

# A Shapley-Folkman lemma for lattice polytopes

*Abstract* Some occurrences of  $n$  can be replaced by  $n - 1$  in a special case of the Shapley-Folkman lemma.

David Handelman

The Shapley-Folkman lemma (not to be confused with the S-F *theorem*, which is a consequence of the lemma) asserts the following. Let  $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^m$  be a collection of  $m$  subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , with  $n < m$ , and let  $K_i$  denote the convex hull of  $S_i$ . Given  $x$  in the Minkowski sum,  $\sum K_i$  (the set of sums  $\sum k_i$  with each  $k_i \in K_i$ ), there exists a subset  $T \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  with  $|T| = n$  such that

$$x = z + \sum_{s \in T^c} y_s,$$

where  $z \in \sum_T K_t$ , and each  $y_s \in S_s$  with  $s$  running over  $T^c$ . Moreover, the cardinality of  $T$  cannot in general be reduced to  $n - 1$ .

If we apply this to the special case  $S = S_1 = S_2 = \dots = S_m \subset \mathbf{Z}^n$  with  $S$  finite, and  $K = \text{cvx} S$ , then we have a result for lattice polytopes (a *lattice polytope* in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is the convex hull of a finite set of lattice points (elements of  $\mathbf{Z}^n$ )). Specifically,

$$(1) \quad mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = nK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n + (m - n)(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n).$$

To translate this to English (or any other language), we are using the convention that if  $A$  and  $B$  are subsets of an abelian group, then  $A + B$  is the set of sums  $\{a + b \mid a \in A; b \in B\}$ , and if  $k$  is a positive integer, then  $kA$  denotes  $A + A + \dots + A$  ( $k$  times), that is, the set of sums of  $k$  elements of  $A$  (despite the notation,  $kA$  is not generally the same as the set of multiples by  $k$  of elements of  $A$ , unless  $A$  is convex). So what this says is that if  $x$  belongs to the convex hull of  $mS$  (which is  $mK$ ) and is a lattice point, then it admits a decomposition  $x = z + y$ , where  $y \in (m - n)S$  and  $z$  is a lattice point in  $nK$ . This follows immediately from the statement of the Shapley-Folkman lemma (Theorem 1 below): we obtain a decomposition  $x = z + \sum_{s=1}^{m-n} y_s$  with  $y_s \in S$  for all  $s$ , and  $z \in nK$ . But then  $z = x - \sum_{s=1}^{m-n} y_s$ , so is itself a lattice point. This argument was given by me in answer to a *MathOverflow* question, [MO].

In Theorem 3, we obtain a proof of a result that seems to have been in the æther, but for which I cannot find a reference. Specifically, all occurrences of nonsuperscripted  $n$  in (1) can be replaced by  $n - 1$ . This despite the fact that the original S-F result cannot be so improved. In other words, we will show that if  $m \geq n - 1$ , and  $S$  is a finite subset of  $\mathbf{Z}^n$ , and  $K = \text{cvx} S$ , then

$$(2) \quad mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = (n - 1)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n + (m - n + 1)(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n).$$

Also,  $n - 1$  is sharp, in the obvious sense. We will also look at some consequences for lattice polytope classification (as in [H1, H3]) using S-F and its slight improvement.

**THEOREM 1 (SHAPLEY-FOLKMAN LEMMA [WI])** Let  $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^m$  be subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with  $m > n$ . For each  $x \in \text{cvx} \sum_{i=1}^m S_i$ , there exists an  $n$ -element subset  $T \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , an element  $x_0 \in \text{cvx} \sum_T S_i$ , and  $x_i \in S_i$  for all  $i \notin T$  such that  $x = x_0 + \sum_{T^c} x_i$ .

*Remark.* Consistent with our notation,  $\sum_{i=1}^m S_i$  means the set of sums of  $m$  elements, one from each of the  $S_i$ .

A lattice polytope is *empty* if it contains no lattice points other than its extreme points. If a lattice polytope is a simplex, it will be referred to as a *lattice simplex*. If  $K$  is a lattice polytope in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , then it is empty precisely when  $K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$  consists of the extreme points of  $K$ , the set of which we denote  $\partial_e K$ . A polytope  $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  has *interior* if it contains a nonempty open ball of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

---

AMS(MOS) classification: 52B20, 52C07; key words and phrases: lattice simplex, empty polytope, Shapley-Folkman lemma, solid polytope

LEMMA 2 Let  $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be an empty lattice simplex, and suppose that  $n \geq 2$ . Then for all  $x \in nK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ , there exists  $z \in K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$  such that  $x - z \in (n-1)K$ .

*Remark.* Alternatively,  $nK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = (n-1)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n + K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ .

*Proof.* We reduce immediately to the case that  $K$  contain interior (that is,  $K$  has  $n+1$  extreme points) by reducing to the affine span. By translation, we may assume the extreme points are  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n; 0\}$ . Write  $x = \sum \alpha_i v_i$  with  $0 \leq \alpha_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $\sum \alpha_i \leq n$ . If  $\sum \alpha_i \leq n-1$ , then  $z = 0$  will suffice.

So we may assume that  $\sum \alpha_i > n-1$ . If any of the  $\alpha_i$  are at least as large as 1, then we can take  $z$  to be the corresponding  $v_i$ . So may assume that all  $\alpha_i$  are less than 1.

Set  $y = \sum (1 - \alpha_i) v_i$ . As  $\sum (1 - \alpha_i) = n - \sum \alpha_i < 1$ , we have that  $y \in K$ . Since  $x + y = \sum v_i \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ , we have that  $y \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ . Hence  $y \in K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = \partial_e K$ . Thus  $y$  is one of the extreme points, say  $v_j$ . But the barycentric decomposition is unique (since  $K$  is a simplex), and thus  $\alpha_j = 0$  and all the other  $\alpha_i$  are 1. This entails  $\sum \alpha_i = n-1$ , a contradiction. •

THEOREM 3 Let  $K$  be a lattice polytope in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and let  $m \geq n-1$  be an integer. For all  $x \in mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ , there exist  $x_j \in K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m-n+1$  and  $x_0 \in (n-1)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$  such that  $x = \sum_{j=0}^{m-n+1} x_j$ .

*Remark.* Alternatively,  $mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = (n-1)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n + (m-n+1)(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S = K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ , so that  $K = \text{cvx } S$ . The argument given in the second paragraph of this paper yields, via the S-F lemma, that if  $x \in mK$ , there is a decomposition  $x = z + \sum_1^{m-n} y_s$  where  $z \in nK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$  and all  $y_s \in S$ . Hence it suffices to prove the result in the special case that  $m = n$ , i.e.,  $x \in nK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$  entails there exists  $x_1 \in K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$  such that  $x - x_1 \in (n-1)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ .

To this end, we may triangulate  $K$  by empty  $n$ -simplices in the obvious way; so  $K = \cup K_\alpha$  where each  $K_\alpha$  is an empty  $n$ -simplex. Then  $x/n \in K$ , so there exists  $\alpha$  such that  $x/n \in K_\alpha$ , and so  $x \in nK_\alpha \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ . By Theorem 3, we can decompose  $x = x_1 + z$  where  $x_1 \in K_\alpha \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$  and  $z \in (n-1)K_\alpha \subset (n-1)K$ . As  $z$  is a difference of lattice points,  $z \in \mathbf{Z}^n$  as well, and we are done. •

This is similar to, but not identical with, an old/recent result of Victoria Powers and Bruce Reznick [PR], which in connection with quadratic forms, shows that lattice points in  $2K$  can be represented as a sum of distinct lattice points each in  $K$ , if  $K = mK'$  for some integer  $m \geq n-1$  and lattice polytope  $K'$  ([R] uses projective dimension, so some translation will be necessary).

It is relatively easy to construct examples showing the result is sharp, at least in one sense. In the following,  $e_i$  denotes the  $i$ th standard column (and we only use the first  $n-1$  of them).

EXAMPLE 4 Let  $n \geq 4$ . Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a(i); d) \in \mathbf{Z}^{1 \times n}$  with  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ;  $0 < a(i) < d$ ; and  $\sum a(i) < d$ . Form  $S = \{0; e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}; \mathbf{a}^T\}$  and set  $K = \text{cvx } S$  in  $\mathbf{R}^{n \times 1} = \mathbf{R}^n$ . Then  $K$  is a lattice simplex with interior, and the column  $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T \in \mathbf{Z}^n$  is an element of  $(n-1)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$  that cannot be represented in the form  $\sum x_i$  where  $x_i \in (n-2)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ .

*Remark.* In particular, since  $0 \in K$ , we have  $aK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n \subset bK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$  whenever  $a \leq b$ . Thus there are no decompositions such as  $nK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = (n-i)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n + iK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$  if  $2 \leq i \leq n-2$ , or  $(n-1)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = (n-2)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n + K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ . This can be rephrased in terms of lattice cones. Form  $\cup_{i=1}^\infty (iK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$ . Then  $\mathbf{1}$  is at atom in this cone (a nonzero minimal element), and it does not belong to any  $iK$  for  $i < n-1$ .

*Remark.* We can easily arrange that  $K$  be empty as well; for example, this holds if  $1 \in \{a(i)\}$  and the content of the remaining  $a(i)$ s is 1.

*Proof.* We see that  $\mathbf{1} = \sum (1 - a(i)/d) e_i + \mathbf{a}/d$ , and this decomposition (modulo the coefficient of zero) is unique, since  $K$  is a simplex. The sum of the coefficients is  $n-1 - (\sum a(i) - 1)/d > n-2$  (since  $\sum a(i) < d$ ). Thus  $\mathbf{1} \in (n-1)K \setminus (n-2)K$ . We see that if a lattice point in the cone  $\cup_i (iK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n) \subset (\mathbf{Z}^n)^+$  has its bottom coordinate nonzero, then all of its coordinates must be strictly positive. Hence  $\mathbf{1}$  is an atom in the cone, and the result follows from  $\mathbf{1}$  not belonging to any  $iK$  with  $i < n-1$ . •

### Local solidity

A lattice polytope  $K$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is *projectively faithful* [H1] if the set of differences  $K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n - K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$  generates the standard copy of  $\mathbf{Z}^n$  as an abelian group. We say that  $K$  is *solid* (op.cit.) if for all  $m$ ,  $mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = m(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$  (it suffices that this be true for all  $m \leq n-1$ , by an application of Theorem 3).

Let  $v$  be an extreme point of the projectively faithful lattice polytope  $K$ , and let  $K_v = K - v$ , that is, translate  $K$  so that  $v$  goes to 0. Let  $C_v$  denote the lattice cone  $\cup_{m \geq 1} m(K_v \cap \mathbf{Z})$ . We say  $C_v$  is *unperforated* (or *normal*, or *integral*, ...) if whenever  $x \in \mathbf{Z}^n$  and there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $mx \in C_v$ , then  $x \in C_v$  ( $C_v$  has no holes, as a subset of  $\mathbf{Z}^n$ ). If for every extreme point  $v$ ,  $C_v$  has no holes, then  $K$  is *locally solid* (op.cit.; this corresponds to the toric variety being normal [O]). It is also equivalent to  $R_P$  being integrally closed in its field of fractions, where  $P$  is a Laurent polynomial in  $n$  variables with only positive coefficients, such that  $K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = \text{Log } P$  [H1; III.2 and III.8A]. In what follows, we adopt the notation of [H1].

LEMMA 5 Let  $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be a projectively faithful lattice polytope. The following are equivalent.

- (a)  $K$  is locally solid;
- (b) there exists  $m \geq n - 1$  such that  $mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = m(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$ ;
- (c) for all but finitely many  $m$ ,  $mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = m(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$ .

*Proof.* (b) implies (c) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.

(c) implies (a). Let  $v$  be a vertex, and form  $C_v$ ; we may obviously assume  $v = 0$  (only to simplify notation); then  $K \subset 2K \subset 3K \subset \dots$ . If  $x$  belongs to the convex hull of  $C_v$ , then  $x \in mK$  for some  $m$ . If  $m \geq n - 1$ , then  $x \in m(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$  by hypothesis; if  $m < n - 1$ , then  $mK \subset (n - 1)K$ , and so  $x \in (n - 1)(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$ . So in either case,  $x \in C_v$ .

(a) implies (b). We may, as usual, assume that  $0 \in K$ . Form  $R_P$  [H1; section I] where  $P = \sum_{w \in K \cap \mathbf{Z}^d} x^w$ . As  $K$  is locally solid, it follows ([H1; III.2]) that  $R_P$  is integrally closed in its field of fractions.

Given  $w \in pK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ , the element  $x^w/P^p$  is thus integral: by Carathéodory's theorem, there exists an affinely independent subset  $\{v(i)\}_{i=0}^n$  of  $\partial_e K$  such that  $w = \sum \lambda_i v_i$  with  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ , and  $\sum \lambda_i = p$ ; from affine independence, it follows that the solution is unique, and since all of  $v_i$  and  $w$  are lattice points, all the  $\lambda_i$  are rational. Hence there exists a positive integer  $a$  such that all  $a\lambda_i$  are nonnegative integers (some may be zero). Hence  $(x^w/P^p)^a \in R_P$ , so  $x^w/P^p$  is integral over  $R_P$ .

By [H1; III.2],  $x^w/P^p \in R_P$ . But this entails the existence of a positive integer  $N_w$  such that  $w + N_w(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n) \subset (p + N_w)(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$ , and it follows that this holds with  $N_w$  replaced by any larger integer. Let  $m = p + \max_{w \in mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n} N_w$ . Then for all  $w \in pK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ , we have  $w + m(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n) \in m(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$ . Now set  $p = n - 1$ .

Pick  $z \in mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ . We may write  $z = w + (m - (n - 1))(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$  by Theorem 3; this yields  $z \in m(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$  immediately. •

*Two questions* Let  $K$  be a projectively faithful lattice polytope.

- 1 If  $K$  is locally solid, then is it solid?
- 2 If  $mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = m(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$  for some  $m$  such that  $n/2 < m < n - 1$ , then is  $K$  locally solid?

The first was suggested in [H1; p 35]. For the second, there is a class of weird examples given in [H3; p 180ff]. For every  $n \geq 6$ , there exists a projectively faithful lattice polytope  $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $iK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = i(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$  for all  $i \leq n/2$ , but for no  $i > n/2$ .

## References

- [H1] D Handelman, *Positive polynomials, convex polytopes, and a random walk problem*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1082 (1986) Springer-Verlag 133 + x.
- [H2] D Handelman, *Positive polynomials and product type actions of compact groups*, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society* 310 (1985) 79 + xi.
- [H3] D Handelman, *Effectiveness of an affine invariant for indecomposable integral polytopes*, *J Pure & App Algebra* 66 (1990) 165–184.
- [MO] D Handelman, <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/204200/integer-decomposition-of-dilated-integral-polytopes/321660#321660>, MathOverFlow answer.
- [O] T Oda, *Convex bodies and algebraic geometry: An introduction to toric varieties*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3, Folge, Band 15*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1988.
- [PR] V Powers & B Reznick, *A note on mediated simplices*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1909.11008.pdf>
- [Wi] Shapley-Folkman lemma, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shapley%E2%80%93Folkman\\_lemma](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shapley%E2%80%93Folkman_lemma), Wikipedia.

Mathematics Department, University of Ottawa, Ottawa ON K1N 6N5, Canada; dehsg@uottawa.ca