D contains n-2 arc-disjoint outbranchings rooted at any vertex r.

**Proof:** Let  $V(D) = \{u_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ . Firstly, we consider the case that  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n,1}$  such that  $\overleftarrow{K}_n[M]$  is a union of vertex-disjoint cycles  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_p$ which cover all vertices of  $\overleftarrow{K}_n$ . Without loss of generality, let  $u_1$  be the root and belong to the cycle  $C_1 := u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_s, u_1 \ (s \ge 2)$ . For each  $3 \leq i \leq s$  (if exists), let  $T_{i-2}$  be an out-branching of D with arc set  $\{u_1u_i, u_iu_2, u_2u_{i+1}, u_iv \mid v \in V(D) \setminus \{u_1, u_2, u_i, u_{i+1}\}\}$  if  $s \geq 4$ ; otherwise, we have s = 3, and then let  $T_1$  be an out-branching of D with arc set  $\{u_1u_3, u_3v \mid v \in V(D) \setminus \{u_1, u_3\}\}$ . Now consider the vertex  $u_i$  for  $s+1 \leq i \leq n$ , without loss of generality, assume that  $u_i$  belongs to the cycle  $C_2 := u_i, u_{i+1}, \ldots, u_{i+t-1}, u_i \ (t \ge 2)$ , where we set  $u_{i+t} = u_i$  in the cycle. Let  $T_{i-2}$  be an out-branching of D with arc set  $\{u_1u_i, u_iu_2, u_2u_{i+1}, u_iv\}$  $v \in V(D) \setminus \{u_1, u_2, u_i, u_{i+1}\}\}$ . Hence we get n-2 out-branchings rooted at  $u_1$  and it can be checked these out-branchings are pairwise arc-disjoint. For example, let  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{5,1}$  such that  $\overleftarrow{K}_5[M]$  is a union of vertex-disjoint cycles  $C_1, C_2$ , where  $C_1 := u_1, u_2, u_3, u_1$  and  $C_2 := u_4, u_5, u_4$ . Let  $T_1, T_2$  and  $T_3$  be out-branchings rooted at  $u_1$  with arc sets  $\{u_1u_3, u_3u_2, u_3u_4, u_3u_5\},\$  $\{u_1u_4, u_4u_2, u_2u_5, u_4u_3\}$  and  $\{u_1u_5, u_5u_2, u_2u_4, u_5u_3\}$ , respectively. Observe that these out-branchings are pairwise arc-disjoint.

Secondly, let  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n,2}$  such that  $\overleftarrow{K}_n[M]$  is a union of vertex-disjoint cycles  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_p$  which cover all but at most one vertex, say  $u_n$ , of  $\overline{K}_n$ . It suffices to consider the case that  $u_n$  is the root since the argument for the remaining case is similar to the above paragraph. Without loss of generality, assume that the arc  $u_1u_2$  belongs to one of the above cycles, say  $C_1 := u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_s, u_1$   $(s \ge 2)$ . Let  $T_1$  be an outbranching with arc set  $\{u_n u_1, u_n u_2, u_1 v \mid v \in V(D) \setminus \{u_1, u_2, u_n\}\}$ . For each  $3 \leq i \leq s$  (if exists), let  $T_{i-1}$  be the out-branching of D with arc set  $\{u_n u_i, u_i u_2, u_2 u_{i+1}, u_i v \mid v \in V(D) \setminus \{u_2, u_i, u_{i+1}, u_n\}\}$  (note that if i = s, then we set  $u_{i+1} = u_{s+1} = u_1$  in this branching). Now consider the vertex  $u_i$  for  $s+1 \leq i \leq n$ , without loss of generality, assume that  $u_i$  belongs to the cycle  $C_2 := u_i, u_{i+1}, \ldots, u_{i+t-1}, u_i \ (t \ge 2)$ . Let  $T_{i-1}$  be an out-branching of D with arc set  $\{u_n u_i, u_i u_2, u_2 u_{i+1}, u_i v \mid v \in V(D) \setminus \{u_2, u_i, u_{i+1}, u_n\}\}$ . Hence we get n-2 out-branchings rooted at  $u_n$  and it can be checked that these out-branchings are pairwise arc-disjoint. 

**Lemma 2.6** Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n,1} \cup \mathcal{D}_{n,2}$ . For any  $S \subset V(D)$  with |S| = n - 1, D contains n - 2 internally disjoint (S, r)-trees, where  $r \in S$ .

**Proof:** Let  $V(D) = \{u_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$ 

**Case 1**:  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n,1}$  such that  $\overleftarrow{K}_n[M]$  is a union of vertex-disjoint cycles  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_p$  which cover all vertices of  $\overleftarrow{K}_n$ . Without loss of generality, let  $S = V(D) \setminus \{u_2\}$  and  $C_1 := u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_s, u_1 \ (s \ge 2)$ .

**Subcase 1.1:**  $s \geq 3$ . Let  $D' = D[S] - \{u_1u_3\}$ . Observe that  $D' \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1,1}$ . By Lemma 2.5, D' contains n-3 arc-disjoint out-branchings  $T_i$   $(1 \leq i \leq n-3)$  rooted at any vertex  $r \in S$ . If  $u_1$  is the root, then let  $T_{n-2}$  be a tree with arc set  $\{u_1u_3, u_3u_2, u_2v \mid v \in V(D) \setminus \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}\}$ ; if  $u_i$   $(i \neq 1, 2)$  is the root, then let  $T_{n-2}$  be a tree with arc set  $\{u_3u_2, u_2v \mid v \in V(D) \setminus \{u_3, u_2\}\}$  (respectively,  $\{u_iu_2, u_1u_3, u_2v \mid v \in V(D) \setminus \{u_i, u_2, u_3\}\}$ ) when i = 3 (respectively, i > 3). It can be checked that the above n - 2 trees are pairwise internally disjoint (S, r)-trees for any  $r \in S$  in each case.

Subcase 1.2: s = 2. Without loss of generality, assume that  $u_3, u_4$  belong to the cycle  $C_2 := u_3, u_4, \ldots, u_t, u_3$   $(t \ge 4)$ . Let  $T_1$  be a tree with arc set  $\{u_1u_4, u_4u_3, u_3v \mid V(D) \setminus \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}\}$ ; let  $T_2$  be a tree with arc set  $\{u_1u_3, u_3u_2, u_2v \mid V(D) \setminus \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}\}$ . For  $5 \le i \le n$ , let  $T_{i-2}$  be a tree with arc set  $\{u_1u_i, u_iu_4, u_4u_{i+1}, u_iv \mid v \in V(D) \setminus \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_i\}\}$ . Note that here  $u_iu_{i+1}$  belongs to one of the cycles  $C_2, C_3, \ldots, C_p$ . It can be checked that the above n-2 trees are pairwise internally disjoint (S, r)-trees for any  $r \in S$ .

**Case 2**:  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n,2}$  such that  $\overleftarrow{K}_n[M]$  is a union of vertex-disjoint cycles  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_p$  which cover all but at most one vertex, say  $u_n$ , of  $\overleftarrow{K}_n$ . **Subcase 2.1**:  $S = V(D) \setminus \{u_n\}$ . Observe that  $D' = D[S] \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1,1}$ , by Lemma 2.5, D' contains n-3 pairwise arc-disjoint out-branchings  $T_i$   $(1 \le i \le n-3)$  rooted at any vertex  $r \in S$ . Let  $T_{n-2}$  be a tree with arc set  $\{ru_n, u_nv \mid v \in V(D) \setminus \{r, u_n\}\}$  where  $r \in S$ . It can be checked that the

above n-2 trees are pairwise internally disjoint (S, r)-trees for any  $r \in S$ . **Subcase 2.2**:  $u_n \in S$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $u_2 \notin S$ (that is,  $S = V(D) \setminus \{u_2\}$ ) and  $C_1 := u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s, u_1 \ (s \ge 2)$ . We first consider the case that  $s \geq 3$ . Let  $D' = D[S] - \{u_1u_3\}$ . Observe that  $D' \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1,2}$ , by Lemma 2.5, D' contains n-3 arc-disjoint out-branchings  $T_i$   $(1 \leq i \leq n-3)$  rooted at any vertex  $r \in S$ . With a similar argument to that of Subcase 1.1, we can get n-2 pairwise internally disjoint (S,r)-trees for any  $r \in S$ . It remains to consider the case that s = 2. Let  $D'' = D[S] - \{u_1u_n, u_nu_1\}$ . Observe that  $D'' \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1,1}$ , by Lemma 2.5, D''contains n-3 pairwise arc-disjoint out-branchings  $T_i$   $(1 \le i \le n-3)$  rooted at any vertex  $r \in S$ . If  $u_n$  is the root, then let  $T_{n-2}$  be a tree with arc set  $\{u_n u_1, u_n u_2, u_2 v \mid v \in V(D) \setminus \{u_1, u_2, u_n\}\};$  otherwise, let  $T_{n-2}$  be a tree with arc set  $\{u_i u_n, u_n v \mid v \in V(D) \setminus \{u_1, u_i, u_n\}\}$ , where  $u_i \ (i \neq 2, n)$  is the root. It can be checked that the above n-2 trees are pairwise internally disjoint (S, r)-trees for any  $r \in S$ . Π

**Lemma 2.7** Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n,1} \cup \mathcal{D}_{n,2}$ . For any  $S \subset V(D)$  with |S| = 2, D contains n-2 internally disjoint (S, r)-trees, where  $r \in S$ .

**Proof:** Let  $S = \{r, v\} \subset V(D)$  and r be the root. If  $rv \notin M$ , then let  $T_1$  be the arc rv and  $T_u$  be an out-tree with arc set  $\{ru, uv \mid uv \notin M\}$ . Otherwise, let  $T_u$  be an out-tree with arc set  $\{ru, uv \mid u \in V(D) \setminus \{r, v\}\}$ . Observe that in both cases we get n - 2 internally disjoint (S, r)-trees, as desired.  $\Box$ 

We will now characterize minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ -vertex (respectively, arc)-strongly connected digraphs for some pairs of k and  $\ell$ .

**Theorem 2.8** The following assertions hold:

(a) For any integer  $2 \le k \le n$ , a digraph D is minimally generalized (k, 1)-vertex (respectively, arc)-strongly connected if and only if D is minimally strong.

- (b) For any integer 2 ≤ k ≤ n, a digraph D is minimally generalized (k, n − 1)-vertex (respectively, arc)-strongly connected if and only if D ≅ K<sub>n</sub>.
- (c) For any integer  $2 \le k \le n$ , a digraph D is minimally generalized (k, n-2)-arc-strongly connected if and only if  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n,1} \cup \mathcal{D}_{n,2}$ ; moreover,  $ex'(n, k, n-2) = \mathcal{D}_{n,1}$  and  $Ex'(n, k, n-2) = \mathcal{D}_{n,2}$ .
- (d) For  $k \in \{2, n 1, n\}$ , a digraph D is minimally generalized (k, n 2)-vertex-strongly connected if and only if  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n,1} \cup \mathcal{D}_{n,2}$ ; moreover,  $ex(n, k, n 2) = \mathcal{D}_{n,1}$  and  $Ex(n, k, n 2) = \mathcal{D}_{n,2}$ .

**Proof:** By Theorem 2.3, Propositions 2.1(4) and 2.4, and the well-known fact that every strong digraph has an out-branching rooted at any vertex, we have (a) and (b).

In the following argument, we just prove (c) since the argument for (d) is similar and simpler (by Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7). If  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n,1} \cup \mathcal{D}_{n,2}$ , by Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.5, we have  $\lambda_k(D) \geq \lambda_n(D) \geq n-2$  for  $2 \leq k \leq n$ . For any  $e \in A(D)$ ,  $\min\{\delta^+(D-e), \delta^-(D-e)\} = n-3$ , so  $\lambda_k(D-e) \leq n-3$  by Proposition 2.1(3). Thus, D is minimally generalized (k, n-2)-arc-strongly connected.

Let D be minimally generalized (k, n-2)-arc-strongly connected. By Theorem 2.3, we have  $D \not\cong \overleftarrow{K}_n$ , that is, D can be obtained from a complete digraph  $\overleftarrow{K}_n$  by deleting a nonempty arc set M. To end our argument, we need the following proposition. Let us start from a simple yet useful observation, which follows from Proposition 2.1.

#### **Observation 2.9** No pair of arcs in M has a common head or tail.

Thus,  $\overleftarrow{K}_n[M]$  must be a union of vertex-disjoint cycles or paths, otherwise, there are two arcs of M such that they have a common head or tail, a contradiction with Observation 2.9.

## **Proposition 2.10** $\overleftarrow{K}_n[M]$ does not contain a path of order at least two.

**Proof:** Let  $M' \supseteq M$  be a set of arcs obtained from M by adding some arcs from  $\overleftarrow{K}_n$  such that the digraph  $\overleftarrow{K}_n[M']$  contains no path of order at least two. Note that  $\overleftarrow{K}_n[M']$  is a supergraph of  $\overleftarrow{K}_n[M]$  and is a union of vertex-disjoint cycles which cover all but at most one vertex of  $\overleftarrow{K}_n$ . By Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.5, we have  $\lambda_k(\overleftarrow{K}_n[M']) \ge n-2$ , so  $\overleftarrow{K}_n[M]$  is not minimally generalized (k, n-2)-arc-strongly connected, a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

It follows from Proposition 2.10 and its proof that  $\overleftarrow{K}_n[M]$  must be a union of vertex-disjoint cycles which cover all but at most one vertex of  $\overleftarrow{K}_n$ , which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**3** The functions  $f(n, k, \ell)$ ,  $g(n, k, \ell)$ ,  $F(n, k, \ell)$  and  $G(n, k, \ell)$ 

By definition, we can get the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1** The following assertions hold:

- (a) A digraph D is minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ -vertex-strongly connected if and only if  $\kappa_k(D) = \ell$  and  $\kappa_k(D-e) = \ell - 1$  for any arc  $e \in A(D)$ .
- (b) A digraph D is minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ -arc-strongly connected if and only if  $\lambda_k(D) = \ell$  and  $\lambda_k(D-e) = \ell - 1$  for any arc  $e \in A(D)$ .

**Proof: Part** (a). The direction "if" is clear by definition, it suffices to prove the direction "only if". Let D be a minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ -vertex-strongly connected digraph. By definition, we have  $\kappa_k(D) \ge \ell$  and  $\kappa_k(D-e) \le \ell - 1$  for any arc  $e \in A(D)$ . Then for any set  $S \subseteq V(D)$  with |S| = k, there is a set  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\ell$  internally disjoint (S, r)-trees, where  $r \in S$  is a root. As e must belong to one and only one element of  $\mathcal{D}$ , we are done. The argument for **Part** (b) is similar.

For  $2 \leq k \leq n$  and  $1 \leq \ell \leq n-1$ , let  $s(n,k,\ell)$   $(t(n,k,\ell),$  respectively) be the minimum size of a strong digraph D with order n and  $\kappa_k(D) = \ell$  $(\lambda_k(D) = \ell,$  respectively).

**Lemma 3.2** For any  $2 \le k \le n$  and  $1 \le \ell \le n-1$ ,

$$s(n, k, \ell) = f(n, k, \ell), t(n, k, \ell) = g(n, k, \ell).$$

**Proof:** Let  $\mathfrak{D}(n, k, \ell)$  be the set of all strong digraphs D with order n and  $\kappa_k(D) = \ell$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{D}'(n,k,\ell) = \{ D \mid D \in \mathfrak{D}(n,k,\ell), |A(D)| = s(n,k,\ell) \}.$$

Recall that  $\mathfrak{F}(n,k,\ell)$  is the set of all minimally generalized  $(k,\ell)$ -vertexstrongly connected digraphs with order n, and

$$ex(n,k,\ell) = \{ D \mid D \in \mathfrak{F}(n,k,\ell), |A(D)| = f(n,k,\ell) \}$$

where  $f(n, k, \ell) = \min\{|A(D)| \mid D \in \mathfrak{F}(n, k, \ell)\}.$ 

By Proposition 3.1,  $\mathfrak{F}(n, k, \ell)$  is the set of all strong digraphs D with order n such that  $\kappa_k(D) = \ell$  and  $\kappa_k(D-e) = \ell - 1$  for any arc  $e \in A(D)$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{F}(n, k, \ell) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}(n, k, \ell)$  and so  $s(n, k, \ell) \leq f(n, k, \ell)$ .

Let  $D \in \mathfrak{D}'(n, k, \ell)$ . Then  $\kappa_k(D) = \ell$  and  $\kappa_k(D-e) \leq \ell-1$  for any arc  $e \in A(D)$ , that is,  $D \in \mathfrak{F}(n, k, \ell)$ . This means that  $\mathfrak{D}'(n, k, \ell) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}(n, k, \ell)$  and so  $s(n, k, \ell) \geq f(n, k, \ell)$ . Hence,  $s(n, k, \ell) = f(n, k, \ell)$ . The equality  $t(n, k, \ell) = g(n, k, \ell)$  can be proved similarly.  $\Box$ 

We still need the following result, see, e.g., Corollary 5.3.6 of [1].

**Theorem 3.3** Every strong digraph D on n vertices has a strong spanning subgraph H with at most 2n - 2 arcs and equality holds only if H is a symmetric digraph whose underlying undirected graph is a tree.

We will now prove our second main result:

**Theorem 3.4** The following assertions hold:

- (a)  $f(n, k, \ell) \ge n\ell$  for any two integers  $2 \le k \le n$  and  $1 \le \ell \le n 1$ ; moreover, the bound can be attained if  $\ell = 1$ , or,  $2 \le \ell \le n - 1$ and k = n.
  - $f(n,k,\ell) \leq a(\ell) + 2\ell(n-\ell)$  for the case  $n \geq k+\ell$ , where

$$a(\ell) = \begin{cases} 2\binom{\ell}{2}, & \ell \ge 2; \\ 0, & \ell = 1. \end{cases}$$

Especially,  $f(n, k, \ell) \leq 2\ell(n - \ell)$  when  $n \geq k + 2\ell$ . Moreover, both bounds are sharp.

- (b)  $g(n,k,\ell) = n\ell$  for any two integers  $2 \le k \le n$  and  $1 \le \ell \le n-1$ .
- (c)  $F(n,k,\ell) \ge n\ell$  for any two integers  $2 \le k \le n$  and  $1 \le \ell \le n-1$ ; especially,  $F(n,k,\ell) \ge 2\ell(n-\ell)$  when  $n \ge k+2\ell$ . Moreover, both bounds are sharp.
  - $F(n,k,\ell) = (\ell+1)(n-1)$  if  $k \in \{2, n-1, n\}$  and  $\ell = n-2$ , or,  $2 \le k \le n$  and  $\ell \in \{1, n-1\}.$
- (d)  $G(n,k,\ell) \ge n\ell$  for any two integers  $2 \le k \le n$  and  $1 \le \ell \le n-1$ ; especially,  $G(n,k,\ell) \ge 2\ell(n-\ell)$  when  $n \ge k+2\ell$ . Moreover, both bounds are sharp.
  - $G(n,k,\ell) = (\ell+1)(n-1)$  if  $2 \le k \le n$  and  $\ell \in \{1, n-2, n-1\}$ .

#### **Proof:**

**Part** (a). We first prove the lower bound. By Proposition 2.1(3), for all digraphs D and  $k \ge 2$  we have  $\kappa_k(D) \le \delta^+(D)$  and  $\kappa_k(D) \le \delta^-(D)$ . Hence for each D with  $\kappa_k(D) = \ell$ , we have that  $\delta^+(D), \delta^-(D) \ge \ell$ , so  $|A(D)| \ge n\ell$  and then  $f(n, k, \ell) \ge n\ell$ .

We now prove the sharpness of the lower bound. For the case that  $\ell = 1$ , let D be a dicycle  $\overrightarrow{C_n}$ . Clearly, D is minimally generalized (k, 1)-vertexstrongly connected, and we know |A(D)| = n, so f(n, k, 1) = n. For the case that  $k = n \notin \{4, 6\}$  and  $2 \le \ell \le n-1$ , let  $D \cong \overleftarrow{k_n}$ . By Theorem 2.2, D can be decomposed into n-1 Hamiltonian cycles  $H_i$   $(1 \le i \le n-1)$ . Let  $D_\ell$  be the spanning subdigraph of D with arc set  $A(D_{\ell}) = \bigcup_{1 \le i \le \ell} A(H_i)$ . Clearly, we have  $\kappa_n(D_\ell) \ge \ell$  for  $2 \le \ell \le n-1$ . Furthermore, by Proposition 2.1(3), we have  $\kappa_n(D_\ell) \leq \ell$  since the in-degree and out-degree of each vertex in  $D_{\ell}$  are both  $\ell$ . Hence,  $\kappa_n(D_{\ell}) = \ell$  for  $2 \leq \ell \leq n-1$ . For any  $e \in$  $A(D_{\ell})$ , we have  $\delta^+(D_{\ell}-e) = \delta^-(D_{\ell}-e) = \ell - 1$ , so  $\kappa_n(D_{\ell}-e) \leq \ell - \ell$ 1 by Proposition 2.1(3). Thus,  $D_{\ell}$  is minimally generalized  $(n, \ell)$ -vertexstrongly connected. As  $|A(D_{\ell})| = n\ell$ , we have  $f(n, n, \ell) \leq n\ell$ . From the lower bound that  $f(n,k,\ell) \ge n\ell$ , we have  $f(n,n,\ell) = n\ell$  for the case that  $2 \leq \ell \leq n-1, n \notin \{4, 6\}$ . For the case that n = 6, let  $C_1$  be the cycle  $C_4 = C_3^{\text{rev}}$ . For  $1 \leq \ell \leq 4$ , let  $D_\ell$  be the digraph with vertex set  $\{u_i \mid 1 \leq \ell \leq \ell \}$ 

 $i \leq 6$ } and arc set  $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} A(C_i)$ , let  $D_5 = \overleftarrow{K}_6$ . It can be checked that  $D_\ell$ is minimally generalized  $(n, \ell)$ -vertex-strongly connected. As  $|A(D_\ell)| = n\ell$ , we have  $f(n, n, \ell) \leq n\ell$ . From the lower bound that  $f(n, k, \ell) \geq n\ell$ , we have  $f(n, n, \ell) = n\ell$  for the case that  $2 \leq \ell \leq n - 1, n = 6$ . For the case that n = 4, let  $C_1$  be the cycle  $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_1, C_2 = C_1^{\text{rev}}$ . For  $1 \leq \ell \leq 2$ , let  $D_\ell$  be the digraph with vertex set  $\{u_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$  and arc set  $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} A(C_i)$ , let  $D_3 = \overleftarrow{K}_4$ . With a similar but simpler argument, we can deduce that  $f(n, n, \ell) = n\ell$  for the case that  $2 \leq \ell \leq n - 1, n = 4$ . Hence,  $f(n, k, \ell) = n\ell$ when  $2 \leq \ell \leq n - 1$  and k = n.

To prove the upper bound, we need to construct the following two digraphs  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  as follows:

Let  $H_1$  be a symmetric digraph whose underlying undirected graph is  $K_{\ell} \bigvee \overline{K}_{n-\ell}$   $(n \ge k+\ell)$ , i.e. the graph obtained from disjoint graphs  $K_{\ell}$  and  $\overline{K}_{n-\ell}$  by adding all edges between the vertices in  $K_{\ell}$  and  $\overline{K}_{n-\ell}$ . Let  $V(H_1) = W_1 \cup U_1$  such that  $W_1 = V(K_{\ell}) = \{w_i \mid 1 \le i \le \ell\}$  and  $U_1 = V(\overline{K}_{n-\ell}) = \{\underline{u}_j \mid 1 \le j \le n-\ell\}$ .

Let  $H_2 = \overleftarrow{K}_{\ell,n-\ell}$   $(n \ge k+2\ell)$ , the complete bipartite digraphs with two parts  $W_2$  and  $U_2$ , where  $W_2 = \{w_i \mid 1 \le i \le \ell\}$  and  $U_2 = \{u_j \mid 1 \le j \le n-\ell\}$ .

**Proposition 3.5** The following assertions hold:

- (i) For  $n \ge k + \ell$ ,  $\kappa_k(H_1) = \ell$ .
- (ii) For  $n \ge k + 2\ell$ ,  $H_2$  is minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ -vertex(arc)-strongly connected.

**Proof:** For (i), let  $S_1$  be any k-subset of vertices of  $V(H_1)$  such that  $|S_1 \cap W_1| = s \ (s \leq \ell)$  and  $|S_1 \cap U_1| = k - s \ (k - s \leq n - \ell \text{ since } n \geq k + \ell)$ . Without loss of generality, let  $w_i \in S_1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$  and  $u_j \in S_1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k - s$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , let  $T'_i$  be a tree with edge set

$$\{w_i u_1, w_i u_2, \dots, w_i u_{k-s}, u_{k-s+i} w_1, u_{k-s+i} w_2, \dots, u_{k-s+i} w_s\}.$$

For  $s+1 \leq j \leq \ell$ , let  $T'_j$  be a tree with edge set

$$\{w_j u_1, w_j u_2, \dots, w_j u_{k-s}, w_j w_1, w_j w_2, \dots, w_j w_s\}.$$

This is reasonable since  $(k-s) + s = k \le n - \ell$ . It is not hard to obtain an  $(S_1, r)$ -tree  $D'_i$  from  $T'_i$  by adding appropriate directions to edges of  $T'_i$  for any  $r \in S_1$ . Observe that  $\{D'_i \mid 1 \le i \le s\} \cup \{D'_j \mid s+1 \le j \le \ell\}$  is a set of  $\ell$  internally disjoint  $(S_1, r)$ -trees, so  $\kappa_{S_1,r}(H_1) \ge \ell$ , and then  $\kappa_k(H_1) \ge \ell$ . Combining this with the bound that  $\kappa_k(H_1) \le \min\{\delta^+(H_1), \delta^-(H_1)\} = \ell$ , we have  $\kappa_k(H_1) = \ell$ .

For (*ii*), Let  $S_2$  be any k-subset of vertices of  $V(H_2)$  such that  $|S_2 \cap W_2| = s$   $(s \leq \ell)$  and  $|S_2 \cap U_2| = k - s$   $(k - s < n - \ell \text{ since } n \geq k + 2\ell)$ . Without loss of generality, let  $w_i \in S_2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$  and  $u_j \in S_2$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k - s$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , let  $T''_i$  be a tree with edge set

$$\{w_i u_1, w_i u_2, \dots, w_i u_{k-s}, u_{k-s+i} w_1, u_{k-s+i} w_2, \dots, u_{k-s+i} w_s\}.$$

For  $s+1 \leq j \leq \ell$ , let  $T''_j$  be a tree with edge set

$$\{w_j u_1, w_j u_2, \dots, w_j u_{k-s}, w_j u_{k+j-s}, w_j u_1, w_j u_2, \dots, w_j u_{k-s}\}$$

This is reasonable since  $(k - s) + s + (\ell - s) = k + \ell - s \leq n - \ell$ . It is not hard to obtain an  $(S_2, r)$ -tree  $D''_i$  from  $T''_i$  by adding appropriate directions to edges of  $T''_i$  for any  $r \in S_2$ . Observe that  $\{D''_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\} \cup \{D''_j \mid s + 1 \leq j \leq \ell\}$  is a set of  $\ell$  internally disjoint  $(S_2, r)$ -trees, so  $\kappa_{S_2,r}(H_2) \geq \ell$ , and then  $\kappa_k(H_2) \geq \ell$ . Combining this with the bound that  $\kappa_k(H_2) \leq \min\{\delta^+(H_2), \delta^-(H_2)\} = \ell$ , we have  $\kappa_k(H_2) = \ell$ . Observe that  $\kappa_k(H_2 - e) \leq \min\{\delta^+(H_2 - e), \delta^-(H_2 - e)\} = \ell - 1$ . Hence,  $H_2$  is minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ -vertex-strongly connected. Since the above  $\ell$ internally disjoint trees are also arc-disjoint, it can be similarly proved that  $H_2$  is minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ -arc-strongly connected.  $\Box$ 

Clearly, we have  $|A(H_1)| = a(\ell) + 2\ell(n-\ell)$  and  $|A(H_2)| = 2\ell(n-\ell)$ . So  $f(n,k,\ell) = s(n,k,\ell) \le a(\ell) + 2\ell(n-\ell)$  when  $n \ge k+\ell$  by Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.5(i); especially,  $f(n,k,\ell) \leq 2\ell(n-\ell)$  when  $n \geq k+1$  $2\ell$  by Proposition 3.5(*ii*). By Theorem 2.8(*b*), when  $\ell = n - 1$ , we have  $f(n,k,\ell) = n(n-1) = a(\ell) + 2\ell(n-\ell)$ . Recall that when  $\ell = 1$ , we have  $f(n,k,\ell) = 2(n-1)$ . Therefore, the above two bounds are sharp. **Part** (b). By Proposition 2.1(3), for all digraphs D and  $k \ge 2$  we have  $\lambda_k(D) \leq \delta^+(D)$  and  $\lambda_k(D) \leq \delta^-(D)$ . Hence for each D with  $\lambda_k(D) = \ell$ , we have that  $\delta^+(D), \delta^-(D) \ge \ell$ , so  $|A(D)| \ge n\ell$  and then  $g(n, k, \ell) \ge n\ell$ . Now consider the graph  $D_{\ell}$  in **Part** (a). By Proposition 2.1(1), we have  $\lambda_k(D_\ell) \ge \lambda_n(D_\ell) = \kappa_n(D_\ell) \ge \ell$  for  $2 \le k \le n, 1 \le \ell \le n-1$ . Furthermore, by Proposition 2.1(3), we have  $\lambda_k(D_\ell) \leq \ell$  since the in-degree and out-degree of each vertex in  $D_{\ell}$  are both  $\ell$ . Hence,  $\lambda_k(D_{\ell}) = \ell$  for  $2 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq \ell \leq \ell$ n-1. For any  $e \in A(D_{\ell})$ , we have  $\delta^+(D_{\ell}-e) = \delta^-(D_{\ell}-e) = \ell-1$ , so  $\lambda_k(D_\ell - e) \leq \ell - 1$  by Proposition 2.1(3). Thus,  $D_\ell$  is minimally generalized  $(k,\ell)$ -arc-strongly connected. As  $|A(D_\ell)| = n\ell$ , we have  $g(n,k,\ell) \leq n\ell$ . From the lower bound that  $q(n, k, \ell) \ge n\ell$ , we have  $q(n, k, \ell) = n\ell$ . **Parts** (c) and (d). By Theorem 2.8, the following assertions hold:  $F(n, k, \ell) =$  $(\ell+1)(n-1)$  if  $k \in \{2, n-1, n\}$  and  $\ell = n-2$ , or,  $2 \le k \le n$  and  $\ell = n-1$ ;  $G(n,k,\ell) = (\ell+1)(n-1)$  if  $2 \le k \le n$  and  $\ell \in \{n-2, n-1\}$ . Let D be a minimally generalized (k, 1)-vertex (respectively, arc)-strongly connected digraph. By Theorems 2.8 and 3.3, we have  $|A(D)| \leq 2(n-1)$  and the bound can be attained when D is a symmetric digraph whose underlying undirected

graph is a tree. Furthermore, we have F(n, k, 1) = G(n, k, 1) = 2(n - 1).

By the assertions (a) and (b), and the fact that  $f(n, k, \ell) \leq F(n, k, \ell)$ ,  $g(n, k, \ell) \leq G(n, k, \ell)$ , we directly have  $F(n, k, \ell) \geq n\ell$  and  $G(n, k, \ell) \geq n\ell$ . Moreover, both lower bounds can be attained when  $\ell = n - 1$ . Furthermore, we have  $F(n, k, \ell) \geq 2\ell(n - \ell)$  and  $G(n, k, \ell) \geq 2\ell(n - \ell)$  when  $n \geq k + 2\ell$  by Proposition 3.5(*ii*), and these two bounds can be attained for the case that  $\ell = 1$ .

### 4 Discussions

For  $k \in \{2, n-1, n\}$ , the minimally generalized (k, n-2)-vertex-strongly connected digraphs are characterized in Theorem 2.8. It is natural to extend this result to the case of a general k, like that of the minimally generalized (k, n-2)-arc-strongly connected digraphs in Theorem 2.8.

**Problem 4.1** Characterize the minimally generalized (k, n-2)-vertex-strongly connected digraphs for  $2 \le k \le n$ .

Recall that in the proof of Theorem 2.8, we use the monotone property of  $\lambda_k$ , that is,  $\lambda_{k+1}(D) \leq \lambda_k(D)$  for every  $2 \leq k \leq n-1$  (Proposition 2.1(1)). However, this property does not hold for the parameter  $\kappa_k$  as shown in [17], so we need to find other approach to solve Problem 4.1.

In Theorem 3.4, we give sharp lower bounds for  $F(n, k, \ell)$  and  $G(n, k, \ell)$ , but we still cannot give nice upper bounds for these two functions. So It would be interesting to study the following question.

**Problem 4.2** Find sharp upper bounds for  $F(n, k, \ell)$  and  $G(n, k, \ell)$  for all  $k \ge 2$  and  $\ell \ge 2$ .

Let  $|D_{\ell}^{+}|$  (respectively,  $|D_{\ell}^{-}|$ ) denote the number of vertices of out-degree  $\ell$  (in-degree  $\ell$ ) of a digraph D. By Propositions 2.1(3) and 3.1, we have  $\delta^{+}(D), \delta^{-}(D) \geq \ell$  for a minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ -vertex-strongly connected digraph D. It would be interesting to bound  $|D_{\ell}^{+}|$  (respectively,  $|D_{\ell}^{-}|$ ). Note that similar questions for minimally (strongly) connected (di)graphs were discussed in the literature, see e.g. [7, 8, 13, 14].

**Problem 4.3** Does  $|D_{\ell}^+|$  (respectively,  $|D_{\ell}^-|$ ) > 0 hold for every minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ -vertex-strongly connected digraph D?

Here is a stronger question.

**Problem 4.4** Does  $|D_{\ell}^+|$  (respectively,  $|D_{\ell}^-|) \ge \ell + 1$  hold for every minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ -vertex-strongly connected digraph D?

Similar to problems 4.3 and 4.4, the following problems are also of interest.

**Problem 4.5** Does  $|D_{\ell}^+|$  (respectively,  $|D_{\ell}^-|$ ) > 0 hold for every minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ -arc-strongly connected digraph D?

**Problem 4.6** Does  $|D_{\ell}^+|$  (respectively,  $|D_{\ell}^-|) \ge \ell + 1$  hold for every minimally generalized  $(k, \ell)$ -arc-strongly connected digraph D?

A digraph D is called *minimally*  $\ell$ -vertex-strongly connected if D is  $\ell$ -vertex-strongly connected, but D - e is not for any arc  $e \in A(D)$ . By definition, a 1-vertex-strongly connected digraph is also a minimally strongly connected digraph. Mader obtained the following result on minimally  $\ell$ -vertex-strongly connected digraphs:

**Theorem 4.7** [14] For every minimally  $\ell$ -vertex-strongly connected digraph D,  $|D_{\ell}^+|$  (respectively,  $|D_{\ell}^-|) \ge \ell + 1$  holds.

By Theorems 2.8 and 4.7, we have the following supports for the above four problems: Problems 4.5 and 4.6 are true for any pair of k and  $\ell$  with  $2 \le k \le n$  and  $\ell \in \{1, n - 2, n - 1\}$ ; Problems 4.3 and 4.4 are true for any pair of k and  $\ell$  satisfying:  $2 \le k \le n$  and  $\ell \in \{1, n - 1\}$ , or,  $k \in \{2, n - 1, n\}$ and  $\ell = n - 2$ .

## References

- [1] J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin, Digraphs: Theory, Algorithms and Applications, 2nd Edition, Springer, London, 2009.
- [2] J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin, Basic Terminology, Notation and Results, in *Classes of Directed Graphs* (J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin, eds.), Springer, 2018.
- [3] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [4] J. Cheriyan and M. Salavatipour, Hardness and approximation results for packing Steiner trees, Algorithmica, 45, 2006, 21–43.
- [5] M. DeVos, J. McDonald, I. Pivotto, Packing Steiner trees, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 119, 2016, 178–213.
- [6] M. Hager, Pendant tree-connectivity, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 38, 1985, 179–189.
- [7] R. Halin, A theorem on n-connected graphs, J. Combin. Theory 7, 1969, 150–154.
- [8] T. Kameda, Note on Halin's theorem on minimally connected graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 17, 1974, 1–4.
- [9] M. Kriesell, Edge-disjoint trees containing some given vertices in a graph, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 88, 2003, 53–65.
- [10] L. Lau, An approximate max-Steiner-tree-packing min-Steiner-cut theorem, Combinatorica 27, 2007, 71–90.
- [11] X. Li and Y. Mao, Generalized Connectivity of Graphs, Springer, Switzerland, 2016.
- [12] X. Li, Y. Mao and Y. Sun, On the generalized (edge-)connectivity of graphs, Australas. J. Combin. 58(2), 2014, 304–319.
- [13] W. Mader, Minimal n-fach zusammenhängende Digraphen, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 38(2), 1985, 102–117.
- [14] W. Mader, On vertices of outdegree n in minimally n-connected digraphs, J. Graph Theory, 39, 2002, 129–144.

- [15] Y. Sun, G. Gutin and J. Ai, Arc-disjoint strong spanning subdigraphs in compositions and products of digraphs, Discrete Math. 342(8), 2019, 2297–2305.
- [16] Y. Sun, G. Gutin, A. Yeo, X. Zhang, Strong subgraph k-connectivity, J. Graph Theory, 92(1), 2019, 5–18.
- [17] Y. Sun, A. Yeo, Directed Steiner tree packing and directed tree connectivity, arXiv:2005.00849v3 [math.CO] 9 Nov 2020.
- [18] T.W. Tillson, A Hamiltonian decomposition of  $K_{2m}^*$ ,  $2m \ge 8$ , J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 29(1), 1980, 68–74.
- [19] D. West, H. Wu, Packing Steiner trees and S-connectors in graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 102, 2012, 186–205.