THE BOCHNER-SCHOENBERG-EBERLEIN PROPERTY FOR COMMUTATIVE FRÉCHET ALGEBRAS

M. AMIRI AND A. REJALI

ABSTRACT. A class of commutative Banach algebras which satisfy a Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein-type inequality was introduced by Takahasi and Hatori. We generalize this property for the commutative Fréchet algebra (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) . Furthermore, some of the main results in the class of Banach algebras, will be verified and generalized for the Fréchet case.

0. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative semisimple Banach algebra with Gelfand spectrum $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$. In addition, suppose that $C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ is the space consisting of all continuous and bounded complex-valued functions on $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$. It is known that $C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ is a Banach algebra under supremum norm, defined as

$$||f||_{\infty} = \sup \{ |f(\varphi)| : \varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \} \quad (f \in C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))),$$

and the pointwise product. Takahasi and Hatori [21] studied commutative Banach algebras, satisfying a Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein-type theorem. Following [21], $\sigma \in C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ is called a BSE-function, if there exists a positive real number β such that for every finite number of complex-numbers c_1, \dots, c_n and the same number of $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ in $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ the inequality

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \sigma(\varphi_{i})\right| \leq \beta \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \varphi_{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*}}$$

holds. The BSE-norm of σ , denoted by $\|\sigma\|_{BSE}$, is defined as the infimum of all such β . The set of all BSE-functions, denoted by $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$, under the norm $\|.\|_{BSE}$ is a complete semisimple subalgebra of $C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. Let $M(\mathcal{A})$ be the multiplier algebra of \mathcal{A} . Then for each $T \in M(\mathcal{A})$, there exists a unique bounded continuous function \widehat{T} on $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ where $\widehat{T(a)}(\varphi) = \widehat{T}(\varphi)\varphi(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$; see [17]. Write $\widehat{M(\mathcal{A})} = \{\widehat{T} : T \in M(\mathcal{A})\}$. The Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is called a BSE-algebra if \mathcal{A} satisfies the condition $\widehat{M(\mathcal{A})} = C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. Takahasi and Hatori provided some important necessary and sufficient conditions for a Banach algebra to be a BSE-algebra; see [21]. The abbreviation BSE stands for Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein and refers to a theorem, proved by Bochner and Schoenberg for the additive group of real numbers [4, 20], and then in general, by Eberlein [6] for any locally compact abelian group G. In this terminology, the group algebra $L^1(G)$ is a BSE-algebra; see [19]. Moreover, Kaniuth and Ülger [15] have studied more general properties of BSE-algebras. Abtahi et al. in [2] studied the \mathcal{A} -valued Lipschitz functions algebra $Lip_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{A})$ and showed that it is a BSE-algebra if only if \mathcal{A} is so.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 46H05, 46H25, 46J05, 46J20.

Key words and phrases. BSE-algebra, BSE-function, commutative Fréchet algebra, multiplier algebra.

and Kamali [1] also studied the BSE property of the Banach algebra of \mathcal{A} -valued functions $\ell_p(X, \mathcal{A})$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$. They proved that $\ell_p(X, \mathcal{A})$ is a BSE-algebra if and only if X is finite and \mathcal{A} is a BSE-algebra. Several other authors also have investigated BSE-algebras; see for example [10, 11, 12, 14, 22].

Following [17], a Fréchet space is a completely metrizable locally convex space where its topology is generated by translating invariant metric space. Moreover, following [8], a complete topological algebra \mathcal{A} is a Fréchet algebra if its topology is produced by a countable family of increasing submultiplicative seminorms $(p_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$. The class of Fréchet algebras which is an important class of locally convex algebras has been widely studied by many authors. For a full understanding of Fréchet algebras, one may refer to [8, 18]. Note that every Banach algebra (space) is a Fréchet algebra (space), but in general the converse is not true. Some differences between Fréchet and Banach algebras surveyed in [3]. In this paper, we generalize the BSE property for the commutative semisimple Fréchet algebra $(\mathcal{A}, p_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$. We introduce the space $C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ with a family of continuous seminorms $(r_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ and show that $(C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})), r_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a commutative semisimple Fréchet algebra. Moreover, we generalize some main results from Banach algebras to Fréchet case. We define the notion of bounded Δ -weak approximate identity for Fréchet algebras, according to its definition for Banach algebras. Analogous to the Banach case, we prove that $\overline{M}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ if and only if \mathcal{A} has a bounded Δ -weak approximate identity. Let (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) be a commutative semisimple Fréchet algebra and let \mathcal{A}^* denoted the topological dual of \mathcal{A} . We recall from [18] that the strong topology on \mathcal{A}^* is generated by seminorms (P_M) where M is a bounded set in \mathcal{A} . Put

$$\beta_M(\mathcal{A}) := \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \right| : P_M(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i) \le 1, \ c_1 \cdots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}, \ \varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_n \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \right\}.$$

In the present paper, we show that $C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ is unital if and only if $\beta_M(\mathcal{A}) < \infty$, for some bounded M.

1. Preliminaries

In this section, we provide some basic definitions and frameworks, which will be required throughout the paper.

Let *E* be a Hausdorff locally convex space. Following [18], *E* has a fundamental system of seminorms $(p_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$, i.e. a family of the continuous seminorms satisfying the following properties:

- (i) for every nonzero $x \in E$, there exists an $\alpha \in \Lambda$ with $p_{\alpha}(x) > 0$;
- (ii) for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$, there exist $\gamma \in \Lambda$ and K > 0 such that

$$\max\left(p_{\alpha}(x), p_{\beta}(x)\right) \le K p_{\gamma}(x) \qquad (x \in E).$$

Throughout the paper, all locally convex spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. Fréchet spaces are special locally convex spaces which we recalled in the previous section. We also recalled the notion of Fréchet algebra.

Let (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) be a Fréchet algebra. A locally convex (resp. Fréchet) \mathcal{A} -bimodule is a locally convex (resp. Fréchet) space X together with the structure of an \mathcal{A} bimodule such that the corresponding mappings are separately continuous. Note that \mathcal{A}^* is a locally convex \mathcal{A} -bimodule with the module actions given by

$$\langle a.f, b \rangle = \langle f, ba \rangle$$
 and $\langle f.a, b \rangle = \langle f, ab \rangle$ $(a, b \in \mathcal{A}, f \in \mathcal{A}^*),$

and the strong topology on bounded subsets of \mathcal{A} . We know that the net $(f_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ in \mathcal{A}^* is convergent to $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ with respect to the strong topology, if for every bounded subset M of \mathcal{A} ,

$$\sup_{a \in M} |\langle f_{\alpha} - f, a \rangle| \longrightarrow_{\alpha} 0.$$

It should be noted that, by [9, page 79], if \mathcal{A} is a Fréchet algebra, then \mathcal{A}^{**} is also a Fréchet algebra with respect to the first Arens product and the strong topology on bounded subsets of \mathcal{A}^* . Moreover, \mathcal{A}^{**} is a Fréchet \mathcal{A} -bimodule via the module actions

$$< a.F, f > = < F, f.a >$$
 and $< F.a, f > = < F, a.f >,$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$, and $F \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$.

Let us to recall that for a Fréchet algebra \mathcal{A} , the first Arens product on \mathcal{A}^{**} is defined by

$$= < F, G.f> \qquad (f \in \mathcal{A}^*),$$

for all $F, G \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ where

$$\langle G.f, a \rangle = \langle G, f.a \rangle \qquad (a \in \mathcal{A})$$

We now present some useful information about the second dual of the Fréchet algebra (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) . For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, put $M_{\ell} := \{a \in \mathcal{A} : p_{\ell}(a) < 1\}$. Following [18], $(M_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a decreasing fundamental system of absolutely convex zero neighborhoods in \mathcal{A} . Consequently, $(M_{\ell}^{\circ})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing fundamental system of bounded sets in \mathcal{A}^* where M_{ℓ}° is the polar of M_{ℓ} , defined as

$$M_{\ell}^{\circ} = \{ f \in \mathcal{A}^* : |f(a)| \le 1 \text{ for all } a \in M_{\ell} \};$$

see [18, Lemma 25.5] for details. Consider the seminorms $(P_{M_{\ell}})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(P_{M_{\ell}^{\circ}})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ respectively on \mathcal{A}^* and \mathcal{A}^{**} , where

$$P_{M_{\ell}}(f) := \sup\{|f(a)| : a \in M_{\ell}\} \qquad (f \in \mathcal{A}^*),$$

and

$$P_{M_{\ell}^{\circ}}(F) := \sup\{|F(f)| : f \in M_{\ell}^{\circ}\} \qquad (F \in \mathcal{A}^{**})$$

Now for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, the following inequalities are immediate. For all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$, and $F, G \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ we have

(1.1)
$$|f(a)| \le P_{M_{\ell}}(f) p_{\ell}(a),$$

$$(1.2) |G(f)| \le P_{M_{\ell}^{\circ}}(G) P_{M_{\ell}}(f),$$

(1.3)
$$P_{M_{\ell}}(f \cdot a) \leq P_{M_{\ell}}(f) p_l(a),$$

(1.4)
$$P_{M_{\ell}}(G \cdot f) \le P_{M_{\ell}^0}(G) P_{M_{\ell}}(f),$$

and also

(1.5)
$$P_{M_{\ell}^{\circ}}(F \Box G) \le P_{M_{\ell}^{\circ}}(F) \ P_{M_{\ell}^{\circ}}(G).$$

For convenience, we set $r_{\ell}(F) := P_{M_{\ell}^{\circ}}(F)$ for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$. By applying the last inequality, $(r_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence of submultiplicative seminorms on \mathcal{A}^{**} . Following [9, 18], if (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) is a Fréchet algebra, then $(\mathcal{A}^{**}, r_{\ell}) = (\mathcal{A}^{**}, P_N)$ where $N \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*$ runs through the bounded subsets of \mathcal{A}^* . In particular, $(\mathcal{A}^{**}, r_{\ell})$ is complete. Now, consider the canonical embedding $\iota : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}^{**}$, $a \mapsto \hat{a}$ where $\hat{a}(f) = f(a)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$. Following [18], ι is continuous. Moreover, $r_{\ell}(\hat{a}) =$ $p_{\ell}(a)$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, by using the general framework of the Hahn-Banach theorem [18, Proposition 22.12], there exists $f_0 \in M_{\ell}^{\circ}$ such that $|f_0(a)| = p_{\ell}(a)$. Thus,

$$p_{\ell}(a) \leq \sup\{|f(a)|: f \in M_{\ell}^{\circ}\} = r_{\ell}(\widehat{a}).$$

On the other hand, for each $f \in M_{\ell}^{\circ}$ we have

 $|f(a)| \le P_{M_{\ell}}(f) \ p_{\ell}(a) \le p_{\ell}(a).$ For this reason, $r_{\ell}(\widehat{a}) = \sup_{f \in M_{\ell}^{\circ}} \{|f(a)|\} \le p_{\ell}(a)$ and so $r_{\ell}(\widehat{a}) = p_{\ell}(a).$

2. BSE-functions for Fréchet Algebras

Let (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) be a commutative Fréchet algebra. Recall from the literature that \mathcal{A} is called without order if $\{a : a\mathcal{A} = \{0\}\} = \{0\}$. Following [8], \mathcal{A} is called semisimple if the Gelfand map $\mathcal{A} \to C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ given by

$$a \mapsto \widehat{a}, \quad \widehat{a}(\varphi) = \varphi(a) \qquad (\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}))$$

is injective. In other words, $\bigcap_{\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})} \ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$. We now have the following result which is known in the Banach case. The proof is straightforward and it is therefore omitted.

Lemma 2.1. Every commutative semisimple Fréchet algebra is without order.

Definition 2.2. Let (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) be a Fréchet algebra. A bounded complex-valued continuous function σ defined on $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ is called a BSE-function if there exist a bounded set M in \mathcal{A} and a positive real number β_M such that for every finite number of complex-numbers c_1, \dots, c_n and the same number of $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ in $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ the inequality

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \sigma(\varphi_{i})\right| \leq \beta_{M} P_{M}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \varphi_{i}\right)$$

holds. The set of all BSE-functions is denoted by $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$.

Let (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) be a Fréchet algebra, and for every $F \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$, consider the restriction map $\sigma = F|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A})}$. Set

$$r_{\ell}(\sigma) := P_{M_{\ell}^{\circ} \cap <\Delta(\mathcal{A})>}(F) \qquad (\ell \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Clearly, $C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})) \subseteq \ell_{\infty}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. We also have

$$r_{\ell}(\sigma) = \sup\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \sigma(\varphi_i)\right| : P_{M_{\ell}}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i) \le 1\} \qquad (\ell \in \mathbb{N}).$$

For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, set $\alpha_{\ell} := \sup\{p_{\ell}(a) : a \in M\}$. Note that for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_{\ell} < \infty$ since M is bounded; see [18, Remark 23.2]. Hence, by applying the inequality (1.1), we have $r_{\ell}(\sigma) \leq \beta_M \alpha_{\ell} < \infty$ for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. In the sequel, we show that $C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ is a semisimple Fréchet algebra with respect to the seminorms $(r_{\ell})_{\ell}$ and the pointwise product which equals the first Arens product. Indeed, for F_1 and F_2 in \mathcal{A}^{**} , we have $\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 = F_1 \Box F_2$ where $\sigma_1 = F_1|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A})}$ and $\sigma_2 = F_2|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A})}$.

Theorem 2.3. Let (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) be a commutative semisimple Fréchet algebra. Then, $(C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})), r_{\ell})$ is a commutative semisimple Fréchet subalgebra.

Proof. We first show that $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ is a linear subspace of $C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. Suppose that $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. Then, there exist bounded sets M_1 and M_2 in \mathcal{A} and positive real numbers β_{M_1} and β_{M_2} such that for every finite number of complexnumbers c_1, \dots, c_n and the same number of $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ in $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ we have

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \sigma_1(\varphi_i)\right| \le \beta_{M_1} P_{M_1}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \sigma_2(\varphi_i)\right| \le \beta_{M_2} P_{M_2}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)(\varphi_i)| &= |\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(c_i \sigma_1(\varphi_i) + c_i \sigma_2(\varphi_i) \right)| \\ &\leq |\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \sigma_1(\varphi_i)| + |\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \sigma_2(\varphi_i)| \\ &\leq \beta_{M_1} P_{M_1}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i) + \beta_{M_2} P_{M_2}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i) \\ &= \beta_M P_M(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i), \end{aligned}$$

where $M = M_1 \cup M_2$ and $\beta_M = \beta_{M_1} + \beta_{M_2}$. Thus, $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. We now show that the product of two functions in $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ is a BSE-function. Consider an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Due to the definition of P_{M_1} , we can choose $a_1 \in M_1$ such that

$$P_{M_1}(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sigma_2(\varphi_i)\varphi_i) \le |\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sigma_2(\varphi_i)\varphi_i(a_1)| + \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, we can choose $a_2 \in \mathcal{M}_2$ such that

$$P_{M_2}(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i(a_1) \varphi_i) \le |\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i(a_1) \varphi_i(a_2)| + \varepsilon.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(\sigma_1 \sigma_2)(\varphi_i) \leq \beta_{M_1} P_{M_1}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \sigma_2(\varphi_i) \varphi_i)$$

$$\leq \beta_{M_1} \left(|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \sigma_2(\varphi_i) \varphi_i(a_1)| + \varepsilon \right)$$

$$\leq \beta_{M_1} \left(\beta_{M_2} P_{M_2}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i(a_1) \varphi_i) + \varepsilon \right)$$

$$\leq \beta_{M_1} \left(\beta_{M_2} \left(|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i(a_1) \varphi_i(a_2)| + \varepsilon \right) + \varepsilon \right)$$

$$= \beta_{M_1} \left(\beta_{M_2} \left(|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i(a_1 a_2)| + \varepsilon \right) + \varepsilon \right).$$

We know from [18] that $M := M_1 M_2$ is a bounded set in \mathcal{A} , and

$$P_M(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i\varphi_i) = \sup\{|\sum_{i=1}^n c_i\varphi_i(ab)| : a \in M_1, b \in M_2\}.$$

Also, set $\beta_M := \beta_{M_1} \beta_{M_2}$. Since ε is arbitrary, by above inequalities, we have

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(\sigma_1 \sigma_2)(\varphi_i)\right| \leq \beta_M P_M(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i).$$

For this reason, $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. Furthermore, it is obvious to see that

$$r_{\ell}(\sigma_1 \sigma_2) \le r_{\ell}(\sigma_1) r_{\ell}(\sigma_2) \qquad (\ell \in \mathbb{N}),$$

which implies that each r_{ℓ} is a submultiplicative seminorm.

To prove that $(C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})), r_{\ell})$ is a Fréchet algebra, it is enough to show that $(C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})), r_{\ell})$ is complete. For this reason, assume that $(\sigma_n)_n$ is a Cauchy

M. AMIRI AND A. REJALI

sequence in $C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. Therefore, for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_{\ell} > 0$ such that for all $m, n \geq N_{\ell}$, we have $r_{\ell}(\sigma_n - \sigma_m) < \varepsilon$. Thus,

$$|(\sigma_n - \sigma_m)(\varphi)| \le r_\ell(\sigma_n - \sigma_m) < \varepsilon$$
 $(\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})).$

Consequently, for each $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$, $(\sigma_n(\varphi))_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{C} and so is convergent to some complex-number. We set $\sigma(\varphi) := \lim_n \sigma_n(\varphi)$, for φ in $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$. To show $\sigma \in C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$, let $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ in $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$. Since each σ_n belongs to $C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$, there exist a bounded set M in \mathcal{A} and a positive real number β_M such that the inequality

$$|\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \sigma_n(\varphi_i)| \le \beta_M P_M(\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \varphi_i)$$

holds. In addition, by definition of r_{ℓ} , we have

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}(\sigma_{n}-\sigma_{m})(\varphi_{i})\right| \leq r_{\ell}(\sigma_{n}-\sigma_{m}) < \varepsilon,$$

for all $n, m \geq N_{\ell}$ and $P_{M_{\ell}}(\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \varphi_i) \leq 1$. Therefore, by taking the limit with respect to m, we have

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i (\sigma_n - \sigma)(\varphi_i)\right| \le \varepsilon,$$

for all $n \geq N_{\ell}$. Hence,

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \sigma(\varphi_i)\right| = \left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i (\sigma_n - \sigma)(\varphi_i)\right| + \left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \sigma_n(\varphi_i)\right| \le \varepsilon + \beta_M P_M(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i),$$

which implies that $\sigma \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. Thus, $(C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})), r_{\ell})$ is a Fréchet algebra. For semisimplicity, note that for each $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$, the function Φ_{φ} defined as

$$\Phi_{\varphi}(\sigma) := \sigma(\varphi) \qquad \left(\sigma \in C_{\rm BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))\right),$$

is a nonzero linear multiplicative functional on $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. Consequently,

$$\bigcap_{\Phi \in \Delta(C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(A))} \ker \Phi \subseteq \bigcap_{\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})} \ker \Phi_{\varphi} = \{0\}$$

This inclusion implies that $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ is semisimple.

Lemma 2.4. Let (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) be a commutative Fréchet algebra and $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})) = C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. Then, for $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\hat{a}(\varphi_i) = c_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Let $F = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$. By Urysohn's lemma, there exists $f_i \in C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ in which $f_i(\varphi_i) = 1$ and $f_i(\varphi_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Set $\sigma_F := \sum_{i=1}^n c_i f_i$. Then, $\sigma_F \in C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and $\sigma_F(\varphi_i) = c_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. We now define the linear map

$$T_F: \langle \varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_n \rangle \to \mathbb{C}, \quad T_F(\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \varphi_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i c_i$$

where $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, $\sigma_F \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. Thus, there exist a bounded set M in \mathcal{A} and a positive real number β_M such that

$$|T_F(\sum_{i=1}^n d_i\varphi_i)| = |\sum_{i=1}^n d_i\sigma_F(\varphi_i)| \le \beta_M P_M(\sum_{i=1}^n d_i\varphi_i).$$

Hence, T_F is continuous. In addition, $\langle \varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_n \rangle$ is of finite dimension and so T_F is weak*-continuous. Therefore by applying the general framework of the Hahn-Banach theorem [18, Proposition 22.12], there exists linear and weak*-continuous function T such that $T|_{\langle \varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_n \rangle} = T_F$. Thus,

$$T(\varphi_i) = \sigma_F(\varphi_i) = c_i.$$

Furthermore, there exists $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $T = \hat{a}$. Then, $\varphi_i(a) = \hat{a}(\varphi_i) = c_i$. \Box

Proposition 2.5. Let (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) be a commutative semisimple Fréchet algebra. Then,

- (i) $\widehat{a} \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
- (ii) $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ equals the set of all $\sigma \in C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ where there exists a bounded net $(a_\lambda)_\lambda$ in \mathcal{A} with $\lim \widehat{a_\lambda}(\varphi) = \sigma(\varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$.
- (iii) $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{A}^{**}|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A})} \bigcap C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A})).$

Proof. (i) Let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i \in \mathcal{A}^*$, following [18], there exist K > 0 and a bounded set $M \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i(a) \leq K P_M(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i) \qquad (a \in \mathcal{A})$$

Hence, $|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \hat{a}(\varphi_i)| \leq K P_M(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i)$, for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Now, suppose that the net $(f_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ is convergent to f in \mathcal{A}^* with respect to the strong topology. Thus, for each bounded subset $M \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, we have $P_M(f_{\alpha} - f) \longrightarrow_{\alpha} 0$. In particular, for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, set $M := \{a\}$. Then,

$$|\widehat{a}(f_{\alpha}) - \widehat{a}(f)| = |f_{\alpha}(a) - f(a)| = P_M(f_{\alpha} - f) \longrightarrow_{\alpha} 0.$$

It follows that \hat{a} is continuous, for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, \hat{a} is a BSE-function, and obviously $r_{\ell}(\hat{a}) \leq K$, for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

(ii) Let $\sigma \in C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ be such that there exists a bounded net $(a_\lambda)_\lambda$ in \mathcal{A} with $\lim \widehat{a_\lambda}(\varphi) = \sigma(\varphi)$, for all $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$. To show $\sigma \in C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$, let $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$. Then, for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}\sigma(\varphi_{i})\right| \leq \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}\widehat{a_{\lambda}}(\varphi_{i})\right| + \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}(\widehat{a_{\lambda}}(\varphi_{i}) - \sigma(\varphi_{i}))\right|$$
$$\leq \beta_{M} P_{M}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}\varphi_{i}) + \varepsilon,$$

where M is a bounded set in \mathcal{A} and β_M is a positive real number. Since ε is arbitrary, $\sigma \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$.

Conversely, let $\sigma \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. Since $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ endowed with the weak^{*} topology is a locally convex space, there exists a linear map $\overline{\sigma} : span(\Delta(\mathcal{A})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\overline{\sigma}(\varphi) = \sigma(\varphi)$ for each $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$; see [16] and also see [18, Proposition 22.12], the general framework for the Hahn-Banach theorem and its consequences. On the other hand, there exist a bounded set M of \mathcal{A} and a positive real number β_M such that for every finite number of complex-numbers c_1, \dots, c_n and the same number of $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ in $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ the inequality

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \sigma(\varphi_i)\right| \le \beta_M P_M(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i)$$

holds. Note that if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i = 0$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \sigma(\varphi_i) = 0$. Consequently, $\overline{\sigma}$ is well-defined. Moreover, $|\overline{\sigma}(f)| \leq \beta_M P_M(f)$ for $f \in span(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. In addition, since $\overline{\sigma}$ is linear and weak*-continuous on the closure of $span(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$, using again [18, Proposition 22.12], $\overline{\sigma}$ has a unique weak*-continuous extension \overline{F} to \mathcal{A}^* such that

 $|\overline{F}(f)| \leq \beta_M P_M(f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$. Let $F \in w^*$ - $cl(\overline{F})$. Then, there exists a bounded net $(a_\lambda)_\lambda$ in \mathcal{A} where $\widehat{a_\lambda} \xrightarrow{w^*} \mathcal{A} F$. Thus,

$$\widehat{a_{\lambda}}(\varphi) \longrightarrow_{\lambda} F(\varphi) = \overline{\sigma}(\varphi) = \sigma(\varphi) \qquad (\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})).$$

(iii) Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}^{**}|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A})} \cap C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. Following [18], there exist K > 0 and a bounded set M of \mathcal{A} such that $|\sigma(\varphi)| \leq KP_M(\varphi)$ for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^*$. In particular, for every finite number of complex-numbers c_1, \dots, c_n and the same number of $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ in $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ we have

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \sigma(\varphi_i)\right| \le K P_M(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(\varphi_i)).$$

Therefore, $\sigma \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$.

Conversely, let $\sigma \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$, and suppose that $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$. Set $V := \langle \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$. Consider the linear function

$$F_V: V \to \mathbb{C}, \quad F_V(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sigma(\varphi_i)$$

where $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, by assumption, there exist a bounded set M in \mathcal{A} and a positive real number β_M such that

$$|F_V(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i\varphi_i)| \le \beta_M P_M(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i\varphi_i).$$

Thus, F_V is continuous and there exists a weak*-continuous extension $\overline{F}_V \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$, where $|\overline{F}_V(f)| \leq \beta_M P_M(f)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$. If now $\Psi \in w^*$ - $cl(\overline{F}_V)$, then there exists a net $(\Psi_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq \overline{F}_V$ such that $\Psi_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*}_\alpha \Psi$. Consequently, there exists α_0 such that for each $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$, we have

$$|\Psi_{\alpha}(f) - \Psi(f)| < \varepsilon \qquad (f \in \mathcal{A}^*).$$

In particular, if $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i$ for $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \sigma(\varphi_i) - \Psi(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i)\right| < \varepsilon.$$

Specifically, $\Psi(\varphi) = \sigma(\varphi)$ for $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$. On the other hand, $\sigma \in C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$, and so $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}^{**}|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A})} \bigcap C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$.

3. The multiplier algebra and BSE-Fréchet algebras

Consider the Fréchet algebra (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) . Let us first recall from [17] that the map $T : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is called a multiplier on \mathcal{A} if T(a)b = aT(b) for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. The set of all multipliers on \mathcal{A} will be denoted by $M(\mathcal{A})$. Let now

$B(\mathcal{A}) = \{T : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \mid T \text{ is linear and continuous}\}.$

The strong operator topology on $B(\mathcal{A})$ is generated by the family of seminorms $(q_{\ell,a})$, defined as $q_{\ell,a}(T) := p_{\ell}(Ta)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. The following result is a generalization of [17, Theorem 1.1.2].

Proposition 3.1. Let (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) be a commutative semisimple Fréchet algebra. Then, $M(\mathcal{A})$ endowed with the strong operator topology, is a unital and commutative complete locally convex subalgebra of $B(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Suppose that $T \in M(\mathcal{A})$. Similar to the proof of [17, Theorem 1.1.2], one can show that T is linear. Now, we show that T is continuous. Let $(b_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ be a net in \mathcal{A} , converging to $b \in \mathcal{A}$ and suppose that $(Tb_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ converges to $c \in \mathcal{A}$. We show that Tb = c. For all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$p_{\ell}(ca - (Tb)a) = p_{\ell}(ca - (Tb_{\alpha})a + (Tb_{\alpha})a - (Tb)a)$$

$$\leq p_{\ell}(ca - (Tb_{\alpha})a) + p_{\ell}(b_{\alpha}(Ta) - b(Ta))$$

$$\leq p_{\ell}(c - Tb_{\alpha})p_{\ell}(a) + p_{\ell}(b_{\alpha} - b)p_{\ell}(Ta)$$

$$\longrightarrow 0$$

Hence, $p_{\ell}(ca - (Tb)a) = 0$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus,

$$ca - (Tb)a \in \bigcap_{\ell} \ker p_{\ell} = \{0\}.$$

Consequently, by Lemma 2.1, c - Tb = 0 and so Tb = c as claimed. Similar to the Banach case, one can prove that $M(\mathcal{A})$ is a unital and commutative subalgebra of $B(\mathcal{A})$. We only show that $M(\mathcal{A})$ is closed in $B(\mathcal{A})$, with respect to the strong operative topology. Let $(T_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ be a net in $M(\mathcal{A})$ such that $T_{\alpha} \longrightarrow_{\alpha} T$ under the strong operator topology. Then,

$$q_{\ell,a}(T_{\alpha} - T) = p_{\ell}(T_{\alpha}a - Ta) \longrightarrow 0$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $T_{\alpha}a \longrightarrow_{\alpha} Ta$ in \mathcal{A} . It follows that $T_{\alpha}(ab) \longrightarrow_{\alpha} T(ab)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Also, $aT_{\alpha}(b) \longrightarrow_{\alpha} aT(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, since the multiplication in \mathcal{A} is continuous. Thus, for each $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ we have T(ab) = aT(b) which implies $T \in M(\mathcal{A})$.

We state here a theorem, which leads us to introduce BSE-Fréchet algebras. The proof is similar to the Banach case [17, Theorem 1.2.2], and so it is omitted.

Theorem 3.2. Let (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) be a commutative semisimple Fréchet algebra and $T \in M(\mathcal{A})$. Then, there exists a unique continuous and bounded function \widehat{T} on $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\widehat{T(a)} = \widehat{T} \widehat{a}$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Note that in the proof of Theorem 3.2, \hat{T} is defined by

$$\widehat{T}(\varphi) = \frac{\varphi(T(a))}{\varphi(a)} \qquad (\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}))$$

for some $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\varphi(a) \neq 0$. Clearly, this definition of \widehat{T} is independent of the choice of a.

Remark 3.3. Following [17], $\overline{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is always unital. Consider the identity map $I: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and let $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$. Then, for some $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\varphi(a) \neq 0$, we have

$$\widehat{I}(\varphi) = \frac{\varphi(I(a))}{\varphi(a)} = \frac{\varphi(a)}{\varphi(a)} = 1,$$

where 1 is the constant function.

Now, we are in a position to introduce the concept of BSE-Fréchet algebra.

Definition 3.4. Let (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) be a commutative semisimple Fréchet algebra. Then, \mathcal{A} is called a BSE-Fréchet algebra if $C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})) = \widehat{M(\mathcal{A})}$. Following the definition of a bounded Δ -weak approximate identity in the sense of Jones-Lahr [13] and also [5], a net $(e_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ in the Fréchet algebra (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) is called a Δ -weak approximate identity if $\varphi(e_{\lambda}) \longrightarrow_{\lambda} 1$ for all $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$, or equivalently $\varphi(e_{\lambda} a) \longrightarrow \varphi(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$. Moreover, $(e_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ is called bounded Δ -weak approximate identity if $(e_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ is a bounded subset of \mathcal{A} .

The following result is a generalization of [21, Corollary 5], which implies that all BSE-Fréchet algebras have bounded Δ -weak approximate identity.

Theorem 3.5. Let (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) be a commutative semisimple Fréchet algebra. Then, $\widehat{M(\mathcal{A})} \subseteq C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ if and only if \mathcal{A} has a bounded Δ -weak approximate identity.

Proof. First, suppose that \mathcal{A} has a bounded Δ -weak approximate identity $(e_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ and $T \in M(\mathcal{A})$. For $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists λ_0 such that for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}\widehat{T}(\varphi_{i})| &\leq |\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}(\widehat{T}(\varphi_{i}) - \widehat{T}(\varphi_{i})\widehat{e_{\lambda}}(\varphi_{i}))| + |\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}\widehat{T}(\varphi_{i})\widehat{e_{\lambda}}(\varphi_{i})| \\ &= |\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}\widehat{T}(\varphi_{i})(1 - \widehat{e_{\lambda}}(\varphi_{i}))| + |\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}\widehat{T(e_{\lambda})}(\varphi_{i})| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + |\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}\widehat{T(e_{\lambda})}(\varphi_{i})|. \end{aligned}$$

According to part (i) of the Proposition 2.5, $\widehat{T(e_{\lambda})}$ is a BSE-function. Then, there exist a bounded set M in \mathcal{A} and positive real number β_M where

$$|\widehat{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i T(e_{\lambda})}(\varphi_i)| \le \beta_M P_M(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varphi_i).$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we have

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \widehat{T}(\varphi_{i})\right| \leq \beta_{M} P_{M}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \varphi_{i}).$$

Hence, $\widehat{T} \in C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})).$

Conversely, suppose that $M(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$. By applying part (ii) of the Proposition 2.5, for the constant function $1 \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$, there exists a bounded net $(a_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ in \mathcal{A} such that $\varphi(a_{\lambda}) \longrightarrow_{\lambda} 1$ ($\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$). It follows that $(a_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ is a bounded Δ -weak approximate identity. \Box

Before proving the last result of the paper, let $\sigma \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and

$$P_M(\sigma) = \inf \left\{ \beta_M : |\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sigma(\varphi_i)| \le \beta_M P_M(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i), \ c_i \in \mathbb{C}, \ \varphi_i \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \right\}.$$

Then, $(C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})), P_M)$ is a locally convex algebra. In addition, we define

$$\beta_M(\mathcal{A}) = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \right| : P_M(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i) \le 1, \ c_1, \cdots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}, \ \varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_n \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \right\},\$$

where M is a bounded set in \mathcal{A} . It is easy to prove that

$$P_M(\sigma) = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sigma(\varphi_i) \right| : P_M(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i) \le 1, \ c_i \in \mathbb{C}, \ \varphi_i \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \right\}.$$

10

We note that if $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ is unital, then $\beta_M(\mathcal{A}) = P_M(1)$ where 1 is the constant function.

Theorem 3.6. Let (\mathcal{A}, p_{ℓ}) be a commutative semisimple Fréchet algebra. Then, the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ is unital;
- (ii) \mathcal{A} has a bounded Δ -weak approximate identity;
- (iii) $\beta_M(\mathcal{A}) < \infty$, for some bounded set M in \mathcal{A} .

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). Suppose that $C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ is unital. By using part (ii) of the Proposition 2.5, there exists a bounded net $(a_{\lambda})_{\lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ which $\varphi(a_{\lambda}) = \widehat{a_{\lambda}}(\varphi) \longrightarrow_{\lambda} 1$ for each $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$. This is equivalent to saying that the net $(a_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ is a bounded Δ -weak approximate identity for \mathcal{A} . Hence, (*ii*) is obtained.

Conversely, let \mathcal{A} has a bounded Δ -weak approximate identity. Then, $M(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$, by Theorem 3.5. Furthermore, the identity map $I : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ belongs to $M(\mathcal{A})$ and \widehat{I} is the constant function 1 on $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$; see Remark 3.3. Therefore, $1 \in C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and so $C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ is unital.

(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii). Let $C_{\text{BSE}}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ be unital. Then, there exist a bounded set M in \mathcal{A} and a positive real number β_M such that for every finite number of complexnumbers c_1, \dots, c_n and the same number of $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ in $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ we have

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}\right| = \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} 1(\varphi_{i})\right| \le \beta_{M} P_{M}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \varphi_{i}\right).$$

Consequently, $\beta_M(\mathcal{A}) \leq \beta_M < \infty$. Hence, (iii) is obtained.

Conversely, suppose that (iii) holds and $d := P_M(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i)$ for $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$. Thus,

$$P_M(\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{c_i}{d})\varphi_i) = (\frac{1}{d})P_M(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i\varphi_i) = 1,$$

and hence,

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} 1(\varphi_{i})\right| \leq \beta_{M}(\mathcal{A}) P_{M}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \varphi_{i}).$$

It follows that $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ is unital.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank the University of Isfahan for their support and to Dr. F. Abtahi for her useful suggestions and comments.

References

- F. Abtahi and Z. Kamali, The Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein property for vector-valued l^p-Spaces, Mediterr. J. Math., 17, (2020), 94.
- [2] F. Abtahi, Z. Kamali and M. Toutounchi, The Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein property for vector-valued Lipschitz algebras, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 479, (2019), 1172-1181.
- [3] Z. Alimohammadi and A. Rejali, Fréchet algebras in abstract harmonic analysis, arXiv:1811.10987v1 [math.FA].
- [4] S. Bochner, A theorem on Fourier-Stieltjes integrals, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 40/4, (1934), 271-276.
- [5] R. S. Doran and J. Wichmann, Approximate identities and factorization in Banach modules, Lecture Notes in Math., 768, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [6] W. F. Eberlein, Characterizations of Fourier- Stieltjes transforms, Duke Math J., 22, (1955), 465-468.
- [7] M. Fragoulopoulou, Uniqueness of topology for semisimple LFQ-algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 117, (1993), 963-969.

- [8] H. Goldmann, Uniform Fréchet algebras, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 162, North-Holand, Amesterdam-New York, 1990.
- [9] S. L. Gulick. The bidual of a locally multiplicatively-convex algebra, Pacific Journal of Mathematics., 17/1, 1966, 71-96.
- [10] J. Inoue and S. -E. Takahasi, Constructions of bounded weak approximate identities for segal algebras on LCA groups, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 66, (2000), 257-271.
- [11] J. Inoue and S. E. Takahasi, On characterizations of the image of Gelfand transform of commutative Banach algebras, Math. Nachr. 280, (2007), 105-126.
- [12] K. Izuchi, The Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein theorem and spaces of analytic functions on the open unit disc, Math. Japon., 37, (1992), 65-77.
- [13] C. A. Jones and C. D. Lahr, Weak and norm approximate identities are different, Pacific J. Math., 72, (1977), 99-104.
- [14] E. Kaniuth, A. T. Lau and A. Ülger, Homomorphisms of commutative Banach algebras and extensions to multiplier algebras with applications to Fourier algebras, Studia Math., 183, (2007), 35-62.
- [15] E. Kaniuth and A. Ülger, The Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein property for commutative Banach algebras, especially Fourier-Stieltjes algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 362, (2010), 4331-4356.
- [16] G. kothe, Topological vector spaces. (II), Springer-Verlag. New York, 1979.
- [17] R. Larsen, An Introduction to the Theory of Multipliers. Springer, New York, 1971.
- [18] R. Meise and D. Vogt, Introduction to Functional Analysis, Oxford Science Publications, 1997.
- [19] W. Rudin, Fourier Analysis on Groups, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1984.
- [20] I. J. Schoenberg, A remark on the preceding note by Bochner, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 40/4, (1934), 277-278.
- [21] S. E. Takahasi and O. Hatori, Commutative Banach algebras which satisfy a Bochner-Schoenberg- Eberlein-type theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 110, (1990), 149-158.
- [22] S. E. Takahasi and O. Hatori, Commutative Banach algebras and BSE-inequalities, Math. Japonica., 37, (1992), 47-52. MR1176031 (93h:46069).

M. Amiri

Department of Mathematics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran mitra.amiri@sci.ui.ac.ir

A. Rejali

Department of Mathematics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran rejali@sci.ui.ac.ir