

# ON THE CHOW GROUPS OF PLÜCKER HYPERSURFACES IN GRASSMANNIANS

ROBERT LATERVEER

ABSTRACT. Motivated by the generalized Bloch conjecture, we formulate a conjecture about the Chow groups of Plücker hypersurfaces in Grassmannians. We prove weak versions of this conjecture.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a smooth projective variety  $Y$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , let  $A_i(Y) := CH_i(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  denote the Chow groups of  $Y$  (i.e. the groups of  $i$ -dimensional algebraic cycles on  $Y$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients, modulo rational equivalence). Let  $A_i^{hom}(Y) \subset A_i(Y)$  denote the subgroup of homologically trivial cycles.

The “generalized Bloch conjecture” [27, Conjecture 1.10] predicts that the Hodge level of the cohomology of  $Y$  should have an influence on the size of the Chow groups of  $Y$ . In case  $Y$  is a surface, this is the notorious Bloch conjecture, which is still an open problem. In the case of hypersurfaces in projective space, the precise prediction is as follows:

**Conjecture 1.1.** *Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a smooth hypersurface of degree  $d$ . Then*

$$A_i^{hom}(Y) = 0 \quad \forall i \leq \frac{n}{d} - 1 .$$

Conjecture 1.1 is still open; partial results have been obtained in [20], [24], [22], [8], [9].

In the case of Plücker hypersurfaces in Grassmannians, we hazard the following prediction (cf. subsection 2.1 below for motivation):

**Conjecture 1.2.** *Let  $\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$  denote the Grassmannian of  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of an  $n$ -dimensional vector space, and let*

$$Y = \mathrm{Gr}(k, n) \cap H \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}$$

*be a smooth hyperplane section (with respect to the Plücker embedding). Then*

$$A_i^{hom}(Y) = 0 \quad \forall i \leq n - 2 .$$

The main result of this note is that a weak version of Conjecture 1.2 is true:

**Theorem** (=Theorem 3.1). *Let*

$$Y = \mathrm{Gr}(k, n) \cap H \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C15, 14C25, 14C30.

*Key words and phrases.* Algebraic cycles, Chow groups, motive, Bloch-Beilinson conjectures.

be a smooth hyperplane section (with respect to the Plücker embedding). Then

$$A_i^{\text{hom}}(Y) = 0 \quad \forall i \leq n - k .$$

The argument proving Theorem 3.1 is very easy and straightforward; it combines the recent construction of *jumps* among subvarieties of Grassmannians [2] and a motivic version of the Cayley trick [12].

In some cases, we can do better and the conjecture is completely satisfied:

**Theorem** (=Theorem 3.2). *Let*

$$Y = \text{Gr}(3, n) \cap H \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{3}-1}$$

be a smooth hyperplane section (with respect to the Plücker embedding). Assume  $n \leq 13$ ,  $n \neq 12$ . Then

$$A_i^{\text{hom}}(Y) = 0 \quad \forall i \leq n - 2 .$$

The  $n = 10$  case of Theorem 3.2 was already proven by Voisin as an application of her technique of *spread* of algebraic cycles [26, Theorem 2.4]. Hyperplane sections  $Y \subset \text{Gr}(3, 10)$  are also known as *Debarre–Voisin hypersurfaces*, because they give rise to the Debarre–Voisin hyperkähler fourfolds [7]. The new proof of [26, Theorem 2.4] provided by Theorem 3.2 does not rely on Voisin’s spread technique, nor on the relation with hyperkähler fourfolds.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.2, some instances of the generalized Hodge conjecture are verified:

**Corollary** (=Corollary 4.1). *Let  $Y$  be as in Theorem 3.2. Then  $H^{\dim Y}(Y, \mathbb{Q})$  is supported on a subvariety of codimension  $n - 1$ .*

As another consequence, we find some new examples of varieties with finite-dimensional motive:

**Corollary** (=Corollary 4.2). *Let*

$$Y = \text{Gr}(3, 9) \cap H \subset \mathbb{P}^{83}$$

be a smooth hyperplane section (with respect to the Plücker embedding). Then  $Y$  has finite-dimensional motive (in the sense of [14]).

Varieties  $Y$  as in Corollary 4.2 are studied in [2, Section 5.1], where they are related to Coble cubics and abelian surfaces.

**Conventions.** *In this note, the word variety will refer to a reduced irreducible scheme of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$ . A subvariety is a (possibly reducible) reduced subscheme which is equidimensional.*

**All Chow groups will be with rational coefficients:** we denote by  $A_j(Y) := CH_j(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  the Chow group of  $j$ -dimensional cycles on  $Y$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients; for  $Y$  smooth of dimension  $n$  the notations  $A_j(Y)$  and  $A^{n-j}(Y)$  are used interchangeably. The notations  $A_{\text{hom}}^j(Y)$  and  $A_{AJ}^j(X)$  will be used to indicate the subgroup of homologically trivial (resp. Abel–Jacobi trivial) cycles.

For a vector bundle  $E$ , we write  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  for  $\text{Proj}(\bigoplus_{m>0} \text{Sym}^m E)$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

## 2.1. Motivating the conjecture.

**Theorem 2.1** (Bernardara–Fatighenti–Manivel [2], Kuznetsov [17]). *Let*

$$Y = \mathrm{Gr}(k, n) \cap H \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}$$

*be a smooth hyperplane section (with respect to the Plücker embedding). Assume either  $n > 3k > 6$ , or  $n$  and  $k$  are coprime. Then  $Y$  has Hodge coniveau  $n - 1$ . More precisely, the Hodge numbers verify*

$$h^{p, \dim Y - p}(Y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p = n - 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } p < n - 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The case  $n > 3k > 6$  is [2, Theorem 3]. In case  $n$  and  $k$  are coprime, Kuznetsov [17, Corollary 4.4] has constructed an exceptional collection for the derived category of  $Y$  whose right orthogonal is a Calabi–Yau category of dimension  $k(n - k) + 1 - 2n$ . Taking Hochschild homology, one obtains the assertion about the Hodge numbers.  $\square$

As mentioned in [2], the assumptions on  $n$  and  $k$  are probably not optimal. (And in view of the examples given in loc. cit., it seems likely that for *any*  $n, k$ , the Hodge coniveau of  $Y$  is  $\geq n - 1$ , while one needs some condition on  $n, k$  to get an equality.)

The *generalized Bloch conjecture* [27, Conjecture 1.10] predicts that any variety  $Y$  with Hodge coniveau  $\geq c$  has

$$A_i^{\mathrm{hom}}(Y) = 0 \quad \forall i < c.$$

This motivates Conjecture 1.2. Note that at least for  $n > 3k > 6$  (or  $n$  and  $k$  coprime), the bound of Conjecture 1.2 is optimal: assuming  $A_i^{\mathrm{hom}}(Y) = 0$  for  $j \leq n - 1$  and applying the Bloch–Srinivas argument [5], one would get the vanishing  $h^{n-1, k(n-k)-n}(Y) = 0$ , contradicting Theorem 2.1.

## 2.2. Jumps.

**Proposition 2.2** (Bernardara–Fatighenti–Manivel [2]). *Let*

$$Y = \mathrm{Gr}(k, n) \cap H \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}$$

*be a general hyperplane section (with respect to the Plücker embedding). There is a Cartesian diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \hookrightarrow & q^*Y & \xrightarrow{q} & Y \\ & & \downarrow p & & \\ & & T & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Gr}(k-1, n) \end{array}$$

*Here the morphism  $q$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^{k-1}$ -bundle, and the morphism  $p$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^{n-k-1}$ -bundle over  $\mathrm{Gr}(k-1, n) \setminus T$  and a  $\mathbb{P}^{n-k}$ -bundle over  $T$ . The subvariety  $T \subset \mathrm{Gr}(k-1, n)$  is smooth of codimension  $n - k + 1$ , given by a section of  $Q^*(1)$  (where  $Q$  denotes the universal quotient bundle on  $\mathrm{Gr}(k-1, n)$ ).*

*Proof.* This is a special case of the construction of a *jump* in [2, Section 3.3]. The idea is to consider the flag variety  $Fl(k-1, k, n)$  as a correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Fl(k-1, k, n) & \xrightarrow{q} & Gr(k, n) \\ & & \downarrow p \\ & & Gr(k-1, n) \end{array}$$

and look at what happens over  $Y$ . The hyperplane  $Y \subset Gr(k, n)$  corresponds to a  $k$ -form  $\Omega$  on an  $n$ -dimensional vector space. The variety  $q^*Y \subset Fl(k-1, k, n)$  is defined by  $q^*\Omega$ ; this is the inverse image of  $Y$  under  $q$ . The flag variety  $Fl(k-1, k, n)$  can be identified with the bundle of hyperplanes  $\mathbb{P}(Q^*(1))$  on  $Gr(k-1, n)$ , and the locus  $T \subset Gr(k-1, n)$  where the fiber dimension of  $p: q^*Y \rightarrow Gr(k-1, n)$  jumps is the zero locus of the section of  $Q^*(1)$  defined by  $\Omega$ . For general  $Y$ , the locus  $T$  will be smooth of codimension equal to  $\text{rank } Q^*(1) = n - k + 1$ . (For the smoothness of  $T$ , we note that  $Q^*(1)$  is globally generated and so the universal family  $\mathcal{T}$  of zero loci of sections of  $Q^*(1)$  is a projective bundle over  $Gr(k, n)$  hence  $\mathcal{T}$  is smooth; the smoothness of general  $T$  then follows from generic smoothness applied to  $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}H^0(Gr(k, n), Q^*(1))$ .)  $\square$

### 2.3. Cayley's trick and Chow groups.

**Theorem 2.3** (Jiang [12]). *Let  $E \rightarrow X$  be a vector bundle of rank  $r \geq 2$  over a smooth projective variety  $X$ , and let  $T := s^{-1}(0) \subset X$  be the zero locus of a section  $s \in H^0(X, E)$  such that  $T$  is smooth of dimension  $\dim X - \text{rank } E$ . Let  $Y := w^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{P}(E)$  be the zero locus of the section  $w \in H^0(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1))$  that corresponds to  $s$  under the natural isomorphism  $H^0(X, E) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1))$ . There are (correspondence-induced) isomorphisms of Chow groups*

$$A_i(Y) \cong A_{i+1-r}(T) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-2} A_{i-j}(X) \quad \forall i.$$

*In particular, there are isomorphisms*

$$A_i^{\text{hom}}(Y) \cong A_{i+1-r}^{\text{hom}}(T) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-2} A_{i-j}^{\text{hom}}(X) \quad \forall i.$$

*Proof.* The first statement is a special case of [12, Theorem 3.1] (the statement is actually true with *integer* coefficients). Both the isomorphism and its inverse are explicitly described. The crucial point is that the projection  $Y \rightarrow X$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^{r-2}$ -fibration over  $X \setminus T$ , and a  $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ -fibration over  $T$ .

As for the second statement, one observes that the first statement also holds on the level of Chow motives (this is [12, Corollary 3.8]). This implies that there is a commutative diagram

(where vertical arrows are cycle class maps)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A_i(Y) & \cong & A_{i+1-r}(T) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-2} A_{i-j}(X) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_{2i}(Y, \mathbb{Q}) & \cong & H_{2i+2-2r}(T, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-2} H_{2i-2j}(X, \mathbb{Q}).
\end{array}$$

This proves the second statement.  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** In the set-up of Theorem 2.3, a cohomological relation between  $Y$ ,  $X$  and  $T$  was established in [16, Prop. 4.3] (cf. also [10, section 3.7], as well as [2, Proposition 46] for a generalization). A relation on the level of derived categories was established in [21, Theorem 2.10] (cf. also [13, Theorem 2.4] and [2, Proposition 47]).

#### 2.4. A variant of the Cayley trick.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Y_T & \hookrightarrow & Y \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow p \\
T & \hookrightarrow & X
\end{array}$$

*be a Cartesian diagram of projective varieties, with  $T \subset X$  of codimension  $c$ . Assume that  $p$  is a proper morphism which is a  $\mathbb{P}^n$ -bundle over  $X \setminus T$ , and a  $\mathbb{P}^m$ -bundle over  $T$ . Assume also that there exists  $h \in A^1(Y)$  such that  $h|_{Y \setminus Y_T}$  is relatively ample for the  $\mathbb{P}^n$ -bundle and  $h|_{Y_T}$  is relatively ample for the  $\mathbb{P}^m$ -bundle. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$\bigoplus_{j=n+1}^m A_{i-j}(T) \rightarrow A_i(Y) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=0}^n A_{i-j}(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* We use Bloch's higher Chow groups  $A_i(-, j)$  [3], [4]. There is a commutative diagram with long exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
A_i(Y \setminus Y_T, 1) & \rightarrow & A_i(Y_T) & \rightarrow & A_i(Y) & \rightarrow & A_i(Y \setminus Y_T) \rightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \Phi_T & & \downarrow \Phi & & \downarrow \cong \\
\bigoplus_{j=0}^n A_{i-j}(X \setminus T, 1) & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{j=0}^n A_{i-j}(T) & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{j=0}^n A_{i-j}(X) & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{j=0}^n A_{i-j}(X \setminus T) \rightarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

The vertical arrows in this diagram are defined as follows: the map  $\Phi$  is  $\sum_{j=0}^n p_*(h^j \cap -)$ , and the map  $\Phi_T$  is  $\sum_{j=0}^n p_*((h|_{Y_T})^j \cap -)$ . Similarly, the left and right vertical maps are defined by

restricting  $h$  to  $Y \setminus Y_T$ . Commutativity of the diagram is proven as in [19, diagram (10)], by looking at the level of the underlying complexes. The left and right vertical arrows are isomorphisms because of the projective bundle formula for higher Chow groups [3].

The projective bundle formula says that  $\Phi_T$  is surjective with kernel

$$\ker \Phi_T \cong \bigoplus_{j=n+1}^m A_{i-j}(T).$$

A diagram chase now yields the desired exact sequence.  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** The case  $(m, n) = (r - 2, r - 1)$  of Proposition 2.5 gives back a weak version of Jiang's result (Theorem 2.3). Versions of Proposition 2.5 on the level of cohomology and on the level of derived categories are given in [2, Appendix A], resp. [2, Appendix B].

At least when all varieties are *smooth*, it seems likely that a stronger version of Proposition 2.5 is true: we guess that in this case there is an isomorphism of Chow groups

$$A_i(Y) \cong \bigoplus_{j=n+1}^m A_{i-j}(T) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^n A_{i-j}(X).$$

Since this is not needed below, we have not pursued this guess.

## 2.5. Spreading out.

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow B$  be a family of smooth projective varieties. Assume there is some  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  that*

$$(1) \quad A_i^{hom}(Y_b) = 0 \quad \forall i \leq c$$

*for the very general fiber  $Y_b$ . Then*

$$A_i^{hom}(Y_b) = 0 \quad \forall i \leq c$$

*for every fiber  $Y_b$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B^\circ \subset B$  denote the intersection of countably many Zariski open subsets such that the vanishing (1) holds for all  $b \in B^\circ$ .

Doing the Bloch–Srinivas argument [5] (cf. also [18]), this implies that for each  $b \in B^\circ$  one has a decomposition of the diagonal

$$(2) \quad \Delta_{Y_b} = \gamma_b + \delta_b \quad \text{in } A^{\dim Y_b}(Y_b \times Y_b)$$

where  $\gamma_b$  is completely decomposed (i.e.  $\gamma_b \in A^*(Y_b) \otimes A^*(Y_b)$ ) and  $\delta_b$  is supported on  $Y_b \times W_b$  with  $\text{codim } W_b = c + 1$ .

Using Hilbert schemes as in the proofs of [28, Theorem 2.1(i)], [25, Proposition 3.7], the fiberwise data

$$(\gamma_b, \delta_b, W_b)$$

can be encoded by a countably infinite number of varieties, each carrying a universal object. By a Baire category argument, one of these varieties must dominate  $B$ . Taking a linear section, this means that after a generically finite base change the  $\gamma_b, \delta_b, W_b$  exist relatively. That is, there exist a generically finite morphism  $B' \rightarrow B$ , a cycle  $\gamma \in A^*(\mathcal{Y}' \times_{B'} \mathcal{Y}')$  that is completely decomposed

(i.e.  $\gamma \in (p_1)^* A^*(\mathcal{Y}') \cdot (p_2)^* A^*(\mathcal{Y}')$ ), a subvariety  $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{Y}'$  of codimension  $c + 1$ , and a cycle  $\delta$  supported on  $\mathcal{Y}' \times_{B'} \mathcal{W}$  such that

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{Y}'}|_b = \gamma|_b + \delta|_b \quad \text{in } A^{\dim Y_b}(Y_b \times Y_b) \quad \forall b \in B^\circ.$$

(Here  $\mathcal{Y}' := \mathcal{Y} \times_B B'$ .)

Let  $\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\delta} \in A^{\dim Y_b}(\mathcal{Y}' \times_{B'} \mathcal{Y}')$  be cycles that restrict to  $\gamma$  resp.  $\delta$ . The spread lemma [28, Proposition 2.4], [27, Lemma 3.2] then implies that

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{Y}'}|_b = \bar{\gamma}|_b + \bar{\delta}|_b \quad \text{in } A^{\dim Y_b}(Y_b \times Y_b) \quad \forall b \in B.$$

Given any  $b_1 \in B \setminus B^\circ$ , one can find representatives for  $\bar{\gamma}$  and  $\bar{\delta}$  in general position with respect to the fiber  $Y_{b_1} \times Y_{b_1}$ . Restricting to the fiber, this implies that the diagonal of  $Y_{b_1}$  has a decomposition as in (2), and so (2) holds for all  $b \in B$ . Letting the decomposition (2) act on Chow groups, this shows that

$$A_i^{\text{hom}}(Y_b) = 0 \quad \forall i \leq c, \quad \forall b \in B.$$

□

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let*

$$Y = \text{Gr}(k, n) \cap H \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}$$

*be a smooth hyperplane section (with respect to the Plücker embedding). Then*

$$A_i^{\text{hom}}(Y) = 0 \quad \forall i \leq n - k.$$

*Proof.* In view of Proposition 2.7, it suffices to prove this for generic hyperplane sections, and so we may assume  $Y$  is as in Proposition 2.2. The *jump* of Proposition 2.2 gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \hookrightarrow & q^*Y & \xrightarrow{q} & Y \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ & & T & \hookrightarrow & \text{Gr}(k-1, n). \end{array}$$

The morphism  $q^*Y \rightarrow Y$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^{k-1}$ -bundle, and so the projective bundle formula implies there are injections

$$(3) \quad A_i^{\text{hom}}(Y) \hookrightarrow A_i^{\text{hom}}(q^*Y) \quad \forall i.$$

For  $Y$  sufficiently general, the locus  $T$  will be smooth of codimension  $n - k + 1$  (Proposition 2.2). The set-up is thus that of Cayley's trick, with  $X = \text{Gr}(k-1, n)$  and  $E = Q^*(1)$ . Applying Theorem 2.3 (with  $r = \text{rank } Q^*(1) = n - k + 1$ ), we find that there are isomorphisms

$$(4) \quad A_i^{\text{hom}}(q^*Y) \cong A_{i-n+k}^{\text{hom}}(T).$$

But  $T$  is a smooth Fano variety (indeed, using adjunction one can compute that the canonical bundle of  $T$  is  $\mathcal{O}_T(1-k)$ ), hence  $T$  is rationally connected [6], [15] and so in particular  $A_0(T) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ . It follows that

$$(5) \quad A_{i-n+k}^{\text{hom}}(T) = 0 \quad \forall i \leq n - k.$$

Combining (3), (4) and (5), the theorem is proven.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let*

$$Y = \text{Gr}(3, n) \cap H \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{3}-1}$$

*be a smooth hyperplane section (with respect to the Plücker embedding). Assume  $n \leq 13$ ,  $n \neq 12$ . Then*

$$A_i^{\text{hom}}(Y) = 0 \quad \forall i \leq n - 2.$$

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 3.1, it only remains to treat the case  $i = n - 2$ .

Applying Proposition 2.2, we may assume  $Y$  is sufficiently generic. Doing the jump as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 above, one finds a smooth variety  $T \subset \text{Gr}(2, n)$  (of codimension  $n - 2$ ) and an injection of Chow groups

$$(6) \quad A_{n-2}^{\text{hom}}(Y) \hookrightarrow A_1^{\text{hom}}(T).$$

In order to understand  $A_1^{\text{hom}}(T)$ , we perform a second jump. This second jump (cf. [2, Section 3.4]) induces a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \hookrightarrow & q^*T \xrightarrow{q} T \\ \downarrow p|_F & & \downarrow p \\ P' & \hookrightarrow & P. \end{array}$$

Here  $q^*T \subset \text{Fl}(1, 2, n)$ , and the morphism  $q^*T \rightarrow T$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle. The projective bundle formula gives an injection

$$(7) \quad A_1^{\text{hom}}(T) \hookrightarrow A_1^{\text{hom}}(q^*T).$$

The varieties  $P$  and  $P'$  depend on the parity of  $n$ :

- If  $n$  is even,  $P = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  and  $P'$  is the  $(n - 4)$ -dimensional Pfaffian variety called  $P(1, n)$  in [2]. For  $n \leq 10$ , the generic  $P(1, n)$  is smooth and in this case  $p$  is the blow-up of  $P = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  with center  $P' = P(1, n)$ .
- If  $n$  is odd,  $P$  is the Pfaffian hypersurface  $P(1, n) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  (in the notation of [2]). For  $n \leq 15$ , the generic  $P(1, n)$  has singular locus  $P' \subset P(1, n)$  of codimension 5 and  $P'$  is smooth. In this case, the morphism  $p$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $P \setminus P'$ , and a  $\mathbb{P}^3$ -bundle over  $P'$ .

Let us first treat the case  $n$  even,  $n \leq 10$ . The blow-up formula gives an isomorphism

$$A_1^{\text{hom}}(q^*T) = A_0^{\text{hom}}(P').$$

Since  $P' = P(1, n)$  is a smooth Fano variety, we have

$$A_0^{\text{hom}}(P') = 0,$$

and so (combining with (6) and (7)) the theorem follows for  $n$  even and generic  $Y$ .

Next, let us treat the case  $n$  odd,  $n \leq 13$ . In this case, we apply Proposition 2.5 (with  $h \in A^1(q^*T)$  the restriction of the relatively ample class for  $\text{Fl}(1, 2, n) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ ). This gives a (correspondence-induced) isomorphism

$$A_1(q^*T) = A_0(P) \oplus A_1(P),$$



and in particular an injection

$$A_1^{hom}(q^*T) \hookrightarrow A_0^{hom}(P) \oplus A_1^{hom}(P).$$

But  $P = P(1, n) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  is a (singular) hypersurface of degree  $(n-3)/2$ . For  $n \leq 13$ , it is known that any hypersurface  $P \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  of degree  $\leq (n-3)/2$  has

$$A_0^{hom}(P) = A_1^{hom}(P) = 0.$$

(For smooth  $P$  this is proven in [22], the extension to singular  $P$  is done in [9]. Note that, at least for smooth  $P$ , Conjecture 1.1 states that the restriction to  $n \leq 13$  is not necessary.) Combined with (6) and (7), the theorem follows for  $n$  odd and  $Y$  generic.  $\square$

#### 4. SOME CONSEQUENCES

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $Y$  be as in Theorem 3.2. Then  $H^{\dim Y}(Y, \mathbb{Q})$  is supported on a subvariety of codimension  $n-1$ .*

*Proof.* This follows in standard fashion from the Bloch–Srinivas argument. The vanishing of Theorem 3.2 is equivalent to the decomposition

$$\Delta_Y = \gamma + \delta \quad \text{in } A^{\dim Y}(Y \times Y),$$

where  $\gamma$  is a completely decomposed cycle (i.e.  $\gamma \in A^*(Y) \otimes A^*(Y)$ ), and  $\delta$  has support on  $Y \times W$  with  $W \subset Y$  of codimension  $n-1$ . Let  $H_{tr}^{\dim Y}(Y, \mathbb{Q})$  denote the transcendental cohomology (i.e. the complement of the algebraic part under the cup product pairing). The cycle  $\gamma$  does not act on  $H_{tr}^{\dim Y}(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ . The action of  $\delta$  on  $H_{tr}^{\dim Y}(Y, \mathbb{Q})$  factors over  $W$ , and so

$$H_{tr}^{\dim Y}(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \subset H_W^{\dim Y}(Y, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Since the algebraic part of  $H^{\dim Y}(Y, \mathbb{Q})$  is (by definition) supported in codimension  $\dim Y/2$ , this settles the corollary.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let*

$$Y = \text{Gr}(3, 9) \cap H \subset \mathbb{P}^{83}$$

*be a smooth hyperplane section (with respect to the Plücker embedding). Then*

$$A_{AJ}^*(Y) = 0.$$

*In particular,  $Y$  has finite-dimensional motive (in the sense of [14], [1]).*

*Proof.* Theorem 3.2 implies that

$$A_i^{hom}(Y) = 0 \quad \forall i \leq 7.$$

The Bloch–Srinivas argument [5], [18] then implies that

$$A_{AJ}^j(Y) = 0 \quad \forall j \leq 9.$$

Since  $Y$  is 17-dimensional, these two facts taken together mean that

$$A_{AJ}^*(Y) = 0,$$

as claimed. The fact that any variety  $Y$  with  $A_{AJ}^*(Y) = 0$  is Kimura finite-dimensional is [23, Theorem 4].  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** Thanks to Kai and Len for making me listen to Sébastien Patoche. Thanks to the referee for many constructive comments that significantly improved the paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. André, Motifs de dimension finie (d’après S.-I. Kimura, P. O’Sullivan,...), Séminaire Bourbaki 2003/2004, Astérisque 299 Exp. No. 929, viii, 115–145,
- [2] M. Bernardara, E. Fatighenti and L. Manivel, Nested varieties of K3 type, arXiv:1912.03144,
- [3] S. Bloch, Algebraic cycles and higher K–theory, *Advances in Math.* vol. 61 (1986), 267–304,
- [4] S. Bloch, The moving lemma for higher Chow groups, *J. Alg. Geom.* 3 (1994), 537–568,
- [5] S. Bloch and V. Srinivas, Remarks on correspondences and algebraic cycles, *American Journal of Mathematics* Vol. 105 no. 5 (1983), 1235–1253,
- [6] F. Campana, Connexité rationnelle des variétés de Fano, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* 25 (1992), 539–545,
- [7] O. Debarre and C. Voisin, Hyper-Kähler fourfolds and Grassmann geometry, *J. reine angew. Math.* 649 (2010), 63–87,
- [8] H. Esnault, M. Levine and E. Viehweg, Chow groups of projective varieties of very small degree, *Duke Math. J.* 87 no. 1 (1997), 29–58,
- [9] A. Hirschowitz and J. Iyer, Hilbert schemes of fat  $r$ -planes and the triviality of Chow groups, in: *Vector bundles and complex geometry*, volume 522 of *Contemp. Math.*, pp. 53–70, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI 2010,
- [10] A. Iliev and L. Manivel, Fano manifolds of Calabi–Yau type, *Journal Pure Appl. Algebra* 219 (2015), 2225–2244,
- [11] U. Jannsen, Motivic sheaves and filtrations on Chow groups, in: *Motives* (U. Jannsen et alii, eds.), *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* Vol. 55 (1994), Part 1,
- [12] Q. Jiang, On the Chow theory of projectivization, arXiv:1910.06730v1,
- [13] Y.-H. Kiem, I. Kim., H. Lee and K.-S. Lee, All complete intersection varieties are Fano visitors, *Adv. in Math.* 311 (2017), 649–661,
- [14] S.-I. Kimura, Chow groups are finite dimensional, in some sense, *Math. Ann.* 331 no. 1 (2005), 173–201,
- [15] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori, Rational connectedness and boundedness of Fano manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.* 36 (1992), 765–779,
- [16] K. Konno, On the variational Torelli problem for complete intersections, *Comp. Math.* 78 no. 3 (1991), 271–296,
- [17] A. Kuznetsov, Calabi–Yau and fractional Calabi–Yau categories, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 753 (2019), 239–267,
- [18] R. Laterveer, Algebraic varieties with small Chow groups, *Journal Math. Kyoto Univ.* Vol. 38 no. 4 (1998), 673–694,
- [19] R. Laterveer, On the motive of Kapustka–Rampazzo’s Calabi–Yau threefolds, *Hokkaido Math. Journal* 49 (2020), 227–245,
- [20] J. Lewis, Cylinder homomorphisms and Chow groups. *Math. Nachr.* 160 (1993), 205–221,
- [21] D. Orlov, Derived categories of coherent sheaves and motives, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 60 no. 6 (2005), 231–232, translation in *Russian Math. Surveys* 60 no. 6 (2005), 1242–1244,
- [22] A. Otwinowska, Remarques sur les groupes de Chow des hypersurfaces de petit degré, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 329 (1999), no. 1, 51–56,
- [23] Ch. Vial, Projectors on the intermediate algebraic Jacobians, *New York J. Math.* 19 (2013), 793–822,
- [24] C. Voisin, Sur les groupes de Chow de certaines hypersurfaces, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 322 (1996), 73–76,
- [25] C. Voisin, The generalized Hodge and Bloch conjectures are equivalent for general complete intersections, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* 46 fascicule 3 (2013), 449–475,

- [26] C. Voisin, The generalized Hodge and Bloch conjectures are equivalent for general complete intersections, II, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* 22 (2015), 491—517,
- [27] C. Voisin, *Chow Rings, Decomposition of the Diagonal, and the Topology of Families*, Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford, 2014,
- [28] C. Voisin, Unirational threefolds with no universal codimension 2 cycle, *Invent. Math.* 201 (2015), 207–237.

INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE MATHÉMATIQUE AVANCÉE, CNRS – UNIVERSITÉ DE STRASBOURG, 7 RUE RENÉ DESCARTES, 67084 STRASBOURG CEDEX, FRANCE.

*Email address:* robert.laterveer@math.unistra.fr