

---



---

# Integrals of the difference of subharmonic functions over discs and planar small sets

B. N. Khabibullin<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Bashkir State University, Zaki Validi Str. 32, Bashkortostan, 420076 Russian Federation*

Received November 25, 2020

**Abstract**—The maximum of the modulus of a meromorphic function cannot be restricted from above by the Nevanlinna characteristic of this meromorphic function. But integrals from the logarithm of the module of a meromorphic function allow similar restrictions from above. This is illustrated by one of the important theorems of Rolf Nevanlinna in the classical monograph by A. A. Goldberg and I. V. Ostrovskii on meromorphic functions, as well as by the Edrei–Fuchs Lemma on small arcs and its versions for small intervals in articles by A. F. Grishin, M. L. Sodin, T. I. Malyutina. Similar results for integrals of differences of subharmonic functions even with weights were recently obtained by B. N. Khabibullin, L. A. Gabdrakhmanova. All these results are on integrals over subsets on a ray. In this article, we establish such results for integrals of the logarithm of the modulus of a meromorphic function and the difference of subharmonic functions over discs and planar small sets. Our estimates are uniform in the sense that the constants in these estimates are explicitly written out and do not depend on meromorphic functions and the difference of subharmonic functions provided that these functions has an integral normalization near zero.

**2010 Mathematical Subject Classification:** 30D35, 31A05, 30D20

Keywords and phrases: *meromorphic function, Nevanlinna characteristic, subharmonic function,  $\delta$ -subharmonic function, Riesz measure*

## 1. INTRODUCTION. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

Upper estimates of integrals for meromorphic functions in the *complex plane*  $\mathbb{C}$  over segments or small sets on a ray via the Nevanlinna characteristic are considered in works [1, pp. 24–27], [2, Notes, Ch. 1], [2, Ch. 1, Theorem 7.2], [3, Lemma 3.1], [4, Theorem 8], [5, Theorem 1 (on small intervals), Remark 1.1, Conclusion of the Grishin–Malyutina Theorem], [6, Main Theorem]. Our article [6] contains all these listed results as special cases (see Theorem 1 below). We give in [5, Introduction] and [6, 1.1–1.2] a detailed history of the issue with full formulations of all previous

---

\* E-mail: khabib-bulat@mail.ru

results. In this paper, we obtain similar upper estimates for integrals already over discs or small planar sets. As in [1], we establish the main theorem of the paper in a more general subharmonic version. Let's move on to precise definitions.

As usual,  $\mathbb{R}$  is the *real line*, or the *real axis* of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathbb{R}^+ := \{r \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \leq r\}$  is the *positive closed semiaxis*. We denote singleton sets by a symbol without curly brackets. So,  $\mathbb{R}^+ \setminus 0$  is the *positive open semiaxis*,  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is the *extended real axis*. Besides,  $D(z, r) := \{z' \in \mathbb{C} : |z' - z| < r\}$  is an *open disc*,  $\overline{D}(z, r) := \{z' \in \mathbb{C} : |z' - z| \leq r\}$  is a *closed disc*,  $\partial\overline{D}(z, r) := \{z' \in \mathbb{C} : |z' - z| = r\}$  is a *circle with center  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  of radius  $r \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^+$* ;  $D(z, 0) = \emptyset$ ,  $\overline{D}(z, 0) = \partial\overline{D}(z, 0) = z$ ,  $D(z, +\infty) = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $D(r) := D(0, r)$ ,  $\overline{D}(r) := \overline{D}(0, r)$ ,  $\partial\overline{D}(r) := \partial\overline{D}(0, r)$ .

Given a function  $f: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $f^+ := \sup\{0, f\}$  and  $f^- := (-f)^+$  are *positive* and *negative parts* of function  $f$ , respectively;  $|f| := f^+ + f^-$ .

Given  $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\text{sbh}(S)$  is the class of all *subharmonic* on an open neighbourhood of  $S$ . We set  $\text{sbh}_*(S) := \{v \in \text{sbh}(S) : v \not\equiv -\infty\}$ .

By  $\lambda$  we denote the *planar Lebesgue measure* on  $\mathbb{C}$ . We also use the notation  $\text{mes}$  for the *linear Lebesgue measure* on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

For  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and a function  $v: \partial\overline{D}(r) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , we define

$$M_v(r) := \sup_{|z|=r} v(z), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (1M)$$

$$C_v(r) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} v(re^{i\varphi}) d\varphi, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus 0, \quad (1C)$$

where  $C_v(r)$  is the *average over the circle  $\partial\overline{D}(0, r)$* , if this integral exists. For a  $\lambda$ -measurable function  $v: \overline{D}(r) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , we use also the *average over the disc  $\overline{D}(r)$*  defined as

$$B_v(r) := \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{\overline{D}(r)} v d\lambda, \quad B_v(0) := M_v(0) \stackrel{(1M)}{=} v(0) =: C_v(0). \quad (2)$$

See [7, 2.6], [8, 2.7], [9, 3] on properties of  $M_v$ ,  $C_v$ ,  $B_v$  in the case of a subharmonic function  $v$ .

For a Borel subset  $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ , the set of all Borel, or Radon, positive measures  $\mu \geq 0$  on  $S$  is denoted by  $\text{Meas}^+(S)$ , and  $\text{Meas}(S) := \text{Meas}^+(S) - \text{Meas}^+(S)$  is the set of all *charges*, or signed measures, on  $S$ . For a charge  $\nu \in \text{Meas}(S)$ , we denote by

$$\nu^+ \in \text{Meas}^+(S), \quad \nu^- := (-\nu)^+ \in \text{Meas}^+(S), \quad |\nu| := \nu^+ + \nu^- \in \text{Meas}^+(S)$$

the *upper*, *lower*, *total variations* of this charge  $\nu$ , respectively. We set

$$\nu(z, r) := \nu(\overline{D}(z, r)) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} \quad \text{if } \overline{D}(z, r) \subset S, \quad 0 \leq r \leq R, \quad (3z)$$

$$\nu^{\text{rad}}(r) := \nu(0, r) = \nu(\overline{D}(r)) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} \quad \text{if } \overline{D}(r) \subset S, \quad 0 \leq r \leq R, \quad (3r)$$

$$N_\nu(r, R) := \int_r^R \frac{\nu^{\text{rad}}(t)}{t} dt \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^+ \quad \text{if } \overline{D}(R) \subset S, \quad 0 \leq r \leq R, \quad (3N)$$

provided that the last integral is well defined. For  $0 \leq r \leq R \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and functions

$$v: \partial\overline{D}(r) \cup \partial\overline{D}(R) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}},$$

we define

$$C_v(r, R) \stackrel{(1C)}{:=} C_v(R) - C_v(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (v(Re^{i\varphi}) - v(re^{i\varphi})) d\varphi \quad (4)$$

provided that  $C_v(R)$  and  $C_v(r)$  are well defined.

If  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a domain and  $u \in \text{sbh}_*(D)$ , then there is its *Riesz measure*

$$\Delta_u := \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta u \in \text{Meas}^+(D), \quad (5)$$

where  $\Delta$  is the *Laplace operator* acting in the sense of the theory of distribution or generalized functions. This definition of the Riesz measures carries over naturally to  $u \in \text{sbh}_*(S)$  for connected subsets  $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ . By the Poisson–Jensen–Privalov formula [7], [8], we have

$$C_v(r, R) = N_{\Delta_v}(r, R) \quad \text{for all } 0 < r < R < +\infty \text{ if } v \in \text{sbh}_*(\overline{D}(R)). \quad (6)$$

Let  $U = u - v$  be a difference of subharmonic functions  $u, v \in \text{sbh}_*(\overline{D}(0, R))$ , i. e., a  $\delta$ -subharmonic non-trivial ( $\not\equiv \pm\infty$ ) function [10], [11], [12], [13, 3.1] on  $\overline{D}(R)$  with the *Riesz charge*

$$\Delta_U \stackrel{(5)}{:=} \Delta_u - \Delta_v \stackrel{(5)}{:=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta u - \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta v \in \text{Meas}(\overline{D}(0, R)), \text{ and } \Delta_U^- := (\Delta_U)^-$$

is the lower variation of the Riesz charge  $\Delta_U$  of  $U$ . Now we can determine the *difference Nevanlinna characteristic*  $T$  of  $\delta$ -subharmonic non-trivial ( $\not\equiv \pm\infty$ ) function  $U$  as a function of two variables

$$T_U(r, R) := C_{U^+}(r, R) + N_{\Delta_U^-}(r, R), \quad 0 < r \leq R \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (7)$$

A representation  $U = u_U - v_U$  with  $u_U, v_U \in \text{sbh}_*(\overline{D}(0, R))$  is *canonical* if the Riesz measure  $\Delta_{u_U}$  of  $u_U$  is the *upper variation*  $\Delta_U^+$  of  $\Delta_U$  and the Riesz measure  $\Delta_{v_U}$  of  $v_U$  is the *lower variation*  $\Delta_U^- := (\Delta_U)^-$  of  $\Delta_U$ . The canonical representation for  $U$  is defined up to the harmonic function added simultaneously to each of the representing subharmonic functions  $u_U$  and  $v_U$ , and

$$T_U(r, R) \stackrel{(6),(7)}{=} C_{U^+}(r, R) + C_{v_U}(r, R) = C_{\sup\{u_U, v_U\}}(r, R), \quad 0 < r \leq R \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (8)$$

By (8), the difference Nevanlinna characteristic  $T_U$  is already uniquely defined for all values  $0 < r \leq R < +\infty$  by positive values in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , and is also increasing and convex with respect to the logarithmic function  $\ln$  in the second variable  $R$ , but is decreasing in the first variable  $r \leq R$ . Recall that the following notation is used for the meromorphic function  $F \not\equiv 0$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  in the classic monograph by A. A. Goldberg and I. V. Ostrovskii [2] for the maximum of module

$$M(r, F) := \sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}^+} \{|F(z)|: |z| = r\}, \quad (9M)$$

and for the *Nevanlinna characteristic*

$$T(r, F) \underset{r \in \mathbb{R}^+}{:=} m(r, F) + N(r, F), \quad (9T)$$

$$m(r, F) \underset{r \in \mathbb{R}^+}{:=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln^+ |F(re^{i\varphi})| d\varphi, \quad (9m)$$

$$N(r, F) \underset{r \in \mathbb{R}^+}{:=} \int_0^r \frac{n(t, F) - n(0, F)}{t} dt + n(0, F) \ln r, \quad (9N)$$

where  $n(r, F)$  is the number of poles of  $F$  in the closed disc  $\overline{D}(r) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r\}$ , taking into account the multiplicity. The function  $\ln |F|$  is non-trivial  $\delta$ -subharmonic on  $\mathbb{C}$ , and

$$\ln M(r, F) \stackrel{(9M),(1M)}{=} M_{\ln|F|}(r), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (10M)$$

$$m(r, f) \stackrel{(9m),(1C)}{=} C_{\ln^+|F|}(r), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (10m)$$

$$N(R, F) - N(r, F) \stackrel{(9N),(3N)}{=} N_{\Delta_{\ln|F|}^-}(r, R), \quad 0 < r < R \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (10N)$$

$$T(R, F) - T(r, F) \stackrel{(9T),(7)}{=} T_{\ln|F|}(r, R), \quad 0 < r < R \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (10T)$$

## 2. RECENT AND NEW RESULTS

Let us formulate the main result from [6] for the ‘‘one-dimensional’’ subset  $E \subset [0, r] \subset \mathbb{R}^+$  in the special case without a weight function-multiplier  $g \in L^p(E)$ ,  $1 < p \leq +\infty$ , in the integrand.

**Theorem 1** ([6, Main Theorem]). *Let  $0 < r_0 < r < +\infty$ ,  $1 < k \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $E \subset [0, r]$  be mes-measurable,  $g \in L^p(E)$ , where  $1 < p \leq \infty$  and  $q \in [1, +\infty)$  is defined by  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . If  $U \not\equiv \pm\infty$  is a non-trivial  $\delta$ -subharmonic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $u \not\equiv -\infty$  is a subharmonic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ , then*

$$\int_E M_{U^+}(t)g(t) dt \leq \frac{4qk}{k-1} (T_U(r_0, kr) + C_{U^+}(r_0)) \|g\|_{L^p(E)} \sqrt[q]{\text{mes } E} \ln \frac{4kr}{\text{mes } E}, \quad (11T)$$

$$\int_E M_{|u|}(t)g(t) dt \leq \frac{5qk}{k-1} (M_{u^+}(kr) + C_{u^-}(r_0)) \sqrt[q]{\text{mes } E} \ln \frac{4kr}{\text{mes } E}. \quad (11M)$$

In particular, by (10M)–(10T) we have for meromorphic functions the following

**Corollary 1.** *Let, under the conditions of Theorem 1,  $F \not\equiv 0$  be a meromorphic function, and  $f \not\equiv 0$  be an entire function. Then, in the traditional notation (9M)–(9N), we have*

$$\int_E (\ln^+ M(t, F))g(t) dt \leq \frac{4qk}{k-1} (T(kr, F) - N(r_0, F)) \|g\|_{L^p(E)} \sqrt[q]{\text{mes } E} \ln \frac{4kr}{\text{mes } E}, \quad (11T)$$

$$\int_E |\ln M(t, f)|g(t) dt \leq \frac{5qk}{k-1} (\ln^+ M(kr, f) + m(r_0, 1/f)) \sqrt[q]{\text{mes } E} \ln \frac{4kr}{\text{mes } E}. \quad (11M)$$

*Proof.* By (10M)–(10T) we have for  $U := \ln |F|$

$$T_U(r_0, kr) + C_{U^+}(r_0) \stackrel{(10T),(10m)}{=} T(kr, F) - T(r_0, F) + m(r_0, f) \stackrel{(9T)}{=} T(kr, F) - N(r_0, F). \quad (13)$$

We can replace the bracket on the right-hand side of (11T) with the right side of these equalities. Then we obtain (11T) by (10M) for the integrand in the left-hand side of (11T).

For  $u := \ln |f|$ , we have

$$\mathbf{M}_{u^+}(kr) + \mathbf{C}_{u^-}(r_0) \stackrel{(10M)}{=} \ln^+ M(kr, f) + \mathbf{C}_{\ln^- |f|}(r_0) \stackrel{(10m)}{=} \ln^+ M(kr, f) + m(r_0, 1/f). \quad (14)$$

We can replace the bracket on the right-hand side of (11M) with the right side of these equalities. Then we obtain (11M) by (10M) for the integrand in the left-hand side of (11M).  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Our elementary example [6, 1.1, (3)] shows that it is impossible to discard the terms  $-N(r_0, F)$  and  $m(r_0, 1/f)$  in parentheses on the right-hand sides of inequality (11T) and (11M), respectively. In particular, the classical Rolf Nevanlinna Theorem [2, Ch. 1, Theorem 7.2] can be formulated in the following correct form: *for each meromorphic function  $F \not\equiv 0$  and  $1 < k \in \mathbb{R}^+$*

$$\frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \ln^+ M(t, F) dt \leq \frac{4k \ln 4k}{k-1} (T(kr, F) - N(r_0, F)) \quad \text{for all } 0 < r_0 \leq r \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (15)$$

Indeed, it is sufficient to choose  $E := [0, r]$ ,  $g \equiv 1$  with  $\text{mes } E = r$ , and  $p := \infty$  with  $q = 1$  in (11T).

Our main result is established for the case of a planar “two-dimensional” subset  $E \subset \overline{D}(r) \subset \mathbb{C}$ :

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $0 < r_0 < r < +\infty$ ,  $1 < k \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $E \subset \overline{D}(r)$  be a  $\lambda$ -measurable subset. If  $U \not\equiv \pm\infty$  is a  $\delta$ -subharmonic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $u \not\equiv -\infty$  is a subharmonic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ , then*

$$\int_E U^+ d\lambda \leq \frac{2k}{k-1} (\mathbf{T}_U(r_0, kr) + \mathbf{C}_{U^+}(r_0)) \lambda(E) \ln \frac{100kr^2}{\lambda(E)}, \quad (16T)$$

$$\int_E |u| d\lambda \leq \frac{3k}{k-1} (\mathbf{M}_{u^+}(kr) + \mathbf{C}_{u^-}(r_0)) \lambda(E) \ln \frac{100kr^2}{\lambda(E)}. \quad (16M)$$

Theorem 2 is proved at the end of Sec. 3 after some preparation.

We have not seen before these estimates (16T) and (16M) even for the case  $E = \overline{D}(r)$ :

**Corollary 2.** *If  $U \not\equiv \pm\infty$  be a  $\delta$ -subharmonic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $u \not\equiv -\infty$  be a subharmonic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ , then*

$$\mathbf{B}_{U^+}(r) \leq \frac{7k \ln(ek)}{k-1} (\mathbf{T}_U(r_0, kr) + \mathbf{C}_{U^+}(r_0)) \quad \text{for all } 0 < r_0 < r \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ and } 1 < k \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (17T)$$

$$\mathbf{B}_{|u|}(r) \leq \frac{11k \ln(ek)}{k-1} (\mathbf{M}_{u^+}(kr) + \mathbf{C}_{u^-}(r_0)) \quad \text{for all } 0 < r_0 < r \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ and } 1 < k \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (17M)$$

*Proof.* Let  $E := \overline{D}(r)$  in (16). Then  $\lambda(E) = \pi r^2$ ,

$$\ln \frac{100kr^2}{\lambda(E)} = \ln \frac{100k}{\pi} \leq \frac{7}{2} \ln ek, \quad \mathbf{B}_{U^+}(r) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \frac{1}{\lambda(E)} \int_E U^+ d\lambda, \quad \mathbf{B}_{|u|}(r) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \frac{1}{\lambda(E)} \int_E |u| d\lambda,$$

and by (16) we obtain (17).  $\square$

For a meromorphic function  $F \not\equiv 0$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ , in the frame of traditional notation (9), we denote the average of  $\ln^+ |F|$  over a disc  $\overline{D}(r)$  as

$$m^{[2]}(r, F) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{2}{r^2} \int_0^r \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln^+ |F(te^{i\varphi})| d\varphi \right) t dt \stackrel{(1C)}{=} \frac{2}{r^2} \int_0^r m(t, F) t dt \stackrel{(2)}{=} \mathbf{B}_{\ln^+ |F|}(r). \quad (18)$$

**Corollary 3.** *Let, under the conditions of Theorem 2,  $F \not\equiv 0$  be a meromorphic function, and  $f \not\equiv 0$  be an entire function. Then, in the traditional notation (9M)–(9N) and (18), we have*

$$\int_E \ln^+ |F(z)| d\lambda(z) \leq \frac{2k}{k-1} (T(kr, F) - N(r_0, F)) \lambda(E) \ln \frac{100kr^2}{\lambda(E)}, \quad (19T)$$

$$\int_E \left| \ln |f(z)| \right| d\lambda(z) \leq \frac{3k}{k-1} (\ln^+ M(kr, f) + m(r_0, 1/f)) \ln \frac{100kr^2}{\lambda(E)}. \quad (19M)$$

$$m^{[2]}(r, F) \leq \frac{7k \ln(ek)}{k-1} (T(kr, F) - N(r_0, F)), \quad (19F)$$

$$m^{[2]}(r, f) + m^{[2]}(r, 1/f) \leq \frac{11k \ln(ek)}{k-1} (\mathbf{M}_{u^+}(kr) + \mathbf{C}_{u^-}(r_0)) \quad (19f)$$

for all  $0 < r_0 < r \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $1 < k \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

*Proof.* For  $U := \ln |F|$ , we obtain (19T) by (16T), (13), (10), and also (19F) by (17T), (13), (18).

For  $u := \ln |f|$  we obtain (19M) by (16M), (14), (10), and also (19f) by (17M), (14), (18) since  $m^{[2]}(r, f) + m^{[2]}(r, 1/f) \stackrel{(18)}{=} \mathbf{B}_{|\ln |f||}(r)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .  $\square$

### 3. LEMMATA AND PROOF OF THEOREM 2

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $0 \leq r < R < +\infty$ ,  $E \subset \overline{D}(r)$  be  $\lambda$ -measurable,  $U = u - v$  be a difference of subharmonic functions  $u, v \in \text{sbh}_*(\overline{D}(R))$ ,  $\Delta_v$  be the Riesz measure of  $v$ . Then*

$$\int_E U^+ d\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{R+r}{R-r} \mathbf{C}_{U^+}(R) + \Delta_v^{\text{rad}}(R) \right) \lambda(E) \ln \frac{(10R)^2}{\lambda(E)}. \quad (20)$$

*Proof.* For  $w \in E \subset \overline{D}(r)$ , by the Poisson–Jensen formula [7, 4.5], we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(w) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} U(Re^{i\varphi}) \operatorname{Re} \frac{Re^{i\varphi} + w}{Re^{i\varphi} - w} d\varphi - \int_{D(R)} \ln \left| \frac{R^2 - z\bar{w}}{R(w-z)} \right| d\Delta_u(z) \\ &\quad + \int_{D(R)} \ln \left| \frac{R^2 - z\bar{w}}{R(w-z)} \right| d\Delta_v(z) \leq \frac{R+r}{R-r} \mathbf{C}_{U^+}(R) + \int_{D(R)} \ln \frac{2R}{|w-z|} d\Delta_v(z) \end{aligned}$$

where the right-hand side of the inequality is positive. Hence, by integrating, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E U^+ d\lambda &\leq \frac{R+r}{R-r} \mathbf{C}_{U^+}(R) \lambda(E) + \int_{D(R)} \int_E \ln \frac{2R}{|w-z|} d\lambda(w) d\Delta_v(z) \\ &\leq \frac{R+r}{R-r} \mathbf{C}_{U^+}(R) \lambda(E) + \Delta_v(\overline{D}(R)) \int_E \ln \frac{2R}{|w-z|} d\lambda(w). \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $\lambda_E$  the restriction of the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$  to  $\lambda$ -measurable set  $E \subset \overline{D}(r)$ . Obviously,

$$\text{supp } \lambda_E \subset \overline{D}(r) \subset D(R), \quad (22s)$$

$$\lambda_E(w, t) \stackrel{(3z)}{\leq} \pi t^2 \quad \text{for each } w \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (22t)$$

$$\lambda_E(\mathbb{C}) = \lambda(E) \leq \pi r^2 \leq \pi R^2. \quad (22E)$$

Consider the last integral in (21):

$$\int_E \ln \frac{2R}{|w-z|} d\lambda(w) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \ln \frac{2R}{|w-z|} d\lambda_E(w) \stackrel{(22s)}{=} \int_0^{2R} \ln \frac{2R}{t} d\lambda_E(z; t) \stackrel{(22t)}{=} \int_0^{2R} \frac{\lambda_E(z; t)}{t} dt. \quad (23)$$

By (22E) we have  $\sqrt{\lambda(E)} \stackrel{(22E)}{\leq} \sqrt{\pi} r < 2r \leq 2R$ . From here we can split the last integral in (23) into the sum of two positive integrals:

$$\int_0^{2R} \frac{\lambda_E(z; t)}{t} dt = \int_0^{\sqrt{\lambda(E)}} \frac{\lambda_E(z; t)}{t} dt + \int_{\sqrt{\lambda(E)}}^{2R} \frac{\lambda_E(z; t)}{t} dt \quad (24)$$

Using (22t), we have for first integral on the right-hand side of this equality (24) the estimate

$$\int_0^{\sqrt{\lambda(E)}} \frac{\lambda_E(z; t)}{t} dt \stackrel{(22t)}{\leq} \int_0^{\sqrt{\lambda(E)}} \frac{\pi t^2}{t} dt = \frac{\pi}{2} \lambda(E). \quad (25)$$

Using (22E), we have for second integral on the right-hand side of (24) the estimate

$$\int_{\sqrt{\lambda(E)}}^{2R} \frac{\lambda_E(z; t)}{t} dt \leq \lambda(\mathbb{C}) \int_{\sqrt{\lambda(E)}}^{2R} \frac{\lambda_E(z; t)}{t} dt \stackrel{(22E)}{=} \frac{1}{2} \lambda(E) \ln \frac{4R^2}{\lambda(E)}. \quad (26)$$

Thus, it follows from (25)–(26) and (24) that

$$\int_0^{2R} \frac{\lambda_E(z; t)}{t} dt \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \lambda(E) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda(E) \ln \frac{4R^2}{\lambda(E)} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda(E) \ln \frac{4e^\pi R^2}{\lambda(E)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda(E) \ln \frac{(10R)^2}{\lambda(E)}, \quad (27)$$

where

$$\ln \frac{(10R)^2}{\lambda(E)} \geq 2, \quad \text{since } \lambda(E) \stackrel{(22E)}{\leq} \pi R^2,$$

and estimate (27) together with (23) and (21) gives (20).  $\square$

**Lemma 2** ([6, Lemma 1]). *Let  $\mu \in \text{Meas}^+(\overline{D}(R))$ . Then*

$$\mu^{\text{rad}}(r) \leq \frac{R}{R-r} N_\mu(r, R) \quad \text{for each } 0 \leq r \leq R. \quad (28)$$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $0 < r < +\infty$ ,  $0 < b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $E \subset \overline{D}(r)$  be  $\lambda$ -measurable,  $U = u - v$  be a difference of subharmonic functions  $u, v \in \text{sbh}_*(\overline{D}((1+b)^2 r))$ . Then*

$$\int_E U^+ d\lambda \leq \frac{2+b}{2b} \left( C_{U^+}((1+b)r) + N_{\Delta_v}((1+b)r, (1+b)^2 r) \right) \lambda(E) \ln \frac{(10(1+b)r)^2}{\lambda(E)}. \quad (29)$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 1 with  $R := (1+b)r$  we have

$$\int_E U^+ d\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2+b}{b} C_{U^+}(R) + \Delta_v^{\text{rad}}((1+b)r) \right) \lambda(E) \ln \frac{(10(1+b)r)^2}{\lambda(E)}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2 with  $(1+b)^2r$  instead of  $R$  and  $(1+b)r$  instead of  $r$ , we obtain

$$\int_E U^+ d\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{2+b}{b} \left( C_{U^+}((1+b)r) + N_{\Delta_v}((1+b)r, (1+b)^2r) \right) \lambda(E) \ln \frac{(10(1+b)r)^2}{\lambda(E)},$$

which gives (29).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We can assume that  $U = u - v$  is the canonical representation of  $U$ . Consider a number  $b > 0$  such that  $(1+b)^2 = k$ . By Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E U^+ d\lambda &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{k}+1}{\sqrt{k}-1} \left( C_{U^+}(\sqrt{kr}) + N_{\Delta_v}(\sqrt{kr}, kr) \right) \lambda(E) \ln \frac{(10\sqrt{kr})^2}{\lambda(E)} \\ &\leq \frac{k}{k-1} \left( \underbrace{C_{U^+}(r_0, \sqrt{kr}) + N_{\Delta_v}(r_0, \sqrt{kr})}_{\mathbb{T}_U(r_0, \sqrt{kr})} + C_{U^+}(r_0) + \underbrace{C_{U^+}(r_0, kr) + N_{\Delta_v}(r_0, kr)}_{\mathbb{T}_U(r_0, kr)} \right) \lambda(E) \ln \frac{(10r)^2 k}{\lambda(E)} \\ &\leq \frac{k}{k-1} \left( 2\mathbb{T}_U(r_0, kr) + C_{U^+}(r_0) \right) \lambda(E) \ln \frac{(10r)^2 k}{\lambda(E)} \leq \frac{2k}{k-1} \left( \mathbb{T}_U(r_0, kr) + C_{U^+}(r_0) \right) \lambda(E) \ln \frac{100kr^2}{\lambda(E)} \end{aligned}$$

and obtain (16T).

If  $u \in \text{sbh}_*(\mathbb{C})$ , then the function  $M_u^+$  is increasing, and

$$\int_E u^+ d\lambda \stackrel{(1M)}{\leq} M_{u^+}(r) \lambda(E) \quad \text{for } E \subset \overline{D}(r). \quad (30)$$

For  $U_u := 0 - u$ , the difference  $0 - u$  is the canonical representation of  $\delta$ -subharmonic non-trivial function  $U_u$  and we have

$$\mathbb{T}_{U_u}(r, R) \stackrel{(8)}{=} C_{\text{sup}\{0, u\}}(r, R) = C_{u^+}(r, R) \leq C_{u^+}(R) \leq M_{u^+}(R). \quad (31)$$

Hence, by Theorem 2 in part (16T) for  $U_u$  in the role of  $U$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E (-u)^+ d\lambda &\stackrel{(16T)}{\leq} \frac{2k}{k-1} \left( \mathbb{T}_{U_u}(r_0, kr) + C_{U_u^+}(r_0) \right) \lambda(E) \ln \frac{100kr^2}{\lambda(E)} \\ &\stackrel{(31)}{\leq} \frac{2k}{k-1} \left( M_{u^+}(kr) + C_{(-u)^+}(r_0) \right) \lambda(E) \ln \frac{100kr^2}{\lambda(E)}. \end{aligned}$$

The latter together with (30) gives (16M).  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The work was supported by a Grant of the Russian Science Foundation (Project No. 18-11-00002).

## REFERENCES

1. R. Nevanlinna, "Le théorème de Picard–Borel et la théorie des fonctions méromorphes", Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1929).
2. A. A. Goldberg and I. V. Ostrovskii, "Value Distribution of Meromorphic Functions. With an appendix by Alexandre Eremenko and James K. Langley." Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **236**, AMS, Providence, RI (2008).
3. A. F. Grishin, M. L. Sodin, "Growth along a ray, distribution of roots with respect to arguments of an entire function of finite order, and a uniqueness theorem", Republican collection "Function theory, functional analysis and their applications", **50**, 47–61, (1988).
4. A. F. Grishin, T. I. Malyutina, "New formulas for indicators of subharmonic functions", *Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom.*, **12**:1, 25–72, (2005).
5. L. A. Gabdrakhmanova, B. N. Khabibullin, "A theorem on small intervals for subharmonic functions", *Izvestiya VUZ. Matematika (Russian Mathematics)*, No. 9, 15–24, (2020).
6. B. N. Khabibullin, "Integrals of subharmonic functions and their differences with weight over small sets on a ray", *Matematychni Studii*, **54**:2, 121–130, (2020).
7. Th. Ransford, "Potential Theory in the Complex Plane", Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1995).
8. W. K. Hayman, P. B. Kennedy, "Subharmonic functions", Vol. 1, London Math. Soc. Monogr., **9**, Academic Press, London–New York (1976).
9. T. Yu. Baiguskarov, B. N. Khabibullin, A. V. Khasanova, "The Logarithm of the Modulus of a Holomorphic Function as a Minorant for a Subharmonic Function. II. The Complex Plane", *Mat. Zametki*, **101**:4, 483–502, (2017); *Math. Notes*, **101**:4, 590–607, (2017).
10. M. G. Arsove, "Functions representable as differences of subharmonic functions", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **75**, 327–365, (1953).
11. M. G. Arsove, "Functions of potential type", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **75**, 526–551, (1953).
12. A. F. Grishin, Nguyen Van Quynh, I. V. Poedintseva, "Representation theorems of  $\delta$ -subharmonic functions", *Bulletin of V. N. Karazin Kharkiv national University, series "Mathematics, applied mathematics and mechanics"*, No. 1133, 56–75, (2014).
13. B. N. Khabibullin, A. P. Rozit, "On the Distribution of Zero Sets of Holomorphic Functions", *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **52**:1, 21–34, (2018).