

BOCHNER-SCHOENBERG-EBERLEIN-TYPE INEQUALITY OF THE DIRECT SUM, IDEALS AND QUOTIENT OF FRÉCHET ALGEBRAS

M. AMIRI AND A. REJALI

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two commutative semisimple Fréchet algebras. We first give a characterization of the multiplier algebra of the direct sum of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} . We then prove that $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ is a BSE-algebra if and only if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are BSE-algebras. Furthermore, for a closed ideal I of \mathcal{A} , we study multipliers of ideals and quotient algebras of \mathcal{A} and show that I and $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I}$ are BSE-algebras, under certain conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

BSE stands for the theorem Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein. This theorem proved for locally compact Abelian groups [3] and was generalized for commutative Banach algebras, by Takahasi and Hatori [9, 11]. Moreover, it developed by other authors such as Inoue and Takahasi [5] and later by Kaniuth and Ülger [7]. We studied the BSE property for the commutative Fréchet algebras; see [2].

Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative Banach algebra without order and the space $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the set of all nonzero multiplicative linear functionals on \mathcal{A} with respect to the Gelfand-topology. A bounded continuous function σ on $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ is called a BSE-function if there exists a positive real number β such that for every finite number of complex-numbers c_1, \dots, c_n and the same number of $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ in $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ the inequality

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sigma(\varphi_i) \right| \leq \beta \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i \right\|_{\mathcal{A}^*}$$

holds. Following [12], the BSE norm of σ , denoted by $\|\sigma\|_{\text{BSE}}$, is defined to be the infimum of all such β . The set of all BSE-functions is denoted by $C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ where it is a commutative semisimple Banach algebra, under $\|\cdot\|_{\text{BSE}}$.

Let us recall from [8, 9] that a Fréchet space is a completely metrizable locally convex space where its topology is generated by a translation invariant metric. Furthermore, following [4], a complete topological algebra \mathcal{A} is a Fréchet algebra if its topology is produced by a countable family of increasing submultiplicative seminorms $(p_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$. The class of Fréchet algebras is an important class of locally convex algebras has been widely studied by many authors. Note that every Fréchet (algebra) space is not necessarily a Banach (algebra) space. Some differences between Banach and Fréchet (algebras) spaces introduced in the survey paper [1].

Let $(\mathcal{A}, p_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Fréchet algebra. Consider \mathcal{A}^* the topological dual of \mathcal{A} . The strong topology on \mathcal{A}^* is generated by seminorms (P_M) where M is a

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46J05, 46J20.

Key words and phrases. BSE-algebra, commutative Fréchet algebra, multiplier algebra.

bounded set in \mathcal{A} ; see [9] for more details. Following [2], a bounded complex-valued continuous function σ defined on $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ is called a BSE-function, if there exist a bounded set M in \mathcal{A} and a positive real number β_M such that for every finite number of complex-numbers c_1, \dots, c_n and the same number of $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ in $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ the inequality

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sigma(\varphi_i) \right| \leq \beta_M P_M \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i \right)$$

holds. Moreover by [2, Theorem 3.3], $C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ is a commutative semisimple Fréchet algebra and

$$C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{A}^{**}|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A})} \cap C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A})).$$

Let now (\mathcal{A}, p_ℓ) be a commutative Fréchet algebra. A linear operator T on \mathcal{A} is called a multiplier if it satisfies $aT(b) = T(ab)$, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. The set $M(\mathcal{A})$ of all multipliers of \mathcal{A} with the strong operator topology, is a commutative unital complete locally convex algebra and not necessarily Fréchet algebra; see [2, Proposition 4.1]. Analogous to the Banach case, for each $T \in M(\mathcal{A})$, there exists a unique continuous function \widehat{T} on $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\varphi(T(a)) = \widehat{T}(\varphi)\varphi(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$. The algebra \mathcal{A} is called BSE algebra if

$$\widehat{M(\mathcal{A})} = C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})),$$

where $\widehat{M(\mathcal{A})} = \{\widehat{T} : T \in M(\mathcal{A})\}$. A bounded net $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ in \mathcal{A} is called a Δ -weak approximate identity for \mathcal{A} if it satisfies $\varphi(e_\alpha) \rightarrow_\alpha 1$ or equivalently $\varphi(e_\alpha a) \rightarrow_\alpha \varphi(a)$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$. Moreover, \mathcal{A} has a bounded Δ -weak approximate identity if and only if $\widehat{M(\mathcal{A})} \subseteq C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. In addition,

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\Phi : \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \Phi \text{ is continuous and } \Phi \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{A}}\}.$$

This is another definition of the multiplier algebra of Fréchet algebras. If \mathcal{A} is a commutative semisimple Fréchet algebra, then $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) = \widehat{M(\mathcal{A})} = M(\mathcal{A})$. In the present paper, we show that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ and

$$C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})) = C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})) \times C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{B})).$$

Additionally, we prove that $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ is a BSE-algebra if and only if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are BSE. We also offer conditions under which closed ideals and quotient algebras of BSE algebras are BSE-algebras.

2. THE MULTIPLIER ALGEBRA FOR THE DIRECT SUM OF FRÉCHET ALGEBRAS

The direct sum of Banach algebras studied in [6]. In the following, we generalized it for Fréchet algebras. Let (\mathcal{A}, r_ℓ) and (\mathcal{B}, s_ℓ) be two commutative Fréchet algebras. Then, $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ defined by

- (i) $(a_1, a_2) \cdot (b_1, b_2) = (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2)$, for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B}$;
- (ii) $P_\ell(a, b) = r_\ell(a) + s_\ell(b)$, for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (iii) $(a_1, a_2) + (b_1, b_2) = (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2)$.

By a standard argument, the following is straightforward.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (\mathcal{A}, r_ℓ) and (\mathcal{B}, s_ℓ) be two Fréchet algebras. Then,*

- (i) $(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}, P_\ell)$ is a Fréchet algebra.
- (ii) $(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})^* = \mathcal{A}^* \oplus \mathcal{B}^*$ as homeomorphism.
- (iii) $\Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}) = (\Delta(\mathcal{A}) \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times \Delta(\mathcal{B}))$.
- (iv) $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ is semisimple if and only if both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are semisimple.

Lemma 2.2. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two commutative Fréchet algebras. Then,*

$$C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})) = C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})) \times C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{B})).$$

Proof. Let $\sigma \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}))$. Then, by [2, Proposition 3.5(iii)] we have

$$\sigma \in C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})) \cap (\mathcal{A}^{**} \oplus \mathcal{B}^{**})|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})}.$$

Therefore, there exist $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ and $\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{B}^{**}$ where $\sigma_1|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A})} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A})}$, $\sigma_2|_{\Delta(\mathcal{B})} \in \mathcal{B}^{**}|_{\Delta(\mathcal{B})}$ and $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$. In addition, there exist a bounded set M in $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ and a positive real number β_M such that for every finite number of $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $(\varphi_1, \psi_1), \dots, (\varphi_n, \psi_n) \in \Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})$ the inequality

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sigma(\varphi_i, \psi_i) \right| \leq \beta_M P_M \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i(\varphi_i, \psi_i) \right)$$

holds. In particular, for each $(\varphi_1, 0), \dots, (\varphi_n, 0) \in \Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})$ and $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$, there exist bounded sets $N_1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $N_2 \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sigma_1(\varphi_i) \right| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sigma(\varphi_i, 0) \right| \\ &\leq \beta_M P_M \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i(\varphi_i, 0) \right) \\ &= \beta_M \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(\varphi_i, 0)(a, b) \right| : (a, b) \in M \right\} \\ &= \beta_M \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i(a) \right| : a \in N_1 \right\} \\ &= \beta_M P_{N_1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i \right), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sigma_2(\psi_i) \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sigma(0, \psi_i) \right| \leq \beta_M P_{N_2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \psi_i \right).$$

We recall from [9] that $N_1 = \pi_1(M)$ and $N_2 = \pi_2(M)$ where π_1 and π_2 are projection mappings on $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$. Moreover, $M \subseteq N_1 \times N_2$. By above arguments, $\sigma_1 \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and $\sigma_2 \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$, where $\sigma_1(\varphi) = \sigma(\varphi, 0)$ and $\sigma_2(\psi) = \sigma(0, \psi)$ for each $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$. This implies that

$$C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})) \subseteq C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})) \times C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{B})).$$

For the reverse conclusion, let $\sigma_1 \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and $\sigma_2 \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$. If $\xi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})$, then $\xi = (\varphi, 0)$ or $\xi = (0, \psi)$ for some $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ or $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$. We define

$$\sigma(\xi) := \begin{cases} \sigma_1(\varphi) & \text{if } \xi = (\varphi, 0), \\ \sigma_2(\psi) & \text{if } \xi = (0, \psi). \end{cases}$$

By assumption, there exist a bounded set N_1 of \mathcal{A} and a positive real number β_{N_1} such that for every finite number of complex-numbers c_1, \dots, c_n and the same number of $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ in $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ the inequality

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sigma_1(\varphi_i) \right| \leq \beta_{N_1} P_{N_1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i \right)$$

holds. Also, there exist a bounded set N_2 of \mathcal{B} and a positive real number β_{N_2} such that for every finite number of complex-numbers c_1, \dots, c_n and the same number of ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n in $\Delta(\mathcal{B})$ the inequality

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sigma_2(\psi_i) \right| \leq \beta_{N_2} P_{N_2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \psi_i \right)$$

holds. Therefore,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sigma(\xi) \right| \leq \beta_M P_M \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i(\xi) \right),$$

where $M = N_1 \times N_2$ and $\beta_M = \max\{\beta_{N_1}, \beta_{N_2}\}$. Consequently,

$$\sigma \in C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})),$$

which completes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.3. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two commutative Fréchet algebras. Then,*

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}).$$

Proof. Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$. Since $\Phi \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\Psi \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{B}}$, for all $(a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ there are elements $c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $d \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} ((\Phi, \Psi) \cdot \widehat{(a, b)})(\varphi, 0) &= (\Phi, \Psi)(\varphi, 0) \widehat{(a, b)}(\varphi, 0) \\ &= \Phi(\varphi) \widehat{a}(\varphi) \\ &= \widehat{c}(\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

where $(\Phi, \Psi)(\varphi, 0) = \Phi(\varphi)$ for each $(\varphi, 0) \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \times \{0\}$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} ((\Phi, \Psi) \cdot \widehat{(a, b)})(0, \psi) &= (\Phi, \Psi)(0, \psi) \widehat{(a, b)}(0, \psi) \\ &= \Psi(\psi) \widehat{b}(\psi) \\ &= \widehat{d}(\psi), \end{aligned}$$

where $(\Phi, \Psi)(0, \psi) = \Psi(\psi)$ for each $(0, \psi) \in \{0\} \times \Delta(\mathcal{B})$. Hence,

$$((\Phi, \Psi) \cdot \widehat{(a, b)})(\varphi, 0) = \widehat{(c, d)}(\varphi, 0),$$

and

$$((\Phi, \Psi) \cdot \widehat{(a, b)})(0, \psi) = \widehat{(c, d)}(0, \psi).$$

Thus, $(\Phi, \Psi) \cdot \widehat{(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})} \subseteq \widehat{(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})}$ and $(\Phi, \Psi) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})$.

Now, suppose that $F \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})$. Define $\Phi(\varphi) = F(\varphi, 0)$ and $\Psi(\psi) = F(0, \psi)$ for all $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$. Therefore, $F = (\Phi, \Psi)$. It is enough to show that $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$. For each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists $(a', b') \in \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\Phi(\varphi) \widehat{a}(\varphi) = F(\varphi, 0) \widehat{(a, 0)}(\varphi, 0) = \widehat{(a', b')}(\varphi, 0) = \widehat{a'}(\varphi).$$

Consequently, $\Phi \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$. Similarly, $\Psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}) = \{(\Phi, \Psi) : \Phi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}), \Psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})\},$$

and completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two commutative semisimple Fréchet algebras. Then,*

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \oplus \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}).$$

We now state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.5. *Let (\mathcal{A}, r_ℓ) and (\mathcal{B}, s_ℓ) be two commutative semisimple Fréchet algebras. Then, $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ is a BSE-algebra if and only if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are BSE-algebras.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be BSE-algebras. By applying [2, Theorem 4.5], \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} have bounded Δ -weak approximate identities. Suppose that $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$ and $(f_\beta)_\beta$ are bounded Δ -weak approximate identities of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively. Therefore, $\{(e_\alpha, f_\beta)\}_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is a bounded Δ -weak approximate identity for $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$. Indeed, for all $\xi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})$ there exists $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ or $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$, where $\xi = (\varphi, 0)$ or $\xi = (0, \psi)$. Thus,

$$\lim_{(\alpha, \beta)} \xi(e_\alpha, f_\beta) = \lim_{(\alpha, \beta)} (\varphi, 0)(e_\alpha, f_\beta) = \lim_{\alpha} \varphi(e_\alpha) = 1,$$

or

$$\lim_{(\alpha, \beta)} \xi(e_\alpha, f_\beta) = \lim_{(\alpha, \beta)} (0, \psi)(e_\alpha, f_\beta) = \lim_{\beta} \psi(f_\beta) = 1.$$

Moreover, for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\sup_{\alpha} r_\ell(e_\alpha) < \infty$ and $\sup_{\beta} s_\ell(f_\beta) < \infty$. Also, $(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}, P_\ell)$ is a Fréchet algebra, where $P_\ell(a, b) = r_\ell(a) + s_\ell(b)$ for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$\sup_{(\alpha, \beta)} P_\ell(e_\alpha, f_\beta) = \sup_{\alpha} r_\ell(e_\alpha) + \sup_{\beta} s_\ell(f_\beta) < \infty.$$

Hence, for all $\xi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})$ we have $\lim_{(\alpha, \beta)} \xi(e_\alpha, f_\beta) = 1$ and $\{(e_\alpha, f_\beta)\}_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is a Δ -weak approximate identity for $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$. Consequently,

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}) \subseteq C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})).$$

For the reverse conclusion, let $\sigma \in C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}))$. Hence, there exist $\sigma_1 \in C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and $\sigma_2 \in C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$ such that $\sigma(\varphi, \psi) = \sigma_1(\varphi) + \sigma_2(\psi)$ for all $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$. Since \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are BSE algebras, $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$, by applying Proposition 2.3. Therefore, $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$. Thus, $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})$ and

$$C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}).$$

Consequently, $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ is a BSE-algebra.

Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ is a BSE-algebra. Then,

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}) = \text{M}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}) = C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})).$$

Let $\sigma_1 \in C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and $\sigma_2 \in C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$. Then $(\sigma_1, 0)$ and $(0, \sigma_2)$ belong to $C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}))$. Also, $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ and so

$$C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{B})) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}).$$

Conversely, suppose that $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$. Thus, $(0, \sigma_2)$ and $(\sigma_1, 0)$ belong to $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) &= \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}) \\ &= C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})) \\ &= C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})) \times C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{B})). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\sigma_1 \in C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and $\sigma_2 \in C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$. Hence, $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$. Consequently, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are BSE algebras. \square

3. IDEALS AND QUOTIENT ALGEBRAS OF BSE-FRÉCHET ALGEBRAS

Let (\mathcal{A}, p_ℓ) be a commutative Fréchet algebra and I be a closed ideal of \mathcal{A} . Then, $(I, p_\ell|_I)$ and $(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I}, s_\ell)$ are also Fréchet algebras where

$$s_\ell(x + I) := \inf\{p_\ell(x + y) : y \in I\}.$$

In the sequel we will call I an essential ideal, when I equals the closed linear span of $\{ax : a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in I\}$. Moreover, we will give conditions under which I and $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I}$ are BSE algebras.

Following [9, Corollary 26.25], if \mathcal{A} is a Fréchet Schwartz space and I be a closed ideal of \mathcal{A} , then

$$I^* \cong \frac{\mathcal{A}^*}{I^\circ} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I}\right)^* \cong I^\circ,$$

where $I^\circ = \{f \in \mathcal{A}^* : |f(x)| \leq 1, \text{ for all } x \in I\}$ and equivalently

$$I^\circ = \{f \in \mathcal{A}^* : f(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x \in I\}.$$

Analogous to the Banach case, if \mathcal{A} is a BSE-algebra with discrete carrier space, then $C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A})) = \ell_\infty(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. Hence, $C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{A}^{**}|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A})}$. Moreover, by similar arguments to the proof of [10, Theorem 3.1.18], if I is a closed ideal of \mathcal{A} , then $\Delta(I)$ is discrete.

Lemma 3.1. *If the Fréchet algebra \mathcal{A} has a bounded Δ -weak approximate identity and I is a closed essential ideal of \mathcal{A} , then $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I}$ has a bounded Δ -weak approximate identity.*

Proof. Let $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a bounded Δ -weak approximate identity of \mathcal{A} . Then, for each $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$, $\varphi(e_\alpha) \rightarrow_\alpha 1$. For each α , we define $f_\alpha := e_\alpha + I$ and show that the net $(f_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a bounded Δ -weak approximate identity for $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I}$. Suppose that $\psi \in \Delta(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I})$. Therefore, there exists $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\varphi|_I = 0$ and $\psi(a + I) := \varphi(a)$, for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence,

$$\psi(f_\alpha) = \varphi(e_\alpha) \rightarrow_\alpha 1.$$

Thus, $(f_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a bounded Δ -weak approximate identity for $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I}$. \square

Let (\mathcal{A}, p_ℓ) be a Fréchet algebra. For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, consider

$$M_\ell = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : p_\ell(a) < 1\},$$

and

$$M_\ell^\circ = \{f \in \mathcal{A}^* : |f(a)| \leq 1 \text{ for all } a \in M_\ell\}.$$

As mentioned in section ??, by applying [2, Theorem 3.3], $(C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})), r_\ell)$ is a commutative semisimple Fréchet algebra such that for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ we have

$$r_\ell(\sigma) = \sup\{|\sigma(f)| : f \in M_\ell^\circ \cap \langle \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \rangle\}.$$

Now, the following result is immediate.

Theorem 3.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a BSE-Fréchet Schwartz algebra with discrete carrier space and I be an essential closed ideal of \mathcal{A} . Then,*

- (i) $C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(I)) \subseteq \widehat{M(I)}$.
- (ii) $\widehat{M(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I})} = C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I}))$.

Proof. (i) Suppose that $w \in C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(I))$. Note that

$$\Delta(I) = \{\varphi|_I : \varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \setminus I^\circ\}.$$

We define $\sigma \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ as follow

$$\sigma(\varphi) := \begin{cases} w(\varphi|_I) & \varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \setminus I^\circ, \\ 0 & \varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \cap I^\circ. \end{cases}$$

We show that $\sigma \in C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. In fact, there exists a bounded set M in I and a positive real number β_M such that for every finite number of complex-numbers c_1, \dots, c_n and the same number of $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ in $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sigma(\varphi_i) \right| &= \left| \sum_{\varphi_i \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \setminus I^\circ} c_i \sigma(\varphi_i) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\varphi_i \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \setminus I^\circ} c_i w(\varphi_i|_I) \right| \\ &\leq \beta_M P_M \left(\sum_{\varphi_i \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \setminus I^\circ} c_i \varphi_i|_I \right) \\ &= \beta_M P_M \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i|_I \right) \\ &\leq \beta_M P_M \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\sigma \in C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. Since \mathcal{A} is BSE-algebra, take $T \in M(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\widehat{T} = \sigma$ and put $S = T|_I$. Then, $S \in M(I)$. Also, for any $x \in I$ and $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \setminus I^\circ$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S}(\varphi|_I) \widehat{x}(\varphi|_I) &= (\widehat{Sx})(\varphi|_I) = (\widehat{Tx})(\varphi) = \widehat{T}(\varphi) \widehat{x}(\varphi) \\ &= \sigma(\varphi) \widehat{x}(\varphi|_I) = w(\varphi|_I) \widehat{x}(\varphi|_I). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi|_I \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$, there exists $x \in I$ such that $\varphi|_I(x) \neq 0$. Then, $\widehat{x}(\varphi|_I) \neq 0$. Therefore, $\widehat{S}(\varphi|_I) = w(\varphi|_I)$. Consequently, $w = \widehat{S}$, where $\widehat{S} \in \widehat{M(I)}$. Hence, $C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(I)) \subseteq \widehat{M(I)}$.

(ii) Since \mathcal{A} is BSE algebra, it has a bounded Δ -weak approximate identity and by Lemma 3.1, $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I}$ also has an approximate identity. Thus, $\widehat{M(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I})} \subseteq C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I}))$. To show the reverse inclusion, let $\sigma' \in C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I}))$. Since both $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and $\Delta(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I})$ are discrete,

$$C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{A}^{**}|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A})},$$

and

$$C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I})) = (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I})^{**}|_{\Delta(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I})}.$$

Thus, we can take $F' \in (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I})^{**}$ with $F'|_{\Delta(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I})} = \sigma'$. Set $I^\circ = \{f \in \mathcal{A}^* : f|_I = 0\}$. For each $f \in I^\circ$, define f' by the relation $f'(a') = f(a)$ ($a' = a + I \in \frac{\mathcal{A}}{I}$). The map $f \mapsto f'$ is an isometric isomorphism of I° onto $(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I})^*$. We define \widetilde{F}' by the relation $\widetilde{F}'(f) = F'(f')$ ($f \in I^\circ$). Then, $\widetilde{F}' \in (I^\circ)^*$ and there exists $F \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ such that $r_\ell(F) = r_\ell(\widetilde{F}')$ and $F|_{I^\circ} = \widetilde{F}'$ by using the general framework of the Hahn-Banach theorem [9, Proposition 22.12]. By the BSE property of \mathcal{A} , there exists $T \in M(\mathcal{A})$ with $\widehat{T} = F|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A})}$. Since I is essential and $T(ab) = aTb$ for all

$a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $T(I) \subseteq I$. Then, T' defined by $T'(a') = (Ta)'$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}$) belongs to $M(\widehat{\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I}})$. In this case, $\widehat{T'} = \sigma'$. Actually for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \cap I^\circ$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{a(\varphi)T'}(\varphi') &= \widehat{a'(\varphi')T'}(\varphi') = \widehat{(T'a')}(\varphi') \\ &= \widehat{(Ta)'}(\varphi') = \widehat{(Ta)}(\varphi) = \widehat{a(\varphi)T}(\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

so that $\widehat{T'} = \widehat{T}(\varphi)$ and

$$\sigma'(\varphi') = F'(\varphi') = \widetilde{F'}(\varphi) = F(\varphi) = \widehat{T}(\varphi) = \widehat{T'}(\varphi').$$

Therefore, $\sigma' = \widehat{T'}$, since $\Delta(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I}) = \{\varphi' : \varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \cap I^\circ\}$. Thus, $\sigma' \in \widehat{M(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I})}$. Hence, $C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I})) \subseteq \widehat{M(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I})}$. Consequently, $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{I}$ is BSE. \square

Corollary 3.3. *In above Theorem, if I has a bounded Δ -weak approximate identity, then I is a BSE algebra.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Alimohammadi and A. Rejali, Fréchet algebras in abstract harmonic analysis, arXiv:1811.10987v1 [math.FA].
- [2] M. Amiri and A. Rejali, The Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein Property for commutative Fréchet Algebras, submitted.
- [3] S. Bochner, A theorem on Fourier-Stieltjes integrals, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **40**(1934), 271-276.
- [4] H. Goldmann, Uniform Fréchet algebras, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, **162**. North-Holland (Amsterdam-New York, 1990).
- [5] J. Inoue and S. E. Takahasi, On characterizations of the image of Gelfand transform of commutative Banach algebras. Math. Nachr. **280**(2007), 105-126.
- [6] Z. Kamali, M. Lashkarizadeh Bami, The multiplier algebra and bse property of the direct sum of banach algebras, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **88**(2013), 250-258.
- [7] E. Kaniuth and A. Ülger, The Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein property for commutative Banach algebras, especially Fourier-Stieltjes algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **362**(2010), 4331-4356.
- [8] R. Larsen, An Introduction to the theory of multipliers, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, (1971).
- [9] R. Meise and D. Vogt, Introduction to functional analysis, Oxford Science Publications, (1997).
- [10] C. E. Rickart, General theory of Banach algebras, Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey.
- [11] I. J. Schoenberg, A remark on the preceding note by Bochner, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **40**(1934), 277-278.
- [12] S. E. Takahasi and O. Hatori, Commutative Banach algebras which satisfy a Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein-type theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110**(1990), 149-158.

M. Amiri

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran
mitra.amiri@sci.ui.ac.ir
mitra75amiri@gmail.com

A. Rejali

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran
rejali@sci.ui.ac.ir